

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region 7, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101

FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS and ORDER FOR COMPLIANCE

Docket Number: CWA-07-2004-0287

Permit No.: NER-100856

04 SEP 14 AM 9: 24

ENVIRONACE, TO LERGITECTION AGENCY - REGION VII REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

The following FINDINGS are made, and ORDER issued, under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), by Sections 308(a) and 309(a) of the Clean Water Act (herein the "Act"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318(a) and 1319(a). The Administrator of EPA has delegated the authority to issue this Order to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 7, who has further delegated this authority to the Director of the Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division.

FINDINGS

- 1.**Benchmark Homes, Inc.** (herein the "Respondent") is a "person," within the meaning of § 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 2. Attached is an "Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet" (herein the "Form"), which is hereby incorporated by reference. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.
- 3. At all times relevant to this Order, Respondent owned or operated the facility described in the Form (herein "the facility"), and for which was legally organized as described on the Form.
- 4. At all times relevant to this Order, the facility was a "point source" of a "discharge" of "pollutant[s]" in and with its storm water discharges to the receiving waters of "waters of the United States" within the meaning of § 502 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362, and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2. As a result, Respondent and the facility were subject to the Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.
- 5. Under § 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source to waters of the United States except with the authorization of, and in compliance with, a NPDES permit issued pursuant to § 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 6. Section 402(p) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.26 provide that storm water discharges associated with industrial activity are point sources subject to NPDES permitting requirements under § 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. The Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality, (NDEQ) is the state agency with the authority to administer the federal NPDES program in Nebraska pursuant to § 402 of the Act. EPA maintains concurrent authority with delegated states for violations of the Act.
- 7. Under 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(b)(14), the following category of facilities is among those considered to be engaging in storm water "industrial activity" for purposes of § 402(p) of the Act

and 40 C.F.R. § 122,26:

Category (x) Construction

Construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation activities except: operations that result in the disturbance of less than five [5] acres of total land area. Construction activity also includes the disturbance of less than five acres of total land area that is a part of a larger common plan of development or sale if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb five acres or more.

- 8. Pursuant to Section 402(p) of the Act, NDEQ issued a General Permit for the discharge of storm water under the NPDES Permit No. NER100000(the General Permit). The General Permit became effective on August 1, 1997 and was administratively extended on August 1, 2002.
- 9. Respondent applied for and was issued a permit coverage under the General Permit described above. NDEQ issued permit NER-100856 in July 1999.
- 10. At all times relevant to this action, the facility was involved in construction activities including clearing, grading, and excavation. The approximate number of acres for the common plan is documented on the Form.
- 11. The facility was conducting construction activities as described in the Form, which continued throughout the time period relevant to this action.
- 12. Each person that meets the definition of "owner" or "operator", and engages in construction subject to the NPDES program and defined by 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)(x) or 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(15), must apply for and obtain an individual permit or seek coverage under a promulgated storm water general permit before and during conducting activities that are subject to storm water discharges that carry pollutants to waters of the United States.
- 13. At all times relevant to this action, Respondent was an "owner" or "operator" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 and the permit, and was therefore required to obtain NPDES permit coverage for its construction activities at the facility.
- 14. The facility was inspected as documented on the Form. As a result of this inspection, the facility was found to be in violation of its NPDES permit.
- 15. If so documented in the Form, each violation of the conditions of the permit or regulations described above is a violation of Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311.

ORDER

Based on these Findings and pursuant to the authority of §§ 308(a) and 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318(a) and 1319(a), EPA HEREBY ORDERS Respondent to take the following action:

- A. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall take whatever corrective action is necessary to correct the deficiencies and eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations cited above and in the Form, and to come into compliance with all of the applicable requirements of the permit.
- B. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit a written report detailing the specific actions taken to correct the violations cited herein and explaining why such actions are anticipated to be sufficient to prevent recurrence of these or similar violations.
- C. In the event that Respondent believes complete correction of the violations cited herein is not possible within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall, within those thirty (30) days, submit a comprehensive written plan for the elimination of the cited violations within the shortest possible time. Such plan shall describe in detail the specific corrective actions to be taken and why such actions are sufficient to correct the violations. The plan shall include a detailed schedule for the elimination of the violations within the shortest possible time, as well as measures to prevent these or similar violations from recurring.
- D. Any information or correspondence submitted by Respondent to EPA under this Order shall be addressed to the following:

Ms. Diana Jackson Water Enforcement Branch EPA Region 7 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Issuance of this Order shall not be deemed an election by EPA to forego any administrative or judicial, civil or criminal action to seek penalties, fines, or any other relief appropriate under the Act for the violations cited herein, or other violations that become known. EPA reserves the right to seek any remedy available under the law that it deems appropriate.

