McLane Fire-August 9-12, 2005-Hanford Reach National Monument

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN



AGENCY/UNIT: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hanford Reach National Monument/Saddle Mountain National Wildlife Refuge

LOCATION: Franklin and Grant County, Washington

DATE: August 9-12, 2005

PREPARED BY: Hanford Reach National Monument ESR Team

Submitted By:	Date:
Greg M. Hughes, Project Leader	

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN

McLANE FIRE-Hanford Reach National Monument

REVIEW AND APPROVAL US F	ish and Wildlife Service	
I. EMERGENCY REHABILITATION	ON PLAN CONCURRANCE	
□ Concur	Explanation for Revision or Disapproval:	
☐ Concur with Revision		
☐ Disapproved		
Gregory M. Hughes, Project Leader,	Hanford Reach National Monument	 Date
	cy Rehabilitation funding and policy guideling	28.
Regional Fire Management Coordina III. EMERGENCY REHABILITAT		
□ Concur	Explanation for Revision or Disapproval:	
☐ Concur with Revision		
□ Disapproved		
David Allen, USFWS Regional Direc	etor-Region 1	Date

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This plan has been prepared in accordance with provisions contained within Chapter 620 DM 3- Burned Area Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation, Presidential Proclamation 7319 of June 9, 2000 and the Hanford Reach National Monument Fire Management Plan. This plan provides burned area emergency rehabilitation (R) recommendations for all lands burned within the McLane Fire perimeter and downstream impact areas including public lands administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The primary objectives of the McLane Fire Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation Plan are:

- To prescribe cost effective post-fire long-term rehabilitation measures necessary to protect human life, property, and critical cultural and natural resources.
- To promptly stabilize and prevent further degradation to affected resources on lands within the fire perimeter and downstream impacted areas in accordance with approved land management plans and policies, and all relevant federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Emergency Rehabilitation

This plan addresses the long-term emergency rehabilitation treatments needed to protect biological and ecological integrity of lands administered by the Service on the Hanford Reach National Monument (HRNM). Based upon field assessments conducted by HRNM staff from August 9-12, 2005, an analysis was conducted to include: suppression impacts, watershed stability, archaeological and vegetation impacts, fire effects on known threatened and endangered (T&E) species and their habitats. Archeologists conducted initial inventories of suppression impacts for potential damage to cultural sites as well as initiating a cultural resource damage assessment. The vegetation specialist evaluated and assessed fire damages and suppression impacts to vegetative resources, including threatened and endangered (T&E) species, and identified values at risk associated with vegetative losses. The wildlife biologist conducted an assessment of T&E species, and other species of management concern to the HRNM.

Individual resource Burned Area Assessment Reports produced by these specialists are in Appendix I. The individual treatments specifications, including the effectiveness monitoring identified in the assessments, can be found in Part F. A summary of the costs is in Part E. Appendix II contains the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance documentation summary. Appendix III contains photo documentation; Appendix IV contains supporting documentation; and Appendix V contains the Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation (ESR) Plan maps respectively.

Fire Background

The McLane Fire, Number 13700-9141-B2U2 was reported on August 9, 2005 at approximately 1530 hours. The fire was started when an agricultural burn conducted adjacent to the Monument on McLane road escaped containment and rapidly spread onto the Monument. The fire demonstrated extreme fire intensity on over 80% of the fire area as it was pushed through the shrub-steppe community by gusting winds. The McLane fire burned approximately 6850 acres in Wyoming Big sagebrush/ Bitterbrush and Riparian habitat surrounding the WB-10 pond area. The fire exhibited long residence time within the riparian area trees and heavy brush. The wet ground and heavy brush made fighting the fire difficult. The majority of the fire area, 6068 acres (89%) is within the Wahluke Unit of the Hanford Reach National Monument (HRNM), with 782 acres (11%) off the Monument to the east. These off Monument acres are a combination of private, state, Bureau of Land Management and Bureau of Reclamation lands.

Firefighters from Franklin County, Grant County, Benton County, Hanford Fire and the USFWS (Hanford Reach NM, Mid-Columbia NWR, Columbia NWR) responded to the incident. A Single Engine Air Tanker (SEAT) based out of the Richland, Washington airport was used for retardant drops on the upland areas.

Use of the SEAT, bulldozers and disking, along with engines supported by water tenders, initially proved effective methods for line construction and fire containment.

A unified command initiated initial attack at McLane Road. Initial attack activities were conducted by fire crews and engines and supported by the SEAT and a Challenger pulling a disc put in a firebreak on the west and southern flank (See Suppression Impacts Map, Appendix III). Due to the high fuel load, extreme fire intensity, and erratic winds, additional resources were ordered that included a local Type 3 Incident Management Team. Ultimately four bulldozers, a Type 1 helitanker (skycrane) out of Redmond, OR, and hand crews were on the fire along with county and federal firefighters and the SEAT. The skycrane was used for water drops near wetlands where retardant could not be used and for water drops on the uplands. Additional line construction occurred on the north and east flanks of the fire. In all, approximately 100 personnel were assigned to this fire. A Type 2 team from Oregon was ordered and arrived but was not implemented as the fire had been contained. The Type 2 team provided support and remained on scene for less than 12 hours.

Ground disturbance within the shrub-steppe plant community was substantial given the fire location and necessary fire suppression actions (i.e., disking and bulldozing actions) that were employed to prevent the loss of additional acres. Drought (extremely dry) conditions along with gusting winds had the potential to result in extreme fire behavior, lofting fire brands, and high potential for fire spread. The immediate need was to contain the fire to prevent further spread.

Suppression forces accessed the edges of the fire and along boundary fences and thereby created wheel track trails, in addition to the disked/bulldozed lines. These have compacted soils, increased access potential to off-road vehicles, and negatively impacted native vegetation and micro-biotic crusts.

The McLane Fire was controlled at approximately 2400 hours on August 12, 2005.

The HRNM Rehabilitation (R) Team, tasked with evaluation of short and long-term emergency rehabilitation needs, developed this plan to address the following issues:

- Cultural and natural resource values impacted by the fire or fire suppression actions.
- R requirements established by Federal law, policies, and relevant Department of the Interior resource management mandates.
- Rehabilitation requirements established by state laws, policies, and regulations.
- Implementation of treatments in a timely manner, prior to the first damaging winds and rains.

Fire Damages and Threats to Human Safety and Natural and Cultural Resources

The McLane Fire burned 6068 acres of public lands. Fire suppression impacts included: approximately 13.5 miles of disked/bulldozed fire line (51 acres based on 16 foot width), damage to 8 miles of Monument boundary fence, and the potential spread of noxious weeds including; Russian and diffuse knapweed, perennial pepperweed, salt cedar, phragmities, swainson pea, yellow starthistle, rush skeletonweed, and puncture vine.

The entire fire has been mapped by the BAER Team for burn severity. Within shrub-steppe upland habitat areas (4976 acres) approximately 20 percent of the fire area is classified as low burn severity with 80 percent mapped as moderate/high burn severity. This attests to the fires' rapid spread through light fuels, extremely low fuel moisture levels in 100 and 1000 hour fuels and long residency times within the shrubs. Most of the soils examined were not water repellant. Therefore, an overall water yield increase due to the fire is expected to be minor and not exacerbate flooding events. Within the Riparian zones (1092 acres) the burn severity was low due to standing water and available fuel moistures (see map of burn severity/vegetation mortality – Appendix V).

In areas that were a shrub-steppe vegetation community prior to the fire, almost all plant and litter cover that was present in the burn area has been consumed by the fire. The loss of this vegetative cover has

exposed fine sand and silty soils to ablation. Nearly all soils within the burn area have a fairly high risk of wind erosion (please see photo documentation and soils map), however, sandy soils within the burn area are especially susceptible, and blowing dust poses an immanent threat to human life along Mount Vista Road and adjacent residences. The fuels within the riparian area were not completely consumed due to the high moisture content and surface water present in the area.

The ESR Team conducted field surveys after the fire to identify impacts and compile the following recommendations for rehabilitation of affected lands:

Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments:

- Control non-native invasive plants within aquatic and shrub-steppe habitats
- Protect ecological integrity of native shrub-steppe plant communities with native plantings
- Protect ecological integrity of aquatic and riparian ecosystems with native plantings
- Collect native seed from the Monument to provide foundation plant materials for rehabilitation actions
- Monitor seeding and planting effectiveness for site stabilization

Specifications were developed for all actions meeting the requirements for Emergency Rehabilitation funding.

Other resource impacts assessed as a result of the McLane Fire included a review of cultural sites impacted, and impacts to wildlife and vegetation resources.

An archeological inventory has been conducted on all suppression lines and known cultural sites within the fire area. Further cultural resource damage assessments will be required prior to implementation of ground disturbing stabilization actions.

Federal T&E plant species listed as occurring in or having habitat within Franklin or Grant County have not been previously mapped within the fire area.

Listed wildlife species existing within the fire area include 11 species of concern, including ferruginous hawk, loggerhead shrike, and sagebrush lizard. The fire area may also be considered potential habitat for Washington ground squirrels (federal and state candidate) and Columbia Basin pygmy rabbit (federal and state endangered). (See map section –Sensitive wildlife sightings and WA Ground Squirrel/pygmy rabbit habitat maps)

Vegetation resources provide valuable wildlife forage and habitat, watershed protection, and comprise a visually pleasing landscape. Generally speaking, bunchgrass communities experienced greater than 90% vegetative loss. On approximately 80% of the fire area, in upland shrub-steppe zones, complete consumption of vegetative resources was observed. Most shrub, grass and forb species and organic material on the soil surface was consumed indicating extreme fire intensity. The primary vegetative concerns are the recovery of the shrub-steppe plant community (Wyoming big sagebrush and antelope bitterbrush) and control of non-native species and noxious weed invasion. In the riparian zone, the vegetative loss was approximately 50%, on 1092 acres classed as riparian vegetation (18% of the total fire area). The burn was incomplete in the riparian area, leaving patches of unburned vegetation within the fire perimeter and the majority of the tree canopy (i.e., Russian olives) remained while understory areas were burned. Major and immediate concern for invasion of non-native species exists in this area where available water allows for plant growth year round.

This BAER Plan is the initial funding request for Emergency Fire Rehabilitation funds. The Emergency Fire Rehabilitation funding for this plan is for three years from the date of fire containment. At the conclusion of each annual funding period, an Accomplishment Report will be due to the approval authority with an amended funding request for the next fiscal year treatments. A final Accomplishment Report will document the funding received, (initial and supplemental funding), treatments installed, the effectiveness of the installed treatments and the results of monitoring activities.

Hanford Reach National Monument Management Requirements

The uniqueness and biological diversity of the Hanford Reach was formally recognized by Presidential Proclamation 7319 of June 9, 2000 establishing this area as the Hanford Reach National Monument. The monument is described as a "biological treasure, embracing important riparian, aquatic, and upland shrub-steppe habitats that are rare or in decline in other areas. Within its mosaic of habitats, the monument supports a wealth of increasingly uncommon native plant and animal species, the size and diversity of which is unmatched in the Columbia Basin." Because of the high diversity of native plant and animal species, the large number of rare and sensitive plant species, the well developed microbiotic crusts and significant breeding populations of nearly all steppe and shrub-steppe dependent species, the FWS has been tasked to preserve and protect these objects of antiquity in perpetuity. Primary goals for the Monument through the current Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan include:

- Protect and restore the native habitats and biodiversity of the Hanford shrub-steppe ecosystem.
- Conserve and restore the communities of fish and other aquatic and riparian-dependent plant and animal species native to the Hanford Reach National Monument.
- Monitor, protect, and recover native plants and animals that are federally or state listed and any other species that are in any other way considered sensitive.
- Monitor status and trends of migratory birds, particularly those that are considered shrubsteppe obligate species and manage local populations.
- Provide for compatible education, interpretation, and wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities.
- Promote public understanding of the shrub-steppe ecosystem through scientific research and allow other compatible research opportunities afforded by the unique and isolated environment of the National Monument.
- Manage for the protection, preservation, evaluation, and understanding of the cultural heritage and resources of the ALE Reserve while consulting with appropriate Native American groups and complying with historic preservation legislation.
- Provide for operation and maintenance activities without compromising ecological and cultural values.

The following statements in the approved HRNM Fire Management Plan direct the development of the proposed burned area rehabilitation treatments funded through the Burned Area Stabilization and Rehabilitation funds:

 Prior to the completion of an ESR, rehabilitation may be initiated by the Incident Commander, FMO, or Project Leader. A set of standard treatments for slopes, channels, and roads are pre-approved and listed in the Fire Management Handbook on pg. 5.2-1.
 If emergency rehabilitation measures are needed or if rehabilitation is needed to reduce the effects of a wildland fire then the Monument can request appropriate funding through the Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation (BAER) fund. ESR plans for each fire will be reviewed by the Fire Analysis Committee. A final plan will be submitted to Region for establishing an account. Rehabilitation should be initiated prior to complete demobilization or early the following season.

- Protect and restore the native habitats and biodiversity of the Hanford shrub-steppe ecosystem. (ALE –CCP)
- Monitor, protect, and recover native plants and animals that are federally or state listed and any other species that are in any other way considered sensitive. (ALE-CCP)

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN

McLANE FIRE-Hanford Reach National Monument

PART A FIRE LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Fire Name	McLane	Jurisdiction	Acres
Fire Number	13700-9141-B2U2	USFWS, Hanford Reach NM	6068
Agency Unit	US Fish and Wildlife Service Hanford Reach National Monument	Private, Bureau of Land Management, & Bureau of Reclamation	782
Region	Region 1		
State	Washington		
County(s)	Franklin, Grant		
Ignition Date/Manner	August 9, 2005 Human-caused/Agricultural burning		
Zone	Pacific Northwest		
Date Contained	August 11, 2005		
Date Controlled	August 12, 2005	TOTAL ACRES	6850 ac.

PART B NATURE OF PLAN

Type of Plan (check one box below)

Initial Submission	X
Update and Revising Initial Submission	
Supplying Information For Accomplishment To Date On Work Underway	
Different Phase Of Project Plan	
Final Report (To Comply With The Closure Of The EFR Account	

EMERGENCY REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES

- Locate and stabilize severely burned conditions that pose a direct threat to human life, property, or critically important cultural and natural resources.
- Recommend post-fire emergency rehabilitation prescriptions that prevent irreversible loss of natural and cultural resources.
- Conduct immediate post-burn reconnaissance for fire suppression related impacts to threatened and endangered (T&E) species, and cultural sites.
- Develop monitoring specifications designed to document relative effectiveness of emergency rehabilitation treatments or whether additional treatments are required.

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLANE FIRE

PART C - TEAM ORGANIZATION

BAER TEAM MEMBERS

TEAM MEMBER / AGENCY
Heidi Newsome, USFWS
Robert Little, USFWS
Heidi Newsome, Jennifer Meisel, USFWS,
Jennifer Meisel, Kevin Goldie, USFWS
Heidi Newsome, Jennifer Meisel, Kevin Goldie, USFWS
Dan Mulligan, USFWS – Regional Office, Portland
Heidi Newsome USFWS
Lindsey Hayes, Jennifer Meisel USFWS
Heidi Newsome, Jennifer Meisel USFWS

PRIMARY SUPPORT PERSONNEL

Outdoor Recreation Planner	Paula Call, USFWS
----------------------------	-------------------

PART D - SUMMARY OF APPROVAL AUTHORITIES

US FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

ACTIVITIES REQUIRING REGIONAL OFFICE APPROVAL (Emergency Stabilization Requests (Charged to ES)).	Cost
#M-1R- Non-native invasive species control	\$180,103
#M-2R- Ecological Stabilization- Shrub-steppe native plantings	\$237,081
#M-3R- Ecological Stabilization- Riparian rehabilitation-native plantings	\$69,870
#M- 4R- Native Seed Collection	\$7,826
#M- 5R Plan Preparation Cost (non-additive)	(\$1,408)
SUBTOTAL	\$494,880

PART E - SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

The SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES table identifies emergency rehabilitation costs charged or proposed for funding from rehabilitation funding sources. The total cost of the treatments is displayed as either Fire Suppression Rehabilitation (\mathbf{SR}), Emergency Stabilization (\mathbf{ES}), Rehabilitation (\mathbf{R}), or Agency Operations/Other ($\mathbf{OP/O}$).

PART E – US FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

No.	TREATMENT SPECIFICATION	UNIT	UNIT COST	# OF UNITS		COST BY FUND SOURCE		IMPLEMENTATION METHOD	SPECIFICATION TOTAL
	OI LOII IOATION		0001	Oluito	SR	ES	R	METHOD	TOTAL
#M-1R	Non-native invasive species control	Acres	\$91.00	1,600			Х	P,C	\$180,103
#M-2R	Ecological Stabilization- Shrub- steppe native plantings	Acres	\$365	600			Х	С	\$237,081
#M-3R	Ecological Stabilization- Riparian rehabilitation-native plantings	Acres	\$348	300			X	С	\$69,870
#M-4R	Native Seed Collection	Lot	\$559	14			Х	P,C	\$7,826
#M-5R	Plan Prep. Cost	Plan		1			Х	P	(\$1,408)
	() = non-additive to requested funds								
								TOTAL	\$494,880

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLane Fire

PART F - INDIVIDUAL SPECIFICATION

TREATMENT/ACTIVITY NAME	Non-native invasive species control- Integrated Pest Management	PART E SPECIFICATION #	M-1R- Non-native invasive species control
NFPORS TREATMENT		FISCAL YEAR(S)	
CATEGORY*	Rehabilitation	(list each year):	2006, 2007
NFPORS TREATMENT		WUI? Y/N	
TYPE *	Invasive Species		N
IMPACTED		IMPACTED T&E	
COMMUNITIES AT RISK	Shrub-steppe, Riparian	SPECIES	

^{*} See NFPORS Restoration & Rehabilitation module - Edit Treatment screen for applicable entries.

WORK TO BE DONE (describe or attach exact specifications of work to be done):

A. Provide a Brief General Description of Treatment

Control noxious weed infestations remaining within McLane Fire area prior to seed-set and maturation. Control new infestations in spring of 2006 and continue treatments of non-natives through the spring and fall of FY 2007. Current weed species observed include Rush skeleton weed (*Chondrilla juncea*), Russian knapweed (*Acroptilon repens*), Perennial pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolium*), diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*), yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*), rush skeletonweed (*Chondrilla juncea*), puncturevine (*Tribulus terrestris*), salt cedar (*Tamarix ramosissimus*, *T. parviflora*), swainsonpea (*Sphaerophysa salsula*), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), Russian Olive (*Eleagnus angustifolia*), Phragmites (*Phragmites australis*), kochia (*Bassia scoparia*), and Russian thistle (*Salsola kali*). Utilize integrated pest management techniques (herbicides, biological, mechanical and cultural control methods) as appropriate to prevent the spread and establishment of noxious weeds within the fire area. Weed control efforts will be centered around noxious weed populations in and around the WB-10 ponds and on known infestations within the adjacent shrub-steppe plant associations.

