

# DEEP WATER RESPONSE TO UNDERWATER PIPELINE EMERGENCIES – DW RUPE

# **Phase 1 – Final Report**

			Doc. No.:	221006-PL	-TR-0001	
Rev. No.	Rev. Date	<b>Revision</b> Description	Prep. By:	Stress Subsea Checked	Stress Subsea Approved	
А	06-27-05	Issue for Internal Review	AR	LA	RRA	
В	07-08-05	Issue for Comments	AR	LA	RRA	
0	10-26-05	Final Issue	AR	LA	RRA	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Page No.

1.0	ABSTRACT	2
2.0	INTRODUCTION	2
3.0	CONCLUSIONS	4
4.0	RECCOMENDATIONS	5
4.0	SCOPE OF WORK	6
5.0	SEQUENCE OF EVENTS	7
6.0	FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS	
7.0	REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION – REQUESTS FOR QUOTES	9
8.0	CURRENT STATE OF THE ART – PROPOSED REPAIR SYSTEMS	
9.0	DW RUPE – COSTS	15
10.0	PATH FORWARD – PROJECT EXECUTION PLAN OUTLINE	
11.0	REFERENCES	
12.0	APPENDIX 1 – FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS	
13.0	APPENDIX 2 - REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION	
14.0	APPENDIX 3 - REQUESTS FOR QUOTES	
15.0	APPENDIX 4 – COLLET CONNECTOR PROPOSAL	
16.0	APPENDIX 5 – INDUCTION BEND PROPOSAL	
17.0	APPENDIX 6 – PHASE 2A AND 2B – COMBINED SCHEDULE	
18.0	APPENDIX 7 – OIL SPILL MITIGATION MEASURES	



#### 1.0 ABSTRACT

This report addresses the first phase of a JIP formed for the development of emergency repair capability for pipelines and flowlines in water depths in the 1,000-10,000 ft range, in the US Gulf of Mexico. Stress Subsea (SSI) conducted a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis, conducted interviews with suppliers and operators and, included input from the JIP's Steering Committee, to make recommendations for two different repair methods to support the emergency repair of larger diameter pipelines and flowlines, respectively.

For larger diameter <u>pipelines</u> the recommendation is to use two "structural" leak clamps to make spool piece repairs, or use one of the two to clamp a pinhole leak. The use of the same clamp design for either application avoids needing three separate tools. For <u>flowline</u> repair, the recommendation is to cut the flowline on bottom, lift the ends to the surface to add fittings, then lay the flowline ends on bottom to join them with a vertical jumper containing twin collet connectors. Major pipe damage and pinhole leaks would be repaired the same way.

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

The JIP participants are Williams, GulfTerra, Chevron, Kerr Mcgee, Anadarko, ENI Petroleum, Unocal, Enbridge, the US Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. The Steering Committee and their affiliation consists of:

Alan Schneider, Chairman	Enbridge
Don Beesley	ENI Petroleum
Michael Carlson	Williams
Michael Else	MMS/DOT
Mike McEvilly	Anadarko
Mike Stark	GulfTerra/Enterprise
Roger Bergman	ChevronTexaco
Sanjay Sinha	Unocal
Tim Dean	Kerr-McGee

Starting with a collection of operator/participants pipe "dedications", the JIP prepared a Design Basis which served as guidance for various equipment inquiries and requests for quotes. These pipe dedications defined, among other things, size, length, wall thickness, grade and the MAOP of the dedicated pipelines. Interviews where conducted with key deepwater products manufacturers, installation and repair contractors, as well as operators with experience in developing deepwater repair methods.

Throughout the project, SSI held 5 project meetings, met with BP and Shell for their views and lessons learned, and met with industry leaders such as Sonsub, Oceaneering, Oilstates, Quality Connector Systems, Canyon Offshore and Deep Marine Technology to get their input and advice. SSI also interfaced with companies such as FMC and Grayloc, as well as a number of other smaller sub suppliers.



The intent of the interview process was to sum up the most recent knowledge and experience, thus avoiding "reinventing the wheel", and allowing for a fast decision making on what would be our low CAPEX, cost effective solution for deepwater pipeline repairs.

Based on information obtained from the MMS database, SSI prepared a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA), to predict what failure modes would be more likely in the deep water environment. The results of the FMEA where used in selecting the candidate repair methods for DW RUPE.

Our recommendations contained in this report are thought to be an up-to-date, comprehensive discussion of the deepwater repair scenarios, and they provide cost effective solutions to meet the requirements set forth by the Steering Committee.

Definition of Terms

• Non-Structural Repair Clamp	A diverless split clamp with radial and longitudinal seals, used when the pipe to be repaired has sustained a pinhole leak damage. The Non-Structural Repair Clamp is a permanent repair.
Structural Clamp Connection	A diverless split clamp with radial and longitudinal seals, used when the pipe to be repaired has sustained structural damage. The Structural Repair Clamp is a permanent repair.
• Collet Connector	Collect connector consists of a body and hub whereby individual "fingers" or collets, arranged in a circular pattern and attached to the body, engage the hub to form a fully structural connection. This engagement is either mechanically or hydraulically actuated.
Pollution Control System	Some method of minimizing pollution during repairs may be offered, e.g. underwater "tents", collection bags, pipe lift to create seawater hydraulic locking of oil residues within the pipe, etc. Refer to Appendix 7 for additional information.
Spool Piece Connection	Generally, an in-line spool piece connection consists of a horizontal replacement pipe section connected to the adjacent pipeline using one of several available diverless connection systems.

• Vertical Jumper Connection The vertical jumper assembly is typically made of two female collet connectors linked by a piece of pipe that has been shaped to various forms. The shape and length of the pipe jumper will vary with the predicted loads and displacements required (e.g. due to thermal expansion, etc.

### 3.0 CONCLUSIONS

Results from Deepwater RUPE, Phase 1, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The probability of needing a deepwater repair is low, but the consequences are high.
- The most likely damage types are wet and dry buckles caused by anchor snags (the DW RUPE low water depth range is 1,000 ft., hence anchoring is still considered).
- Potential repair solutions for a low CAPEX pipeline repair and a low CAPEX flowline repair are different.
- Traditionally a leak clamp and a spool piece connector set is needed for each pipe size. The new paradigm of employing full structural clamps as connectors, and in place of connectors, allows two clamps to be used in a spool piece repair or one of the structural clamps to be used alone for a pinhole leak from a cracked weld. So, two clamps will serve the same function as two connectors and a clamp (Pipeline Repair).
- For pinhole leaks, the most likely cause will be cracked welds, thus full structural clamps are advised to arrest crack propagation (Pipeline Repair).
- Use of structural clamps for pipeline spoolpiece connections requires structural and SIT testing (Phase 2A)
- Use of Collet Connectors with vertical jumpers has already been validated in the field, thus the concept needs no qualification testing.
- Avoiding "slip on" connectors minimizes elastomer seal damage caused by rough cut ends of the pipe.
- For Flowline repairs, use of collet connectors with inserts minimizes the number of connectors required
- Use of pollution deepwater control tents and bags to collect spilled oil during a repair is fraught with problems. Careful placement of lift frames to create containment "humps" during pipe cutting, and use of pumpable plugs during spool piece installation will minimize pollution. See Appendix 7 for details.



### 4.0 **RECCOMENDATIONS**

As a result of the work conducted under the DW RUPE JIP, SSI recommends the creation of subgroups within DW RUPE: DW RUPE Pipe Lines (DW RUPE PL) and DW RUPE Flow Lines (DW RUPE FL).

• The *pipeline* repair system is characterized by larger diameters (10" to 24") and lower pressure (10" clamp is ANSI 2500 rated, and 12" to 24" clamps are rated ANSI 1500). Repairs are conducted subsea, and are based on spool piece connections with a set of structural clamps. Depending on the extent of damage, the repair could be performed using either a single clamp or a set of clamps connected to a pipe spool piece.



• The *flowline* repair system is characterized by smaller diameter (4" to 10"), high pressure (up to 15,000 psi) pipe. Repairs are conducted by cutting the pipe subsea, then recovering it to the surface, where PLETS are installed. The final connection is by means of a jumper with mechanically actuated collet connectors. The DW RUPE FL Co-Owners will keep a set of pre-designed PLET blueprints on file, and warehouse the long lead materials, so PLETS can be fabricated for specific sizes in minimal time in the event of a repair emergency.



• Qualification testing is required for the clamped spool piece approach prior to making large tool expenditures (Phase 2A)



• *Both* groups, the PL and the FL Groups, will use a set of common tools, for which the cost will be shared, and have separate tools for the specific repair methods, which are further described, as follows:

## • COMMON TOOLS

### For pipelines and flowlines:

- Lift Frames (2 each), size range 4" to 24"
- Pipe Cutting System, size range 4" to 24"

## • DW RUPE PL

- Non Size Specific Tools
  - FBE and Weld Removal Tool
  - Running Tools
- Size Specific Tools
  - o 2 ea. 10" Structural Clamps
  - o 2 ea. 12" Structural Clamps
  - o 2 ea. 14" Structural Clamps
  - o 2 ea. 16" Structural Clamps
  - o 2 ea. 18" Structural Clamps
  - o 2 ea. 24" Structural Clamps

## • DW RUPE FL

- Non Size specific tools exclusive to flowlines: None
- Set of 8 induction bent pipe for each size (4", 5", 6", 7", 8" and 10")
- Set of pipe materials (4", 5", 6", 7", 8" and 10")
- Set of two male/female collet connectors and hubs for 10" flowline
- Set of inner bodies (8", 7", 6", 5" and 4")
- We recommend that a DW RUPE Phase 2B Co-Ownership organization be formed to jointly purchase, store, maintain and manage both pipeline and flowline repair systems to be dispatched for use by a Co-Owner in making a deepwater pipeline or flowline repair.

### 4.0 SCOPE OF WORK

The objectives of the phase 1 project are as follows:

• Identify pipeline and flowline size ranges of participants, including insulated and non insulated conventional pipe, as well as pipe-in-pipe options as applicable.



- In order to understand what repair scenarios will be expected, identify and evaluate expected pipeline and flowline damage conditions, using the damage categories outlined in the MMS database of Gulf of Mexico pipeline leaks.
- Provide a technical and cost evaluation of deepwater pipeline and flowline repair alternatives resulting in a recommended repair system for each identified damage condition. Identify potential repair vessels, ROV's and other tools of opportunity.
- For the recommended solution, develop conceptual plans and procedures for effecting repairs for each system, including repairs during both construction and operations.
- Develop a project execution plan for constructing the recommended system for the next phase of the project.
- Perform the background work required to fund the second phase DW RUPE JIP, including procurement, integration of equipment and, where needed, component and SIT testing of the selected system components.

