### Airfoils for Structures -Passive and Active Load Control for Wind Turbine Blades

### C.P. van Dam

Department of Mechanical & Aeronautical Engineering University of California, Davis



#### **HAWT Size and Power Trends** Boeing **B747-400** .. .. . . ... 120 m, . . ... 11 . . ... 11 ... ... 394 ft 100 m, ... .. ... н 85 m, н 328 ft ... 66 m, 279 ft *.*... 216 ft 50 m, 164 ft 0.75 MW 1.5 MW 5.0 MW 2.5 MW 3.5 MW



### Motivation

- Novel approaches are needed to reduce growth in blade mass with blade length
  - Mass  $\propto$  Length<sup>3</sup> whereas Power  $\propto$  Length<sup>2</sup>
- Blade design methodology must be adapted to deal with resulting design challenges:



- With design focus on turbine mass and cost for given performance, need may arise for passive and active techniques to control the flow and the loads on the blades/turbine
- To maximize the overall system benefits of these techniques, load control should be included from the onset
- This presentation will summarize passive and active flow/load control techniques with a focus on our activities in these areas



### **Acknowledgments**

- ➤ UC Davis
  - Kevin Standish
  - Eddie Mayda
  - Jonathan Baker
  - Lorena Moreno
  - et al
- > Dora Yen Nakafuji, LLNL
- Kevin Jackson, Dynamic Design Engineering, Inc.
- Mike Zuteck, MDZ Consulting
- > Derek Berry, TPI Composites, Inc.
- Wind Energy Technology Group, Sandia National Laboratories



### Outline

- Passive flow/load control
  - Overview of concepts
  - Blunt trailing edge/flatback airfoils
- Active flow/load control
  - Overview of concepts
  - Microtab concept
- Concluding remarks



### **Passive Flow/Load Control**

- Passively control the aerodynamic loading to:
  - improve the performance of the turbine
  - mitigate the loads on the structure
  - reduce the stress levels in the structure
- Passive load control techniques:
  - Laminar flow control
  - Passive porosity
  - Riblets
  - Vortex generators
  - Stall strips
  - Gurney flaps
  - Serrated trailing edges
  - Aeroelastic tailoring
  - Special purpose airfoils (restrained max. lift; high lift; flatback)
- Passive load control is extensively used in wind turbine design, for the most part focused on power production
- > Focus on different type of special purpose section shape for blade root region



# **Airfoil Thickness Study**

- Baseline airfoil is S821 (t/c = 24%)
- Camber distribution is constant
- Maximum thickness ratio is systematically increased from 0.24 to 0.60
- MSES used for aerodynamic analysis





### **Thickness Effect on Lift** Re = 4.35 x 10<sup>6</sup>, MSES



# **Thickness Effect Conclusions**

- > Loss in maximum lift due to surface roughness is encountered for airfoils with t/c > approx. 0.26
- At clean surface conditions, maximum lift coefficient peaks at t/c = 0.35 and lift-to-drag ratio peaks at t/c = 0.30
- Results back general view that maximum thickness ratios greater than 26% are deemed to have unacceptable performance characteristics
- One way to improve performance characteristics of thick airfoils is by installing vortex generators on suction surface
- > Are there any other options?



#### Blunt Trailing-Edge on Gö-490 Hoerner & Borst (1985)





#### Wortmann FX-77-W-xxx Truncated Airfoils Timmer (1992)



- W ortm ann developed a series of truncated airfoils in the late 1970's
- The FX-77 series were applied to provide section shapes for the inboard region of the DEBRA 25, a variable pitch 100 kW wind turbine
- High maximum lift values were measured for the thick truncated airfoils

### **TR Series Airfoils**



### Effect of Trailing-Edge Modification on Lift

#### <u>Re = 4.5 x 10<sup>6</sup>, Clean, ARC2D</u>



- > Truncating cam bered airfoil (TR-35  $\rightarrow$  TR-35.80) results in loss of cam ber and , hence, loss in lift
- > TR-35.80 has significantly higherm axim um lift than TR-44
- > TR-35-10 shows superior lift perform ance over entire angle-of-attack range

### Effect of Trailing-Edge Modification on Lift Re = 4.5 x 10<sup>6</sup>, Soiled, ARC2D



- > Boundary layer transition due to leading-edge soiling on thick blades leads to premature flow separation and as a result loss in lift and increase in drag
- > Blunt trailing edge causes a delay in flow separation and mitigating the loss in lift

