Data Requirement:

EPA DP Barcode EPA MRID **EPA** Guideline

EPA MRID Number 458677-02 D288775 458677-02 70-1(Special Study)

Purity: not reported

Test material: Common name Atrazine Chemical name: IUPAC

> 6-chloro-N-ethyl-N'-(1-methylethyl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine CAS name CAS No. Synonyms **EPA PC Code: 80803**

1912-24-9

**Primary Reviewer:** Thomas M. Steeger, Ph.D., Senior Biologist Date: March 27, 2003 Environmental Fate and Effects Division, ERB 4, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

Secondary Reviewer(s): Joseph E. Tietge, M.S., Research Aquatic Biologist Date: Mid-Continent Ecology Division, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory (Duluth), U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

Stephanie Irene, Ph.D., Senior Advisor	Date:
Environmental Fate and Effects Division, ERB 3, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	

Mary J. Frankenberry, Senior Statistician Date: Environmental Fate and Effects Division, ERB 3, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

**EPA PC Code** 080803

Date Evaluation Completed: 06/01/2003

CITATION: Jones, P. D., M. B. Murphy, M. Hecker, J. P. Giesy. 2003. Tissue Pesticide Residues and Histology of the Larynx and Gonads in Native Green Frogs (Rana clamitans) Collected from Agricultural Areas in Michigan: Hormone Analysis. Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory, Michigan State University, 218C National Food Safety and Toxicology Center, E. Lansing, MI. Sponsor: Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Laboratory Study ID: ECORISK Number MSU-02.

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

This represents a summary for Phase I of a three-year study where primarily the green frog (*Rana clamitans*) but also the leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*) and the bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) were collected from three reference ponds and six atrazine-exposed ponds. Both juvenile (372) and adult (340) green frogs were collected and examined for gross gonadal abnormalities and blood plasma estradiol and testosterone levels. A total of four mixed or unknown sex animals were identified in all of the frogs collected. Hormone levels exhibited considerable variability among locations and individuals. No relationship between plasma hormone levels and atrazine exposure could be determined.

While this represents an interim report (Phase 1) of a three-year study, the results suggest that *Rana clamitans* are not markedly impacted by atrazine exposure in terms of gonadal deformities. However, reference sites contained atrazine so the ability of this field study to discriminate effects may be limited. Additionally, sex steroid levels exhibited considerable variability, and the study was not able to distinguish meaningful differences between "exposed" and "reference" sites. Plasma steroid levels are of questionable value because data were collected over a time period of four months for adult frogs, and coefficients of variability ranged as high as 10,628%. There were no gender-specific estradiol levels. Exposed males contained roughly similar (0.91) amounts of estradiol as females, while in reference sites males exhibited roughly 4.9 times the plasma estradiol concentration than females.

Because of the variability associated with plasma steroid hormone levels measured in this study, it is unlikely that the study will be able to differentiate any treatment-related effects, especially since the reference sites may have contained low levels of atrazine.

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

## **I. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

<b>GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:</b>	Nonguideline Study
COMPLIANCE:	Not conducted under full GLP; however, most practices as
	defined by 40 CFR Part 160, August 19, 1989 were
	established for this study, including but not limited to:

- Written, authorized protocol
- Written, authorized Standard Operating Procedures for all key procedures.
- Organization and Personnel were sufficient in terms of number, education, training and experience.
- Facilities were of suitable size and construction
- Equipment used was of appropriate design and adequate capacity.
- Independent QA Inspection were conducted.
- Final Report was written
- Raw data, documentation, records, protocols, and final report were archived.

## A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material	Atrazine		
Description:	Not reported		
Lot No./Batch No. :	Not reported		
Purity: Stability of compound under test conditions: N	NA lot reported		
Storage conditions of test chemicals: 2. <u>Test organism</u> :	Not reported		

Species: Green Frogs (Rana	clamitans)	
Age at test initiation:	Juveniles and adults	
Weight at study initiation:	(mean and range)	Not reported
Length at study initiation:	(mean and range)	Not reported

Source: Field-collected at nine (3 reference and 6 atrazine-exposed) sites located in Livingston, Ingham, Barry and Lapeer counties, Michigan

## B. <u>STUDY DESIGN</u>:

**Objective:** To assess the effects of atrazine on kidney and gonad histology and plasma steroid hormone concentrations and gonadal aromatase activity of green frogs (*R. clamitans*) and other incidental ranid species collected from various field sites in Michigan.

## **<u>1. Experimental Conditions</u>**

Experimental sites (KZ02, KZ03, KZ07, LPR02, LPR03 and HW01) were based on proximity to agriculture where atrazine was used and where the genus *Rana* were located. Reference sites (HG01, HL01 and LPR08) were selected in non-agricultural areas.

During each sampling, water chemistry (conductivity, DO, pH and temperature at each of 4 points on water's surface) samples were collected, and on the first visit a sediment (5-cm grab) sample was collected. All samples were analyzed for atrazine using Envirogard triazine ELISA kit (LOD = 0.025  $\mu$ g/L); sediment samples will be extracted and then analyzed later using ELISA. Results of ELISA will be verified using GC-MS.

Sampling (from 8 PM to midnight) was conducted three times throughout the Summer of 2002. Tadpoles were collected in un-baited minnow traps in the late spring and early summer; juvenile frogs were sampled in mid-summer (June and July); adult frogs were sampled at the end of summer (September). Frogs were sampled using fish nets and were held in buckets for less than two hours to minimize sampling stress and affects on plasma sex steroid concentrations.

Frogs were euthanized by immersion in MS-222, then weighed and measured (SVL) before blood collection via cardiac puncture; blood collection was completed within an approximate four-hour window of time.

Frogs were dissected and examined under a microscope for gonad morphology. One gonad was collected from adult and juvenile frogs, and snap frozen for use in aromatase assay. The other gonad was left in the carcass and fixed in Bouin's solution. Gonadal somatic index (partial GSI) was calculated based on the weight of single gonad.

Plasma samples were extracted with diethyl ether. The level of quantitation (LOQ) for testosterone and 17- $\beta$  estradiol was 0.78 - 800 pg/well.

**II.** <u>**RESULTS</u> and <u><b>DISCUSSION**</u>: [All results discussed in this section and the next are those reported by the study authors. Although supplemental data are typically used in a qualitative manner</u>

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

only, EFED verified spreadsheet data and ran basic statistical analyses on the major study parameters. See attached appendix. If results differed in any substantive way, the difference was reported in the text below.]

Quantifiable levels of atrazine were detected in all (exposed and reference) of the ponds sampled. Atrazine concentrations in exposed ponds ranged from 0.025 to 250  $\mu$ g/L. Reference pond water atrazine concentrations ranged from 0.015 to 0.093  $\mu$ g/L. No data were reported on other triazine herbicides, degradates or other pesticide residues. Although the study notes that maximum concentrations did not appear to persist for very long at the sites, with measurements tending to drop off in the next water sample, intervals between sampling make it difficult to support this observation.