Failure to comply with this Order or the Act can result in further administrative action, or a civil judicial action initiated by the U.S. Department of Justice. If the United States initiates a civil judicial action, Respondent will be subject to civil penalties of up to \$32,500 per day per violation.

If a criminal action is initiated by U.S. Department of Justice, and Respondent is convicted of a criminal offense under Section 309(c) of the Act, Respondent may become ineligible for certain contracts, grants, or loans under Section 508 of the Act.

This Order does not constitute a waiver or modification of the terms or conditions of Respondent's NPDES permit, which

remains in full force and effect. Compliance with the terms and conditions of this Order does not relieve Respondent of its obligations to comply with any applicable federal, state, or local law or regulation.

The effective date of this Order is the date it is received by Respondent.

Date 1

Leo J. Alderman

Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division

Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet Findings and Alleged Violations

Findings and Alleged Violations

Consult instructions regarding eligibility criteria
and procedures prior to use

Version: NER100000



1 Legal Name and Mailing Address of Operator	Telephone Numb	NPDES Permit Number			
Benchmark Homes, Inc.	402-592-9500		NER-100	D8 <u>56</u>	
11011 Q Street, Suite 101A					
Omaha, NE 68137					
2 Location and Address of Site	\neg				
Saddlebrook Development					
Fort Street blwn 144th & 149th Streets					
Omaha, NE 68114					
		··			
Name of Site Contact (ESO Worksheet recipient):	Dan Kruntorad				
Name of Authorized Official (40 CFR 122.22)	Jack Czerwinski	, Jr			
Inspection Date:	5/12/2004				
Start Construction Date:	7/15/1999				
Estimated Completion Construction Date:					
If Unpermitted, Number of Months Unpermitted:	T-th-t				
Name of Receiving Water Body (Indicate whether 303(d) listed):	Tributary to Star	ding Bear Lake			
Acres Disturbed (whole common plan):	115				·
Is Site Eligible for Rainfall Erosivity or TMDL Waiver per 40 CFR 122.26(b)(15)?	No			- 	<u> </u>
		National			
	Nebraska	Permit	No. of		
	Citation	Citation	Vio-	Violation	Settlement
	Reference*	Reference**	lations	Amount	Offer
Operator(s) in control of site specifications unpermitted for months (# of months = # of violations)	CNR 119-2	CWA 301		\$500.00	
4 Operator(s) in control of day-to-day activities unpermitted for months (# of months = # of violations)	_ CNR 119-2	CWA 301		\$500.00	
5 SWPPP not prepared (If no SWPPP, leave elements 6 - 31 blank)	NEGP D(1)(a)	CGP 3.1.A		\$4,000.00	
6 SWPPP prepared but prepared after construction start (# of months = # of violations)	NEGP D(1)(b)	CGP 3.1.A		\$75.00	
7 SWPPP does not identify all potential sources of pollution to	N/A	CGP 3.1.B		\$250.00	
include: porta-pottys, fuel tanks, staging areas, waste					
containers, chemical storage areas, concrete cure, paints, solvents, etc					
8 SWPPP does not identify all operators for the project site and the	NEGP NOI	CGP 3.3.A		\$500.00	
areas of the site over which each operator has control					
9 SWPPP does not have site description, as follows:	NEOD DOMES	0000000		#400 00	
A Nature of activity in description	NEGP D(2)(b)	CGP 3.3.B.1	\vdash	\$100.00	
B Intended sequence of major activities C Total disturbed acreage	NEGP D(2)(b)	CGP 3.3.B.2		\$100.00	
C Total disturbed acreage D General location map	NEGP NOI	CGP 3.3.B.3		\$100.00	
E Site map	N/A NEGP D(2)(a)	CGP 3.3.B.4		\$100.00	
F Site map does not show drainage patterns, slopes, areas of	. ,	CGP 3.3.C	ار ا	\$500.00	
disturbance, locations of major controls, structural practices shown, stabilization practices, offsite materials, waste, borrow or equipment storage areas.	NEGP D(2)(a)	CGP 3.3,C.1-	° []	\$50.00	
surface waters, discharge points, areas of final stabilization (count each omission under 9F as 1 violation)			•		
G. Location/description industrial activities, like concrete or	N/A	CGP 3.3,D		\$500.00	
asphalt batch plants		33, 3.0,5		ψ500,00	
10 SWPPP does not:					
A Describe all pollution control measures (e.g. BMPs)	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.4.A		\$750.00	
R. Describe sequence for implementation	NEGP D(2)/h)	CCDSAA		6250.00	

