Listing of CERES Subsystems Validation Summary Charts:	<u>Page No.</u>
Subsystem 1.0 - Instrument Geolocate and Calibrate Earth Radiances	2
Subsystem 2.0 - ERBE-Like Inversion to Instantaneous TOA Fluxes	4
Subsystem 3.0 - ERBE-Like Averaging to Monthly TOA Fluxes	6
Subsystem 4.1 - Imager Clear-Sky Determination and Cloud Detection <u>TBD</u>	
Subsystem 4.2 - Imager Cloud-Top Heights and Imager Cloud-Base Heights	8
Subsystem 4.3 - Validation of Imager Cloud Optical Properties	10
Subsystem 4.4 - Convolution of Imager Cloud Properties with CERES Footprint Point Spread Function	12
Subsystem 4.5 - CERES Inversion to Instantaneous TOA Fluxes	13
Subsystem 4.6 - Validation of CERES Surface Radiation Budget (SRB)	14
Subsystem 5.0 - Surface and Atmospheric Radiation Budget (SARB)	15
Subsystem 6.0 - Gridding and Spatial Averaging <u>TBD</u>	
Subsystem 7.0 - Time Interpolation and Synoptic Flux Computation for Single and Multiple Satellites	18
Subsystem 8.0 - Monthly Regional, Zonal, and Global Radiation Fluxes and Cloud Properties	20
Subsystem 10.0 - Monthly Regional TOA and Surface Radiation Budget	22

# CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Release 2.2 July 1997 Subsystem 1.0 - CERES Geolocate and Calibrate Earth Radiances

### **Data Products**

• Earth radiances:

1) Filtered broadband shortwave [0.3 - 5.0 mm]

2) Total-wave [0.3 - >100 mm]

3) Water vapor window [8 - 12 mm]

#### Approach

- Resolution/geometric sites used during the ERBE spacecraft missions
- Radiometric accuracy and precision in-flight calibration systems [demonstrated by ERBE] measurement accuracy via ground-to-orbit and precision via in-flight time series
- Radiometric precision/consistency checks among same and different types of CERES sensors using ERBE techniques
- Compare CERES radiances to earth validation targets calibrated with 5 years of ERBS data
- Three channel redundancy check for consistency
- Offsets validated using spacecraft pitch-up and monitored monthly against ERBS global limb-darkening

Release 2.2 July 1997

# **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Subsystem 1.0 - CERES Geolocate and Calibrate Earth Radiances (CONTINUED)

### **Validation Activities**

- Prelaunch
  - 1) All validation and consistency checks will be based upon CERES sensor ground calibration data sets
  - 2) Establish radiation statistics of earth validation targets. Longwave target is tropical ocean at night. Shortwave target is desert region in daytime. Learn technique by applying to ERBE NOAA-9 data.
- Postlaunch
  - 1) Collection of in-flight calibration measurements and calculated filtered Earth radiances on designated calibration days
  - 2) Compare CERES radiances to historical ERBS radiances via earth validation targets.

#### Archive

- In-flight calibrations will be archived in BDS format at EOSDIS
- Publications describing the sensor calibration and validation results as well as public science computing facility (SCF) files of the appropriate calibration and validation data.

#### Release 2.2 July, 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 2.0 - ERBE-like Inversion to Instantaneous TOA Fluxes

#### **Data Products and Parameters**

- Parameters: ERBE-like ADMs, ERBE-like TOA flux
- Product: CERES ES-8

#### Approach

- Test ADMs with SAB Method (SAB monthly means independent of ADMs)
- Build new ADMs from CERES data
- Constant Flux Test (flux consistency with viewing zenith)
- Compare ERBE-like & CERES fluxes (same data, different scene ID & ADMs)
- Intercompare ERBE-like flux from TRMM and EOS AM-1.