B. Describe Specific Treatment Location or General Description of Suitable Sites for Treatment

Control all visible noxious weed populations along roads, trails and disturbed sites within the fire area. Control sites identified include dozerlines, disklines, known infestations of salt cedar, rush skeletonweed, diffuse knapweed, yellow star thistle, puncture vine, perennial pepperweed, Russian knapweed, Russian Olive, swainsonpea, kochia, and Russian thistle. Control non-native invasive species, such as cheatgrass, within the fire perimeter to decrease competition for native grass seeded species.

C. Provide and Number Detailed Design/Construction Specifications

- 1. Control known populations of noxious weeds as identified in USFWS and DOE monitoring surveys (approximately 800 acres) prior to seed set in accordance with guidelines contained within ALE and DOE management plans and approved Environmental Assessments.
- 2. Recommended herbicide for upland infestations including: rush skeleton weed, diffuse knapweed, yellow starthistle, puncturevine, Canada thistle, kochia, and Russian thistle, within upland shrub-steppe areas, is Transline (Clopyralid) @ 1pt/gallon spot treatment and 2,4-D @ 2 pt./gallon in broadcast application. Surfactant may be required as an adjuvant to some of these weed treatments. TIMING: Initial treatment will include aerial application target to reduce and control Russain Olive trees and salt cedar tress in overstory of riparian area (see #3 this specification). This application will take place in Spring (March/April) of 2006. Following this one time aerial application, follow up ground application using ATV with mounted tank and spray boom, or spray hose, or backpack sprayers, will be used to spot treat the entire area from the ground. These treatments will take place in Fall 2006, Spring and Fall of 2007. Timing of ground spray will depend on the phenology of the targeted species that are to be sprayed. See map of Upland Noxious weeds.
- 3. Recommended herbicide for riparian applications including; salt cedar, Russian olive, Russian knapweed, perennial pepperweed, Phragmites, within riparian habitat areas is Habitat @ 6 pt/gal. Aerial treatment, followed by backpack/spot treatment for persistent weeds, and swainsonpea using Habitat/Arsenal (both are the same chemical; Habitat is labeled for use in/near aquatic habitats and Arsenal is labeled for use on upland habitats) with Garlon 4 in combination. Surfactant will be required as an adjuvant to these weed treatments. Mechanical removal of Salt Cedar and dead Russian Olive trees, use of hydraulic excavator. One time application of Habitat from the air will be conducted in Spring (March/April) 2006. Follow up will be done using ground spraying equipment in Fall '06, Spring '07, Fall '07 (See #2 above). See map of riparian habitat, Map Vegetation Mortality, Riparian Noxious weeds.
- **4.** Roadside and small infestations will be treated by backpack spraying or truck/ATV mounted sprayer. Non-native invasive species control within interior of fire area will be treated using rotary aircraft services. See #2 and #3 above with regard to timing. Aerial spray application is one time in the spring of 2006, ground spray treatment follow up are Fall '06, Spring '07 and Fall '07.
- 5. Winds in the area to be sprayed should be less than 10 MPH (constant).
- **6.** A buffer of 150 feet will be adhered to around all private land areas. Herbicides approved for aquatic use will be used in riparian wetland areas according to labeled specifications.
- 7. Applicator will be state certified. All aircraft used should be OAS certified; will be equipped with GPS guidance systems and contractor will be licensed and bonded.

8. Locate, map, and document (using photography, topographic maps, and Global Positioning System--GPS—technology), new weed occurrences within burned area. Provide GPS shapefile to aerial contractors for use in GPS guided applications. Document percent control or kill of noxious weeds.

D. Describe Purpose of Treatment Specification – What Resource will be Protected

Protect the ecological integrity and site productivity of shrub-steppe plant communities and riparian areas within the Monument and DOE lands in accordance with established management plan guidelines.

E. Describe Treatment Effectiveness Monitoring

Spot checking of noxious weed sites to ensure control methods are meeting management objectives. A staff person from the HRNM will visit sites controlled every week after initial treatment; this is especially important for weed populations that are sprayed to ensure effectiveness of herbicide application. If both spring and summer/fall applications are used then monitoring visits will occur during both these times.

LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COST:

PERSONNEL SERVICES (Grade @ Cost/Hours X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item Do not include contract personnel costs here (see contractor services below).	COST/ITEM
Maintenance Laborers (2) x \$24/hour x 120 hours per treatment x 3 treatment periods x 2 years (Backpack spraying work)	\$34,560
Biologist (GS-11) x \$ 23/hour x 80 hours x 2 treatment monitoring periods x 2 years – treatment monitoring	\$7,360
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COST	\$41,920

EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL (Item @ Cost/Hours or Cost/Day or # Days X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST/ITEM
Note: Purchase requires written justification that demonstrates cost/item benefits over lease or rental.	
Misc. Spray nozzles, hoses, backpack sprayer, equipment repair (\$900/year x 2 years)	\$1,800
	\$1,800
TOTAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL COST	

MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES (Item @ Cost/Each X Quantity X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST/ITEM
2,4-D Amine- 1244 gallons (2 pt/ac x 4976 ac) @ \$9.50/gallon	\$11,818
Transline - 5 gallons (0.5% solution over 4976 acres spot treatments) @ \$295/gallon	\$1,475
Habitat (aquatic use) / Arsenal (upland use);(100 gallons each) - 200 gallons @ \$270/gallon	\$54,000
Garlon 4 – 250 gallons (4 pt/ac X 800 acres Riparian habitat) @ \$80	\$20,000
MSO or MVO Surfactant – 175 gallons @ \$ 16.00	\$2,800
Biological Control Agents- Russian Thistle, yellow starthistle, diffuse knapweed, puncturevine	\$6,000
Fuel- (1,000 gallons Diesel @ \$3.04/gallon) (1,000 gallons unleaded @ \$2.75/gallon)	\$5,790
	\$101,883
TOTAL MATERIAL AND SUPPLY COST	

TRAVEL COST (Personnel or Equipment @ Rate X Round Trips X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item	COST/ITEM
TOTAL TRAVEL COST	

CONTRACT COST (Labor or Equipment @ Cost/Hour X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	
	COST /ITEM

Aerial application of Habitat @ 6 oz/acre x \$230 / acre (flight time and chemical) x 150 acres	\$34,500	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	\$34,500	

SPECIFICATION COST SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR	PLANNED INITIATION DATE (M/D/YYYY)	PLANNED COMPLETION DATE (M/D/YYYY)	WORK AGENT	UNITS	UNIT COST	PLANNED ACCOMPL ISHMENTS	PLANNED COST
FY 2006 FY 2007 FY	03/01/2006 10/01/2006	09/30/2006 09/30/2007	C,F C,F	Acres Acres	\$134 \$91	4976 4976	\$107,301 \$ 72,802
FY_						TOTAL	\$180,103

Work Agent: C=Coop Agreement, F=Force Account, G=Grantee, P=Permittees, S=Service Contract, T=Timber Sales Purchaser, V=Volunteer

SOURCE OF COST ESTIMATE

1.	Estimate obtained from 2-3 independent contractual sources.	M,C
2.	Documented cost figures from similar project work obtained from local agency sources.	
3.	Estimate supported by cost guides from independent sources or other federal agencies	
4.	Estimates based upon government wage rates and material cost.	Р
5.	No cost estimate required - cost charged to Fire Suppression Account	

P = Personnel Services, E = Equipment M = Materials/Supplies, T = Travel, C = Contract, F = Suppression

RELEVANT DETAILS, MAPS AND DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT:

List Relevant Documentation and Cross-Reference Location within the Accomplishment Report.:

Refer to Vegetation Assessment- Appendix I; and Noxious Weed Maps (Riparian and Upland)-Appendix V. Refer to Invasive Plant Species Inventory and Management Plan for the Hanford Reach National Monument (2003).

TOTAL COST BY JURSIDICTION

JURISDICTION	UNITS TREATED	COST
USFWS	4976	\$180,103
	TOTAL COST	\$180,103

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLANE FIRE

PART F - INDIVIDUAL SPECIFICATION

TREATMENT/ACT IVITY NAME	Ecological Stabilization- Native Plantings	PART E SPECIFICATION #	#M-2R- Ecological Stabilization- Shrub-steppe native plantings
NFPORS TREATMENT CATEGORY*	Rehabilitation	FISCAL YEAR(S) (list each year):	2006, 2007
NFPORS TREATMENT TYPE *	Native Plantings	WUI? Y/N	N
IMPACTED COMMUNITIES AT RISK	Shrub-steppe	IMPACTED T&E SPECIES	

^{*} See NFPORS Restoration & Rehabilitation module - Edit Treatment screen for applicable entries.

WORK TO BE DONE

A. Provide a Brief General Description of Treatment

The treatment will consist of planting native shrub seedlings including Wyoming big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*), Spiny hopsage (*Grayia spinosa*), Antelope bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*), winterfat (*Eurotia lanata*), and/or purple sage (*Salvia dorrii*), as available, to rehabilitate 600 acres of impacted shrub-steppe plant communities that serve as critical habitat for listed and sensitive species.

B. Describe Specific Treatment Location or General Description of Suitable Sites for Treatment

Seedlings will be planted in historic native shrub plant community sites. Planting sites will be chosen based upon habitat recovery needs, soil productivity, moisture regimes, lack of invasive species, and other native plant species post-fire recovery. Seedling shrubs will be installed in areas near to the limited existing shrub cover that survived the fire. This will expand the effective shrub cover within the fire area, and will allow areas being seeded to native grasses to receive herbicide treatment without impacting planted shrubs. Shrubs will be installed by contracted professional re-forestation planting crews. All sites will be cleared for planting by cultural resources staff prior to installing seedlings.

C. Provide and Number Detailed Design/Construction Specifications

- 1. Select planting locations and GPS boundaries of planting locations.
- 2. Provide maps to cultural resources personnel for review and clearance under section 106 NHPA.
- 3. Install seedling plants using contract re-forestation planters, December 2006. Supervise planting and provide maintenance and logistics support.

D. Describe Purpose of Treatment Specification – What Resource will be Protected

Protect and stabilize the ecological integrity and site productivity of native shrub-steppe plant communities, by preventing the invasion of non-native invasive species, and by establishing a trajectory for site recovery, within the Wahluke Unit, Hanford Reach National Monument in accordance with established refuge purposes and establishment guidelines.

E. Describe Treatment Effectiveness Monitoring

During the summer of 2007 and 2008 conduct survival survey to determine success of outplantings. Determination of survival rate should be documented with findings incorporated into greenhouse growing operations, management guidelines for native restoration, Agency protocols, and annual budget submissions.

II. LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COST:

The control of the co	
PERSONNEL SERVICES (Grade @ Cost/Hours X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item Do not include contract personnel costs here (see contractor services below).	COST/ITEM
Wildlife Biologist or Natural Resource Specialist GS -11 (\$21.68/hr) X 480 Hours	\$10,406.00
Archeologist GS-11 (\$21.68/hr) X 80 Hours	\$1,735.00
Administration Contractual Support- GS-11 (\$23.50/hr) x 40 Hours	\$940.00
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COST	\$13,081.00

EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL (Item @ Cost/Hours or Cost/Day or # Days X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item) Note: Purchase requires written justification that demonstrates cost/item benefits over lease or rental.	COST/ITEM
TOTAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL COST	

MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES (Item @ Cost/Each X Quantity X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST/ITEM
Native shrub seedlings – 10" tubling container stock @ .75 X 200,000	\$150,000.00
TOTAL MATERIAL AND SUPPLY COST	\$150,000.00

TRAVEL COST (Personnel or Equipment @ Rate X Round Trips X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item	COST/ITEM
TOTAL TRAVEL COST	

CONTRACT COST (Labor or Equipment @ Cost/Hour X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST /ITEM
Re-forestation planting crew @ .37 per plant X 200,000 plants	\$74,000.00
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	\$74,000.00

SPECIFICATION COST SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR	PLANNED INITIATION DATE (M/D/YYYY)	PLANNED COMPLETION DATE (M/D/YYYY)	WORK AGENT	UNITS	UNIT COST	PLANNED ACCOMPL ISHMENTS	PLANNED COST
FY 2006 FY 2007	11/15/2005 10/01/2006	03/01/2006 03/01/2007	C C	Acres Acres	\$395 \$395	300 300	\$118,540 \$118,541
FY_ FY_						TOTAL	\$237,081

Work Agent: C=Coop Agreement, F=Force Account, G=Grantee, P=Permittees, S=Service Contract, T=Timber Sales Purchaser, V=Volunteer

SOURCE OF COST ESTIMATES

Put Letter (P,M,T,C, or F) Next to Appropriate Cost Estimate Source (1-5) Below	
Estimate obtained from 2-3 independent contractual sources.	M
Documented cost figures from similar project work obtained from local agency sources.	P, C
3. Estimate supported by cost guides from independent sources or other federal agencies.	
4. Estimates based upon government wage rates and material cost.	Р
5. No cost estimate required – cost charged to Fire Suppression Account (not tracked in plan)	
P = Personnel Services M = Materials/Supplies T = Travel C = Contract F	F = Suppression

III. RELEVANT DETAILS, MAPS, AND DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

List Relevant Documentation and Cross-References within ESR Plan
See Vegetation Assessment, Wildlife Assessment, Appendix I, Treatment Map, Appendix V

IV. TOTAL COST BY JURSIDICTION

JURISDICTION	UNITS TREATED	COST
USFWS	600 acres	\$237,081
TOTAL COST	600 acres	\$237,081

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLANE FIRE

PART F - INDIVIDUAL SPECIFICATION

TREATMENT/ACTIVITY NAME	Ecological Rehabilitation- Native Plantings	PART E SPECIFICATION #	#M- 3R Ecological Stabilization- Riparian rehabilitation- native plantings
NFPORS TREATMENT		FISCAL YEAR(S)	
CATEGORY*	Rehabilitation	(list each year):	2006, 2007
NFPORS TREATMENT		WUI? Y/N	
TYPE *	Native Plantings		N
IMPACTED		IMPACTED T&E	
COMMUNITIES AT RISK	Riparian	SPECIES	

^{*} See NFPORS Restoration & Rehabilitation module - Edit Treatment screen for applicable entries.

V. WORK TO BE DONE

A. Provide a Brief General Description of Treatment

The treatment will consist of planting native species within riparian areas, following treatment of non-native riparian species (Russian Olive (Eleagnus angustifolia), Phragmites (Phragmites australis) and salt cedar (Tamarix ramosissimus, T. parviflora) Plantings include native shrub/tree seedlings including Willow (Salix sp), Black Cottonwood (Populus balsamifera ssp. tricocarpa), Clematis (Clematis ligusticifolia), Red-twig dogwood (Cornus stolonifera), Black hawthorne (Crataegus douglasii), Golden currant (Ribies aureum), and Wood's rose (Rosa woodsii) as available, to rehabilitate impacted riparian plant communities that serve as critical habitat for listed and sensitive species. Replacing non-native plants with native plants will prevent spread and expansion of non-native species.

B. Describe Specific Treatment Location or General Description of Suitable Sites for Treatment

Seedlings will be planted in areas that were riparian plant community sites and will be replanted using riparian native species of the region. Planting sites will be chosen based upon habitat recovery needs, soil productivity, moisture regimes, lack of invasive species, and other native plant species post-fire recovery. Seedling shrubs/trees will be installed in areas where fire damage has increased the potential for non-native species to spread. This will expand the effective shrub/tree cover within riparian zones in the fire area. Shrubs/trees will be installed by contracted professional re-forestation planting crews. All sites will be cleared for planting by cultural resources staff prior to installing seedlings.

C. Provide and Number Detailed Design/Construction Specifications

- 1. Select areas for mechanical/herbicide treatments, based on fire damage, and areas of infestation, logistics of equipment use, and GPS boundaries for noxious weed treatment and planting locations.
- 2. Provide maps to cultural resources personnel for review and clearance under section 106 NHPA.
- 3. Conduct mechanical removal.
- 4. Install seedling/bare root plants using contract re-forestation planters, December 2005/Jan.2006 and Dec. 2006/Jan. 2007. Supervise planting and provide maintenance and logistics support.

D. Describe Purpose of Treatment Specification – What Resource will be Protected

Protect and stabilize the ecological integrity and site productivity of riparian habitat areas, by preventing the invasion of non-native invasive species, and by establishing a trajectory for site recovery, within the Wahluke unit, Hanford Reach National Monument in accordance with established refuge purposes and establishment guidelines.

E. Describe Treatment Effectiveness Monitoring

During the summer of 2006 & 2007, conduct survival survey to determine success of out-plantings. Determination of survival rate should be documented with findings incorporated into greenhouse growing operations, management guidelines for native restoration, Agency protocols, and annual budget submissions.