### 5.0 SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

The following gives the sequence of evens for DW RUPE, Phase 1:

Based on participants dedications, SSI developed a Design Basis for the project (Reference [1]), which was incorporated to the project once comments where received from the participants.

- SSI conducted a series of interviews with SONSUB, OILSTATES, QUALITY CONNECTOR SYSTEMS (QCS), OCEANEERING, CANYON OFFSHORE and DEEP MARINE TECHNOLOGY (DMT). Each company was issued a request for information document ("RFI", Appendix 2), and informed their specific areas of interest/expertise.
- Following the RFI, SSI issued a second document, the Request for Quote (RFQ, Appendix 3), in the form of a tender document. Except CANYON OFFSHORE, all of the above companies replied to the RFQ.
- SSI reported the result of the bid process to the Steering Committee and participants. The following options where discarded:
  - *Non-Structural Clamp*: Not cost effective, since structural clamps will provide a final solution for either structural and non structural defects for a small increase in CAPEX.
  - *Pollution Control System*: Although not a very high cost item, the pollution control system creates an obstacle for repair equipment handling, a spot for ROV tethers to entangle, while being of questionable value as far as the recovery of pollutants. SSI suggested the Steering Committee to consider a hydraulic lock system (see Appendix 7), considering oil pollutants density is less than seawater,

while the pipe is being cut, following the insertion of a mechanical plug by an ROV. The mechanical plug would later be driven out of the pipe by internal pressure, as a pig would.

- *Pipe Leak Location System*: Not offered directly by any of the interviewed offshore service companies, not a repair component. Call out services are available from Come Monday, Inc., a GOM based service provider
- "Band Aid" Temporary Repair System: Offered in the form of non-structural repair clamps, not cost effective.
- SSI recommended an "all clamp" solution to the steering committee.
  - As the "all clamp" solution was discussed, it became clear that operators with predominant flowline dedications had a different expectation. The primary differences are the pressure levels, which could be as high as 15,000 psi for the flowlines, and the remote removal of hard insulation (e.g. Glass Reinforced Polyurethane (GSPU)).
  - Also, flowlines are lighter and therefore easier to recover to the surface when compared with export flowlines.
  - As a result of these discussions, SSI proposed two distinct DW RUPE groups, which would still use a set of common tools and thus mutually benefit from the overall cost split.
  - As part of the next phase Project Execution Plan, SSI proposed the path forward to be conducted in three steps, as follows:
    - Phase 2A will be the qualification program for the DW RUPE PL group. Because the structural repair clamp requires qualification testing, DWRUPE PL has to evaluate the suitability of the clamps through a design verification process, together with structural integrity and systems integration testing.
    - Phase 2B DW RUPE PL will be the manufacturing phase for the DW RUPE PL group, after completion of phase 2A.
    - Phase 2B DW RUPE FL will be the manufacturing and procurement of the DW RUPE FL. Since the 15,000 psi collet connectors are proven technology, the DW RUPE FL could start as soon as agreements are completed.

## 6.0 FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS

The FMEA (Appendix 1) considered three basic phases of the design life of a pipeline or flowline: Installation, Hydrostatic test, and Operation. A range of water depths, from 1,000 ft, where mooring accidents are still possible, to 10,000 ft, where weld cracks, thermal

buckles (flowlines) and inline equipment leaks are more likely where considered. The ranking for the failure events is the product of three factors:

- The *severity index*, ranked from 1 (no discernible effect on product or subsequent processes) to 10 (hazardous effect, safety compromised, noncompliance with government regulations) defines how hazardous a failure is likely to be.
- The *occurrence index*, ranked from 1 (failure unlikely, history shows no failures) to 10 (failure almost certain) defines the likelihood of a failure.
- The *detection index*, ranked from 1 (almost certain detection) to 10 (almost impossible to detect failure) defines the difficulty of detection of a failure.

The *risk priority index* (RPI) is the product of the three factors, and it's value defines the overall ranking of a *failure mode*, during one of the three phases of the pipeline or flowline life.

## 7.0 **REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION – REQUESTS FOR QUOTES**

## 7.1 – Request for Information Form (Appendix 2)

The intent of the RFI was to establish potential suppliers of products and services areas of expertise, and what products and equipment they would keep "on the shelf", thus avoiding capital expenditures with non critical items.

The RFI organizes the areas of interest in 5 main groups:

- Group I Generic Tools
  - Pollution Control Systems
  - o Pipe Lift Frames
  - Pipe Cutting System
  - End Preparation Tools
  - On-Bottom FBE and Weld Seam Removal Tool
  - Pipe Plug and Lift Tool
  - Pipe Measurement System
  - Pipe Leak Location System
  - Group II Clamps
    - Structural Repair Clamps
    - Non Structural Repair Clamps
  - Group III On Bottom Spool Piece Repair System
    - In-Line Connection
    - Jumper Connection

- In-Line Flex Loop (Optional)
- Group IV Temporary Repair
  - o "Band-Aid" Temporary Repair System
- Group V Survey and Construction Services
   O ROV Services
  - Dynamic Positioning Vessel Services (On-Bottom Work)
  - Dynamic Positioning Pipe Lift/Lay Vessel Services

### 7.2 – Request for Quote Package (Appendix 3)

The request for quote package established clear rules for the participating contractors and manufacturers to bid on their respective areas of expertise, defined during the RFI phase.

The DW RUPE costs derived from the costs offered by participating manufacturers and contractors.

### 8.0 CURRENT STATE OF THE ART – PROPOSED REPAIR SYSTEMS

The following repair scenarios were developed for deepwater pipeline and flowlines.

#### 8.1 DW RUPE Pipeline Repair System (DW RUPE PL)

Repair clamps have been in the market since the 70's. The selected clamps are intended for structural service, meaning they will hold two separate ends of a pipeline, under hydrostatic and service conditions. The novelty resides in the fact that these clamps can be used either with minor damage, i.e. pinhole type leak, in which case only one clamp is used, or in repairs that require the replacement of a short section of pipe. The repair work is thought to be simple, being conducted from a small dynamically positioned vessel equipped with an ROV and limited craneage. Figures 8.1-1 through 8.1-2





Figure 8.1-1 – Inline Spool Installation



Figure 8.1-2 – Inline Spool Cross Section







## 8.2 DW RUPE Flowline Repair System (DW RUPE FL)

Due to their maximum size being limited to 10" nominal, there is a number of vessels capable of lifting the flowlines to the surface. Although the surface repair is thought to be more costly, it requires a small CAPEX. For DW RUPE FL, it is assumed some of the equipment will be available from the installation and subsea construction contractors. Pull heads (e.g. "ballgrab", rented by Baltec), subsea measurement tools (e.g. as used by SONSUB), and the mechanical collet connector running tools will be rented as necessary. The equipment DW RUPE FL has in common with DW RUPE PL are the Lift Frames and the Cutting System.





Figure 8.2-1 – Mechanical Collet Connector



Figure 8.2-2 Flowline Repair Installation Sequence





Figure 8.2-2 Flowline Repair Installation Sequence (cont)





Figure 8.2-2 Flowline Repair Installation Sequence (cont)

## 9.0 DW RUPE – COSTS

SSI received proposals from SONSUB, Oceaneering, Quality Connector Systems, Oil States, Deep Marine Technology, FMC Technologies, Grayloc, and Bendco. Since the responses included only parts of the respective systems, every proposal was normalized with "lowest cost per item", and then presented to the Steering Committee. The DMT and Graylock



proposals where based on conceptual designs, and would require a higher level of engineering and product qualification, and where rejected on that basis.

At the time the proposals where evaluated, the "small" diameter option included a range of 4" to 10" pipe, and the "large" diameter included a range of 12" to 24" diameter.

After the recommendation and selection process, a low pressure 10" clamp option was included in the "large" group, which then became the DW RUPE PL group.

Figure 9.1 shows the global, per company normalized costs (for both large and small diameters).

Figures 9.2 and 9.3 show the normalized costs per group, and figure 9.4 show the combination of possible solutions.

After the selection process, SSI proceeded with a separate investigation on the use of collet connectors, and another cost group was assembled for the DW RUPE FL. The proposal for FMC collet connectors is presented in Appendix 4, and the quote for induction bends is included in Appendix 5.

The global cost distribution for the selected systems is shown in figure 9.5.



