Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Sections 6-37: chemotherapy.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Sections 6-37: chemotherapy. Bethesda (MD): Children's Oncology Group; 2006 Mar. 37 p. [191 references]

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 1.2. 2004 Mar.

** REGULATORY ALERT **

FDA WARNING/REGULATORY ALERT

Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse: This guideline references a drug(s) for which important revised regulatory and/or warning information has been released.

- May 23, 2007, Gadolinium-based Contrast Agents: The addition of a boxed warning and new warnings about the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) to the full prescribing information for all gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs).
- May 2, 2007, Antidepressant drugs: Update to the existing black box warning
 on the prescribing information on all antidepressant medications to include
 warnings about the increased risks of suicidal thinking and behavior in young
 adults ages 18 to 24 years old during the first one to two months of
 treatment.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

** REGULATORY ALERT **

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Late effects resulting from therapeutic exposures to chemotherapy used during treatment of pediatric malignancies. Effects include sensory (dental, ocular, otologic), reproductive (testicular, ovarian) pulmonary, urologic (urinary, renal), dermatologic, neurologic (central, peripheral, cognitive), hepatic, vascular, and skeletal sequelae; dyslipidemia; and secondary malignancies.

Note: These guidelines are intended for use beginning two or more years following the completion of cancer therapy, and provide a framework for ongoing late effects monitoring in childhood cancer survivors; however, these guidelines are not intended to provide guidance for follow-up of the pediatric cancer survivor's primary disease.

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Counseling Evaluation Management Prevention Screening

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Cardiology Dentistry Dermatology Endocrinology Family Practice Gastroenterology Internal Medicine Nephrology Neurology Obstetrics and Gynecology Oncology Ophthalmology Otolaryngology Pediatrics Pulmonary Medicine Urology

INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses
Dentists
Nurses
Physician Assistants
Physicians
Psychologists/Non-physician Behavioral Health Clinicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To provide recommendations for screening and management of late effects in survivors of pediatric malignancies
- To increase quality of life and decrease complication-related healthcare costs for pediatric cancer survivors by providing standardized and enhanced followup care throughout the life-span that (a) promotes healthy lifestyles, (b) provides for ongoing monitoring of health status, (c) facilitates early identification of late effects, and (d) provides timely intervention for late effects

TARGET POPULATION

Asymptomatic survivors of childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancers who were treated with chemotherapy and who present for routine exposure-related medical follow-up

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Thorough history and physical examination, including targeted screening evaluations

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Not stated

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources) Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Pertinent information from the published medical literature over the past 20 years (updated as of October 2005) was retrieved and reviewed during the development and updating of these guidelines. For each therapeutic exposure, a complete search was performed via MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD). Keywords included "childhood cancer therapy," "complications," and "late effects," combined with keywords for each therapeutic exposure. References from the bibliographies of selected articles were used to broaden the search.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Expert Consensus (Committee)
Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

"High-level evidence" (recommendation category 1) was defined as evidence derived from high quality case control or cohort studies.

"Lower-level evidence" (recommendation categories 2A and 2B) was defined as evidence derived from non-analytic studies, case reports, case series, and clinical experience.

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

The guidelines were scored by the multidisciplinary panel of experts using a modified version of the National Criteria: Comprehensive Cancer Network "Categories of Consensus" system. Each score reflects the expert panel's assessment of the strength of data from the literature linking a specific late effect with a therapeutic exposure, coupled with an assessment of the appropriateness of the screening recommendation based on the expert panel's collective clinical experience. "High-level evidence" (category 1) was defined as evidence derived from high quality case control or cohort studies. "Lower-level evidence" (categories 2A and 2B) was defined as evidence derived from non-analytic studies, case reports, case series and clinical experience. Rather than submitting recommendations representing major disagreements, items scored as "Category 3" were either deleted or revised by the panel of experts to provide at least a "Category 2B" score for all recommendations included in the guidelines.

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

In 2002, the leadership of the Children's Oncology Group Late Effects Committee and Nursing Discipline appointed a 7-member task force, with representation from the Late Effects Committee, Nursing Discipline, and Patient Advocacy Committee. The task force was convened to review and summarize the medical literature and develop a draft of clinical practice guidelines to direct long-term follow-up care for

pediatric cancer survivors. The task force followed a modified version of the guideline development process established by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), integrating available literature with expert opinion using reiterative feedback loops.

The original draft went through several iterations within the task force prior to initial review. Multidisciplinary experts in the field, including nurses, physicians (pediatric oncologists and other subspecialists), patient advocates, behavioral specialists, and other healthcare professionals, were then recruited by the task force to provide an extensive, targeted review of the draft, including focused review of selected guideline sections. Revisions were made based on these recommendations. The revised draft was then sent out to additional multidisciplinary experts for further review. A total of 62 individuals participated in the review process. The guidelines subsequently underwent comprehensive review and scoring by a panel of experts in the late effects of pediatric malignancies, comprised of multidisciplinary representatives from the COG Late Effects Committee.

Revisions

In order to keep the guidelines current and clinically meaningful, the COG Late Effects Committee organized 18 multi-disciplinary task forces in March 2004. These task forces were charged with the responsibility for monitoring the medical literature in regard to specific system-related clinical topics relevant to the guidelines (e.g., cardiovascular, neurocognitive, fertility/reproductive), providing periodic reports to the Late Effects Committee, and recommending revisions to the guidelines and their associated health education materials and references (including the addition of therapeutic exposures) as new information became available. Task force members were assigned according to their respective areas of expertise and clinical interest. A list of these task forces and their membership is included in the "Contributors" section of the original guideline document. The revisions incorporated into the current release of these guidelines (Version 2.0 – March 2006) reflect the contributions and recommendations of these task forces.

All revisions proposed by the task forces were evaluated by a panel of experts, and if accepted, assigned a score (see "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence"). Proposed revisions that were rejected by the expert panel were returned with explanation to the relevant task force chair. If desired, task force chairs were given an opportunity to respond by providing additional justification and resubmitting the rejected task force recommendation(s) for further consideration by the expert panel. A total of 34 sections and 9 Health Links were added to Version 2.0 of these guidelines.

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Each score relates to the strength of the association of the identified late effect with the specific therapeutic exposure based on current literature, and is coupled with a recommendation for periodic health screening based on the collective clinical experience of the panel of experts. This is due to the fact that there are no randomized clinical trials (and none forthcoming in the foreseeable future) on which to base recommendations for periodic screening evaluations in this

population; therefore, the guidelines should not be misconstrued as representing conventional "evidence-based clinical practice guidelines" or "standards of care".

Each item was scored based on the level of evidence currently available to support it. Scores were assigned according to a modified version of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network "Categories of Consensus," as follows:

- 1 There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is high-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2A There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2B There is non-uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 3 There is major disagreement that the recommendation is appropriate.

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

External Peer Review
Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

The initial version of the guidelines (Version 1.0 – Children's Oncology Group Late Effects Screening Guidelines) was released to the Children's Oncology Group (COG) membership in March 2003 for a six-month trial period. This allowed for initial feedback from the COG membership, resulting in additional review and revision of the guidelines by the Late Effects Committee prior to public release.

Revisions

All revisions proposed by the task forces were evaluated by a panel of experts, and if accepted, assigned a score (see "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence"). Proposed revisions that were rejected by the expert panel were returned with explanation to the relevant task force chair. If desired, task force chairs were given an opportunity to respond by providing additional justification and resubmitting the rejected task force recommendation(s) for further consideration by the expert panel.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Grades of recommendations (1, 2A, 2B, 3) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Note from the Children's Oncology Group and the National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC): The Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers (COG LTFU) are organized according to the rapeutic exposures; this guideline has been divided into individual summaries. In addition to the current summary, the following are available:

- Sections 1-2: Any Cancer Experience
- Sections 3–5: Blood/Serum Products
- Sections 38–91: Radiation
- Sections 92–106: Hematopoietic Cell Transplant
- Sections 107–132: Surgery
- Sections 133–136: Other Therapeutic Modalities
- Sections 137–146: Cancer and General Health Screening

In order to accurately derive individualized screening recommendations for a specific childhood cancer survivor using this guideline, see "Using the COG LTFU Guidelines to Develop Individualized Screening Recommendations" in the original guideline document. (Note: For ease of use, a Patient-Specific Guideline Identification Tool has been developed to streamline the process and is included in Appendix I of the original guideline document.)

