



Setting AOSCA Standards

Allan B. Simons
President
Association of Official Seed
Certifying Agencies



- AOSCA's history
- AOSCA in 2004
- Advisory Committee
- Historical basis for certification
- Establishing certification standards
- Verifying compliance with standards
- Miscellaneous considerations
- Policy on trait testing for certification



AOSCA's history

- International Crop Improvement Assoc. –
 Dec 1919 (5 states + Canada)
- Prompted by quick loss of new varieties
- Standards came rapidly
 - Forages & cereals (1921)
 - Soybean (1922)
 - OP corn (1923)
 - OP sorghum & cotton (1926)
 - Virtually all other crops by 1969 57 total now



AOSCA's history (cont'd)

- Fundamental concepts of certification
 - Certification based on varietal lineage
 - Recognize grower integrity
 - Qualified people inspect fields
 - Establish varietal ID in field trials
 - Keep adequate records
 - Establish crop and seed purity standards
 - Protect grower & purchaser by sealing containers
 - Define noxious weed species
 - Examine graded samples of seed



AOSCA's history (cont'd)

- U.S. Federal Seed Act of 1939 initial recognition of certification and official certifying agencies
- Survey of 34 members in 1943-1945
- Publication No. 16 in June 1946
- International recognition/use of standards and procedures followed, e.g., OECD Seed Schemes



AOSCA's history (cont'd)

- ICIA incorporated in Illinois as a nonprofit in 1951
- Leaders sought to establish minimum standards for interstate commerce
- ICIA became AOSCA in 1968 to satisfy U.S government policy on use of "international" in federal regulations



U.S. Federal Seed Act Regulations, Part 201

 Incorporated AOSCA standards in 1969 – for land history, field isolation and varietal purity in field and seed

United States Federal Seed Act Department of Agriculture Marketing and Regulations Regulatory Programs Agricultural Marketing **Part 201** Service Livestock and Seed Program March 2000 Interstate Commerce and General Regulations Seed Testing Regulations Certified Seed Regulations

201.76 Minimum Land, Isolation, Field and Seed Standards

APPENDIX II SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CERTIFICATION OF PLANT MATERIALS UNDER THE AOSCA SYSTEM

A. Minimum Land, Isolation, Field and Seed Standards.

| Crop Kind | FOUNDATION | | | | REGISTERED | | | | CERTIFIED | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------|------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | Land | Isolation | Field | Seed | • Land | Isolation | Field | Seed | Land | •• Isolation | Field | Seed |
| Alfalfa Hybrid | 4 ¹ 4 ¹ | 600 ^{44,48} 1320 ⁴³ | 1000 1000 ⁴² | 0.1 0.1 | 31 | 3003,44,48 | 400 | 0.25 | 1 ^{1,2} 1 ^{1,2} | 165 ^{44,49} 165 ^{3,43,44} | 100 100 ⁴² | 1.0 1.0 |
| Barley Hybrid Hybrid - Chemically Assisted | 1 ⁷ 1 ³⁰ | 0 ²³ 660 ^{21,32} | 3000 3000 | 0.05 0.05 | 1 ⁷ 1 ³⁰ | 0 ²³ 660 ^{21,32} | 2000 | 0.1 | 1 ⁷ , 1 ³⁰ | 0 ²³ 330 ^{21,32} 330 ^{52,53} | 1000 1000 | 0.2 0.2 |
| Birdsfoot Trefoil | 51 | 600 ^{5,44} | 1000 | 0.1 | 31 | 300 ^{5,44} | 400 | 0.25 | 21 | 165 ^{6,44} | 100 | 1.0 |
| Clover (All Kinds) | 51.9 | 6005,18,44 | 1000 | 0.1 | 3 ^{1,9} | 3005,18,44 | 400 | 0.25 | 21.9 | 16518,44 | 100 | 1.0 |
| Corn Inbred Lines | 0 | 66010,11 | 100013,46 | 0.1 ¹⁵ | | | | | | | | |

Number of years that must elapse between destruction of a stand of a kind and establishment of a stand of a specific class of a variety of the same crop kind. A certification agency may grant a variance in land cropping history in specific circumstances where cultural practices have been proven adequate to maintain varietal purity.

Distance in feet from any contaminating sources

Minimum number of plants or heads in which one plant or head of another variety or off-type is permitted.

Maximum percentage of seed of other varieties or off-types permitted.