S:40	Atrazine Water Concentrations (µg/L)							
5110	Spring	Early-Summer	Mid-Summer	Late-Summer				
KZ02 (Exposed 1)	0.52	0.094	0.025	0.030				
KZ03 (Exposed 2)	1.6	1.8	0.71	0.11				
KZ07 (Exposed 3)	0.031	0.48	0.17	0.11				
HW01 (Exposed 4)	0.12	0.36	0.24	0.24				
LPR02 (Exposed 5)	0.83	6.5 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	2.9	0.078				
LPR03 (Exposed 6)	$2.5 \times 10^2$	0.69	0.092	1.9				
HL01 (Reference 1)	0.058	0.069	0.040	0.015				
HG01 (Reference 2)	0.026	0.093	0.069	0.067				
LPR08 (Reference 3)	0.085	0.062	0.033	0.036				

 Table 1. Atrazine water concentrations in both exposed and reference collection sites during spring (May), early summer (June and July), mid-summer (August) and late summer (September) samples.

Of the 372 juvenile and 340 adult green frogs collected, four (0.6%) had mixed or unknown sex. It is assumed that this is based on dissecting scope observations because it states that animals will be subject to histopathology in upcoming months.

GSI varied considerably between sampling sites. Female GSI was greatest at HL01 reference site and at the HW01 and LPR02 exposed sites.

Within-site and between-site variability in testosterone (T) levels was high (**Tables 2 and 3**). Testosterone was highest at LPR08 reference site and KZ02 experimental site in both males and females

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

Estradiol ( $E_2$ ) concentrations in both male and females was greatest at KZ02 exposed site and LPR08 reference site (**Tables 2 and 3**).

The ratio of plasma estradiol to testosterone levels (E/T) in females averaged  $1.7 \pm 1.6$  at atrazine-exposed sites compared to  $1.6 \pm 1.0$  at reference sites (**Table 4**). For males, E/T ratios averaged  $1.28 \pm 1.7$  and  $0.95 \pm 0.6$  for atrazine-exposed and reference sites, respectively.

Table 2. Mean plasma testosterone and estradiol in female green frogs (*Rana clamitans*) collected in reference and atrazine-exposed sites in Michigan. Standard deviations (Std. Dev.) and coefficients of variability (CV = [std. dev. ÷ mean] x 100).

	Female Rana clamitans							
Site	Mean Testosterone pg/mL	Std. Dev.	CV	Mean Estradiol pg/mL	Std. Dev.	CV		
Exposed 1 (KZ02)	3697	641	17%	16407	5718	35%		
Exposed 2 (KZ03)	198	2101	1061%	495	4573	924%		
Exposed 3 (KZ07)	249	1684	676%	289	478	165%		
Exposed 4 (HW01)	640	534	83%	25	226	904%		
Exposed 5 (LPR02)	341	843	247%	68	826	1215%		
Exposed 6 (LPR03)	209	188	90%	321	467	145%		
Reference 1 (HL01)	180	646	359%	134	183	137%		
Reference 2 (HG01)	134	98	73%	51	172	337%		
Reference 3 (LPR08)	3681	3452	94%	5253	4430	84%		

Table 3. Mean plasma testosterone and estradiol in male green frogs (Rana clamitans) collected in reference
and atrazine-exposed sites in Michigan. Standard deviations (Std. Dev.) and coefficients of variability (CV =
[std. dev mean] x 100).

	Male Rana clamitans							
Site	Mean Testosterone pg/mL	Std. Dev.	CV	Mean Estradiol pg/mL	Std. Dev.	CV		
Exposed 1 (KZ02)	3300	8574	260%	14308	7668	54%		
Exposed 2 (KZ03)	118	888	753%	238	7678	3226%		
Exposed 3 (KZ07)	129	3555	2756%	20	1199	5995%		

				EFA MIKID	Number 450	00//-02
Exposed 4 (HW01)	89			26		
Exposed 5 (LPR02)	168	173	103%	2.7	133	4926%
Exposed 6 (LPR03)	826			732		
Reference 1 (HL01)	149	610	409%	36	3826	10628%
Reference 2 (HG01)	189	1733	917%	234	2956	1263%
Reference 3 (LPR08)	3183	4171	131%	4314	3568	83%

Table 4. Female and male plasma estradiol to testosterone (E/T) ratios for green frogs (*Rana clamitans*) collected from atrazine-exposed and reference sites.

Site	Female E/T Ratio	Male E/T Ratio
Exposed 1 (KZ02)	4.4	4.34
Exposed 2 (KZ03)	2.5	2.02
Exposed 3 (KZ07)	1.2	0.16
Exposed 4 (HW01)	0.04	0.29
Exposed 5 (LPR02)	0.20	0.02
Exposed 6 (LPR03)	1.54	0.89
Reference 1 (HL01)	0.74	0.24
Reference 2 (HG01)	0.38	1.24
Reference 3 (LPR08)	1.43	1.36

## **<u>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS</u>**:

Because of the large number of sampling sites and the logistics of collecting and processing samples at night, it is understandable why sampling had to be conducted over a protracted period of time. However, while many of the experimental sites were sampled between 7/23/02 and 7/29/02, sampling of reference sites extended from 7/31/02 through 8/13/02. Only one exposed site (LPR02) was sampled as late as 8/13/02. When adult frogs were collected in September, reference sites were sampled relatively early (9/6 - 9/16), while experimental areas were sampled later (9/13 - 9/30). It's not clear when each of the samples was collected; there are sampling dates reported in the appendix, but these are not consistent.

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

. The major hypothesis that was being evaluated in this study is that atrazine exposure results in abnormal kidney and gonad development and that atrazine causes changes in plasma estradiol and testosterone levels. Because of the design of the experiment and the uncontrolled environmental factors in a field study, this hypothesis could not be tested. Furthermore, presumptive effects on gonadal development must occur at the appropriate time in development. In this study, atrazine measurements were taken throughout the summer, but they were apparently not taken during the larval period. As a result, it is uncertain whether or not exposure occurred at the appropriate time to elicit a response.

Because there is considerable variability in the plasma steroid levels, it is difficult to determine potential treatment effects based on these measurements. Plasma estradiol levels in atrazine-exposed females ranged from 25 to 16,407 pg/mL with coefficients of variability (CV) ranging from 35% to 1,215%; at reference sites female plasma estradiol ranged from 51 to 5,253 pg/mL with CV's ranging from 84 to 337%. Testosterone levels in females were equally variable with coefficients of variability ranging between 17 and 1,061%; mean plasma testosterone levels in females from both atrazine-exposed and reference sites ranged roughly from 134 to 3,697 pg/mL. For males, plasma testosterone levels in atrazine-exposed animals ranged from 89 to 3,300 pg/mL (CV range 103 - 2,756%), while males from reference sites ranged from 149 to 3,183 pg/mL (CV range 131-917%). Plasma estradiol levels in males at atrazine-exposed sites ranged from 2.7 to 14,308 pg/mL (CV range 54 - 5,995%), while reference site males ranged from 36 - 4314 pg/mL (CV range 83 - 10,628%). Interesting enough the highest plasma estradiol ranges were measured in males collected from atrazineexposed sites. Unfortunately, the highest variability (as reflected by CVs ranging as high as 10,628%) was observed in reference sites for male estradiol levels. With such high levels of variability and with the presence of atrazine in the reference sites, the potential to differentiate treatment effects would be remote, unless extremely large sample sizes were used. Alternatively the researchers could use a shorter time period for collecting and analyzing samples to limit the likelihood that frogs would be at different stages of their breeding cvcle.