#### **Effect of Soiling on Lift** Re = 4.5 x 10<sup>6</sup>, Clean, ARC2D



> Lift perform ance of TR-35-10 is hardly affected by soiling

> Otherainfoils nearly incapable of generating liftat soiled conditions



### Effect of Blunt Trailing Edge Modification on Pressure Distribution

<u>Re = 4.5 x 10<sup>6</sup>, α = 8°, Clean</u>



- > Tim e-averaged pressure distributions of the TR-35 and TR-35-10 airfoils
- > Blunt trailing edge reduces the adverse pressure gradient on the upper surface by utilizing the wake for off-surface pressure recovery
- The reduced pressure gradientm itigates flow separation thereby providing enhanced aerodynam icperform ance

### **Passive Flow/Load Control Conclusions**

- Passive control is used extensively in the design of wind turbine blades
- One example of flow control for the blade root region of large wind turbine blades is the blunt trailing edge (or flatback) airfoil concept
- The incorporation of a blunt trailing edge for thick airfoils is beneficial for following reasons:
  - Improves aerodynamic lift performance ( $C_{L_{max}}$ ,  $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ , reduced sensitivity to transition)
  - Allows for very thick sections shapes to be used (t/c >> 30%)  $\rightarrow$  lower stress levels in structure
  - Reduced chord for given maximum thickness can mitigate large blade transportation constraints
- Trailing edge may need to be treated for reduction of base drag, flow unsteadiness and noise
- Truncation of cambered section shapes is not a good idea because it leads to changes in camber and maximum thickness-to-chord ratio resulting in reduced lift performance



### Blade System Design Study (BSDS) -Phase I (TPI Composites, Inc.)



- > Constant spar cap, constant spar with design
- > Inboard the blades used high thickness flatback inboard airfoils
- > O utboard high lift airfoils with m odified thickness for thickness and shape to yield the least complex and costly internal blade structure

### Blade System Design Study (BSDS) -Phase I (TPI Composites, Inc.)



- > Use of high thickness flatback airfoils in the inner blade, com bined with the use of EC C lass III design bads, results in a large reduction in blade prim ary structure for given power output perform ance
- > Resulting blade designs are significantly lighter than the latest designs in the marketplace

### **On-Going/Future Efforts**

- Wind tunnel verification of blunt trailing edge airfoil performance is needed
- Evaluate 3-D flow effects and trailing edge treatments for reduction of base drag, flow unsteadiness, and noise
- Flow control to control bluff body vortex shedding?





# **Active Flow/Load Control**

- > Actively control the loading on blade/turbine by modifying:
  - Blade incidence angle
  - Flow velocity
  - Blade size
  - Blade aerodynamic characteristics through:
    - Changes in section shape
    - Surface blowing/suction
    - Other flow control techniques
- Active load control:
  - May remove fundamental design constraints for large benefits
  - These large benefits are feasible if active control technology is considered from the onset
- > Active load control is already used in wind turbine design. E.g.:
  - Yaw control
  - Blade pitch control
  - Blade aileron
- > Provide fast system response to alleviate load spikes due to gusts

### **Gurney Flap (Passive)**

- ➢ Gurney flap (Liebeck, 1978)
  - Significant increases in C<sub>L</sub>
  - Relatively small increases in  $C_{\mathsf{D}}$
  - Properly sized Gurney flaps ⇒ increases in L/D



α

#### Microtab Concept Yen Nakafuji & van Dam (2000)

- Generate macro-scale changes in aerodynamic loading using micro-scale devices?
- > Trailing edge region is most effective for load control
- <u>Micro-Electro-Mechanical (MEM)</u> devices are ideal for trailing edge implementation due to their small sizes
- Devices are retractable and controllable
- Does not require significant changes to conventional lifting surface design (i.e. manufacturing or materials)



### **MEMS Microtab Characteristics**

- Small, simple, fast response
- Retractable and controllable
- Lightweight, inexpensive
- > Two-position "ON-OFF" actuation
- Low power consumption
- No hinge moments
- > Expansion possibilities (scalability)
- Do not require significant changes to conventional lifting surface design (i.e. manufacturing or materials)