VI. LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COST:

PERSONNEL SERVICES (Grade @ Cost/Hours X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item Do not include contract personnel costs here (see contractor services below).	COST/ITEM
Wildlife Biologist or Natural Resource Specialist GS -11 (21.68/hr) X 200 Hours	\$4,336
Archeologist GS-11 (21.68/hr) X 60 Hours	\$1,734
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COST	\$6,070

EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL (Item @ Cost/Hours or Cost/Day or # Days X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item) Note: Purchase requires written justification that demonstrates cost/item benefits over lease or rental.	COST/ITEM
TOTAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL COST	

MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES (Item @ Cost/Each X Quantity X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST/ITEM
Native riparian plants – whips, and bare root seedlings for 15	\$ 33,800
0 acres to prevent weed re-invasion and stabilize burned areas. Salix (willow) sp. 10,000 @ 0.85 ea = \$8500 Wood's rose 10,000 @ 1.00 ea = \$10,000 Golden currant 8000 @ .95 ea = \$7600 Black cottonwood 4000 @ .55 ea = \$2000 Red osier dogwood 7000 @ .70 = \$4900 Clematis 1000 @ .80 = \$800	
TOTAL MATERIAL AND SUPPLY COST	\$33,800

TRAVEL COST (Personnel or Equipment @ Rate X Round Trips X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item	COST/ITEM
TOTAL TRAVEL COST	

CONTRACT COST (Labor or Equipment @ Cost/Hour X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST /ITEM
Re-forestation planting crew @ .75 per plant riparian areas X 40,000 plants	\$30,000
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	\$30,000

SPECIFICATION COST SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR	PLANNED INITIATION DATE (M/D/YYYY)	PLANNED COMPLETION DATE (M/D/YYYY)	WORK AGENT	UNITS	UNIT COST	PLANNED ACCOMPL ISHMENTS	PLANNED COST
FY 2006 FY 2007 FY	12/01/2005 10/01/2006	04/15/2006 04/15/2007	C,F C,F	Acres Acres	\$233 \$233	150 150	\$34,935 \$34,935
FY_						TOTAL	\$69,870

Work Agent: C=Coop Agreement, F=Force Account, G=Grantee, P=Permittees, S=Service Contract, T=Timber Sales Purchaser, V=Volunteer

SOURCE OF COST ESTIMATES

Put Letter (P,M,T,C, or F) Next to Appro	priate Cost Estimat	e Source (1-5) Bel	ow			
Estimate obtained from 2-3 independent contractual sources.					М	
2. Documented cost figures from similar p	2. Documented cost figures from similar project work obtained from local agency sources.					
3. Estimate supported by cost guides from independent sources or other federal agencies.						
4. Estimates based upon government wage rates and material cost.					Р	
5. No cost estimate required – cost charge	d to Fire Suppressio	n Account (not tracl	ked in plan)			
P = Personnel Services M = I	laterials/Supplies	T = Travel	C = Contract	F=	Suppression	

VII. RELEVANT DETAILS, MAPS, AND DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

THE RELEVANT DETAILS, MAN S, AND DOCUMENTATION INCOLUDED IN THIS RELIGION.				
List Relevant Documentation and Cross-References within ESR Plan				
See Vegetation Assessment, Wildlife Assessment, Appendix I, Treatment Map, Appendix V				

VIII. TOTAL COST BY JURSIDICTION

JURISDICTION	UNITS TREATED	COST
USFWS	300 acres	\$34,935
TOTAL COST	300 acres	\$34,935

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLane Fire

PART F - SPECIFICATION

SPECIFICATION TITLE:	Ecological Stabilization- Native Seed Collection	JURSIDICTIONS:	USFWS-HRNM
PART C: LINE ITEM:	#M-4R -Native seed collection and processing	FISCAL YEAR:	2006,2007
ESR REFERENCE #:	8.3.2.3 Revegetation	SPECIFICATION TYPE:	R

IX. WORK TO BE DONE

A. Provide a Brief General Description of Treatment

Collect native seed from shrub-steppe plant communities surrounding the McLane fire area for the establishment of rehabilitation plant materials for rehabilitation treatments related to ecological stabilization of the site.

B. Describe Specific Treatment Location or General Description of Suitable Sites for Treatment

Collect seed from native Wyoming big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*), bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*), spiny hopsage (*Grayia spinosa*), purple sage (*Salvia dorrii*) and buckwheats (*Erioginum sp.*) and bunchgrass (*Poa sp.*, *Stipa sp.*, *Oryzopsis sp.*, *Agropyron sp.*) populations for the establishment of nursery stock for rehabilitation efforts within the McLane fire area. Collection sites will be within HRNM, SMNWR, or adjacent lands with permission.

C. Provide and Number Detailed Design/Construction Specifications

- 1. Identify collection sites within the project area for native seed collection.
- 2. Develop collection protocols to ensure genetic quality and the protection of collection sites from over-harvest.
- 3. Collect adequate seed in CY06 & 07 to contract for seedling production and seed multiplication in 07 & 08.
- 4. Process and clean collected seed to obtain useable material for nursery growing operations (seedling production) and field trials

D. Describe Purpose of Treatment Specification – What Resource will be Protected

To ensure compatibility for adaptation of plants to site specific conditions. The Hanford Site area is known to be one of the harshest growing environments (i.e. hottest and driest parts of Washington state), plants do not survive well in this area unless derived from local stock, or adapted to conditions in the Columbia Basin. Seed collection will protect the ecological integrity and site productivity of shrub-steppe plant communities within the McLane fire area, by providing plants that are adapted to site specific conditions.

E. Describe Treatment Effectiveness Monitoring

Seeds would be categorized by collection (seed lot) and germination trials conducted. Seedlings/seeds produced from seed would be outplanted in fire area and monitored for survival.

X. LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COST:

PERSONNEL SERVICES (Grade @ Cost/Hours X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item Do not include contract personnel costs here (see contractor services below).	COST/ITEM
Biologist GS- 11 @ \$23/hr. X 120 hours X 2 years	\$5,520.00
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COST	\$5,520.00

EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL (Item @ Cost/Hours or Cost/Day or # Days X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item) Note: Purchase requires written justification that demonstrates cost/item benefits over lease or rental.	COST/ITEM
TOTAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL COST	

MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES (Item @ Cost/Each X Quantity X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST/ITEM
TOTAL MATERIAL AND SUPPLY COST	

TRAVEL COST (Personnel or Equipment @ Rate X Round Trips X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item	COST/ITEM
GSA Vehicle- 100 miles per day , 4 days per week, for 8 weeks @ .37 per mi.	\$1,184.00
TOTAL TRAVEL COST	\$1,184.00

CONTRACT COST (Labor or Equipment @ Cost/Hour X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	
	COST /ITEM
Seed Cleaning Costs (to produce up to 25 pounds of clean seed of grasses) @ \$61.25 per lot X 7 species	\$429.00
Germination tests per seed lot @ \$ 45 per lot X 7 species	\$315.00
TZ testing per seed lot @ \$ 54 per lot X 7 species	\$ 378.00
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	\$1,122.00

SPECIFICATION COST SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR	UNIT	UNIT CO	ST	# OF UNITS	COST	SOURCE	METHOD
2006	lot	\$559		7	\$3,913	R	P, C
2007	lot	\$559		7	\$3,913	R	P, C
TOTAL	lot	\$559		14	\$7,826	R	P,C
FUNDING SOURCES F= Fire Suppression ESR = Emergency Stabilization & Rehab. OP/O = Agency Operating Fund EWP = Emergency Watershed Program			ES = R = I	CIFICATION TYP Emergency Stabi Rehabilitation Fire Suppression	lization	METHOD OF CO P = Agency Perso C = Contract EFC = Emergency FC = Crew Labor	onnel Services y Fire Contract

SOURCE OF COST ESTIMATES

Put Letter (P,M,T,C, or F) Next to Appropriate Cost Estimate Source (1-5) Below	
Estimate obtained from 2-3 independent contractual sources.	С
Documented cost figures from similar project work obtained from local agency sources.	Т
3. Estimate supported by cost guides from independent sources or other federal agencies.	
4. Estimates based upon government wage rates and material cost.	Р
5. No cost estimate required – cost charged to Fire Suppression Account (not tracked in plan)	
P = Personnel Services M = Materials/Supplies T = Travel C = Contract	ct F = Suppression

RELEVANT DETAILS, MAPS, AND DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT XI.

List Relevant Documentation and Cross-References within ESR Plan					

XII. TOTAL COST BY JURSIDICTION

JURISDICTION	UNITS TREATED	COST
USFWS-HRNM	14	\$7,826
TOTAL COST	14	\$7,826

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLane Fire

PART F - SPECIFICATION

SPECIFICATION TITLE:	Plan Preparation	JURSIDICTIONS:	USFWS-HFR
PART E: LINE ITEM:	#M-5R- Plan Preparation	FISCAL YEAR:	2005
ESR REFERENCE #:	5.4.2 Rehabilitation PLAN	SPECIFICATION TYPE:	R

XIII. WORK TO BE DONE

A. Provide a Brief General Description of Treatment

Prepare the Emergency Rehabilitation (R) plan for the McLane Fire on the Hanford Reach National Monument.

B. Describe Specific Treatment Location or General Description of Suitable Sites for Treatment

Plan has been prepared to address all land ownerships within the McLane including USFWS managed and private lands. Plan costs include administrative costs, salaries of planning team, and supplies.

C. Provide and Number Detailed Design/Construction Specifications

- Conduct a detailed assessment of burn severity, its impacts to lands and the threats to life and property; protect critical cultural and natural resources.
- 2. Write specifications based on assessment recommendations.
- 3. Submit plan for approval and secure funding from appropriate sources.
- 4. Per policy, complete annual reports with monitoring narratives and cost details.

D. Describe Purpose of Treatment Specification – What Resource will be Protected

To prepare a comprehensive ESR plan to manage or mitigate the fire impacts in order to protect life, property and critical cultural and natural resources.

E. Describe Treatment Effectiveness Monitoring

Per policy, an annual and final accomplishment report will be prepared with detailed costs and monitoring narratives and will be completed (DM 620, Chapter 3).

XIV. LABOR. EQUIPMENT. MATERIALS. AND OTHER COST:

AIV. LABOR, EQUIFMENT, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COST.	
PERSONNEL SERVICES (Grade @ Cost/Hours X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item Do not include contract personnel costs here (see contractor services below).	COST/ITEM
Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist @ \$34/hour x 36 hours Wildlife Biologist @ \$23/hour x 8 hours	\$1,224 \$184
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COST	\$1,408.00
EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL (Item @ Cost/Hours or Cost/Day or # Days X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item) Note: Purchase requires written justification that demonstrates cost/item benefits over lease or rental.	COST/ITEM
TOTAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, LEASE, OR RENTAL COST	
MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES (Item @ Cost/Each X Quantity X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST/ITEM
TOTAL MATERIAL AND SUPPLY COST	
TRAVEL COST (Personnel or Equipment @ Rate X Round Trips X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item	COST/ITEM

CONTRACT COST (Labor or Equipment @ Cost/Hour X # Hours X # Fiscal Years = Cost/Item)	COST /ITEM
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	COST /ITEM

SPECIFICATION COST SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR	UNIT	UNIT CO	ST	# OF UNITS	COST	FUNDING SOURCE	METHOD
2005	Plan	\$1,408		1	\$1,408	R	P
TOTAL	Plan	\$1,408		1	\$1,408	R	Р
FUNDING SOURCES F= Fire Suppression ES/R = Emergency Stabilization/ Rehab. OP/O = Agency Operating Fund EWP = Emergency Watershed Program		SPECIFICATION TYPE ES = Emergency Stabilization R = Rehabilitation FS = Fire Suppression		METHOD OF COMPLETION P = Agency Personnel Services C = Contract EFC = Emergency Fire Contract FC = Crew Labor Assigned to Fire			

SOURCE OF COST ESTIMATES

SOURCE OF COST ESTIMATES				
Put Letter (P,M,T,C, or F) Next to Appropriate Cost Estimate Source (1-5) Below				
Estimate obtained from 2-3 independent contractual sources.				
2. Documented cost figures from similar project work obtained from local agency sources.				
3. Estimate supported by cost guides from independent sources or other federal agencies.				
4. Estimates based upon government wage rates and material cost.	P,M,T			
5. No cost estimate required – cost charged to Fire Suppression Account (not tracked in plan)				
P = Personnel Services M = Materials/Supplies T = Travel C = Contract F	F = Suppression			

XV. RELEVANT DETAILS, MAPS, AND DOCUMENTATION INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

List Relevant Documentation and Cross-References within BAER Plan

XVI. TOTAL COST BY JURSIDICTION

JURISDICTION	UNITS TREATED	COST
USFWS	1	\$1,408
TOTAL COST		\$1,408

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLANE FIRE- HANFORD REACH NATIONAL MONUMENT

APPENDIX I RESOURCE ASSESSMENTS

- CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT
- WILDLIFE RESOURCE ASSESSMENT
- VEGETATION RESOURCE ASSESSMENT
- OPERATIONS RESOURCE ASSESSMENT



BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN MCLANE FIRE CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT

I. OBJECTIVES

Assess damages to known historic and prehistoric cultural resources as the result of fire behavior.

Assess potential risks to known/documented cultural resources as the result of the fire (e.g. erosion, flooding and exposure to looting and/or vandalism).

Assess potential risks to known cultural resources as the result of emergency stabilization and rehabilitation activities.

Coordinate with Federally recognized Tribes.

II. ISSUES

- Identify known/documented resources that have been subject to direct or indirect effects of fire.
- Identify emergency stabilization and/or protection needs for cultural resources within the fire.
- Other resources stabilization measures that may put cultural resources at risk.
- Consultation with appropriate parties to meet legal compliance and tribal consultation.

III. OBSERVATIONS

A. Background

The McLane Fire, Number 137000-9141-B2U2, was reported on August 9, 2005 at approximately 1530 hours. The fire was started when an agricultural burn conducted adjacent to the Hanford Reach National Monument (HRNM) on McLane Road escaped containment and rapidly spread into HRNM's Wahluke Unit. The fire demonstrated extreme fire intensity on over 80% of the fire area as it was pushed by gusting winds through the shrub-steppe community. The fire exhibited long residence time within the riparian area trees and heavy brush. The wet ground and dense vegetation made fire suppression efforts difficult. Of the total 6,850 acres burned by the McLane Fire, 6,088 acres are within the HRNM. The majority of the fire area is within the HRNM Wahluke Unit, although some private, state, Bureau of Land Management and Bureau of Reclamation lands to the east were also burned. The northern end of the burn area is located approximately two miles south of Highway 24 roughly between Mileposts 64-65, and extends southward for nearly six miles across both sides of the Grant County/Franklin County line.

The HRNM has a large number of historic and prehistoric sites recorded within its boundaries. Most of the historic properties are related to the Hanford Site's nuclear development, including the Manhattan Project, Cold War developments and cleanup activities associated with decommissioning of the facilities. In addition, there are pre-Hanford homesteads that were displaced in 1943 for the Hanford Site. The prehistoric component is primarily known from earlier work done by a number of archaeologists at large village sites along the Columbia River, as well as other sites located during National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106 compliance surveys.

The prehistoric cultural chronology of the Hanford Site area is taken from the *National Register of Historic Places Multiple Property Documentation Form – Historic, Archaeological and Traditional Cultural Properties of the Hanford Site, Washington* (U.S. Department of Energy 1997). The chronology summary states:

The prehistoric Columbia Plateau region has been impacted by basalt flows, catastrophic flooding, and environmental change which has

meant that prehistoric regional inhabitants adapted their cultural subsistence systems as necessary to survive. The moist, cool conditions of the early Holocene meant that early peoples [12-15,000 B.P. to 8,000 years B.P.] were probably mobile, taking advantage of available resources in an organized fashion.

As the environment became drier after 8,000 years B.P., it is likely that the descendants of these early people developed a more mobile, generalized riverine-based economy. The arrival of a moist and cool environment at approximately 4,500 years B.P. was coupled with year-round residency and a hunter-gatherer subsistence pattern which was modified briefly at 3,800 years B.P.

Approximately four-hundred years later, circa 3,400 years B.P., the climate cooled once again but the sedentary lifestyle did not return to the study area until 3,000 years B.P. After this point, populations increased along the rivers as groups focused on salmon, roots and ungulates. A significant increase in storage and food processing activities were common to many people throughout the Columbia Basin although the mobility of the hunter-gatherer lifestyle remained a strong component into the ethnographic period (1997:2-1).

The area of the McLane Fire may have been used seasonally for gathering grass seeds and roots, hunting and acquisition of silicates and basalt from the area's glacial gravel deposits. It appears, however, that this area is far enough above the Columbia River and lacks evidence of springs or dry channels that would indicate a nearby water supply. Thus, it is believed that this area did not afford requisite resources for more-permanent settlement.

The Ethnographic/Contact Period (1805-1943) extends from the time of first Euroamerican contact to when Native Americans were excluded from settlement and/or use of the area. This period reflects both a continuity of earlier, pre-Contact lifeways and subsequent changes to Euroamerican building styles and incorporation of Euroamerican materials. During this period, Native groups ceded lands and were, for the most part, moved onto reservations. At the present time, the Federally-recognized Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Yakama Indian Nation, Confederated Tribes of the Colville Indian Reservation, Nez Perce Tribe and the non Federally-recognized Wanapum have expressed interest in this area (U.S. Department of Energy 1997:3.4-3.35).

Euroamerican Resettlement on the Hanford Site (1805-1943): The Historic Period began with the passage of the Lewis and Clark expedition (1805-1806) through the area. Subsequent to this came the passage of missionaries, mining, ranching, establishment of trading posts, river travel and community development (U.S. Department of Energy 1997:4.6-4.21). With the possibility of grazing and limited homestead use, the area within the McLane Fire appears to have been bypassed by historic development in favor of other locations with better access to water.

Hanford Development (1943-1990). The history associated with the Hanford Site and its nuclear development is included in History of the Hanford Site 1943-1990 (Harvey n.d.) and History of the Plutonium Production Facilities at the Hanford Site Historic District, 1943-1990. Manhattan Project 1943-1946, Cold War Era 1947-1990. (U.S. Department of Energy 2002).

Since the McLane Fire occurred on lands that were acquired as a buffer for the Hanford Site, no development occurred from 1943-1950. Beginning in 1950, Cold War tensions resulted in military presence at Hanford. In 1950, the first 16 anti-aircraft artillery batteries were established to encircle and protect Hanford's nuclear reactors. The typical layout of a battery

covered about 20 acres and had up to 20 associated buildings and structures. Beginning in 1954, the U.S. Army began supplementing the anti-aircraft artillery guns with NIKE surface to air missiles and, by late 1957-early 1958, had phased-out the artillery sites within the fire area (Harvey 2002:2-93 – 2-96). The battery sites were later razed at some unspecified date after their deactivation.

B. Reconnaissance Methodology and Results

BAER/U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Archaeologist Dan Mulligan arrived at HRNM on August 17, 2005 and, after consultation and orientation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife staff at the HRNM headquarters office in Richland, Washington, went to the field. After examining cultural resource records and maps on file at the HRNM office and the nearby Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, he spent the remainder of August 17-18 inspecting the ~13.5-mile long, bulldozed fire suppression line that encircles the northern, western and southern extent of the McLane Fire area. Burn areas and suppression lines located east of the HRNM property boundary were not examined. The entire length of the HRNM suppression line was examined, with particular focus on identifying any suppression-related impacts to any known or previously-undocumented cultural resources located in the immediate vicinity.

Prehistoric Sites

HRNM cultural resource records indicate that no prehistoric sites or isolated finds have been previously documented within the McLane Fire area boundaries.

Historic Sites

Since the McLane Fire was located on the northeast side of the Columbia River, it did not affect sites related to the Hanford Historic District. The burn area, however, contained at least three site areas associated with at least one or more of the 16 anti-aircraft artillery positions that encircled the nuclear reactors. The McLane Fire burned over four documented site areas (45GR1301, 45GR1302, 45GR1297, 45GR1298) associated with these previously-removed military positions. Anti-aircraft batteries commonly covered up to 20 acres, so it may be assumed that several site numbers could refer to different activity areas of the same battery.