Gonadal anomalies were only detected in 0.6% of the total juvenile and adult frogs sampled; however, it is unclear whether histopathology will significantly alter that percentage.

The median ratio of estradiol to testosterone exceeded 1.0 (range: 1.9 - 8.6) in males collected from reference sites; however, at half of the exposed sites the median ratio was less than 1.0 (range 0.26 - 0.89). The remaining exposed sites (3) had median ratios ranging from 4.9 to 6.8.

Reference sites all proved to contain measurable levels of atrazine and were in some cases higher than exposed site values. No data were reported on other triazines and/or degradates nor were data provided on what other chemicals may have been present in the exposed and reference study sites. Although water quality data were collected, no data were provided for analysis.

While this represents an interim report (Phase 1) of a three-year study, the results suggest that *Rana clamitans* were not markedly impacted by atrazine exposure in terms of gonadal deformities. However, reference sites contained atrazine so the ability of this field study to discriminate effects may be limited. Additionally, sex steroid levels exhibited considerable variability, and the study was not able to distinguish meaningful differences between "exposed" and "reference" sites.

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

The summary tables for plasma steroid levels are of little value because they summarize data collected over 4 months in adult frogs. There were no gender-specific steroid hormone levels, both males and females exhibited roughly similar average ratios from atrazine exposed sites, *i.e.*, 1.7 versus 1.3, and from reference sites, *i.e.*, 0.85 and 0.95, suggesting that this study couldn't distinguish plasma steroid differences between males and females or between reference and exposure sites if such differences existed.

Although the study was not conducted under full GLP, the report notes that most practices were included, one of which involved the writing of a final report. The current study report does not constitute a final report and therefore a Final Report was not written.

## **CONCLUSIONS**:

Although this study provides preliminary data on the incidence of abnormal gonads and the levels of plasma steroid hormone in green frogs collected from both reference and atrazine-exposed sites, there is no conclusive evidence that frogs from the two sites are statistically different relative to these measurement endpoints. However, the gonadal data are based on gross morphology and the incidence of abnormalities may change once histology is completed. Additionally, given the high level of variability in steroid hormone levels, it is unlikely that this study could have differentiated between males and females or between reference and atrazine-exposed sites had a difference existed. With coefficients of variability approaching 11,000%, the number of animals required to detect a specified difference with any reasonable level of confidence would be high given the study's current design.

						EPA M	RID Number 45	8677-02
	AV	ERAGE WE	IGHT OF FR	OGS COLLEC	TED BY AREA	AND SEX		279
Obs	AREA	SEX	_TYPE_	_FREQ_	MEAN	STD	CV	
1	HG01		0	1		•		
2	HG01	F	0	4	44.9828	11.6195	25.8310	
3	HG01	М	0	24	40.7518	11.0699	27.1643	
4	HL01	F	0	7	45.7543	4.4464	9.7179	
5	HL01	М	0	18	40.1478	7.7085	19.2003	
6	HL02	F	0	2	73.0950	13.7674	18.8349	
7	HW01	F	0	4	42.0025	23.7297	56.4958	
8	HW01	М	0	1	18.4600			
9	KZ03	F	0	13	36.5923	14.0417	38.3734	
10	KZ03	М	0	9	30.5756	10.3927	33.9903	
11	KZ07	F	0	22	32.2627	6.3247	19.6037	
12	KZ07	М	0	28	29.9182	6.1725	20.6312	
13	KZ07	Unk.	0	1	30.7600			
14	LPR0	F	0	23	31.5283	15.8872	50.3904	
15	LPR0	М	0	24	28.4154	10.1072	35.5696	
NON	IPARAMETH	RIC COMPA	ARISON OF E	BODY WEIGHT	r across sam	IPLING AREAS	S BY SEX	280
 				SEX=F				
			The N	PAR1WAY Pr	ocedure			
	7	Vilcoxon	Scores (Ra Classifie	ank Sums) : ed by Varia	for Variable able AREA	e WEIGHT		

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	âââââââââ	àâââââââââââââââ	lââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââ
HG01	4	222.0	152.0	42.410690	55.500000
HL01	7	423.0	266.0	54.905980	60.428571
HL02	2	146.0	76.0	30.408332	73.000000
HW01	4	166.0	152.0	42.410690	41.500000
KZ03	13	481.0	494.0	71.446950	37.000000
KZ07	22	721.0	836.0	85.934083	32.772727
LPR0	23	691.0	874.0	87.032561	30.043478

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square 19.6115 DF 6 Pr > Chi-Square 0.0032 NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF BODY WEIGHT ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX

281

## The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable WEIGHT Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	âââââââââ	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	àââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ
HG01	4	4.0	1.973333	0.979433	1.000000
HL01	7	7.0	3.453333	1.267999	1.000000
HL02	2	2.0	0.986667	0.702250	1.000000
HW01	4	2.0	1.973333	0.979433	0.500000
KZ03	13	6.0	6.413333	1.649997	0.461538
KZ07	22	8.0	10.853333	1.984563	0.363636
LPR0	23	8.0	11.346667	2.009931	0.347826

Median One-Way Analysis

Page 10 of 41

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

Chi-Square 16.6089 DF 6 Pr > Chi-Square 0.0108 NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF BODY WEIGHT ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 282

------ SEX=M ------

The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable WEIGHT Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	ââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââââ	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââ
HG01	24	1717.0	1260.00	129.614814	71.541667
HL01	18	1299.0	945.00	116.382988	72.166667
HW01	1	8.0	52.50	30.020826	8.00000
KZ03	9	385.0	472.50	86.494219	42.777778
KZ07	28	1160.0	1470.00	136.455121	41.428571
LPR0	24	891.0	1260.00	129.614814	37.125000

Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	30.3302
DF	5
Pr > Chi-Square	<.0001

Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable WEIGHT Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	Ν	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââââ	ââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââ
HG01	24	18.0	12.00	2.158748	0.750000
HL01	18	15.0	9.00	1.938371	0.833333
HW01	1	0.0	0.50	0.500000	0.000000
KZ03	9	3.0	4.50	1.440570	0.333333
KZ07	28	9.0	14.00	2.272674	0.321429
LPR0	24	7.0	12.00	2.158748	0.291667

Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Squa	re	23.5098
DF		5
Pr > Chi	-Square	0.0003

						EPA M	RID Number 4	58677-02
	AV	VERAGE	LENGTH OF	FROGS COLLE	CTED BY AREA	AND SEX		284
Obs	AREA	SEX	_TYPE_	_FREQ_	MEAN	STD	CV	
1	HG01		0	1				
2	HG01	F	0	4	7.77475	0.62670	8.0608	
3	HG01	М	0	24	7.28233	1.61161	22.1304	
4	HL01	F	0	7	7.69100	0.34031	4.4247	
5	HL01	М	0	18	7.50867	0.51030	6.7962	
6	HL02	F	0	2	8.94050	0.84782	9.4829	
7	HW01	F	0	4	7.38650	1.13260	15.3334	
8	HW01	М	0	1	5.87600			
9	KZ03	F	0	13	7.32492	1.02200	13.9523	
10	KZ03	М	0	9	6.96600	0.75002	10.7669	
11	KZ07	F	0	22	6.98036	0.48803	6.9915	
12	KZ07	М	0	28	6.86843	0.51202	7.4547	
13	KZ07	Unk.	0	1	7.08900			
14	LPR0	F	0	23	6.79417	1.23124	18.1220	
15	LPR0	М	0	24	6.73617	0.81422	12.0874	

