Travel Demand Modeling At NCTCOG

Presentation For IOWA TMIP Peer Review March 30 – April 1, 2004







NCTCOG Departments (9)

Executive Director's Office Agency Administration Community Services Emergency Preparedness Environment And Development Public Affairs Research And Information Resources Transportation (Also Serves As The MPO) Workforce Development

Transportation Department Program Areas (6)

Administration (Michael Morris And Dan Kessler)

Air Quality Planning And Operations (Chris Klaus)

Information Systems (Ken Cervenka)

Strategic Initiatives And Community Outreach (Mike Sims)

Transportation Planning (Dan Lamers)

Transportation Programming And Operations (Dan Rocha)

Information Systems

Transportation Data Management (Including Web-Based Activities And GIS Support)

Vehicle Operations (e.g., Traffic Simulation)

Development, Maintenance, And Support Of Travel Demand Forecasting Tools

Modeling Environment

NCTCOG-Developed FORTRAN Programs (Mainframe) MOBILE5A Emissions Analysis Latest Mobility Plan Update (Last Year) For Legacy Applications

TRANPLAN (PC) Subarea Traffic Modeling (Legacy Applications)

TransCAD (PC-Windows) MOBILE6 Emissions Analysis Future 2030 Mobility Plan All New Travel Modeling Activities











, Program Selection Form			
Select Programs to Run			
🔽 Trip Generation			
Vetwork Preparation			
Trip Distribution	Next		
Mode Choice and Matrix Operations	Cancel		
Roadway Assignment	🛋 Main Form		
Transit Assignment	Select a Drive Name:	🖵 m: [\\Office\] 💌	1
	Select a Zone Structure Folder	GEO Folder]
	Select a Zonal Activity Folder	ACT Folder	1
	Select a Roadway Network Folder	RDWY Folder]
	Select an Approach Link/Previous Run File Fold	RDWY Folder	ACT Folder
	Select a Transit Network Folder	TRNT Folder]
	Number of Feedback Runs		
	Cancel	Disc Space	Bun

Model Run Times (For 3.2 GHz PC)

Full "No Feedback" Model Run = 647 Minutes (10.8 Hours) Trip Generation = 1.0 minute Roadway Skimming (4) = 11 minutes Trip Distribution = 11 minutes Market Segmentation = 6 minutes Transit Prep And Skimming (4) = 77 minutes Mode Choice (13) = 65 minutes Matrix Preparations (For Transit Assignment) = 10 minutes Transit Assignment (4) = 21 minutes Matrix Preparations (For Traffic Assignment) = 98 minutes Traffic Assignment (3) = 347 minutes (5.8 hours)



Roadway Preparation

Link Free Speed (Based On Speed Limit, Distance, Area Type, Functional Class, And Intersection Control)

Directional Hourly Capacity (Based On Lanes, Area Type, Functional Class, And Divided/Undivided Designation)

Time Period Capacity AM Peak, PM Peak, And OffPeak



GISDK Macro Language Seven "Regular" Internal-Internal Trip Purposes 4 HBW, 1 HNW, 1 NHB, And 1 Truck

Inputs

Population, Households, Income, And Basic/Retail/Service Jobs Special Generators (Shopping Malls, Colleges, Hospitals, Airports)









Trip Distribution

Gamma-Format Gravity Model (7 Purposes) Four HBW Groups (Income Quartiles) – AM Peak Skims HNW (Non-Airport) -- OffPeak NHB (Non-Airport) -- OffPeak Trucks (Vehicles With Six Or More Tires) -- OffPeak

Base Year Trip Table Factoring (6 Purposes) HNW And NHB Airport Trips Four External-Related Auto/Truck Trips

Zone To Zone Skim Tables For Mode Choice

Four AM Peak Skims (6:30a – 8:59a) Roadway – Without HOV Links Available (Drive Alone) Roadway – With HOV Links Available (Shared Ride 2 And 3+) Transit – Drive Access (PA Format) Transit – Walk Access (PA Format)

