Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)
Requests for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by	
American Falls School District No. 1381 American Falls, Idaho) File No. SLD-380611
Approach Learning and Assessment Centers (filed by E-Rate Consulting) Winston-Salem, North Carolina) File No. SLD-297762)
Banning Unified School District Banning, California) File Nos. SLD-295351, 312273
CRW Consulting, LLC Tulsa, Oklahoma) File Nos. SLD-306785, 303203, 307124, 297420, 306762
El Monte Unified High School District, et al El Monte, California) File Nos. SLD-295589, 303357, 305956, 311437, 313520, 314228
Florence County School District 3 Chicago, Illinois) File Nos. SLD-224402, 224408,) 224412, 224418, 224419, 227486
Fowler Elementary School District No 45 Phoenix, Arizona) File No. SLD-298897
Helena Public School District Helena, Montana) File No. SLD-329699
Humble Independent School District Humble, Texas) File No. SLD-380437
International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Winton-Salem/Forsyth County School District) Winston-Salem, North Carolina) File No. SLD-302305
Integrity Communications on behalf of San Diego Independent School District San Diego, Texas) File No. SLD-317808)

)	
Iroquois West Community Unit School) District No. 10) Gilman, Illinois)	File No. SLD-343292
John A. Coleman Catholic High School Hurley, New York)	Billed Entity No. 13863
Knox County School District) Knoxville, Tennessee)	File No. SLD-215885
Lincoln Parish School Board) Ruston, Louisiana)	File Nos. SLD-344917, 359293 373945, 373993, 378343
Nampa School District No. 13) Nampa, Idaho)	File No. SLD-318599
Ohr Haemet Institute) Los Angeles, California)	File No. SLD-227901
OneNet) Oklahoma City, Oklahoma)	File Nos. SLD-139469, 136909 149283
Owensboro Public Schools Owensboro, Kentucky)	File No. SLD-241629
Penn Cambria School District) Cleveland, Ohio)	File No. SLD-340427
Rosemead School District) Rosemead, California)	File Nos. SLD-303357
School Board of Alachua County) Gainesville, Florida)	File No. SLD-266209
School District of Palm Beach County West Palm Beach, Florida)	File No. SLD-328065
Southwest Plains Regional Service Center) Sublette, Kansas)	File Nos. SLD-109071, 107527 75145, 69113
Starkville School District) Starkville, Mississippi)	File Nos. SLD-306276, 305788 314970
Sts. Peter and Paul School) Warren, Ohio)	File No. SLD-372104

Tamaroa Public School District No. 5 Tamaroa, Illinois)))	File No. SLD-340729
Virgin Island Department of Education St. Thomas, Virgin Islands)))	File No. SLD-331593
Yeshiva Bnos Ahavas Israel Brooklyn, New York)	File Nos. SLD-222194, 226981
Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism)))	CC Docket No. 02-6
	ORDER	

Adopted: November 12, 2003 Released: November 13, 2003

By the Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. The Telecommunications Access Policy Division (Division) has under consideration the above-captioned Requests for Review of decisions issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company. These requests seek review

¹ Letter from Dr. Robert Johnston, American Falls School District No. 1381, filed June 30, 2003; Letter from Robert Morrow, Approach Learning and Assessment Centers (filed by E-Rate Consulting), filed June 20, 2003; Letter from E. Ashton Johnston, Banning Unified School District, filed June 6, 2003; Letter from Chris Webber, CRW Consulting, LLC, filed June 13, 2003; Letter from E. Ashton Johnston, El Monte Unified High School District, et al, filed June 19, 2003; Letter from D. Scott Barash, Florence County School District 3, filed June 9, 2003; Letter from Fowler Elementary School District No 45, filed June 26, 2003; Letter from Barbara Ridgway, Helena Public School District, filed June 10, 2003; Letter from Bill Alexander, Humble Independent School District, filed June 18, 2003; Letter from Colleen Boothby, International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Winston-Salem/Forsyth County School District), filed June 20, 2003; Letter from Walter Steimel, Integrity Communications on behalf of San Diego Independent School District, filed June 12, 2003; Letter from Scott J. Oyer, Iroquois West Community Unit School District No. 10, filed June 19, 2003; Letter from William F. Berardi, John A. Coleman Catholic High School, filed June 4, 2003; Letter from Pat Dickens, Knox County School District, filed June 4, 2003; Letter from Gerald W. Cobb, Lincoln Parish School Board, filed June 10, 2003; Letter from Bill Beverage, Nampa School District-131, filed June 5, 2003; Letter from Rabbi David Akhamizadeh, Ohr Haemet Ahavas Israel, filed June 23, 2003; Letter from Kurt A. Snodgrass, OneNet, filed June 13, 2003; Letter from Ron Milliner, Owensboro Public Schools, filed June 11, 2003; Letter from Nathaniel Hawthorne, Penn Cambria School District, filed June 4, 2003; Letter from Dr. Lila Wills Bronson, Rosemead School District, filed June 23, 2003; Letter from Jim Utley, School Board of Alachua County, filed June 24, 2003; Letter from Kemal Hawa, School District of Palm Beach County, filed June 16, 2003; Letter from Carol J. Swinney, Southwest Plains Regional Service Center, filed June 11, 2003; Letter from Marion Schiefer, Starkville School District, filed June 9, 2003; Letter from Sister Margaret Mary, Sts. Peter and Paul School, filed June 16, 2003; Letter from Robert Trover, Tamaroa Public School District No. 5, filed June 23, 2003; Letter from Noreen Michael, Virgin Islands Department of Education, filed June 17, 2003; Letter from Shlomo Levine, Yeshiva Bnos Ahavas Israel, filed July 9, 2003 (Requests for Review).

