

LOG OF MEETING DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING SCIENCES

MALERIAND NOSE, TON

SUBJECT:

National Electrical Code (NEC), Code-Making Panel 2 Meetings to discuss

proposals to revise the 2008 NEC.

DATE OF MEETING:

November 28- December 1, 2006

PLACE OF MEETING:

Crowne Plaza Hotel, Redondo Beach, California

LOG ENTRY SOURCE:

Doug Lee, ESEE

SI

DATE OF LOG ENTRY:

January 10, 2006

COMMISSION ATTENDEES:

Doug Lee, ESEE

Andrew Trotta, ESEE

NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES:

Ray Webber, Panel 2 Chair, International Association of Electrical Inspectors (IAEI)

Jean O'Connor, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)

Bill McGovern, IAEI

Bob LaRocca, Underwriters Laboratories (UL)

David Dini, UL

Michael Toman, National Electrical Contractors Association (NECA)

Don King, Int'l Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW)

Janet Skipper (IBEW)

Jim Pauley, National Elec. Mfrs. Assoc. (NEMA)

Dan Kissane, NEMA

Dick Becker, Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

James Degnan, IEEE

Robert Wilkinson, Independent Electrical Contractors (IEC)

Cliff Rediger, IEC

Brian Nenninger, American Chemistry Council

Ronald Purvis, Electrical Light and Power Group/Edison Electric Institute (EEI)

Larry Brown, National Association of Home Builders (NAHB)

Frank Coluccio, New York City Department of Buildings

Bill Burr, Canadian Standards Association

Jack Wells, Pass & Seymour

Steve Campolo, Leviton

Alan Manche, Square D Company

Dick Loyd

John Wafer, Eaton Corporation

Steven Montgomery, Safe Plug

Randy Dollar, Siemens Energy & Automation

And others

SUMMARY OF MEETING:

Mr. Lee participated in discussions of comments on proposals received by the NFPA to revise the 2008 edition of the *National Electrical Code (NEC)*, Articles 210, 215, and 220. The NEC is revised in a 3-year cycle and the new edition will be known as the 2008 edition. The topics covered included branch-circuits, feeders, and branch-circuit, feeder, and service calculations. Many of the proposals involved expansion or revision of the Arc-Fault Circuit-Interrupter (AFCI) and Ground-Fault Circuit-Interrupter (GFCI) requirements. The CPSC technical staff submitted five proposals that were reviewed by Code-Making Panel 2 (Panel 2). Two of the proposals were editorial (*NEC* proposal 2-137 changing the verb tense and *NEC* proposal 2-119 adding the acronym for AFCI) and were accepted by the panel unanimously.

The CPSC staff proposal (*NEC* proposal No. 2-87) to extend GFCI protection for hard-wired boat hoists not exceeding 240 V was unanimously accepted at the proposal stage and did not receive further comments. The CPSC staff proposal (*NEC* proposal No. 2-136) to require a luminaire on an AFCI protected branch circuit supplying power to the smoke alarm circuit received some support in discussions at the proposal stage but did not pass the 2/3 majority needed for acceptance. No comments on this proposal were received. However, the panel added a fine print note during the proposal stage that will require AFCI protected smoke alarms to have a secondary power source that should address the concern expressed in public proposals.

The CPSC staff proposal (*NEC* proposal No. 2-138) that would require AFCIs for older homes when the electrical service is upgraded received some support but was below the 2/3 majority required for acceptance. At this time, Panel 2 was not willing to expand the scope of AFCI installations for older dwellings because of the wide range of wiring practices that may be encountered in the field. The panel would like to see alternative methods to improve safety in older homes.

Other significant comments that Panel 2 acted on will allow AFCIs to be installed at the first receptacle as long as metal raceway or AC cable is used up to the first receptacle. Panel 2 acted on comments to uphold the proposals to remove exceptions to the GFCI requirements for receptacles not readily accessible and receptacles for appliances that are not easily moved.

Panel 2 discussed and acted upon 272 comments on proposals. Ballots during the meeting are provisional until the final balloting is conducted by mail. Details of the disposition of the 272 comments on proposals will be published subsequently by the NFPA. The CPSC staff is a non-voting member of the *NEC* Code-Making Panels.