Due to the removal of above-ground features and bulldozing of most foundations, these sites primarily consist of access roads, small mounds of concrete rubble, concrete pads, areas paved with asphalt, a number of black locust trees, metallic debris and scattered cans that appear to date to the 1950-1960 period. While an unknown number of trees were killed by the quick-moving fire, most of the sites only saw partial vegetation consumption. The integrity of the sites have previously compromised by structure removal. Impacts to the remaining structures' and features' physical characteristics by the McLane Fire has not been determined. None of the sites were found during the August 17-18 reconnaissance. These sites are situated on flat terrain and, therefore, will not be subject to erosion.

Three previously-recorded sites (45GR520, 45FR521, 45FR531) are historic cisterns associated with the homesteading era. These sites typically consist of shallow depressions surrounded by wood and/or wire fencing and associated trash/can scatters. None of the sites are situated near the fire suppression line and none were found during the August 17-18 reconnaissance. Previous investigations at these sites indicate each was in poor condition when initially recorded. Impacts to the sites' physical characteristics by the McLane Fire have not been determined. Monitoring and evaluation of the fire's effects on the sites is recommended.

An undocumented, early-20th Century "old railroad grade" (no historic information, only referenced on the Hanford NE USGS quad map) is located adjacent to the northern stretch of fire suppression line. At one location, the suppression line diagonally bisects the grade.

Given the lack of information about the grade, no additional recommendations have been determined at this time.

No previously-documented and no unrecorded sites were observed within the fire suppression line.

Additional Risks

Major village sites and historic sites were not observed or relocated within the McLane Fire area. Based on the lack of cultural resources noted during transects walked along the fire suppression line, it does not appear likely that fire suppression efforts have exposed unknown archaeological sites. The interior of the burned area was not examined. Additional ground inspections are recommended for areas where future ground-disturbing activities are to be implemented.

The primary threat to this area could be trespassing associated with the collection of historic debris exposed by destruction and removal of the burned area's vegetation. Additional ground inspections are recommended to pinpoint and document the locations and appearance of (or, lack thereof) both documented and previously unrecorded historic sites and artifacts.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Emergency Stabilization - Fire Suppression Repair

Fire suppression activities did not impact prehistoric or historic sites.

B. Emergency Stabilization

No Emergency Stabilization specifications specific to cultural resources are advanced at this time. If ground-disturbing activities are proposed for other resources under emergency stabilization,

Section 106 clearance, including appropriate tribal consultation, should be included in that Specification.

C. Rehabilitation

No rehabilitation specifications specific to cultural resources are advanced at this time. If ground-disturbing activities are proposed, Section 106 clearance, including appropriate tribal consultation, should be included in that specification.

D. Management Recommendations - Non-Specific Related

Wildland fire has the potential to adversely affect cultural resources, however it also offers the opportunity to perform inventories in areas that were previously inaccessible and in areas where fire has effectively removed ground cover that was obscuring sites. In this case, however, opportunities exist to inventory the unburned area between the fire area and the HRNM boundaries to the immediate north, south and west. Funding for this suggested activity should come from the unit's operating program and/or other funding sources. Given these conditions, the following non-specification recommendations are offered:

A systematic and comprehensive cultural resources inventory and site documentation in areas of high site probability should be carried out on hillslope benches and draws, including the transition from where the steep hill slopes level onto the flats, especially in areas where silicate gravels are found.

V. CONSULTATIONS

WA-SHPO – Archaeologist Dan Mulligan will initiate contact with the Washington State Historic Preservation Office via telephone and email on August 22, 2005 to relay there were no fire effects to cultural resources and that Section 106 NHPA procedures will be followed for any treatments that may affect cultural resources.

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory – Archaeologist Dan Mulligan initiated contact on August 18, 2005 with Darby Stapp, Project Manager, Cultural Resources Project Manager, Richland, Washington.

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation - Archaeologist Dan Mulligan initiated contact on August 16, 2005.

Yakama Indian Nation – Archaeologist Dan Mulligan will initiate contact on August 22, 2005.

Confederated Tribes of the Colville Indian Reservation – Archaeologist Dan Mulligan will initiate contact on August 22, 2005.

Nez Perce Tribe – Archaeologist Dan Mulligan will initiate contact on August 22, 2005.

Wanapum Tribe – Archaeologist Dan Mulligan will initiate contact on August 22, 2005.

VI. REFERENCES

David Harvey.

N.D. History of the Hanford Site 1943-1990. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

D.W. Harvey.

2002 Military Operations. In History of the Plutonium Production Facilities at the Hanford Site Historic District, 1943-1990. Manhattan Project 1943-1946, Cold War Era 1947-1990, Chapter 9. Hanford Cultural and Historic Resources Program.

United States Department of Energy.

1997 National Register of Historic Places Multiple Property Documentation Form – Historic, Archaeological and Traditional Cultural Properties of the Hanford Site, Washington. February 1997.

United States Department of Energy.

2002 History of the Plutonium Production Facilities at the Hanford Site Historic District, 1943-1990. Manhattan Project 1943-1946, Cold War Era 1947-1990. Hanford Cultural and Historic Resources Program.

Dan Mulligan, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cultural Resources Division, Region 1, Sherwood, OR (503) 625-4377

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLANE FIRE

WILDLIFE RESOURCE ASSESSMENT

I. OBJECTIVES

- Assess effects of fire and suppression actions to Threatened, Endangered,
 Proposed and other significant state and federal agency listed species and their habitat, including birds, mammals, amphibians, reptiles, fish and insects.
- Initiate Emergency Section 7 Consultation as required by the Endangered Species Act.
- Assess effects of fire and suppression action to habitat improvements.
- Assess effects of proposed emergency stabilization actions to listed species and habitat.

II. ISSUES

- 11 agency (state and/or federal) listed wildlife species occur within the fire area, most of which are dependent on the shrub-steppe plant community.
- Potential effects to these species from the fire, suppression actions and potential post fire effects to shrub-steppe obligate species.
- Potential effects to these species from proposed emergency stabilization actions.

III. OBSERVATIONS

A. Background

The purpose of this Burn Area Emergency Stabilization (BAER) Wildlife Assessment is to document the effects of the fire, suppression actions, proposed emergency stabilization work, and potential post fire erosion, to all federally and state listed, agency sensitive and culturally significant mammals, birds, amphibians, reptiles, fish, invertebrates, and their habitats which may occur within or downstream from the fire area. This assessment also includes documentation on Emergency Section 7 Consultation, as required by the Endangered Species Act, with U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The species list is included in Appendix V of this report. The species list for the fire area was developed by Heidi Newsome, Wildlife Biologist, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), Hanford Reach National Monument/Saddle Mountain NWR. Species occurrence discussed in this assessment is based on formal surveys and habitat inventories conducted prior to the McLane Fire, and post fire reconnaissance. Documents, inventory data, sighting records, vegetation maps and other species specific information referenced in this report are on file at the Monument office.

The Hanford Site is located in the Pacific Flyway. Habitats within the fire area serve as resting areas for neotropical migratory birds. The Hanford site includes habitat for many wildlife species, including 40 mammals, 246 birds, 4 amphibians, 9 reptiles, 49 butterfly taxa, 318 species of moths, and 52 taxa of aquatic macro invertebrates. Species diversity on the Hanford Site can be attributed to the size, diversity and relatively undisturbed condition of the native shrub-steppe habitat.

B. Reconnaissance Methodology

Information used in this assessment is based on a review of relevant literature, agency management planning documents, agency wildlife sighting and habitat inventory data, communication with FWS, personal communication with agency biologists (listed at end of report), and reconnaissance of the fire area on August 9 and 11, 2005. Habitat information and mapping for the various species is based on

agency records and post fire reconnaissance. Reconnaissance and analysis included review of other fires in the area to assess effects to species and vegetative recovery.

C. Findings

To better understand the species and habitat information discussed in this wildlife assessment, it is important to review the McLane Fire BAER Vegetation and Soils Resource Assessments. These reports contain more detailed descriptions of pre-fire vegetation, post-fire vegetative recovery estimates, and effects to the watersheds.

The purpose of this assessment is to discuss the potential effects of the fire, suppression actions and proposed emergency stabilization activities to federally and state listed and sensitive species which occur within the fire area. Effects to general wildlife species are not discussed. This assessment is not intended to definitively answer the many questions of effects to specific species that are inevitably raised during an incident such as the McLane Fire. The focus of this assessment is to determine the potential for immediate, emergency actions that may be necessary to prevent further effects to these species. Because the species discussed in this assessment have ranges or territories which extend beyond the fire area, it may be important to include information at a larger scale, across land ownership boundaries, for species which may require assessment for long term rehabilitation or restoration needs.

BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Direct effects as described in this report refer to mortality or disturbances that result in flushing, displacement, harassment or mortality of the animal. Indirect effects refer to modification of habitat and/or effects to prey species.

SHRUB-STEPPE DEPENDENT WILDLIFE SPECIES

The community of plants and animals found in this area represents one of the largest remaining examples of the shrub-steppe ecosystem that once covered the Columbia River Basin. Termed a biological treasure, the Monument contains rare, rich and diverse shrub steppe ecosystem flora and fauna that has been lost elsewhere due to habitat conversion, fragmentation and application of pesticides. The shrub-steppe ecosystem supports an unusually high diversity of native plant and animal species, including significant breeding populations of nearly all steppe and shrub-steppe dependent wildlife. This area serves a critical role in contributing to the local, regional, national and international ecological integrity of the shrub-steppe ecosystem.

While fire has played an integral role in the history of the shrub-steppe environment, the region's historical fire regime has been greatly altered from socio-political and economic factors. Coupled with the arrival of invasive species and noxious weeds, this has weakened the natural recovery processes of the shrub steppe ecosystem from disturbance events such as fire. Several areas considered sensitive shrub-steppe plant communities were located within the fire perimeter. These vegetation communities provide rare and unique habitat that is critical for meeting FWS regional, national and ecosystem goals and objectives. Managing for biological integrity in this area necessitates that actions be taken to mitigate the ecological effects increasing fire frequency and intensity, and invasion of exotic species.

The McLane Fire resulted in significant negative effects to plant communities through removal of approximately 90 percent of the sagebrush, antelope bitterbrush and associated plant cover. Sagebrush is a food source and/or provides nesting, resting, thermal and escape cover for a wide variety of species. Other value for wildlife includes the thick canopy which protects understory vegetation that can be a valuable food source for wildlife. Wildlife species in the fire area that are dependent on the sagebrush shrub-steppe and have federal or state listing status include: Ferruginous hawk, loggerhead shrike, sage sparrow, Washington ground squirrel, pygmy rabbit, black tailed jack-rabbit, sagebrush lizard and striped whipsnake. The fire also impacted riparian areas within the fire area.

Wildlife Species of Concern:

McLane Fire Species List

On August 16, 2005, current species lists for the McLane Fire area were obtained from U. S. Fish and Wildlife, Ecological Services Office, Wenatchee, Washington. The Hanford Reach National Monument was declared on June 9, 2000. At that time, President Clinton directed the FWS to manage the Hanford Reach National Monument to protect all of the species associated with the shrub-steppe ecosystem. Included in the Memorandum of Understanding between FWS and DOE for management of the Hanford Reach National Monument, the primary objective of the FWS is to ensure that the Monument is operated and managed for the protection and preservation of the native shrub-steppe habitat and its associated wildlife species. The federal agencies are also charged with managing for species of importance to the Native American Tribes. Therefore, the following species are included in this assessment. This list was developed by Heidi Newsome. For plant species of concern see Vegetation Assessment.

<u>SPECIES</u>	LISTING STATUS
Ferruginous hawk, Buteo regalis	FSC/ST
Loggerhead shrike, Lanius Iudovicianus	FSC/SC
Sage sparrow, Amphispiza belli	FSC/SC
Sage thrasher, Oreoscoptes montanus	FSC/SC
Greater sage grouse, Centrocercus urophasianus	FSC/ST
Washington ground squirrel, Spermophilus washingtoni	C/SC
Pygmy rabbit, Brachylagus idahoensis	E/SE
Black-tailed jackrabbit, Lepus californicus	SC
Striped whipsnake, Masticophis taeniatus	SC
Sagebrush lizard, Sceloporus graciosus	FSC/SC
Mule deer, Odocoileus hemionus	TI

The following listed species were identified as occurring, or having habitat within Franklin and/or Grant County. Through post fire reconnaissance and consultation with local experts, it was determined that these species were not affected by the fire because they have no habitat within or adjacent to the fire area, and/or inventories prior to the fire determined absence, or the fire is outside of the species range or season of use. For plant species of concern see the Vegetation Assessment.

T/ST
T/SC
FSC/SC
us) FSC/ST
FSC/SC
FSC/SC
FSC
FSC
FSC/SC
FSC/SM
FSC/SC
FSC/SE
FSC
TI

KEY TO LISTING STATUS:

E FEDERAL ENDANGERED T FEDERAL THREATENED

C FEDERAL CANDIDATE

FSC FEDERAL SPECIES OF CONCERN

SC STATE CANDIDATE
SE STATE ENDANGERED
ST STATE THREATENED

SS STATE SENSITIVE SM STATE MONITOR

TI TRIBAL IMPORTANCE

FERRUGINOUS HAWK

Ferruginous hawks are a federal species of concern, a federal Migratory bird of Conservation Concern (USFWS 2002) and a state Threatened species. Ferruginous hawks are migratory raptors that occur on the Hanford site during the breeding season from early March through August. The incubation period is 28-33 days with fledging at 44-48 days from the date the egg is laid. There is one known historical nest location approximately three miles from the fire area (See Appendix IV). The fire area is well within the foraging area for this nesting territory. The record of the nest in this area is from 1995. However, nesting raptors are not monitored every year on the Monument, and historic nest locations may be re-used in later years. Ferruginous hawks do demonstrate nest site fidelity, returning to the same nesting territories in subsequent years. The fact that this territory was not used during this season does not mean that it would not be viable in future years. Many territories in Eastern Washington are unoccupied due to the current decline in the population of Ferruginous hawks in Washington. Available nesting territories are not currently thought to be limiting the population and, if the population rebounds, currently unoccupied areas may become occupied (Watson 2003). Ferruginous hawks are sensitive to human presence, and will abandon their nests if subject to human encroachment. Activities (especially noisy ones) near nesting sites should be limited during the breeding and fledging season.

Ferruginous hawks prey on a variety of mammals, birds, reptiles and insects, depending upon local area and prey abundance. These hawks may forage up to 15 km (approximately 9 miles) from their nest site; however, nest success may be greater in areas where abundant forage is in close proximity to the nest location. Areas where prey densities are high generally have greater successful nesting attempts. The average home range size of Ferruginous hawk in Washington state may be as large as 7,660 acres (31 sq. km = 11 sq. miles), based on hawks traveling considerable distances to forage (WDFW 1996).

FIRE IMPACTS: The entire 6068 acres of the McLane Fire can be considered Ferruginous hawk habitat. Impacts to Ferruginous hawks from the McLane Fire are indirect and include a reduction of habitat diversity that supports prey for Ferruginous hawks, reduction of habitat for foraging and nesting Ferruginous hawks, and reduced potential for this historic nesting area to be re-occupied in future years. The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife considers the Ferruginous hawk a priority species for management and recognizes that they benefit from land-use practices that ensure an adequate prey base. WDFW recommends that Landowners/managers should protect shrub-steppe and grassland habitats that harbor significant populations of small mammals and other prey (Richardson et. al. 2004). Further, WDFW recommends reseeding of native plant species after chaining or burning to promote habitat stability and to benefit Ferruginous hawk prey populations (Richardson et al. 2004, Olendorff 1993). Therefore, stabilization and rehabilitation of the habitat lost in the McLane fire in and around the historic nest location is essential, to support an abundance of prey species, and to develop critical foraging and nesting habitat for the Ferruginous hawk. Stabilization and rehabilitation of suitable habitat for nesting and foraging around these historic nest sites is likely critical for the recovery of this species in Washington state.

LOGGERHEAD SHRIKE

The Loggerhead shrike is a neo-tropical migrant species that breeds on the Monument. Loggerhead shrikes are a federal species of concern, listed as a Migratory bird of Conservation Concern (USFWS

2002), and are a state Candidate for listing as a Threatened species. There are documented sightings of shrike in the fire area during the breeding season (See Avian Habitat and Sensitive Wildlife Maps). Further, it is likely that there were additional breeding territories in the fire area based on habitat prior to the fire and the fact that this area has not been systematically surveyed for shrikes. One shrike was seen during post fire reconnaissance (see photo documentation).

Loggerhead shrikes are common on the Hanford site from early March until the end of August. After August numbers are reduced but individuals have been sited through early November. Loggerhead shrikes require mature sagebrush, or other shrubs, for breeding and foraging habitat. Shrikes are most abundant in habitats of relatively high horizontal and vertical structural diversity (Poole 1992). This species builds its nest within shrubs, and requires some sort of shrub or other habitat feature when foraging for impaling its prey. The species is well known for its unusual and complex behavior of impaling prey on sharp objects in conspicuous places or wedging prey in narrow V-shaped forks (Yosef 1996). The primary prey items of this species are insects (e.g., beetles, grasshoppers), although small mammals, small birds, and lizards are also taken as prey (Yosef 1996). Loggerhead shrikes are highly territorial, and they exhibit a high level of nest site/territory fidelity. Poole (1992) found that shrikes defended territories averaging 34.4 acres (4.9 ac) on the Hanford Site in Washington. Also on the Hanford Site, of 113 territories studied, 96% were reoccupied the following season (Poole 1992). Shrikes remain in breeding territories as fledglings for 3-4 weeks after leaving the nest. This post fledging period is the time of highest mortality for shrikes, when young birds are weak fliers and are vulnerable to predation (Poole 1992). The McLane fire burned during this critical time period.

The Loggerhead shrike is one of the few North American passerines whose populations have declined continent wide in recent decades (Yosef 1996), and in Washington Breeding Bird Survey data for the Columbia River Basin shows a significant decline in the shrike population over the last 26 years (Vander Haegen 2004). Burning and wildfires may create the greatest risk to local shrike populations because the damage is immediate and regeneration to pre-burn condition may take up to 30 years (Harniss and Murray 1973).

