54A06	CONFIDENTIAL Copy RM E54A06
NACA RM E	NACA
	RESEARCH MEMORANDUM
	HIGH-ALTITUDE PERFORMANCE OF 9.5-INCH-DIAMETER TUBULAR
	EXPERIMENTAL COMBUSTOR WITH FUEL STAGING
	By Wilfred E. Scull
	Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio
	CLASSIFICATION CHANGED
	UNCLASSIFIED
	To DACA Ree alla effective
	By authority of Date Jul 26, 1958
	This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning
	NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
	FOR AERONAUTICS HABBER DARY
£	WASHINGTON
-	March 22, 1954



# NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

# RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

HIGH-ALTITUDE PERFORMANCE OF 9.5-INCH-DIAMETER TUBULAR EXPERIMENTAL

### COMBUSTOR WITH FUEL STAGING

By Wilfred E. Scull

### SUMMARY

As part of a general program to develop a turbojet combustor giving high combustion efficiencies at severe operating conditions, 57 experimental tubular designs embodying adjacent fuel-rich and air-rich regions and axial staging of the fuel introduction were investigated at simulated high-altitude conditions.

Axially staged fuel introduction was effective in increasing combustion efficiencies at high fuel-air ratios and high air-flow rates. At low fuel-air ratios, highest combustion efficiencies were obtained by injecting all the fuel in the first fuel-injection stage (i.e., the pilot); at high fuel-air ratios, highest combustion efficiencies were obtained by introducing one-half of the fuel in the pilot and one-half at a location downstream from the pilot. At all combustor-inlet pressures investigated, higher combustion efficiencies were obtained with the experimental combustor than with a current-production-model tubular combustor of the same diameter.

At combustor-inlet conditions simulating 85 percent rated engine speed of a 5.2-pressure-ratio reference engine at a Mach number of 0.6 and an altitude of 56,000 feet, the experimental tubular combustor operated over a range of fuel-air ratios from 0.0035 to 0.029, with a maximum combustion efficiency of 94 percent and a maximum combustor-outlet temperature of 1925° F. The maximum outlet temperature was limited by the test facility rather than by the combustor. Estimated altitude flight performance of the experimental combustor installed in the reference engine indicated that, at rated engine speed and a flight Mach number of 0.6, combustion efficiencies of 97 percent or greater would be obtained at altitudes up to 59,000 feet and of 90 percent or greater at altitudes up to 75,000 feet. The isothermal total-pressure loss of the combustor, which was somewhat greater than that of the production-model reference combustor of the same diameter, was approximately 7 percent of the inlet total pressure for a reference velocity of 100 feet per second. Individual combustor-outlet total temperatures at most operating conditions were within  $\pm 200^{\circ}$  F of the mean outlet total temperature. No investigation was made of low-altitude operation, carbon-deposition characteristics, or durability of the combustor liner.

CK-1

# INTRODUCTION

A general research program is currently in progress at the NACA Lewis laboratory to determine design criteria for improving the performance of turbojet combustors. As a part of this program, research was conducted to develop a tubular combustor capable of operating efficiently at low inlet pressures and at higher air-flow rates and fuel-air ratios than current production combustors.

Turbojet combustors must operate with a high combustion efficiency at the low combustor-inlet pressures and temperatures encountered in high altitude flight. Also, improvements in the performance of compressors (ref. 1) indicate trends toward higher air flows per unit frontal area, and developments in turbine-cooling techniques may allow increased turbine temperatures. Increased compressor air-flow rates require efficient combustor operation at high air velocities if the combustor cross-sectional area is not to exceed the area of other components. With an increase in allowable turbine temperatures, it may become desirable to operate the combustor at higher fuel-air ratios in order to provide a larger temperature rise. Past research conducted at the Lewis laboratory with fractional sectors of single-annulus combustors has indicated design criteria applicable to the improvement of combustor performance, particularly at high-altitude conditions. Reference 2 indicates the desirability of maintaining alternate fuel-rich and air-rich regions in the primary zone. Application of this design principle resulted in higher altitude operating limits, higher combustion efficiencies, and improved radial temperature distribution at the combustor outlet. It has also been found (ref. 3) that axially staged introduction of liquid fuel in the primary zone of a one-quarter sector of a single-annulus combustor resulted in increases in combustion efficiency over a wide range of fuel-air ratios, principally at air flows greater than those encountered in current combustors.

The object of the research reported herein was to develop a tubular combustor embodying the above-mentioned design principles; namely, alternate fuel-rich and air-rich regions and axial staging of the introduction of liquid fuel in the primary zone. The combustor research was aimed toward (1) efficient operation over a wide range of fuel-air ratios at low inlet pressures, (2) ability to handle greater air flows than current combustors, (3) a low over-all combustor total-pressure loss, and (4) an acceptable combustor-outlet total-temperature distribution. The investigation was conducted in a direct-connect duct with a 9.5-inch-diameter tubular combustor; liquid MIL-F-5624A grade JP-4 fuel was used. The combustor was designed to operate with alternate, concentric fuel-rich and air-rich regions and with axial staging of fuel introduction in the primary zone. Operating conditions investigated included low inlet pressures representative of high-altitude, reduced-throttle flight, and air flows per unit cross-sectional area that are (1) representative of current engine design practice and (2) 30 percent above current practice.