Guideline Organization

The Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers are organized according to therapeutic exposures, arranged by column as follows:

System Body system	(e.g., auditory, muscu	loskeletal) most relevant to
---------------------------	------------------------	------------------------------

each guideline section.

Score assigned by expert panel representing the strength of Score

data from the literature linking a specific late effect with a therapeutic exposure coupled with an assessment of the appropriateness of the screening recommendation based on

collective clinical experience.

Section Number Unique identifier for each guideline section corresponding with

listing in Index.

Therapeutic Therapeutic intervention for malignancy, including Agent

chemotherapy, radiation, surgery, blood/serum products,

hematopoietic cell transplant, and other therapeutic

modalities.

Risk Factors

Host factors (e.g., age, sex, race, genetic predisposition), treatment factors (e.g., cumulative dose of therapeutic agent, mode of administration, combinations of agents), medical conditions (e.g., pre-morbid or co-morbid conditions), and health behaviors (e.g., diet, smoking, alcohol use) that may increase risk of developing the complication.

Highest Risk Factors

Conditions (host factors, treatment factors, medical conditions and/or health behaviors) associated with the highest risk for developing the complication.

Periodic Evaluations

Recommended screening evaluations, including health history, physical examination, laboratory evaluation, imaging, and psychosocial assessment. Recommendation for minimum frequency of periodic evaluations is based on risk factors and magnitude of risk, as supported by the medical literature and/or the combined clinical experience of the reviewers and panel of experts.

Health Counseling/ Further Considerations

Health Links: Health education materials developed specifically to accompany these guidelines. Title(s) of Health Link(s) relevant to each guideline section are referenced in this column. Health Link documents are included in Appendix II of the original guideline document.

Counseling: Suggested patient counseling regarding measures to prevent/reduce risk or promote early detection of the potential treatment complication.

Resources: See the original guideline document for lists of books and web sites that may provide the clinician with additional relevant information.

Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention: Recommendations for further diagnostic evaluations beyond minimum screening for individuals with positive screening tests, recommendations for consultation and/or referral, and recommendations for management of exacerbating or predisposing conditions.

References

References are listed immediately following each guideline section in the original guideline document. Included are medical citations that provide evidence for the association of the therapeutic intervention with the specific treatment complication and/or evaluation of predisposing risk factors. In addition, some general review articles have been included in the Reference section of the original guideline document for clinician convenience.

Note: See the end of the "Major Recommendations" field for explanations of <u>abbreviations</u> included in the summary.

System = Dental Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
6	Any Chemotherapy	Dental Abnormalities	Host Factors	Host Factors	Physical	Health Links
					Oral exam	See "Patient
		Tooth/root agenesis Root	Any patient who had not	Younger age at treatment,	(Yearly)	Resources" field
		thinning/shortening Enamel dysplasia	developed permanent	especially <5 years	Screening	Dental Health
		, ,	dentition at time of	old	Dental exam and	Considerations for Further
			cancer		cleaning	Testing and
			therapy		(Every six	Intervention
			Treatment Factors		months)	Regular dental care including fluoride
			Any radiation			applications. Baseline
			treatment involving			panorex prior to dental
			the oral			procedures to
			cavity or			evaluate root
			salivary glands			development.

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Male reproductive

Scores = Alkylating agents: 1 Heavy metals: 2A

Non-classical alkylators: 2A

Sec #			Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Per Evalu
7a	Alkylating Agents	Gonadal dysfunction	Treatment Factors	Host Factors	History
	Busulfan	(testicular)	Higher cumulative doses of alkylators or	Male gender	Puberta (onset,
	Carmustine (BCNU) Chlorambucil Cyclophosphamide	Delayed/arrested puberty Hypogonadism Oligospermia	combinations of alkylators Combined with radiation to:	Treatment Factors MOPP ≥3 cycles	Sexual functio

Sec	Therapeutic	Potential Late	Risk Factors	Highest Risk	Per
#	Agent(s)	Effects		Factors	Evalu
	Ifosfamide Lomustine (CCNU) Mechlorethamine Melphalan Procarbazine Thiotepa Heavy Metals Carboplatin Cisplatin Cisplatin Dacarbazine (DTIC) Temozolomide	Azoospermia Infertility	Abdomen/pelvis Testes Brain, cranium (neuroendocrine axis) Health Behaviors Smoking Info Link Doses that cause gonadal dysfunction show individual variation. Germ cell function (spermatogenesis) is impaired at lower doses compared to Leydig cell (testosterone production) function. Prepubertal status does not protect from gonadal injury in males.	Busulfan ≥600 mg/m² Cyclophosphamide cumulative dose ≥7.5 g/m² or as conditioning for HCT Any alkylators combined with: • Testicular radiation • Pelvic radiation • TBI	nocturiemissic libido) Medica impactisexual functio (Yearly) Physica Tanner Testicu volume Prader orchido (Yearly sexually mature) Screen FSH LH Testost (Baselin 14 and clinically indicate patients delayed and/or csigns ar symptor testosted deficients deficients described and symptor testosted deficients of the control of the con

Sec	Therapeutic	Potential Late	Risk Factors	Highest Risk	Per
#	Agent(s)	Effects		Factors	Evalu
					Periodic evaluati time is recomm as resur spermat can occu 10 years therapy

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Female reproductive

Scores = Alkylating agents: 1

Heavy metals: 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodi
7b	Alkylating Agents	Gonadal dysfunction	Treatment Factors	Treatment Factors	History
	Busulfan Carmustine	(ovarian) Delayed/arrested	Higher cumulative doses of alkylators or combinations of alkylators	MOPP ≥3 cycles Busulfan ≥600	Pubertal tempo)
	(BCNU) Chlorambucil Cyclophosphamide	puberty Premature menopause	Combined with radiation to:	mg/m ² Cyclophosphamide cumulative dose	Menstru history
	Ifosfamide Lomustine (CCNU) Mechlorethamine Melphalan	Infertility	 Abdomen/pelvis Lumbar or sacral spine (from ovarian scatter) 	≥7.5 g/m² or as conditioning for HCT Any alkylators	Sexual for (vaginal libido)
	Procarbazine Thiotepa		Brain, cranium (neuroendocrine axis)	combined with: • Pelvic	Medicati impactin function
	Heavy Metals		,	radiation • TBI	(Yearly)
	Carboplatin Cisplatin		Health Behaviors		Physical
	Non-Classical		Smoking		Tanner s
	Alkylators Dacarbazine		Info Link		(Yearly ui mature)

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Period
	(DTIC) Temozolomide		dysfunction show individual variation. Females can typically		Screenii
			maintain gonadal function at higher cumulative		FSH
			doses than males.		LH
					Estradio
					(Baseline and as of indicated with dela irregular primary amenorr clinical s sympton deficience