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF BODY LENGHT (SVL) ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX

------ SEX=F ------

285

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable SVL Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	âââââââââ	ââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââââââ	àâââââââââââ
HG01	4	221.0	152.0	42.410690	55.250000
HL01	7	393.0	266.0	54.905980	56.142857
HL02	2	142.0	76.0	30.408332	71.000000
HW01	4	178.0	152.0	42.410690	44.500000
KZ03	13	483.0	494.0	71.446950	37.153846
KZ07	22	712.0	836.0	85.934083	32.363636
LPR0	23	721.0	874.0	87.032561	31.347826

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	15.9314
DF	б
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0141

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable SVL Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	lâââââââââ	àââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	1222222	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	âââââââââââ
HG01	4	4.0	1.973333	0.979433	1.000000
HL01	7	7.0	3.453333	1.267999	1.000000
HL02	2	2.0	0.986667	0.702250	1.000000
HW01	4	3.0	1.973333	0.979433	0.750000
KZ03	13	3.0	6.413333	1.649997	0.230769
KZ07	22	9.0	10.853333	1.984563	0.409091
LPR0	23	9.0	11.346667	2.009931	0.391304

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square	19.3126
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0037

## Page 12 of 41

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF BODY LENGHT (SVL) ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 287

----- SEX=M -----

The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable SVL Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	ââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââ
HG01	24	1642.00	1260.00	129.614123	68.416667
HL01	18	1251.00	945.00	116.382368	69.500000
HW01	1	9.00	52.50	30.020666	9.00000
KZ03	9	416.00	472.50	86.493758	46.222222
KZ07	28	1176.50	1470.00	136.454393	42.017857
LPR0	24	965.50	1260.00	129.614123	40.229167

Average scores were used for ties.

Kruskal-Wallis Test

 Chi-Square
 22.2193

 DF
 5

 Pr > Chi-Square
 0.0005

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable SVL Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	âââââââââ	àââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ
HG01	24	17.0	12.00	2.158748	0.708333
HL01	18	12.0	9.00	1.938371	0.666667
HW01	1	0.0	0.50	0.500000	0.000000
KZ03	9	4.0	4.50	1.440570	0.444444
KZ07	28	11.0	14.00	2.272674	0.392857
LPR0	24	8.0	12.00	2.158748	0.333333

Average scores were used for ties.

Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square	11.1222
DF	5
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0490

						EPA M	RID Number 45	8677-02
	AVERA	AGE GONAI	O WEIGHT OF	FROGS COI	LECTED BY A	AREA AND SEX	2	289
0bs	AREA	SEX	_TYPE_	_FREQ_	MEAN	STD	CV	
1	HG01		0	1		•		
2	HG01	F	0	4	0.53175	0.28141	52.922	
3	HG01	М	0	24	0.08608	0.02893	33.607	
4	HL01	F	0	7	0.79743	0.27233	34.151	
5	HL01	М	0	18	0.07022	0.02304	32.815	
б	HL02	F	0	2	4.20200	2.90055	69.028	
7	HW01	F	0	4	0.90725	1.05756	116.568	
8	HW01	М	0	1	0.02600			
9	KZ03	F	0	13	0.43562	0.48035	110.269	
10	KZ03	М	0	9	0.06600	0.02666	40.401	
11	KZ07	F	0	22	0.27882	0.14849	53.257	
12	KZ07	М	0	28	0.06400	0.01933	30.205	
13	KZ07	Unk.	0	1				
14	LPR0	F	0	23	0.35174	0.40409	114.884	
15	LPR0	М	0	24	0.07358	0.08499	115.502	

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF GONAD WEIGHT ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX ------ SEX=F ------

290

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable GONAD Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	âââââââââ	àââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	àââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââ	àâââââââââââ
HG01	4	189.00	152.0	42.409786	47.250000
HL01	7	433.00	266.0	54.904809	61.857143
HL02	2	148.00	76.0	30.407683	74.000000
HW01	4	188.00	152.0	42.409786	47.000000
KZ03	13	482.50	494.0	71.445426	37.115385
KZ07	22	715.50	836.0	85.932250	32.522727
LPR0	23	694.00	874.0	87.030704	30.173913

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	19.6246
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0032

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable GONAD Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	lâââââââ	laaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	lâââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	àââââââââââ
HG01	4	3.0	1.973333	0.979433	0.750000
HL01	7	7.0	3.453333	1.267999	1.000000
HL02	2	2.0	0.986667	0.702250	1.000000
HW01	4	2.0	1.973333	0.979433	0.500000
KZ03	13	5.0	6.413333	1.649997	0.384615
KZ07	22	9.0	10.853333	1.984563	0.409091
LPR0	23	9.0	11.346667	2.009931	0.391304

Average scores were used for ties.

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square 12.3288 DF 6 Pr > Chi-Square 0.0550 NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF GONAD WEIGHT ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 292

----- SEX=M -----

The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable GONAD Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	ââââââââ	ââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââ
HG01	24	1642.00	1248.0	128.153570	68.416667
HL01	18	983.50	936.0	115.121717	54.638889
HW01	1	6.00	52.0	29.724299	6.000000
KZ03	9	417.00	468.0	85.604525	46.333333
KZ07	27	1335.00	1404.0	133.321509	49.44444
LPR0	24	972.50	1248.0	128.153570	40.520833

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	13.8281
DF	5
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0167

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable GONAD Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	lâââââââââ	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	âââââââââââââââ	laaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	âââââââââââ
HG01	24	17.0	11.883495	2.155602	0.708333
HL01	18	10.0	8.912621	1.936400	0.555556
HW01	1	0.0	0.495146	0.499976	0.00000
KZ03	9	3.0	4.456311	1.439908	0.333333
KZ07	27	13.0	13.368932	2.242529	0.481481
LPR0	24	8.0	11.883495	2.155602	0.333333

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square	8.9955
DF	5
Pr > Chi-Square	0.1092

						EPA MI	RID Number 4	58677-02
	AVERAGE	GONADOSON	MATIC INDEX	(GSI) OF	FROGS COLLECT	FED BY AREA	AND SEX	294
0bs	AREA	SEX	_TYPE_	_FREQ_	MEAN	STD	CV	
1	. HG01		0	1				
2	2 HG01	. F	0	4	0.011275	0.004655	41.286	
3	HG01	. М	0	24	0.002137	0.000476	22.280	
4	HL01	F	0	7	0.017357	0.005367	30.924	
5	5 HL01	. М	0	18	0.001717	0.000333	19.397	
6	5 HLO2	F	0	2	0.054700	0.029416	53.776	
7	/ HW01	. F	0	4	0.016925	0.011787	69.640	
8	B HW01	. М	0	1	0.001400			
9	) KZ03	F	0	13	0.009985	0.006135	61.443	
10	) KZ03	M	0	9	0.002156	0.000343	15.921	
11	. KZ07	F	0	22	0.008295	0.003194	38.508	
12	. KZ07	M	0	28	0.002178	0.000571	26.234	
13	8 KZ07	Unk.	0	1				
14	LPR0	) F	0	23	0.009487	0.007301	76.955	
15	5 LPRO	M	0	24	0.002421	0.002454	101.367	