The performance of 57 different configurations was investigated, and performance data from selected configurations are presented herein to illustrate general trends obtained with several design variables. Performance data of the best configuration are presented and compared with similar data obtained in a current-production-model tubular combustor and in two experimental annular combustors.

### APPARATUS

### Installation

A diagram of the combustor test facility is shown in figure 1. Combustor-inlet and combustor-outlet ducts were connected to the laboratory air supply and altitude exhaust facilities, respectively. Air-flow rates and combustor pressures were regulated by remotely controlled valves located upstream and downstream of the combustor. Combustor-inlet air temperature was regulated by valves proportioning the amount of air passing through a steam-fed heat exchanger.

### Instrumentation

Air flows were metered by a concentric-hole, sharp-edged A.S.M.E. orifice installed upstream of the inlet-air control valves. Fuel flows to each stage of the combustor were measured by separate, calibrated rotameters. Total pressures and temperatures were measured by pressure probes and bare-wire chromel-alumel thermocouples at the instrument stations indicated in figure 1 (station 1 at the combustor inlet and sta-. tions 2 and 3 at the combustor outlet). The number, type, and location of the instruments at each plane are indicated in figure 2. The inlet thermocouples and all the pressure probes were stationary. The seven outlet thermocouple probes at station 3 were moved radially by means of a chain-driven mechanism that positioned all probes simultaneously at any of four predetermined positions (fig. 2(c)); the positions represent centers of four equal areas. Details of construction of the pressure probes and thermocouples are presented in figure 3. The thermocouples were connected to a self-balancing, direct-reading potentiometer. The outlet thermocouples were connected in a parallel circuit to give an instantaneous average-temperature reading. The pressure probes were connected to absolute manometers.

### Combustor

The investigation was conducted with a tubular combustor having a maximum cross-sectional area of 70.8 square inches (9.5-in. diam). Overall length of the combustor was  $27\frac{1}{2}$  inches, and the distance from the

Cui-1 back

-

3048

first-stage fuel injector to the plane of the outlet thermocouples (station 3) was  $36\frac{13}{16}$  inches. A total of 57 experimental combustor configurations were tested during the investigation. Some configurations embodied changes in combustor geometry or liner open area; other configurations, only changes in fuel nozzles. The combustor configurations are designated by numbers according to the order in which their performance was investigated.

Diagrammatic sketches of the experimental combustors are presented in figures 4 and 5. The primary zone of each configuration was composed of concentric fuel-injection stages separated by annular openings for the admission of air. The first fuel-injection stage (hereinafter referred to as the pilot) consisted of a single hollow-cone, pressure-atomizing nozzle concentrically positioned at the upstream face of the first tubular section shown in figures 4 and 5. The other fuel-injection stages were annular; each consisted of eight equally spaced nozzles of the type used in the pilot. The longitudinal axes of the nozzles in the annular stages were tilted approximately 5° toward the center line of the combustor to minimize spray impingement on the combustor liner. The different stages of the primary zone were so constructed that the combustor could be assembled with different spacings between stages of fuel injection as shown in figures 4 and 5. Secondary sleeves of different length were necessary for use with the various possible primary-zone configurations. Configurations 1 to 23 inclusive (fig. 4) contained three possible stages of fuel injection; configurations 24 to 57 inclusive (fig. 5), only two stages. In addition, the diameter of the pilot was increased in the two-stage configurations. Data relative to the geometry and the fuel-nozzle specifications of the different configurations investigated are presented in table I.

In addition to changes in the primary zone, some changes were made in the diameter of the secondary sleeve to vary the areas of primary and secondary annuli. As shown in figures 4 and 5, open area of primary annuli was considered to be the sum of the minimum annular flow areas between fuel-injector stages; open area of secondary annuli, the sum of the minimum annular flow areas between the last fuel-injection stage and the combustor housing. Sketches and descriptive data for four configurations having the same open-area pattern in the pilot but different ratios of primary to total annular area are presented in figure 6.

A photograph of configuration 57, the best configuration investigated, is presented in figure 7 together with a curve showing the longitudinal distribution of combustor open area. Dimensions of configuration 57 are presented in figure 5(c). This configuration was investigated only in the assembly shown in figure 7; that is, the performance of this configuration was not studied with a shortened secondary sleeve and an unshrouded pilot (fig. 5(b)).

4



Ignition was initiated within the pilots of all configurations by use of a standard turbojet-combustor spark plug with extended electrodes.

### Fuel

The fuel used in this investigation was liquid MIL-F-5624A grade JP-4 fuel supplied from the laboratory distribution system. Representative inspection data for the fuel are presented in table II.