System = SMN

Scores = Alkylating agents: 1

Heavy metals: 2A

Non-classical alkylators: 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	He Coun Fur Conside
8	Alkylating Agents	Acute myeloid leukemia	Treatment Factors		History	Health
	Busulfan	Myelodysplasia	Less than 10		Fatigue	See "Pa
	Carmustine (BCNU)	, Clouy spiasia	years since exposure to agent		Bleeding	field
	Chlorambucil Cyclophosphamide		Higher cumulative alkylator dose or		Easy bruising	Reducing Risk of S
	Ifosfamide Lomustine (CCNU)		combination of alkylators		(Yearly, up to 10 years after	Cancers
	Mechlorethamine Melphalan		Note: Melphalan		exposure to agent)	Counse
	Procarbazine Thiotepa		and mechlorethamine are more potent		Physical	Counsel promptly fatigue,
	Heavy Metals		leukemogens than		Dermatologic exam (pallor,	petechia bone pa
	Carboplatin Cisplatin		cyclophosphamide		petechiae, purpura)	Conside
			Medical			for Furt
	Non-Classical Alkylators		Conditions		(Yearly, up to 10 years after	Testing Interve
	Dacarbazine (DTIC)		Splenectomy (conflicting evidence)		exposure to agent)	Bone ma
	Temozolomide				Screening	clinically
					CBC/differential	
					(Yearly, up to 10 years after	
					exposure to agent)	

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Pulmonary Score = 1

Sec	Therapeutic	Potential	Risk	Highest Risk	Periodic	Health Counseling
#	Agent(s)	Late Effects	Factors	Factors	Evaluation	Further Considerations
9	Alkylating Agents	Pulmonary fibrosis	Treatment factors	Treatment Factors	History	Health Links
	Busulfan Carmustine		Higher cumulative	BCNU ≥600 mg/m ²	Cough	See "Patient Resources" field
	(BCNU) Lomustine		doses	Busulfan <u>></u> 500 mg (transplant	DOE	Pulmonary Health
	(CCNU)		with bleomycin	doses) Combined with:	DOL	Resources
			Medical	• Chest	Wheezing	Extensive information
			Conditions	radiation • TBI	(Yearly)	regarding smoking cessation is available
			Atopic history		Physical Pulmonary	for patients on the NCI's website: www.smokefree.gov.
			Health Behaviors		exam	Counseling
			Smoking		(Yearly)	Counsel regarding
					Screening	tobacco avoidance/smoking
					Chest x-ray PFTs	cessation. Due to
					(including DLCO and	pulmonary toxicity of this therapy, patients who desire
					spirometry)	to SCUBA dive should be advised to
					(Baseline at entry into	obtain medical clearance from a
					long-term followup. Repeat as	diving medicine specialist.
					clinically indicated in patients with	Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention
					abnormal results or	In patients with
					progressive pulmonary	abnormal PFTs and/or CXR, consider repeat
					dysfunction.)	evaluation prior to general anesthesia.
						Pulmonary consultation for symptomatic
						pulmonary

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
						dysfunction. Influenza and pneumococcal vaccines.

System = Ocular Score = 2B

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
10	Alkylating Agents Busulfan	Cataracts	Treatment factors Combined with corticosteroids	Treatment Factors Combined with cranial, orbital, or eye radiation TBI Longer interval since treatment	Visual difficulties (Yearly) Physical Eye exam (visual acuity, funduscopic exam for lens opacity) (Yearly)	Health Links See "Patient Resources" field Cataracts Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention Ophthalmology consultation if problem identified. Refer patients with visual deficits to school liaison in community or cancer center (psychologist, social worker, school counselor) to facilitate acquisition of educational resources.

 $\mbox{\bf Note} \colon \mbox{\bf See a list of } \underline{\mbox{\bf Abbreviations}} \mbox{ at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.}$

System = Urinary Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation
			Treatment Factors Higher cumulative doses (decreased incidence with Mesna)	Factors	History Hematuria Urinary urgency/frequency Urinary incontinence/retention Dysuria Nocturia Abnormal urinary stream (Yearly) Screening Urinalysis (Yearly)

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation

System = SMN Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Counse Furth Considera
12	Alkylating Agents	Bladder malignancy	Treatment Factors		History	Health Lin
	Cualambaambaw::-		Camabina		Hematuria	See "Patie
	Cyclophosphamide		Combined with: pelvic		Urinary	Resources
			radiation		urgency/frequency	Bladder Hea
			Health		Urinary	Counseling
			Behaviors		incontinence/retention	
			Alcohol use Smoking		Dysuria	Counsel to promptly red
			Silloking		Nocturia	hematuria
					Abnormal urinary	Considera
					stream	for Furthe Testing an
					(Yearly)	Interventi
					Screening	Urine cultui spot urine
					Urinalysis	calcium/cre
					(Yearly)	ultrasound kidneys and bladder for

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Counse Furth Considera
						patients wit microscopic hematuria (defined as RBC/HPF or least 2 occasions). Nephrology urology refe for patients culture-neg microscopic hematuria dabnormal ultrasound abnormal calcium/cre ratio. Urologeferral for patients wit culture negmacroscopic hematuria.

System = Urinary Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	C
13	Alkylating Agents	Renal toxicity	Host Factors	Host Factors	Physical	Н
		Glomerular	Younger age at treatment		Blood	S
	Ifosfamide	toxicity Tubular toxicity	Mononephric	Age <5 years at	pressure	R
		(renal tubular acidosis, Fanconi's	Treatment Factors	time of treatment	(Yearly)	K
		syndrome,	Higher cumulative dose		Screening	S
		hypophosphatemic rickets)	Combined with other nephrotoxic agents, such as:	Treatment Factors	BUN	K

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	С
			 Cisplatin Carboplatin Aminoglycosides Amphotericin Immunosuppressants Methotrexate Radiation impacting the kidney Medical Conditions Tumor infiltration of kidney(s) Pre-existing renal impairment Nephrectomy 	Ifosfamide dose ≥60 g/m² Renal radiation dose ≥15 Gy	Creatinine Na, K, Cl, CO ₂ Ca, Mg, PO ₄ (Baseline at entry into long- term followup. If abnormal, repeat as clinically indicated.) Urinalysis (Yearly)	E SI P P E W N C P h P P F F ir

 $oldsymbol{Note}:$ See a list of $\underline{Abbreviations}$ at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Auditory Score = 1

- 1							
	Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Hea Couns Furt Conside
	14	Heavy Metals	Ototoxicity	Host Factors	Host Factors	History	Health
		11000115	Sensorineural	Age <4 years at		Hearing	See "Pa
		Carboplatin	hearing loss	treatment	CNS	difficulties	Resource
		(in	Tinnitus		neoplasm	(with/without	field
		myeloablative	Vertigo	Treatment Factors	· '	background	
		doses only)			Treatment	noise)	Hearing
		Cisplatin		Combined with:	Factors		Education
		-				Tinnitus	Issues
		Info Link:		Cranial/ear	Cumulative		
		Patients who		radiation	cisplatin	Vertigo	Conside
		received		Ototoxic drugs	dose <u>≥</u> 360		for Furt
		carboplatin in		(e.g.,	mg/m ²	(Yearly)	Testing

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Hea Couns Furt Conside
	non- myeloablative doses do not appear to be at risk for clinically significant ototoxicity based on results of currently available studies.		aminoglycosid es, loop diuretics) Medical Conditions Chronic otitis Cerumen impaction Renal dysfunction	High dose cisplatin (i.e., 40 mg/m² per day x 5 days per course) Cisplatin administered after cranial/ear radiation Carboplatin conditioning for HCT Radiation involving ear ≥30 Gy	Physical Otoscopic exam (Yearly) Screening Complete pure tone audiogram or brainstem auditory evoked response [BAER, ABR] (Baseline at entry into long-term followup. If hearing loss is detected, test at least yearly, or as recommended by audiologist. For patients who also received cranial/ear radiation, test yearly after completion of therapy for 5 years [for patients <10 years old, continue yearly until age 10], then every 5 years. If clinical suspicion of hearing loss at any time, test as clinically indicated. If audiogram is inconclusive or unevaluable,	Audiologiconsultata amplifica patients progress hearing I Speech a language therapy fichildren hearing I Otolarynconsultat patients chronic infection cerumen impaction other anatomic problems exacerbate contribut hearing I Refer path with audideficits the school counselo facilitate provision education resource. Consider specific rand/or preferent classroor seating, amplificate consultation referent classroor seating, amplifications.

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Hea Couns Furt Conside
					refer to audiologist for consideration of electrophysiologic testing e.g., OAEs.)	system, other educatio assistandindicated
					Info Link:	
					Complete pure tone audiogram should include testing of both ears: 1. Air conduction from 250 to 8000 Hz 2. Bone conduction if air conduction thresholds exceed bone by 15 dB at any frequency 3. Speech discrimina tion evaluation OAEs measure outer hair cell function only. Because carboplatin selectively damages inner hair cells, patients treated with carboplatin should not be evaluated with	

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Hea Couns Furt Conside
					OAEs.	

System = PNS Scores = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Consideration
15	Heavy Metals Carboplatin Cisplatin	Peripheral sensory neuropathy Info Link: Neuropathy presents as persistent effect after therapy and is typically not late in onset	Treatment Factors Combined with: Vincristine Taxanes Gemcitabine	Treatment Factors Cumulative cisplatin dose ≥300 mg/m²	Peripheral neuropathy (Yearly until 2 to 3 years after therapy. Monitor yearly if symptoms persist.) Physical Neurologic exam (Yearly until 2 to 3 years after therapy. Monitor yearly if symptoms persist.)	Health Links See "Patient Resources" field Peripheral Neuropathy Consideration for Further Testing and Intervention Physical therap referral for patients with symptomatic neuropathy. Physical and occupational therapy assessment of hand function. Consider treatment with agent effective for neuropathic pain (e.g., gabapentin or amitriptyline).

System = Urinary Score = 1

					1	
Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	He Coun Fur Consid
16	Heavy Metals	Renal toxicity	Host Factors	Treatment Factors	Physical	Health
	Carboplatin	Glomerular injury Tubular injury Renal insufficiency	Treatment Factors Combined with other nephrotoxic agents such as: Ifosfamide Aminoglycosides Amphotericin Immunosuppressants Methotrexate Radiation impacting the kidney Medical Conditions Diabetes mellitus Hypertension Nephrectomy	Cisplatin dose ≥200 mg/m² Renal radiation dose ≥15 Gy	Blood pressure (Yearly) Screening BUN Creatinine Na, K, Cl, CO ₂ Ca, Mg, PO ₄ (Baseline at entry into long-term followup. If abnormal, repeat as clinically indicated.) Urinalysis (Yearly)	Kidney See also Kidney See als

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Cardiovascular Score = 2B

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation
17	Heavy Metals Carboplatin Cisplatin	Dyslipidemia	Host Factors Family history of dyslipidemia Medical Conditions Overweight/Obesity		Fasting lipid profile (Baseline at entry into long-term followup, then as per United States Preventive Task Force Recommendations: www.ahrq.gov/clinic/prevenix.htm

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = CNS Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Ev
18	Antimetabolites Cytarabine (high dose IV) Info Link: High- dose IV is defined as any single dose ≥1000 mg/m².	Neurocognitive deficits Functional deficits in: • Executive function (planning and organization) • Sustained attention • Memory (particularly visual, sequencing, temporal memory) • Processing speed • Visual-motor integration Learning deficits in math and reading (particularly reading comprehension) Diminished IQ Behavioral change Info Link: Neurocognitive deficits in survivors of leukemia and lymphoma are more frequently related to information processing (e.g., learning disability). Neurocognitive deficits in brain tumor survivors treated with higher doses of cranial radiation are more global (significant	Host Factors Younger age at treatment CNS leukemia/lymphoma Relapsed leukemia/lymphoma treated with CNS-directed therapy Treatment Factors In combination with: Dexamethasone TBI Cranial radiation Methotrexate (IT, IO, high-dose IV) Longer elapsed time since therapy Info Link Acute toxicity predominates if administered systemically as a single agent. May contribute to late neurotoxicity if combined with high dose or intrathecal methotrexate and/or cranial radiation.	Host Factors Age <3 years old at time of treatment Female sex Premorbid or family history of learning or attention problems Treatment Factors Radiation dose ≥24 Gy Single fraction TBI (10 Gy)	History Educational vocational progress (Yearly) Screening Referral for neuropsychevaluation (Baseline at into long-terfollow-up, the periodically indically indically indically indically indically indicational processing in the vocational proc

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Eva
		decline in IQ). Extent of deficit depends on age at treatment, intensity of treatment, and time since treatment. New deficits may emerge over time.			

System = CNS Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodio Evaluatio
19	Antimetabolites	Clinical leukoencephalopathy	Host Factors	Treatment Factors	History
	Cytarabine (high dose IV) Info Link: High-dose IV is defined as any single dose $\geq 1000 \text{ mg/m}^2$.	Spasticity Ataxia Dysarthria Dysphagia Hemiparesis Seizures Info Link: Clinical	Younger age at treatment CNS leukemia/lymphoma Relapsed leukemia/lymphoma treated with CNS-directed therapy Treatment Factors	Radiation dose >24	Cognitive, motor, and/or sensory deficits Seizures Other
		leukoencephalopathy may present with or without imaging abnormalities (e.g., leukoencephalopathy, cerebral lacunes, cerebral atrophy,	Methotrexate (IT, IO, high-dose IV) Dexamethasone Cranial radiation		neurologic symptoms (Yearly) Physical

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodio Evaluatio
		dystrophic calcifications,			Spasticity
		mineralizing microangiopathy).			Ataxia
		Transient white matter anomalies may follow			Dysarthria
		radiotherapy and high- dose chemotherapy for			Hemipare
		medulloblastoma/PNET, may mimic tumor recurrence, and signify risk of persistent neurologic sequelae. Neuroimaging changes do not always correlate with degree of cognitive dysfunction.			(Yearly)
		Prospective studies are needed to define the dose/effect relationship of neurotoxic agents. Note: new deficits may emerge over time.			

System = N/A Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
20	Antimetabolites	No known late effects				
	Cytarabine					
	(low dose IV)	Info Link:				
	Cytarabine IO	Acute				
	Cytarabine IT	toxicities				
	Cytarabine SQ	predominate,				
		from which				
	Info Link: Low-	the majority				
	dose IV is	of patients				
	defined as any	recover				
	single dose	without				

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
	<1000 mg/m ² .	sequelae.				

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = GI/Hepatic Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Couns Further Consid
21	Antimetabolites	Hepatic dysfunction	Medical Conditions	Medical Conditions	Physical	Health Links
	Mercaptopurine (6MP)	VOD	Viral	Chronic	Scleral icterus	See "Patient Res field
	Thioguanine	Info Link:	hepatitis Previous	viral hepatitis	Jaundice	Liver Health
	(6TG)	Acute	VOD		Ascites	Considerations
	Info Link: Acute hepatotoxicity	toxicities predominate from which	Siderosis		Hepatomegaly	Considerations for Further Testing a Intervention
	reported with thioguanine used	the majority of patients			Splenomegaly	Prothrombin time f
	in CCG 1952 (regimens B1	recover			(Yearly)	evaluation of hepa synthetic function
	and B2) for ALL maintenance	sequelae. Delayed			Screening	with abnormal live screening tests. So
	therapy requires longer follow-up	hepatic dysfunction			ALT	viral hepatitis in pa with persistently a
	to determine	may occur			AST	liver function or an
	sequelae. See	history of			Bilirubin	transfused prior to Gastroenterology/h
	long-term sequelae. See COG Website (CCG 1952 protocol page) for updated advisories. history of acute VOD, presenting as portal hypertension with liver biopsy indicating nodular regenerative hyperplasia, fibrosis, or siderosis.			(Baseline at entry into long- term followup. Repeat as clinically indicated.)	consultation in pat persistent liver dys Hepatitis A and B immunization in pa lacking immunity.	