 Document No.:
 221006-PL-TR-0001

 Revision No.:
 B

 Date:
 07-08-05

CCS         SONSUE         Oceaneering         Oil States           amond Wire Saw id Preparation Tool E & Weld Seam Removal tool be Plug and Lift Tool De Measurement System in Cross System         Qu 2         \$578,544         2         \$578,544         2         2         \$578,544         2         2         \$578,544         2         2         \$578,544         2         2         \$578,544         2         2         \$594,830         1         \$308,125         1         \$\$308,125         1         \$\$308,125         1         \$\$308,125         1         \$\$308,125         1         \$\$308,125         1         \$\$308,125,1000         \$\$323,150,000         \$\$2						
Qty         Sub Total         Notes         Qty         Sub Total         Sub Total         Sub Total         Note						
Illution Control System       20						
be Lift Frame amond Wire Saw do Preparation Tool be Plug and Lift Tool be Plug and Lift Tool be Measurement System ructural Repair Clamp (4"-10") inning Tools (all) ructural Repair Clamp (12"-24") line connection oject Management and Engineering <b>Total</b> 2       \$578,544       2       2       \$578,544       2       2       \$578,544       2       2       \$594,830       1         1       \$308,125       1       \$310,500       1       \$110,00       1       \$110,00       \$11       \$12,45,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       1       \$1,54,450,00       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       \$2       \$1,51,44,800       1       \$1,54,450,00       22						
amond Wire Saw       1       \$308,125       1       \$308,125       1       \$308,125       1       \$308,125       1       \$308,125       1       \$10,500       21         E & Weld Seam Removal tool       19       1       \$6139,800       3       \$323,158       4       1       \$639,800       5       2       5       \$10,500       1       \$79,100       9       1       \$867,00       1       \$79,100       9       1       \$867,00       1       \$79,100       9       1       \$868,000       13       \$79,100       9       1       \$86,000       1       \$79,100       9       1       \$86,000       12       \$89,300       12       \$58,000       11       1       \$79,100       9       1       \$86,000       12       \$58,000       13       12       \$79,58,92       15       10       \$3,180,000       16       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5						
Id Preparation Tool       19       1       \$110,500       3       \$840,500       3       1       \$110,500       21         E & Weld Seam Removal tool       6       \$480,000       8       0       \$0       6       0       \$0       1       \$639,800       5         be Plug and Lift Tool       6       \$480,000       8       0       \$0       6       0       \$0       1       \$639,800       5         be Measurement System       1       \$86,700       1       \$779,100       9       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       \$1,54,518,673       17       \$1       \$4,518,673       17       \$1       \$4,518,673       17       \$1       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$4,518,673       17       \$1       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$1						
IE & Weld Seam Removal tool be Plug and Lift Tool be Measurement System ructural Repair Clamp (4*-10") inning Tools (all) ructural Repair Clamp (12*-24") line connection oject Management and Engineering Total       1       \$639,800 8       3       \$323,158 0       4       1       \$639,800 50 7       5         Measurement System ructural Repair Clamp (12*-24")       6       \$1,245,000 5       22       6       \$1,245,000 22       22       6       \$1,245,000 5       22       5       \$1,590,000 5       22       11       \$5,144,900 5       11       \$4,518,673 5       17       1       \$4,518,673 5       10       \$1,448,200       11 <td< td=""></td<>						
be Plug and Lift Tool       6       \$480,000       8       0       \$0       6       0       \$0       14       0       \$0       7         be Measurement System       1       \$79,100       9       1       \$88,700       2       6       \$12,8000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,580,000       13       12       \$79,150       9       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,580,000       13       12       \$79,150       2       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       \$11       \$4,518,673       17       1       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11       \$1,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,448,200       \$11,4448,200       \$11,448,200       \$10,50,50,50,50,						
be Measurement System ructural Repair Clamp (4"-10") inning Tools (all) ructural Repair Clamp (12"-24") line connection oject Management and Engineering Total       1       \$79,100       9       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       11       \$4,518,673       17       1       \$1,445,807       17       1       \$1,445,807       17       1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807       \$1       \$1,445,807<						
ructural Repair Clamp (4*-10*) Inning Tools (all) ructural Repair Clamp (12*-24*)       6       \$1,245,000       10       6       \$1,245,000       22       6       \$580,000       13       5       \$1,590,000       22       6       \$580,000       13       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       12       \$795,892       15       5       \$1,590,000       22       \$5       \$1,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$5,51,590,000       22       11       \$1,448,200       11       \$1,448,200         Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565       \$11,330,120         Note #       10       istaes,used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse       2       Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp						
inning Tools (all)       6       \$580,000       11       12       \$896,300       12       6       \$580,000       13       12       \$795,892       15         iline connection       \$0       \$0       \$0       \$2       \$\$1,590,000       22       \$\$1,590,000       22       \$\$1,590,000       22       \$\$1,590,000       22       \$\$1,590,000       22       \$\$1,590,000       22       \$\$11       \$\$4,518,673       17       \$\$\$0       \$\$\$11,\$4,518,673       17       \$\$\$\$11,\$448,200         Total       \$\$5,485,000       \$\$8,249,973       \$\$\$\$10,689,327       \$\$11,330,120         Comments         Note #         *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       \$						
ructural Repair Clamp (12"-24") line connection oject Management and Engineering Total       10       \$3,180,000       16       5       \$1,590,000       22       5       \$1,590,000       22       11       \$5,144,900       17       5       \$1,590,000       22       11       \$5,144,900       17       5       \$1,448,200         Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #       Comments         1       018 States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse       2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3       Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool       5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the SONSUB quote         7       As Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.       9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and QII States quotes						
line connection oject Management and Engineering Total       \$0 \$200,000 \$6,650,769       \$4,518,673 \$0 \$9,973,642       11 \$5,144,900 \$10,689,327       11 \$1,\$4,518,673 \$1,\$1,448,200 \$11,330,120         Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000 \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #       Comments         1 0il States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse       2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools       4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool       5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Coll States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal         6 Used Oceaneering splita and lift to normalize the SONSUB quote       7 As Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".         8 Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.         9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Qil States quotes						
oject Management and Engineering Total       1 \$200,000 \$6,650,769       \$0 \$9,973,642       \$0 \$10,689,327       1 \$1,448,200 \$111,330,120         Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #       Comments         1 0il States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse       2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools       4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool         5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the OIl States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal         6 Used Oceaneering blug and lift to normalize the SONSUB quote         7 As Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.         9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes						
Total       \$6,650,769       \$9,973,642       \$10,689,327       \$11,330,120         Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #         • Oil States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse         • Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp       • Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools         • Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool       • Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal         • Used Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".       • Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.         • Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes						
I Otal       \$6,050,769       \$9,973,042       \$10,089,327       \$11,330,120         Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #       Comments         1 Oil States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse       2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools       4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool         5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal       6 Used Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".         8 Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.       9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes						
Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #       Comments         1 Oil States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse         2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools         4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool         5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal         6 Used Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".         8 Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.         9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes						
Repair Hardware Only       \$5,485,000       \$8,249,973       \$8,559,900       \$8,149,565         Note #       Comments         1 Oil States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse       2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools       4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool         5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal       6 Used Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".         8 Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.       9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes						
Note #       Comments         1 Oil States, used their offer for a 4" to 24" with 2 sets of clamps. "A" frame for vertical lift only, assumed 20% for traverse         2 Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp         3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools         4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool         5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal         6 Used Oceaneering's plug and lift to normalize the SONSUB quote         7 As Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".         8 Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.         9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes						
<ul> <li>2 Used the largest Oceaneering 'n' rame and added 20% for adding extra clamp</li> <li>3 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools</li> <li>4 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool</li> <li>5 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal</li> <li>6 Used Oceaneering's plug and lift to normalize the SONSUB quote</li> <li>7 As Oceaneering, Oilstates uses the Inline method for all sizes, therefore the recovery has been "zeroed".</li> <li>8 Use the Structural Clamp with Lift Head Assembly, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts, to recover insulated pipe.</li> <li>9 Used the Oceaneering price to normalize the QCS and Oil States quotes</li> <li>10 Note the "unit price" is an average for the total cost of the clamps</li> <li>11 Note the "unit price" is an average for the total cost of the running tools</li> <li>12 For SONSUB, added the Deployment Frame for three tools, the Hydraulic Tool &amp; Manifold and the QCS Running Tools Oceaneering running tools are included with the inline connection price. This line deals with the QCS Running tools needed</li> <li>13 for the structural clamps</li> <li>14 Oceaneering prescribes the inline method for all sizes, so the plug and lift tool is not required (although quoted)</li> <li>15 Oil States: Added the three sets of mudmat and guidance systems then averaged, added the QCS Running Tools.</li> <li>16 QCS, 2 each structural clamps for each size 12" through 24", for a total of 10 units. The average cost is \$318,000</li> <li>17 Oceaneering and Oil States, averaged total cost * 11 sizes, note Oil States does not meet the Design Basis for some sizes</li> <li>18 Since SONSUB does not quote repair systems, costs have been normalized based on the lowest hardware (Oil States Inline)</li> </ul>						

20 Assumed the "nump" system will be used 21 Used the SONSUB system to normalize

22 Used 1 QCS Structural Clamp for each size to normalize: Assume the structural clamps are still needed in case of pinhole and damage less than 1 x OD in length

Figure 9.1 – Global Normalized Cost



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

# Normalized Summary Small OD Only - Phase 2 Lift Repair and Connection + On Bottom Pinhole Leak Repair

		QCS			DMT		Graylock			
	Qty	Sub Total	Notes	Qty	Sub Total	Notes	Qty	Sub Total	Notes	
Pollution Control System (none) Pipe Lift Frame (Oceaneering) Diamond Wire Saw (SONSUB) End Preparation Tool (None)	2 1	\$578,544 \$308,125	1 2 3	2 1	\$578,544 \$308,125	1 2 3	1 2 \$578,544 2 1 \$308,125 3			
FBE & Weld Seam Removal tool (DMT) FBE & Weld Seam Removal tool (None) Pipe Plug and Lift Tool (Based on QCS) Pipe Measurement System (Oceaneering) Structural Repair Clamp (4"-10")(QCS) Running Tools (4"-10") Flanges or hubs	ment and Boiting Fool (DMT)       1       \$1,096,000       8         & Weld Seam Removal tool (None)       3       3         Plug and Lift Tool (Based on QCS)       6       \$480,000       4       6       \$1,245,000       4       6       \$1,245,000       4       6       \$1,245,000       4       4       6       \$1,245,000       4       4       6       \$1,245,000       4       4       6       \$1,245,000       4       4       6       \$1,00,000 <t< td=""><td>3 4 5 6 10 11</td></t<>						3 4 5 6 10 11			
Project Management and Engineering	oject Management and Engineering 12 12						12			
Total	\$2	\$2,870,769 \$4,029,577 \$2,877,235								
Repair Consumables         \$1,905,000         \$1,487,808         \$1,431,466						66				
<ul> <li>Assumed the "hump" system will be used for all systems.</li> <li>Used the largest Oceaneering "A" Frame and added 20% for adding extra clamp</li> <li>End preparation, FBE and insulation removal done at the surface.</li> <li>Either use structural clamp, or build dedicated recovery clamp with inserts.</li> <li>Used the Oceaneering price.</li> <li>Used the Oceaneering for all, structural clamps to cover pinhole or less than 1 x OD damage.</li> <li>QCS, 3 each running tools for 6 each sizes</li> <li>Alignment and bolting tool as quoted by DMT</li> <li>Awaiting one of the vendors to confirm: Texas Flange or Woodco</li> <li>Allowed \$100,000 for male hot stab.</li> </ul>										
11 Per Gravloc Products quote										