## PROCEDURE

Combustion-efficiency and combustor-total-pressure-loss data were recorded with the various combustor configurations for a range of fuelair ratios at the following combustor-inlet conditions:

Condi- tion	Combustor-inlet total pressure, in. Hg abs	Combustor-inlet total temperature <sup>a</sup> , <sup>O</sup> F	Air-flow rate per unit combustor area <sup>b</sup> , lb/(sec)(sq ft)	Simulated flight altitude in ref- erence engine at 85 percent rated engine speed, ft
A	15	250	2.78	56,000
В	8	235	1.49	70,000
C	°6	220	.93	80,000
D	15	260	2.14	56,000
Е	15	250	3.62	56,000

<sup>a</sup>Combustor-inlet temperature of 268<sup>o</sup> F required to simulate flight conditions listed. Temperatures listed were mean values actually used in this investigation and represent limitations of test facility.

<sup>b</sup>Based on maximum combustor cross-sectional area (0.492 sq ft).

<sup>C</sup>Pressure of 5 in. Hg abs required to simulate flight condition listed for condition C. Pressure of 6 in. Hg abs was actually used in most of this investigation, since it was minimum pressure obtainable in test facility.

These conditions simulate combustor-inlet conditions in a reference 5.2pressure-ratio turbojet engine operating at 85 percent rated speed at a flight Mach number of 0.6. Air-flow rates at conditions A, B, and C are representative of current turbojet engines. Air-flow rates approximately

3048

23 percent less, and 30 percent greater than those used in current turbojet engines are represented by conditions D and E, respectively.

Limited data were obtained with each combustor configuration at one or more of the above conditions in order to indicate trends in combustor performance. Data were obtained with the best configuration (57) at all conditions listed and with varying degrees of axial fuel staging.

Combustion efficiency, defined as the percentage ratio of actual to theoretical increase in enthalpy of gases flowing through the combustor, was computed by the method of reference 4. Combustor-outlet total temperatures, used to calculate the enthalpy of gas at the combustor outlet, were computed as the arithmetic mean of the temperatures indicated at the 28 outlet thermocouple positions (fig. 2(c)). Thermocouple indications were not corrected for velocity or radiation effects.

Combustor reference velocities were computed from the air-flow rate per unit combustor cross-sectional area and the combustor-inlet air density. Combustor total-pressure losses are expressed as the dimensionless ratios of (1) the combustor total-pressure loss  $\Delta P$  to the referencevelocity pressure  $q_r (= \rho_i V_r^2/2)$  where  $V_r$  is the combustor reference velocity and  $\rho_i$  is the inlet air density) and (2) the combustor totalpressure loss  $\Delta P$  to the combustor-inlet total pressure  $P_1$ .

The radial temperature distribution at the combustor outlet was determined at each test condition investigated. The temperature at each radial position was determined as the average of the indications of seven thermocouples. Circumferential temperature distribution was checked by recording individual thermocouple readings.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A series of 57 combustor configurations was investigated in an effort to obtain a high-performance combustor for high-altitude turbojet-engine operation. Results obtained with a number of the configurations, selected to best illustrate the trends obtained, are discussed in the following paragraphs. Experimental data for the configurations discussed are presented in table III. The discussion is divided into three major categories: (1) the development of the pilot, (2) the development of the secondary-air admission sleeve, and (3) the development of the final configuration.

### Development of Pilot

Preliminary investigations indicated that the first stage, or pilot, of the experimental combustor configuration had a predominant influence

on the over-all performance of the combustor. Therefore, although it was desired that axial staging of the fuel introduction be incorporated into the combustor design, the first investigations were concerned only with the effects of pilot design on performance.

Effect of pilot fuel-nozzle capacity. - Figure 8 presents the effect of fuel-nozzle capacity on combustion efficiencies of a pilot having a representative air-entry design. A small nozzle having approximately half the capacity of a larger nozzle gave higher efficiencies at low fuel-air ratios, but resulted in locally over-rich mixture conditions and lower efficiencies at high fuel-air ratios. Similar effects have been observed in reference 3. These results may be attributed to the finer atomization obtained with the smaller nozzle. Since the pilot would be expected to operate alone at lean mixture conditions, the best pilot nozzle for fuel-staging operation would be the smallest nozzle consistent with the pilot fuel-flow requirements at rich mixtures.

Effect of pilot shrouding. - Operation of the combustor was investigated with the fuel-injection stages of the combustor in different positions relative to each other (figs. 4 and 5). In a collapsed primaryzone configuration (figs. 4(b), 5(a), and (c)), the second stage shrouds the upstream portion of the pilot. In an extended primary-zone configuration (figs. 4(a), (c), and 5(b)), the unshrouded upstream portion of the pilot would be expected to receive a larger percentage of the air flow. The results obtained with a pilot configuration operated at inlet conditions A, B, and C with the pilot shrouded and unshrouded are presented in figure 9. Secondary sleeves of the same diameter and the same number and size of openings but 3 inches different in length were used with the two primary-zone configurations. The larger quantity of air introduced into the unshrouded pilot chamber (configuration 37) resulted in lower combustion efficiencies at lean fuel-air ratio conditions, and higher combustion efficiencies at rich fuel-air ratio conditions. These results may be attributed to fuel-air-mixture conditions in the primary zone. At low fuel-air ratios, the larger amount of air admitted by the unshrouded pilot resulted in an over-lean primary zone; at rich fuel-air ratios, the increased amount of air resulted in improved fuel-air mixtures.