System = Musculoskeletal Score = 2B

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Cou Fr Consi
22	Antimetabolites	Osteopenia	Host Factors	Host Factors	Screening	Healt
	Methotrexate (high dose IV) Methotrexate (low dose IV) Methotrexate IM Methotrexate PO Info Link: High- dose IV is defined as any single dose ≥1000 mg/m².	Osteoporosis Osteopenia is defined as BMD ≥1 and <2.5 SD below mean Osteoporosis is defined as BMD ≥2.5 SD below mean Info Link: The World Health Organization definition of osteoporosis in adults is based on comparison of a measured BMD of young adults at peak bone age and defined as a T-score. A T-score is the number of standard deviations the BMD measurement is above or below the mean. A T-score of ≥2.5 standard	Both genders are at risk Treatment Factors Corticosteroids Cranial radiation HCT/TBI Medical Conditions Growth hormone deficiency Hypogonadism/delayed puberty Hyperthyroidism Health Behaviors Inadequate intake of calcium and vitamin D Lack of weight bearing exercise Smoking Alcohol use	Older age at time of treatment Treatment Factors Methotrexate cumulative dose > 40 gm/m² Prolonged corticosteroid therapy (e.g., for chronic GVHD)	Bone density evaluation (DEXA or quantitative CT) (Baseline at entry into long-term followup. Repeat as clinically indicated.) Info Link: The optimal method of measuring bone health in children is controversial. Existing technologies have limitations. DEXA provides an estimate of total bone mass at a given site. Quantitative CT provides distinct measures of trabecular and cortical bone	Resourield Bone In Resourield Bone In Resourield Resourield Resourield Nation Osteop Found Websit Www.r Consifor Furestir Interval Nutritian Supplementation of the Supplementat

Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Co
					Cons
	deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the measurement is above or below the AGE- MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are no defined standards for referral or			dimension and density.	exact prediction cond horm replat therat hypo grow defict correct correct could bone Endo consi patie osted histo multi fracti phan interaction calcit select estro recep modu
		deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the measurement is above or below the AGE- MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are no defined standards for	deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the measurement is above or below the AGE- MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are no defined standards for	deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the measurement is above or below the AGE- MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are no defined standards for	Agent(s) Late Effects deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the measurement is above or below the AGE-MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are no defined standards for

System = Urinary Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	C
23	Antimetabolites Methotrexate (high dose IV) Methotrexate (low dose IV) Methotrexate IM Methotrexate PO Info Link: Highdose IV is defined as any single dose ≥1000 mg/m².	Renal toxicity Info Link: Acute toxicities predominate, from which the majority of patients recover without sequelae	Host Factors Mononephric Treatment Factors Combined with other nephrotoxic agents such as:	Treatment Factors Treatment before 1970	Physical Blood pressure (Yearly) Screening BUN Creatinine Na, K, Cl, CO ₂ Ca, Mg, PO ₄ (Baseline at entry into long- term followup. If abnormal, repeat as clinically indicated.) Urinalysis	Ki Se Ki Co pa hy pr re in
					(Yearly)	

 $oldsymbol{Note}:$ See a list of $\underline{Abbreviations}$ at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = GI/Hepatic Score = 2A

Factors	Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Couns Further Consid
---------	----------	-------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Couns Further Consid
24	Antimetabolites	Hepatic dysfunction	Treatment Factors	Treatment Factors	Physical	Health Links
	Methotrexate (high dose IV)	Info Link:	Abdominal	Treatment	Scleral icterus	See "Patient Res
	Methotrexate (low dose IV)	Acute toxicities	radiation	before 1970	Jaundice	Liver Health
	Methotrexate IM Methotrexate PO	predominate, from which	Medical Conditions	Medical	Ascites	Considerations for
	Info Link: High-	the majority of patients	Viral	Conditions	Hepatomegaly	Further Testing a
	dose IV is	recover without	hepatitis	Chronic viral	Splenomegaly	Prothrombin time
	defined as any single dose \geq 1000 mg/m ² .	sequelae		hepatitis	(Yearly)	evaluation of hepa synthetic function
	<u>></u> 1000 mg/m .				Screening	with abnormal live
					ALT	screening tests. So viral hepatitis in pa with persistently a
					AST	liver function or ar
					Bilirubin	transfused prior to Gastroenterology/
					(Baseline at	consultation in pat persistent liver dys
					entry into long- term follow-up.	Hepatitis A and B immunization in pa
					Repeat as clinically	lacking immunity.
					indicated.)	

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = CNS Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Eva
25	Antimetabolites	Neurocognitive deficits	Host Factors	Host Factors	History
	Methotrexate (high dose IV) Methotrexate IO	Functional deficits in:	Younger age at treatment CNS leukemia/lymphoma Relapsed leukemia/lymphoma	Age <3 years old at time of	Educational vocational progress
	Methotrexate IT	Executive	treated with CNS-directed	treatment	(Yearly)

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Eva
	Info Link: High-dose IV is defined as any single dose ≥1000 mg/m².	function (planning and organization) Sustained attention Memory (particularly visual, sequencing, temporal memory) Processing speed Visual-motor integration Learning deficits in math and reading (particularly reading comprehension) Diminished IQ Behavioral change Info Link: Neurocognitive deficits in survivors of leukemia and lymphoma are more frequently related to information processing (e.g., learning disability). Neurocognitive deficits in brain tumor survivors treated with higher doses of cranial radiation are more global (significant decline in IQ). Extent of deficit depends on age at treatment, intensity of treatment, and time since treatment. New	therapy Treatment Factors In combination with:	Female sex Premorbid or family history of learning or attention problems Treatment Factors Radiation dose >24 Gy Single fraction TBI (10 Gy)	Referral for neuropsyche evaluation (Baseline at einto long-terrifollow-up, the periodically aclinically indicipatients with evidence of irreducational ovocational professional professio

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Eva
		deficits may emerge over time.			

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = CNS Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors Treatment Factors	Periodic Evaluatio History
26	Antimetabolites	Clinical leukoencephalopathy	Host Factors		
	Methotrexate (high dose IV) Methotrexate IO Methotrexate IT Info Link: High-dose IV is defined as any single dose ≥1000 mg/m².	Spasticity Ataxia Dysarthria Dysphagia Hemiparesis Seizures Info Link: Clinical leukoencephalopathy may present with or without imaging abnormalities (e.g., leukoencephalopathy, cerebral lacunes, cerebral atrophy, dystrophic calcifications, mineralizing microangiopathy). Transient white matter anomalies may follow radiotherapy and high-	Younger age at treatment CNS leukemia/lymphoma Relapsed leukemia/lymphoma treated with CNS-directed therapy Treatment Factors In combination with: Cytarabine (high-dose IV) Dexamethasone Cranial radiation	Radiation dose >24 Gy	Cognitive motor, and/or sensory deficits Seizures Other neurologi symptoms (Yearly) Physical Spasticity Ataxia Dysarthria

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodio Evaluatio
		dose chemotherapy for medulloblastoma/PNET, may mimic tumor recurrence, and signify risk of persistent neurologic sequelae. Neuroimaging changes do not always correlate with degree of cognitive dysfunction. Prospective studies are needed to define the dose/effect relationship of neurotoxic agents. Note: new deficits may emerge over time.			(Yearly)

System = SMN Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
27	Anthracycline Antibiotics	Acute myeloid	Treatment Factors		History	Health Links
		leukemia			Fatigue	See "Patient
	Daunorubicin		Less than 5			Resources"
	Doxorubicin		years since		Bleeding	field
	Epirubicin		exposure			
	Idarubicin Mitoxantrone*		to agent		Easy bruising	Reducing the Risk of Second
	*Although				(Yearly up to 10 years after	Cancers
	Mitoxantrone technically				exposure to agent)	Counseling
	belongs to the					Counsel to
	anthracenedione				Physical	promptly report
	class of anti-					fatigue, pallor,
	tumor				Dermatologic	petechiae, or
	antibiotics, it is				exam (pallor,	bone pain
	related to the				petechiae,	·
	anthracycline				purpura)	Considerations