Four OffPeak Skims

Roadway Is 18-hour Offpeak Without HOV Links Available (Drive Alone) With HOV Links Available (Shared Ride 2 And 3+) Transit Is 6-hour Mid-Day Offpeak (9:00a – 2:59p) Drive Access (PA Format) Walk Access (PA Format)













Transit Assignment

Four Multi-Path (Pathfinder) Production-Attraction Assignments

For All HBW Transit Trips Peak Transit-Initial Drive Access (Park-and-Ride) Peak Transit-Initial Walk Access (No Park-and-Ride)

For All HNW And NHB Transit Trips Offpeak Transit-Initial Drive Access (Park-and-Ride) Offpeak Transit-Initial Walk Access (No Park-and-Ride)





Line Layer	RDWY Li	ink			Settings	Options	OK
Network File	C:VAUG2	7\AM.NET				Network	Cancel
Method	User Equi	ilibrium	•	Delay Function NCTCOG			
0-D Matrix	АМ		•	Tol	Matrix		T
Class Inform	nation —				,		
Matrices	PCE	VOT	Fixed Toll	R	oad Toll	Exclusion	Set
Drive Alone	1.0	0.167	OPERCOSTDA	_*		Selection	
SBIDE NO	HUVI.0 V 10	0.167	OPERCOST_*			Selection: None	' <u>-</u>
Truck	1.0	0.101	OPERCOST *			Selection:	1
🔽 Use Clar	ss 1.0	0.167	OPERCOSTDA	× 🗨 🗌		Selection	-
			,			—:	
Name	tion Paran	neters —	Field		D	efault Value	
Name Time	tion Paran	neters —	Field PKFRTIME_*		D	efault Value N/A	
Name Time Capacity	tion Paran	neters —	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_*		D	efault Value N/A N/A	-
Delay Func Name Time Capacity Length	tion Paran	neters —	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_* MODEL_LENG*	ТН	D	efault Value N/A N/A N/A	^
Delay Fund Name Time Capacity Length A B	tion Paran	neters	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_* MODEL_LENG* A_PK B_PK	тн	D	efault Value N/A N/A N/A 0.015 6.2	
Name Time Capacity Length A B	tion Paran	neters	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_* MODEL_LENG* A_PK B_PK	тн	Defendivie	efault Value N/A N/A 0.015 6.2	
Delay Fund Name Capacity Length A B	tion Paran	Fiel	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_* MODEL_LENG' A_PK B_PK d [PKFRTIME_*	TH	D Default Va	efault Value N/A N/A 0.015 6.2	▲ ▼
Delay Func Name Capacity Length A B	tion Paran	Fiel	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_* MODEL_LENG* A_PK B_PK d [PKFRTIME_*	TH	D Default Va	efault Value N/A N/A 0.015 6.2	-
Capacity Capacity Length A B	tion Paran	Fiel Iteration	Field PKFRTIME_* AMCAP_* MODEL_LENG* A_PK B_PK Id [PKFRTIME_* Is 30	TH	D Default Va Funct	efault Value N/A N/A 0.015 6.2	×





Traffic Model Limitations

Ideally, the peak and offpeak congested speeds directly from traffic assignment should be used in trip distribution—but we "post process" because the assignment-calibrated parameters do not give us realistic speeds

Related to above: "Peak Spreading" is not directly considered; in the future, we may consider peak hour and "shoulder of the peak" assignments

We have no observed data to directly calibrate HOV-Toll usage; instead, we have to rely on our separately-calibrated HOV modeling and "toll road value of time" modeling



Calibration/Validation Issues (Transit)

Reasonableness Of Peak and Offpeak Transit Speeds Used In Skimming For Mode Choice (Observed And Future)

Coded vs. Observed Bus And Train VMT

Modeled vs. Observed Weekday Riders By Bus Route And Rail Route (Route-Level RMSE And Percent Error)

Modeled vs. Observed Weekday Rail Station Boardings (Station-Level RMSE And Percent Error)

Reasonableness Of Modeled vs. Observed Mode Of Access Distributions To Individual Rail Stations