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF GONADOSOMATIC INDEX (GSI) ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 295

#### ------ SEX=F ------

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable GSI Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	âââââââââ	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	àâââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââ
HG01	4	177.50	152.0	42.403752	44.375000
HL01	7	421.50	266.0	54.896998	60.214286
HL02	2	149.00	76.0	30.403357	74.500000
HW01	4	207.50	152.0	42.403752	51.875000
KZ03	13	469.50	494.0	71.435261	36.115385
KZ07	22	698.50	836.0	85.920025	31.750000
LPR0	23	726.50	874.0	87.018323	31.586957

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	18.7491
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0046

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable GSI Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	ââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ	122222222222222222222222222222222222222	lâââââââââ
HG01	4	3.0	1.973333	0.979433	0.750000
HL01	7	7.0	3.453333	1.267999	1.000000
HL02	2	2.0	0.986667	0.702250	1.000000
HW01	4	2.0	1.973333	0.979433	0.500000
KZ03	13	5.0	6.413333	1.649997	0.384615
KZ07	22	9.0	10.853333	1.984563	0.409091
LPR0	23	9.0	11.346667	2.009931	0.391304

Average scores were used for ties.

## Page 17 of 41

EPA MRID Number 458677-02

Median	One-Way	Analysis

Chi-Square	12.3288
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0550

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF GONADOSOMATIC INDEX (GSI) ACROSS SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 297

----- SEX=M -----

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable GSI Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	ââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââ
HG01	24	1407.50	1248.0	127.893490	58.645833
HL01	18	580.00	936.0	114.888084	32.222222
HW01	1	13.50	52.0	29.663976	13.500000
KZ03	9	541.50	468.0	85.430796	60.166667
KZ07	27	1675.50	1404.0	133.050941	62.055556
T-PR0	2.4	1138.00	1248.0	127.893490	47,416667

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	15.1001
DF	5
Pr > Chi-Square	0.0099

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable GSI Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	ââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ
HG01	24	14.0	11.883495	2.155602	0.583333
HL01	18	4.0	8.912621	1.936400	0.222222
HW01	1	0.0	0.495146	0.499976	0.00000
KZ03	9	6.0	4.456311	1.439908	0.666667
KZ07	27	17.0	13.368932	2.242529	0.629630
LPR0	24	10.0	11.883495	2.155602	0.416667

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square			10.5912
DF			5
Pr	>	Chi-Square	0.0601

							EPA N	IRID Number	r 458677-02
		AVER	AGE TESTOS	TERONE CON	CENTRATIONS	BY AREA AN	ND SEX COLLE	CTED	299
Obs	AREA	SEX	_TYPE_	_FREQ_	MEAN	STD	CV	MIN	MAX
1	HG01	F	0	9	149.68	98.03	65.496	49.87	307.18
2	HG01	М	0	38	513.61	1733.07	337.427	38.27	10715.78
3	HL02	F	0	2	2840.59	1307.26	46.021	1916.22	3764.96
4	HLO1	F	0	13	590.12	646.18	109.498	57.32	1970.54
5	HLO1	М	0	30	338.30	610.43	180.440	29.82	3068.27
б	HW01	F	0	4	648.93	533.77	82.254	73.90	1241.24
7	HW01	М	0	1	89.13	•	•	89.13	89.13
8	KZ02	F	0	16	4448.80	2370.51	53.284	1799.48	8897.31
9	KZ02	М	0	14	3504.74	1232.96	35.180	1281.92	5833.79
10	KZ03	F	0	17	1213.58	2101.09	173.132	14.26	8278.41
11	KZ03	М	0	13	604.88	887.89	146.788	24.32	2777.84
12	KZ07	F	0	22	226.46	115.27	50.901	58.45	407.51
13	KZ07	М	0	28	120.06	78.48	65.366	42.10	267.42
14	KZ07	U	0	1	54.52	•		54.52	54.52
15	LPR0	F	0	26	3377.91	3499.32	103.594	82.50	10347.86
16	LPR0	М	0	36	4061.89	4180.15	102.911	8.35	13154.07

### EPA MRID Number 458677-02

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR TESTOSTERONE CONCENTRATION BETWEEN SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 300

----- SEX=F The GLM Procedure Class Level Information Class Levels Values AREA 8 HG01 HL02 HL01 HW01 KZ02 KZ03 KZ07 LPR0

#### Number of observations 109

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 105 observations can be used in this analysis. Dependent Variable: T

-			Sum	of			_		
Source		DF.	Squar	es	Mean S	quare	F.	Value	Pr > F
Model		7	277040769	.7	39577	252.8		8.19	<.0001
Error		97	468931321	.7	4834	343.5			
Corrected Total		104	745972091	.4					
	R-Square	Coef	f Var	Root	MSE	Т	Mean		
	0.371382	114	.8531	2198.	.714	1914	.371		
Source		DF	Type I	SS	Mean S	Square	F	Value	Pr > F
AREA		7	277040769	.7	39577	252.8		8.19	<.0001
Source		DF	Type III	SS	Mean S	Square	F	Value	Pr > F
AREA		7	277040769	.7	39577	252.8		8.19	<.0001

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR TESTOSTERONE CONCENTRATION BETWEEN SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 302

	SEX=M					
Th	e GLM Procedure					
Class Level Information						
Class Levels	Values					

AREA 7 HG01 HL01 HW01 KZ02 KZ03 KZ07 LPR0

#### Number of observations 160

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 150 observations can be used in this analysis. Dependent Variable: T

Source		DF	Sum Squar	of es	Mean	Square	F	Value	Pr > F
Model		6	4169919	01	69	498650		13.08	<.0001
Frror		143	7508431	62	5	212580		10100	
Converted metal		140	11760250	62		515505			
corrected lotal		149	11/08350	03					
	R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root	MSE	Т	Mean		
	0.354333	147.	3356	2305	.122	156	4.538		
Source		DF	Type I	SS	Mean	Square	F	Value	Pr > F
AREA		6	416991901	.3	6949	8650.2		13.08	<.0001
Source		DF	Type III	SS	Mean	Square	F	Value	Pr > F
AREA		6	416991901	.3	6949	8650.2		13.08	<.0001

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING TESTOSTERONE DATA BY SEX 306

## ----- SEX=F -----

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

#### Moments

N	105	Sum Weights	105
Mean	0	Sum Observations	0
Std Deviation	2123.43001	Variance	4508955.02
Skewness	1.55804522	Kurtosis	3.17959841
Uncorrected SS	468931322	Corrected SS	468931322
Coeff Variation		Std Error Mean	207.22555

#### Basic Statistical Measures

Location

#### Variability

Mean	0.000	Std Deviation	2123
Median	-100.921	Variance	4508955
Mode		Range	10360
		Interquartile Range	1365

#### Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	-Sta	atistic-	p Value		
Student's t	t	0	Pr >  t	1.0000	
Sign	M	-12.5	Pr >=  M	0.0187	
Signed Rank	S	-629	Pr >=  S	0.0438	

