This article is published in *The Plant Cell* Online, *The Plant Cell* Preview Section, which publishes manuscripts accepted for publication after they have been edited and the authors have corrected proofs, but before the final, complete issue is published online. Early posting of articles reduces normal time to publication by several weeks.

Control of Male Gametophyte Development

Sheila McCormick¹

Plant Gene Expression Center, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, and University of California Berkeley, Albany, California 94710

INTRODUCTION

In a previous review of male gametophyte development (McCormick, 1993), it was noted that the two areas posed by Mascarenhas (1975) as fruitful areas for future research were the following. What are the differences in the two cytoplasms that determine the different cell fates of the generative and vegetative cells? And what are the functions of pollen-specific proteins? Now, 10 years later, the genome sequences of Arabidopsis and rice have been completed. There are extensive EST databases for many plants and several data sets from microarray hybridizations. There are extensive resources for disrupting the functions of genes. The pollen research community has made significant progress toward a deeper understanding of pollen development using community resources as well as novel techniques developed specifically for the analysis of pollen. This review will provide an overview of these advances and prospects for the future, focusing on male gametophyte development, strictly defined as postmeiosis development, after the formation of the haploid microspores. Meiosis will not be discussed in detail. Anther development and the role of the tapetum in pollen development are discussed by Dickinson and Scott in this issue.

The main features of pollen development are shown in Figure 1, which is based on an ultrastructural analysis of microsporogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana (Owen and Makaroff, 1995). The male gametophyte, or pollen grain, is a three-celled organism that is derived by stereotypical cell divisions. Our story starts inside the anther, when sporogenous initial cells, also called pollen mother cells, undergo meiosis to form a tetrad of cells. Figure 2 shows Arabidopsis tetrads that have been extruded from one anther. Each tetrad is enclosed in a thick callose wall. The microspores in each tetrad are freed from their meiotic brothers by the action of callase, an enzyme produced by the tapetum. The tapetum is a nutritive cell layer that lines the locule containing the developing microsporocytes. The tapetum disintegrates in the later stages of pollen development. The microspores enlarge and then each undergoes an asymmetric mitosis. The mitosis is asymmetric because the dividing nucleus is adjacent to the wall, and the spindle orientation is such that after cytokinesis, one cell is much smaller than the other. The two cells of this bicellular pollen grain have strikingly different fates. The larger cell is called the vegetative cell, and the smaller cell is called the generative cell. The larger vegetative cell does not

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.016659.

divide again but eventually will form the pollen tube. The generative cell is engulfed inside the cytoplasm of the vegetative cell. The generative cell undergoes mitosis, sometimes termed a second or pollen mitosis, to form the two sperm cells. The timing of this second pollen mitosis varies in different plant families, sometimes occurring within the anther (as in grasses and crucifers), although more commonly it occurs during pollen tube growth. In most plants, mature pollen grains are released from the anthers in a partially dehydrated state. Once on the stigma, the pollen grains hydrate and the vegetative cell extends a tube that grows by tip growth. As the tube extends, the vegetative cell nucleus and the two closely associated sperm cells move into the tube. Eventually, the entire vegetative cell exits the pollen grain and travels at the tip of the rapidly growing pollen tube. Pollen development is complete when the sperm cells are released into the embryo sac.

MUTANT ANALYSES

A common way to dissect a developmental pathway is to isolate mutants that disrupt the pathway. This approach has been used extensively to dissect male meiosis and subsequent stages of pollen development. Comprehensive reviews of meiotic stages and cytology in Arabidopsis (Ross et al., 1996; Armstrong and Jones, 2003) as well as a time course for meiotic stages (Armstrong et al., 2003) now provide the necessary foundation for the interpretation of phenotypes in mutants that disrupt particular phases of meiotic development. Caryl et al. (2003) comprehensively reviewed the mutants known to affect meiosis in Arabidopsis. Some mutants that disrupt pollen development affect the function of the tapetum. Because the tapetum is sporophytic, such male-sterile mutants generally are recessive and all of the pollen grains within an anther are affected. Mutants are termed gametophytic if they disrupt genes that act after meiosis, in the haploid phase of pollen development, and thus only the pollen grains carrying the mutant allele are affected.

Male gametophytic mutants often exhibit segregation distortion (i.e., reduced transmission through the male) if the gene that is mutated is important for pollen development or function. Indeed, this feature was used successfully as a first-pass method in screens designed to identify gametophytic mutants (Feldmann et al., 1997; Bonhomme et al., 1998; Howden et al., 1998; Grini et al., 1999; Oh et al., 2003). The developmental stage affected in each mutant was determined later. In some cases, the phenotype was demonstrated to be attributable to a single gene disruption. For example, several of the male transmission– defective mutants described by Bonhomme et al. (1998) had

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail sheilamc@ nature.berkeley.edu; fax 510-559-5678.



Figure 1. Scheme of Microsporogenesis.



Figure 2. Tetrads of Arabidopsis.

Male meiosis is essentially synchronous within an anther.

similar phenotypes, and upon further mapping and cloning they were found to be alleles. The disrupted gene was renamed *kinky pollen* because pollen grains harboring a mutant allele exhibited aberrant tube growth (Procissi et al., 2003). However, frequently, mutations identified from T-DNA insertion screens were unlinked to the T-DNA insertion or exhibited complex molecular lesions. In such cases, it was difficult to identify the molecular basis for the transmission defect. For example, the T-DNA insertion mutant named *halfman* (Oh et al., 2003) was demonstrated to have a gametophytic defect with low penetrance. Molecular analysis showed that the T-DNA insertion in *halfman* had induced an adjacent deletion of 150 kb that encompassed 38 genes; the loss of 1 or more of these genes might have been responsible for the observed phenotype, in which \sim 30% of the pollen aborted at approximately the bicellular stage of development.

Assiduous screening for mutants based on morphology or staining characteristics of pollen from individual M1 or M2 plants also has yielded very interesting phenotypes (Chen and McCormick, 1996; Johnson and McCormick, 2001; Lalanne and Twell, 2002). As illustrated in Figure 1, pollen development undergoes stereotypical cell divisions to yield the three-celled male gametophyte. To test whether the asymmetric division that resulted in the formation of the vegetative cell and the generative cell could be disrupted mutationally, or if the number of cell divisions typical of pollen development could be disrupted mutationally, pollen of mutagenized populations was examined after 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining. Such screens yielded, for example, the mutants sidecar pollen (Chen and McCormick, 1996) and gemini pollen (Park et al., 1998). At the mature pollen stage in sidecar pollen heterozygotes, ~50% of the pollen was normal, \sim 43% was aborted, and \sim 7% showed the sidecar phenotype, namely an extra cell within the pollen exine. Analyses of earlier stages of pollen development revealed that microspores carrying the sidecar pollen mutant allele frequently underwent a premature and symmetric cell division. For unknown reasons, one of the resulting two cells then was able to undergo the normal mitotic divisions to form the generative cell and the two sperm cells. Despite the apparent equal sizes of the two cells, this finding suggests that an inherent asymmetry must persist. The molecular lesion in *sidecar pollen* is still unknown.

In contrast to sidecar pollen, in gemini pollen, arrest occurs after the first division, so that at the mature pollen stage the affected pollen grains have two cells, each with decondensed chromatin and each able to express a vegetative cell-specific marker (Park et al., 1998). Gemini pollen encodes a microtubuleassociated protein (MAP215 family), and the gemini pollen phenotype is now believed to be attributable to a defect in the correct positioning of the cytokinetic phragmoplast at pollen mitosis I (Twell et al., 2002). It was hypothesized that the aberrant partitioning of cytoplasmic factors led to the alterations in cell fate. In another mutant, duo, the first mitotic division occurs asymmetrically, yielding one larger cell with decondensed chromatin and a vegetative cell fate and a smaller generative cell with condensed chromatin. However, the second mitotic division of the generative cell, to form two sperm cells, fails. The molecular lesion in duo is not yet known. A gametophytic malesterile mutant (gaMS-2) that affects this stage of development was described in maize (Sari-Gorla et al., 1997); in microspores carrying the mutant allele, the vegetative nucleus and the generative nucleus exhibit altered identities and sometimes undergo extra divisions.