12 Included in large diameter if applicable

#### Figure 9.2 – Small OD Normalized Cost



 Document No.:
 221006-PL-TR-0001

 Revision No.:
 B

 Date:
 07-08-05

Normalized Summary Large Diameters - Phase 2							
Spool Piece Connection + On Bottom Pinhole Leak Repair							
	QCS SONSUB Oceaneering Oil States						
	Qty Sub Total Notes	Qty Sub Total Notes	Qty Sub Total Notes	Qty Sub Total Notes			
End Preparation Tool       1       1       \$110,500       3       \$840,500       7       1       \$110,500       11         BE & Weld Seam Removal tool       1       1       \$639,800       3       \$323,158       8       1       \$639,800       12         Structural Repair Clamp (12"-24")(QCS)       3       \$400,000       2       5       \$716,300       4       3       \$400,000       9       6       \$555,609       13         Structural Repair Clamp (12"-24")(QCS)       10       \$3,180,000       3       5       \$1,590,000       5       5       \$1,590,000       5       5       \$1,590,000       5       \$1,590,000       5       \$1,590,000       5       \$1,590,000       5       \$1,590,000       5       \$2,781,011       10       \$1,448,200         To							
Total	$\psi 0, 100,000$	ψυ,υυτ,υττ	$\psi_{0}, 131, 000$	$\psi$ <i>i</i> , 120, 120			
Repair Consumables         \$3,580,000         \$5,087,311         \$5,028,000         \$4,926,620							
Note #       Comments         1 We have assumed the QCS system does not require a weld seam, FBE removal tool, or an end preparation tool.         2 Note the "unit price" is an average for the total cost of the running tools         3 QCS, 2 each structural clamps for each size 12" through 24", for a total of 10 units. The average cost is \$318,000         4 For SONSUB, added the Deployment Frame for three tools, the Hydraulic Tool & Manifold and the QCS Running Tools         5 Used 1 QCS Structural Clamp for each size to normalize: Assume the structural clamps are still needed in case of pinhole         5 and damage less than 1 x OD in length         6 Since SONSUB does not quote repair systems, costs have been normalized based on the lowest hardware (Oil States Inline)         7 Oceaneering offers three end preparation tools         8 Oceaneering system is only for FBE removal, the seam removal is combined with the end prep tool         9 Oceaneering nunning tools are included with the inline connection price. This line deals with the QCS Running tools needed for the structural clamps         10 Oceaneering and Oil States, averaged total cost * 11 sizes, note Oil States does not meet the Design Basis for some sizes         11 Used the SONSUB system to normalize         12 Used SONSUB's price to normalize the Oil States quote since the Oceaneering Component does not include weld seam removal         13 Oil States: Added the two sets of mudmat and guidance systems then averaged, added the QCS Running Tools.         Figure 9.3 – Large OD Normalized Cost							



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05



Figure 9.4 – Combined Costs



 Document No.:
 221006-PL-TR-0001

 Revision No.:
 B

 Date:
 07-08-05

#### **DW RUPE COMMON TOOLS**

Base Tool Kit (all) Lift Frame(Oceaneering): \$600,000 Cutting System(SONSUB):: \$308,000 Measurement Tool(Oceaneering): Rental

Total \$908,000

DW RUPE PIPELINES Non Size Specific

FBE and Weld Removal Tool(SONSUB): \$640,000 Running Tools (SONSUB): \$316,300 **Total \$956,300** 

**DW RUPE PIPELINES** 

**Size Specific Tools** 

10" to 24" Tool Kit

Clamps: \$3,590,000

Running tools:\$470,000

Total: \$4,060,000

#### **DW RUPE FLOWLINES Non Size Specific** Pair of pre-fabricated PLETS: None

Ship's Repair Frame: Repair contractor

Total \$0.00

#### DW RUPE FLOWLINES Size Specific Tools – 15,000 psi rated

2 ea 10" MAX 14 assy. (FMC): \$197,800 4", 5", 6", 7", 8" Inner Bodies: \$332,800 Running Tools: Rental Pipe Lift Tools: Rental 48 pipe bends: \$15,000 Miscellaneous piping: Free issued by participants

Total: \$604,200

Figure 9.5 – Overall Cost Breakdown

### **10.0 PATH FORWARD – PROJECT EXECUTION PLAN OUTLINE**

Phase 2A is currently scheduled to start by the end of June (pre-sanction phase), and the test program (post sanction phase) by September 1, 2005. The last DW RUPE meeting by June 9, 2005 established a tentative date for completion of phase 2B by December 2006. The current schedules are based on that target, and on QCS indicated 28 week manufacturing cycles. The combined schedules for phases 2A and 2B (DW RUPE PL) show a December completion is possible, provided raw materials are "pre-approved" approximately one month prior to the actual production runs. The production runs have been divided into two batches, where the first batch will consist of one additional 12", plus 2 each of the 10",14"and 16", and the second batch would be 2 each of the 18" and 24" clamps. The current plan uses the



Oceaneering delivery schedule for the lift frame, FBE removal tool and cutting tool as reference.

## 10.1 DW RUPE PL Combined Phases 2A and 2B

Appendix 6 shows the overall project schedule for the combined phases, in addition to a detailed test program and typical 28 week clamp production cycle. This schedule also shows an overview of the DW RUPE FL program, which is based on FMC's production cycle of 24 weeks and ex-works Houston TX delivery. The pipe pieces are assumed as free issue from the participants, and the production for induction bends is 4 weeks, including the transportation of free issued pipe to the BENDCO facility in Houston, TX.

### **11.0 REFERENCES**

Ref #	Description
1	Deep Water Rupe – Design Basis Document, SSI # 221021-PL-DB-0001 Rev. B
2	Request for Information Document, dated January 31, 2005
3	Request for Quote Package
4	Detailed Cost Breakdown
5	DW RUPE PL Phase 2A Cost Breakdown
6	DW RUPE PL Phase 2B Cost Breakdown
7	DW RUPE FL Phase 2B Cost Breakdown
8	Background information – QCS Proposal (Structural Clamps)
9	Background Information – FMC Proposal (Mechanical Collet Connectors)
10	Background Information – Bendco quote (Induction Bends)



## 12.0 APPENDIX 1 – FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS

FMECA Report	Equipment:	Deep Water Pipelines and Flowlines in the Gulf of Mexico		
Rev.: D	Phase:	All		
Phase	Potential Failure Mode(s)	Potential Cause(s) of Failure	Risk Priority Index	
Operation	Wet Buckle	Anchor Snag	450	
Operation	Dry Buckle	Anchor Snag	360	
Hydrostatic Test	Weld crack Base metal crack Crack <= 1 x OD	Improper Installation Parameters Monitoring, exceeding allowable stress limits	210	
Hydrostatic Test	Weld crack Base metal crack Crack <= 1 x OD	Improper Installation Engineering	210	
Hydrostatic Test	Weld crack Base metal crack Crack <= 1 x OD	Bad Weather, fail to predict or follow weather reports	210	
Hydrostatic Test	Weld crack Base metal crack Crack <= 1 x OD	Lay Vessel Loss of Dynamic Positioning	210	
Operation	Dry Buckle	Thermal Buckling	160	
Hydrostatic Test	Inline Equipment leaks (PLETS, PLEMS, Valves, WYES, etc.)	Improper or no factory acceptance tests, damage during transport or installation	140	
Operation	Wet Buckle	Dropped Object Near Platform	120	
Hydrostatic Test	Pinhole Leak (for Hydrostatic Tests Conducted during the service life, e.g. after a repair is performed)	Corrosion	105	
Operation	Wet Buckle	Dropped Object Away from Platform	100	
Operation	Wet Buckle	Thermal Buckling	100	
Operation	Dry Buckle	Dropped Object Near Platform	96	
Operation	Rupture	Mudslide Break(e.g. near Mississippi Canyon Area)	90	
Operation	Plugging	Paraffin Accumulation	90	
Installation	Pipe drop to seabed	Lay Equipment Failure	81	
Operation	Dry Buckle	Dropped Object Away from Platform	80	
Operation	Inline Equipment Leak	Inline equipment failure: Seal Failure on valves, flanged closures, test ports, etc. Due to material aging, vibration, etc. Applies to inline valves, PLETS, PLEMS, Manifolds, Subsea pig launchers, etc.	72	
Installation	Wet Buckle	Improper Installation Parameters Monitoring	64	
Installation	Wet Buckle	Improper Installation Engineering	64	
Operation	Dent	Dropped Object near platform	63	
Hydrostatic Test	Wrinkles due to improper installation	Improper Installation Parameters Monitoring, exceeding allowable stress limits	63	
Hydrostatic Test	Wrinkles due to improper installation	Improper Installation Engineering	63	
Operation	Dent	Dropped Object Away from Platform	56	
Operation	Plugging	Hydrate Formation	56	
Installation	Dry Buckle	Improper Installation Parameters Monitoring	56	
Installation	Dry Buckle	Improper Installation Engineering	56	
Installation	Wet Buckle	Bad Weather, fail to predict or follow weather reports	48	
Hydrostatic Test	Weld crack Base metal crack Crack > 1 x OD	Improper Installation Parameters Monitoring, exceeding allowable stress limits	42	



FMEA Criticality Analysis Index Codes				
Severity Index				
Severity	Criteria	Ranking		
Hazardous	Hazardous effect. Safety related. Sudden failure. Noncompliance with government regulations.	10		
Serious	Potential hazardous effect. Able to stop product/service without mishap. Safety related. Time-dependent failure. Disruption to subsequent process operations. Compliance with government regulation is in jeopardy.	9		
Extreme	Customer very dissatisfied. Extreme effect on process/service; equipment damaged. Product/service incomplete but safe.	8		
Major	Customer dissatisfied. Major effect on service; rework on service necessary. Product/service performance severely affected but functionable and safe.	7		
Significant	Customer experiences discomfort. Product/process performance degraded, but operable and safe.	6		
Moderate	Customer experiences some dissatisfaction. Moderate effect on product or service performance.	5		
Minor	Customer experiences minor nuisance. Minor effect on product or service performance. Fault does not require attention.	4		
Slight	Customer slightly annoyed. Slight effect on product or service performance.	3		
Very slight	Customer more likely will not notice the failure. Very slight effect on product / process performance.	2		
No	No discernible effect on product or subsequent processes.	1		



FMEA Criticality Analysis Index Codes			
	Occurrence Index		
Occurrence	Criteria	Ranking	
Almost Certain	Failure almost certain.	10	
Very High	Very high number of failures likely.	9	
High	High number of failures likely.	8	
Moderately High	Frequent high number of failures likely.	7	
Medium	Moderate number of failures likely.	6	
Occasional	Occasional number of failures likely.	5	
Slight	Few failures likely.	4	
Very slight	Very few failures likely.	3	
Rare	Rare number of failures likely.	2	
Unlikely	Failure unlikely. History shows no failures.	1	



FMEA Criticality Analysis Index Codes					
Detection Index					
Detection	Criteria	Ranking			
Almost Impossible	Absolute certainty of non-detection.	10			
Very Remote	Very remote likelihood current controls will detect failure.	9			
Remote	Remote likelihood current controls will detect failure.	8			
Very Low	Very low likelihood current controls will detect failure.	7			
Low	Low likelihood current controls will detect failure.	6			
Moderate	Medium likelihood current controls will detect failure.	5			
Moderately High	Moderately high likelihood current controls will detect the failure.	4			
High	High likelihood current controls will detect failure.	3			
Very High	Current controls will almost always will detect failure.	2			
Certain	Current controls certain to detect.	1			



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

# **13.0 APPENDIX 2 - REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

#### DW RUPE

#### **REQUEST FOR INFORMATION - SCOPE OF SUPPLY**

Summary Of Request:

Further to our discussions, DW RUPE wishes to make an evaluation of repair system components (subsystems) that you recommend and wish to supply to DW RUPE for affecting deepwater pipeline repairs. Of interest are equipment/tools and installation means (ROVs & Vessels) to perform:

- a. Surface Lift and on-bottom connections
- b. Spool Piece repairs on-bottom
- c. Clamp Repairs on- bottom
- d. Temporary "Band-Aid" repairs on-bottom

For pipes sized from 4 to 24 inches in diameter and water depths from 1000 to 10,000 fsw, and pressure rating to ANSI 1,500.