### Tests for Normality

Test	Statistic		p Va	lue
Shapiro-Wilk	W	0.833207	Pr < W	<0.0001
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	D	0.220141	Pr > D	<0.0100
Cramer-von Mises	W-Sq	1.093384	Pr > W-So	<0.0050
Anderson-Darling	A-Sq	5.919474	Pr > A-So	<0.0050

#### Quantiles (Definition 5)

Quantile	Estimate		
100% Max	7064.836		
99%	6969.954		
95%	4998.822		
90%	2711.678		
75% Q3	227.102		

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING TESTOSTERONE DATA BY SEX 307

------ SEX=F ------

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

Quantiles (Definition 5)

Quantile	Estimate			
50% Median 25% Q1 10% 5%	-100.921 -1138.011 -2306.981 -3036.410			
lð Og Min	-3281.251			

#### Extreme Observations

Lowes	t	Highest	
Value	Obs	Value	0bs
-3295.40 -3281.25	84 89	5896.93 6351.64	105 108
-3251.36	91	6823.05	102
-3196.13	86	6969.95	103
-3142.45	87	7064.84	45

#### Missing Values

		Perce	nt Of
Missing			Missing
Value	Count	All Obs	Obs
	4	3.67	100.00

#### ----- SEX=F ------ SEX=F

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

Stem	Leaf	#	Boxplot
7	01	2	*
6	8	1	*
6	4	1	*
5	9	1	*
5	0	1	*
4			
4	14	2	0
3	9	1	0
3			
2	78	2	0
2	0	1	
1	9	1	
1	44	2	
0	556889	6	
0	0000111112222233444	19	++
-0	444433221111111111100000	24	**
- 0	997655555	9	
-1	332222222111000	15	++
-1	776	3	

Page 24 of 41



## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING TESTOSTERONE DATA BY SEX 310

#### ----- SEX=M -----

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

#### Moments

N Mean	150 0	Sum Weights Sum Observations	150 0
Std Deviation	2258.2335	Variance	5099618.54
Skewness	2.16873075	Kurtosis	7.13432301
Uncorrected SS	759843162	Corrected SS	759843162
Coeff Variation		Std Error Mean	184.383993

#### Basic Statistical Measures

#### Variability

Location

Mean	0.000	Std Deviation	2258
Median	-244.809	Variance	5099619
Mode		Range	14256
		Interquartile Range	458.79593

#### Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	-St	tatistic-	p Value		
Student's t	t	0	Pr >  t	1.0000	
Sign	М	-39	Pr >=  M	<.0001	
Signed Rank	S	-2514.5	Pr >=  S	<.0001	

#### Tests for Normality

Test	Sta	tistic		:	p Val	.ue
Shapiro-Wilk	W	0.70622	Pr	< 7	W	<0.0001
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	D	0.307319	Pr	>	D	<0.0100
Cramer-von Mises	W-Sq	3.515333	Pr	>	W-Sq	<0.0050
Anderson-Darling	A-Sq	16.93825	Pr	> .	A-Sq	<0.0050

#### Quantiles (Definition 5)

Quantile	Estimate
100% Max	10202.1644
99%	9092.1757
95%	5619.6492
90%	1567.9838
75% Q3	-11.1053
50% Median	-244.8092
25% Q1	-469.9013
10%	-2330.2361
5%	-3256.9046
1%	-3995.9020
0% Min	-4053.5445

Extreme	Observations	

3+	Highest	
	iiigiicbt	-
Obs	Value	0bs
130	7257.12	144
128	7952.52	141
131	9020.27	159
129	9092.18	145
127	10202.16	37
	St Obs 130 128 131 129 127	st        Highest           Obs         Value           130         7257.12           128         7952.52           131         9020.27           129         9092.18           127         10202.16

#### Missing Values

Missing		Perce	nt Of Missing
Value	Count	All Obs	Obs
	10	6.25	100.00

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING TESTOSTERONE DATA BY SEX 312



\* may represent up to 2 counts





EPA MRID Number 458677-02



PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING TESTOSTERONE DATA BY SEX 315 The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid Schematic Plots 10000 \* + 8000 \* 6000 \* 4000 + 0 0 0 2000 0 0 0 . \* \*--+--\* + -+ \_ \_ \_ 0 0 -2000 + 0 Ò \* 0 -4000 + \_\_\_\_\_ \_ \_ \_\_\_\_\_ SEX F М U

### EPA MRID Number 458677-02

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF PLASMA TESTOSTERONE (T) CONCENTRATION ACROSS COLLECTION AREAS B 316

------ SEX=F ------

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable T Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	àâââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââ
HG01	9	213.0	477.0	87.361319	23.666667
HL02	2	159.0	106.0	42.657551	79.500000
HLO1	13	529.0	689.0	102.784564	40.692308
HW01	4	178.0	212.0	59.738318	44.500000
KZ02	16	1391.0	848.0	112.154655	86.937500
KZ03	17	659.0	901.0	114.955064	38.764706
KZ07	18	625.0	954.0	117.613775	34.722222
LPR0	26	1811.0	1378.0	134.698429	69.653846

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square 50.1393 DF 7 Pr > Chi-Square <.0001

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable T Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	ââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	122223222222222222222222222222222222222	àâââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ
HG01	9	0.0	4.457143	1.441088	0.00000
HL02	2	2.0	0.990476	0.703667	1.000000
HLO1	13	5.0	6.438095	1.695506	0.384615
HW01	4	2.0	1.980952	0.985427	0.500000
KZ02	16	16.0	7.923810	1.850072	1.000000
KZ03	17	7.0	8.419048	1.896267	0.411765
KZ07	18	0.0	8.914286	1.940124	0.00000
LPR0	26	20.0	12.876190	2.221948	0.769231

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Ch:	i – s	Square	53.2436
DF			7
Pr	>	Chi-Square	<.0001

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF PLASMA TESTOSTERONE (T) CONCENTRATION ACROSS COLLECTION AREAS B 318

----- SEX=M -----

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable T Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	ââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââ	lâââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââ
HG01	37	2283.0	2793.50	229.370697	61.702703
HLO1	30	1665.0	2265.00	212.837967	55.500000
HW01	1	34.0	75.50	43.300308	34.000000
KZ02	14	1791.0	1057.00	154.785874	127.928571
KZ03	13	762.0	981.50	149.702761	58.615385
KZ07	19	734.0	1434.50	176.974339	38.631579
LPR0	36	4056.0	2718.00	227.248762	112.666667

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	73.3827
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	<.0001

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable T Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	ââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââ	âââââââââââ
HG01	37	11.0	18.50	2.648604	0.297297
HLO1	30	9.0	15.00	2.457696	0.300000
HW01	1	0.0	0.50	0.500000	0.00000
KZ02	14	14.0	7.00	1.787353	1.000000
KZ03	13	6.0	6.50	1.728657	0.461538
KZ07	19	3.0	9.50	2.043569	0.157895
LPR0	36	32.0	18.00	2.624101	0.888889

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square	56.2530
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	<.0001