Mutants that affect these division patterns also were isolated from the T-DNA screens. For example, in *limpet pollen* (Howden et al., 1998), now named *ingressus* (http://www.le.ac.uk/biology/ research/pollen/ing.html), the generative cell divides to form two sperm cells, but the sperm cells cannot migrate inward and instead remain near the pollen tube wall. More recently, Lalanne and Twell (2002) found that the association between the sperm cells and the vegetative nucleus could be disrupted mutationally. In *gum* (*germ unit malformed*), the vegetative nucleus stays near the pollen wall, although the sperm cell pair associates and migrates inward, as in the wild type. In *mud* (*male germ unit displaced*), the sperm cells associate with the vegetative nucleus, as in the wild type, but this group then remains near the pollen wall. The phenotypes in double mutants allowed these authors to infer that GUM acts earlier than MUD.

It is usually the case that gametophytic mutants that affect pollen development or function must be maintained as heterozygotes. If the female gametophyte also is affected (Howden et al., 1998), the chance of obtaining homozygous lines is slight. However, it was possible (Chen and McCormick, 1996) to obtain a homozygous sidecar pollen line, albeit at a much lower than expected frequency, indicating that pollen grains carrying the sidecar pollen mutant allele could transmit the gene to the next generation. The gum and mud mutants (Lalanne and Twell, 2002) show only minor transmission defects through the male, and homozygous lines of these mutants were obtained readily. Consequently, visual screening facilitated the discovery of these mutants, because they would not have been detected readily in a segregation distortion screen. If homozygous lines can be generated, it will be possible to test for phenotypes in the sporophyte. The gum and mud homozygotes show no obvious vegetative phenotypes.

Identifying additional alleles is a standard approach that facilitates the positional cloning of genes. For a pollen mutant whose phenotype is visible only after microscopic examination, this task is not easy. Additional visual screens of mutagenized populations will undoubtedly yield additional phenotypes of some sort, but there is no guarantee that the desired phenotype will be seen in the next 5000 plants screened. However, screening for new alleles can be simplified for certain mutants with striking phenotypes. For example, in anthers of raring-to-go (rtg) (Johnson and McCormick, 2001), some of the pollen stains with decolorized aniline blue, a stain specific for callose, a component of the pollen tube wall. Because pollen does not normally hydrate and begin germination until it contacts the stigma, finding the precocious pollen germination phenotype in rtg was serendipitous. To obtain additional rtg alleles, mutagenized populations were screened by collecting pollen from 100 plants at a time and screening the pollen in bulk after staining with decolorized aniline blue. This directed screen yielded several probable alleles of rtg as well as other mutants (gift-wrapped pollen, polka dot pollen, and emotionally fragile pollen) whose pollen grains exhibited unusual staining patterns; the existence of these staining patterns could not have been predicted (Johnson and McCormick, 2001).

There are undoubtedly more mutants to find from such visual screens. Libraries that generate cDNA-green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusions can provide hints about the normal locations of proteins of unknown function (Cutler et al., 2000). A highthroughput screen for GFP localization in leaves that had been infected transiently with a cDNA-GFP library was remarkably successful at identifying proteins that are associated with plasmodesmata (Escobar et al., 2003). Unfortunately, these existing resources do not provide tools that can be used to study subcellular locations for pollen proteins; Cutler et al. (2000) used the 35S promoter of Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV), which is expressed poorly during later stages of male gametophyte development, whereas Escobar et al. (2003) used viral infection to deliver the constructs to leaves. Nonetheless, it should be straightforward to generate new transformed lines using a pollenexpressed promoter driving cDNA-GFP libraries. In also might prove fruitful to generate transgenic lines harboring GFP fusions to selected pollen proteins and then to mutagenize these lines and screen for mislocalization of the GFP fusion protein. Such a screen was performed for mutants that mislocalized a GFP fusion protein of the double-stranded RNA binding protein Staufen during Drosophila embryo development (Martin et al., 2003).

QUARTET AND TETRASPORE, USEFUL TOOLS FOR MUTANT ANALYSIS

Two mutants in Arabidopsis, *quartet1* and *tetraspore*, have greatly facilitated the analysis of male gametophytic mutations. *quartet1* is a sporophytic recessive mutation. In *quartet1/quartet1* plants, all of the products of a single meiosis are held together in a tetrad throughout pollen development (Preuss et al., 1994), but each pollen grain is otherwise normal and can germinate. Tetrad analysis is used to test whether pollen phenotypes result from a gametophytic mutation or from a dom-

inant sporophytic mutation. This test is important because many mutants that affect pollen phenotypes exhibit variable expressivity and penetrance: an observation that \sim 50% of the pollen has an altered phenotype is not, in and of itself, proof of gametophytic action. To perform tetrad analysis, mutant/+ plants are crossed as females to homozygous quartet1 plants. Those F1 progeny whose pollen exhibit the mutant phenotype are self-pollinated, and the F2 progeny are scored to identify the mutant/+ quartet1/quartet1 double mutants. If a mutation is expressed sporophytically but has low expressivity, it might be expected that the numbers of normal and affected pollen resulting from meiosis would vary in each tetrad. However, if a mutation is gametophytic, the ratio of normal to affected pollen resulting from meiosis should be 2:2. Figures 3A to 3D show an example of such a test: after staining with aniline blue, two of the pollen grains in the tetrad are wild type and the other two show tubules within the grain that are diagnostic of the gift-wrapped pollen1 phenotype (Johnson and McCormick, 2001).

Because pollen is haploid, it is not straightforward to determine if a mutation is dominant or recessive. To combine a mutant allele with a wild-type allele in one cell for a dominance/ recessiveness test, the mutant can be crossed, as female, with diploid pollen from a tetraploid plant. However, the resulting F1 progeny are triploid. Pollen from triploid plants typically have a high degree of pollen abortion that might interfere with scoring for the pollen phenotype of interest. Another alternative is to introgress the mutant allele into a tetraploid background and then examine the phenotype in the diploid pollen produced by the tetraploid plant. This method has been used infrequently (Kamps et al., 1996) in maize. A third option, in Arabidopsis, is to cross the pollen mutant of interest with tetraspore. Because plants that are homozygous for a tetraspore mutant allele fail to undergo cytokinesis after meiosis, large multinucleate pollen grains are formed (Hulskamp et al., 1997; Spielman et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2003). This feature provides a way to determine the dominance relationships of male gametophytic genes. In a tetraspore (tes/tes) homozygote that also is heterozygous for the gametophytic gene being tested, pollen grains carrying both a mutant allele and a wild-type allele will exist.

Figure 3E shows anthers of polka dot pollen heterozygous plants after staining with decolorized aniline blue, a stain specific for callose (Johnson and McCormick, 2001); ~50% of the pollen grains show blobs of callose. A polka dot pollen heterozygote (pdp/+) was crossed into a tes/tes line. The F1 plants had normal-sized pollen, because tes is a sporophytic recessive mutant. Pollen from each F1 plant were examined, and plants with the pdp phenotype were self-pollinated. Then, tes/tes plants were identified among the F2 progeny. If the mutant phenotype is not observed in the large pollen grains, then the gametophytic mutation is an effective recessive, because the wild-type allele can compensate. However, some of the large pollen grains in the tes/tes +/pdp plants showed blobs of callose (Figures 3F and 3G). Because the mutant phenotype for pdp is observed in the large pollen grains, we infer that the lesion in pdp is unlikely to be a loss-of-function mutation. Instead, the mutant allele might encode a nonfunctional protein that acts as a dominant negative, or it might be a gain-of-function mutation. That a lesion in a candidate gene is responsible for the observed mutant



Figure 3. Crosses with quartet1 or tetraspore: Useful Tools for the Analysis of Gametophytic Mutants.

phenotype usually is confirmed by transformation. For gametophytic mutants, crosses with *tes* are useful because for transformation it is important to know whether to introduce a wild-type copy of the gene into the mutant background or to introduce the mutant version of the gene into the wild-type background.