Calibration/Validation Issues (Traffic--Slide 1)

Current And Future-Year Reasonableness Of Roadway Speeds Used In Skimming For Trip Distribution And Mode Choice

Reasonableness Of Modeled vs. Observed Percent Intrazonal Trips By Trip Purpose (DFW = 1.5% For HBW; 8.7% For HNW; 9.3% For NHB; And 0.5% For Trucks)

Reasonableness Of Modeled vs. Observed Average Person Trip Lengths (Or Trip Length Frequency Distributions) By Trip Purpose, For Interzonal Trips

Modeled vs. Observed Weekday Link Volumes By Functional Class (RMSE And Percent Error)

Calibration/Validation Issues (Traffic—Slide 2)

Modeled vs. Observed Weekday Screenline Volumes (Overall Magnitude And % Error); DFW = 1262 Links On 89 Screenlines

Modeled vs. Observed AM Peak, PM Peak, And OffPeak Auto And Truck VMT By Functional Class (% Error)

Check Very High And Very Low AM, PM, And OffPeak V/C Ratios

Checks Of The "Hundred Largest Link Errors" Report Magnitude And % Error

Calibration/Validation Issues (Traffic—Slide 3)

"True" Validation Requires Calibration Sensitivity Tests AND Forecast Sensitivity (Or Sensibility?) Tests

Calibrated Model "Backcast" Checks Would Be Nice, Although Historical Model Validity Is Still No Guarantee Of Forecastability

Individual Capacity-Per-Lane Changes To Improve Validation? No, But Consider More Functional Classes Keep In Mind The Prime Objectives For Modeling Link-Specific Changes Are Problematic For New Links

Calibration/Validation Issues (Traffic—Slide 4)

Individual Link Speed/Impedance Changes To Improve Validation? No, But Check Speed Limits And Functional Class Check Reasonableness Of Free And Congested Speeds Try To Find The Underlying Cause

Change Centroid Connectors To Improve Validation? Sure (But Apply Modifications In Some Logical Manner) Don't Forget "Forecastability" Of The Connectors Caution On Zone Sizes

Factor Trip Tables To Improve Screenline Validation Results? Yes—But Exercise Due Caution On Forecastability Not Theoretically Elegant! Check First For Trip Generation Problems

The Texas Statewide Analysis Model

Covers Entire State, Plus "Buffer" Counties 4,742 Model Zones 1998 Calibration/Validation (19.8 Million People In State) 2025 Forecast (31.2 Million People)

Single Multi-Year Coded Network Each Record Contains Separate Link Attributes For 1998 And 2025 (Lanes, Speed Limit, Estimated Congested Time, Capacity)

The Texas Statewide Analysis Model – Trip Types

Passenger Travel Vehicle Trips By Auto Person Trips By Air Person Trips By Rail (AMTRAK) Placeholder For High-Speed Rail

Freight Travel Commodities By Truck Commodities By Rail Commodities By Water

The Texas Statewide Analysis Model: Potential NCTCOG Uses

Use Forecast Traffic Volumes For Our External Stations

Traffic Studies In Areas Outside Our 5,000 Square-Mile Urban Model (But Within Our 16-County NCTCOG Area) e.g., Parker County Thoroughfare Plan

Commodity Flow Studies/Freight Bottleneck Studies

What Happens Next For NCTCOG

Training Of "TransCAD Model Application Champions" NCTCOG Staff DART Transit Staff Other Agencies (???) Certification Of Consultants (???)

Prepare Additional Roadway/Transit "Supply And Demand" Performance Reports

Model Documentation Include The "What" As Well As The "Why" Of What We Now Have



Recommendations For Model Applications Work At NCTCOG

Every Modeling Study Needs "Direct Oversight" By A TransCAD Model Applications Champion -- So, What Is A Champion?

Has A Good Understanding Of GIS And Travel Model Theory Maybe We Should Give An Oral Certification Test!

Is Very "Hands-On" Experienced With TransCAD We Can Test People On This, Too!

...And (Ideally) Spends Over 70% Of His/Her Time On Model Applications Work