Effect of pilot-air admission. - Air was admitted into the pilot either through small circular holes or through a combination of small circular holes and longitudinal slots. With each method of air admission, the size, spacing, and number of openings were varied over a wide range to determine the optimum design. In all, 30 different pilot configurations were investigated, 15 embodying small circular holes and 15 embodying a combination of small circular holes and longitudinal slots for air admission. Figure 10 shows the longitudinal open-area distribution of small circular holes and longitudinal slots in five representative configurations; combustion efficiencies for these configurations

3048

are presented in figure 11. Increases in pilot open area of these configurations resulted in increased efficiencies at rich fuel-air ratios. The same general trends in combustion efficiencies were found with pilots embodying only small circular holes for air admission. These trends may be attributed to greater penetration and mixing of air with fuel with increases in pilot open area.

Effect of method of pilot-air admission. - The longitudinal distributions of open area of two pilots, one having small circular holes for air admission and the other, a combination of small circular holes and longitudinal slots, are shown in figure 12. The total open area at any longitudinal position was approximately the same for each pilot. Combustion efficiencies for the two configurations are presented in figure 13. The pilot having a combination of small circular holes and longitudinal slots for air admission operated more efficiently over most of the range of fuel-air ratios than did the pilot having small circular holes alone. The lower combustion efficiencies of the slotted configuration at lean fuel-air ratios may be due to greater penetration of air jets into the pilot zone with longitudinal slots; this would create an over-lean pilot zone. Longitudinal distribution of open area of the best pilot configurations embodying each method of air admission are presented in figure 14. Combustion efficiencies for the two configurations are presented in figure 15. Even with optimized pilot open areas, the configuration having a combination of small circular holes and longitudinal slots for air admission operated more efficiently than did the configuration having small circular holes alone.

Effect of pilot diameter. - Curves showing the longitudinal distribution of open area of several pilots varying both in length and diameter are presented in figure 16. Combustion efficiencies of the various pilots, operated at inlet conditions B and C, are presented in figure 17. Combustion efficiencies obtained with the various pilot configurations increased with increases in pilot diameter. This trend was noted with pilots of both the same and different lengths. Although air-distribution factors were present in the comparisons, the data obtained indicated that high combustion efficiencies were more easily obtained with larger pilots. Other investigators have found similar trends in combustion efficiencies; for example, references 5 and 6 indicate increased combustion efficiencies with combustors of increasing hydraulic radii.

Variations in the diameter of pilots resulted in changes in the size of the open flow annuli around the pilots and accompanying changes in the ratios of primary to total open annular area. As a result of these changes, a variation in the air-flow distribution between the primary and secondary zones of the combustor might occur. The two larger pilots (configurations 30 and 32) of figure 17 differed mainly in diameter; they had approximately the same type and spacing of openings and total open area for pilot-air admission. The combustion efficiencies of configuration 32,



which had a diameter of  $5\frac{13}{16}$  inches and a ratio of primary to total open annular area of 0.171, were higher than those of configuration 30, which had a diameter of  $5\frac{1}{4}$  inches and an area ratio of 0.333. The higher efficiencies obtained with configuration 32 are probably due to increases in the combustion volume as well as decreases in open annular area ratio. The open area ratio of configuration 32 is typical of many current production combustors, which have approximately 20 percent of the total open area in the upstream half, or the primary zone, of the combustor liner.

# Development of Secondary-Air Admission Sleeve

The secondary zone of a combustor serves, by mixing the products of combustion with additional air, to cool the exhaust-gas mixture to a temperature suitable for entry into the turbine. Since a large portion of air must be added in this zone, pressure loss is an important consideration. Modifications to the secondary zone may affect not only combustor total-pressure losses and outlet temperature distribution but also the proportioning of the air to the primary zone and thus the combustion efficiency. The effect of modifications to the secondary zone were studied with a number of configurations.

Effect of secondary-sleeve diameter. - The effect of secondary-sleeve diameter on the performance of a pilot is shown in figure 18. Two secondary sleeves, one  $8\frac{1}{2}$  inches in diameter (fig. 5(a)) and one  $8\frac{1}{4}$  inches in diameter (fig. 5(c)), were installed in the combustor during operation of the same pilot. Number, size, shape, and spacing of openings were the same in each sleeve. Performance of the pilot operated with the  $8\frac{1}{2}$ -inchdiameter sleeve was generally superior to that of the pilot operated with the  $8\frac{1}{4}$ -inch-diameter sleeve. However, over-all isothermal  $\Delta P/q_r$  of the combustor was approximately 27.5 with the  $8\frac{1}{2}$ -inch-diameter sleeve compared to 17.5 with the sleeve of smaller diameter. Superior performance of the pilot with the  $8\frac{1}{2}$ -inch-diameter secondary sleeve may be attributable to a larger combustion volume as mentioned previously in the section describing the effect of pilot diameter on pilot performance. Also, the superior performance may be due to differences in the ratios of primary to total open annular area. The slightly lower open-area ratio with the  $8\frac{1}{4}$ -inch-diameter sleeve could account for the superior performance of this configuration at lean fuel-air ratios, since less air probably would be entering the pilot.

Effect of secondary-air-entry design. A limited number of tests were conducted to investigate the effect of secondary-air-entry design on the performance of the experimental combustor configurations. A comparison of the performance of the combustor with a single pilot and three different  $8\frac{1}{4}$ -inch-diameter secondary sleeves is presented in figure 19. One configuration (54) embodied the secondary sleeve used with the best configuration; the others differed in air-entry design and had total open areas approximately 25 percent greater. Decreases in performance of the pilot at rich fuel-air ratio conditions with the secondary sleeves having larger open areas may have been the result of a redistribution of air flow which created an over-rich primary zone. Performance was impaired most by increases in secondary-sleeve open area near the pilot. Lower performance with the best sleeve (configuration 54) at lean fuel-air ratios may be due to greater penetration and mixing of air jets entering near the pilot through four large slots. Air entered near the pilot through 12 small slots in configuration 55 and through 8 large slots in configuration 56.

Combustor-outlet total temperatures were higher and lower at the center and wall, respectively, during operation with the secondary sleeve having the greatest open area near the downstream end (configuration 55). Little change in outlet-temperature distribution was observed with the greatest open area near the pilot (configuration 56).

# Development of Final Configuration

The final configuration (57), which produced better performance than any other configuration, embodied a pilot having small circular holes and longitudinal slots for air admission. Higher combustion efficiencies were attained with such pilots than with other models over a wide range of fuel-air ratios. A fuel nozzle rated at 10.5 gallons per hour with a spray cone angle of  $60^{\circ}$  at a pressure differential of 100 pounds per square inch was selected for use in the pilot. The combustion efficiencies attained with pilots using nozzles of this capacity were superior at lean fuel-air ratios to those attained with nozzles of larger capacities. The capacity of the 10.5-gallon-per-hour nozzle was consistent with the fuel-flow requirements for fuel staging at rich fuel-air ratios.

The two-stage design of the combustor was chosen in an effort to obtain as large a pilot as possible. A general trend toward increasing combustion efficiencies had been noted with increases in pilot diameter. Satisfactory distribution of fuel from the second stage at the low-nozzlepressure differentials associated with small flows necessitated the use of nozzles rated at 2.5 gallons per hour with a spray cone angle of 30°.

A lower over-all combustor-total-pressure loss was the criterion for selection of the  $8\frac{1}{4}$ -inch-diameter secondary sleeve; superior performance

of the combustor and acceptable combustor-outlet temperature distribution over most of the range of fuel-air ratios governed the selection of the air-admission pattern in the secondary sleeve.

# Performance of Best Configuration

Effect of fuel staging. - Combustion efficiencies of the best configuration (57) with various degrees of fuel staging are presented in figure 20 for five combustor-inlet conditions. Axial fuel staging improved the performance of the combustor at medium and rich fuel-air ratios. Highest combustion efficiencies at lean fuel-air ratios were obtained with all the fuel injected in the pilot. At very rich fuel-air ratios, highest efficiencies were obtained with approximately 50 percent of the total fuel flow through the pilot. Fuel staging with 25 percent of the total fuel flow injected in the pilot was inferior to other modes of operation; operation was not possible with the second stage alone. The fuel-air ratio at which staging became desirable increased with (1) decreasing combustor-inlet pressures at the same combustor reference velocity (figs. 20(a) and (b)) and (2) decreasing air flows at the same inlet pressure (figs. 20(a), (d), and (e)). The data show that fuel staging is more effective at higher air-flow rates; similar results were found in the investigations of reference 3.

The results indicate that the fuel-air mixtures resulting from introduction of all the fuel in the pilot became over-rich with increasing fuel-air ratios and caused lower combustion efficiencies. Increased fuel staging with increasing fuel-air ratios alleviated this condition by introducing larger percentages of the fuel farther downstream. Introduction of too large a percentage of fuel in the second stage also caused a reduction in combustion efficiencies; this result may be attributed to (1) lean fuel-air mixture conditions in the pilot zone, (2) over-rich mixtures in the combustion zone of the second stage, or (3) too low a residence time for the fuel injected in the second stage.

<u>Range of combustor operation</u>. - Desired combustor performance characteristics included operation over a wide range of fuel-air ratios and combustor-outlet temperatures. At inlet condition A (corresponding to operation of a 5.2-pressure-ratio reference engine at 85 percent rated speed, an altitude of 56,000 feet, and a flight Mach number of 0.6), the best configuration (57) operated over a range of fuel-air ratios from 0.0035 to 0.029 with a maximum combustion efficiency of 94 percent and a maximum combustor-outlet temperature of  $1925^{\circ}$  F (fig. 20(a)). Data at higher values of fuel-air ratio were not obtainable at condition A because of exhaust-system limitations. At a fuel-air ratio of 0.037, a combustion efficiency of 83.5 percent was obtained at the air flow and inlet temperature of condition A and a slightly higher inlet pressure of 16 inches mercury absolute. The corresponding outlet total temperature was  $2200^{\circ}$  F, a temperature rise of approximately  $1950^{\circ}$ .

.



3048

Comparison of performance with other combustors. - Combustion efficiencies of the best configuration (57) are presented for combustor temperature rises of 680° and 1180° F in figure 21 as a function of the reciprocal of the combustion parameter  $P_i T_i / V_r$ , which is given in reference 7. Vr represents a combustor reference velocity based on maximum open cross-sectional area of the combustor and density of combustor-inlet air, and  $P_i$  and  $T_i$  are combustor-inlet pressure and temperature, respectively. The curve shown for configuration 57 represents the best over-all degree of fuel staging, 75 percent of the total fuel injected in the pilot. For comparison, the combustion efficiencies of a reference current production tubular combustor of the same diameter (ref. 8) and two experimental annular combustors (refs. 5 and 9) are also included. The tubular and annular combustors are compared on the basis of the same mass flows of air per unit engine frontal area. Because of the unused space between tubular combustors, the reference velocity in the tubular combustor would be approximately 1.3 times the reference velocity in the annular combustors for the same flight conditions. Accordingly, the actual values of  $V_{r}/P_{i}T_{i}$  for the tubular combustors in figure 21 have been reduced by a factor of 1.3. Temperature-rise values of 680° and 1180° F correspond to engine requirements for 85 percent rated speed and rated speed operation, respectively, of a current production turbojet engine at a flight Mach number of 0.6 in the stratosphere.

At both values of temperature rise, the experimental tubular combustor gave higher combustion efficiencies than did the reference tubular combustor of the same diameter. It should be noted, however, that the reference tubular combustor was designed on the basis of many factors not considered in the present investigation, for example, low altitude operation, starting, liner durability, and carbon-deposition characteristics.

At a temperature rise of  $680^{\circ}$  F, the experimental tubular combustor gave lower efficiencies than the annular combustors of references 5 and 9 in which liquid fuel and propane, respectively, were used. At a temperature rise of  $1180^{\circ}$  F, the experimental tubular combustor gave higher combustion efficiencies than the liquid-fueled annular combustor at values of  $V_r/P_iT_i$  greater than  $160 \times 10^{-6}$ .

Estimated flight performance. - Estimated altitude flight performance of the experimental tubular combustor in a 5.2-pressure-ratio reference engine at a flight Mach number of 0.6 is presented in figure 22 in terms of maximum combustion efficiencies attainable at various engine speeds and altitudes. Data for the constant efficiency curves were obtained by the method of reference 10. This method requires a knowledge of the sealevel, static operating characteristics of the reference engine. The square data points on figure 22 denote actual experimental data where





<u>Combustor total-pressure losses</u>. - Combustor total-pressure losses are presented in figure 23 in terms of  $\Delta P/q_r$  and  $\Delta P/P_i$ ; the data are plotted against the ratio of combustor inlet to outlet gas density. The faired curves of figure 23(a) were determined by the method of least mean squares. Isothermal  $\Delta P/q_r$  of the experimental combustor was approximately 17. Increased fuel flow in the second stage resulted in slight decreases in  $\Delta P/q_r$ . Lower pressure losses with increases in second-stage fuel flow may be due to decreased mixing of combustion products in the secondary zone and, hence, a lowering of mixing pressure loss. This supposition is supported by increasing uneven distributions of combustoroutlet total temperature with increases in second-stage fuel flow. Combustor total-pressure-loss ratio  $\Delta P/P_1$  varied from 0.07 at isothermal conditions to 0.10 at a ratio of combustor inlet to outlet gas density of 3.2 for a reference velocity of approximately 100 feet per second.

<u>Combustor-outlet total-temperature distribution</u>. - Combustor-outlet total-temperature distributions that are representative of data obtained with the best configuration (57) are presented in figure 24. In all cases in which 50 percent or greater of the total fuel was injected in the pilot, individual combustor-outlet total temperatures were within  $\pm 200^{\circ}$  F of the mean temperature. The distribution of combustor-outlet total temperature became more uneven as larger percentages of fuel were injected in the second stage.

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

An investigation was conducted to develop a high-performance tubular turbojet combustor embodying previously evolved principles of alternate fuel-rich and air-rich regions and axial fuel staging in the primary combustion zone. The desired operating characteristics included efficient operation over a wide range of combustor temperature rise at low combustor-inlet pressures and high air-flow rates, low over-all combustor total-pressure loss, and an acceptable combustor-outlet temperature distribution. The performance obtained with the best of 57 configurations investigated is described below; simulated flight performance references are for the experimental tubular combustor installed in a 5.2-pressureratio engine at a flight Mach number of 0.6.

1. Axially staged fuel introduction was generally more effective in increasing combustion efficiencies at high fuel-air ratios and high airflow rates. Highest combustion efficiencies were obtained with the experimental configuration operating with 100 percent and 50 percent of the total fuel injected in the pilot at lean and at rich fuel-air ratios, respectively. For a fixed proportion of fuel injected into the pilot, the best over-all performance was obtained with 75 percent of the fuel being injected in the pilot and 25 percent in the second stage.

2. At the low-inlet-pressure conditions investigated, higher combustion efficiencies were obtained with the experimental combustor than with a current production tubular combustor of the same diameter.

3. At combustor-inlet conditions simulating 85 percent rated engine speed at an altitude of 56,000 feet, the experimental combustor operated over a range of fuel-air ratios from 0.0035 to 0.029. Maximum combustion efficiency was 94 percent and maximum combustor outlet temperature was 1925° F; this temperature maximum was determined by capacity of the test facility and not by the combustor.

4. Estimated altitude flight performance of the experimental tubular combustor installed in the reference engine at rated engine speed indicated a combustion efficiency of 97 percent or greater at altitudes up to 59,000 feet and 90 percent or greater up to 75,000 feet.

5. Isothermal combustor-total-pressure loss of the experimental combustor was approximately 17 times the reference velocity pressure. The ratio of combustor total-pressure loss to combustor-inlet total pressure varied from 0.07 at isothermal conditions to 0.10 at a ratio of combustor inlet to outlet gas density of 3.2 at a reference velocity of approximately 100 feet per second.

6. Individual combustor-outlet total temperatures at most operating conditions were within  $\pm 200^{\circ}$  F of the mean temperature.

7. Low-altitude performance of the combustor was not investigated; therefore, little is known regarding its durability or carbon deposition characteristics.

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Cleveland, Ohio, January 8, 1954

# REFERENCES

- Lieblein, Seymour, Lewis, George W., Jr., and Sandercock, Donald M.: Experimental Investigation of an Axial-Flow Compressor Inlet Stage Operating at Transonic Relative Inlet Mach Numbers. I - Over-All Performance of Stage with Transonic Rotor and Subsonic Stators up to Rotor Relative Inlet Mach Numbers of 1.1. NACA RM E52A24, 1952.
- 2. Mark, Herman, and Zettle, Eugene V.: Effect of Air Distribution on Radial Temperature Distribution in One-Sixth Sector of Annular Turbojet Combustor. NACA RM E9122, 1950.
- 3. Zettle, Eugene V., and Mark, Herman: Effect of Axially Staged Fuel Introduction on Performance of One-Quarter Sector of Annular Turbojet Combustor. NACA RM E53A28, 1953.
- 4. Turner, L. Richard, and Bogart, Donald: Constant-Pressure Combustion Charts Including Effects of Diluent Addition. NACA Rep. 937, 1949. (Supersedes NACA TN's 1086 and 1655.)
- 5. Norgren, Carl T., and Childs, J. Howard: Effect of Liner Air-Entry Holes, Fuel State, and Combustor Size on Performance of an Annular Turbojet Combustor at Low Pressures and High Air-Flow Rates. NACA RM E52J09, 1953.
- 6. Dittrich, Ralph T.: Low-Pressure Performance of Different Diameter Experimental Combustor Liners. NACA RM E53L16a, 1954.
- 7. Childs, J. Howard: Preliminary Correlation of Efficiency of Aircraft Gas-Turbine Combustors for Different Operating Conditions. NACA RM E50F15, 1950.
- Butze, Helmut F., and Jonash, Edmund R.: Turbojet Combustor Efficiency with Ceramic-Coated Liners and with Mechanical Control of Fuel Wash on Walls. NACA RM E52125, 1952.
- 9. Norgren, Carl T., and Childs, J. Howard: Effect of Fuel Injectors and Liner Design on Performance of an Annular Turbojet Combustor with Vapor Fuel. NACA RM E53B04, 1953.
- 10. Olson, Walter T., Childs, J. Howard, and Scull, Wilfred E.: Method for Estimating Combustion Efficiency at Altitude Flight Conditions from Combustor Tests at Low Pressures. NACA RM E53F17, 1953.