DETERMINING PROTEIN FUNCTION

In addition to mutant screens, there are several alternative ways to determine the roles of genes that are expressed in the male gametophyte. These include the analysis of T-DNA insertion lines (Alonso et al., 2003) in particular genes and analysis of RNA interference or antisense lines for particular transcripts (for example, Muschietti et al., 1994; Gupta et al., 2002). For example, because calcium levels and calmodulin were known to be important for pollen function, it was reasonable that Golovkin and Reddy (2003) chose to study the Arabidopsis homologs of a pollen-specific calmodulin binding protein (no pollen germination1 [NPG1]) from maize. There are three closely related members in the Arabidopsis genome; NPG1 was expressed only in pollen, whereas the two related genes were expressed in pollen as well as in other tissues. Homozygotes for the T-DNA insertion in NPG1 could not be obtained. Reciprocal crosses indicated that there was a problem with transmission through the male. Further examination revealed that although NPG1 was not required during pollen development, it was essential for pollen germination. Another example involves GTPases. An important role for Rop (Rho-like GTPases of plants) proteins in pollen tube growth was discovered by analyzing lines in which mutant versions of Rop were expressed in pollen (reviewed by Yang, 2002). A surprise came from the analysis of a maize mutant that is disrupted in Rop2, one of two very closely related Rops that are expressed in maize pollen (Arthur et al., 2003). The *rop2* mutant allele is poorly transmitted through the male in heterozygotes, implying that the mutant pollen is at a competitive disadvantage. Surprisingly, pollen tube growth in the mutant appeared normal. Further analysis might reveal a previously unsuspected role for Rops, perhaps during targeting of the pollen tube to the ovule.

Such approaches have serendipitously allowed the identification of gene products that must play a crucial, but previously unpredicted, role in pollen development. For example, Kang et al. (2003) found that they could not identify homozygous T-DNA insertions in a dynamin-like protein, ADL1C, and subsequently noticed that in heterozygotes, 50% of the pollen grains were collapsed and failed to germinate. Further examination revealed that this defect was first evident during microspore maturation and that the affected microspores appeared to have plasma membrane defects. Further work will be required to determine precisely why the absence of ADL1C leads to pollen abortion. Another recent example involves apyrases (Steinebrunner et al., 2003). Apyrases are known to hydrolyze nucleoside phosphates; in animals, they are important in several signaling pathways, but their roles in plants are not well understood. In an effort to study the roles of apyrases in plants, gene disruptions were generated. There are two apyrase genes in Arabidopsis, and disruption of either gene alone had no discernible phenotype. However, the double knockout could not be obtained, because pollen grains carrying both disrupted alleles could not germinate. Again, further work will be required to determine what processes during pollen germination are affected by the absence of apyrases.

In many multigene families, only a few isoforms are expressed in pollen, and mutant phenotypes can sometimes be obtained

⁽A) to (D) Tetrads from a *giftwrapped pollen1/+ quartet1/quartet1* plant.
(E) Pollen grains within an anther of a *polka dot pollen/+* plant.
(F) and (G) Large pollen grains from a *pdp/+ tes1/tes1* plant.

even when the expression of one isoform might in principle compensate for the lack of another (Arthur et al., 2003; Golovkin and Reddy, 2003). Sometimes, however, redundancy does thwart efforts to obtain a phenotype and thus infer a function for a protein. For example, there are several monosaccharide transporters that are expressed late during pollen maturation; a homozygous T-DNA gene disruption in one of them, AtSTP6, showed no apparent defects in pollen germination or transmission through the male (Scholz-Starke et al., 2003). Does this mean that monosaccharide transporters (or any other genes whose mutants lack a discernible phenotype) are not important? Not necessarily. Edelman and Gally (2001) suggest that gene degeneracy should be considered in addition to redundancy, that important developmental processes may be "covered" by several proteins, any of which can accomplish the task in the absence of another.

GENE REGULATION

Two groups (Becker et al., 2003; Honys and Twell, 2003) have recently used microarrays to analyze gene expression patterns in mature pollen of Arabidopsis. The results are not yet global (only ${\sim}8000$ of the predicted ${\sim}27,000$ genes of Arabidopsis were analyzed), but they can be extrapolated to the whole genome. The two studies differ quantitatively and qualitatively, probably because of differences in sample preparation and methods of data analysis. Nonetheless, it is noteworthy that the estimates for the numbers of genes expressed in pollen and for the numbers of genes that might be pollen specific are similar to those estimated from cDNA-poly(A) RNA hybridization kinetics (Mascarenhas, 1975, 1990, 1993). Microarray experiments are extremely useful in identifying targets for further analyses, especially for genes currently annotated as hypothetical or of unknown function. But such experiments are only a start and must be confirmed by other independent tests. Many transcripts have low abundance and their expression will not be assayable by global expression methods such as microarray analysis. Indeed, for several genes called "Absent" in the microarray experiments (Becker et al., 2003; Honys and Twell, 2003), their probable homologs are indeed present in tomato pollen cDNA libraries (Tang et al., 2002; Van der Hoeven et al., 2002) or in a maize sperm cell cDNA library (Engel et al., 2003). A different approach, serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE), was used by Lee and Lee (2003) to obtain gene expression data from pollen from Arabidopsis plants grown under normal or chilling conditions in an effort to understand why plants raised under cold conditions exhibit impaired male fertility. Although most transcript levels were not affected by cold treatment, the SAGE analysis provided a possible explanation for why pollen of some species is not cold tolerant: transcripts involved in cold tolerance in sporophytic cells were poorly represented in pollen mRNA. In plants for which there are limited sequence data, techniques such as cDNA-amplified fragment length polymorphism transcript profiling have proved useful for identifying genes for further study. For example, Cnudde et al. (2003) used this technique to characterize gene expression patterns in Petunia anthers at different developmental stages.

What are the roles of the mRNAs that are present in pollen? Based on results from early experiments with transcriptional and translational inhibitors, it was widely accepted that pollen would contain mRNAs that are synthesized during pollen development and stored for translation during pollen germination (for review, see Mascarenhas, 1993). However, many proteins corresponding to such mRNAs already are present in mature, ungerminated pollen, as was discovered once antibodies were generated (Muschietti et al., 1994, 1998; Kim et al., 2002). The results from the microarray experiments (Becker et al., 2003; Honys and Twell, 2003) indicate that mRNAs that encode signal transduction and cell wall biosynthesis proteins were highly represented, whereas transcription and translation proteins were underrepresented. SAGE analysis (Lee and Lee, 2003) confirmed that mRNAs that encode cell wall biogenesis were highly expressed in pollen. The potential roles for one category of genes expressed prevalently in pollen were tested collectively by Lalanne et al. (2004) by examining the pollen phenotypes in T-DNA insertion lines that disrupted the SETH1 and SETH2 genes. SETH1 and SETH2 encode proteins required for the addition of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchors to proteins. Lalanne et al. (2004) found that preventing the addition of GPI anchors to proteins resulted in defects in pollen tube growth; further studies now can be focused on determining precisely which GPI-anchored proteins are important and why. Similar experiments (Alfieri et al., 2003) were used to show that GPIanchored proteins were important for sperm-egg adhesion in mammals.

A few groups have tried to identify mRNAs that are specific to the germination phase of pollen development. In *Petunia*, flavonoids are required for germination. Guyon et al. (2000) took advantage of this requirement to precisely control the initiation of germination in flavonoid-deficient pollen. Thus, they performed a differential screen for cDNAs that were transcribed or upregulated soon after the addition of flavonoids to the germination medium. They identified ~20 such cDNAs; almost all were pollen specific, and many corresponded to lowabundance mRNAs. Despite such intricate cDNA selection schemes (Guyon et al., 2000; see also Mu et al., 1994), it has been difficult to identify genes that are transcribed only upon pollen germination or to identify mRNAs that are essentially not translated until after pollen germination (Wittink et al., 2000).