#### **Pre-Launch Validation Activities**

- Test ADMs with SAB Method using Nimbus-7 data
- Apply Constant Flux Test to ERBE along-track data
- Validate data processing system using CERES simulation
- Establish mean and variance of difference between ERBE-like flux and CERES flux from CERES simulation

#### Release 2.2 July, 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 2.0 - ERBE-like Inversion to Instantaneous TOA Fluxes (CONTINUED)

#### **Post-Launch Validation Activities**

- 1) Test ADMs with SAB Method using CERES RAP data
- 2) Build new ERBE-like ADMs from CERES data & compare with current ADMs.
- 3) Apply Constant Flux Test with CERES data
- 4) Determine flux difference between ERBE-like flux and CERES flux and test against prelaunch statistics

### Archive

• All validation tests are off-line.

#### Release 2.2 July, 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 3.0 ERBE-Like Averaging To Monthly Toa Fluxes

#### **Data Products/Parameters**

• ERBE-like clear-sky and all-sky radiative parameters at the TOA on various spatial (regional, zonal, and global) and temporal (daily, monthly-hourly, and monthly mean) scales.

#### Missions

• TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1

#### Approach

- Complete pre-launch science studies for improving and verifying TISA methods.
- •Verify input/output operations and interface compatibility with other subsystems.
- Compare ERBE-like results with validation data sets.

### **Pre-Launch Validation Activities**

- Complete validation of the ERBE-like science algorithm.
- Finish testing of the ERBE-like data processing system.
- Verify ERBE-like TOA results with existing ERBE scanner data.
- Validate data processing system using CERES end-to-end simulation.

#### Release 2.2 July, 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 3.0 ERBE-Like Averaging To Monthly Toa Fluxes (CONTINUED)

### **Post-Launch Validation Activities**

- Primary comparison with geostationary data using narrowband-to-broadband conversion technique.
- Secondary direct verification (if available) with ERBE WFOV results, ScaRaB data, and GERB data.
- Additional intercomparison between TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1 data.
- Continuous monitoring of the quality of the input data product and detecting problems in the overall system.

# EOSDIS

• Special processing of CERES ERBE-like data products containing validation sites.

#### Subsystem 4, SSF data product, Cloud layering and heights Release 2.2, July 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 4.2 Imager Cloud-Top And Cloud-Base Heights

#### **Products/Parameters**

- Product: CERES SSF
- Parameters: Cloud-top and cloud-base heights for both single- and multiple-layered clouds

### Missions

• TRMM, EOS AM-1, & EOS PM-1

### Approach:

- First develop global and regional maps of retrieved cloud heights
- Show that global and regional analyses indicate consistent results moving from ocean to land, day to night, snow to water, desert to water, etc.
- Once results are consistent, compare retrieved cloud boundaries with ground-based, other satellite-based, or aircraft-based data of cloud boundaries (most appropriate for stratiform clouds)
- Comparisons of simultaneous retrievals from multiple satellites, aircraft and satellite, or surface with satellite

#### Subsystem 4, SSF data product, Cloud layering and heights Release 2.2, July 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 4.2 Imager Cloud-Top And Cloud-Base Heights (CONTINUED)

#### **Pre-Launch Validation Activities**

- Compare cloud boundary data from field programs with satellite retrievals
- Compare surface synoptic observations with satellite retrievals of single and multilevel cloud occurrences

### **Post-Launch Validation Activities**

- Increase number of long-term monitoring sites to include midlatitude oceans, mountains, deserts, and tropical land
- Develop field programs over surface types where little if any data currently exist, such as deserts
- Perform quick-look global and regional analyses of cloud boundary products
- Compare CERES cloud boundary retrievals with validation sites

### EOSDIS

- Perform subsetting of processed full-resolution CERES imager data stream
- Archive validation site cloud boundary data

#### Release 2.2, July 1997 CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Subsystem 4.3 - Validation Of Imager Cloud Optical Properties

### **Data Products**

• Cloud phase, effective particle size, water path, optical depth, emittance, radiating temperature, & thickness