C Detail operator(s) responsible for implementation	NEGP NOI	CGP 3.4.A	1	\$250.00
11 SWPPP does not describe interim stabilization practices	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.4.B		\$250.00
12 SWPPP does not describe permanent stabilization practices	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.4.B		\$250.00
13 SWPPP does not describe a schedule to implement stabilization	NEGP D(2)(b)	CGP 3.4.B		\$250.00
practices	, , , ,			
F	N/A	CGP 3.4.C.1-3		\$250.00
14 Following dates are not recorded: major grading activities;	IN/M	CGF 3.4.C. 1-3	L-,	\$250.00
construction temporarily or permanently ceased; stabilization				
measures initiated				
(count each omission under 14 as 1 violation)				
15 SWPPP does not have description of structural practices to divert	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.4.D		\$500.00
flows from exposed soils, retain flows, or limit runoff from exposed		00. 0. NZ		0000.00
·				
areas				
16 SWPPP does not have a description of measures that will be	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.4.E	1	\$500.00
installed during the construction process to control pollutants				
in storm water discharges that will occur AFTER construction				
operations have been completed				
·	NECD D(2)	CGP 3.4.F		00.00
17 SWPPP does not describe measures to prevent discharge of solid	NEGP D(3)	CGF 3.4.F		\$500.00
materials to waters of the US, except as authorized by 404 permit				
18 SWPPP does not describe measures to minimize off-site vehicle	NEGP D(2)(f)	CGP 3.4.G		\$500.00
tracking and generation of dust				
19 SWPPP does not include description of construction or waste	NEGP D(2)(e)	CGP 3.4.H		\$250.00
·	/1201 B(E)(0)	00. 0.4.11		\$200.00
materials expected to be stored on site w/updates re:				
controls used to reduce pollutants from these materials				
20 SWPPP does not have description of pollutant sources from areas	NEGP D(2)(d)	CGP 3.4.1		\$500.00
other than construction (asphalt or concrete plants) w/ updates re:				
controls to reduce pollutants from these materials				
21 SWPPP does not identify allowable sources of non-storm	N/A	CGP 3.5		\$500.00
	19/7	CG- 3.5	<u> </u>	\$500.00
water discharges listed in subpart 1.3.B of the CGP				
22 SWPPP does not identify/ensure implementation of pollution	NEGP D&F	CGP 3.5	1	\$500.00
prevention measures for non-storm water discharges				
23 Endangered Species Act documentation is not in SWPPP	N/A	CGP 3.7		\$500.00
24 Historic Properties (Reserved)	N/A	CGP 1.3.C.7	 	\$500.00
			├	
25 Copy of permit and/or NOI not in SWPPP (count each omission	N/A	CGP 3.8		\$250.00
under 25 as 1 violation)				
26 SWPPP is not consistent with requirements specified in applicable	NEGP D(4)	CGP 3.9		\$750.00
sediment and erosion site plans or site permits, or storm				
water management plans or site permits approved by State,				
Tribal or local officials (e.g., MS4 requirements)				
27 SWPPP has not been updated to remain consistent with	NEGP D(1)(e)	CGP 3.9		\$250.00
changes applicable to protecting surface waters in State,				
Tribal or local erosion plans				
28 Copies of inspection reports have not been retained as part of the	NEGP D(6)	CGP 3.10.G		\$500.00
SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage terminates		00, 00.0	<u> </u>	4300.00
	NEOD DWW	0000440		
29 SWPPP has not been updated/modified to reflect change at site	NEGP D(1)(e)	CGP 3.11.C		\$50.00
effecting discharge, or where inspections identify SWPPP/BMPs as				
ineffective, updates to SWPPP regarding modifications to BMPs				
not made within 7 days of such inspection (count each omission under				
under 29 as 1 violation)				
30 Copy of SWPPP not retained on site	NEGP D(1)(c)	CGP 3.12.A		\$500.00
• •	, , , ,		—	\$500.00
A SWPPP not made available upon request	NEGP D(1)(d)	CGP 3.12.C	<u></u> i	\$500.00
31 SWPPP not signed/certified	N/A	CGP 3.12.D		\$500.00
32 Inspections not performed either once every 7 days, or once every	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.A,B		\$75.00
14 days and within 24 hours after storm event greater than 0.5	, ,			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
inches or greater (not required if: temp stabilization; runoff unlikely due				
to winter conditions; construction during arid periods in arid areas)				
33 Inspections not conducted by qualified personnel	N/A	CGP 3.10.D	i	\$500.00
34 All areas disturbed by construction activity or used for storage of	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.E.		\$50.00
materials and which exposed to precipitation not inspected	, ,			
35 All pollution control measures not inspected to ensure	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.E.		\$500.00
·	(3)	00F 3.10.E.		φ300,0U ·
proper operation				
36 Discharge locations are not observed and inspected	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.E.		\$50.00
37 For discharge locations that are not accessible, nearby	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.E.		\$50.00
locations are not inspected		V., U.L.		¥50,00
38 Entrance/exit not inspected for off-site tracking				
So Entrance/exit not inspected for on-site tracking	NECD DO	CCD 2.42.5		ACAA
·	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.E.		\$500.00
39 Site inspection report does not include: date, name and qualifications	NEGP D(5) NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.10.E. CGP 3.10.G		\$500.00 \$50.00
·				