Given that most mRNAs are presynthesized before pollen maturation, it is curious that only a few studies have examined the potential for post-transcriptional control of gene expression during pollen development. Ylstra and McCormick (1999) tested the long-held assumption that pollen mRNAs were long-lived. For 10 messages, they confirmed that the mRNA half-life was very long. However, two mRNAs were relatively short-lived in pollen, and an mRNA that was very unstable in somatic cells was relatively stable in pollen. Bate et al. (1996) used transient expression assays and stable transgenic plants to demonstrate that the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the late anther tomato52 (LAT52) gene acted as a translational enhancer in pollen. No significant increase in translational efficiency was seen when constructs with the LAT52 5' UTR were introduced into sporophytic cells. In pollen, the translational enhancement was maximal during the last stages of pollen development. Although LAT52 transcripts can be detected at approximately the time of first microspore mitosis (Eady et al., 1994), there might be mechanisms in place to prevent significant accumulation of late gene products such as LAT52 until near pollen maturity. Bate et al. (1996) suggested that pollen-specific translation initiation factors, such as eiF-4a (Brander and Kuhlemeier, 1995), might play a role in this regulation. Curie and McCormick (1997) studied the 5' UTR of the LAT59 gene, which, when removed from constructs, enhanced transgene expression in transient assays. In this case, the effect was not pollen specific: including the LAT59 5' UTR in gene constructs reduced gene expression of the reporter gene in both pollen and in somatic cells.

The most detailed analyses of pollen-specific promoters were performed with the LAT52 (Eyal et al., 1995; Bate and Twell, 1998) and the LAT59 (Eyal et al., 1995) genes. Gain-of-function and loss-of-function gene constructs were tested by transient assays in pollen and in somatic cells as well as in stably transformed plants. In this way, 30-bp elements that could confer pollen specificity to a heterologous promoter (CaMV35S) were defined. Competition experiments using constructs with concatamers of these elements suggested that the promoter elements might compete for binding to a shared trans-acting factor. We still do not know what transcription factors bind to these identified promoter elements, so our understanding of the determinants for pollen-specific gene expression is incomplete. It may be informative to use microarrays to identify all of the genes with similar expression profiles in pollen and then use bioinformatics tools (Rombauts et al., 2003) to examine their promoter regions for common elements. However, the critical sequence elements identified in the tomato LAT59 promoter (Eyal et al., 1995) are not well conserved in the promoter of Nt59, its tobacco homolog (Kulikauskas and McCormick, 1997). This result suggests that sequence identities between promoter regions might not be sufficient to explain the regulation of pollen-expressed genes.

The lack of defined transcription factors for well-characterized pollen-specific promoters does not mean that pollen-specific transcription factors are not known. For example, Zachgo et al. (1997) characterized a pollen-specific MADS box transcription factor in Antirrhinum, as did Heuer et al. (2000) in maize; because expression of the maize MADS box gene continued during pollen tube growth, it was surmised that this factor might be needed during the later phases of pollen tube growth. Lohrmann et al. (2001) demonstrated the pollen-specific expression of a transcription factor that regulates nuclear genes required for mitochondrial function. Kobayashi et al. (1998) reported that seven different zinc-finger transcription factors in Petunia were expressed transiently and sequentially at different stages of pollen development. They suggested that such transcription factors might constitute a regulatory cascade and that each might have specific target genes, including the next-acting transcription factor in the cascade.

The extensively characterized LAT52 promoter (Twell et al., 1991; Eyal et al., 1995) is the workhouse for gene expression analyses in pollen (Muschietti et al., 1994; Lee et al., 1996; Cheung, 2001). However, there is a need for other promoters of differing strengths, and certain experimental designs require multiple promoters. For example, coexpression of a reporter gene probably should not use the same promoter as the gene whose function is being assayed, in case the transcription factors

required for promoter activation are limiting. Gene expression driven by the LAT52 promoter can be detected in uninuclear microspores in Arabidopsis (Eady et al., 1994), and this promoter drives strong gene expression at all developmental stages occurring after the bicellular pollen stage, even after delivery into mature pollen via particle bombardment (Twell et al., 1991; Eyal et al., 1995). If a narrower window of gene expression of a transgene is desired, the LAT52 promoter may not be the best choice. For example, Lee et al. (1996) were unable to assess whether the pollen receptor kinase PRK1 played a role during pollen germination, because antisense expression driven by the LAT52 promoter resulted in pollen abortion at the unicellular microspore stage.

Several groups have made progress in filling in the gaps for gene expression at other critical stages during pollen development. For example, Klimyuk and Jones (1997) characterized a meiosis-specific promoter, AtDMC1, and showed that it directs reporter gene expression exclusively in meiocytes. Custers et al. (1997) characterized the promoter of the tobacco NTM19 gene and showed that it will direct gene expression only in microspores. They also characterized the Bp4 promoter and showed that it was active a bit later, when bicellular pollen is being formed. The transcripts for the tomato receptor kinases LePRK1, LePRK2, and LePRK3 are detected only in mature pollen (Muschietti et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2002). Although not yet tested, it is likely that these promoters, or the promoters of their Arabidopsis homologs (Kim et al., 2002), might provide a way to express transgenes only at the latest stages of pollen development. Numerous other candidates for precisely timed promoters may become obvious as microarray analyses (Becker et al., 2003; Honys and Twell, 2003) are extended to other stages of pollen development. Despite the small size of the anthers in which meiosis occurs, pollen mother cells can be extruded from anthers at the appropriate stage. It is reasonable to anticipate that molecular analyses of isolated meiocytes will be achievable and that analyses with such material might eventually provide promoters that will be suitable for directing gene expression at precise stages of meiotic development.

Molecular analyses of the generative cell were first accomplished with lily. Lily has a large generative cell that is easily isolated free of the vegetative cell, and generative cell-specific histones were characterized (Ueda and Tanaka, 1995; Xu et al., 1999a; Ueda et al., 2000). Xu et al. (1999b) used a cDNA library prepared from lily generative cells to isolate and characterize a generative cell-specific gene, lily generative cell1 (LGC1). The LGC1 protein was localized to the surface of the generative cell and sperm cells, suggesting that it might play a role in gamete interactions. Subsequently, Singh et al. (2003) characterized the LGC1 promoter in transgenic tobacco plants. From their preliminary deletion analyses, they suggest that generative cell-specific expression driven by the LGC1 promoter is attributable to a repressor element, because when the promoter fragment was truncated, the reporter gene was expressed more widely. Xu et al. (2002) constructed a cDNA library from partially purified tobacco sperm and, after differential screening of this library and analysis of \sim 400 cDNAs, reported that two cDNAs were sperm specific: one was similar to a polygalacturonase and one was similar to a protein of unknown function (At3g23860).

More recently, Engel et al. (2003) sequenced \sim 5000 ESTs from a cDNA library prepared from sperm of maize that had been purified away from vegetative cytoplasm by fluorescenceactivated cell sorting and used reverse transcriptase-mediated PCR and in situ hybridizations to characterize the expression patterns for some of these mRNAs.

For $\sim 4\%$ of the maize sperm ESTs, the best database matches were to proteins that were annotated as hypothetical (i.e., not in other available EST databases). We reasoned that those might be good candidates for sperm-specific messages. Accordingly, the promoters of the Arabidopsis homologs were tested (M. Engel and S. McCormick, unpublished data) to determine if any would confer sperm-specific expression to a reporter gene, eGFP. In transgenic lines harboring one such construct, the two sperm cells are brightly fluorescent. Figure 4 shows a pollen grain from one line: note that one sperm has a long cytoplasmic extension that connects it to the vegetative nucleus (M. Engel and S. McCormick, unpublished data). A cytoplasmic extension between one of the two sperm cells and the vegetative nucleus was already documented from transmission electron microscopy analyses in Plumbago (Russell, 1984), and extensions of the vegetative nucleus toward one of the two sperm cells are visible in 4'.6-diamidino-2-phenylindole-stained pollen (Lalanne and Twell, 2002). It will be interesting to determine if the sperm cell that is "in communication" with the vegetative



Figure 4. Arabidopsis Pollen Grain from a Line Expressing a Sperm Promoter:eGFP Construct.