#### **Missions**

• TRMM, EOS AM-1, & EOS PM-1

#### Approach: Pre- & Post-launch

- comparisons with in situ & surface/aircraft Remote sensing *Yields estimate of bias errors*
- simultaneous retrievals from multiple satellites or aircraft & satellite *Produces statistics, relative errors, & scene/angle dependence*
- model calculations to determine algorithm sensitivities to input & assumptions

Leads to physical understanding of observations

### **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 **Subsystem 4.3 - Validation Of Imager Cloud Optical Properties** (CONTINUED)

### **Pre-Launch Validation Activities**

- complete analyses of field program data & compare with satellite retrievals
- develop & analyze matched satellite datasets having appropriate spectral channels
- study algorithm sensitivity to cloud inhomogeneities, viewing & illumination conditions, background, & input
- identify key climate regimes needing further validation

### **Post-Launch Validation Activities**

- increase number of long-term monitoring sites
- develop field programs & instruments for long-term deployment
- perform quick-look analyses of global products
- combine full-resolution ceres and validation site datasets, perform comparisons
- compare retrievals to those from other satellites & instruments

# EOSDIS

- facilitate dataset acquisition
- archive combined CERES & correlative datasets

### **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 4.4 - Convolution Of Imager Cloud Properties With CERES Footprint Point Spread Function (PSF)

#### **DATA PRODUCTS/PARAMETERS**

**CERES Product: SSF** 

Parameter: PSF sets location & size of CERES footprint & averaging weights.

#### MISSIONS

TRMM, EOS AM-1, EOS PM-1

### APPROACH

Regress CERES & imager data, minimize variance with PSF centroid & dispersion.

#### **PRE-LAUNCH**

Develop regression for best fit of CERES & imager data & develop statistics of minimum variance point. Use ERBS & AVHRR data for pre-launch studies.

#### **POST-LAUNCH**

Apply regression technique to 1st month of CERES data from TRMM & EOS AM.

#### EOSDIS

All validation tests off-line.

## CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 4.5 - Inversion To Instantaneous TOA Fluxes

#### **DATA PRODUCTS/PARAMETERS**

CERES Product: SSF, Parameters: TOA flux, CERES 200 ADMs.

MISSIONS: TRMM, EOS AM-1, EOS PM-1

#### APPROACH

Test ADMs with:SAB Method (monthly means, no ADMs)Along-track Test (flux growth with viewing zenith)MISR Comparison (compare to independent data)

TOA flux bias and variance determined from ADM bias and variance.

#### **PRE-LAUNCH**

Validate ERBE 12 ADMs for initial CERES inversion.

#### **POST-LAUNCH**

Validate CERES 200 ADMs. Intercompare ERBE-like flux and CERES flux.

#### EOSDIS

EOSDIS SSF product is data source. All validation tests off-line.

# CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 4.6 - Surface Radiation Budget (SRB)

**Output Data Parameters:** Net shortwave (SW) surface flux; Clear-sky downward longwave (> 5.0 m), window (8.0 - 12.0 m) and non-window surface fluxes (W/m2); and Cloudy-sky downward and net longwave (LW) surface fluxes.

#### Validation Criteria:

RMS errors: 20 W/m2 for instantaneous retrievals 10 W/m2 for gridded monthly average SW & LW surface fluxes

#### Validation Data Sources:

ARM/CART Southern Great Plains (SGP) available from CAGEX experiment ARM Tropical Western Pacific (TWP) & North Slope Alaska (NSA) data to be obtained NOAA Integrated Surface Irradiance Study (ISIS), including SURFRAD network (U.S.) WCRP Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) at selected sites around the globe.

#### Validation Procedure:

gather measured TOA & surf. fluxes for SW & LW, & atmos. temp. & water vapor apply radiative transfer algorithms to TOA data for simulated surface radiation fluxes compare simulated fluxes with measured surface radiation fluxes conduct thorough error analysis of comparisons.