Our quest is <u>Low CAPEX solutions</u> that <u>you would recommend</u> to us. Please refer to our <u>Design Basis Document</u> for detailed requirements.

We need to know your company's <u>intention to supply a cost quote</u> based on the information you provide below:

Pollution Control System	Yes	No
Туре:		
Pipe Lift Frames	Yes	No
Туре:		
Pipe Cutting System	Yes	No
Туре:		
End Preparation Tool	Yes	No
Туре:		
On-Bottom FBE and Weld Seam Removal Tool	Yes	No
Туре:		

Group I – Generic Tools

Pipe Plug and Lift Tool	Yes	No
Туре:		
Pipe Measurement System	Yes	No
Туре:		
Pipe Leak Location System	Yes	No
Number		
Туре:		
Group II - Clamps		
Structural Repair Clamp	Yes	Νο
Туре:		
Non-Structural Repair Clamp	Yes	No
Туре:		
Group III – On Bottom Spool Piece	Repair Syste	em
In-Line Connection	Yes	Νο
Number of Connections per repair		
Type Products:		
Jumper Connection	Yes	 No
Number of Connections per repair Type Products:		

In-Line with Flex Loop Optional	Yes	Νο
Number of Connections per repair Type Products:		
Group IV – Temporary F	Repair	
Band-Aid Temporary Repair System	Yes	Νο
Number		
Туре:		
Group V – Survey and Construc	tion Services	
ROV <u>Services</u>	Yes	Νο
Number		
Туре:		
DP Work Vessel Services (On-Bottom work)	Yes	Νο
Number		
Туре:		
DP Pipe Lift/Lay Vessel Services	Yes	Νο
Number		
Туре:		



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

# 14.0 APPENDIX 3 - REQUESTS FOR QUOTES



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Page No.

PAR	T 1 - TECHNICAL	
1.0	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	DEFINITIONS	
1.2	scope of work	
1.3	Assumptions	
1.4	design criteria, codes and regulations	
1.5	environmental data	9
PAR	T 2 – INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS	
2.0	GENERAL	
2.0 3.0	GENERAL BID ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
2.0 3.0 PAR	GENERAL BID ACKNOWLEDGEMENT T 4 – CONTACT INFORMATION	
2.0 3.0 PAR 4.0	GENERAL BID ACKNOWLEDGEMENT T 4 – CONTACT INFORMATION CONTACT INFORMATION	
2.0 3.0 PAR 4.0 PAR	GENERAL BID ACKNOWLEDGEMENT T 4 – CONTACT INFORMATION CONTACT INFORMATION T 5 – QUOTE FORM	



# PART 1 - TECHNICAL

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Request for Quotation (RFQ) addresses the equipment to be purchased by the Deep Water Response to Underwater Emergencies project (DW RUPE), which aims at developing a low CAPEX pipeline/flowline repair capability in water depths in the 1000-10,000 ft range, in the Gulf of Mexico.

## 1.1 **DEFINITIONS**

The following definitions apply to this RFQ:

Engineer	Stress Subsea, Inc.	
Subcontractor	Company selected to bid on materials and services, as specified in this RFQ	
Clamp Repair Method	A permanent repair method involving the use of an external diverless split clamp with elastomeric seals.	
Major Damage, Long	A damage that affects a length of more than 240 ft (6 joints of pipe).	
Major Damage, short	A damage that affects a length of less than 240 ft.	
Minor Damage	A damage of less than a pipe diameter in size which does not compromise the structural integrity of the pipe.	
On bottom Repair	A permanent repair method that does not require lifting the pipe to	
Method	the surface, or the use of a heavy lift vessel. The on-bottom repair	
	utilizes a combination of special connectors, sleds and jumpers. The	



	replacement pipe section can be either a fully horizontal spool piece, or the pipe section can be a vertical "jumper", or other.
Pinhole Leak Damage	A type of minor damage for which a non structural clamp would be used.
Pipe Plug and Lift Tool	A diverless device which is installed in the ID of the pipe and can be used to lift a pipe to the surface.
Ріре	Throughout this document, "Pipe" means either an export pipeline or an infield or tieback flowline, insulated or non-insulated, plain pipe or pipe-in-pipe.
Surface Lift Repair Method	A permanent repair method that involves the use of either a general- purpose offshore vessel or a pipelay vessel capable of heavy lifting. In this case the cut pipe ends are individually lifted to the surface to add pipe and weld on an end connector. The pipe ends are subsequently aligned and connected on bottom using diverless techniques.
Structural Repair	A diverless split clamp with radial and longitudinal seals, used when
Clamp	the pipe to be repaired has sustained structural damage. The Structural Repair Clamp is a permanent repair.
Non-Structural Repair	A diverless split clamp with radial and longitudinal seals, used when
Clamp	the pipe to be repaired has sustained a pinhole leak damage. The Non-Structural Repair Clamp is a permanent repair.
Pollution Control System	Some method of minimizing pollution during repairs may be offered, e.g. underwater "tents", collection bags, pipe lift to create seawater hydraulic locking of oil residues within the pipe, etc.
Weld Neck Flanges	Weld neck flanges are used with the surface lift repair method.



These flanges are not part of the current scope of supply

Pipe Lift FramesPipe Lift Frames are reusable ancillary components used to lift and<br/>position the pipe to be repaired. The frames can move the pipe<br/>vertically and laterally for alignment.

**End Preparation Tool** An ROV operated tool to clean burrs and ragged edges resulting from the cutting operation, so that end connectors can be installed subsea.

External Coat and WeldSome connection systems require that the pipe end receiving the<br/>connector should be cleaned of any external coatings such as<br/>Concrete, Hard Insulation, FBE and, if the pipe construction is seam<br/>welded, the weld crown shall be machined flat. Typically, this tool<br/>is deployed using an ROV.

Diverless HydraulicDiverless hydraulic end connectors can be used as part of aEnd Connectorssubsurface repair method. In the case of surface repair, they can be<br/>mounted as a pull-head assemblies or as a permanent part of the<br/>repair.

Gantry Sled The Gantry Sled consists of a lower frame with mud mats, which is used to support end connectors, and a removable upper frame, used for the connection operations. The lower frame becomes a permanent part of the pipe repair.

Pipe MeasurementA measurement system to measure the gap and flange face alignmentSystembetween the prepared pipe ends so that the jumper or spool piece can<br/>be adjusted to the proper length for mating. The known systems are a<br/>mechanical system and a hydroaccoustic system.

Jumper or Spool PieceThe "vertical" jumper assembly is typically made of two femaleAssemblycollet connectors linked by a piece of pipe that has been shaped to



	various forms. The shape and length of the pipe jumper will vary
	with the predicted loads and displacements required (e.g. due to
	thermal expansion, etc.). Alternatively an in-line Spool Piece can be
	deployed, depending on alignment requirements.
On Bottom Spool	A repair that uses spool pieces. The shape of the spool pieces
Repair	(straight or jumper style) are dictated by project specific
	requirements such as loads and displacements (e.g. thermal expansion).
In Line Spool Piece	An alternate method for on-bottom repair. The selection of this method is a function of factors such as alignment requirements, loads and displacements. An optional horizontal loop may be used if thermal expansion is of concern.
Jumper Spool Piece	Case in which the spool is not straight, its geometry consisting of vertical or horizontal bends to increase in-line flexibility in order to ease the connection process A temporary, "Band Aid" repair, is a new concept, created to allow
Temporary Repair Method	a damaged pipe to safely return to production for a short period of time (say 180 days), while the mobilization of resources for the permanent repairs are under way.

# **1.2 SCOPE OF WORK**

## 1.2.1 General

Subcontractor shall furnish all labor, materials, supervision, facilities, and equipment required to engineer, manufacture and test the equipment listed in this RFQ. All equipment supplied shall meet the functional requirements defined herein.

### 1.2.2 Overview

The DW RUPE includes 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 18 and 24-inch nominal pipe sizes.



The repair strategy divides the repair systems into two subsets, as follows:

- **System A**: Small diameter, high pressure flowlines in the 4-inch to 10-inch nominal diameter range will be designed for ANSI 2500 pressure class. Since there is a number of vessels in the Gulf of Mexico capable of lifting these sizes, this system will likely use the **Surface Lift Method**.
- System B: Large diameter flowlines and pipelines in the 12-inch to 24-inch nominal diameter range will be designed for ANSI 1500 pressure class. The large diameter pipes are far more complex to lift and surface repair, thus On Bottom Repair Methods are more likely.

Subcontractor may offer either a single or a set of separate solutions for "A" and "B" systems, based on practical and economical (low CAPEX) considerations.

## 1.2.3 Quantities

Table 3.3-1 defines the base case quantities required by the DW RUPE project. Subcontractor's quote may differ from the base case quantities, as a function of a particular design, or usage of the same tool for multiple sizes, *as long as all required sizes are covered by the bid*.