Image is a maximum projection of the central Z-sections acquired with a two-photon microscope. The GFP image is inverted to more clearly show that one of the two sperm cells has a long cytoplasmic extension that appears to partially encircle the vegetative cell nucleus. nucleus is destined for a particular fusion partner (egg or central cell), a situation that has been documented in Plumbago (Russell, 1985). Genetic evidence for fusion partner selectivity comes from studies of maize lines that carry supernumerary (so-called B) chromosomes. In maize lines carrying B chromosomes, the B centromere frequently undergoes nondisjunction at the second mitosis, so that one sperm cell of a pair acquires two B centromeres and the other acquires none (Rusche et al., 1997). Genetic markers linked to the B centromere revealed preferential transmission to the embryo. But Faure et al. (2003) were not able to discern any preference of the B chromosome-containing sperm when sperm pairs from one pollen grain were used for in vitro fertilization. However, when sperm are isolated from pollen grains, connections to the vegetative nucleus are necessarily disrupted. Sperm cytoplasm that is marked with eGFP, in conjunction with improvements for live-cell imaging (Feijo and Cox, 2001), should allow a more detailed analysis of sperm dynamics at all stages of their transit through the pollen tube for delivery to the embryo sac.

Given that generative cells and sperm cells are thought to be relatively quiescent transcriptionally, it is unclear why sperm have diverse mRNAs (Engel et al., 2003). Perhaps some of these mRNAs are not translated until they are delivered to the central cell or the egg cell. In Arabidopsis, numerous genes have been tested for expression from the paternal genome, and most (Vielle-Calzada et al., 2000, 2001) but not all (Baroux et al., 2001; Weijers et al., 2001) were not expressed from the paternal genome until a few days after fertilization. However, Scholten et al. (2002) used a transgenic line of maize harboring a CaMV35S promoter driving GFP expression to test whether there was expression from the paternal allele soon after fertilization. There were no detectable GFP transcripts in sperm of this line, but when sperm carrying the transgene were fused in vitro with wildtype eggs, paternally derived GFP transcripts were detectable within the first few hours after fertilization and GFP was detectable soon thereafter. It will be important to test how widespread such paternal expression might be, preferably using endogenous, rather than virally derived, promoters to drive transgene expression.

Although transcriptome analyses are useful (Becker et al., 2003; Honys and Twell, 2003) for selecting candidates for functional analyses, it is equally important to determine the protein complement of the cells of the male gametophyte. To begin to develop information about the proteins present at different stages of pollen development, Kerim et al. (2003) used twodimensional gel electrophoresis and mass spectrophotometry to analyze the protein complement of rice anthers. This study was not comprehensive, but it was able to identify ~150 protein spots that varied between different stages of development. Because multiple isoforms for several of these proteins were found, the authors inferred that post-translational modifications might play a significant role during pollen development. Proteomics efforts also are warranted in plants whose genomes are not yet sequenced, because there are extensive EST databases available from male gametophytes of several crops (for example, Van der Hoeven et al., 2002). Nonetheless, for many plants, it will be difficult to collect large quantities of male gametophytes or of their component cells, so future proteomics efforts probably will use more sensitive methods (Koller et al., 2002) that do not rely on the need for two-dimensional gels.

EXPECTATIONS FOR THE NEXT 10 YEARS

Because comparative studies had indicated that many of the structural and molecular aspects of microsporogenesis are conserved throughout evolution (McCormick, 1993), a concerted molecular, morphological, and cell biological description of microsporogenesis in a few plant species was suggested (McCormick, 1993) to provide a framework for future studies. It also was suggested that we take advantage of the increasing knowledge and methodology available from nonplant organisms to make more rapid progress in understanding meiosis, cell fate determinants, and cell-cell interactions. Such efforts have paid off. Researchers studying pollen can select a plant species most suitable for the question they are asking and then rather easily move to other species to apply different methodologies. And new methods continue to be developed or adapted. For example, Touraev et al. (1997) pioneered the biolistic introduction of gene constructs into unicellular microspores of tobacco, followed by in vitro maturation of these microspores to mature pollen. When the resulting pollen grains were used for pollination, some transgenic plants were obtained, although the efficiency was quite low (<10⁻³). Aziz and Machray (2003) recently optimized this protocol for tobacco and reported up to 15% transgenic progeny. If this efficiency can be achieved routinely, it will be an attractive way to generate transgenic plants, especially if in vitro maturation of microspores can be developed for other plant species.

The ambitious goal of the NSF2010 project is to elucidate the functions of all of the proteins of Arabidopsis by the year 2010. Can we meet this goal for the proteins in the male gametophyte? From gene disruptions and the other methods discussed in this review, we have learned about particular proteins that are important for pollen development. But much of our knowledge is still discrete; how various proteins interact is largely unknown. In some fully sequenced organisms, protein-protein interaction networks are beginning to be elucidated (reviewed by Zhu and Snyder, 2002). Yeast two-hybrid screens have not yet been used extensively in pollen biology. But where such screens have been performed (Skirpan et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2002), it is already clear that some proteins identified from such screens also are pollen specific. Thus, signaling pathways already thought to be elucidated in sporophytic cells might have different players in the male gametophyte, and proteins that are expressed widely might have unique roles in the male gametophyte.

Two recent studies (Levsky et al., 2002; Jongeneel et al., 2003) offer inspirations for the future: truly global expression analyses for all of the developmental stages of the male gametophyte, and true single-cell analysis of the male gametophyte transcriptome. Jongeneel et al. (2003) used the sensitive technique called massively parallel signature sequencing to analyze gene expression in two different mammalian cell lines. They found that almost 60% of the genes were expressed at such a low level that they would not have been detected by standard techniques such as microarray analysis. Furthermore, the complexity of their expression profiles was limited; one cell line expressed ~10,000

genes, and the other expressed \sim 15,000 genes. And there were \sim 2000 mRNAs identified that corresponded to no known (i.e., annotated) genes. Most methods used to analyze gene expression necessarily rely on RNA samples prepared from many cells. Levsky et al. (2002) pioneered a method to examine gene expression of multiple genes at the true single-cell level. In this method, sites of de novo transcription are visualized by fluorescence in situ hybridization; up to 11 genes can be assayed at once. By using spectrally distinct fluorophore labels for the gene-specific probes, the authors were able to have a unique bar code identify each transcript. In addition to transcriptional changes, a gene expression difference deduced from a microarray experiment encompasses the abundance of the message before the start of the experiment and the message stability over the course of the experiment. Thus, our lesson is that microarray analyses (Becker et al., 2003; Honys and Twell, 2003) are only a start to a global understanding of male gametophyte development. Single-cell transcriptome analyses might even allow the detection of subtle differences in gene expression in different pollen grains in a tetrad. The recent availability of GFP-tagged sperm (Figure 4) offers the promise of global transcriptome (and proteome) analyses of the gametes, assuming that large enough quantities can be purified for such analyses.

Control of Male Gametophyte Development

9 of 12

In the last 10 years, more and more emphasis has been put on model systems such as Arabidopsis. But there is still a place for nonmodel systems. Some very interesting phenomena are not known or their analysis is not tractable in the well-studied model plants. As just one example, a type of segregation distortion mediated by so-called gametocidal genes is known in several species (wheat, maize, rice, and tomato). In wheat lines that are hemizygous for a gametocidal gene (Gc2/–), gametophytes that lack the Gc2 allele undergo DNA fragmentation, presumably induced during meiosis by allelic interaction with the Gc2 allele. Friebe et al. (2003) recently obtained an ethyl methanesulfonate-induced mutant at Gc2; the mutant plants are fully fertile because the (–) gametophytes do not die. Map-based cloning of Gc2, and molecular analyses of this interesting phenomenon, should soon be possible.