FIRE IMPACTS: The 4976 acres of shrub-steppe habitat that was burned in the McLane fire can be considered habitat for Loggerhead shrike, 2356 acres of that area can be considered high quality breeding habitat (see Avian Habitat map). Impacts from the McLane fire to the shrikes are both direct and include greater mortality to fledgling young in the fire area due to loss of hiding cover, loss of prey base, loss of habitat for nesting and foraging, and loss of structural diversity of habitat required for shrike utilization of the area. Because shrikes exhibit fidelity to nesting territories, individuals that attempt to return to former territories in subsequent breeding seasons will find them void of nesting cover and structure. Additionally, displacement of individual breeding pairs into other areas may increase inter- and intraspecific competition for nesting territories. If suitable habitat areas were already occupied by breeding pairs, displaced pairs may not be able to locate territories, or will be forced to utilize marginal habitat types. Breeding success would likely decline for pairs that have been displaced by fire impacts to their breeding habitat. One individual Loggerhead shrike was observed during post-fire reconnaissance.

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife considers the shrike a priority species for management and provides the following management recommendations for loggerhead shrike habitat: retain shrub-steppe communities, especially big sagebrush and mixed shrub communities, avoid wildfires and activities that may increase invasion by exotic vegetation, avoid management activities that increase cheatgrass invasion or increase risk of wildfire (Vander Haegen 2004, Leu and Manuwal 1996). Stabilization and rehabilitation of the habitat within the fire area is critical for Monument management of this declining species.

SAGE SPARROW

Sage sparrows are a federal Migratory bird of conservation concern (USFWS 2002), and a state Candidate for listing as a threatened species. Sage sparrows are a migratory sparrow present in the Columbia Basin during the breeding season from early February until the end of September. Sage sparrows prefer semi-open habitat with evenly spaced shrubs 1-2 meters high (Martin and Carlson 1998). This species is associated with sagebrush throughout its range. Sage sparrows forage on the ground for seeds and invertebrates. On the HRNM/SMNWR, sage sparrows are abundant in areas that retain big

sagebrush communities. The Hanford Site, along with the Yakima Training Center to the west, supports the largest contiguous habitat patches in Washington for this state Candidate species. Exceptional habitats with apparent high densities of Sage Sparrows are found in big sagebrush stands along the base of the Saddle Mountains, throughout sagebrush habitats on the Columbia River plains, and within Central Hanford. Sage sparrows are confirmed breeders on the site, and they frequently raise more than one brood per season. They are territorial and exhibit site fidelity to nesting territories. Flocks of juveniles are frequently observed along roadsides from late May throughout the beginning of August.

FIRE IMPACTS: The 4976 acres of shrub-steppe habitat that was burned in the McLane fire can be considered habitat for sage sparrow, 2356 acres of that area can be considered high quality breeding habitat (see Avian Habitat map). Adult sage sparrows had probably initiated their second or third nesting effort; these nests were probably destroyed by the fire. Although sage sparrows are mobile animals, their individual behavioral site fidelity to their nesting territories may have increased their susceptibility to direct loss during the fire. Large flocks of juvenile sage sparrows are generally observed during this time period. These recently fledged birds may have been displaced due to the fire. The big sagebrush vegetation within the burn area experienced mortality of 90 percent of the sagebrush plants. Therefore, virtually the entire available sage sparrow habitat in the fire area was lost as a result of the fire. Due to the loss of shrub cover, surviving adult birds with established territories likely returned to a highly altered habitat condition. These birds were most likely displaced due to the fire. Because sage sparrows exhibit fidelity to nesting territories, individuals that attempt to return to former territories in subsequent breeding seasons will find them void of nesting cover and structure. Additionally, displacement of individual breeding pairs into other areas may increase inter- and intraspecific competition for nesting territories. If suitable habitat areas were already occupied by breeding pairs, displaced pairs may not be able to locate territories, or will be forced to utilize marginal habitat types. Breeding success would likely decline for pairs that have been displaced by impacts to their breeding habitat from the fire.

The increasing frequency and intensity of range fires in Great Basin pose significant threat to native grasses and shrubs. Historically, fires were infrequent, and perennial grasses and shrubs were not adversely affected. With increased fire frequency, native plants are killed and seed reservoirs of grasses and shrubs are depleted and replaced with exotic annuals, such as cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*). Sage Sparrows abandon former habitats once invaded by cheatgrass (Martin and Carlson 1998). Thus, replacement of native vegetation by cheatgrass in areas disturbed by the fire will decrease the available habitat for sage sparrows. Because sage sparrows require open areas and bare ground for foraging, changes in vegetation structure and loss of sagebrush due to the fire will impact foraging by sage sparrows. Stabilization and rehabilitation of this are to prevent the spread of cheat-grass and to replace lost shrub habitat is essential to maintain this area for sage-sparrows.

SAGE THRASHER

Sage thrashers are a neotropical migratory bird species present on the Hanford Reach National Monument in low numbers from early April through September. The Washington State Candidate Sage Thrasher is found at Hanford primarily in patches of big sagebrush and three-tip sagebrush. The sage thrasher is a species that is highly dependent on healthy shrub-steppe communities comprised of tall, dense sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.). Sage thrashers are closely associated with sagebrush and are considered obligates of sagebrush communities (Vander Hagen 2003).

In order to maintain sage thrasher populations, shrub-steppe communities should be left in reasonably undisturbed condition and fragmentation should be minimized. Management activities that increase cheatgrass invasion or increase risk of wildfire also must be avoided (Vander Hagen 2003) Burning may lead to serious negative impacts to local sage thrasher populations because the damage is immediate and regeneration to pre-burn condition may take up to 30 years Harniss and Murray 1973).

FIRE IMPACTS: Sage thrashers are mobile animals and would have been able to move out of the fire area. Dense sage areas on the Wahluke Unit of the Monument provide sage thrasher habitat. The McLane fire burned through the eastern portion of the Wahluke unit, however, some areas of dense sage remain near the western and southern edges of the burn and it is likely that sage thrashers could have

found refugia adjacent to the fire affected area. Therefore there may have been no direct effects to sage thrashers. A total of 4976 acres of sage brush habitat within the burned area experienced a mortality of 80 to 90 percent of the sagebrush plants. The elimination of sagebrush within the fire area will have long term impacts for sage thrashers. All available habitat (mature sage brush) was impacted by the fire. Long term effects would include displacement of sage thrashers from the burn area. It is anticipated that this species will not return until the sagebrush recovers to maturity and provides the necessary habitat structure to support sage thrashers. It is unknown if potential re-colonizing populations exist nearby.

GREATER SAGE GROUSE

Greater sage grouse are listed as a state Threatened (Washington) and a federal species of concern. Two small, disjunct remnant populations of sage grouse occur in Washington State. One population is in Douglas County approximately 75 miles north of Hanford, and the second is on the Army's Yakima Training Center (YTC) in Yakima and Kittitas Counties just northwest of the Hanford Site. The Douglas County population is estimated at 600 - 700 birds and the YTC population at 300 - 400 birds. As recently as 1999 the YTC population appears to have begun to expand into that portion of the Monument included in the ALE Unit. Several sage grouse sightings were made in 1999 and 2000 in the vicinity of Rattlesnake Springs and Benson Ranch. However, no recent sightings have been recorded on the Wahluke unit of the Monument.

Western sage grouse nesting habitat in southeastern Washington is primarily sagebrush-steppe vegetation that is of relatively high quality (dominated by native species). Sagebrush intermixed with tall bunch grasses provides cover required for successful nesting. Brood rearing habitat includes the shrubs and tall grasses for escape cover, but also must include a mix of native forbs that provide both insects and high protein vegetation. Sage brush is an essential element for sage grouse during the late fall, winter and early spring, when the leaves of sage make up as much as 99% of the birds' diet.

An interagency working group was established in 1998 to assist with the recovery of the sage grouse in Washington. Several agencies (U.S. Army, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, the U.S. Department of Energy, and the Yakama Nation) are working to preserve and restore sage grouse in eastern Washington State. It is noteworthy that the Hanford Site property (Monument area) was identified as one of the few large land areas having contiguous and high quality habitat suitable for sage grouse recovery and expansion.

FIRE IMPACTS: Loss of habitat (nesting habitat, winter and summer shelter habitat, escape cover losses and food resources lost). Recovery of sage grouse habitat in this area will probably take many years. In addition, the forbs and invertebrates which are the preferred food for this species were effectively eliminated throughout most of the fire area. Regionally, the loss of 4976 acres of sagebrush habitat represents a significant decrease of suitable habitat for this species. This habitat loss may delay or prohibit recovery of the western sage grouse in the State of Washington.

Due to the significant amount of habitat lost, and because any remaining sagebrush does not occur in the large blocks apparently needed for survival, it is expected that this area will not support sage grouse for 30 or more years. The arid nature of the site may further delay recovery because germination and growth of shrub species depends upon amount and timing of available moisture.

SAGEBRUSH LIZARD

The sagebrush lizard is a federal species of concern and a state candidate species for listing as a threatened species. Sagebrush lizards emerge from hibernation in April. Mating occurs in April and May, and females lay their eggs in June, burying them in loose soils at the base of a shrub. Hatching normally occurs in August (Storm and Leonard 1995). Recent research in Oregon suggests that the Sagebrush Lizards are limited to habitats that have sandy soils. In Washington, all recently confirmed sites are associated with sand dunes or other sandy habitats (Hallock and McAllister 2005). A significant portion of the fire area qualifies as habitat for the sagebrush lizard, based on soils and vegetation types (see sensitive wildlife maps –Appendix V). The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife recommends that any activities that alter these habitats, such as conversion to agriculture and/or activities that promote the

invasion of cheat grass (*Bromus tectorum*), are likely detrimental to Sagebrush Lizard populations (Hallock and McAllister 2005). Therefore, preventing encroachment by cheat grass post fire is important in maintaining the habitat for Sagebrush lizards within the fire area. Stabilization of the fire area with native grass species will be important for management of this species.

FIRE IMPACTS: The 4976 acres of shrub-steppe habitat within the fire perimeter of the McLane fire can be considered sagebrush lizard habitat, with 2012 acres of this area being considered high quality breeding habitat for sage brush lizard (see Sensitive Wildlife map and Lizard habitat map). The lizard young were probably small hatchlings, and it is likely that within the fire area, young of the year were lost during the McLane fire. Adult lizards may have also been lost in the fire because they seek shelter within shrubs. Shrubs have longer fire residency times and burn hotter than surrounding grasses, and therefore lizards likely experienced direct mortality. Those adult lizards that survived the burn are probably now exposed to predation as removal of the shrubs would remove any hiding cover. Greater predation by avian and other predators post-fire is expected, which will reduce the population of sagebrush lizards in the fire area. Because little detail is known about the life history and habitat requirements of this species, protecting the lizard's habitat (based on the few known requirements) is important to managing for the population on the Monument. Preventing the invasion of cheat grass post-fire is also essential for maintaining the population of sagebrush lizards within the fire area.

STRIPED WHIPSNAKE: Striped whipsnakes occur in the Columbia Basin of Central Washington up to 1,985 feet elevation. The striped whipsnake is a long slender snake that is dark above with alternating light and dark stripes down the length of the body. Adults range in size from 90 to 180 cm total length. This species is rare throughout most of the Washington portion of its range. Striped whipsnakes have been documented in Washington only 26 times. In the last decade, only 3 observations have been reported. This species occurs in low elevation arid regions with scattered vegetation, and open rocky areas. Mating occurs in the spring with eggs being deposited in June, and hatching in the late summer or early fall. This species has been documented to occur at the Hanford site. Little is known about the habitat requirements in Washington. The areas of Grant County where they occur have relatively undisturbed shrub-steppe habitat with a low cover of cheatgrass.

FIRE IMPACTS: The entire 4976 acres of shrub-steppe habitat that burned during the McLane fire can be considered striped whipsnake habitat. If present during the fire, striped whipsnakes could have experienced mortality if unable to move quickly or find a burrow. Those that survived would experience temporary displacement. Eggs exposed to heat would have been rendered unviable. Suppression actions which included blading of soils to remove vegetation may have exposed nest sites to environmental conditions and predators and/or destroyed nest sites. Prey species are primarily lizards, but may include rodents, bats, frogs, birds, and other snakes. Habitat within the fire area for any of these species was greatly reduced. Therefore, prey species may be less available for the striped whipsnake until the habitat recovers and is repopulated by the various prey species. Invasion of cheat grass into the fire area will reduce the likelihood that this area would recover into habitat that could support striped whipsnakes.

WASHINGTON GROUND SQUIRREL

This area is also potential habitat for the Washington Ground Squirrel, a federal and state candidate for listing as a Threatened species. Approximately 1584 acres within the fire area could be considered potential habitat for Washington ground squirrel based on analysis of vegetation and soils (J. Meisel unpublished data 2005). The Washington ground squirrel is a brownish-gray squirrel with conspicuous white spots on the dorsum. This species occurs only in Washington east of the Columbia River. It prefers sandy soils in dry, open, sagebrush and grassland habitats. This species hibernates 7-8 months per year from June/July through January/February. These squirrels eat succulent vegetation and bulbs in early spring and seeds in the early summer. Burrows are usually about ≤ 3 inches in diameter and entrances are often hidden under bushes or rocks (Yensen and Sherman 2003). There are no known burrows within the fire area; however this area has not been thoroughly surveyed to date. Regionally, the loss of 1584 acres of potential habitat represents a significant decrease of suitable habitat for this species. This habitat loss may delay or prohibit recovery of the Washington ground squirrel in the State of Washington.

FIRE IMAPCTS: Any Washington ground squirrels within the fire area would have been hibernating during the fire. However, depending upon heat and fire intensity, animals may have suffered mortality within their burrows. The removal of shrub cover will impact the habitat for Washington ground squirrels which require shrubs for hiding cover as protection from predation. Further, the potential conversion of native bunch grass areas to annual grasses (cheat grass) will impact the habitat for Washington ground squirrels. Habitat degradation of rangelands and shrub-steppe areas is recognized as a major cause of decline in this species (Yensen and Sherman 2003). Stabilization and rehabilitation of the area is important to maintain the potential for the area to eventually support Washington ground squirrels.

COLUMBIA BASIN PYGMY RABBIT

This species is extremely rare in Washington, occurring only in the Great Basin portion of the Lower Columbia Basin and was emergency listed as a Federally endangered species in November of 2001. The pygmy rabbit is limited to habitat types which contain tall dense sagebrush and specific soils with limited content of sand for constructing its burrows. Field observations of the pygmy rabbit indicate heavy reliance on sagebrush, primarily on the seed heads and vegetative leaders. Pygmy rabbit diet is comprised of 99% sagebrush in winter and 51% in summer.

FIRE IMPACTS: Prior to the fire, this area of the Wahluke Unit supported approximately 1584 acres of potential habitat for Columbia basin pygmy rabbit, based on a GIS analysis of soils and vegetation (J. Meisel unpublished data, 2005). The stabilization of sagebrush cover in this area is critical to developing potential habitat and reintroduction areas for pygmy rabbit. This area may be important for the eventual recovery of pygmy rabbit in Washington. Regionally, the loss of 1584 acres of potential habitat represents a significant decrease of suitable habitat for this species. This habitat loss may delay or prohibit recovery of the pygmy rabbit in the State of Washington.

BLACK-TAILED JACK RABBIT

The entire 6,068 acres of the McLane fire can be considered black-tailed jackrabbit habitat. The black-tailed jackrabbit was once abundant throughout the Columbia Basin. Recent precipitous declines in populations of these hares have raised concerns regarding their distribution and status throughout the region. This species is closely associated with the sagebrush steppe ecosystem. Black-tailed jackrabbits rely on sagebrush structure for breeding sites and hiding cover, and require sage-brush vegetation as forage during winter months. Black-tailed jackrabbits breed from late February to mid-July, with gestation lasting 41 to 47 days (Flinders and Chapman 2003). They can have two to six litters per year, with local populations likely tending towards the low end of this scale (Flinders and Chapman 2003). Hares, unlike rabbits, do not use burrows. They place their young in shallow depressions in the soil called forms. Jackrabbits are generally solitary and primarily nocturnal. They are vulnerable to predators including, coyotes, bobcats, foxes, hawks, owls, and snakes. Loss of habitat due to agricultural and human development has impacted jackrabbit populations. The fragmentation and isolation of populations residing within remnant habitat areas has probably increased their vulnerability to stochastic events (e.g. severe weather, disease, fire, etc.) and has limited the re-colonization of areas that could potentially support jackrabbit populations.

FIRE IMPACTS: Black-tailed jackrabbits are known to be relatively fast moving animals. Because these animals are highly mobile, it is anticipated that they would have been able to move out of the way of the fire. However, recently birthed young were likely consumed in the fire as they would not have been able to flee. Black-tailed jackrabbits are primarily nocturnal and one black-tailed jackrabbit was killed on the road during the fire (see photo documentation). It is assumed that this animal was hit by a vehicle during night hours. The loss of sagebrush structure and cover reduces the amount of hiding cover for this species, and will increase the vulnerability of jackrabbits to predation. Additionally, the loss of a significant continuous stands of sage exacerbates this effect, because smaller patches do not provide escape cover. If jackrabbits are chased out of the remaining small patches of cover, they will be forced into the open burned over areas and be easily captured and consumed. Impacts to the local jackrabbit population will also affect those animals that prey on jackrabbits, as jackrabbit numbers decrease there will be less forage for other animals that prey upon jackrabbits.

MULE DEER

Mule deer are a common resident ungulate of the Hanford area. The area of highest density is along the Columbia River. The deer population in the Hanford area is relatively stable. In the Wahluke Unit, deer can be hunted during regular state seasons using shot gun /muzzleloader /or archery. Mule deer are primarily browsers and rely on riparian vegetation and bitterbrush for browse. The deer tend to find shade for thermal cover in and around the riparian areas that were burned in this fire.

FIRE IMPACTS: Mule deer are highly mobile animals, and it is anticipated that they were able to move out of the affected area during the fire. Recently born fawns, however, may not have been able to move out of the way of the fire, although no mortality of deer fawns was documented during post fire reconnaissance. The greatest impact to mule deer within the burn area is loss of available forage. Regrowth of grasses in upland areas is not anticipated until fall rains begin, possibly in November. Mule deer may forage off of the burn area on private lands, however, because deer are more solitary than herding ungulates (e.g. elk) agricultural depredation is not usually an issue with deer. Additionally, deer may also experience some nutritional stress due to loss of forage due to the fire. Lactating does may be at the greatest risk of this type of stress because of the energy demands that lactation produces.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Fire Suppression:

Determinations of effect: The fire, suppression actions and proposed emergency stabilization had no affect to the federally listed species, due to the fact that no listed species occur within the fire area. Therefore there is no need for emergency Section 7 Consultation for the McLane Fire stabilization and emergency rehabilitation.