AOSCA in 2004

- 44 U.S. members inspect 3.75M acres annually
 - 45% Small grains wheat, barley, oat, et al.
 - About 10% each corn, cotton, grass, soybean
- Canada, Chile, New Zealand, Argentina and Australia (2)
- National Variety Review Boards assist variety eligibility process in the U.S.



AOSCA Advisory Committee

- Established 1970 forum for stakeholders to comment on new and revised certification rules
- Meets twice annually to review and discuss certification and other issues
- Approves all new/revised standards
- Membership AOSCA (6), USDA (4), ASTA (4), CFIA, CSI, CSTA, Experiment Stations (US & Canada), NCCPB, AASCO, AOSA, SCST, Foundation Seed Stocks



Historical basis for certification

- <u>Early objective</u> preserve new varieties and minimize proliferation of names
- <u>Early basis</u> physical appearance or morphology/phenotype as described
- Field inspection primary QA method, then and now
- Functional "genetic" traits and reactions were problematical in this system due to variable environmental influence on expression



Historical basis (cont'd)

- Consolidation of farming and seed production has evolved to supply high quality seed
- Some U.S. regions (corn, soybean) use little certification as private varieties proliferate
- Other regions (small grains) still rely on certification
- Intellectual property rights protection(Title V)
- AOSCA does not require verification of varietal purity by non-phenotypic tests as a condition of final certification



Establishing certification standards

- Most standards in Part 201.76 originated
 1921 1969
- No records exist (?) as to criteria used
- But, early workers were Land Grant ag scientists......
 - Land history and isolation data, observation and common sense?
 - Varietal purity cosmetics, economics, equipment



- Modern process of setting standards
 - AOSCA Commodity Committee initiates via input from any source
 - Committee investigates literature, scientific and professional testimony, economics, production feasibility, grower attitudes
 - Committee proposes solution to the issue
 - AOSCA board adopts a solution
 - Advisory Committee debates and responds
 - AOSCA board adopts final version
 - AOSCA forwards information to USDA for inclusion in FSA Regulations

Compliance verification procedures

Examination of records

- Variety eligibility
- Seed stock eligibility
- Land history (Part 201.76)

Field inspection

- Isolation (Part 201.76)
- Varietal purity(Part 201.76)
 - off-types, other varieties & crops
- Sampling methods vary: sequential sampling
- Pollen control in hybrids: shedding standards



Compliance verification procedures (cont'd)

- Seed inspection (Part 201.76)
 - Relatively limited utility few good traits
 - Difficult to widely implement labs differ
- Post harvest testing
 - AOSCA hybrid canola, cotton, wheat only
 - Hybrid corn, sorghum, sunflower optional
 - Growouts most common method
 - Other lab tests increasingly relevant



- Seed Conditioning (Part 201.73)
 - FSA Regulations define requirements
 - Facilities to prevent admixinig
 - Seed lot identity maintenance
 - Records of receipt & disposition
 - On site designated representative
 - Generally, agencies conduct annual inspections



Miscellaneous issues

- Varietal purity objectives
 - Economics vs. buyer/seller acceptance
 - Traditionally, phenotype = cosmetic
 - Off-types as "variants" are problems
 - Consequences vary
 - Cosmetic flower color, height
 - Functional kernel color, herbicide resistance
 - Tolerance for adventitious material
 - "Reasonable" vs. zero



Miscellaneous issues (cont'd)

- Size or scale of production units
 - Presumption that outcrosses are diluted
 - Field size affects isolation requirement
 - 5 acres alfalfa
 - 20 acres hybrid corn
 - 10 per cent "isolation zone" waiver
 - Alfalfa and grasses



Miscellaneous issues (cont'd)

- Establishment & verification of isolation distances
 - Historically, what seemed to work in the context of the times and the consequences
 - Presence of adventitious GM events in conventional seed has raised concern (!)
 - ASTA/AOSCA isolation study hybrid corn
 - AOSCA review of small grains isolation
 - AOSCA has not (yet) addressed issue of isolation vs. consequences of outcrossing



AOSCA policy on trait testing as a condition of certification

- There is no requirement, yet(?)
- Ca. 1994: socio-political impact of GM traits was not foreseen, so source of traits not discriminated against
- Technology owners impose standards that do not coincide with AOSCA's
- Independent tests often used in export certification



Tasks differ

- AOSCA's challenge limit the intrusion of adventitious
 - pollen into a certified seed field
 - seed into a certified seed lot
- APHIS/BRS's challenge prevent the escape of certain traits into the environment



Thank you