Validation Archive: Anonymous ftp and/or through the World Wide Web.

# CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 5.0 - Surface and Atmospheric Radiation Budget (SARB)

### DATA PRODUCTS/PARAMETERS

- Broadband SW and LW fluxes at surface, 500 hPa, tropopause, and TOA
- Photosynthetically active radiation at surface
- Adjustments to cloud, atmospheric, and surface properties to balance computed fluxes with TOA measurements

### MISSIONS: TRMM, EOS AM-1, EOS PM-1

## APPROACH

 Long-term collection of non-EOS surface measurements Sort observations and CERES products to common format Issue validating data and products on www Expand current pre-launch validation activities CERES/ARM/GEWEX Experiment (CAGEX) http://snowdog.larc.nasa.gov:8081/cagex.html
3 categories of validation sites with continuous monitoring

Class 1 Remote Sensing Physics

Non EOS programs

Comprehensive measurements - ARM sites

## **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 5.0 - Surface and Atmospheric Radiation Budget (SARB) (CONTINUED)

Class 2 Regional Climate Trend

Non EOS programs, but NASA instruments needed

Require surface radiation, aerosols, cloud lidar, helicopter survey; desire cloud radar

Combine with CERES radiative transfer

Determine regional forcing of aerosols and surface

Class 3 Discrete Validation Sites

Non EOS programs, Individual flux measurements in networks

• Extensive aircraft campaign at Class 1 sites

### **PRE-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Validation of pre-CERES global Release 1 (October 1986 data) Compare with other satellite data (i.e., GEWEX SRB) and available Class 3 sites (i.e., GEBA)
- Expand current CAGEX from ARM CART SGP site for GCIP
- ARESE October 1995 study with aircraft fluxes and CAGEX to determine sampling pattern of post-launch flights
- Helicopter survey of surface optical properties at key sites Whitlock spectral SW for MISR/MODIS/ASTER/CERES

## **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 5.0 - Surface and Atmospheric Radiation Budget (SARB) (CONTINUED)

#### **POST-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- CAGEX to cover all 3 ARM sites
- Aircraft fluxes and at ARM sites as needed
- Helicopter surveys of selected Class 2 sites (EOS-wide use)
- Determine climate forcing of aerosols, surface changes at Class 2 sites; extend regionally with satellite data
- Ship of opportunity with cloud lidar and pyrgeometer needed for cloud base height, surface LW flux
- Supplement oceanography campaigns with surface meas.

### EOSDIS

- Special processing of CERES data from regions containing Class 1, 2, 3 sites and for roving ship monitor
- Development of CAGEX-like data bases at selected sites

# **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 7.0 - Time Interpolation And Synoptic Flux Computation For Single And Multiple Satellites

### **DATA PRODUCTS/PARAMETERS**

• TOA, in-the-atmosphere, and surface flux and clouds layer information in the atmosphere at 3-hourly GMT time resolution over the whole globe.

### MISSION

• TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1.

### APPROACH

- Complete pre-launch science studies for improving and verifying TISA methods.
- Verify input/output operations and interface compatibility with other subsystems.
- Compare results with validation data set.

## **PRE-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Complete validation of the science algorithm.
- Finish testing of the data processing system.
- Verify TOA results with historical ERBE TOA scanner data.
- Perform case study using geostationary data, CAGEX, and TOGA data to verify science algorithm.

# **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 7.0 - Time Interpolation And Synoptic Flux Computation For Single And Multiple Satellites (CONTINUED)

- Validate data processing system using CERES end-to-end simulation.
- TOA, in-the-atmosphere, and surface flux and clouds layer information at 3-hourly GMT time resolution over the whole globe.

