

United States District Court District of Massachusetts

NEW ENGLAND CARPENTERS
HEALTH BENEFITS FUND, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-11148-PBS

FIRST DATABANK, INC., ETC.,
McKESSON CORPORATION, ETC.,
Defendants.

***MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER ON MOTION
TO COMPEL DEFENDANT
McKESSON TO MAKE ITS
30(b)(6) WITNESS AVAILABLE
AND PRODUCE IMS DATA (#185)***

COLLINGS, U.S.M.J.

The duly-noticed hearing on the plaintiffs' Motion to Compel McKesson

[Corporation]¹ to Make its 30(b)(6) Witness Available and Produce IMS Data (#185) was scheduled to occur at 11:30 A.M. on April 6, 2007 in Boston before the undersigned. Counsel for McKesson appeared, having traveled from San Francisco. No counsel appeared for plaintiffs at the appointed hour. The Clerk was able to contact local counsel who, in turn, contacted counsel in Seattle. The explanation for not appearing was a communication mix-up among the various lawyers representing plaintiffs. Finally, the Clerk was able to reach Barbara Mahoney, Esquire, one of plaintiffs' attorneys, in Seattle who asked to argue the motion telephonically. McKesson's counsel graciously agreed.

The only contested issues regarding the motion which remain outstanding is whether McKesson should be required to produce a 30(b)(6) deponent² and whether costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, should be awarded to either the plaintiffs or McKesson. McKesson argues that it has provided the information sought by the 30(b)(6) deposition notice in the form of documents and would produce in response to interrogatories information which plaintiffs now assert they need which McKesson claims was not set forth in the notice.

1

Hereinafter, "McKesson".

2

The IMS data was produced after the motion was filed.

Plaintiffs' counsel presses the need for a 30(b)(6) deposition.

Without saying so explicitly, McKesson is seeking a protective order preventing the 30(b)(6) deposition from taking place on the ground that "...the discovery is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, [and] is obtainable from some other source which is more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive." Rule 26(b)(2)(C), Fed. R. Civ. P. Be that as it may, it is totally improper for a party to decide on its own not to permit discovery on these grounds. The Rule is explicit, *viz.*, "***the court***" may "limit" the "frequency or extent of use of...discovery methods permitted under [the] rules...". There is nothing which permits a party to do so unilaterally.

With respect to depositions, there is no question but that one party may take a 30(b)(6) deposition of a corporation or other entity which is the opposing party in a case. Rule 30(b)(6), Fed. R. Civ. P.³ If the party receiving the notice believes that the notice is improper for some reason, that party does not have the right to refuse to obey the deposition notice on any ground.

Unlike the procedure with respect to interrogatories, requests for

3

Rule 30(a)(1) provides that "[a] party may take the deposition of any person, including a party, without leave of court..." except for very limited circumstances listed in Rule 30(a)(2), Fed. R. Civ. P., which are inapplicable to the instant motion.

production of documents and requests for admissions,⁴ there is no provision in the rules which provides for a party whose deposition is noticed to serve objections⁵ so as to be able to avoid providing the requested discovery until an order compelling discovery is issued. While it is indeed good practice to discuss any issues respecting a 30(b)(6) deposition notice with the party which noticed the deposition in an attempt to work out an agreement, in the absence of an agreement, a party cannot decide on its own to ignore the notice. Rather, if counsel for McKesson was of the view that the plaintiff's 30(b)(6) deposition notice was defective or improper in some way or that the information sought was "...obtainable from some other source..." such as interrogatories "...which would be more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive" (Rule 26(b)(2)(C)), it was McKesson's burden to seek a protection pursuant to Rule 26(c), Fed. R. Civ. P., seeking an order that "...the...discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time⁶ or

4

See Rules 33(a), 34(b), and 36(a), Fed. R. Civ. P.

5

Rule 30(d), Fed. R. Civ. P., allows for a motion to be filed to terminate or limit an examination during a deposition, but that rule deals with matters arising during the deposition as opposed to objections to the notice. Also, the burden is on the party seeking to terminate or limit a deposition to file the motion; the burden is not on the party taking the deposition.

6

There was disagreement after the notice was issued whether it complied with a Case Management Order issued by the Court dealing with advance notice of depositions.

place...” (Rule 26c)(2)) or that “...the discovery...be had only by a method of discovery other than that selected by the party seeking discovery.” (Rule 26(c)(3)).⁷

What is not proper practice is to refuse to comply with the notice, put the burden on the party noticing the deposition to file a motion to compel, and then seek to justify non-compliance in opposition to the motion to compel. Put simply and clearly, absent agreement, a party who for one reason or another does not wish to comply with a notice of deposition must seek a protective order. This counsel for McKesson failed to do.

