Testing of a Bridge Weigh-In-Motion System in Cold Environmental Conditions

Eugene O'Brien<sup>(1)</sup>, Arturo Gonzalez<sup>(1)</sup>, Ales Znidaric<sup>(2)</sup> and Peter McNulty<sup>(3)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> University College Dublin, Ireland

- <sup>(2)</sup> Slovenian National Building and Civil Engineering Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- <sup>(3)</sup> Jones Environmental Limited, Ireland

## **Cold Environmental Test**

Testing of Bridge WIM and other 4 Pavement WIM technologies: •Two Piezoquartz Strip Sensors •Piezoceramic Nude Cable •Bending Plate •Bending Beam

3890

3500 N

2500

3500.1.

• Integral Bridge composed of two spans, 14.6 m each

550

7000

J\_ 3500

4850

6136



#### • Axle Detectors



Strain Sensors

# Data Acquisition Equipment



#### **Bridge WIM Calibration**

Repeated Runs of Calibration Truck









#### **B-WIM versus Pavement WIM** Systems (Gross Vehicle Weight) **DuWIM** Ε 30 D(25) Two piezoquartz 20 **D(20)** Piezoceramic C(15)10Β( Bending Plate BH A(5) Bending Beam

#### **B-WIM Testing**



### **B-WIM Testing**



### **B-WIM Testing**





#### Conclusions

- A Bridge WIM system has been successfully implemented in sub-Artic Climatic Conditions.
- Overall accuracy classes of C(15) in the first two test periods and B(10) in the third test period.
- B-WIM has compared favourably to other WIM technologies, specially concerning Gross Vehicle Weights.