### **POST-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Primary comparison of TOA fluxes with geostationary data using narrowband-tobroadband conversion technique.
- Secondary direct verification of TOA fluxes (if available) with ERBE WFOV results, ScaRaB data, and GERB data.
- Comparison with cloud and radiation data from intensive field experiments (i.e., TOGA, FIRE, CAGEX, ARM/TWP, ARM/NSA, and UAV experiment).
- Comparison with cloud and radiation data collected from special validation regions; including class 1 and class 2 sites (i.e., Walker Tower, Boulder Tower, NOAA sites, and BSRN sites).
- Additional intercomparison between TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1 data.

### EOSDIS

• Special processing of CERES SYN data products containing validation sites.

# **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 8.0 Monthly Regional, Zonal, And Global Radiation Fluxes And Cloud Properties

### DATA PRODUCTS/PARAMETER

• Monthly regional radiative fluxes and clouds data product (AVG) and monthly zonal and global radiative fluxes and cloud data product (ZAVG) contain monthly means and monthly-hourly means on regional, zonal, and global scales.

### MISSION

• TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1.

### APPROACH

- Complete pre-launch science studies for improving and verifying TISA methods.
- Verify input/output operations and interface compatibility with other subsystems.
- Compare results with validation data set.

## **PRE-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Complete validation of the science algorithm.
- Finish testing of the data processing system.
- Verify TOA results with historical ERBE TOA scanner data.
- Perform case study using CAGEX and TOGA data to verify science algorithm.
- Validate data processing using CERES end-to-end simulation.

### **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 8.0 - Monthly Regional, Zonal, And Global Radiation Fluxes And Cloud Properties (CONTINUED)

### **POST-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Primary comparison of TOA fluxes with geostationary data using narrowband-tobroadband conversion technique.
- Secondary direct verification of TOA fluxes (if available) with ERBE WFOV results, ScaRaB data, and GERB data.
- Comparison with cloud and radiation data from intensive field experiments (i.e., TOGA, FIRE, CAGEX, ARM/TWP, ARM/NSA, and UAV experiments).
- Comparison with cloud and radiation data collected for special validation region; including class 1 and class 2 sites (i.e., Walker Tower, Boulder Tower, NOAA sites, and BSRN sites)
- Additional intercomparison between TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1 data.

### EOSDIS

• Special processing of CERES AVG and ZAVG data products containing validation sites.

# **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 10.0 - Monthly Regional TOA And Surface Radiation Budget

### DATA PRODUCTS/PARAMETERS

• Monthly and monthly-hourly regional, zonal, and global averages of the TOA and surface LW and SW fluxes and the observed cloud conditions for each of the CERES region.

### MISSION

• TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1.

### APPROACH

- Complete pre-launch science studies for improving and verifying TISA methods.
- Verify input/output operations and interface compatibility with other subsystems.
- Compare results with validation data set.

### **PRE-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Complete validation of the science algorithm.
- Finish testing of the data processing system.
- Verify TOA results with historical ERBE TOA scanner data.
- Perform case study using CAGEX data to verify science algorithm.
- Validate data processing system using CERES end-to-end simulation.

# **CERES VALIDATION SUMMARY** Release 2.2, July 1997 Subsystem 10.0 - Monthly Regional TOA And Surface Radiation Budget (CONTINUED)

#### **POST-LAUNCH VALIDATION ACTIVITIES**

- Primary comparison of TOA fluxes with geostationary data using narrowband-tobroadband conversion technique.
- Secondary direct verification of TOA fluxes (if available) with ERBE WFOV results, ScaRaB data, and GERB data.
- Comparison with cloud and radiation data from intensive field experiments (i.e., TOGA, FIRE, CAGEX, ARM/TWP, ARM/NSA, and UAV experiments).
- Comparison with cloud and radiation data collected for special validation regions; including class 1 and class 2 sites (i.e., Walker Towers, Boulder Tower, NOAA sites, and BSRN sites).
- Additional intercomparison between TRMM, EOS AM-1, and EOS PM-1 data.
- Continuous monitoring of the quality of the input data product and detecting problems in the overall system.

### EOSDIS

• Special processing of CERES SRBAVG data products containing validation sites.