That vertebrates have >1000 genes for olfactory receptor proteins was no surprise, but that some olfactory receptors were expressed in human spermatogenic cells was considered bizarre. Now, Spehr et al. (2003) have shown that one of these odorant receptors mediates human sperm chemotaxis in vitro, detecting an odorant similar to a compound found in rose petals. Kim et al. (2003) recently demonstrated that a small protein that is secreted from the style of lily flowers acts as a chemoattractant for pollen tube growth. There is every reason to believe that plant reproductive biology will tempt us with such surprises for years to come.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank all of the members of my laboratory for discussion, especially Sheila Johnson. I thank Dior Kelley for the lovely artwork in Figure 1. Thanks to Ming Yang (Oklahoma State University, Stillwater) for Figure 2. Thanks to Sheila Johnson for Figure 3. Thanks to Michele Engel for characterizing the sperm-specific promoter and generating the transgenic lines, and to Jose Feijo, Nuno Moreno, and Leonor Boavida (Institute Gulbenkian Ciencia, Oeiras, Portugal) and Paul Herzmark (University of California San Francisco) for their help in acquiring and processing the two-photon microscope image shown in Figure 4. I thank Betty Lord (University of California Riverside) and Loverine Taylor (Washington State University, Pullman) for comments on the manuscript. I dedicate this article to the memory of my wonderful parents, Harold and Lorraine McCormick. The work in my laboratory is supported by U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service Current Research Information System Grant 5335-21000-020-00D and by National Science Foundation Plant Genome Grant 0211742.

Received August 25, 2003; accepted January 17, 2004.

REFERENCES

- Alfieri, J.A., Martin, A.D., Takeda, J., Kondoh, G., Myles, D.G., and Primakoff, P. (2003). Infertility in female mice with an oocyte-specific knockout of GPI-anchored proteins. J. Cell Sci. **116**, 2149–2155.
- Alonso, J.M., et al. (2003). Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science **301**, 653–657.
- Armstrong, S.J., Franklin, F.C.H., and Jones, G.H. (2003). A meiotic time course for Arabidopsis thaliana. Sex. Plant Reprod. 16, 141–149.
- Armstrong, S.J., and Jones, G.H. (2003). Meiotic cytology and chromosome behaviour in wild-type *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 54, 1–10.
- Arthur, K.M., Vejlupkova, Z., Meeley, R.B., and Fowler, J.E. (2003). Maize ROP2 GTPase provides a competitive advantage to the male gametophyte. Genetics 165, 2137–2151.
- Aziz, N., and Machray, G.C. (2003). Efficient male germ line transformation for transgenic tobacco production without selection. Plant Mol. Biol. 51, 203–211.
- Baroux, C., Blanvillain, R., and Gallois, P. (2001). Paternally inherited transgenes are down-regulated but retain low activity during early embryogenesis in Arabidopsis. FEBS Lett. 509, 11–16.
- Bate, N., Spurr, C., Foster, G.D., and Twell, D. (1996). Maturationspecific translational enhancement mediated by the 5'-UTR of a late pollen transcript. Plant J. 10, 613–623.
- Bate, N., and Twell, D. (1998). Functional architecture of a late pollen promoter: Pollen-specific transcription is developmentally regulated by multiple stage-specific and co-dependent activator elements. Plant Mol. Biol. 37, 859–869.
- Becker, J.D., Boavida, L.C., Carneiro, J., Haury, M., and Feijo, J.A. (2003). Transcriptional profiling of Arabidopsis tissues reveals the unique characteristics of the pollen transcriptome. Plant Physiol. 133, 713–725.
- Bonhomme, S., Horlow, C., Vezon, D., de Laissardiere, S., Guyon, A., Ferault, M., Marchand, M., Bechtold, N., and Pelletier, G. (1998). T-DNA mediated disruption of essential gametophytic genes in Arabidopsis is unexpectedly rare and cannot be inferred from segregation distortion alone. Mol. Gen. Genet. 260, 444–452.
- **Brander, K.A., and Kuhlemeier, C.** (1995). A pollen-specific DEAD-box protein related to translation initiation factor eIF-4a from tobacco. Plant Mol. Biol. **27**, 637–649.
- Caryl, A.P., Jones, G.H., and Franklin, F.C. (2003). Dissecting plant meiosis using Arabidopsis thaliana mutants. J. Exp. Bot. 54, 25–38.
- Chen, Y.-C.S., and McCormick, S. (1996). sidecar pollen, an Arabidopsis thaliana male gametophytic mutant with aberrant cell divisions during pollen development. Development 122, 3243–3253.
- **Cheung, A.** (2001). Imaging elongating pollen tubes by green fluorescent protein. Sex. Plant Reprod. **14**, 9–14.

- Cnudde, F., Moretti, C., Porceddu, A., Pezzotti, M., and Gerats, T. (2003). Transcript profiling on developing *Petunia hybrida* floral organs. Sex. Plant Reprod. **16**, 77–85.
- Curie, C., and McCormick, S. (1997). A strong inhibitor of gene expression in the 5' untranslated region of the pollen-specific LAT59 gene of tomato. Plant Cell 9, 2025–2036.
- Custers, J.B., Oldenhof, M.T., Schrauwen, J.A., Cordewener, J.H., Wullems, G.J., and van Lookeren Campagne, M.M. (1997). Analysis of microspore-specific promoters in transgenic tobacco. Plant Mol. Biol. 35, 689–699.
- Cutler, S.R., Ehrhardt, D.W., Griffitts, J.S., and Somerville, C.R. (2000). Random GFP::cDNA fusions enable visualization of subcellular structures in cells of Arabidopsis at a high frequency. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 3718–3723.
- Eady, C., Lindsey, K., and Twell, D. (1994). Differential activation and conserved vegetative cell-specific activity of a late pollen promoter in species with bicellular and tricellular pollen. Plant J. 5, 543–550.
- Edelman, G.M., and Gally, J.A. (2001). Degeneracy and complexity in biological systems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 13763–13768.
- Engel, M., Chaboud, A., Dumas, C., and McCormick, S. (2003). Sperm cells of *Zea mays* have a complex complement of mRNAs. Plant J. **34**, 697–707.
- Escobar, N.M., Haupt, S., Thow, G., Boevink, P., Chapman, S., and Oparka, K. (2003). High-throughput viral expression of cDNA-green fluorescent protein fusions reveals novel subcellular addresses and identifies unique proteins that interact with plasmodesmata. Plant Cell 15, 1507–1523.
- Eyal, Y., Curie, C., and McCormick, S. (1995). Pollen specificity elements reside in 30 bp of the proximal promoters of two pollenexpressed genes. Plant Cell 7, 373–384.
- Faure, J.E., Rusche, M.L., Thomas, A., Keim, P., Dumas, C., Mogensen, H.L., Rougier, M., and Chaboud, A. (2003). Double fertilization in maize: The two male gametes from a pollen grain have the ability to fuse with egg cells. Plant J. **33**, 1051–1062.
- Feijo, J.A., and Cox, G. (2001). Visualization of meiotic events in intact living anthers by means of two-photon microscopy. Micron 32, 679–684.
- Feldmann, K.A., Coury, D.A., and Christianson, M.L. (1997). Exceptional segregation of a selectable marker (KanR) in Arabidopsis identifies genes important for gametophytic growth and development. Genetics **147**, 1411–1422.
- Friebe, B., Zhang, P., Nasuda, S., and Gill, B.S. (2003). Characterization of a knock-out mutation at the Gc2 locus in wheat. Chromosoma 111, 509–517.
- Golovkin, M., and Reddy, A.S.N. (2003). A calmodulin-binding protein from Arabidopsis has an essential role in pollen germination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 10558–10563.
- Grini, P.E., Schnittger, A., Schwarz, H., Zimmermann, I., Schwab, B., Jurgens, G., and Hulskamp, M. (1999). Isolation of ethyl methanesulfonate-induced gametophytic mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by a segregation distortion assay using the multimarker chromosome 1. Genetics **151**, 849–863.
- Gupta, R., Ting, J.T., Sokolov, L.N., Johnson, S.A., and Luan, S. (2002). A tumor suppressor homolog, AtPTEN1, is essential for pollen development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14, 2495–2507.
- Guyon, V.N., Astwood, J.D., Garner, E.C., Dunker, A.K., and Taylor, L.P. (2000). Isolation and characterization of cDNAs expressed in the early stages of flavonol-induced pollen germination in petunia. Plant Physiol. **123**, 699–710.
- Heuer, S., Lorz, H., and Dresselhaus, T. (2000). The MADS box gene *ZmMADS2* is specifically expressed in maize pollen and during maize pollen tube growth. Sex. Plant Reprod. **13**, 21–27.