B. Emergency Rehabilitation:

Recommendations with Specifications:

- #M-1R Non-native Invasive species control. This specification is critical, as mentioned above in wildlife species assessments, to stabilize the ecological integrity and condition of the burned area and to create a trajectory of recovery that will eventually result in viable habitat conditions for all 11 of the listed species addressed above.
- #M-2 Non-native Invasive species control, Native plantings. This specification is critical, as
 mentioned above in wildlife species assessments, to stabilize the ecological integrity and
 condition of the burned area and to create a trajectory of recovery that will eventually result in
 viable habitat conditions for all 11 of the listed species addressed above
- C. Management recommendations (Non-Specification Related):
- Permanent photo points and monitoring plots should be established in key wildlife habitat locations to monitor habitat recovery. This should be coordinated with the vegetation monitoring as recommended in the McLane Fire BAER Vegetation Report.
- Small mammal monitoring should be conducted using live traps and should be expanded as needed to determine prey species abundance for the various fire affected species. Reptile monitoring should be conducted and should be expanded as needed to determine potential effects of the fire and associated habitat loss on reptiles.

V. References:

Flinders, J.T. and J.A. Chapman. 2003. Black-tailed Jackrabbit. Pages 126-146 *in* Feldhamer, G.A., B.C. Thompson and J.A. Chapman, editors. Wild mammals of North America: biology, management, and conservation. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

Hallock, L.A. and K.R. McAllister. 2005. *Sagebrush Lizard*. Washington Herp Atlas. http://www.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/herp/

Hallock, L.A. and McAllister, K.R. 2005. *Striped Whipsnake*. Washington Herp Atlas. http://www.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/herp/

Harniss, R. O. and R. B. Murray. 1973. *30 years of vegetal change following burning of sagebrush-grass range*. J. Range Manage. 26:322-325.

Leu, M.and D. A. Manuwal. 1996. *Habitat requirements, status,and management of the loggerhead shrike of the Yakima Training Center*. Final Report, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

Martin, J. W., and B. A. Carlson. 1998. *Sage Sparrow* (*Amphispiza belli*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 326 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.

Nordstrom, Noelle. 2004. Burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*). *In* E. M. Larsen, J. M. Azerrad, and N. Nordstrom, editors. Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species, Volume IV: Birds [Online]. Available http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phs/vol4/buow.pdf

Olendorff, R. R. 1993. *Biology and management of ferruginous hawk (Buteo regalis): a review.*Occasional Papers Number 1. Raptor Research and Technical Assistance Center, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Boise, Idaho, USA.

Poole, L. 1992. Reproductive success and Nesting Habitat of Loggerhead shrikes in Shrub-steppe communities. Masters Thesis. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon. 69 pgs.

Richardson, S., M. Whalen, D. Demers, and R. Milner. 2004. Ferruginous hawk (*Buteo regalis*). *In M. Larsen, J. M. Azerrad, and N. Nordstrom, editors. Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species, Volume IV: Birds [Online]. Available http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phs/vol4/feruge.htm*

Storm, R. M and W. P Leonard, Eds. 1995. *Reptiles of Washington and Oregon.* Seattle Audubon Society, Seattle, Washington.

The Nature Conservancy of Washington. 1999. *Biodiversity Inventory and Analysis of the Hanford Site*, Final Report 1995-1999.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. *Birds of conservation concern 2002*. Division of Migratory Bird Management, Arlington, Virginia. 99 pp.

Vander Haegen, Matthew. 2004. Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius Iudovicianus*). *In* E. M. Larsen, J. M. Azerrad, and N. Nordstrom, editors. Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species, Volume IV: Birds [Online]. Available http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phs/vol4/losh.pdf

Vander Haegen, Matthew. 2003. Sage Thrasher (*Oreoscoptes montanus*). *In* E. M. Larsen, J. M. Azerrad, and N. Nordstrom, editors. Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species, Volume IV: Birds [Online]. Available http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phs/vol4/sage_thrasher.pdf

Vander Haegen, Matthew. 2003. Sage Sparrow (*Amphispiza belli*). *In* E. M. Larsen, J. M. Azerrad, and N. Nordstrom, editors. Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Species, Volume IV: Birds [Online]. Available http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phs/vol4/sage_thrasher.pdf

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1996. Washington state recovery plan for the Ferruginous hawk. Olympia, WA 63 pgs

Watson, J.W. 2003. Scientists seek reasons for declining Ferruginous hawk population. Fish and Wildlife Science; an on-line science magazine, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Yensen, E. and P.W. Sherman. 2003. *Ground Squirrels. In* Wild Mammals of North America:Biology, Managment and Conservation. Feldhamer, G.A., B. C. Thompson and J. A.Chapman, Eds., Second Edition. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

Yosef, R. 1996. *Loggerhead Shrike* (*Lanius Iudovicianus*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 231 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.

VI. Agency Biologists

Kevin L. Goldie, Wildlife Biologist, USFWS, Hanford Reach NM

Jennifer K. Meisel, Biological Science Technician, USFWS, Hanford Reach NM

Heidi L. Newsome, Wildlife Biologist, USFWS, Hanford Reach NM

Heidi L. Newsome, Wildlife Biologist, USFWS (509) 371-1801 ext. 223

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN

McLane Fire

VEGETATION RESOURCE ASSESSMENT

OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate and assess fire and suppression impacts to vegetation resources and identify values at risk associated with vegetation losses.
- Identify and locate threatened and endangered plant species impacted by fire and/or suppression actions.
- Determine emergency rehabilitation and monitoring needs supported by specifications to aid in vegetation recovery and soil stabilization.
- Evaluate the potential for invasive species encroachment into native plant communities within the fire area.
- Provide management recommendations to assist in vegetation recovery, watershed stabilization, site productivity and species habitat protection and rehabilitation.

II. ISSUES

- Protection and enhancement of other resource values including site productivity, wildlife habitat, vegetation resources, cultural resources and watershed stability.
- Determine impacts of fire to three state listed threatened, endangered and sensitive plant species and/or habitat.
- Management strategies which provide for the stabilization, natural regeneration and recovery of impacted areas.
- Rehabilitation of watershed and riparian areas around wetland ponds and canal areas
- Monitoring of the planting/seeding effectiveness of emergency rehabilitation efforts.
- Monitoring of impacted lands for the early detection and control of invasive and noxious weed species.

III. OBSERVATIONS

This report identifies and addresses known and potential impacts to vegetation resources within the McLane Fire area, Wahluke Unit of the Hanford Reach National Monument. The burned area consists of approximately 6850 acres of contiguous area, 6068 of which were within the boundaries of the Hanford Reach National Monument (Monument), and 782 of which were east of the Monument on private, state and Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (federal) lands. The vegetation resources can be described as Columbia Basin shrub-steppe plant communities, many of which are considered high-quality or sensitive vegetation. Additionally, the burned area includes riparian zones surrounding the irrigation return wasteway, WB -10 (White Bluffs) ponds impacted by the fire. This artificial "community type" includes lakeshores, riparian areas, and wetlands on the North Slope that have been converted from shrub-steppe due to accumulated run-off from irrigated agriculture. Communities in these wetlands are typically dominated by non-native species such as tamarisk and Russian olive, but also support native willows, cattails and black cottonwood. Although artificial, these areas can provide valuable wildlife habitat, especially for amphibians, birds and bats in an otherwise arid landscape. These

vegetation resources provide forage and cover for a variety of wildlife species, aesthetic values, watershed stability, and biologically diverse plant associations. Findings and recommendations contained within this assessment are based upon field reconnaissance of the fire area, interviews with local resource specialists, local land managers, and review of relevant documents and literature.

This report will detail the known damage to the vegetation and soil resources; will discuss re-vegetation processes and future monitoring criteria, and will outline management considerations for recovery of vegetation resources.

A. Reconnaissance Methodology and Results

Ground reconnaissance was conducted on August 9 and 11, 2005. Photographs were taken and are in the photo documentation section of this plan. Within upland portions of the fire area (4976 acres of upland shrub-steppe habitat), the fire burned in a mosaic pattern on approximately 30 % of the fire area, which experienced a 50-80% vegetation mortality and loss of standing biomass (cover). Vegetation resources were significantly reduced over the remainder of the fire area. The fire consumed 90% of the standing biomass of shrubs, grasses, forbs or killed remaining shrubs through heat scorch over approximately 70% of the fire area. Blowing dust and ash was observed in areas where all vegetation had been burned and the soils are no longer stabilized by the vegetation (please see photo documentation).

The Monument contains many endemic plant communities and species that have been lost or significantly reduced throughout all or a significant portion of their range. Sensitive plant communities have been defined as those that are foundation plant communities, representing historic conditions within the Columbia Basin eco-region and have been identified as either state ranked, globally rare, or ecologically significant within western shrub-steppe environments. The McLane fire damages/destroyed 2505 acres of plant communities identified as sensitive and ecologically significant, and may be irreplaceable or irrevocably damaged. See sensitive vegetation map in appendix V. Rehabilitation of these areas is critical to protect and prevent further degradation to these areas.

Within riparian portions of the fire area (1092 acres of Riparian habitat) the fire was less intense due to standing water and available fuel moisture. These areas experienced a 50% vegetation mortality and 40-50% loss of cover. The overstory of Russian Olive trees may continue to experience additional mortality over small portions of the fire area, however, much of the canopy cover remains in tact, while the understory plant cover has been reduced by 50-60%.

Literature and GIS data available at the Monument headquarters relating to vegetation resources in the area was consulted for baseline data relating to pre-fire conditions on the burned area.

1. Soils:

Soils within the fire area consist of slackwater fines from Pleistocene floods, sandy clay, sandy loam, and previously stabilized eolean dune systems. The Pasco Basin, and the entire region, is underlain by Miocene-aged basalt that is thousands of feet thick. While the basalt is exposed along the margins of the basin along uplifted anticlines, outcrops in the study area occur only near the crest of the Saddle Mountain anticline, which forms the northern edge of the basin. At lower elevations, the basalt is deeply cloaked beneath ancient sediments deposited by lakes and rivers that flowed into the basin between 8.5 and 3.4 million years ago (Ringold Formation, Late Miocene to Pliocene) and then by loads of sediment deposited during cataclysmic floods that occurred over a period of more than 1.5 million years (Hanford Formation, Pleistocene; Bjornstad and others 2001).

The Ringold Formation is comprised mostly of sand, silt and clay in its upper layers, some of which are cemented by calcium carbonate (Lindsey 1996). At its maximum level, Ringold sediments filled the basin to at least approximately 275 meters elevation. Remnant uplands at this elevation are the Overlook,

NIKE and Simmons benches¹, which are located near the east margin of the study area. Between these three benches and Saddle Mountain, the Ringold was carved into a drainage basin by an ancestral river as it flowed west across the study site to join the Paleo-Columbia River (Fecht and others, 2004). The lowest, incised channel(s) of this ancestral river was filled with sand-dominated sediments (Fecht and others, 2004). The sediments in the channel are equivalent in age to the Ringold lacustrine deposits and/or to Ringold paleosols.

Subsequently, between 3.4 and 2.0 million years ago a major drop in the base level occurred, which caused regional downcutting (Baker and others 1991). As a result, the Columbia River migrated eastward and began incising the Ringold Formation to create the White Bluffs and expanded the flood channelways (Baker and others 1991). Along the White Bluffs, the incised, sediment-filled Paleo-river channel was exposed at three sites: north of Saddle Mountain Lake, above Locke Island, and south of the Wiehl ranch (Fecht and others, 2004). The sand-dominated sediment in these exposures has less integrity than the surrounding White Bluffs matrix, and it is currently the primary source of material for active dune sets in the study area. The sandy substrate is typically stabilized to some extent by vegetation, except locally along dune ridges and in blowout dunes. The effectiveness of vegetation to stabilize sandy sites likely fluctuates over time in response to precipitation patterns, fire, physical disturbance, herbivory, and other changes in species dominance.

The McLane fire has removed approximately 80-90% of all vegetative cover within the upland areas of the fire area sandy soils exposed to wind erosion. High winds are prevalent within this area and wind speeds of 20 MPH plus are common. During field investigations it was noted that all ash had blown off of the sandy soils and dust clouds were visible moving soils and ash onto adjacent private agricultural lands. The soils underlying the McLane fire area are composed primarily of sands, and loamy sand (4955 acres) with a small amount of sandy loam (1113 acres). This high proportion (80%) of sandy soil is at risk of erosion due to high winds (see soils map).

High wind warnings are commonplace within the Monument and dust storms often suspend work within the Hanford Nuclear Site. State Highway 24 is north of the fire area, and Mt. Vista road is east of the fire and reduced visibility during high wind events is a concern for Monument managers. Emergency rehabilitation actions are required to reduce soil erosion, protect site productivity and protect life and property in and around the fire zone.

A large dust storm moved through the fire area and the entire Columbia Basin on August 12, 2005. Winds of 32 mph with gusts to 43 mph were associated with this storm, considerable soil erosion was observed in the fire area during this event, increasing the need for emergency rehabilitation of soils to reduce wind driven erosion.

2. Vegetation:

The McLane fire burned approximately 6068 acres of federal lands south of Highway 24 on the eastern portion of the Wahluke Unit of the Monument. The Monument area was identified as unique and deserving of full protection by Presidential proclamation in 2000. One of the unique features of the Monument that contributed to its establishment is the diversity and vast size of native plant communities. The area has been surveyed by The Nature Conservancy of Washington and the Washington Natural Heritage Program. These surveys have identified a total of 17 terrestrial, native plant community types (or elements) that occurred as 48 separate element occurrences on the Monument. These elements are unique in the state for their character and plant associations. Additionally, 112 populations/occurrences of 28 rare plant taxa were located across the Hanford Site.

Primary plant communities impacted by the fire included the following plant associations:

<u>Antelope Bitterbrush/Wyoming Big Sagebrush/ Needle and Thread Grass:</u> Big sagebrush is the dominant shrub, although bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*) commonly occurs at varying levels. Thickspike

wheatgrass (*Agropyron dasystachum*) may occur in the understory. Cover of shrubs is generally relatively light, with a mosaic of openings in shrub canopy. In most sites, the highest cover of needle and thread grass, *S. comata*, occurs where the shrub cover has been eliminated or suppressed by fire, such as south of Highway 24 in the east portion of the site, and the north east portion of the McLane fire.

Antelope Bitterbrush/Sandberg's bluegrass/Cheatgrass: Wyoming big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) is frequently present and sometimes co-dominant. This cover type sometimes occurs in interdunes with sorted fine-textured substrate, often with significant cover from Gray and green rabbit brush (*Chrysothamnus nauseosus, C. viscidiflorus*) and snow buckwheat (*Eriogonum niveum*), and with some cover from Needle and thread grass and Indian Rice grass (*Stipa comata, Oryzopsis hymenoides*). Some areas with this cover type have a high cover of microbiotic crust, which is facilitated by north and neutral aspects, deposition of loess and slightly higher elevations. *Purshia tridentata* currently persists best in areas that don't burn regularly, probably due to low fuel loads or more protected positions in the landscape relative to ignition sources. Where *Eriogonum niveum* co-occurs, some open sand is indicated. (Easterly, R. and D. Salstrom. 2004.)

<u>Big Sagebrush/Sandberg's bluegrass/Cheatgrass</u>: This community is primarily composed of Big sagebrush with an understory dominated by Sandberg's bluegrass (*Poa secunda*) mixed with cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*). While they often commingle, *P. secunda* and *B. tectorum* are frequently ecologically separated on a fine scale (Easterly, R. and D. Salstrom. 2004.). With Sandberg's bluegrass dominant over cheat grass in the interdune areas, areas with specific microclimates with slightly higher moisture, for example, in specific micro-topographic areas, or in areas under shrubs.

<u>Spiny Hopsage/ Wyoming Big Sagebrush/Sandberg's bluegrass:</u> This community type is characterized by spiny hopsage (*Grayia spinosa*), Wyoming big sagebrush, Sandberg's bluegrass, and low forb diversity. The plant community type is generally confined to locations with soils that are finer-textured than is typical for needle-and-thread associations.

<u>Riparian: Irrigation Run-off Created Wetlands:</u> This riparian community type includes lakeshores, riparian areas, and wetlands on the North Slope that have been converted from shrub-steppe due to accumulated run-off from irrigated agriculture. The largest examples are just south and five miles south of Highway 24 on the eastern end of the Monument, including the WB (White Blufffs)-10 ponds. Communities in these wetlands are typically dominated by non-native species such as tamarisk and Russian olive, but also support native willows and cattails and black cottonwood. Although artificial, these areas can provide valuable wildlife habitat, especially for amphibians, birds and bats in an otherwise arid landscape.

Species diversity within each of the major community types has been altered in some areas due to the activities of neo-European people that entered the region beginning 200 years ago. In more recent history, alien plants were introduced and established a foot-hold in the shrub-steppe communities with the advent of livestock grazing in the mid-1800's and through agricultural cultivation and urbanization later in the century. More recently, this area has been extensively impacted by grazing activities administered by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife during the 1970's and 80's.

Vegetation within this area has also been altered through the establishment of cheatgrass within sage communities and the shortening of the natural fire return interval. Historically, fire return intervals were between 50-100 years in the shrub-steppe region. Fires burned in a mosaic fashion across the landscape leaving many healthy remnant stands of bunchgrass and sage. The mosaic fire patterns allowed for the survival of healthy sage communities and habitat for wildlife species.

Within the Big sagebrush community, cheatgrass provided ladder fuels for fire to quickly spread into and throughout these stands. In areas where native bunchgrass dominated the understory, fire impacts to some shrub stands were greatly reduced.

Riparian stands of vegetation experienced less severe fire impacts due to the high fuel moisture values because of the immediate and constant availability of water to the plant species in these areas. Fire spread was also reduced because of areas of standing water in and around the ponds. Some of these

areas had longer residence times, because of the large fuel structures, shrubs and trees. However, the fire behavior during these longer residence times was more of a smoldering burn characteristic of wet fuels rather than a hot or extreme fire behavior.

3. Rare Plants

Emergency consultation was held with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on August 16, 2005 for threatened and endangered (T&E) species known to occur within the McLane Fire area in Franklin and Grant, Counties, Washington. A current USFWS species list for the county and GIS data layers for the Monument were consulted. Listed plant species that have occurrences within either Franklin or Grant County include;

Threatened:

Ute Ladies'-tresses (Spiranthes diluvialis)

Candidate:

White-bluffs bladderpod (Lesquerella tuplashensis)

Northern wormwood (Artemisia campestris ssp. borealis var. wormskioldii)

Species of Concern:

Gray Cryptantha (Cryptantha leucophaea)

Hoover's desert-parsley (Lomatium tuberosum)

Wanapum crazyweed (Oxytropis campestris var. wanapum)

The above listed species were identified as occurring, or having habitat within, Franklin or Grant County. However, through post fire reconnaissance and consultation with local experts, it was determined that these species were not affected by the fire because they have no habitat within or adjacent to the fire area, and/or inventories prior to the fire determined absence, or the fire is outside of the species range.