Group	Group	Description	Qty	Notes
	Description			
Ι	Generic Tools	Pipe Lift Frames w/ vertical and lateral movement for	2	
		4-10-inch sizes.	2	
		Pipe Lift Frames w/ vertical and lateral movement for	2	
		12-24-inch sizes.	2	
		Pipe Plug and Lift Tool.	11	
		Pipe Measurement System	1	
		Structural Repair Clamps for 4-10-inch	7	ANSI 2500 Rated
п	Clamps	Non Structural Repair Clamps for 4-10-inch	7	ANSI 2500 Rated
11		Structural Repair Clamps for 12-24-inch	4	ANSI 1500 Rated
		Non Structural Repair Clamps for 12-24-inch	4	ANSI 1500 Rated
		In-line Connection System w/optional Horizontal Flex	7	ANGL 2500 Dated
III On Bo Spool 2 Rep. Syst	<b>On Bottom</b>	Loop for 4-10-inch	/	ANSI 2500 Rated
	Spool Piece	In-line Connection System w/optional Horizontal Flex	4	ANSI 1500 Data d
	Repair	Loop for 12-24-inch	4	AINSI 1500 Rated
	System	Vertical Jumper Connection System for 4-10-inch	7	ANSI 2500 Rated
		Vertical Jumper Connection System for 12-24-inch	4	ANSI 1500 Rated
<b>I</b> V	Temporary	Band-Aid Temporary Repair System for 4-10-inch	7	ANSI 900
IV	Repair	Band-Aid Temporary Repair System for 12-24-inch	4	ANSI 900



#### **1.3 ASSUMPTIONS**

#### **1.3.1** Insulation Repair

The repair of missing insulation is assumed as a simple diverless "insulating mattress" deployment, to cover the damaged area and, to some extent, prevent direct convection.

### **1.3.2** Pigging Capability

The repair system to be developed will permit normal pipe cleaning pigs (spheres, scrapers and intelligent pigs). The minimum bend radius of any repair component shall be of 3 x OD.

#### **1.3.3** Concrete Coating Repair

Repair to the concrete coat will be done by lowering a concrete mattress over the damaged section. DW RUPE will not cover this type of repair.

### 1.4 DESIGN CRITERIA, CODES AND REGULATIONS

#### 1.4.1 General

All components that are to be a permanent part of the repair shall be analyzed using the codes and recommended practices set forth in this RFQ Document.

### **1.4.2** Codes

Components that are part of a permanent repair shall meet the allowable stress limits set forth in ASME B31.4 and ASME B31.8. Other codes include ASME Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Division 2.

Pipe Pressure Rating will be determined in accordance with ASME B16.5, Pipe Flanges and Flanged Fittings.

Line Pipe will be specified per API 5L, Specification for Line Pipe.

Diverless Systems will be specified per ISO 13628-8:2002 – 1<sup>st</sup> Edition – Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) Interfaces on Subsea Production Systems.

#### **1.4.3 Recommended Practices**

Pipe design for collapse shall be in accordance with API 1111. Testing is covered in API Specification 6D and 6H.

The size of mud mats shall be in accordance with API RP 2A WSD.

Other Recommended Practices may be identified during the work performed.

#### 1.4.4 Design Life

The required design life for any repair system is 20 years

## 1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

#### 1.5.1 Water Depth

The minimum water depth for all repair systems is 1000 ft. The maximum water shall not exceed 10,000 ft.

### 1.5.2 Current

The effects of current will be neglected during the first phase of the DW RUPE.

#### **1.5.3** Seabed Mechanic Properties

The soil property of interest is the surface shear strength. The minimum shear strength to be considered is 45 psf.

#### **1.5.4** On Bottom Temperature

The temperature is assumed to be 34°.



# PART 2 – INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

### 2.0 GENERAL

#### 2.1.1 Bid Acknowledgement Form

Subcontractor shall send a bid acknowledgement form via email (<u>ray.ayers@stress.com</u>) or fax (281-890-6138).

#### 2.1.2 Conflicts and Contradictions

In case there is a conflict or contradiction between specifications or portions of this RFQ, Subcontractor shall contact Engineer and a decision will be made by Engineer as to which requirement shall govern.

#### 2.1.3 Bid Validity

The original quotes shall be valid for a period of thirty (30) days from the bid due date specified in the cover letter. After the initial period, prices shall be adjusted in accordance to the Producer Price Index (PPI), series WPU10, Group: Metals and Metal Products, Item: Metals and Metal Products. For further information on the PPI, please consult <a href="http://www.bls.gov/data/home.htm">http://www.bls.gov/data/home.htm</a>.

#### 2.1.4 Pricing

Pricing shall be inclusive of all engineering, R&D, Qualification tests, and Project Management. Delivery shall be (INCOTERMS 2000) CFR TBD location in Houston, TX, USA.

Subcontractor shall quote unit rate prices for all materials and services to be used for additional material requirements, spares and extra work.

Price quoted shall be in US Dollars.

#### 2.1.5 Right to Award or Reject

Engineer reserves the right to award to other than the low bidder and to reject any or all of any bid(s).

#### 2.1.6 Questions

Questions shall be directed in writing to <u>ray.ayers@stress.com</u> or <u>armando.rebello@stress.com</u>, fax # 281-890-6138. It is the Subcontractor's responsibility to



review all documentation for completeness and clarity and inform Engineer, prior to Bid submittal, of any additional requirements or explanations.

### 2.1.7 Content

The following information shall be provided, in labeled attachments to Subcontractor's bid package:

- Price form as provided in the attachment
- A technical description of each line item being quoted
- Subcontractor's Experience in manufacturing similar products, including sizes, pressure ratings, water depth, etc.
- A level xxx schedule with engineering, testing, qualification, manufacturing, FAT's and delivery steps.
- A description of Subcontractor's facilities to be employed during the project
- A description of Subcontractor's Quality System
- A statement of Safety Record
- Any and all technical exceptions to the RFQ document.

### 2.1.8 Submittal

Bid response shall be submitted and must bear RFQ Number as spacified in the cover letter, and "SEALED BID – TO BE OPENED BY ADDRESSEE ONLY" on the outside of the package. The bid response shall be submitted to the address below:

Stress Subsea, Inc. 13603 Westland East Blvd. Houston, Texas 77041-1208

Attn.: Ray Ayers, Ph.D, P.E.

### 2.1.9 Evaluation

Subcontractor is informed that Engineer's evaluation of the bid responses will include but not be limited to the following areas to be included in the Subcontractor's submittal:

- Price
- Equipment suitability for the intended service
- Delivery Schedule
- Subcontractor's Quality System
- Manufacturing Facilities and Available Capacity
- Technical Exceptions



# PART 3 – BID ACNOWLEDGEMENT FORM

#### **3.0 BID ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

(Subcontractor shall complete this form, and return, via facsimile to the below address, within four working days of receipt)

#### DEEP WATER RUPE Request for Quotation Supply of Deep Water Repair Equipment

To: Stress Subsea, Inc. 13603 Westland East Blvd. Houston, TX 77041-1206

Attn.: Ray Ayers, Ph.D, P.E.

We,\_\_\_\_\_(company name) certify that we have received the above referred RFQ, and intend to submit a bid by the date requested.

-or-

We,\_\_\_\_\_(company name) certify that we have received the above referred RFQ, and have determined that at this time, we are not in a position to submit a bid for this work. Your RFQ will be returned to the above address, as requested.

By:\_\_\_\_\_

Title:\_\_\_\_\_



# PART 4 – CONTACT INFORMATION

## 4.0 CONTACT INFORMATION

Subcontractor shall state the proposed **Commercial Contact** for this contract:

Title:\_\_\_\_\_

<b>Telephone:</b>	
-	

Email Address:	_
----------------	---

Subcontractor shall state the proposed **Technical Contact** for this contract:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title:\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone:	

Email Address:\_\_\_\_\_



# PART 5 – QUOTE FORM

# 5.0 QUOTE FORM

Please see the attached MS Excel Spreadsheet.



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

# **15.0 APPENDIX 4 – COLLET CONNECTOR PROPOSAL**



#### FMC Budgetary Proposal Number 05B-1262 Rev. B Stress Subsea Connectors

Subsea Systems 1777 Gears Road Houston, TX 77067 TEL (281) 591-4000

Jun	ne 10, 2005	BUDGETARY	PROPC	SAL				
(	COMPANY:	Stress Subsea, Inc.		PROPOSAL:	05B-1262 Rev. B			
A	TTENTION:	Armando Rebello, P.E.		e-mail:				
	FROM:	Robert Coffman						
	SUBJECT:	4" to 10" Collet Connectors & Hubs – Budgetary Proposal		CC:	Randy Wester, Brian N Steve Feldman	rates,		
Fur DW Rev	ther to our ea /RUPE JIP, F v. B for mech	arlier budgetary quotations 05B-1262 an FMC Technologies is pleased to offer the anical connectors and matching hub as	id 05B-′ e follow semblie	1262 Rev. A for ing revised budg s.	Stress Subsea's getary quotation 05B-1	262		
Rev	v B option s	cope as follows:	Qty.	Unit Price	Total Price			
1.	For 10" noi	ninal (9.5" bore), 15ksi:						
	MAX 14 cor and two gas tested	nnector assembly, matching hub, skets EE trim, fully assembled and	2	2 \$98,900 \$197,800				
2.	For 8" nom	inal (6.725" bore), 10ksi:						
	MAX 8 conr and two gas	nector inner body, matching hub skets, EE trim	2	\$34,000	\$68,000			
3.	For 7" nom	inal (5.75" bore), 15ksi:						
	MAX 8 conr and two gas	nector inner body, matching hub skets, EE trim	2	\$33,600	\$67,200			
4.	For 6" nom	inal (5.313" bore), 15ksi:						
	MAX 8 conr and two gas	nector inner body, matching hub skets, EE trim	2	\$33,200	\$66,400			
5.	For 5" nom	inal (4.063" bore), 15ksi:						
	MAX 8 conr and two gas	nector inner body, matching hub skets, EE trim	2	\$32,800	\$65,600			
6.	For 4" nom	inal (3.826" bore), 15ksi:						
	MAX 8 conr and two gas	nector inner body, matching hub skets, EE trim	2	\$32,800	\$65,600			

\_\_\_\_\_



# 7. Remaining parts to allow for the full completion of any pair of MAX 8 connector assemblies per (Items 2 – 6 above):

Full connector assy and hub support structure,	2	\$27,000	\$54,000
(less inner body, hub and two gaskets)			

8. Assembly of any pair of MAX 8 connector assemblies (includes setting of hump pressure)

2 \$3,300 \$6,600

#### Clarifications:

- 1. Prices are non-binding budgetary +/- 20%.
- 2. As agreed, the 8" nominal (6.725" bore) MAX 8 connector size is rated at 10ksi w.p. All other connector sizes are rated at 15ksi w.p.
- 3. Service people and fabrication/ installation tools: such as pressure caps; test stands; fabrication stands; spreader bars, etc have not been included in this bid.
- 4. Delivery for connectors and hubs is ex-Works and is estimated at 24 weeks.
- 5. Delivery for assembly and setting of hump pressure for two MAX 8 connectors 1 week after PO and requirements are defined.
- 6. The use of mechanical connectors is subject to the availability of Connector Actuation Tools (CAT's).
- 7. FMC Terms and Conditions would apply. Terms and Conditions available upon request.



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

## 16.0 APPENDIX 5 – INDUCTION BEND PROPOSAL





BUS: (713) 473-1557 FAX: (713) 473-1882

### NO. 3258 P. 1

HOUSTON OPERATIONS 801 HOUSTON AVE PASADENA, TX 77502

COMPANY:	STRESS SUBSEA INC.
NAME:	ARMANDO REBELLO
TELEPHONE	: 281-890-0305
FAX NO:	281-890-6138
ADDRESS:	

BENDCO NO .:								
DATE QUOTED:_06/21/05BY;J_NEAL								
P.O. NO.:	·							
PAYMENT C.O.D.	LABOR & MAT'L							
CRÉDIT								
	FREIGHT AID							
CARRIER: WILL CA	LL							

ITEM	QTY	DESCRIPTION	LABOR	MAT'L	UNIT	LINE
1	8	4" ES A106 ROLLED 90° 3D RADIUS			175.00	1,400.00
		INDUCTION BEND		╺╍──┼╍──┼───┼		
2	8	5" XXS A106 ROLLED 90" 3D RADIUS			325.00	2,600.00
		INDUCTION BEND				
3	8	6" ES A106 ROLLED 90° 3D RADIUS		╺━┼━┼─┼	325.00	2,600.00
		INDUCTION BEND	X	╶─┼╼┽─┼		
4	8	7" ES A106 ROLLED 90° 3D RADIUS			425.00	3,400.00
		INDUCTION BEND			<b></b>	
5	8	8" ES A106 ROLLED 90° 3D RADIUS			575.00	4,600.00
		INDUCTION BEND				
6	8	10" ES A106 ROLLED 90" 3D RADIUS			625.00	5,000.00
		INDUCTION BEND				
		MINIMUM TANGENTS				
		NO TRIM ,NO BEVEL				
		NO HEAT TREAT				
		FOB BENDCO				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.O.B. E	BENDCO		<del>~~</del>			
STIMA	TED DE	LIVERY ONLY: 2-3 WKS ARM				
		based on current shop load and will be TC	-L			\$19,600.00

subject to review at the time of order placement.

TERMS; 1ST ORDER IS C.O.D. - A RESALE CERTIFICATE (IF APPLICABLE) MUST BE FURNISHED WITH FIRST ORDER. CREDIT INFORMATION MUST BE FURNISHED IN A TIMELY MANNER TO ESTABLISH A NET 30 DAY ACCOUNT FOR THE SECOND ORDER. ASME CODE CERTIFIED

SUBJECT TO BENDCO'S STANDARD TERMS & CONDITIONS (FAXED UPON REQUEST).



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

## 17.0 APPENDIX 6 – PHASE 2A AND 2B – COMBINED SCHEDULE

	د Description	Orig Earl Dur Star	y Early rt Finish	JUN	JUL 11 18 25 01 0	AUG S 8 15 22 29 05 1	2005 EP OCT 2 19 26 03 10 1	T NOV 7 24 31 07 14 21	DEC	JAN 19 26 02 09 10	N FEB 6 23 30 06 13 :	MAR 0 27 06 13 20	APR 27 03 10 17 2	MAY 4 01 08 15 22 29	2 JUN 05 12 19 26	006 JUL 6 03 10 17 24 3	AUG 31 07 14 21 28	SEP 04 11 18 25	OCT 02 09 16 23 3	NOV 0 06 13 20 27 (	DEC 04 11 18 25	JAN 5 01 08 15 22 29	2007 FEB 05 12 19 26	MAR \PI 6 05 12 19 26 02
Pre-	Sanction																							
Ph	nase 1 Completion																							
	Develop Phase 2 Design Basis	25 30JUN	05 A 04AUG05	_																				
	Prepare Phase 2A Test Specification	20 05AUG	05 01SEP05																					
	Prepare & Issue PO for 12-Inch Prototype Clamp	9 19AUG	05 31AUG05																					
Phas	se 2																							
Ph																								
	Phase 2A Kick-Off																							
	Dhass 04 Kish Off		25																					
1			15																					
	OCS Contract Boview	5 * 01SED	0795005						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1															
	QCS Bro Award Monting		019EP05																					
	QCS Commorcial & Technical Poview	1 013EF	07SEP05	-																				
		4 023EF	073LF03																					
	OCS 12-Inch Prototyne Clamp Engineering	58 * 08SEP(	28NOV05																					
	Clamp - Tech Scope Definition	2 08SEP	09SEP05	-																				
	Clamp - Design Parameter Development	3 12SEP	14SEP05	-																				
	Clamp - Concent Development	5 15SEP	05 21SEP05	-																				
	Clamp - Evaluation / Selection	3 22SEP	05 26SEP05						$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		I I I I I I I I I I I I									
	Clamp - Engineering	20 27SEP	05 203LF05			- +									-1 $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $-1$		- +							
	Clamp - Draffing	20 250CT	05 21NOV05	-			The second se																	
	Clamp - Drafting Revision	5 22NOV	05 28NOV05	-																				
	Clamp - SSI Design Review	30 250CT	05 05DEC05																					
	Clamp Procurement & Mfg.								· · · · ·															
	QCS 12-Inch Prototype Clamp Proc. & Mfg.	85 * 25OCT	05 20FEB06						<u>+ 1 8 + + +</u>															
	Clamp - RFQ Development	2 25OCT	05 26OCT05																					
	Clamp - RFQ Response	3 27OCT	05 31OCT05																					
	Clamp - Purchase Raw Materials	45 01NOV	05 02JAN06																					
	Clamp - Purchase Ancillaries	20 13DEC	05 09JAN06																					
	Clamp - Machining	45 06DEC	05 06FEB06					+										: + - +           						
	Clamp - Inspect & Prelim. Assembly	3 07FEB0	09FEB06																					
	Clamp - Coat	7 10FEB0	06 20FEB06									◀ : : :												
	Clamp - Refurbish and Store for Offshore	10 05APR	06 18APR06																					
1	12-Inch Prototype Running Tool																							
	Running Tool Engineering																							
		1																						
	QCS 12-Inch Prototype Engineering	39 * 25OCT	05 16DEC05						11114															
	Running Tool - Tech Scope Definition	2 250CT	05 26OCT05	_																				
	Running Tool - Design Parameter Development	1 270CT	05 27OCT05	_																				
	Running Tool - Concept Development	3 280CT	05 01NOV05	_											1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
	Running Tool - Evaluation / Selection	1 02NOV	05 02NOV05											$-\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - 1$		$- \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1}$	$-\frac{1}{1}$							
		15 03NOV	05 23NOV05	-																				
		15 24NOV	05  14DEC05																					
Start	t date 30JUN05																					Ea	rly bar	
FINIS Data								c	trace	Subaa	a Ina											Pr	ogress ba	ır
Run	date 07JUL05							3			a, 1110.											Cr	Itical bar	or
Page	e number 1A									UPE Pr	iase 2												art milest	aı one point
©	Primavera Systems, Inc.																					→ St	hish miles	stone point
I	ΙΙ																					• . "		

	C Description	Orig Early Dur Start	Early Finish	JUN .	IUL AUG	2009 SEP 2 29 05 12 19 26	5 OCT 3 03 10 17 24	NOV	DEC	JAN 26 02 09 16 23	FEB	MAR 7 06 13 20 27	APR	MAY	2000 JUN 05 12 19 26 0	G JUL 3 10 17 24 31	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN 01 08 15 22	2007 FEB 29 05 12 19 26 0	MAR (PI
	Running Tool - Revision	2 15DEC05	16DEC05						¦► <b>I</b> ◀-'	1														
	Running Tool - Machining	20 04 JAN 06	31.JAN06							•														
	Running Tool Procurement & Mfg.																							
								I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I																
	QCS Running Tool - Procurement &	44 * 24NOV05	24JAN06					· · · · ·	100 1 1	<u></u>														
	Running Tool - RFQ Development	2 24NOV05	25NOV05					<b> </b>																
	Running Tool - RFQ Response	2 28NOV05	29NOV05																					
	Running Tool - Purchase Ancillaries	30 30NOV05	10JAN06					►																
	Running Tool - Purchase Raw Materials	20 30NOV05	27DEC05					►																
	Running Tool - Inspect & Prelim, Assembly	5 11JAN06	17JAN06		- +		+			▶■							+							
	Running Tool - Coat	5 18JAN06	24JAN06																					
12	2-Inch Prototype Integration & FAT																							
	QCS 12-Inch Prototype Integration & FAT	44 * 16JAN06	16MAR06																					
	Integration Procedure Development	15 16JAN06	03FEB06																					
	Integration Testing Development	10 06FEB06	17FFB06	-							→ <mark>→</mark> →													
	Final Assembly	5 21FEB06	27FFB06	-																				
	Functional Testing	8 28FFR06	09MAR06								│ │ │ <mark>│ - <mark>- →</mark>□</mark>													
	SIT Pren	5 10MAR06	16MAR06	+ + + + + - +	$-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{1}$		$\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1}$			$-\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1}$		▶∎◀╵					$\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1}$			$-\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1}$			$  \frac{1}{1}$ $ \frac{1}{1$	$-\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{1}$
12	2-Inch Prototype Component & SIT	0 1010/01/000	1010// 11000																					
	Component & SIT Testing	73 * 23DEC05	04APR06																					
	Test Apparatus - Design	20 23DEC05	19.IAN06	-																				
	Test Apparatus - Procure and Fabricate	20 20 IAN06	16FFB06																					
	Conduct Torsion Test	1 17MAR06	17MAR06								<mark>   </mark>     -   -	±												
	Conduct Compression Test	2 22MAR06	23MAR06																					
	Conduct Bending Test	2 28MAR06	29MAR06	+ + +	$-\frac{1}{1}$		+			-+-+-+							+							-+-+-+-+-
	Conduct Clamp on EBE Test	1 30MAR06	30MAR06	-																				
	Conduct Ovalization Test	2 31MAR06	034PR06	-																				
		1 04APR06	04APR06	-																				
Pha	ase 2B	1 047 (1100	0-1/ 1/ 1/00																					
P	hase 2B Kick-Off																							
	Phase 2B Kick-Off	0 28FEB06									L 🖊													
Pi	peline																							
	Size Specific Repair Tools																							
	Pipeline 10-Inch to 16-Inch																							
	Pipeline Repair Tool 10-Inch to 16-Inch	156 * 28FEB06	04OCT06								-	1 1 1 1	i 	1 I I I			1.1.1.1.	i 						
	Update Performance Spec.	3 05APR06	07APR06									4	▶■											
	Update RFQ	2 10APR06	11APR06										<b>-</b>											
	RFQ Response & Issue PO	5 12APR06	18APR06										▶											
	QCS Clamp Engineering	45 28FEB06	01MAY06	1																				
	QCS Purchase Raw Materials	45 21MAR06	22MAY06	+ ; • ; - ;	- + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			- + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - +				<u></u>		- ÷ - ÷	· • - • - • - • - • - • - • - • - • - •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· - + + - 
	QCS Clamp Procurement & Mfg.	85 23MAY06	19SEP06																					
	QCS Running Tool Engineering (10-Inch)	39 14APR06	07JUN06																					
	QCS Running Tool Procurement & Mfg.	44 16MAY06	17JUL06																					
	QCS Integration & FAT	44 04AUG06	04OCT06													L.			•					
	Pipeline 18-Inch to 24-Inch																							
	Pipeline Repair Tool 18-Inch to 24-Inch	169 * 24APR06	15DEC06																					
tart /	date 30.11 IN05	· · ·	1										, time l'										Taulu I	
inish	n date 15DEC06																						zariy bar Progress bor	
ata (	date 30JUN05							St	tress S	Subsea.	Inc.												Critical bar	
un d	late 07JUL05							D	WRU	PE Phas	se 2												Summary bar	r
age	number ZA																					♦ ;	Start milestor	ne point
۳																						♦ 1	-inish milesto	one point