### **Microtab Assembly & Motion**



### **Previous Testing & Results**



Fixed Solid Tab Model



#### Integrated Microtab Model



# **Retractable Tab Results** Experimental: GU(25)-5(11)8, Re=1.0×10<sup>6</sup>, 1%c tabs, 5%c from TE



### Continued Research Using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)

- Experimental testing is expensive and time consuming. The UC Davis wind tunnel is limited to:
  - Low-speed subsonic conditions
  - Maximum Reynolds number  $\approx 1 \times 10^{6}$
- > Advantages of CFD:
  - Relatively fast and inexpensive to study a large number of geometric variations
  - Provides detailed insight to the flow-field phenomena
  - Provides better overall flexibility



### **Test Airfoil**

### GU-25-5(11)-8

- High-lift airfoil
- Thick upper surface
- Nearly flat lower surface
- Large trailing edge volume

### GU25\_LTL=95 (C-grid)

- Farfield at 50c
- (450-496)×(124)
- 75 points on wake-cut (150 total)



### **Microtab Effect on Flow Development**

Changes in the Kutta condition lead to an effective increase/decrease in camber



#### **Effect of Lower Surface Tab on Lift** Re=1.0×10<sup>6</sup>, $M_{\infty}$ =0.2, $x_{tr}$ =0.455





#### **Effect of Lower Surface Tab on L/D** Re=1.0×10<sup>6</sup>, $M_{\infty}$ =0.2, $x_{tr}$ =0.455





#### Effect of Lower Surface Tab on Surface Pressure Distribution $\alpha = 8^{\circ}$ , Re=1.0×10^{\circ}, M<sub>a</sub>=0.2, x<sub>a</sub>=0.455





#### **Effect of Upper Surface Tab on Lift** Re=1.0×10<sup>6</sup>, $M_{\infty}$ =0.2, $x_{tr}$ =0.455





#### **Effect of Upper Surface Tab on L/D** Re=1.0×10<sup>6</sup>, $M_{\infty}$ =0.2, $x_{tr}$ =0.455





### Effect of Upper Surface Tab on Surface Pressure Distribution

 $\alpha = 8^{\circ}, \text{Re} = 1.0 \times 10^{\circ}, M_{a} = 0.2, x_{u} = 0.455$ 





# **Active Flow/Load Control Conclusions**

- Active flow/load control has been used in the design of wind turbine blades (active pitch, ailerons)
- A new form of active control for large wind turbine blades is the microtab concept
- Microtabs are an effective means of fast load control (load enhancement and mitigation)
- Microtabs remain effective when located forward from the trailing edge
- Focus of work presented in this presentation is on a flow control actuator. Compete active load control system requires:
  - Sensors
  - Actuators
  - Control algorithm



### **On-Going/Future Efforts**

Gap Spacing

Gap Shape

- Dynamic response of moving microtabs
- ➢ 3D Effects:
  - Tab width-to-gap ratio
  - Tab shape
  - Aeroacoustics
- Sensor and control algorithm development
- Complete system analysis to evaluate effect of active load control on cost of energy

### **More Information**

- > TPIC om posites, "Param etric Study for Large W ind Turbine Blades," SAN D 2002-2519, August 2002.
- > TPIC om posites, "Cost Study for Large W ind Turbine Blades," SAN D 2003-1428, May 2003.
- > TPIC om posites, "Innovative Design Approaches for Large W ind Turbine Blades," SAN D 2003-0723, M arch 2003.
- > TPIC om posites, "Innovative Design Approaches for Large W ind Turbine Blades - FinalReport," SAN D 2004 -xxxx, in print.
- K J. Standish, C.P. van Dam, "Aerodynam ic Analysis of Blunt Trailing Edge Airfoils," <u>Journal of Solar Energy Engineering</u>, Vol. 125, Nov. 2003, pp. 479-487.
- K J. Standish, C.P. van Dam, "ComputationalAnalysis of a Microtab-Based Aerodynamic Load Control System for Rotor Blades," AHS Fourth Decennial Specialists' Conference on Aerom echanics, San Francisco, CA, Jan. 2004.
- D.T. Yen Nakafuji, C.P. van Dam, R.L.Smith, S.D. Collins, "Active Load Control for Airfoils Using Microtabs," <u>Journal of Solar Energy Engineering</u>, Vol. 123, Nov 2001, pp. 282–289.
- C.P. van Dam, D.T. Yen, R.L. Sm ith, R.L., and S.D. Collins, 'M icrofabricated TranslationalStages for Control for Aerodynam ic Loading, "U.S. Patent Application 20030218102, Filed April 2003.