							EPA M	IRID Number	· 458677-02
			AVERAGE ES	TRADIOL CO	NCENTRATIONS	BY AREA AND	SEX COLLEC	TED	320
0bs	AREA	SEX	_TYPE_	_FREQ_	MEAN	STD	CV	MIN	MAX
1	HG01	F	0	9	136.09	171.55	126.061	18.88	541.24
2	HG01	М	0	38	1350.88	2956.38	218.848	2.50	15789.18
3	HL01	F	0	13	239.70	182.96	76.328	26.92	497.18
4	HL01	М	0	30	916.63	3825.62	417.356	25.33	18036.73
5	HL02	F	0	2	805.78	541.62	67.217	422.80	1188.77
б	HW01	F	0	4	129.45	225.55	174.237	1.11	466.49
7	HW01	М	0	1	25.79	•		25.79	25.79
8	KZ03	F	0	17	3222.80	4573.00	141.895	4.42	16236.64
9	KZ03	М	0	13	4821.49	7678.18	159.249	52.64	21183.02
10	KZ07	F	0	22	463.71	478.15	103.113	10.39	1887.19
11	KZ07	М	0	28	582.12	1199.15	205.997	6.27	5610.75
12	KZ07	U	0	1	174.92	•		174.92	174.92
13	KZO2	F	0	16	10770.41	4310.62	40.023	2744.22	19133.06
14	KZO2	М	0	14	10490.20	2634.88	25.118	6449.99	16600.01
15	LPR0	F	0	26	4599.44	4687.58	101.916	1.21	16969.95
16	LPR0	М	0	36	4739.09	3926.58	82.855	1.10	13756.41

### EPA MRID Number 458677-02

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR ESTRADIOL CONCENTRATION BETWEEN SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 321

----- SEX=F -----

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values

AREA 8 HG01 HL01 HL02 HW01 KZ03 KZ07 KZ02 LPR0

#### Number of observations 109

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 105 observations can be used in this analysis. Dependent Variable:  $\mbox{E2}$ 

Source		DF	Sum Squar	of es	Mean S	quare	F	Value	Pr > F
Model		7	13385181	59	1912	16880		15.87	<.0001
Error		97	11684043	98	120	45406			
Corrected Total		104	25069225	56					
	R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root	MSE	E2	Mean		
	0.533929	100.	5534	3470	.649	345	1.549		
Source		DF	Type I	SS	Mean S	quare	F	Value	Pr > F
AREA		7	13385181	59	1912	16880		15.87	<.0001
Source		DF	Type III	SS	Mean S	quare	F	Value	Pr > F
AREA		7	13385181	59	1912	16880		15.87	<.0001

EPA MRID Number 458677-02 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR ESTRADIOL CONCENTRATION BETWEEN SAMPLING AREAS BY SEX 32 323

	SEX=M				
The GLM Procedure					
Class Level Information					
Class Levels	Values				
AREA 7	HG01 HL01 HW01 KZ03 KZ07 KZ02 LPR0				

#### Number of observations 160

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 148 observations can be used in this analysis. Dependent Variable: E2

Model       6       1292294626       215382438       15.34       <.0001         Error       141       1979233920       14037120           Corrected Total       147       3271528546            R-Square       Coeff Var       Root MSE       E2 Mean            0.395012       119.6745       3746.614       3130.671             Source       DF       Type I SS       Mean Square       F Value       Pr > H         AREA       6       1292294626       215382438       15.34       <.0001	Source	I	)F	Sum Square	of	Mean Sou	are F	7 Value	Pr > F
Model       6       1292294626       215382438       15.34       <.0001	504100	-		0 quar		neun by		Value	
Error1411979233920 $14037120$ Corrected Total147 $3271528546$ R-SquareCoef VarRoot MSEE2 Mem0.395012119.745 $3746.514$ $3130.671$ SourceDFType I SMean SquareF ValuePr > HAREA6129229426 $21532438$ 15.34<00000000000000000000000000000000	Model		6	129229462	26	215382	2438	15.34	<.0001
Corrected Total147 $3271528546$ R-SquareCoeff VarRoot MSEE2 Mean0.395012 $119.6745$ $3746.614$ $3130.671$ SourceDFType I SSMean SquareF ValuePr > MarceAREA6 $129229426$ $21532438$ $15.34$ $<.0007$	Error	14	41	197923392	20	14037	7120		
R-SquareCoeff VarRoot MSEE2 Mean $0.395012$ $119.6745$ $3746.614$ $3130.671$ SourceDFType I SSMean SquareF ValuePr > HAREA6 $1292294226$ $215382438$ $15.34$ <.0001	Corrected Total	14	47	327152854	46				
R-Square       Coeff Var       Root MSE       E2 Mean         0.395012       119.6745       3746.614       3130.671         Source       DF       Type I SS       Mean Square       F Value       Pr > H         AREA       6       1292294626       215382438       15.34       <.0001									
0.395012       119.6745       3746.614       3130.671         Source       DF       Type I SS       Mean Square       F Value       Pr > H         AREA       6       1292294626       215382438       15.34       <.0001		R-Square	Coeff	Var	Root	MSE	E2 Mear	1	
Source         DF         Type I SS         Mean Square         F Value         Pr > H           AREA         6         1292294626         215382438         15.34         <.0001		0.395012	119.0	5745	3746.	.614	3130.671	L	
Source         DF         Type I SS         Mean Square         F Value         Pr > H           AREA         6         1292294626         215382438         15.34         <.0001									
AREA 6 1292294626 215382438 15.34 <.0001	Source	I	OF	Type I S	SS	Mean Squ	lare H	7 Value	Pr > F
	AREA		6	129229462	26	215382	2438	15.34	<.0001
Source DF Type III SS Mean Square F Value Pr > H	Source	I	OF 1	Type III S	SS	Mean Squ	lare I	7 Value	Pr > F
AREA 6 1292294626 215382438 15.34 <.0001	AREA		6	129229462	26	215382	2438	15.34	<.0001

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING ESTRADIOL DATA BY SEX 327

\_\_\_\_\_ SEX=F \_\_\_\_\_

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

#### Moments

N	105	Sum Weights	105
Mean	0	Sum Observations	0
Std Deviation	3351.81409	Variance	11234657.7
Skewness	1.5010092	Kurtosis	4.28501184
Uncorrected SS	1168404398	Corrected SS	1168404398
Coeff Variation		Std Error Mean	327.103561

#### Basic Statistical Measures

### Variability

Mean	0.000	Std Deviation	3352
Median	-123.888	Variance	11234658
Mode		Range	21040
		Interguartile Range	2367

Location

#### Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	-Sta	atistic-	р Va	o Value		
Student's t	t	0	Pr >  t	1.0000		
Sign	M	-12.5	Pr >=  M	0.0187		
Signed Rank	S	-426	Pr >=  S	0.1744		

#### Tests for Normality

Test	Stat	istic			-p Valu	e
Shapiro-Wilk	W	0.860111	Pr	<	W	<0.0001
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	D	0.213219	Pr	>	D	<0.0100
Cramer-von Mises	W-Sq	0.890385	Pr	>	W-Sq	<0.0050
Anderson-Darling	A-Sq	4.5607	Pr	>	A-Sq	<0.0050

#### Quantiles (Definition 5)

Quantile	Estimate
100% Max 99%	13013.841 12370.510
95%	5876.148
90%	3773.767
75% Q3	486.776
50% Median	-123.888
25% Q1	-1880.503
10%	-3569.116
5%	-4370.211
18	-4598.227
0% Min	-8026.193

