President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

Federal-State R&D Cooperation for Technology Development: Improving the Likelihood of Success

Panel Discussion on Effective State Science and Technology Strategies

Thomas A. Waltermire

President and Chief Executive Officer PolyOne Corporation and Chairman, NorTech Chairman, Ohio Business Roundtable, Technology-Based Economic Growth Initiative

Key Questions for Panelists

- 1. What S&T strategies have states pursued ... and how have they been developed?
- 2. Are strategies S&T or economic development oriented ... or both?
- 3. What can the federal government do to improve federal-state cooperation as states execute their strategies?

The Setting

- Global competitiveness demands a strategic approach to grow state and regional economies.
- Must leverage assets and strengths in research, technology, capital and talent.
- Tech-based growth is the centerpiece of our agenda in Ohio – with key leadership from:
 - ✓ Governor and Legislature
 - ✓ BRT and regional organizations
 - ✓ Research Universities

The Need for Action ...



The Need for Action – another view ...





Ohio's Approach

- Our objective ... to stimulate high-wage economic growth, cutting across all regions of the state, thereby improving the lives of all Ohioans – <u>as measured by</u> growth in real per capita income.
- **Our strategy** ... invest in core research strengths to commercialize and bring technology to market with five areas of focus:
 - 1. Advanced Materials
 - 2. BioSciences and BioEngineering
 - 3. Information Technology
 - 4. Instruments, Controls, Sensors and Electronics
 - 5. Power and Propulsion
- *Our gameplan …* Governor's 3rd Frontier Project business-driven.

Key Events – Ohio

- 2001 BRT Ohio Growth Project
 Ohio Technology Partnership formed
- 2002 Governor announces \$1.6 billion 3rd Frontier Project Battelle Study
- 2003 BRT World-Class Ohio Initiative 3rd Frontier Commission / Advisory Board created Initial round of 3rd Frontier Awards Issue 1 narrowly defeated
- 2004 Governor and Legislature reaffirm support

Looking ahead – challenges...

- Even better, stronger industry leadership
- Improved collaboration business and academia
- Close "proof of concept" gap
- Support new and growing companies, without forsaking the needs of existing businesses
- Attract more, better balanced federal research funding

Trends in Federal Research by Discipline

FY 1970-2003, obligations in billions of constant FY 2003 dollars



Source: National Science Foundation, *Federal Funds for Research andDevelopment FY 2001, 2002, and 2003,* 2003. FY 2002 and 2003 data are preliminary. Constant-dollar conversions based on OMB's GDP deflators. AUGUST '03 © 2003 AAAS

Panelists

- Michael Cassidy, Georgia Technology Alliance
- Leslie Hudson, University of Pennsylvania
- **Sheri Strickley**, Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology