NHANES Open Space September 11-12, 2003

Session Title: Longitudinal HANES

Session Headlines:

- Why aren't we doing this?
- Financial reasons
- There is the importance of long-term follow-up in certain areas:
 - Potential value of documenting incidence of major events of interest
 - Transitions of risk factor development
 - Progression to treatment
 - Understand changes
- NDI has been done
- Increase response rate if you start the longitudinal HANES close to current survey.
- Most expensive would be to follow up with exam
- What is currently being done? Matching to CMS and NDI
- How do we get at incidence of events?
- Obtaining longitudinal data on nationally rep sample would be a great investment. Need persuasive argument- e.g., obesity problem is hot and need to incorporate this- changes in risk factors contribute to health.

Determine causality

- How long can you go before you revisit an SP
- We want to do all- cross sectional, community, longitudinal
- How do we get the support for longitudinal?
- Rather than the costs- focus on the question that must be addressed with Longitudinal data.

- What question needs to be answered with Longitudinal data.
 - o Changes in diet, physical activity, etc.--- can effect obesity
- What are the costs?
 - o They'll be taken to Hill to get the support
- American Heart and Am Stroke Association are focused on Healthy People 2010 objectives. These groups are committed to obtaining these data to support their objectives
- Need this support from others
- CDC Foundation- if others have money they can come through and support longitudinal HANES
- Use data from old LHANES and benefits of it to sell idea to others
- NHANES 2-HCFA had money to have contractor to do linkage- it was a tough process but possible to do. But this wasn't a new follow-up, just linkage
- We need to sell idea of benefit of longitudinal data to others.
- NHANES mandate to study health of public- supports rational for longitudinal study but budget restricts.
- Have cross-sectional data to track certain objectives- but <u>need to emphasize importance and value of longitudinal data.</u>
- To what extent do we need all data from HANES to be nationally representative and other data to be Longitudinal in nature and not nationally represented
- Number of different ways to think about Longitudinal
 - o Passive follow-up-linkage
 - o Phone
 - o Exam
- Attrition rate for follow-up?
- Different objectives determine what level of longitudinal data we would collect
- Collect retrospective data?
- Need Persuasive argument for longitudinal design
- Necessary for addressing specific questions
- Need to identify a constellation of questions for follow-up- not selecting individual based on a single question.
- Acknowledge importance of questions for policy questions
- Multiplicity of longitudinal approaches recognize that only NHANES can do
- Length of longitudinal study also needs to be addressed.

Next Steps/Action Items:

- Expert panel to convene to develop important questions that only a Longitudinal HANES could address
 - O Appropriate justification for questions and guidance from experts