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
	family.				(Yearly up to 10 years after exposure to agent) Screening CBC/differential (Yearly up to 10 years after exposure to agent)	for Further Testing and Intervention Bone marrow exam as clinically indicated

 $oldsymbol{Note}:$ See a list of $\underline{Abbreviations}$ at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Cardiovascular Score = 1

		T.		
Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors
28	Anthracycline Antibiotics	Cardiac toxicity	Treatment Factors	Host Factors
	Daunorubicin	Cardiomyopathy	Combined with radiation involving the heart	Female sex Black/of African
	Doxorubicin Epirubicin	Arrhythmias	Combined with other cardiotoxic chemotherapy:	descent Younger than age
	Idarubicin	Subclinical left	caralotoxic circinotherapy.	5 years at time of
	Mitoxantrone*	ventricular dysfunction	Cyclophosphamide conditioning for HCT	treatment
	*Although Mitoxantrone technically belongs to the anthracenedione	(systolic dysfunction as assessed by	conditioning for HCT • Amsacrine	Treatment Factors
	class of anti-tumor antibiotics, it is related	ECHO or MUGA)	Medical Conditions	Higher cumulative anthracycline
	to the anthracycline	Info Link: Dose	Obesity	doses:
	family.	levels correlating with cardiotoxicity	Congenital heart disease	Patients 18
	Info Link: Use the following formulas to	are derived from adult studies.	Febrile illness Pregnancy	years or older at
	convert to	Childhood cancer	Health Behaviors	time of

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors
	doxorubicin/daunorubicin isotoxic equivalents prior to calculating total cumulative anthracycline dose. • Epirubicin: Multiply total dose x 0.67 • Idarubicin: Multiply total dose x 5 • Mitoxantrone: Multiply total dose x 3.5 Note: There is a paucity of literature to support isotoxic dose conversion; however, the above conversion factors may be used for convenience in order to gauge screening frequency. Clinical judgment should ultimately be used to determine indicated screening for individual patients.	patients exhibit clinical and subclinical toxicity at lower levels. Certain conditions (such as isometric exercise, pregnancy, and viral infections) have been anecdotally reported to precipitate cardiac decompensation. Prospective studies are needed to define risk factors. Note: Pediatric studies of anthracycline cardiotoxicity typically describe risks based on combined cumulative doses of daunomycin and doxorubicin, assuming an equivalent relative cardiotoxicity per mg dose. Idarubicin and mitoxantrone are more cardiotoxic than doxorubicin or daunorubicin on a mg per mg dose basis. In limited studies, epirubicin has similar dose equivalency to daunomycin and doxorubicin.	Isometric exercise Smoking Drug use (e.g., cocaine, diet pills, ephedra, mahuang)	treatment: ≥550 mg/m² • Patients younger than 18 years at time of treatment: ≥300 mg/m² • Any dose in infant Chest radiation ≥30 Gy Longer time elapsed since treatment

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors

Recommended Frequency of ECHO or MUGA Scan

Age at Treatment*	Chest Radiation	Anthracycline Dose**	Recommended Frequency
<1 year old	Yes	Any	Every year
	No	<200 mg/m ²	Every 2 years
		<u>></u> 200 mg/m ²	Every year
1-4 years old	Yes	Any	Every year
	No	<100 mg/m ²	Every 5 years
		≥100 to <300 mg/m ²	Every 2 years
		<u>></u> 300 mg/m ²	Every year
≥5 years old	Yes	<300 mg/m ²	Every 2 years
		<u>></u> 300 mg/m ²	Every year
	No	<200 mg/m ²	Every 5 years
		≥200 to <300 mg/m²	Every 2 years
		≥300 mg/m²	Every year
Any age with decre	tion	Every year	

^{*}Age at time of first cardiotoxic therapy (anthracycline or chest irradiation, whichever was given first) **Based on equivalent mg of doxorubicin/daunorubicin

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Pulmonary

Scores = Interstitial pneumonitis: 1

Pulmonary fibrosis: 1

ARDS: 2B

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Cor Furth Consider
29	Anti-Tumor Antibiotics	Pulmonary Toxicity	Host Factors	Treatment Factors	History	Health Lin
		,	Younger age at		Cough	See "Patie
	Bleomycin	Interstitial pneumonitis	treatment	Bleomycin dose >400 U/m ²	SOB	Resources
		Pulmonary	Treatment Factors	(injury		Pulmonary I
		fibrosis		observed in	DOE	Bleomycin A
		Acute	Higher cumulative	doses 60 to 100		
		respiratory distress	dose	U/m ² in children)	Wheezing	Resources
		syndrome	Combined with:		(Yearly)	Extensive
		(very rare)		Combined with:		information
			 Busulfan 		Physical	regarding sı
			 Carmustine 	 Chest 	_	cessation is
			(BCNU)	radiation	Pulmonary	for patients
			 Lomustine 	• TBI	exam	NCI's websi
			(CCNU)			www.smoke
					(Yearly)	
						Counseling
			Medical Conditions		Screening	COURA II I
					Gl +	SCUBA divir
			Renal dysfunction		Chest x-ray	be avoided
			High dose oxygen		DET-	(potential
			support such as		PFTs	exacerbatio
			during general		(including	pulmonary 1
			anesthesia		DLCO and	as a result of
					spirometry)	increased or
			Health Behaviors		(Baseline at	concentration associated v
					`	underwater
			Smoking		entry into long-term	pressures).
					follow-up.	healthcare p
						of history of
					Repeat as clinically	bleomycin t
					indicated in	and risk of
					patients with	worsening f
					abnormal	with high ox
					results or	exposure su
					progressive	during gene
	1	1	1	1	biodiessive	auring gene

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Cou Furth Consider
					pulmonary dysfunction.)	anesthesia. Administrati high concen of oxygen management of oxygen oxidance oxygen ox

System = N/A Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
30	Anti-Tumor Antibiotics	No known late effects				

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
	Dactinomycin	Info Link: Dactinomycin has been associated with acute VOD, from which the majority of patients recover without sequelae.				

System = Musculoskeletal Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Counsel Furthe Considera
31	Corticosteroids	Osteopenia	Host Factors	Host Factors	Screening	Health Lin
	Dexamethasone Prednisone	Osteopenia is defined as	Both genders are at risk Treatment	Older age at time of treatment	Bone density evaluation (DEXA or	See "Patie Resources field
		BMD <u>></u> 1 and <2.5 SD	Factors	Treatment Factors	quantitative CT)	Bone Healt
		below mean Osteoporosis is defined as BMD <u>></u> 2.5 SD	Methotrexate Cranial radiation HCT/TBI	Glucocorticoid cumulative dose >9 gm/m²	(Baseline at entry into long-term	Resources National Osteoporos
		Info Link: The World Health	Medical Conditions Growth hormone	prednisone equivalent Dexamethasone effect is more potent than	followup. Repeat as clinically indicated.)	Foundation Website: www.nof.or
		Organization definition of osteoporosis in adults is based on	deficiency Hypogonadism/ delayed puberty Hyperthyroidism	prednisone	Info Link: The optimal method of measuring bone health	for Further Testing and Intervent

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healtl Counsel Furthe Considera
		comparison of a BMD of young adults at peak bone age and defined as a T-score. A T-score is the number of standard deviations the BMD measurement is above or below the mean. A T-score of ≥2.5 standard deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the	Health Behaviors Inadequate intake of calcium and vitamin D Lack of weight bearing exercise Smoking Alcohol use		in children is controversial. Existing technologies have limitations. DEXA provides an estimate of total bone mass at a given site. Quantitative CT provides distinct measures of trabecular and cortical bone dimension and density.	supplement cases of osteopenia unresponsive behavioral adietary management Calcium 100 1500 mg dared plus RDA for vitamin D. It caution regarding calcium supplement in patients history of relithiasis. Treatment of exacerbatin predisposin conditions (hormonal replacement therapy for hypogonadi growth horrodeficiency, correction of chronic metabolic acidosis that could accele bone loss). Endocrine consultation patients with osteoporosi history of multiple fractures for pharmacological pharmacological intervention (e.g., bisphospho calcitonin, selective