of SLD decisions pursuant to section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules.²

- 2. The Commission's rules provide that the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) must issue a decision resolving a request for review of matters properly before it within ninety (90) days unless the time period is extended.³ The Bureau extended by sixty (60) days the time period for considering the Requests for Review.⁴ The Bureau requires additional time to review the issues presented. Accordingly, we extend by an additional thirty (30) days the deadline by which the Bureau must take action regarding the instant Requests for Review of decisions by the SLD.
- 3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 54.724(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a), that the time period for taking action in the above-captioned Requests for Review IS EXTENDED BY an additional thirty (30) days to December 26, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by American Falls School District No. 1381, American Falls, Idaho; to December 18, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Approach Learning and Assessment Centers (filed by E-Rate Consulting), Woodstock, Georgia: to December 4, 2003. for the Request for Review filed by Banning Unified School District, Banning, California; to December 11, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by CRW Consulting, LLC, Tulsa, Oklahoma; to December 17, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by El Monte Unified High School District, et al, El Monte, California; to December 4, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Florence County School District 3, Chicago, Illinois; to December 24, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Fowler Elementary School District No 45, Phoenix, Arizona; to December 8, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Helena Public School District, Helena, Montana; to December 17, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Humble Independent School District, Humble, Texas; to December 18, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by International Business Machines Corporation (filed on behalf of Winston-Salem/Forsyth County School District), Winston-Salem, North Carolina; to December 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Integrity Communications on behalf of San Diego Independent School District, San Diego, Texas; to December 17, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Iroquois West Community Unit School District No. 10, Gilman, Illinois; to December 1, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by John A. Coleman Catholic High School, Hurley, New York; to December 1, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Knox County School District, Knoxville, Tennessee; to December 8, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Lincoln Parish School Board, Ruston, Louisiana; to December 1, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Nampa School District-131, Nampa, Idaho; to December 18, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Ohr Haemet Institute, Los Angeles, California; to December 11, 2003; for the Request for Review filed by OneNet, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; to December 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Owensboro Public Schools, Owensboro, Kentucky; to December 1, 2003, for the Request for

icuo

² See Requests for Review. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

³ 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a).

⁴ Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by American Falls School District No. 1381, American Falls, ID, et al., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, DA 03-2977 (Wir. Com. Bur. rel. Sep. 29, 2003).

Review filed by Penn Cambria School District, Cleveland, Ohio; to December 18, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Rosemead School District, Rosemead, California; to December 22, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by School Board of Alachua County, Gainesville, Florida; to December 11, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by School District of Palm Beach County, West Palm Beach, Florida; to December 10, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Southwest Plains Regional Service Center, Sublette, Kansas; to December 4, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Starkville School District, Starkville, Mississippi; to December 11, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Sts. Peter and Paul School, Warren, Ohio; to December 18, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Tamaroa Public School District No. 5, Tamaroa, Illinois; to December 15, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Virgin Island Department of Education, St. Thomas, Virgin Islands; to December 18, 2003, for the Request for Review filed by Yeshiva Bnos Ahavas Israel, Brooklyn, New York.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Mark G. Seifert Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau