# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

**R6NHMB** 

### Northern Hardwood Maple Beech Hemlock

General Information							
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")							
Modelers	Reviewers						
Carlen M. Emanuel	cemanuel@tnc.org						
Randy Swaty	rswaty@tnc.org						
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Forested	✓ Literature	California Pacific Northwest					
Dominant Species*	✓ Local Data	Great Basin South Central					
ACSA3	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes Southeast					
FAGR	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northeast S. Appalachians					
TSCA	41 52 49	Northern Plains Southwest					
BEAL2	41   52   49   50   62	N-Cent.Rockies					
	51 63						

### **Geographic Range**

The northern hardwood community was mapped by Kuchler in parts of Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, Ohio, Michigan, and Wisconsin. R6NHB occurs in TNC ecoregions 45C, 47C, 48C, 60P, 61C, 63C, 64C and Divisions 201C and 202C. This forest type occurs in the northern tier of eastern states extending into southern Canada (southern Ontario). The type spans southern New England westward to the western extent of the range of American beech. This type is most prevalent in the lake states and was estimated to cover ca.10 million acres in the area. Total presettlement acreage was estimated to be 15.4 million acres of the lake states area, forming 89% of the forest type. Presettlement forests of eastern hemlock and yellow birch were frequent on moderate to poorly-drained till plains and outwash plains, especially in the western Upper Peninsula. This assemblage was predominately found around lake and bog margins and in complex mosaics with sugar maple-hemlock forest on the surrounding better-drained soils. Beech-sugar maple-hemlock forests, which dominated nearly 17% of the state surface in the 1800s, were mostly found on large

expanses of rolling moraines in the northern Lower Peninsula and eastern Upper Peninsula. This species mix was also found on the clay lake plain along Saginaw Bay.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

This forest type of moist to dry-mesic sites lies predominantly north of the tension zone, occurring principally on moraines, fine-textured glacial lake beds, and flat to rolling uplands grading into steep slopes. It occurs commonly on silty/clayey lake plains with thin glacial till over bedrock. It also occurs locally on kettle-kame topography, moderately well-drained to well-drained sandy lake plain, and sand dunes (MNFI, 1990). In the prairie forest border region this type occurs on valley slopes and bottoms, often with northern or eastern aspects, and on poorly-drained sites. Elevations are low to moderate, generally < 2000 ft.

The soils for this type are typically well- to moderately-well-drained loams and silt loams, with rich loam

soils over glacial till. These loam soils are well drained, loamy and mesic, commonly termed 'rich soils'. Soil chemistry is circumneutral. The region has a cool snow-forest climate with warm summers. The daily maximum temperature in July ranges from 24 to 29 °C (75 to 85 °F) and the daily minimum temperature in January ranges from -21 to -9 °C (-5 to 15 °F). The mean length of freeze-free days is between 90 to 160 days and the average number of days per year with snow cover of 2.5 cm or more is between 80 and 140 days. The normal annual total precipitation ranges from 610 to 1270 mm (Albert et al. 1986; Barnes, 1991). Quasi-equilibrium landscape areas of 5000 to 8000 ha (minimum dynamic area = 50 x average disturbance size or 2 x maximum disturbance size) are considered to be stable.

### **Vegetation Description**

This northern forest type is a broadly-defined community type with numerous regional,

physiographic and edaphic variations. The following tolerant trees can dominate or co-dominate the canopy of this community: Acer saccharum (sugar maple), Tsuga

canadensis (eastern hemlock), Fagus grandifolia (American beech) and Betula alleghaniensis (yellow birch). Other important components of the canopy include: Tilia americana (American basswood), Pinus strobus (white pine), Quercus rubra (red oak), Thuja occidentalis (white cedar), Acer rubrum (red maple), Betula papyrifera (paper or white birch) and Fraxinus americana (white ash). Tree species associated with this community but most commonly found in the sub-canopy include: Ostrya virginiana (ironwood or hophornbeam), Ulmus americana (american elm) and Abies balsamea (balsam fir). The ground and shrub layer of mesic northern forests is diverse in compositional variation. Communities of beech and sugar maple have relatively

few shrubs but do support many spring ephemerals and perennial herbs. Stands composed of mixed hardwoods tend to have a well-developed shrub layer and a fairly

diverse groundlayer. A plethora of spring ephemeral herbs in these assemblages can be attributed to the development of moisture-holding and nutrient-rich soils. Sugar maple, yellow birch and basswood enhance the soil. Prevalent herbs of the mesic northern forest include: Actaea pachypoda (white baneberry), Actaea rubra (red baneberry), Allium tricoccum (wild leek), Aralia

nudicaulis (wild sarsaparilla), Aralia racemosa (spikenard), Arisaema triphyllum (jack-in-the-pulpit), Carex deweyana, Carex hirtifolia, Carex leptonervia, Carex plantaginea, Carex woodii, Caulophyllum thalictroides (blue cohosh), Circaea alpina (smaller enchanter's nightshade), Circaea lutetiana (tall enchanter's

nightshade), Clintonia borealis (blue-bead lily), Cornus canadensis (bunchberry), Galium triflorum (bedstraw), Maianthemum canadense (Canada mayflower), Mitchella repens (partridge berry), Osmorhiza claytonii

(sweet cicely), Polygonatum pubescens (Solomon's seal), Smilacina racemosa (false spikenard), Streptopus roseus (twisted stalk), Uvularia grandiflora (bellwort),

Trientalis borealis (star flower), Trillium cernuum (nodding trillium) and Trillium grandiflorum (common trillium). Common ferns and clubmosses of this community

include: Adiantum pedatum (maidenhair fern), Athyrium filix-femina (lady fern), Athyrium thelypteroides (silvery spleenwort), Botrychium virginianum (rattlesnake fern),

Dryopteris spinulosa (spinulose woodfern), Lycopodium annotinum (stiff clubmoss), Lycopodium lucidulum (shining clubmoss) and Lycopodium obscurum (groundpine).

Characteristic shrubs include: Acer pensylvanicum (striped maple), Acer spicatum (mountain maple or moosewood), Cornus alternifolia (alternate-leaved

dogwood), Corylus cornuta (beaked hazelnut), Dirca palustris (leatherwood), Lonicera canadensis (fly honeysuckle), Ribes cynosbati (wild gooseberry),

Sambucus pubens (red elderberry), Taxus canadensis (Canada yew) and Viburnum acerifolium (maple-leaf viburnum). (Above species lists compiled from MNFI database and from Curtis 1959, Gleason and Cronquist 1964, and Nichols 1935.)

### **Disturbance Description**

Disturbance and successional dynamics in the northern hardwood maple-beech-hemlock type are driven by wind events. Tree falls and crown removal are the primary results from the wind disturbance. Canopy disturbances are frequent but of low intensity, often forming single or small, multiple-tree gaps. The wind events that can occur are downbursts and microbursts from thunderstorms, tornados, and general circulation winds around severe low-pressure systems. Data for long-term events is estimated from current conditions and sparse historical data. Average rates of disturbance or canopy mortality are estimated at 5.7 to 6.9 % per decade. Light and medium disturbances dominate the disturbance regime. These disturbances are (40%) randomly distributed on landscape. Gap-phase regeneration is dominant, principally fine-scale blow-down. Windstorms that removed 10 to 50 % of the forest canopy occurred at intervals of one to several centuries in a given stand. Heavy disturbances ( $\geq 40\%$ ) were clustered with a patch radius consistent with thunderstorm downbursts. Heavy, catastrophic windstorms and tornados are estimated to have occurred at >1000-year intervals. Rotation periods for wind events range from 69 years for  $\geq 10\%$  canopy removal to 1920 years for  $\geq 60\%$  canopy removal. Insect attacks follow wind or ice storm damage, and contribute to the break-up of the stands, generating large amounts of coarse, woody debris.

### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This model is bordered by model R6NHHgl, Northern Hardwoods-Hemlock, model R6 Mesic Maple-Basswood, and the R6 Jack Pine types. The FRCC model BEMA, Beech-Maple, is a finer-scale model of the current condition of Northern Hardwood-Maple-Beech-Hemlock, where hemlock has been extirpated from the system due to silvicultural practices. The southern extension of this type, R6NHMB, is mostly nonexistent due to agricultural conversion.

The four "Northern Hardwood" models in the Rapid Assessment (R6NHMB, R7NHHE, R7NHNE, and R7NHSP) occur across both the Northeast and Great Lakes model zones and have several similarities, including: high moisture/nutrient gradients; historically included more conifer; often dominated by sugar maple; windthrow is the main disturbance agent with fires occurring every ~1,000-2,000 years. There are also several differences, including: beech has limited extent west of eastern Wisconsin and the central Upper Peninsula of Michigan; the amount of hemlock varies. Additional similar PNVGs include: R7BEMA, R7NHMC, R6MABA.

### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🖌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

There is limited data for wind event disturbances. Average rates of natural mortality from wind events is estimated at 5.7 to 6.9% per decade, with an estimated rotation of 69 years for wind events resulting in  $\geq$  10% canopy removal to 1920 years for wind events resulting in  $\geq$  60% canopy removal. Light to medium wind disturbances dominate the landscape (<40%) and are randomly distributed. Heavy disturbances ( $\geq$  40%) are clustered on the landscape with a patch radius consistent with thunderstorm events. The wind events generated large amounts of coarse woody debris. Rare broad-scale catastrophic storm and fire interactions resulted in fire rotations of more than a thousand years (Cleland et al. 2004, Ziegler 2002, Woods 2000, Canham and Loucks 1984, Frelich and Lorimer 1991, Grimm 1984, Runkle 1982). Most of these fires were severe surface fires that occurred only after prolonged drought and insect/disease events. Ground fuels contained high loads of 100 and 1000 hour fuels. The fire cycle for such forests must have been erratic, with intervals of 200 to 300 years plausible. Fire events were large, burning areas of 1001 to 10,000 acres (Heinselman). Fire Regime V applies to this system.

### **Issues/Problems**

Lon- term data related to wind disturbance patch size and frequency, fire frequency, size, ignition, and seasonality are lacking or scarce for this type. This model, R6NHMB, reflects the supposition that fire ignitions occurred only in classes C and D.

# **Model Evolution and Comments**

RA 6 model name changed to Maple-Beech-Hemlock. Josh Cohen, Dave Cleland, Jim Merzenich are suggested as reviewers. FRCC model NHWD3, Northern Hardwoods #2, represents the northern hardwood type within the Lake States at a finer scale than RA6NHMB.

### Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

	-		
Class A 1% Early1 All Structures Description These early-seral stands are characterized by aspen, yellow birch, and sugar maple seedlings and saplings 0 to 9 years of age. This is also a secondary successional class following a replacement fire.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ACSA3 Upper FAGUS Upper BEAL2 Upper Upper Layer Lifeform ☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ✔Tree Fuel Model 10	Min         Cover       30 %         Height       Tree Regen <5m	Max 60 % Tree Short 5-9m <5"DBH dominant lifeform.
Class B5%Mid1 ClosedDescriptionThis is a young to intermediate stand, 10 to 60 years of age, dominated by sugar maple, beech, aspen, and miscellaneous mid- tolerant tree and shrub species.Class A succeeds to this class. The intermediate stand is dominated by beech and sugar maple, 20 - 60 yrs old. Yellow birch is beginning to senesce and die, forming coarse woody debris. Hemlock seedlings and saplings become established in the understory.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position ACSA3 Upper FAGUS Upper BEAL2 Upper TSUGA Lower Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 10	Structure Data (for upper layer         Min         Cover       60 %         Height       Tree Regen <5m	Max 100 % Tree Medium 10-24m BH dominant lifeform.

### Class C 15%

### Late1 Open Description

These are late-intermediate to midage (60 to 80 years of age) mixed hardwood stands composed of sugar maple, beech, aspen, and miscellaneous hardwoods. Hemlock is becoming established in the midstory. These stands experience the light to moderate wind events that result in windthrow. Replacement fire from Box D that enters these open stands becomes a mixed severity fire because of the openness of the stand structure. Yellow birch has mostly died out in this seral stage.

accumulations of coarse woody debris along with mortality from the wind-insect/disease-drought event change the fuel load, type, and arrangement from FM 10 to

FM 12.

# Indicator Species\* andCanopy PositionACSA3UpperFAGUSUpperTSUGALow-Mid

Min

		101111	Ινίαλ			
Cover		50 %	60 %			
Height	Tree	Short 5-9m	Tree Tall 25-49m			
Tree Size Class		Large 21-33"DB	Н			

Max

# Upper Layer Lifeform

Mid-Upper

Herbaceous Shrub ✓ Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> 10

BEAL2

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

Class D 79%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed	ASCA3 FAGUS TSUGA	Upper Upper Mid-Upper	Min		Min	Max	
Description			Cover		60 %	100 %	
			Height	Tree Medium 10-24m		Tree Tall 25-49m	
This is a mature to old-growth sugar maple, beech, and hemlock			Tree Size	e Class	Н		
stand greater than 60 years of age. The stand structure is closed but moving to old-growth characteristics with hemlock as the climax species. The rare combination of wind events	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ✓Tree Fuel Model 12				dominant lifeform. eform are:		
followed by insect and disease attacks and coupled with severe drought produce conditions favorable for severe, large-scale, landscape replacement fires. Long- term (several generations)							

Class E 0%	Indicator Species	* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 All Structures	Canopy Position			N	lin	Мах		
Description		-	Cover		%	%		
N/A. 4 box model.		1	Height	leight no data		no data		
N/A. 4 box model.		-	Tree Size	Class no	data			
	Upper Layer Lifef Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no o	;	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
	-							
	Dist	urban	ces					
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gr		5					
<ul> <li>☐ Insects/Disease</li> <li>✓ Wind/Weather/Stress</li> <li>☐ Native Grazing</li> <li>☐ Competition</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: Min: 1000 Max:10000	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	2000			0.0005	59		
✓ Literature	Mixed	3000			0.00033	40		
✓ Local Data	Surface							
Expert Estimate All Fires 1199 0.00084								
	Ref	ferenc	es					

Canham, C.D. and Loucks, O.L., 1984. Catastrophic windthrow in the presettlement forests of Wisconsin. Ecology 65:803-809.

Cleland, D.T., S.C. Saunders, T.R. Crow, D.I. Dickmann, A.L. Maclean, J.K. Jordan, R.L. Watson, and A.M. Sloan, 2004. Characterizing historical and modern fire regimes in the Lake States: A landscape ecosystem approach. Landscape Ecology 19: 311–325, 2004.

Cohen, J. G., 2000. Natural community abstract for mesic northern forest. Michigan Natural Features Inventory, Lansing, MI. 7 pp.

Eyre, F. H. (ed.), 1980. Forest cover types of the US and Canada. Society of American Foresters, Washington, D. C.

Frelich, L. E., 1995. Old forests in the Lake States today and before European settlement. Natural Areas

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Journal, Vol 15:2. pp. 157-167.

Frelich, L.E. and Lorimer C.G., 1991. Natural disturbance regimes in hemlock hardwood forests of the Upper Great Lakes Region. Ecological Monographs 61(2):159-162.

Grimm, E.C., 1984. Fire and other factors controlling the Big Woods vegetation of Minnesota in the mid-nineteenth century. Ecological Monographs 54:291-311.

Heinselman, M. L., 1978. Fire intensity and frequency as factors in the distribution and structure of northern ecosytems. In Proceedings of the Conference on Fire Regimes and Ecosystem properties, Dec. 11-15, 1978. Honolulu, HI. GTR. WO 26. pp 7-56.

NatureServe, 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Systems of the Great Lakes Region US: Draft legend for Landfire Project. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. Data current as of 13 January 2005.

Runkle, James Reade, 1982. Patterns of disturbance in some old growth mesic forests of eastern North America. Ecology. 63(5):1533-1546.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, 1973. Silvicultural systems for the major forest types of the United States. U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 445, 124 pp.

Woods, K.D., 2000. Long-term change and spatial pattern in a late-successional hemlock-northern hardwood forest. Journal of Ecology 88:267-282.

Ziegler, S.S., 2002. Disturbance regimes of hemlock-dominated old-growth forests in northern New York, U.S.A. Can. J. For. Res. 32(12): 2106-2115.