Thus, McKesson shall be required to make its 30(b)(6) witness available. However, since a lot of water has gone under the bridge since the motion to compel was first filed, the Court directs that the plaintiffs re-serve a 30(b)(6) notice upon McKesson more specifically setting forth the areas of inquiry in which information is sought in light of what has been produced to date. The notice shall set forth a date for the deposition which is no less than twenty-one working days after the date of the notice. Unless there is an agreement to alter the date, or the Court upon motion orders otherwise, the 30(b)(6) deposition

7

If the deposition was sought from a non-party by subpoena, the motion would be properly designated a motion to quash or modify on one or more of the grounds stated in Rule 45(c)(3)(A), Fed. R. Civ. P.

shall take place on the date which plaintiffs' counsel selects. The Court finds the motion moot to the extent that IMS data is sought. Lastly, as stated, *supra*, counsel for McKesson traveled to Boston for the hearing on the motion. Plaintiffs' counsel did not appear thereby saving the expenses which would have been incurred if Attorney Mahoney had come to Boston from Seattle. In these circumstances, the Court considers the fact that McKesson had to bear the expenses, including attorney's fees, of having its counsel come to Boston to argue the motion while plaintiffs' counsel did not have to bear the same expense a sufficient sanction upon McKesson. No further sanctions pursuant to Rule 30(b)(4), Fed. R. Civ. P., shall be assessed.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Motion to Compel McKesson [Corporation] to Make its 30(b)(6) Witness Available and Produce IMS Data (#185) be, and the same hereby is, ALLOWED to the extent that McKesson shall make its 30(b)(6) witness available under the terms and conditions set forth, *supra*. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion, Etc. (#185), to the extent that IMS data is sought, be and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Motion, Etc. (#185), to the extent that it seeks an award of sanctions, be DENIED.

/s/ Robert B. Collings

ROBERT B. COLLINGS

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: April 9, 2007.

Publisher Information

**Note* This page is not part of the opinion as entered by the court.
The docket information provided on this page is for the benefit
of publishers of these opinions.**

1:05-cv-11148-PBS New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund et al v. First Databank, Inc., et al
Patti B. Saris, presiding
Date filed: 06/02/2005 Date of last filing: 04/09/2007

Attorneys

Christopher E. Babbitt Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 US 415-268-7000 415-268-7522 (fax) CBabbitt@mofo.com Assigned: 08/02/2006 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED	representing	McKesson Corporation (Defendant)
George E. Barrett Barret Johnston & Parsley 217 Second Avenue N Nashville, TN 37201-1601 615-244-2202 gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com Assigned: 11/03/2005 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED	representing	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
		Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust (Plaintiff) Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff) New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund (Plaintiff)
James P. Bennett Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94107-2406 US 415-268-7000 415-268-7522 (fax) jbenett@mofo.com Assigned: 08/02/2006 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED	representing	McKesson Corporation (Defendant)
Steve W. Berman Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 1301 5th Avenue Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101-1090 206-623-7292 206-623-0594 (fax) steve@hbsslaw.com Assigned: 10/30/2006 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED	representing	District Council 37 Health and Security Plan (Plaintiff)
Sheila L. Birnbaum Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom Four Times Square New York,	representing	All Plaintiffs (All Plaintiffs) First Databank, Inc., (Defendant)

NY 10036-6522 212-735-3000
Assigned: 10/25/2006 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

Edmund L. Carey Barrett,
Johnston & Parsley 217 Second
Avenue North Nashville, TN
37201 US 615-244-2202
tcarey@barrettjohnston.com
Assigned: 11/03/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)

Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and
Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Tiffany Cheung Morrison &
Foerster LLP 425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
US 415-268-7000 415-268-
7522 (fax) tcheung@mofo.com
Assigned: 10/24/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

Paul Flum Morrison & Foerster
LLP 425 Market Street San
Francisco, CA 94105 US 415-
268-7000 415-268-7522 (fax)
paulflum@mofo.com Assigned:
05/08/2006 LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

repres
enting

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Jennifer Fountain Connolly The
Wexler Firm LLC 2000 One
LaSalle Street Chicago, IL
60602 312-346-2222 312-346-
0022 (fax) jfc@wtwlaw.us
Assigned: 11/03/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)

Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and
Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
First Databank, Inc., (Defendant)

Thomas E. Fox Skadden, Slate,
Meagher, Slate & Flom LLP 4
Times Square New York, NY
10036 US 212-735-3000
Assigned: 10/25/2006 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE

repres
enting

NOTICED

Melvin R. Goldman Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 US 415-268-7000 415-268-7522 (fax)

MGoldman@mofo.com

Assigned: 10/24/2005 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Joan M. Griffin McDermott, Will & Emery LLP 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109 617-345-3000 617-345-3299 (fax)

jmgriffin@mwe.com Assigned: 10/19/2005 TERMINATED:

08/10/2006 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Nicole L. Johnson Bonner, Kiernan, Trebach & Crociata One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109 617-426-3900 617-426-0380 (fax) njohnson@bktc.net

Assigned: 08/15/2006

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

John A. Kiernan Bonner, Kiernan, Trebach & Crociata One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109 617-426-3900 617-426-0380 (fax) jkiernan@bktc.net

Assigned: 08/11/2006

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Jeffrey L. Kodroff Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. 1818 Market Street Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Assigned: 12/08/2005 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

All Plaintiffs (All Plaintiffs)

John Macoretta Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. 1818 Market Street Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 US Assigned: 12/08/2005 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE

representing

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund (Plaintiff)
Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust (Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
All Plaintiffs (All Plaintiffs)

NOTICED

Barbara Mahoney Hagens
Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101 US 206-
623-7292 206-623-0594 (fax)
barbaram@hbsslaw.com
Assigned: 08/16/2006 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)
Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and
Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
All Plaintiffs (All Plaintiffs)

Gerald E. Martin Barrett,
Johnston & Parsley 217 Second
Avenue North Nashville, TN
37201 US 615-244-2202
jmartin@barrettjohnston.com
Assigned: 11/03/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

District Council 37 Health and Security Plan
(Plaintiff)
New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)

Matthew J. Matule Skadden,
Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
LLP One Beacon Street
Boston, MA 02108 617-573-
4887 617-573-4822 (fax)
mmatule@skadden.com
Assigned: 10/23/2006 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and
Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
First Databank, Inc., (Defendant)

Timothy L. Miles Barrett,
Johnston & Parsley 217 Second
Avenue North Nashville, TN
37201 615-244-2202 615-252-
3798 (fax)
tmiles@barrettjohnston.com
Assigned: 11/03/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)

Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and

Edward Notargiacomo Hagens
Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP One
Main Street 4th Floor
Cambridge, MA 02142 617-374-
3738 617-374-3003 (fax)
ed@hagens-berman.com
Assigned: 10/30/2006 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
District Council 37 Health and Security Plan
(Plaintiff)

Lori A. Schechter Morrison &
Foerster 425 Market Street San
Francisco, CA 94105-2482 415-
268-7000 415-268-7522 (fax)
lschechter@mofo.com
Assigned: 10/24/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Thomas M. Sobol Hagens
Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 26th
Floor One Main Street 4th
Floor Cambridge, MA 02142
617-482-3700 617-482-3003
(fax) Tom@hbsslaw.com
Assigned: 06/02/2005 LEAD
ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE
NOTICED

repres
enting

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)

Michael K Sugrue Law Offices
of Mark Booker P.O. Box 52655
Boston, MA 02205 617-345-
3232 617-345-3299 (fax)
msugrue@burnslev.com
Assigned: 05/05/2006
TERMINATED: 08/14/2006
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

repres
enting

Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and
Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)
All Plaintiffs (All Plaintiffs)
District Council 37 Health and Security Plan
(Plaintiff)
McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Michael P. Twohig Burns &
Levinson LLP 125 Summer
Street Boston, MA 02110 617-
345-3233 617-345-3299 (fax)
mtwohig@burnslev.com

repres
enting

McKesson Corporation (Defendant)

Assigned: 10/19/2005
TERMINATED: 08/11/2006
LEAD ATTORNEY
Kenneth A. Wexler Wexler
Toriseva Wallace LL Suite 2000
One North LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60602 312-346-
2222 312-346-0022 (fax)
kaw@wtwlaw.us Assigned:
11/03/2005 LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

repres
enting

New England Carpenters Health Benefits Fund
(Plaintiff)

Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and
Welfare Fund (Plaintiff)
Pirelli Armstrong Retiree Medical Benefits Trust
(Plaintiff)
Teamsters Health & Welfare Fund of
Philadelphia and Vicinity (Plaintiff)