discharge, BMP(s) requiring maintenance, BMP(s) that have falled, BMP(s) that are needed, corrective action required including changes/updates to SWPPP and schedule/dates (count each omission under 39 as 1 violation)				
40 Inspection reports not properly signed/certified (count each failure to to sign/certify as 1 violation)	N/A	CGP 3.10,G	\$50.00	
41 Sign/notice not posted	N/A	CGP 3.12.B	\$250.00	
A Does not contain copy of complete NOI	N/A	CGP 3.12.B	\$50.00	
B Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing	N/A	CGP 3.12.B	\$50.00	
times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign		001 0.72.0		
	NEOD S/O			
42 No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.13.F	\$500.00	
outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water				
43 Control measures are not properly: A Selected	NEOD DAY			
B Installed	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.13.A	1 \$500.00	
	NEGP D(3)	CGP 3.13.A	2 \$500.00	
C Maintained	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.13.A	\$500.00	
Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.6.B	\$250.00	
(count each failure of operator to properly select, install, or maintain each BMP as 1 violation)				
44 When sediment escapes the site, it is not removed at a frequency	N/A	CGP 3.13.B	\$500.00	
necessary to minimize off-site impacts		_		
45 Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals	NEGP D(2)(e)	CGP 3.13.C	1 \$500.00	
exposed to storm water are not prevented from becoming a		-		
pollutant source (e.g. screening outfalls, pickup daily, etc.)				
46 Stabilization measures are not initiated as soon as practible on	NEGP D(3)(c)	CGP 3.13.D	\$500.00	
portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily		_		
or permanently ceased within 14 days after such cessation				
*Exceptions:				
(a) Snow or frozen ground conditions	N/A	CGP 3.13.D.1		
(b) Activities will be resumed within 14 days	N/A	CGP 3.13.D.2		
(c) Arid or Semi-arid areas (<20 inches per year)	N/A	CGP 3.13.D.3		
47 Common Drainage of 10+ acres does not have a sedimentation basin	NEGP D(3)(e)	CGP 3.13.E.1	\$1,000.00	
for the 2 year, 24 hour storm, or 3500 cubic ft. storage per acre drained		_		
A Where sedimentation basin not attainable, smaller sediment basins,	NEGP D(3)(e)	CGP 3.13.E.2	\$1,000.00	
sediment traps, or erosion controls not implemented for downslope		_		
boundaries		_		
B Sediment not removed from sediment basin or traps when design	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.6.C	\$500.00	
capacity reduced by 50% or more		_		
48 Common Drainage less than 10 acres does not have sediment traps,	NEGP D(3)(a)	CGP 3.13.E.3	\$500,00	
silt fences, vegetative buffer strips, or equivalent sediment controls for all down slope boundaries (not required if sedimentation				
sediment basin meeting criteria in 47 above)	NEGP D(5)	CGP 3.6.C [\$500.00	
A Sediment not removed from sediment trap when design capacity	MEGF D(3)	OGF 3.0.0		
reduced by 50% or more		Total Eve	pedited Settlement:	\$3,300
		(DIA CX	realited Settleffield.	# \$,300

^{*}Nebraska General Permit NER100000, issued by NDEQ on July 9, 1997, extended on August 1, 2002; Code of Nebraska Rules *** NPDES General Permit, 68 FR 39087, issued by EPA on July 1, 2003, http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/cgp.cfm