Sta Fin Da Ru Pa

Description	Orig Early Dur Start	Early Finish	2005 UN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN	2006 JU
Update Performance Spec.	3 24APR06	26APR06		26 03 10
Update RFQ	2 27APR06	28APR06		
RFQ Response & Issue PO	5 01MAY06	05MAY06		
OCS Clamp Engineering	45 08MAY06	10.11.11.06		
OCS Purchase Raw Materials	45 29MAY06	31.11.11.06		
OCS Clamp Procurement & Mfg	85 01AUG06	27NOV06		
OCS Punning Tool Engineering (18-Inch)	39 22 11 1006	164UG06		
OCS Running Tool Procurement & Mfg	44 25 11 11 06	225ED06		
QCS Integration & EAT	44 2330L00	1505006		
DR-Size Specific Repair Tools	44 1700100	ISDEC00		+-+-+
Pipeline FBE & Weld Removal (Incl. Running Tool)	)			
EBE & Weld Removal Tool	98 * 25 11 106	07DEC06		
Develop Performance Spec	5 25 ILII 06	31       06		L
	3 01411006	03411006		
	5 04411006	10411006		
	20 114000	079500		
	20 1140600			
Vendor Procurement	15 060CT06	2600706		
Vendor Fabrication / Machining	20 270000	23000100		
	5 24 100 100	3010000		
Vendor Integration & EAT	5 01DEC06			
	SUIDEC00	07DEC00		
ze Specific Repair Tools - 4-Inch to 7-Inch				
Iowline Connector & Hubs				
	122 * 2855006	0185006		
Plowine Connectors & Hubs - 4-Inch to 7-Inch	133 20FEB00	015EP06		
	5 28FEBU6	06MAR06		
	3 07MAR06	09MAR06		
RFQ Response & Issue PO	5 10MAR06	16MAR06		
Vendor Procurement & Mfg.	110 1/MAR06	18AUG06		
Vendor Coating	5 21AUG06	25AUG06		
Vendor Integration & FAI	5 28AUG06	01SEP06		
		001411/00		
Howine Bends - 4-Inch to /-Inch	55 10/MAR06	22MAY06		
Calculate Pipe Bend Wall Thickness Reqmts.	3 07MAR06	09MAR06		
Develop Flowline Bend Spec.	5 10MAR06	16MAR06		
	3 17MAR06	21MAR06		
KFQ Response & Issue PO	5 22MAR06	28MAR06		
Vendor Mtg.	20 25APR06	22MAY06		
	40 000000	0.45555		
Receive Participant Free Issue Pipe	40 28FEB06	24APR06		
e Specific Repair Tools - 8-Inch to 10-Inch				
Iowine Connector & Hubs				
Flowline Connectors & Hubs - 8-Inch to 10-Inch	133 * 07MAR06	08SEP06		
Develop Performance Spec.	5 07MAR06	13MAR06		
Issue RFQ	3 14MAR06	16MAR06		
RFQ Response & Issue PO	5 17MAR06	23MAR06		
Vendor Procurement & Mfg.	110 24MAR06	25AUG06		
Vendor Coating	5 28AUG06	01SEP06		
Vendor Integration & FAT	5 04SEP06	08SEP06		
Iowline Bends				
Flowline Bends - 8-Inch to 10-Inch	47 * 17MAR06	22MAY06		

Start date30JUN05Finish date15DEC06Data date30JUN05Run date07JUL05Page number3A© Primavera Systems, Inc.

Stress Subsea, Inc. DW RUPE Phase 2



Start date	30JUN05
Finish date	15DEC06
Data date	30JUN05
Run date	07JUL05
Page number	4A
© Primavera	Systems, Inc.



Document No.: 221006-PL-TR-0001 Revision No.: B Date: 07-08-05

# **18.0 APPENDIX 7 – OIL SPILL MITIGATION MEASURES**

### **OIL SPILL MITIGATION MEASURES**

By: Ray R. Ayers, PhD, P.E. October 26, 2005

## Introduction

The current oil spill mitigation practice used by deepwater pipeline repair systems designed for the Gulf of Mexico was first developed by Shell for their their deepwater repair system. The Shell system includes a subsea oil collection tent to, in effect, put an "inverted funnel" over the leaking pipe. The lighter oil is separated by gravity in the tent, and the oil/water is then pumped into one of several subsea bladders (bags). The bags are carefully lifted to the surface where the contained oil and water is pumped into more permanent storage. Meanwhile, another bag is being filled subsea, and the process continues until leakage is significantly reduced if not stopped.

There are potential problems with this system and process:

- The apparatus is difficult and delicate to set up subsea.
- It is difficult to perform repair pipe work with ROV and equipment under and around the tent and supports without potentially fouling the tent canopy and supports.
- Filling bladder is a "batch" process, and leakage from the canopy due to being too full, as well as leakage from the valving during bag changing, are potential problems.
- Recovering the bags to the surface and transferring the oil/water mixture to tanks is operationally difficult, and thus a potential problem.
- Normally 10 times more water by volume will be collected than oil, so it is easy to exceed your tank capacity.

In short, the probability of leakage from these difficult subsea operations, while also trying to make a competent pipeline repair is high, in our opinion.

If the Shell approach is considered "<u>current practice</u>", needed is an <u>improved</u> <u>practice</u> that will be easier and more reliable to implement and operate during an emergency repair.

## DW RUPE Proposed Oil Spill Mitigation Method

First, we must recognize that, unfortunately, some oil will be spilled from a leaking pipe before repair and spill mitigation equipment can be mobilized to the repair site and implemented (this takes perhaps 2 to 3 weeks). We assume that both ends of the pipeline or flowline is shut in by appropriate valves so that the pipeline or flowline is not purposefully flowing oil. Thus the only continuing oil release, if any, when equipment arrives at the scene will be that driven by gravity flow based on the density difference.

For DW RUPE we propose to lower twin "A Frames" to the leak location. The first A Frame will be installed well back from the leak area a distance calculated to be a lift point where a "hump will be created as shown here:



This creates a high point of the pipeline segment that is higher than the leak point by several pipe diameters in vertical distance. As a result, as long as the leak point is below the bottom of the pipe at the hump point, leakage cannot occur from gravity flow from the lifted side. In a similar fashion, the second A Frame is placed on the other side, creating a second hump. The pipe damage point is thus located in the "valley" between humps.

The next step in the oil containment process is to either place a structural leak clamp in place, if there is a pinhole leak, or to cut out a section of damaged pipe if the damage is more severe.

If cuts must be made, the A Frames must be sufficiently away from the cut location such that any springback that occurs, creating a gap, will not cause the pipe ends to slant upward, potentially losing oil by gravity flow. A carefully constructed computer algorithm, based on finite element methodology, is a key to achieving downward sloping pipe ends after the pipe cuts.

Considering springback more fully, deep water routes have very large radius curves, hence the presence of residual bending moment in the vertical plane will necessarily be mild. In that case, for design, the maximum allowable strain (reference API RP 1111) is 0.15%, so any residual spring back is negligible. Thus for the 100 feet of pipe adjacent the cut, we would expect the springback to be less than a few inches per side.

Another potential problem is the pipe "binding" the diamond wire during the cutting process, potentially hanging up the circular blade. Per our meeting with Mike Hargrave from Cutting Underwater Technologies (CUT), CUT has not experienced a problem with wire binding due to residual compression. The circular cross section of the wire tends to bore a hole which relieves any lateral compression in the process.

Even if there were a binding problem, there would be a delay in the cutting process, until the diamond wire is replaced. But in that case, the second cut would take advantage of the relief provided by the first cut, and the pipe will eventually be cut.

Once the pipe ends are downward sloping, the ROV can insert low pressure flexible sealing plugs (pigs) capable of maintaining a seal during subsequent <u>pipeline</u> spoolpiece spool placement activities that follow. Once the spoolpiece is locked in place and sealed, the pigs can then be pumped out as flow is restarted. The figure below shows the downward sloping pipe end with the ROV inserting the piggable plug:



If the leaking pipe is a <u>flowline</u> that will be raised to the surface, then a pipe plug will be provided with the lifting tool, so that oil is not lost during the lifting operation.

The above oil containment process will work either for a high pressure <u>flowline</u> as part of a subsea tieback, or for lower pressure export <u>pipelines</u>.

### Assessment of DW RUPE Oil Containment Method

We have evaluated the "hump" method vs. the (Shell) containment tent method, and we believe that the hump method is more <u>controllable</u>, <u>stable and reliable</u>. The hump method allows oil containment to be assured <u>before</u> clamp or spoolpiece installation for <u>pipelines</u> takes place, and before the <u>flowline</u> ends are lowered for a <u>flowline</u> repair. The critical requirement to be assured is that springback resulting from the first cut does not cause hump failure. Springback in deep water is typically less than in shallow water; because pipelay angles in

deep water are much more vertical (J lay) than in shallow water (S lay). Because of the vertical angle, it is difficult to achieve a high horizontal tension at the seafloor (leading to large springback).