- Honys, D., and Twell, D. (2003). Comparative analysis of the Arabidopsis pollen transcriptome. Plant Physiol. **132**, 640–652.
- Howden, R., Park, S.K., Moore, J.M., Orme, J., Grossniklaus, U., and Twell, D. (1998). Selection of T-DNA-tagged male and female gametophytic mutants by segregation distortion in Arabidopsis. Genetics 149, 621–631.
- Hulskamp, M., Parekh, N.S., Grini, P., Schneitz, K., Zimmermann, I., Lolle, S.J., and Pruitt, R.E. (1997). The STUD gene is required for male-specific cytokinesis after telophase II of meiosis in Arabidopsis thaliana. Dev. Biol. 187, 114–124.
- Johnson, S.A., and McCormick, S. (2001). Pollen germinates precociously in the anthers of *raring-to-go*, an Arabidopsis gametophytic mutant. Plant Physiol. **126**, 685–695.
- Jongeneel, C.V., Iseli, C., Stevenson, B.J., Riggins, G.J., Lal, A., Mackay, A., Harris, R.A., O'Hare, M.J., Neville, A.M., Simpson, A.J.G., and Strausberg, R.L. (2003). Comprehensive sampling of gene expression in human cell lines with massively parallel signature sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 4702–4705.
- Kamps, T.L., McCarty, D.R., and Chase, C.D. (1996). Gametophyte genetics in *Zea mays* L.: Dominance of a restoration-of-fertility allele (Rf3) in diploid pollen. Genetics **142**, 1001–1007.
- Kang, B.-H., Rancour, D.M., and Bednarek, S.Y. (2003). The dynaminlike protein ADL1C is essential for plasma membrane maintenance during pollen maturation. Plant J. 35, 1–15.
- Kerim, T., Imin, N., Weinman, J.J., and Rolfe, B.G. (2003). Proteome analysis of male gametophyte development in rice anthers. Proteomics 3, 738–751.
- Kim, H.-U., Cotter, R., Johnson, S., Senda, M., Dodds, P., Kulikauskas, R., Tang, W., Ezcurra, I., Herzmark, P., and McCormick, S. (2002). New pollen-specific receptor kinases identified in tomato, maize and Arabidopsis: The tomato kinases show overlapping but distinct localization patterns on pollen tubes. Plant Mol. Biol. 50, 1–16.
- Kim, S., Mollet, J.-C., Dong, J., Zhang, K., Park, S.-Y., and Lord, E.M. (2003). Chemocyanin, a small basic protein from the lily stigma, induces pollen tube chemotropism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 16125–16130.
- Klimyuk, V.I., and Jones, J.D. (1997). AtDMC1, the Arabidopsis homologue of the yeast DMC1 gene: Characterization, transposoninduced allelic variation and meiosis-associated expression. Plant J. 11, 1–14.
- Kobayashi, A., Sakamoto, A., Kubo, K., Rybka, Z., Kanno, Y., and Takatsuji, H. (1998). Seven zinc-finger transcription factors are expressed sequentially during the development of anthers in petunia. Plant J. 13, 571–576.
- Koller, A., Washburn, M.P., Lange, B.M., Andon, N.L., Deciu, C., Haynes, P.A., Hays, L., Schieltz, D., Ulaszek, R., Wei, J., Wolters, D., and Yates, J.R. (2002). Proteomic survey of metabolic pathways in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 11969–11974.
- Kulikauskas, R., and McCormick, S. (1997). Identification of the tobacco and Arabidopsis homologues of the pollen-expressed LAT59 gene of tomato. Plant Mol. Biol. 34, 809–814.
- Lalanne, E., Honys, D., Johnson, A., Borner, G.H.H., Lilley, K.S., Dupree, P., Grossniklaus, U., and Twell, D. (2004). *SETH1* and *SETH2*, two components of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor biosynthetic pathway, are required for pollen germination and tube growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **16**, 229–240.
- Lalanne, E., and Twell, D. (2002). Genetic control of male germ unit organization in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 129, 865–875.
- Lee, H.-S., Karunanandaa, B., McCubbin, A., Gilroy, S., and Kao, T.-h. (1996). PRK1, a receptor-like kinase of *Petunia inflata* is essential for post-meiotic development of pollen. Plant J. **9**, 613–624.

- Lee, J.Y., and Lee, D.H. (2003). Use of serial analysis of gene expression technology to reveal changes in gene expression in Arabidopsis pollen undergoing cold stress. Plant Physiol. **132**, 517–529.
- Levsky, J.M., Shenoy, S.M., Pezo, R.C., and Singer, R.H. (2002). Single-cell gene expression profiling. Science **297**, 836–840.
- Lohrmann, J., Sweere, U., Zabaleta, E., Bäurle, I., Keitel, C., Kozma-Bognar, L., Brennicke, A., Schäfer, E., Kudla, J., and Harter, K. (2001). The response regulator ARR2: A pollen-specific transcription factor involved in the expression of nuclear genes for components of mitochondrial complex I in Arabidopsis. Mol. Genet. Genomics 265, 2–13.
- Martin, S.G., Leclerc, V., Smith-Letiere, K., and St. Johnston, D. (2003). The identification of novel genes required for *Drosophila* anteroposterior axis formation in a germline clone screen using GFP-Staufen. Development **130**, 4201–4215.
- Mascarenhas, J.P. (1975). The biochemistry of angiosperm pollen development. Bot. Rev. 41, 259–314.
- Mascarenhas, J.P. (1990). Gene activity during pollen development. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. **41**, 317–338.
- Mascarenhas, J.P. (1993). Molecular mechanisms of pollen tube growth and differentiation. Plant Cell **5**, 1303–1314.
- McCormick, S. (1993). Male gametophyte development. Plant Cell 5, 1265–1275.
- Mu, J.H., Lee, H.S., and Kao, T.H. (1994). Characterization of a pollenexpressed receptor-like kinase gene of *Petunia inflata* and the activity of its encoded kinase. Plant Cell 6, 709–721.
- Muschietti, J., Dircks, L., Vancanneyt, G., and McCormick, S. (1994). LAT52 protein is essential for tomato pollen development: Pollen expressing antisense LAT52 RNA hydrates and germinates abnormally and cannot achieve fertilization. Plant J. 6, 321–338.
- Muschietti, J.P., Eyal, Y., and McCormick, S. (1998). Pollen tube localization implies a role in pollen-pistil interactions for the tomato receptor-like protein kinases LePRK1 and LePRK2. Plant Cell 10, 319–330.
- Oh, S.-A., Park, S.K., Jang, I., Howden, R., Moore, J.M., Grossniklaus, U., and Twell, D. (2003). *halfman*, an Arabidopsis male gametophytic mutant associated with a 150 kb chromosomal deletion adjacent to an introduced Ds transposable element. Sex. Plant Reprod. 16, 99–102.
- Owen, H.A., and Makaroff, C.A. (1995). Ultrastructure of microsporogenesis and microgametogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. ecotype Wassilewskija (Brassicaceae). Protoplasma **185**, 7–21.
- Park, S.K., Howden, R., and Twell, D. (1998). The Arabidopsis thaliana gametophytic mutation gemini pollen1 disrupts microspore polarity, division asymmetry and pollen cell fate. Development **125**, 3789– 3799.
- Preuss, D., Rhee, S.Y., and Davis, R.W. (1994). Tetrad analysis possible in Arabidopsis with mutation of the QUARTET (QRT) genes. Science **264**, 1458–1460.
- Procissi, A., Guyon, A., Pierson, E.S., Giritch, A., Knuiman, B., Grandjean, O., Tonelli, C., Derksen, J., Pelletier, G., and Bonhomme, S. (2003). *Kinky pollen* encodes a SABRE-like protein required for tip growth in Arabidopsis and conserved among eukaryotes. Plant J. **36**, 894–904.
- Rombauts, S., Florquin, K., Lescot, M., Marchal, K., Rouze, P., and Van De Peer, Y. (2003). Computational approaches to identify promoters and cis-regulatory elements in plant genomes. Plant Physiol. 132, 1162–1176.
- Ross, K.J., Fransz, P., and Jones, G.H. (1996). A light microscopic atlas of meiosis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Chromosome Res. 4, 507–516.
- Rusche, M.L., Mogensen, H.L., Shi, L., Keim, P., Rougier, M., Chaboud, A., and Dumas, C. (1997). B chromosome behavior in

