MEMORANDUM ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION of the 109th Congress ¹

[Date approved: August 2, 2006]²

Bill No. and sponsor: S. 2624 (Mr. George V. Voinovich of Ohio and Mr. Mike DeWine of Ohio).

Proponent name, location: Noveon Inc., Cleveland, OH.

Other bills on product (109th Congress only): None.

Nature of bill: Extension of existing temporary duty suspension through December 31, 2009.

Retroactive effect: None.

Article description (including appropriate HTS classification):

2-Oxepanone, polymer with aziridine and tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one, dodecanoate ester (provided for in subheading 3824.90.91).

Check one:

X Same as in the HTS heading in the bill as introduced. Different from the HTS heading in the bill (see Technical comments).

Product information, including uses/applications and source(s) of imports:

The subject product is a polymer acid salt/polymer amide that is used to disperse organic and inorganic pigments into monomers that are used to make UV cured coatings. The end product use is in UV cured packaging inks. The subject product is imported from the United Kingdom.

Estimated effect on customs revenue:

HTS subheading: <u>3824.90.91</u>									
\searrow	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010				
Col. 1-General rate of duty	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%				
Estimated value <i>dutiable</i> imports	\$570,000	\$950,000	\$1,072,000	\$1,290,000	\$1,600,000				
Customs revenue loss 1/	\$28,500	\$47,500	\$53,600	\$64,500	\$80,000				

1/ The estimated Customs revenue loss in 2006 results from the temporary duty suspension under HTS heading 9902.03.24 that expires on December 31, 2006.

Source of estimated dutiable import data: Commission and industry estimates.

¹ Industry analyst preparing report: Ray Cantrell (202-205-3362); Tariff Affairs contact: David Michels (202-205-3440).

² Access to an electronic copy of this memorandum is available at <u>http://usitc.gov/tata/hts/other/rel_doc/bill_reports/index.htm</u>.

^{109TH CONGRESS} ^{2D SESSION} **S. 2624**

To extend the temporary suspension of duty on 2-Oxepanone, polymer with aziridine and tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one, dodecanoate ester.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

April 24, 2006

Mr. VOINOVICH (for himself and Mr. DEWINE) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL

To extend the temporary suspension of duty on 2-Oxepanone, polymer with aziridine and tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one, dodecanoate ester.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-

2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. 2-OXEPANONE, POLYMER WITH AZIRIDINE AND

4

TETRAHYDRO-2H-PYRAN-2-ONE,

5 **DODECANOATE ESTER.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Heading 9902.03.24 of the Har7 monized Tariff Schedule of the United States (relating to
8 2-Oxepanone, polymer with aziridine and tetrahydro-2H9 pyran-2-one, dodecanoate ester) is amended by striking
10 "12/31/2006" and inserting "12/31/2009".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by
 subsection (a) applies to goods entered, or withdrawn from
 warehouse for consumption, on or after the 15th day after
 the date of enactment of this Act.

Contacts with domestic firms/organizations (including the proponent):

Name of firm/organization	Date contacted	Claim US makes same or competing product(s)?	Submission attached?	Opposition noted?
			(Yes/No)	
Noveon Inc. (Proponent) Mr. Mike Gill, 202-508-8843 Mr. Alex Schaefer, 202-624-2773	05/05/2006	No	No	No
BASF Corporation Mr. Greg Thies, 703-255-7141	05/31/2006	No	No	No
Bayer Corporation Ms. Karen Niedermeyer, 412-777-2058	05/31/2006	No	No	No
Clariant Corporation Mr. Andrew Zamoyski, 202- 415-9159	05/31/2006	No	No	No
Dow Chemical Company Ms. Lisa Schroeter, 202-429-3400	05/31/2006	No	No	No
DuPont Ms. Elaine Olsen, 302-992-2263	05/31/2006	No	No	No
Efficient Global Trade, Inc. Mr. Henry Stoebenau, 215-628-4919	05/31/2006	No	No	No
LANXESS Corporation Ms. Jamie Schaeffer, 412-809-3666	06/02/2006	No	No	No

Technical comments:³ None.

 $[\]overline{}^{3}$ The Commission may express an opinion on the HTS classification of a product to facilitate consideration of the bill. However, by law, only the U.S. Customs Service is authorized to issue a binding ruling on this matter. The Commission believes that the U.S. Customs Service should be consulted prior to enactment of the bill.