None of the above listed species have populations of/or individual plants that have been documented to occur within the fire area. Further the habitat requirements of Ute Ladies'-tresses and Northern wormwood are restricted to natural riparian areas along the Columbia River or within natural wetland springs, none of this habitat type occurs within the fire area. The White bluffs bladderpod is restricted to a specific association with caliche soils along the White bluffs a mile or more to the west of the fire location. Hoover's desert parsley occurs only on talus habitats, none of which exist in the fire area. Wanapum crazyweed is known only from one location in Washington well outside of the fire area.

The only listed plant with potential habitat within the fire area is Gray cryptantha. Gray cryptantha occurs on sandy substrate along the Columbia River within the Columbia Basin physiographic province. Associated species include pale evening-primrose (*Oenothera pallida*), needle-and-thread grass (*Stipa comata*), bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*), big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*), Sandberg's bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), snow buckwheat (*Eriogonum niveum*), sulfur penstemon (*Penstemon attenuatus*), crouching milk-vetch (*Astragalus succumbens*), hoary chaenactis (*Chaenactis douglasii*), and cheat grass (*Bromus tectorum*). The taxon is basically restricted to sand dunes that have not been completely stabilized, i.e., areas where there is still some movement of sand. It would appear to be dependent on the strong winds of the region and the availability of open sand. Hanford specific inventories for rare plants from 1994 through 1999 conducted by the Nature Conservancy did not document any Gray cryptantha populations or individual plants within the fire area.

4. Vegetation/Structural Impacts

Vegetation resources were directly impacted by the McLane Fire and by suppression tactics utilized to control the fire. Documented impacts to vegetation resulted from:

a) Construction of 13.5 (51 acres based on 16 foot width) miles of dozer line and discline on previously undisturbed sites.

- b) Impacts to native microbiotic crust, shrub and grass species during line construction, suppression and mop-up activities
- Reduction of fuels and vegetation ahead of the fire-front (backfire operations).
- d) Vegetation losses due to fire intensity. Most sagebrush and grassland communities were completely consumed and/or scorched. Some additional loss is expected within remaining shrub communities. Loss of riparian structure and understory shrubs in and around wetland ponds.
- e) Loss of the organic litter layer on approximately 80% percent of the fire area.
- f) Damage to structural improvements, (e.g. boundary fence) by suppression actions. Fences were cut or damaged.

Generally speaking, most sagebrush and bunch grass communities experienced greater than 80-90% vegetation loss of above ground cover. On approximately 60 % of the fire area, complete consumption of vegetation resources was observed. Most shrub, grass and forb species and organic material on the soil surface was consumed indicating extreme fire intensity.

A mosaic burn pattern within the shrub-steppe vegetation was observed and mapped on approximately 20% of the fire area. However, in these areas some loss of shrubs is still predicted to occur due to mortality from heat produced by the fire and seasonally dry weather conditions.

The riparian areas experienced a complete mosaic type burn with varying intensities. Occasional flare ups were recorded into the tree canopy, but generally moderate intensity burning in the understory, and some smoldering and low intensity burning in areas with standing water. Some emergent vegetation was only partially burned, incompletely burned or merely scorched. The estimated vegetation mortality in riparian was 50-60% with the majority of this occurring in the understory, while the tree canopy remained relatively unburned.

Most of the forb species were consumed. Although the fire burned at varying intensities across the landscape, in most cases the residency time of the fire was short enough so as not to damage the soil, existing root systems, or reduce native seed banks in the known habitats of these plants. Burying of native seeds through wind deposition of soils now threatens the natural regeneration of native species in sandy soil types.

Negative impacts resulting from vegetation losses include a significant reduction in wildlife habitat, forage for wildlife species, visual quality degradation, increased non-native species invasion, bare soils, and reduced species diversity. The loss of wildlife habitat, and potential impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species are discussed further within the Wildlife Assessment.

Ground disturbing impacts to Monument property resulted from the creation of fire breaks using bull dozers and disks, and engines driving off road during suppression efforts. A complete inventory was conducted of disked lines and dozerlines on the fire area and emergency rehabilitation needs assessed (see Operations assessment).

Additional losses surveyed during field reviews were fire impacts on boundary fences. Boundary fence between the Monument and private lands were negatively impacted. Stretch posts and wire were damaged by the fire and will require repair. Boundary signs were also damaged due to fire. (See Operations Assessment).

B. Vegetation Recovery

Revegetation of the fire area through natural processes will take between 7-30 years to visually represent pre-fire conditions. However, due to the presence of non-native plants and noxious weeds, the site is at risk of becoming dominated by non-native annuals, such as cheatgrass, and aggressive perennial

species such as yellow starthistle, rush skeletonweed, perennial pepperweed, diffuse knapweed, puncture vine and salt cedar. Without active restoration it is unlikely that the site will recover to its pre-fire characteristics. Some impacted plant communities will take decades to re-establish back to pre-fire levels. Most research indicates that fire eliminates spiny hopsage altogether, and bitterbrush and sagebrush for at least several years. Because big sagebrush reproduces by seed and not by sprouting, recovery can be very prolonged on many sites. Concern has been expressed about the re-establishment of critical sagebrush communities for agency listed T&E wildlife habitat and the protection of the ecological integrity of the shrub-steppe community.

During the course of the fire, backfires were set (see Suppression Impact Map- Appendix V) to slow or stop the advancing fire front. In initiating this type of suppression action, native shrub-steppe habitat was lost thereby creating potential short and long-term impacts to T&E plant and wildlife species.

Other direct impacts to vegetation include the loss of shrub lands previously occupied by dense vegetation which are now open and traversable. The fire area is within an area open and accessible to the Public for recreation and this use could have negative impacts to wildlife, microbiotic crusts, government property, vegetation recovery, and cultural resources. Impacts to natural regeneration process and the protection of cultural resources will be jeopardized if general public use within the fire area is not carefully controlled and monitored for the remainder of this calendar year.

1. Noxious Weed Establishment

Invasive alien plant species pose one of the most serious threats to the native biodiversity, wildlife habitat, and scenic values which the Hanford Reach National Monument was declared to protect, and for which the entire Hanford Site is well known (Soll et al. 1999). At Hanford, and elsewhere in western North America, invasive and noxious alien plant species compete against and reduce habitat available for rare plant taxa and native plant species in general. Weeds alter ecosystem structure and function, disrupt food chains and other ecosystem characteristics vital to wildlife (including rare and endangered species), and can dramatically alter key ecosystem processes such as hydrology, productivity, nutrient cycling, and fire regime. Conditions created by wildfire favor the spread of many noxious weed species (Evans, J.R., J.J. Nugent, and J.K. Meisel, 2003).

The establishment of invasive species and noxious weeds which will compete with native vegetation recovery is likely. During field assessment inventories, the vegetation specialist recorded sightings of Russian (*Acroptilon repens*) and diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*), perennial pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolium*), salt cedar (*Tamarix ramosissimus, T. parviflora*), Phragmites (*Phragmites australis*), Russian Olive (*Eleagnus angustifolia*), swainsonpea (*Sphaerophysa salsula*), yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*), rush skeletonweed (*Chondrilla juncea*), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), kochia (*Bassia scoparia*), puncturevine (*Tribulus terrestris*), and Russian thistle (*Salsola kali*) infestations. Several of these species are located within the fire area, and others are very near to the fire area (Appendix V-Maps). Further, puncturevine was located at the area that became the Incident Command Post (ICP). All vehicles that reported to the command post and proceeded into the fire area most likely spread puncturevine throughout the burned area.

All of the above non-native plants and noxious weeds spread vigorously, and are a threat to the burned area. Each of these species is currently located along existing road systems and/or in areas within or near the fire. It is imperative to treat known populations prior to seed-set in order to reduce the expansion potentials of these populations into the fire area. Immediate treatment of these populations is recommended.

The fire area presents a large-scale disturbance, and has created new open sites for weed invasion. Coupled with the added nutrients from the ash, a fertile bed for the rapid colonization and spread of non-native species has been created. Upon the discovery of new noxious weed populations, accurate population information should be collected through the use of Global Positioning Systems (GPS) to determine infestation size, original source and potential control methods. Control efforts will be implemented in accordance with the Invasive species management plan guidelines and protocols.

The current Weed Inventory and Management Plan (2003) has prioritized the weed species that exist on the Monument based on the following criteria; their aggressiveness, level/size of infestation, degree of ecological threat or impact, value of habitat surrounding weed infestations, and effectiveness of available control technologies. Priority 1 species that pose the greatest threat and require immediate control that are within the fire area include; diffuse knapweed, yellow starthistle, rush skeletonweed, salt cedar, and puncturevine. Priority 2 species do not spread quite as rapidly as Priority 1 species, but are still of great concern. Priority 2 species in the fire area include; Russian knapweed, Canada thistle, and Russian Olive. Priority 3 species are all other invasive species that are perceived as slightly less likely to threaten Monument resources but are still of concern. Priority 3 species within or near the fire area include; perennial pepperweed, swainsonpea, Phragmites, and kochia.

Inventories throughout the Monument have only searched approximately 30,000 acres (>12000 ha) of the 195,000 acre Monument for targeted invasive plant species. Inventories have focused on areas where noxious weeds had been previously reported, on special habitats (e.g., natural springs) where certain target species are expected to occur, and in disturbed lands and corridors. Thus, not all of the Monument lands have been surveyed for noxious weeds. The inventory was conducted primarily on shrub-steppe uplands and around natural springs. Aquatic environments associated with irrigation wasteways and artificial impoundments on the North Slope have NOT been included in surveys to date. Riparian habitats surrounding these features were only partially surveyed, and invasive species associated with these habitats are undoubtedly substantially underreported in the current Monument database. The fire area may have further populations of noxious weeds that are currently undocumented. Immediate surveys of the area are important to document any previously unknown infestations.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service uses an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach to treat targeted invasive plant species on the Hanford Reach National Monument. Manual, mechanical, biological, cultural (e.g., prescribed fire, competitive plantings), and chemical treatment methods will be used within the fire area to achieve prioritized weed control objectives. Invasive species managers will draw upon the full range of appropriate control technologies to develop integrated treatment plans for target species at selected priority sites. Treatment methodologies will be based upon the best information available from weed management literature and professional experience, tailored to the characteristics of the particular species and site.

2. Revegetation

Concern has been expressed over the loss of vegetation cover on the sandy soils of the McLane fire area. Wind blown sands may present a hazard to residents to the east of the burn, and drivers along county road Mt. Vista. Natural re-vegetation of the sand dunes will be slow and will take many years to stabilize these areas. Rehabilitation and re-vegetation of those areas as needed to ensure ecological function and protect public safety along the road way.

Revegetation in the area should be conducted in order to protect soils in the area, to reduce the change due to further erosion and degradation. Wind erosion is highly likely in this area. Additionally, because the site is at high risk from non-native species and noxious weeds, re-vegetation must be completed to protect the plant community and ecology of the site. As stated above, it is unlikely that the fire area will recover without some intervention and active restoration effort.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Fire Suppression Rehabilitation:

Suppression account -Dozer/Disc line Rehabilitation- Reseed all disturbed areas resulting from suppression actions with native seed species to protect the ecological integrity of the area. Seeding will be postponed until fall of 2005 or until such time as adequate moisture provides a firm seedbed for rehabilitation actions.

B. Emergency Rehabilitation : (specification related)

The following recommendations are offered to assist in the timely recovery of the McLane Fire:

M -1R Non-Native Invasive Species Control- Integrated Pest Management- Identify and treat nonnative invasive species within the McLane fire area, and control infestations in areas adjacent to the McLane Fire area utilizing integrated pest management techniques.

#M -2R and M-3R Ecological Stabilization - Native shrub planting - Collect native seed, grow out in nursery setting, and plant seedlings within the McLane fire area to re-establish shrub species component within fire area.

C. Rehabilitation (non-specification related treatments)

• Submit long-term rehabilitation plan as required to stabilize soils, control non-native invasive species and protect ecological integrity of the site.

D. Management Recommendations (non-specification related)

- Coordinate emergency rehabilitation needs with the Department of Energy to ensure public safety is protected along county roads and state Highway 24.
- Re-establish boundary fences and Refuge closure signs along irrigation rights-of-way to protect rehabilitation treatments and reduce trespass potentials.
- Increase law enforcement patrols through the fire area until vegetation is re-established

VI. References:

- Easterly, R. and D. Salstrom. 2004. Current vegetation map of Saddle Mountain, Wahluke and Ringold Units, Hanford Reach National Monument. SEE botanical consulting, Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Evans, J. R., J.J. Nugent, and J. K. Meisel. 2003. Invasive Plant Species Inventory and Management Plan for the Hanford Reach National Monument. Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, The Nature Conservancy of Washington, Seattle, Washington.
- The Nature Conservancy of Washington. 1999. Biodiversity Inventory and Analysis of the Hanford Site. Final Report. 1994-1999.
- The Nature Conservancy of Washington. 1995. Annual Report. Biodiversity Inventory and Analysis of the Hanford Site.

Washington State Department of Natural Resources, 2000. Field Guide to Washington's Rare Plants.

Fire Effects Information System (FEIS)- National Interagency Fire Center Web Site

Proclamation 7319 of June 9, 2000. Establishment of the Hanford Reach National Monument.

National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997.

USFWS. Fire Management Handbook. Emergency Fire Rehabilitation Standards.

Joel G. Peterson. 1995. Ecological Implications of Sagebrush Manipulation.

C.A. Brandt et al. 1999. Plant Reestablishment After Soil Disturbance: Effects on Soil, Treatment, and Time.

Steven O. Link et al. 1990. Response of a Shrub-Steppe Ecosystem to Fire: Soil Water and Vegetational Change.

Heidi L. Newsome, Wildlife Biologist - Hanford Reach National Monument 509-371-1801 ext. 223

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN

McLane Fire

OPERATIONS ASSESSMENT

I. OBJECTIVES

- Identify, inventory, and map fire suppression impacts on jurisdictions affected by the fire.
- Specify rehabilitation measures to mitigate fire suppression impacts.
- Coordinate with local agencies so that specification recommendations are consistent with agency objectives.
- Protect natural and cultural resource values during rehabilitation efforts.

II. ISSUES

- Potential impacts to critical natural and cultural resources from suppression actions.
- Extensive soil disturbance on highly erodable soils from fire suppression activities.
- Damage to fences within fire perimeter associated with fire suppression actions.

III. OBSERVATIONS

A. Background

Please refer to fire history summary.

B. Reconnaissance Methodology and Results

On August 11, 2005 HRNM staff began evaluating resource impacts caused by the suppression effort on lands and physical improvements with the McLane fire area. Team members did reconnaissance from the ground and obtained information from suppression forces. Information was also gathered from interviews with Division Supervisors, and from engine crews assigned to the fire.

C. Findings

The McLane fire burned approximately 6068 acres on the Hanford Reach National Monument. Approximately 13.5 (51 acres based on 16 foot width) miles of dozer line and diskline was created to stop the fire. Approximately 8 miles of 4-strand barbed wire fence, signs and three gates were impacted by suppression crews and backfiring operations along the HRNM boundary to prevent fire spread onto adjacent private lands (see Photo documentation). These fences are critical to prevent livestock (cattle, horses, and sheep) from trespassing onto Monument lands. Further, public access points on this unit of the Monument were impacted by fire suppression including access roads, gates and parking areas.

Rehabilitation of suppression line is necessary to protect habitats from noxious weed infestation, ORV intrusion on the landscape, and to minimize fragmentation of ecological areas. Monitoring of suppression lines is necessary to determine the need for future noxious weed mitigation needs. Dozer lines and disklines within the burned area on lands managed by FWS will be treated according to methods described in the Hanford Site Biological Resource Management Plan (HSBRMP, 1996). A complete cultural resource assessment has been completed on all suppression lines within the fire (refer to Cultural Resources Assessment).

There are four types of suppression impacts to be considered:

- Dozer and diskline built on FWS which require restoration and revegetation. This will
 require adequate soil moisture to establish a firm seedbed prior to reseeding actions.
- Repair of the boundary fence, interior fence, and public access points on the HRNM.

 Access roads to the fire area that were used for suppression actions are now impassible due do the amount of lose powdery soils resulting from the destruction of soil structure in the upper horizons. These roads will be rehabilitated as weather permits (accumulation of adequate moisture).

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Fire Suppression- (non-specification related-charged to suppression account)

- Dozer, diskline and Road Rehabilitation. Rehabilitate dozerlines, disklines and
 other sites directly or indirectly impacted by fire suppression activities. Dozerline and
 diskline rehab should be done at a later date due to the degraded soil conditions at
 this time. This activity should take place in the late fall or early winter when soil
 moisture content is higher.
- **Fence Repair-**. Repair suppression damaged fence and signs around perimeter of the fire between HRNM boundary and other private lands. These fences were 4-strand barbed wire and will need to be replaced with new, similar materials.

B. Management (non-specification related)

- Continue to review rehabilitation specifications with operators and other personnel
 associated with implementation of the BAER Plan to insure suppression rehabilitation
 specifications are clearly understood for protection of sensitive resources and land
 productivity. Ensure proper accounting procedures are followed in the repair of
 suppression related impacts through suppression accounts.
- Guarantee safety of personnel assigned to rehab operational assignments in the fire area.
- Monitor suppression related damage on dirt roads following fall and winter moisture events to see if additional rehab measures are necessary.

V. CONSULTATIONS

Greg Hughes, Project Leader FWS
Dan Mulligan, Archaeologist, FWS
Heidi Newsome, Wildlife Biologist, FWS
Eric Hagen, Fire Management Officer, FWS
Mike Ritter, Deputy Project Leader, FWS

VI. REFERENCES

USDI, 1995. BAER Field Team Leader Reference Book DOE, 1996. Hanford Site Biological Resource Management Plan

Robert Little, Maintenance Foreman -USFWS

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN MCLANE FIRE-HANFORD REACH NATIONAL MONUMENT

APPENDIX II ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

- Environmental Compliance Considerations and Documentation
 NEPA Environmental Screening Checklist and Categorical Exclusion



APPENDIX II - ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE CONSIDERATIONS, DOCUMENTATION, AND CONSULTATIONS

McLane Fire Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation Plan

FEDERAL, STATE, AND PRIVATE LANDS ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

All projects proposed in the McLane Fire Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation (R) Plan that are prescribed, funded, or implemented by Federal agencies on Federal, State, or private lands are subject to compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with the guidelines provided by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations (40 CFR 1500-1508); Department of the Interior Manual, Part 516, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, NEPA Guidelines, Part 516 DM 6, Appendix 1; and DOE, NEPA Regulations (10 CFR Part 1021). This Appendix documents the BAER Team considerations of NEPA compliance requirements for prescribed rehabilitation and monitoring actions described in this plan for all jurisdictions affected by the McLane Fire burned area emergency rehabilitation.

