



The role of local structural disorder in non-Fermi liquid *f*-electron intermetallics

Corwin H. Booth Chemical Sciences Division Glenn T. Seaborg Center Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory



Research funded in part by the Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences

Presented at the workshop "Phase Competition in Transition-Metal Oxides and Other Compounds", to be held at the University of California at Berkeley on May 14-16, 2003

Outline

- Introduction and Motivation
 - Why worry or care about disorder?
 - How can we include disorder in the theories?
 - What can we do experimentally?
- UCu_{5-x}Pd_x (CeRhRuSi₂)
 - a disordered system
 - disorder is partially tunable
 - Main results are the same
- U₃Ni₃Sn₄ C/T magnetic field dependence
- Conclusions

Disorder and hybridization



NFL behavior in UCu_{5-x}Pd_x



Ò
20
щ
Щ
ö
Ē
ŏ
_ ش
ř
Щ
5
Ξ
5
ີ່ເຄົ
ŏ
<u>S</u>
춛
Z
Ш
Ś
2 11
ō
S
\geq
1
ш
ſ

Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in d- and f-electron metals

G. R. Stewart

Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611-8440

(Published 31 October 2001)



Unanswered questions

- Is disorder a necessary component?
 - first NFL's were all substituted
 - many new "ordered" ones coming online
 - even "ordered" ones may have issues (eg. CeCu₂Si₂)
- Does disorder even matter?
 - well, how much are we talking about?
- Is this a new state of matter?

Three Possible Types of NFL Models

(There are others: multichannel Kondo, etc...)

Quantum Critical Point

NFL is generated from critical fluctuations above a zero-temperature critical point (Millis *et al.* '93) (Rappoport *et al.*, 2001).

Kondo Disorder Model Bernal *et al.* '95 Disorder causes distribution of $T_{\rm K}$'s within a strict single-impurity model. Moments with $T_{\rm K} < T$ are unquenched and give rise to NFL behavior.

Disorder+Competition (Griffiths)

NFL behavior due to proximity to a metal-insulator transition fixed point (Anderson localization,Miranda *et al.*) or to a magnetic/nonmagnetic fixed point (RKKY, Castro Neto *et al.*), each in presence of disorder and anisotropy.



Effects of lattice disorder (Kondo lattice disorder model, or KLDM)



• Two types of lattice disorder: discrete and continuous



• *r_d* varies as species change

• **P**(*V*) involves convolution with **P**(*R*)

NFL must have continuous disorder in KLDM!



NFL behavior in UCu_{5-x}Pd_x



- A distribution of $T_{\rm K}$'s can describe all these data!
- Warning: this is pedantic: KDM has many problems!

Interference of photoelectron waves



"I was brought up to look at the atom as • a nice hard fellow, red or grey in colour according to taste."

- Lord Rutherford

- Interference of outgoing and incoming part of photoelectron modulates absorption coefficient: $\mu \propto |\langle f | \varepsilon \cdot r | i \rangle|^2$ $\mu = \mu_0 (1 + \chi(k))$
 - $\chi(k) \propto \sum_{i} N_i g(r) \sin(2kr + \phi_{ci}) dr$

g is a radial pair - distribution function

- <u>Big advantage</u>: Atomicspecies specific.
- *Disadvantages*: very short range (<~5-6 Å), sensitive to multiple scattering, overlapping edges...

Extended x-ray absorption fine-structure (EXAFS)



UCu₄Pd average and local structure



- U (4a) environment is identical to Pd (4c) environment, except U/Pd are switched. Nearest-neighbors are Cu (16e) at ~2.93 Å
- Cu environment differs due to tetrahedrons. Nearest-neighbors are Cu at 2.49 Å
- Determine amount of site interchange by number of *Pd*'-Cu pairs at 2.49 Å
- *Definition:* Pd´ denotes a Pd on a 16e site, Cu´ denotes a Cu on a 4c site.

3.06 Å 2.93 Å Local U and Pd environment

A "zero-disorder" example: YbCu₄X



XAFS data on UCu_{5-x}Pd_x



Pd K-edge fit results



- Fit to all single-scattering paths out to the 16 Pd-Cu's at ~4.59 Å.
- Including all site interchange, fits use 15 paths.
- Like bond lengths constrained together.
- Like bond length Debye-Wallers constrained together $(\sigma_A^2 = (\mu_B / \mu_A) \sigma_B^2$.
- Amplitude ratio's constrained.

Two possible descriptions:

s, *x*: *s* = $N_{Pd}(16e)/N_{Pd}(Total)$

 f_{4c}^{Pd} , f_{16e}^{Cu} : f_{4c}^{Pd} is fraction of 4c sites with Pd, etc.

e.g. Pd'-Cu @ 2.5 Å has $6S_0^2 s f_{16e}^{Cu}$ neighbors

C. H. Booth et al., PRL 81, 3960 (1998); E. D. Bauer et al., PRB 65, 245114 (2002).

No measurable continuous U-Cu disorder!



- NFL "limit" for KLDM is generous: we estimate the best fit with 0.0034 Å².
- Only the x=0.3 sample is anomalous... oxidation?
- Cu K edge fits indicate a nearest neighbor Cu-Cu distance of ~2.48 Å
- Pd *K* edge fits indicate a Pd´-Cu distance of ~2.55 Å
- Together no σ_{static}² for U-Cu, the Cu displacements near a Pd´ must be nearly perpendicular to the U-Cu pairs.

Disorder: Is it enough?



- *KDM*: NFL is not from disorder in V_{fd} . This probably can't generate enough disorder in N(0) either (Miranda).
- *RKKY clusters?* ~0.5% of uranium environments have a V_{fd} that is equal to or less than that in UCu₅.
- Anderson localization? only 0.0025% of UCu₅-like uraniums have a similar neighbor.

Effects of annealing



A. Weber et al., PRB 63, 205116 (2001).

- Annealing suppresses spin glass transition, removes linear resistivity but logarithmic C/T remains ?!?!?
- Quick point: Entropy under this logarithmic divergence is close to R log 2

Both site interchange and bond length distributions affected by annealing



- Measure (*discrete*) site interchange with Pd K edge XAFS
- Measure (*continuous*) U-X bond length disorder with temperature dependence of distribution widths (Debye-Waller factors).
- *Complication*: U-Cu and U-Pd pairs strongly overlap, so need to be able to include degree of site interchange as a constraint to the U L_{III}-edge fits.
- *Solution:* Fit to a site interchange model.

Effects of annealing



- Structurally, two things happen:
 - site interchange is reduced, but not after more than 1 day of annealing
 - U-Cu bond length distribution width decreases, even after 14 days of annealing
- Main points:
 - *s* decreases, but is still fairly large
 - U-Cu orders, but it is already very close to fully ordered ($\Delta \sigma \sim -0.02$ Å)

Effects of annealing



- f_{4c}^{Pd} of unannealed samples very consistent with changes in lattice parameter: x vs. f_{4c}^{Pd} is linear, except with a change in slope at x ~ 0.85
- Annealing increases f_{4c}^{Pd} , similarly to change in d
- It is possible to parameterize *changes* in heat capacity as arising only from *changes* in *s* and σ_{U-Cu}



• ANSWER(?): NFL state is somehow "preloaded", possibly as a consequence of disorder.

What the heck is W_0 ?

$$T_{\rm K} = T_F \exp{-\frac{\mathcal{E}_f}{N(0)V_{\rm Total}^2}}$$

- KDM by itself does not work!
 - linear resistivity goes away on annealing (Weber et al., PRB 63, 205116 (2001)
 - μSR indicates glassy spin dynamics (MacLaughlin et al., PRL 245114)
 - Short range (< unit cell) magnetic correlations exist (Aronson et al., PRL 87, 197205 (2001)
 - Distribution of moments at high fields (>51 kOe) inconsistent with KDM (Buttgen et al., 62, 11545 (2000)
- Disorder can generate width in N(0) (not enough says Miranda, but could be says Cox)
- Is W₀ due to a QCP? Idea is similar to proposed by Grempel and Rozenberg PRB 60, 4702 (1999), and to Rappoport et al., PRB 64, 140402 (2001).
- Is clustering important?

CeRhRuSi₂





Data summary

Non-Fermi liquid (NFL) and Ce(Ru_{1-x}Rh_x)₂Si₂



XAFS Study near Ce atom in CeRuRhSi₂



Fitting parameters:					
S ₀ ²	total data point	variables	fitting freedom	r-factor	reduced-χ ²
0.85(10)	23	14	9	0.0054	3.26

PDF Analysis of CeRuRhSi₂



Total disorder factors:					
u11 (Ce)	u11(Ru/Rh)	u11 (Si)			
0.000359(7)	0.0030(11)	0.0029(3)			

Small total disorder factors suggest that static disorder is negligible!!!

Summary of structural disorder in CeRuRhSi₂



$U_3Ni_3Sn_4$



Is U₃Ni₃Sn₄ best described as near an AF QCP?

- U₃Ni₃Sn₄ is an undoped, ambient pressure non-Fermi liquid.
- Evidence of an AF critical point at -0.04 GPa (Estrela *et al.*, (2001)).
- A "Hertz and Millis" Quantum Critical Point?

 $C/T \approx \gamma - A T^{0.5}$ (\checkmark) $\chi \propto T^{-0.3}$ (?, 0.5) $\Delta \rho \propto T^{1.8}$ (?, 0.5)



- Cubic, bcc, I –43d, a_0 =9.3524 Å
- residual resistivity 7 $\mu\Omega$ cm
- single crystal XRD good
- No temperature-dependent structural studies exist
- Disorder models have been shown to be capable of providing NFL behavior

No static offsets necessary

TABLE I: Final fit parameters to the U $L_{\rm III}$ and Sn K edge data at 20 K on three powder samples of U₃Ni₃Sn₄. U $L_{\rm III}$ edge fits have $S_0^2 = 0.73 \pm 0.06$ and $\Delta E_0 = -10.3 \pm 0.4$. Sn K edge fits have $S_0^2 = 0.95 \pm 0.06$ and $\Delta E_0 = -8.3 \pm 0.1$. Diffraction data was collected at room temperature.

3				U2.9Ni3	.0Sn3.9			U3.0Ni	3.1Sn3.9			U3N	i3Sn4	
pair	N	R_{diff}	R	σ^2	σ^2_{static}	Θ_{cD}	R	σ^2	σ^2_{static}	Θ_{cD}	R	σ^2	σ^2_{static}	Θ_{cD}
U-Ni	4	2.864	2.848(4)	0.0019(4)	-0.0004(5)	282(2)	2.848(3)	0.0019(2)	-0.0005(5)	259(4)	2.848(3)	0.0018(2)	-0.0009(4)	252(5)
U-Sn	8	3.237	3.226(4)	0.0009(2)	-0.0009(3)	231(1)	3.226(3)	0.0009(2)	-0.0007(3)	233(1)	3.228(2)	0.0011(2)	-0.0006(3)	241(1)
U-U	8	4.374	4.355(5)	0.0014(2)	-0.0005(3)	173(2)	4.355(3)	0.0014(2)	-0.0000(3)	169(3)	4.36(1)	0.0016(3)	-0.0007(3)	159(4)
U-Ni	2	4.676	4.67(1)	0.0022(6)	X.(.8)	1.070	4.67(1)	0.0022(4)	8 S	a 19	4.67(1)	0.0015(3)	a 12	3. F.
Sn-Ni	3	2.609	2.597(3)	0.0027(2)	-0.0003(2)	349(4)	2.599(3)	0.0027(2)	0.0008(3)	359(3)	2.604(3)	0.003(1)	0.001(1)	420(15)
Sn-U	6	3.237	3.232(7)	0.0016(2)	-0.0004(2)	246(2)	3.228(3)	0.0012(2)	-0.0004(2)	273(5)	3.223(5)	0.0006(4)	-0.0017(5)	202(12)
Sn-Sn	3	3.497	3.500(4)	0.004(1)	-0.000(1)	245(6)	3.496(3)	0.0017(5)	-0.0001(6)	250(20)	3.50(3)	0.003(3)	-0.003(3)	172(17)
Sn-Sn	2	4.050	4.03(1)	0.01(1)			4.02(1)	0.0024(7)			3.98(3)	0.003(3)	10710000000000000000000000000000000000	
Sn-Ni	3	4.232	4.16(5)	0.01(1)			4.22(1)	0.005(2)			4.25(3)	0.002(1)		
Sn-Sn	6	4.594	4.598(4)	0.0034(3)			4.596(3)	0.0023(2)			4.60(3)	0.002(1)		

Atom pair	σ _{offset} ² (Å ²)	Θ _{cD} (K)
U-Ni	-0.0009(4)	252(5)
U-Sn	-0.0006(3)	241(1)
U-U	-0.0007(3)	159(4)

No evidence of site interchange either...

Field-dependence of heat capacity



RERKELEY ABORAT AWRENCE ATIONAL

15

Comparison to Grifftihs-McCoy... a Schottky anomaly?

• High-field limit:

$$C_{\rm el} / T \propto \frac{H^{2+\lambda/2}}{T^{3-\lambda/2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{\rm eff} H/T}$$

 μ_{eff} is average effective moment of AF clusters... successfully applied to La_{0.95}Ce_{0.05}RhIn₅ (Kim et al, 2002)

• More generally:



$$E_{H}(\Delta) = q\mu_{B} \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln(\frac{\omega_{0}}{\Delta}) \overset{\phi}{H}$$

Δ

 Δ is the cluster tunneling energy ω_0 is the tunneling energy for a single atom (cutoff)

q average moment within a cluster

 γ is an anisotropy parameter

BERKELEY ATIONAL NCE

Data summary

- UCu₄Pd (Disordered NFL)
 - Pd/Cu site interchange, tunable by annealing
 - Very little bond-length disorder
 - Not enough for KLDM (that's all in V_{fd})
 - Changes in annealing indicate there is at least a little, and it does affect the magnetic properties
- CeRhRuSi₂ (Disordered NFL)
 - Very little, if any, bond length disorder
 - annealing has not, thus far, produced any change in any properties
- U₃Ni₃Sn₄ (Ordered NFL)
 - Very little, if any, structural disorder
- CeRhIn₅, CeIrIn₅, Ce₂RhIn₈, Ce₂IrIn₈ (Ordered NFL's)
 - Very little, if any structural disorder

Last words

- Nature is sneaky: lattice disorder can hide!
- For UCu₄Pd, KLDM (Kondo *lattice* disorder model), with no disorder in *N*(0) is not enough.
- Role of disorder still very much unclear!
 - —Does disorder even matter? Yes, but it can't explain everything!
 - -definitely not conventional: either extremely sensitive or it is a minor player
- Clustering? Magnetic droplets? Griffiths-McCoy?
 - Probably not *exactly* Griffiths-McCoy
 - Could be... tough to see structurally
- Should doped and undoped systems be treated in the same way?
 - I'm leaning toward yes...



Last words (continued...)

- Competing interaction descriptions seem most appropriate. Is RKKY or Anderson localization the important competing interaction? Structurally, system seems to cross to RKKY, but is close to the boundary!
- KDM could still work, if something else amplifie the effect of the disorder ("pre-loading").
- Even the "canonical" disordered NFL CeRhRuS is remarkably well ordered
- Should the disordered and the "well ordered" NFL's be considered as a whole?
- U₃Ni₃Sn₄ passes all the tests of a well ordered NFL
- In addition, FL/NFL development in field appears to not be the product of a Griffiths-McCoy singularity
- (U₃Ni₃Sn₄ behaves similarly to CeCoIn₅, another system with a "negative pressure" critical





noint) Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Collaborators and Acknowledgements

- S.-W. Han (LBNL)
- E. D. Bauer, R. Chau, M. B. Maple (UC San Diego)
- E.-W. Scheidt, S. Kehrein, A. Weber, U. Killer (Universität Augsburg)
- J. L. Sarrao (Los Alamos National Laboratory)
- L. E. De Long, J. G. Huber (University of Kentucky)
- L. Shlyk, K. Nenkov (IFW, Dresden)
- G. H. Kwei (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory)



Thanks to Jon Lawrence, Frank Bridges, Andrew Cornelius, Greg Stewart, Antonio Castro Neto, Dan Cox, Joe Thompson and Mike Hundley for discussions and assistance.

Thanks to the Office of Basic Energy Science for funding part of this work.

Data were collected on Beamlines 4-3, 10-2 and 11-2 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), which is operated by the DOE, OBES.