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## 1. Summary

- Longitudinal acceptance of the Booster:
$>$ Two different measurements (one by varying the linac beam energy, another by varying RPOS) give similar result: $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}= \pm 0.15-0.2 \%$
- Transverse emittance dilution during injection:
$>$ The first 50-turn IPM data shows a fast dilution during the 10 -turn injection. After that the dilution slows down.
$>$ This dilution is intensity dependent.
> The results agree with space charge simulation using the code ORBIT.
$>$ Lower linac current and longer pulse (while keeping the total injected beam intensity a constant) seem to reduce the dilution in the first 50 turns. (But this gain could be washed away in 200 turns according to simulation.)
- Injection painting:
$>$ Painting by using the falling side of the orbit bump pulse seems to reduce the dilution. But this result is inconclusive.
- AC chromaticity:
$>$ Chromaticity throughout the cycle was measured with the normal sextupole setting.
$>$ The vertical chromaticity in the early stage of the cycle is measured positive. This causes concerns
about possible head-tail instability. (It will be adjusted in the follow-up study.)
- DC chromaticity:
$>$ It was measured at 400 MeV for nine different sextupole settings.
$>$ The data were used to find the unknown body sextupoles of the main magnets. The results:

$$
\mathrm{sd}=-0.0454, \mathrm{sf}=-0.003
$$

$>$ These two parameters are now included in the MAD lattice model.

- Acceptance decrease due to orbit bumps and doglegs:
$>$ There is a good pattern match between the MAD prediction and measurement on the changes of tune and dispersion due to edge focusing of the orbit bumps and doglegs at 400 MeV .
$>$ This effect leads to about a factor of two reduction in the machine acceptance at injection.
$>$ Investigations of possible corrections are under way.


## 2. Longitudinal Acceptance Measurement

Linac beam energy calibration ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}=1 \mathrm{MeV}$ )


Linac beam pulse (green trace)



Notes:

1. The 400 MeV line was not retuned when the linac beam energy was varied. So the result is a combination of the acceptance of the 400 MeV line and that of the Booster.
2. A separate measurement by varying RPOS gives the Booster acceptance in the range of $\pm 0.15-0.2 \%$, consistent with this result. (see the dc chromaticity measurement)

## 3. Transverse Emittance Dilution during First 50 Turns

ORBIT simulation:
different bunch intensity, same number of injection turns (11)


ORBIT simulation:
emittance histogram at 12th turn, with and without space charge


Measurement at $43 \mathrm{~mA}, 10$ turns


Measurement at $20 \mathrm{~mA}, 10$ turns


ORBIT simulation:
same bunch intensity, different number of injection turns (tracking 50 turns)



Measurement at $20 \mathrm{~mA}, 12$ turns


ORBIT simulation:
same bunch intensity, different number of injection turns


## 4. Painting Study:

Painting study: injection timing


Measurement at $43 \mathrm{~mA}, 12$ turns, with painting


## 5. AC Chromaticity Measurement



Beam (2-turn), pinger and Roff setting


Note: The measurement was done at four different Roff values: $0,+2 \mathrm{~mm},-2$ $\mathrm{mm},-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. In this plot, Roff $=0$.


Dp/p for Roff $=+2 \mathrm{~mm}$





Booster Vertical Chromaticity (fixed) Jan 282003


## 6. DC Chromaticity Measurement




## Booster Chromaticity Measurement <br> Jan 302003 <br> R. Tomlin, W. Chou, F. Ostiguy

Note: VGOOD and HGOOD are correlation coefficients for the linear regression


| RPOS | SEXTL SEXTSDP/P |  |  |  | NUH NUV |  | HCHROMA HGOOD |  | VCHROMA VGOOD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [mm] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | -10 | -10 | 0.0021 | 0.644 | 0.836 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | -10 | -10 | 0.0015 | 0.676 | 0.827 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | -10 | -10 | 0.0008 | 0.7 | 0.817 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | -10 | -10 | -0.0001 | 0.727 | 0.805 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | -10 | -10 | -0.0013 | 0.768 | 0.791 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -35.236111 | 0.99106 | 13.236111 | 0.99731 |



| RPOS | SEXTL SEXTSDP/P |  |  |  | NUH | NUV | HCHROMA | HGOOD | VCHROMA | VGOOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [mm] |  | [A] | [A] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | -10 | 0.0022 | 0.651 | 0.834 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | -10 | 0.00155 | 0.672 | 0.827 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 0 | -10 | 0.0008 | 0.7 | 0.819 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0 | -10 | -0.00005 | 0.725 | 0.809 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0 | -10 | -0.0015 | 0.762 | 0.791 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -30.167966 | 0.99368 | 11.625675 | 0.99869 |



| RPOS | SEXTL SEXTSDP/P |  |  |  | NUH | NUV | HCHROMA | HGOOD | VCHROMA | VGOOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [mm] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0.0022 | 0.664 | 0.832 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0.00155 | 0.68 | 0.827 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0.00015 | 0.713 | 0.812 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 10 | 0 | -0.00075 | 0.733 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 10 | 0 |  | 0.697 | 0.819 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -23.40152 | 0.9997 | 10.873174 | 0.99276 |





| RPOS | SEXTL SEXTSDP/P |  |  |  | NUH | NUV | HCHROMA HGOOD |  | VCHROMA VGOOD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [mm] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | -10 | 10 | 0.0021 | 0.682 | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | -10 | 10 | 0.0014 | 0.692 | 0.823 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | -10 | 10 | 0.0007 | 0.702 | 0.814 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | -10 | 10 | -0.00005 | 0.711 | 0.807 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | -10 | 10 | -0.0008 | 0.72 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -13.092431 | 0.99788 | 10.473564 | 0.99651 |



## 7. Acceptance Decrease due to Orbit Bumps and

Doglegs

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left\{\beta_{\max } \times \varepsilon_{N} / \beta \gamma\right\}^{-1 / 2}+\mathrm{D}_{\max } \times \Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}+\text { C.O.D. }
$$

Good field region (horizontal): $\pm 1$ inch (TM-405)
At injection (400 MeV):
$\beta \gamma=1.0$
$\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}= \pm 0.13 \%$ (measured)
C.O.D. $=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (optimal)

Without orbit bumps and doglegs:
$\beta(\mathrm{x})_{\text {max }}=33.7 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{\text {max }}=3.19 \mathrm{~m}, \beta(\mathrm{y})_{\text {max }}=20.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Max allowable beam emittance: $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})=11 \pi \mathrm{~mm}-\mathrm{mrad}$
With orbit bumps and doglegs:
$\beta(\mathrm{x})_{\text {max }}=46.1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{\text {max }}=6.13 \mathrm{~m}, \beta(\mathrm{y})_{\text {max }}=27.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Max allowable beam emittance: $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})=5.2 \pi \mathrm{~mm}-\mathrm{mrad}$
$\rightarrow$ a factor of 2 reduction in acceptance due to large $\beta$ and $D!$