B. RELATED PLANS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS

Draft Hanford Biological Resources Management Plan and Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement: The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist reviewed the Draft Hanford Biological Resources Management Plan (1996) and Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (September 1999) and determined that actions proposed in the McLane Fire BAER Plan within the boundary of the Hanford Reach National Monument are consistent with the management objectives established in the Land-Use Plan. The EIS incorporates the management plan by reference. The EIS/management plan specifically addresses bulldozer lines and provides NEPA compliance for bulldozer line rehabilitation under NEPA.

Cumulative Impact Analysis: Cumulative effects are the environmental impacts resulting from the incremental impacts of a proposed action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, both Federal and non-Federal. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor, but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time. The emergency protection and rehabilitation treatments for areas affected by the McLane Fire, as proposed in the McLane Fire ES Plan, do not result in an intensity of impact (i.e. major ground disturbance, etc.) that would cumulatively constitute a significant impact on the quality of the environment. The treatments are consistent with the above jurisdictional management plans and associated environmental compliance documents and categorical exclusions listed below.

C. APPLICABLE AND RELEVANT CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: The individual actions proposed in this plan for Hanford Reach National Monument are Categorically Excluded from further environmental analysis as provided for in the Department of the Interior Manual Part 516 and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, NEPA Guidelines, Part 516 DM 6, Appendix 1. All applicable and relevant Department and Agency Categorical Exclusions are listed below. Department exceptions (516) DM 2.3 do not apply to any of the individual actions proposed. Categorical Exclusion decisions were made with consideration given to the results of required emergency consultations completed by the BAER Team and documented in Section E below.

Applicable Departmental Categorical Exclusions

516 DM2 App. 2, 1.6	Non-destructive data collection, inventory (including field, aerial, and satellite surveying and mapping), study, research and monitoring activities.
516 DM 6 App. 4.4 A	Operations, maintenance, and replacement of existing facilities (includes road maintenance).
516 DM 6 App. 4.4 L(5)	Emergency road repairs under 23 U.S.C. 125.
516 DM 6 App. 7.4 C(3)	Routine maintenance and repairs to non-historic structures, facilities, utilities, grounds and trails.
516 DM 6 App. 7.4 C(19)	Landscaping and landscape maintenance in previously disturbed or developed areas.

Applicable U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Categorical Exclusions

Research, inventory, and information collection activities directly related to the conservation of fish and wildlife resources which involve negligible animal mortality of habitat destruction, no introduction of contaminants, or no introduction of organisms not indigenous to the affected ecosystem.
The installation of fences.
The planting of seeds or seedlings and other minor revegetation actions.
The development of limited access for routine maintenance and management purposes.
Fire management activities, including prevention and restoration measures, when conducted in accordance with Departmental and Service procedures.
The reintroduction or supplementation (e.g. stocking) of native, formerly native, or established species into suitable habitat within their historic or established range, where no or negligible environmental disturbances are anticipated.

D. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE FOR THE MCLANE FIRE BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN

This section documents consideration given to the requirements of specific environmental laws in the development of the McLane Fire BAER Plan. Specific consultations initiated or completed during development and implementation of this plan are also documented. The following executive orders and legislative acts have been reviewed as they apply to the McLane Fire BAER Plan:

- 1. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The BAER Team archeologists have initiated necessary consultation with the Washington State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and the Yakama, Umatilla, Nez Perce, and Wanapum Tribes regarding treatments proposed in the McLane Fire BAER Plan.
- **2**. Executive Order 11988. Floodplain Management. No treatments are proposed within the 100-year floodplain.
- **3.** Executive Order 11990. Protection of Wetlands. Treatments and actions proposed within wetland areas will "minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands, and preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands".

- **4.** Executive Order 12372. Intergovernmental Review. Coordination and consultation is ongoing with affected Tribes, Federal, State, and local agencies. A copy of the BAER Plan will be disseminated to all affected agencies.
- **5.** Executive Order 12892. Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations. All Federal actions must address and identify, as appropriate, disproportionally high and adverse human health or low-income populations, and Indian Tribes in the United States. The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist has determined that the actions proposed in this plan will result in no adverse human health or environmental effects for minority or low-income populations and Indian Tribes.
- **6.** Endangered Species Act. The BAER Team wildlife biologist and vegetation specialists have consulted with the Service and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife regarding actions proposed in this plan and potential affects on Federally and State listed species. Individual agencies are responsible for continued consultations during plan implementation.
- **7.** Secretarial Order 3127. Although contaminated sites are known to occur on properties owned by the Department of Energy at the Hanford Site, no treatments are proposed that would affect contaminated sites. There are no known contaminated sites on other jurisdictions affected by the McLane Fire.
- **8.** Clean Water Act. The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist has determined that treatments prescribed in the McLane burned area will have no impacts to water quality within wetland areas. The wetland area within the fire perimeter is a irrigation return wasteway, therefore the wetland is artificial in nature. The water flowing through the wetland does eventually return to the Columbia River, however, treatments proposed within the wetlands would have no impact to water returning to the river. Impacts would not differ significantly from routine water use practices for the area. Long-term, treatments proposed in this plan would be expected to have a beneficial impact to water quality through rehabilitation of ash and soils, and treatment of invasive species within the McLane Fire burned area.
- **9.** Clean Air Act. Federal Ambient Air Quality Primary and Secondary Standards are provided by the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, as established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7470, et seq., as amended). The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist has determined that treatments prescribed in the McLane burned area will have short-term minor impacts to air quality that would not differ significantly from routine land use practices for the area. Long-term, treatments proposed in this plan would be expected to have a beneficial impact to air quality through rehabilitation of ash and soils within the McLane Fire burned area.

E. CONSULTATIONS

Department of Energy, Hanford National Laboratory

Tom Ferns, Program Manager, Richland Operations Office

NEPA Checklist: If any of the following exception applies, the ESR Plan cannot be Categorically Excluded and an Environmental Assessment (EA) is required.

(Yes)	(No)
()	(X) Adversely affect Public Health and Safety
()	(X) Adversely affect historic or cultural resources, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers
	aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, ecologically critical areas, or Natural
	Landmarks.
()	(X) Have highly controversial environmental effects.
()	(X) Have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown
	environmental risks.
()	(X) Establish a precedent resulting in significant environmental effects.

 () (X) Relates to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. () (X) Adversely effects properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places () (X) Adversely affect a species listed or proposed to be listed as Threatened or Endangered. () (X) Threaten to violate any laws or requirements imposed for the "protection of the environment" such as Executive Order 1 1988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands). National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: () None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: () Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) Obes the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes are prepared to the consulted. 		
 () (X) Adversely effects properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places () (X) Adversely affect a species listed or proposed to be listed as Threatened or Endangered. () (X) Threaten to violate any laws or requirements imposed for the "protection of the environment" such as Executive Order 1 1988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands). National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) Oboes the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) Oreany toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	()	
Historic Places () (X) Adversely affect a species listed or proposed to be listed as Threatened or Endangered. () (X) Threaten to violate any laws or requirements imposed for the "protection of the environment" such as Executive Order 1 1988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands). National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: () None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: () Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) O Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) O Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	()	
Endangered. () (X) Threaten to violate any laws or requirements imposed for the "protection of the environment" such as Executive Order 1 1988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands). National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) O Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) O Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	, ,	Historic Places
 () (X) Threaten to violate any laws or requirements imposed for the "protection of the environment" such as Executive Order 1 1988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands). National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements Yes) (No) (X) Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	()	
environment" such as Executive Order 1 1988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands). National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	()	
National Historic Preservation Act Ground Disturbance: ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	()	
Ground Disturbance: ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If		Executive Order 1 1990 (Protection of Wetlands).
 ()None (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	Natio	nal Historic Preservation Act
 (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	Grou	nd Disturbance:
 (X) Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of the NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural Resources Assessment. A NHPA Clearance Form: () Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	()N	lone
A NHPA Clearance Form: () Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	(X) tł	Ground disturbance did occur and an archeologist survey, required under section 110 of ne NHPA has been prepared. Findings have been documented in Appendix I- Cultural
 ()Is required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	, ,	esources Assessment.
register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	A NH	PA Clearance Form:
register. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see Cultural Resource Assessment, Appendix I). (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If	()!s	required because the project may have affected a site that is eligible or on the national
 (X) Is not required because the ESR Plan has no potential to affect cultural resources (initial of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	re	egister. The clearance form is attached. SHPO has been consulted under Section 106 (see
of cultural resource specialist). Other Requirements (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If		
 (Yes) (No) (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 		
 (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	Othe	r Requirements
 (X) () Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so, consultation with affiliated tribes is needed. (X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If 	(Yes)	(No)
(X) () Are any toxic chemicals, including pesticides or treated wood, proposed for use? If		() Does the ESR Plan have potential to affect any Native American uses? If so,
	(V)	
au, iucai aucius iniculaicu peal manaucincii aucilaliata muai de cultatileu	(\(\(\) \)	so, local agency integrated pest management specialists must be consulted.

I have reviewed the proposals in the McLane Fire Burned accordance with the criteria above and have determined involve any significant environmental effect. Therefore it environmental (NEPA) review and documentation. ESR completed necessary coordination and consultation to ins Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, Clea and local environment review requirements.	that the proposed actions would not is categorically excluded from further Team technical specialists have sure compliance with the National
ES Team Environmental Protection Specialist	Date
Project Leader, Hanford Reach National Monument	Date

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN MCLANE FIRE- HANFORD REACH NATIONAL MONUMENT

Appendix IV Supporting Documentation

- Section 7 Consultation Letter
- Cost/Risk Analysis





United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Central Washington Field Office 215 Melody Lane, Suite 119 Wenatchee, Washington 98801

August 16, 2005

MEMORANDUM

To: Heidi Newsome, Hanford Reach National Monument/Saddle Mountain NWR

From: Mark G. Miller, Project Leader, Central Washington Field Office

Subject: Species List for Wildland Fire Rehabilitation Planning and BAER (USFWS reference 1-09-2005-SP-W0345; HUC 17-02-00-16-04)

Attached is the species list you requested on August 15, 2005, in your e-mail to my staff. Below are our general recommendations regarding the assessment of the proposed action to listed, proposed, and candidate species, as well as species of concern.

Comments

Major concerns that should be addressed in your biological assessment of project impacts to listed or proposed animal species are:

- 1. Level and type of use of the project area by listed species, with particular attention on the potential for reproductive behaviors.
- 2. Effect of the project on listed species' primary food stocks and foraging areas in all areas influenced by the project.
- 3. Impacts from project construction and implementation (e.g. increased noise levels, increased human activity and/or access, loss or degradation of habitat) which may result in disturbance to listed species and/or their avoidance of the project area.

Major concerns that should be addressed for listed or proposed plant species are:

- 1. Distribution of taxon in project vicinity.
- 2. Disturbance (trampling, uprooting, collecting, etc.) of individual plants and loss of habitat.

3. Changes in hydrology where taxon is found.

Candidate species are those species for which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has sufficient information to propose for listing as threatened or endangered under the Act. Species of concern (some of which are former Category 1 and Category 2 candidates) are those species whose conservation standing is of concern to the Service, but for which status information is still needed. Conservation measures for species of concern and candidate species are voluntary but recommended. Protection provided to these species now may preclude possible listing in the future.

For information regarding species listed by NOAA Fisheries, please visit the following website http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/1salmon/salmesa/index.htm or call (509) 962-8911 in Ellensburg, Washington.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or your responsibilities under the Act, please contact Jeff Krupuk at the Central Washington Field Office in Wenatchee at (509) 665-3508, extension 18, or via e-mail at jeff_krupka@fws.gov.

Attachment

FRANKLIN COUNTY

LISTED

Threatened

Bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) – Columbia River distinct population segment *Spiranthes diluvialis* (Ute ladies'-tresses), plant

CANDIDATE

Washington ground squirrel (*Spermophilus washingtoni*) *Lesquerella tuplashensis* (White Bluffs bladderpod), plant

SPECIES OF CONCERN

Animals

Burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia)

California floater (Anodonta californiensis), mussel

Columbia clubtail (Gomphus lynnae), dragonfly

Ferruginous hawk (Buteo regalis)

Giant Columbia spire snail (Fluminicola columbiana)

Loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus)

Long-eared myotis (Myotis evotis)

Pacific lamprey (Lampetra tridentata)

Pallid Townsend's big-eared bat (Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens)

Redband trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

River lamprey (Lampetra ayresi)

Sagebrush lizard (Sceloporus graciosus)

Western brook lamprey (*Lampetra richardsoni*)

Vascular Plants

Cryptantha leucophaea (Gray cryptantha)

GRANT COUNTY

LISTED

Endangered

Pygmy rabbit (Brachylagus idahoensis) – Columbia Basin distinct population segment

Threatened

Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)

Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) – Columbia River distinct population segment *Spiranthes diluvialis* (Ute ladies'-tresses), plant

CANDIDATE

Washington ground squirrel (*Spermophilus washingtoni*)

Artemisia campestris ssp. borealis var. wormskioldii (Northern wormwood), plant

SPECIES OF CONCERN

Animals

Burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia)

California floater (Anodonta californiensis), mussel

Columbian sharp-tailed grouse (*Tympanuchus phasianellus columbianus*)

Ferruginous hawk (Buteo regalis)

Giant Columbia spire snail (Fluminicola columbiana)

Greater sage grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) – Columbia Basin distinct population segment Kincaid meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus kincaidi*)

Loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus)

Long-eared myotis (*Myotis evotis*)

Northern goshawk (Accipiter gentilis)

Northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*)

Pacific lamprey (Lampetra tridentata)

Pallid Townsend's big-eared bat (Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens)

Redband trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

River lamprey (Lampetra ayresi)

Sagebrush lizard (Sceloporus graciosus)

Western brook lamprey (Lampetra richardsoni)

Vascular Plants

Cryptantha leucophaea (Gray cryptantha)

Lomatium tuberosum (Hoover's desert-parsley)

Oxytropis campestris var. wanapum (Wanapum crazyweed)

McLANE FIRE Cost/Risk Analysis – Vegetation

PART 1. TREATMENT COST

Treatments	Cost
#M-1R- Non-native invasive species control	\$145,603
#M-2R- Ecological Stabilization- Shrub-steppe native plantings	\$237,081
#M-3R- Ecological Stabilization- Riparian rehabilitation-native plantings	\$106,220
#M- 4R- Native Seed Collection	\$7,826
Total	\$496,730

PART 2. PROBABILITY OF STABILIZATION TREATMENTS SUCCESSFULLY MEETING REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES

Treatments	Units	%
#M-1R- Non-native invasive species control	800 Acres	80%
#M-2R- Ecological Stabilization- Shrub-steppe native plantings	600 Acres	80%
#M-3R- Ecological Stabilization- Riparian rehabilitation-native plantings	200 Acres	80%
#M- 4R- Native Seed Collection	14 Lots	95%

Risk of Resource Value Loss or Damage

No Action-Treatment Not Implemented (check one)

Resource Value	None	Low	Medium	High
Lives		Х		
Residential & Commercial Property		Х		
Wildlife				Х
Cultural Resources			Х	

Proposed Action – Treatments Successfully Implemented (check one)

Resource Value	None	Low	Medium	High
Lives	X			
Residential & Commercial Property		Х		
Wildlife			Х	
Cultural Resources			X	

PART 3. SUMMARY

1. Are the risks to cultural resources and private property **acceptable** as a result of the fire if the following actions are taken?

Proposed Action	Yes[x]	l oN	1 Rational for answe

Non-Native Invasive Species Control. The detection, control and monitoring of non-native invasive species in burned areas and the prevention of the expansion of known populations into newly disturbed areas will present no risk to cultural resources and will prevent the spread of non-native invasive species to private property.

Exclusion Fences. The repair of existing fence to exclude livestock from burned area is necessary until native vegetation can be reestablished, and for protection of Monument resources. Grazing was prohibited on the Monument through Presidential proclamation. This will benefit cultural resources as livestock can cause considerable damage to cultural resources.

No [x] Rational for answer:

No Action

Yes []

therefore are recommended for implementation.

					1	
una	cceptable s	oil erosion could	signific	antly imp	roperty are not acceptable. Non-native invasive plants pact the Monument's resources and will likely affect dditional damage if exclusion fences are not constructed.	
Alte	ernative(s)	Yes[]	No [] Rationa	ale for answer: None	
	Is the probacost?	ability of success	of the	proposed	d action, alternatives or no action acceptable given the	ir
Pro	posed Acti	on Yes [x]	No [] Rationa	al for answer:	
		ensitive shrub-ste vill improve their			d obligate wildlife species will not only benefit these gret occurs.	
No .	Action	Yes []		No [x]] Rational for answer:	
the risk	Failure to protect and stabilize this area would impact nationally significant resources. Failure to prevent the spread of non-native plants will increase the long term costs of managing these lands, increase fire risks, reduce critical habitat for many wildlife species, and reduce potential reintroduction sites for listed species.					
Alte	ernative(s):	Yes []		No []] Rationale for answer: None	
				•	nd successfully attain the Emergency Rehabilitation or implementation from a Cost/Risk Analysis standpoin	ıt?
Pro	posed Acti	on Yes[x]	No	[] Ra	ationale for answer:	

It is highly likely that the no action alternative would result in substantial damage to nationally significant cultural and biological resources of The Hanford Reach National Monument. The proposed actions have a high probability of protecting soil, vegetation, and wildlife resources currently at risk of degradation. The proposed action would achieve the emergency rehabilitation objectives established in DOI policy and

BURNED AREA EMERGENCY REHABILITATION PLAN McLANE FIRE- HANFORD REACH NATIONAL MONUMENT

Appendix V Maps

- Fire location and ownership
- Upland Noxious Weed Occurrences
- Riparian Noxious Weed Occurrences
- Sensitive vegetation
- Vegetation Loss
- Sensitive Mammal and Avian Habitat