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

#### Extreme Observations

Lowes	st	Highest	;
Value	Obs	Value	0bs
-8026.19 -4598.23	68 89	6199.51 8362.65	105 74
-4598.05	88	11923.26	102
-4531.09	90	12370.51	103
-4436.59	77	13013.84	40

#### Missing Values

		Perce	ent Of
Missing Value	Count	All Obs	Missing Obs
	4	3.67	100.00

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING ESTRADIOL DATA BY SEX 329

------ SEX=F ------

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

Stom	Leaf	#	Boynlot
13	0	π 1	boxpioc *
12	4	1	*
11	9	1	*
10	2	-	
9			
8	4	1	*
7	1	-	
,	2	1	0
5	29	2	0
4	356	3	0
3	23558	5	Ŭ
2	24	2	
1	01467	5	
0	111222233344455567	18	+++
-0	9765544444433322221111111111111111	34	* *
-1	97653	5	++
-2	88877741	8	1
-3	764422210	9	
-4	66544422	8	
-5			l l
-6			
-7			
-8	0	1	0
	+++++++		
Mult	tiply Stem.Leaf by 10**+3		

EPA MRID Number 458677-02



\_ \_ \_

----- SEX=F -----\_\_\_\_\_ The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid Normal Probability Plot 13500+ 2500+ ++\*\* \* \* -8500+ ---+---+---+----+----+ +---+-0 +1 +2 -2 -1

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING ESTRADIOL DATA BY SEX 331

#### ----- SEX=M -----

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

#### Moments

N	148	Sum Weights	148
Mean	0	Sum Observations	0
Std Deviation	3669.35639	Variance	13464176.3
Skewness	2.47973855	Kurtosis	8.42158109
Uncorrected SS	1979233920	Corrected SS	1979233920
Coeff Variation	•	Std Error Mean	301.619237

#### Basic Statistical Measures

#### Variability

Location

Mean	0.000	Std Deviation	3669
Median	-762.279	Variance	13464176
Mode		Range	21889
		Interquartile Range	1179

#### Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	-Statistic-		-Statisticp Value-		ue
Student's t	t	0	Pr >  t	1.0000	
Sign	М	-38	Pr >=  M	<.0001	
Signed Rank	S	-1821	Pr >=  S	0.0004	

#### Tests for Normality

Test	Sta	tistic		p Val	ue
Shapiro-Wilk	W	0.727461	Pr <	< W	<0.0001
Kolmogorov-Smirnov	D	0.256757	Pr >	> D	<0.0100
Cramer-von Mises	W-Sq	2.560058	Pr >	> W-Sq	<0.0050
Anderson-Darling	A-Sq	12.75496	Pr >	> A-Sq	<0.0050

#### Quantiles (Definition 5)

Quantile	Estimate
100% Max	17120.0961
99%	16361.5293
95%	6152.1951
90%	3682.6693
75% Q3	-17.4236
50% Median	-762.2792
25% Q1	-1196.6524
10%	-4040.2126
5%	-4729.7052
1%	-4737.9875
0% Min	-4768.8486

## EPA MRID Number 458677-02

#### Extreme Observations

Lowest		Highest	;
Value	Obs	Value	0bs
-4768.85 -4737.99	70 126	9017.32 14438.29	156 37
-4737.71 -4736 44	128 130	15862.95 16361 53	80 79
-4736.35	129	17120.10	47

#### Missing Values

		Per	cent Of
Missing Value	Count	All Obs	Missing Obs
	12	7.50	100.00

PROC UNIVARIATE OUTPUT FOR RESIDUALS FROM GLM PROCEDURE USING ESTRADIOL DATA BY SEX 333

#### ----- SEX=M -----

#### The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: Resid

Histogram	#	Boxplot
17500+*	1	*
.*	1	*
.*	1	*
.*	1	*
.*	1	*
.*	1	*
.*	1	*
6500+**	3	*
.*	2	*
.*	2	*
.**	4	0
. * * * *	8	0
.*	2	0
. * * * *	9	+
·*************************************	54	++
· * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	35	++
. * * *	5	
.*	1	ò
-4500+******	16	0
+++++		
* may represent up to 2 coun	its	

EPA MRID Number 458677-02





Page 39 of 41

### EPA MRID Number 458677-02

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF PLASMA ESTRADIOL CONCENTRATION ACROSS COLLECTION AREAS BY SEX 338

### SEX=F

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable E2 Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
ââââââââ	àâââââââ	âââââââââââââââââ	.ââââââââââââââ	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	àâââââââââââ
HG01	9	202.0	477.0	87.361319	22.444444
HL01	9	296.0	477.0	87.361319	32.888889
HL02	2	109.0	106.0	42.657551	54.500000
HW01	4	71.0	212.0	59.738318	17.750000
KZ03	17	910.0	901.0	114.955064	53.529412
KZ07	22	838.0	1166.0	127.002625	38.090909
KZO2	16	1467.0	848.0	112.154655	91.687500
LPR0	26	1672.0	1378.0	134.698429	64.307692

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square 53.0292 DF 7 Pr > Chi-Square <.0001

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable E2 Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââââ	ââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââ	àââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	âââââââââ
HG01	9	0.0	4.457143	1.441088	0.000000
HL01	9	0.0	4.457143	1.441088	0.000000
HL02	2	1.0	0.990476	0.703667	0.500000
HW01	4	0.0	1.980952	0.985427	0.000000
KZ03	17	8.0	8.419048	1.896267	0.470588
KZ07	22	8.0	10.895238	2.095000	0.363636
KZO2	16	16.0	7.923810	1.850072	1.000000
LPR0	26	19.0	12.876190	2.221948	0.730769

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square	44.7975
DF	7
Pr > Chi-Square	<.0001

### EPA MRID Number 458677-02

NONPARAMETRIC COMPARISON OF PLASMA ESTRADIOL CONCENTRATION ACROSS COLLECTION AREAS BY SEX 340

## ----- SEX=M -----

#### The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable E2 Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score
âââââââ	âââââââââ	àââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	àââââââââââââ	âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ	ââââââââââââ
HG01	35	2434.0	2607.50	221.603061	69.542857
HL01	22	1021.0	1639.00	185.523583	46.409091
HW01	1	23.0	74.50	42.722945	23.000000
KZ03	13	1070.0	968.50	147.618596	82.307692
KZ07	27	1160.0	2011.50	201.408168	42.962963
KZO2	14	1856.0	1043.00	152.622628	132.571429
LPR0	36	3462.0	2682.00	223.749860	96.166667

#### Kruskal-Wallis Test

Chi-Square	61.2901
DF	6
Pr > Chi-Square	<.0001

#### Median Scores (Number of Points Above Median) for Variable E2 Classified by Variable AREA

		Sum of	Expected	Std Dev	Mean	
AREA	N	Scores	Under HO	Under HO	Score	
âââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ						
HG01	35	11.0	17.50	2.593490	0.314286	
HL01	22	3.0	11.00	2.171241	0.136364	
HW01	1	0.0	0.50	0.500000	0.00000	
KZ03	13	6.0	6.50	1.727627	0.461538	
KZ07	27	9.0	13.50	2.357143	0.333333	
KZO2	14	14.0	7.00	1.786190	1.000000	
LPR0	36	31.0	18.00	2.618615	0.861111	

#### Median One-Way Analysis

Chi-Square	52.9594
DF	б
Pr > Chi-Square	<.0001