maize pollen as determined by a molecular probe. Genetics 147, 1915–1921.

- Russell, S.D. (1984). Ultrastructure of the sperm of *Plumbago zeylanica*.
 II. Quantitative cytology and three-dimensional organization. Planta 162, 385–391.
- Russell, S.D. (1985). Preferential fertilization in *Plumbago*: Ultrastructural evidence for gamete-level recognition in an angiosperm. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 6129–6132.
- Sari-Gorla, M., Gatti, E., Villa, M., and Pe, M.E. (1997). A multinucleate male-sterile mutant for maize with gametophytic expression. Sex. Plant Reprod. 10, 22–26.
- Scholten, S., Lörz, H., and Kranz, E. (2002). Paternal mRNA and protein synthesis coincides with male chromatin decondensation in maize zygotes. Plant J. 32, 221–231.
- Scholz-Starke, J., Buttner, M., and Sauer, N. (2003). AtSTP6, a new pollen-specific H⁺-monosaccharide symporter from Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **131**, 70–77.
- Singh, M., Bhalla, P.L., Xu, H., and Singh, M.B. (2003). Isolation and characterization of a flowering plant male gametic cell-specific promoter. FEBS Lett. 542, 47–52.
- Skirpan, A.L., McCubbin, A.G., Ishimizu, T., Wang, X., Hu, Y., Dowd, P.E., Ma, H., and Kao, T.-h. (2001). Isolation and characterization of kinase interacting protein 1, a pollen protein that interacts with the kinase domain of PRK1, a receptor-like kinase of petunia. Plant Physiol. **126**, 1480–1492.
- Spehr, M., Gisselmann, G., Poplawski, A., Riffell, J.A., Wetzel, C.H., Zimmer, R.K., and Hatt, H. (2003). Identification of a testicular odorant receptor mediating human sperm chemotaxis. Science 299, 2054–2058.
- Spielman, M., Preuss, D., Li, F.L., Browne, W.E., Scott, R.J., and Dickinson, H.G. (1997). TETRASPORE is required for male meiotic cytokinesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Development **124**, 2645– 2657.
- Steinebrunner, I., Wu, J., Sun, Y., Corbett, A., and Roux, S.J. (2003). Disruption of apyrases inhibits pollen germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **131**, 1638–1647.
- Tang, W.-H., Ezcurra, I., Muschietti, J., and McCormick, S. (2002). A cysteine-rich extracellular protein, LAT52, interacts with the extracellular domain of the pollen receptor kinase LePRK2. Plant Cell 14, 2277–2287.
- Touraev, A., Stoger, E., Voronin, V., and Heberle-Bors, E. (1997). Plant male germ line transformation. Plant J. **12**, 949–956.
- Twell, D., Park, S.K., Hawkins, T.J., Schubert, D., Schmidt, R., Smertenko, A., and Hussey, P.J. (2002). Mor1/Gem1 has an essential role in the plant-specific cytokinetic phragmoplast. Nat. Cell Biol. 4. 711–714.
- Twell, D., Yamaguchi, J., Wing, R.A., Ushiba, J., and McCormick, S. (1991). Promoter analysis of genes that are coordinately expressed

during pollen development reveals pollen-specific enhancer sequences and shared regulatory elements. Genes Dev. 5, 496–507.

- Ueda, K., Kinoshita, Y., Xu, Z.J., Ide, N., Ono, M., Akahori, Y., Tanaka, I., and Inoue, M. (2000). Unusual core histones specifically expressed in male gametic cells of *Lilium longiflorum*. Chromosoma 108, 491–500.
- Ueda, K., and Tanaka, I. (1995). The appearance of male gametespecific histones gH2B and gH3 during pollen development in *Lilium longiflorum*. Dev. Biol. **169**, 210–217.
- Van der Hoeven,, R., Ronning, C., Giovannoni, J., Martin, G., and Tanksley, S. (2002). Deductions about the number, organization, and evolution of genes in the tomato genome based on analysis of large expressed sequence tag collection and selective genomic sequencing. Plant Cell 14, 1441–1456.
- Vielle-Calzada, J.P., Baskar, R., and Grossniklaus, U. (2000). Delayed activation of the paternal genome during seed development. Nature 404, 91–94.
- Vielle-Calzada, J.-P., Baskar, R., and Grossniklaus, U. (2001). Early paternal gene activity in Arabidopsis: A reply. Nature 414, 710.
- Weijers, D., Geldner, N., Offringa, R., and Jurgens, G. (2001). Early paternal gene activity in Arabidopsis. Nature **414**, 709–710.
- Wittink, F.R.A., Knuiman, B., Derksen, J., Capkova, V., Twell, D., Schrauwen, J.A.M., and Wullems, G.J. (2000). The pollen-specific gene Ntp303 encodes a 69-kDa glycoprotein associated with the vegetative membranes and the cell wall. Sex. Plant Reprod. 12, 276–284.
- Xu, H., Swoboda, I., Bhalla, P.L., and Singh, M.B. (1999a). Male gametic cell-specific expression of H2A and H3 histone genes. Plant Mol. Biol. 39, 607–614.
- Xu, H., Swoboda, I., Bhalla, P.L., and Singh, M.B. (1999b). Male gametic-cell-specific gene expression in flowering plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 2554–2558.
- Xu, H., Weterings, K., Vriezen, W., Feron, R., Xue, Y., Derksen, J., and Mariani, C. (2002). Isolation and characterization of male-germcell transcripts in *Nicotiana tabacum*. Sex. Plant Reprod. 14, 339–346.
- Yang, C.Y., Spielman, M., Coles, J.P., Li, Y., Ghelani, S., Bourdon, V., Brown, R.C., Lemmon, B.E., Scott, R.J., and Dickinson, H.G. (2003). TETRASPORE encodes a kinesin required for male meiotic cytokinesis in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 34, 229–240.
- Yang, Z. (2002). Small GTPases: Versatile signaling switches in plants. Plant Cell 14 (suppl.), S375–S388.
- YIstra, B., and McCormick, S. (1999). Analysis of mRNA stabilities during pollen development and in BY2 cells. Plant J. 20, 101–108.
- Zachgo, S., Saedler, H., and Schwarz-Sommer, Z. (1997). Pollenspecific expression of *DEFH125*, a MADS-box transcription factor in Antirrhinum with unusual features. Plant J. **11**, 1043–1050.
- Zhu, H., and Snyder, M. (2002). 'Omic' approaches for unraveling signaling networks. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 14, 173–179.