Effect	Syst. Uncertainty	Weight(3Trk)	Weight(4Trk)	Weight(Combined)
Factors Associated with Acceptance				
Hadronic Scale Down 6%	+1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Hadronic Scale Up 6%	-0.25%	-0.25%	-0.25%	-0.25%
PDF variation Up (Acceptance Only)	.25%%	0.25%	0.25%	0.25%
PDF variation Down (Acceptance Only)	-0.25%	-0.25%	-0.25%	-0.25%
Trigger Efficiency	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
ISR variation: More	0.7%	+3.8%	-2.6%	+0.7%
ISR variation: Less	0.6%	-2.4%	+1.0%	-0.6%
EM Scale Down 1%	-0.5%	0.0%	-0.5%	-0.2%
EM Scale Up 1%	+0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%
Ele ID (Not TIso)	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
$TIso_{0.4-cone} < 2$ GeV/c $TIso_{\tau-cone} < 2$ GeV/c Assume full correlation	1.5%	4.5%	6.0	5.2%
$\pi^0 Iso_{\tau-cone} < 1$ GeV/c	1.0%	2.0%	2.5%	2.2%
$M^{track} < 1.8$ GeV/c^2 & $M^{tracks+\pi^0} < 2.5$ GeV/c^2	0.4%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Raw Sum		6.09%	6.83%	5.89%
Sum Considering Correlations		5.28%	6.63%	5.89%
Factors not associated with Acceptance				
PDF uncertainties (Cross Section Only)	+4.7%/-5.3% (up/down)	5.0%	5.0%	
Luminosity	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	
NLO σ	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	
Sum		10.72%	10.72%	

Table 1: Systematics used for limit setting. The first column shows the rough impact of an uncertainty on the total acceptance uncertainty. The second and third columns show the weighted impact of a given uncertainty (i.e. track isolation applied 3 ot 4 times per event). The last column shows the effect on the uncertainty if we were to combine both regions with a simple sum. Of the quoted summed uncertainties, we use the one that considers correlations between three and four track signaures (i.e, ISR affects both 3 and 4 track signatures at the 2% level, but the overall impact is small as the changes tend to compensate each other.)

DRAFT APRIL 17, 2006