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counsel Furthe Considera
		measurement is above or below the AGE-MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are no defined standards for referral or treatment of low BMD in children.				estrogen receptor modulators

System = Musculoskeletal Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Heal Counse Furth
				Factors		Consider
32	Corticosteroids	Osteonecrosis (Avascular	Host Factors	Host Factors	History	Health Li
	Dexamethasone Prednisone	Necrosis) Info Link:	Both genders are at risk Host	Age <u>></u> 10 years at	Joint pain Swelling	See "Pat Resource field
		Osteonecrosis typically occurs during the	polymorphisms may confer increased risk	time of treatment	Immobility	Osteoneci
		acute treatment phase, may	Treatment Factors	Treatment Factors	Limited range of motion	Consider for Furth Testing a
		progress over time or	Combined with	Orthovoltage radiation	(Yearly)	Interven
		resolve. Multifocal	high-dose radiation to any	(commonly used before	Physical	MRI as cli indicated
		osteonecrosis is significantly more common	bone Dexamethasone effect is more	1970) due to delivery of greater	Musculoskeletal exam	patients v history suggestiv
		(3:1) than unifocal.	potent than prednisone	dose to skin and bones	(Yearly)	osteonecr (should b soon after

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Hea Couns Furtl Conside
			Medical Conditions Sickle cell disease			symptom onset). Orthoped consultat patients of positive in and/or symptom osteonect Physical the evaluation non-pharmacor pain managem range of motion, strengther stretching functional mobility).

System = Ocular Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
33	Corticosteroids Dexamethasone Prednisone	Cataracts	Treatment Factors Combined with: TBI Busulfan	Treatment Factors TBI Cranial, orbital, or eye radiation Longer interval since treatment	Visual difficulties (Yearly) Physical Eye exam (visual acuity, funduscopic	Health Links See "Patient Resources" field Cataracts Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
					exam for lens opacity) (Yearly)	Ophthalmology consultation if problem identified. Refer patients with visual deficits to school liaison in community or cancer center (psychologist, social worker, school counselor) to facilitate acquisition of educational resources.

System = N/A Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
34	Enzymes	No known late effects				
	Asparaginase	Info Link: Acute toxicities predominate, from which the majority of patients recover without				

 $\textbf{Note} \colon \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{list} \ \mathsf{of} \ \underline{\mathsf{Abbreviations}} \ \mathsf{at} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{end} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{"Major} \ \mathsf{Recommendations"}$ field.

System = PNS Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
35	Plant Alkaloids Vinblastine Vincristine	Peripheral sensory or motor neuropathy Areflexia Weakness Foot drop Paresthesias Info Link: Acute toxicities most commonly occur and usually resolve prior to patients entering long-term follow-up. Neuropathy can persist after treatment and is typically not late in onset.	Treatment Factors Combined with platinum chemotherapy, gemcitabine, or taxanes Medical Conditions Anorexia Severe weight loss	Medical Conditions Charcot- Marie- Tooth disease	History Peripheral neuropathy (Yearly, until 2 to 3 years after therapy. Monitor yearly if symptoms persist.) Physical Neurologic exam (Yearly, until 2 to 3 years after therapy; continue to monitor yearly if symptoms persist)	Health Links See "Patient Resources" field Peripheral Neuropathy Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention Physical therapy referral for patients with symptomatic neuropathy. Physical therapy and occupational therapy assessment of hand function. Consider treatment with an anticonvulsant effective for neuropathic pain (e.g., gabapentin and amitriptyline).

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Cardiovascular Score = 2A

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
36	Plant Alkaloids Vinblastine Vincristine	Vasospastic attacks (Raynaud's phenomenon)	Health Behaviors Smoking Illicit drug use		History Vasospasms of hands, feet, nose, lips, cheeks, or earlobes related to stress or cold temperatures (Yearly) Physical exam of affected area (As Indicated)	Health Links See "Patient Resources" field Raynaud's Phenomenon Counseling Counsel to wear appropriate protective clothing in cold environments and not to use tobacco or illicit drugs Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention Consider vasodilating medications (calcium-channel blockers, alpha blockers) for patients with frequent, severe vasospastic attacks unresponsive to behavioral management.

System = SNM Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Counse Furth Considera
37	Epipodophyllotoxins	Acute myeloid	Medical Conditions	Treatment Factors	History	Health Lir
	Etoposide (VP16)	leukemia	Conditions	lactors	Fatigue	See "Patio
	Teniposide (VM26)		Splenectomy	Weekly or		Resources
			(conflicting	twice weekly	Bleeding	field
	Info Link:		evidence)	administration		
	Administration			Less than 5	Easy bruising	Reducing t
	schedules since			years since		Risk of Sec
	approximately 1990			exposure to	(Yearly, up to 10	Cancers
	have been modified to reduce the risk of this			agent	years after	Councelin
	complication.				exposure to agent)	Counselin
	Complication.				agenty	Counsel to
					Physical	promptly r
					, 5.54	fatigue, pa
					Dermatologic	petechiae,
					exam (pallor,	bone pain
					petechiae,	
					purpura)	Considera
					0, 1 10	for Furthe
					(Yearly, up to 10	Testing a
					years after exposure to	Intervent
					agent)	Bone marr
					agent)	exam as
					Screening	clinically
						indicated
					CBC/differential	
					(Yearly, up to 10	
					years after	
					exposure to	
					agent)	

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Abbreviations

- ABR, auditory brainstem response
- ALT, alanine aminotransferaseAST, aspartate aminotransferase
- BAER, brainstem auditory evoked responses
- BMD, bone mineral density
- BUN, blood urea nitrogen
- Ca, calcium
- CBC, complete blood count

- CCG, Children's Cancer Group
- Cl, chloride
- CNS, central nervous system
- CO₂, carbon dioxide
- COG, Children's Oncology Group
- CT, computed tomography
- CXR, chest x-ray
- DEXA, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry
- DLCO, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide
- DOE, dyspnea on exertion
- ECHO, echocardiogram
- · EKG, electrocardiogram
- FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone
- GI, gastrointestinal
- GVHD, graft versus host disease
- Gy, gray
- HCT, hematopoietic cell transplant
- HPF, high power field
- HZ, hertz
- IM, intramuscular
- IO, intraosseous
- IQ, intelligence quotient
- IT, intrathecal
- IV, intravenous
- K, potassium
- LH, luteinizing hormone
- Mg, magnesium
- MOPP, mechlorethamine/Oncovin [vincristine]/procarbazine/prednisone
- MR, magnetic resonance
- MRI, magnetic resonance imaging
- MUGA, multiple gated acquisition scan
- N/A, not applicable
- Na, sodium
- NCI, National Cancer Institute
- OAEs, otoacoustic emissions
- PFTs, pulmonary function tests
- PNET, primitive neuroectodermal tumor
- PNS, peripheral neurosensory
- PO, by mouth
- PO₄, phosphate
- RBC, red blood cell
- RDA, recommended daily allowance
- SD, standard deviaion(s)
- SMN, secondary malignant neoplasm
- SOB, shortness of breath
- SQ, subcutaneous
- TBI, total body irradiation
- VOD, veno-occlusive diseases

Definitions:

Explanation of Scoring for the Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines

- 1 There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is high-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2A There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2B There is non-uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 3 There is major disagreement that the recommendation is appropriate.

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

"High-level evidence" (recommendation category 1) was defined as evidence derived from high quality case control or cohort studies.

"Lower-level evidence" (recommendation categories 2A and 2B) was defined as evidence derived from non-analytic studies, case reports, case series, and clinical experience.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

Although several well-conducted studies on large populations of childhood cancer survivors have demonstrated associations between specific exposures and late effects, the size of the survivor population and the rate of occurrence of late effects does not allow for clinical studies that would assess the impact of screening recommendations on the morbidity and mortality associated with the late effect. Therefore, scoring of each exposure reflects the expert panel's assessment of the level of literature support linking the therapeutic exposure with the late effect coupled with an assessment of the appropriateness of the recommended screening modality in identifying the potential late effect based on the panel's collective clinical experience.

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Potential benefits of implementing these guidelines into clinical practice include earlier identification of and intervention for late onset therapy-related complications in this at-risk population, potentially reducing or ameliorating the impact of late complications on the health status of survivors. In addition, ongoing healthcare that promotes healthy lifestyle choices and provides ongoing monitoring of health status is important for all cancer survivors.

POTENTIAL HARMS

Potential harms of guideline implementation include increased patient anxiety related to enhanced awareness of possible complications, as well as the potential for false-positive screening evaluations, leading to unnecessary further workup. In addition, costs of long-term follow-up care may be prohibitive for some patients, particularly those lacking health insurance, or those with insurance that does not cover the recommended screening evaluations.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

- The information and contents of each document or series of documents made available by the Children's Oncology Group relating to late effects of cancer treatment and care or containing the title "Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers" or the title "Health Link," whether available in print or electronic format (including any digital format, e-mail transmission, or download from the website), shall be known hereinafter as "Informational Content." All Informational Content is for informational purpose only. The Informational Content is not intended to substitute for medical advice, medical care, diagnosis, or treatment obtained from a physician or healthcare provider.
- To cancer patients (if children, their parents or legal guardians): Please seek the advice of a physician or other qualified healthcare provider with any questions you may have regarding a medical condition and do not rely on the Informational Content. The Children's Oncology Group is a research organization and does not provide individualized medical care or treatment.
- To physicians and other healthcare providers: The Informational Content is not intended to replace your independent clinical judgment, medical advice, or to exclude other legitimate criteria for screening, health counseling, or intervention for specific complications of childhood cancer treatment. Neither is the Informational Content intended to exclude other reasonable alternative follow-up procedures. The Informational Content is provided as a courtesy, but not intended as a sole source of guidance in the evaluation of childhood cancer survivors. The Children's Oncology Group recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient, family, and healthcare provider.
- While the Children's Oncology Group has made every attempt to assure that the Informational Content is accurate and complete as of the date of

- publication, no warranty or representation, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, reliability, completeness, relevance, or timeliness of such Informational Content.
- No liability is assumed by the Children's Oncology Group or any affiliated party or member thereof for damage resulting from the use, review, or access of the Informational Content. You agree to the following terms of indemnification: (i) "Indemnified Parties" include authors and contributors to the Informational Content, all officers, directors, representatives, employees, agents, and members of the Children's Oncology Group and affiliated organizations; (ii) by using, reviewing, or accessing the Informational Content, you agree, at your own expense, to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Indemnified Parties from any and all losses, liabilities, or damages (including attorneys' fees and costs) resulting from any and all claims, causes of action, suits, proceedings, or demands related to or arising out of use, review or access of the Informational Content.
- Ultimately, as with all clinical guidelines, decisions regarding screening and clinical management for any specific patient should be individually tailored, taking into consideration the patient's treatment history, risk factors, comorbidities, and lifestyle. These guidelines are therefore not intended to replace clinical judgment or to exclude other reasonable alternative follow-up procedures. The Children's Oncology Group recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient, family, and healthcare provider.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Implementation of these guidelines is intended to standardize and enhance followup care provided to survivors of pediatric malignancies throughout the lifespan. Considerations in this regard include the practicality and efficiency of applying these broad guidelines in individual clinical situations. Studies to address guideline implementation and refinement are a top priority of the Children's Oncology Group (COG) Late Effects Committee, and proposals to study feasibility of guideline use in limited institutions are currently underway. Issues to be addressed include description of anticipated barriers to application of the recommendations in the quidelines and development of review criteria for measuring changes in care when the guidelines are implemented. Additional concerns surround the lack of current evidence establishing the efficacy of screening for late complications in pediatric cancer survivors. While most clinicians believe that ongoing surveillance for these late complications is important in order to allow for early detection and intervention for complications that may arise, development of studies addressing the efficacy of this approach is imperative in order to determine which screening modalities are optimal for asymptomatic survivors.

In addition, the clinical utility of this lengthy document has also been a top concern of the COG Late Effects Committee. While recognizing that the length and depth of these guidelines is important in order to provide clinically-relevant, evidence-based recommendations and supporting health education materials, clinician time limitations and the effort required to identify the specific recommendations relevant to individual patients have been identified as barriers to their clinical application. Therefore, the COG Late Effects Committee is currently

partnering with the Baylor School of Medicine in order to develop a web-based interface, known as "Passport for Care," that will generate individualized exposure-based recommendations from these guidelines in a clinician-focused format for ease of patient-specific application of the guidelines in the clinical setting. As additional information regarding implementation of the Passport for Care web-based interface becomes available, updates will be posted at www.survivorshipquidelines.org.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Chart Documentation/Checklists/Forms
Patient Resources
Resources

For information about <u>availability</u>, see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Living with Illness Staying Healthy

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Sections 6-37: chemotherapy. Bethesda (MD): Children's Oncology Group; 2006 Mar. 37 p. [191 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2003 Sep (revised 2006 Mar)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Children's Oncology Group - Medical Specialty Society

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

This work was supported by the Children's Oncology Group grant U10 CA098543 from the National Cancer Institute.

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Children's Oncology Group Nursing Discipline and Late Effects Committee

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Melissa M. Hudson, MD Vice-Chair – COG Late Effects Committee Member, Department of Hematology-Oncology Director, After Completion of Therapy Clinic St. Jude Children's Research Hospital Memphis, Tennessee

Wendy Landier, RN, MSN, CPNP, CPON® Chair – COG Nursing Clinical Practice Subcommittee Clinical Director - Survivorship Clinic City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center Duarte, California

Smita Bhatia, MD, MPH Chair – COG Late Effects Committee Professor and Chair, Division of Population Sciences City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center Duarte, California

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All Children's Oncology Group (COG) members have complied with the COG conflict of interest policy, which requires disclosure of any potential financial or other conflicting interests.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 1.2. 2004 Mar.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Children's Oncology Group Web site.

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- Instructions for use. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March. 6 p.
- Introductory material. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March. 9 p.
- Summary of cancer treatment. Appendix I: Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March.
- Patient-specific guideline identification tool. Appendix I: Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March.

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Children's Oncology Group Web site</u>.

PATIENT RESOURCES

In an effort led by the Nursing Clinical Practice Subcommittee, complementary patient education materials (*Health Links*) were developed and are available in Appendix II of the original guideline document. The following Health Links are relevant to this summary:

Section 6

• Dental Health

Section 7

- Male Health Issues
- Female Health Issues

Sections 8, 27, 37

Reducing the Risk of Second Cancers

Sections 9, 29

Pulmonary Health

Sections 10, 33

<u>Cataracts</u>

Sections 11, 12

Bladder Health

Sections 13, 16, 23

- Kidney Health
- Single Kidney Health (mononephric patients only)

Section 14

Hearing Loss

Sections 14, 18, 25

Educational Issues

Sections 15, 35

Peripheral Neuropathy

Section 17

Diet and Physical Activity

Sections 21, 24

Liver Health

Sections 22, 31

Bone Health

Section 28

Heart Health

Section 29

Bleomycin Alert

Section 32

Osteonecrosis

Section 36

Raynaud's Phenomenon

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information

has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on May 8, 2007. The information was verified by the guideline developer on June 11, 2007. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on November 9, 2007, following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Antidepressant drugs.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at http://www.quideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the quideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/3/2008