Configuration	P110	ot	Secondary	sleeve	Batio of	<u> </u>	Pilot		8	soond stage		1	hird stage		Additional variable
	Diameter, in.	Length, in.	Dimeter, in.	Length, ip.	primery to total open an- nular area	Nozzles par stage	Rozzle capacity, gal/hr (a)	Nossle spray angle, deg	Nozzles per stage	Mozzle capacity, gal/hr (a)	Mozzle spray angle, deg	Noseles per stage	Nonele capacity, gal/hr (a)	Nozzle spray angle, deg	
1	2.75	3.08	9.5	10.49	0.419	2	10.5			8.0	30	8	3.5	30	
2	2.75	3.06	8.5	10,88	0.413	1	8.0	80	B	6.0	30	8	3.5	30	[
5-5, 7	2.75	3.06	8.5	10.88	0.413	1	8.0	80	8	3.5	30	8	3.5	50	Pilot open area
6	2.75	5.06	8.5	10.88	0.415	1	4.5	80	6	3.5	30	8	3.5	30	
8	2.75	4.56	8.5	10.68	0.415	1	6.0	60	8	3.5	50	. 8	3.6	30	f
9-10	2,75	4.56	8.5	10.88	0.415	1	10.5	80	8	2,5	50		3.5	30	Pilot open area
ш	3.43	4.19	8.5	10.88	0.542	1	10.5	60	8	2.5	50	8	3.5	30	
12-13	2.75	4.56	8.5	16.88	0.413	1	10.5	80	8	2.5	30	8	3.5	\$0	Pilot shrouding
14	2.76	8.06	8.5	16.08	0.413	1	10.5	60	8	2.5	30	8	3.5	30	·
15	2.75	0.13	8.5	15.86	0.413	1	10.5	60	8	2.5	50	B	3.5	30	
16-20	2.75	4.56	8,5	15.88	0.415	1	10.5	60	8	2.5	50	8	3.5	30	Pilot open area
21.	2.75	4.66	8.5	16.88	0.415	1	8,0	50	8	2.5	30	8	3.8	30	
28-25	3	4.58	9.5	16.88	0.385	1	10.5	80	8	2.5	50	8	3,5	30	Filot open area
24-26	5.25	8	8.5	19.89	0.348	1	10.5	60	8	2.5	50	-			Secondary-slowe open area, pilot open grea
27-98	5.25	6	8.5	19.88	0.355	1	10.8	â0	8	2.5	30	-	•		Fildt open area, secondary-sleeve open area
29	5.25	4.25	8,5	18.88	0.335	1	10.5	60	8	2,5	50	-		-	
. <u>.</u>	5,25	6	8.5 .	19.65	0.333	1	10.5	60	8	2,5	50	-		_	
31-32	5.81	8	8.5	19.68	0.171	1	10.5	60	8	2.5	30				Filot open area
53	5.81	6	8.5	19.88	0.210	1	10.5	60	6	2.5	50	-			······································
34-38	5.61	6	6.25	19.88	0.177	1	10.5	80	8	2.5	30	-			Pilot open area and method of air in- troduction
36, 38, 40-41	5,81	8	8.25	19,96	0.177	1	15.3	BÓ	•	2,5	50	-			Filot open area and method of air in- troduction
57	6,81	8	8.25	16.88	0.177	1	15.5	80	8	2.5	30	-			
59	5.81	8	8.25	19.84	9.177	1	20.5	80	8	2,5	30	-			
42	6.81	5.26	8.25	19.88	0.177	1	15.3	80	8	2.5	30	-			
43-54	<b>5.81</b>	£	8.25	19.48	0.201	1	15.3	80	8	2.5	30	-			Pilot open area and mothod of air in- troduction
55-58	5.81	6	6.25	19.80	0.201	1	15.3, 10.8	80	в	2.5	30	-			Secondary-slasve open
57	5.81	•	8.25	19.88	0.203	1	10.5	50		2.5	30	- 1			

¢

.

# TABLE I. - SUMMARY OF CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

<sup>B</sup>Rated at 100 lb/sq in. pressure differential.

÷

ŀ

- . .

8402

.

.

16

NACA RM E54A06

¥

-

CK-3

....

-

Fuel properties	MIL-F-5624A (JP-4) (NACA fuel 52-53)
A.S.T.M. distillation D86-46, <sup>O</sup> F	
Initial boiling point	136
Percentage evaporated	
5	183
10	200
20	225
30	244
<b>4</b> 0	263
50	278
60	301.
70	321
80	347
90	400
Final boiling point	498
Residue, percent	1.2
Loss, percent	0.7
Amometica pomoent by volume	
A.S.T.M. $D=875-46T$	8.5
Silica gel	10.7
~11100 Bor	
Specific gravity	0.757
Viscosity, centistokes at 100° F	0.762
Reid vapor pressure, 1b/sq in.	2.9
Hydrogen-carbon ratio	0.170
Net heat of combustion, Btu/1b	18,700

TABLE II. - FUEL ANALYSIS

Ban	Combustor- inlet total pressure, <sup>P</sup> 1, in. Hg Abs	Combustor- inlet total temper- ature, T <sub>1</sub> ,	Air-flo reta, lb/men	Air-flow rate per unit ares, 1b/(sec) (sq ft)	Contrasta Pefareno Velocity Vr. ft/See	Fuel-flow raim, 138 stagn, 15/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 20d stage, 1b/zec	Publ- manifold pressure, 1st stage (above accelustor- inles pressure), lb/sq in.	Fuel- menifold pressure, 2d stage (show combustor- inist pressure), 1b/sq ip.	Poel- eir ratio	Man ocubor- tor cutlet total temper- ature, Cy	Noan acmbus- tor tor tor tor tor tor tor tor tor tor	Combus- tion sffi- ciscoy, parcent	Total pres- sure loss through scabus- tor, AP, in. Hg	Combus- tion ster. $V_{T}/F_{1}T_{1}$ , ft, 1b, sec. of units	Fael is- jected in 1 <sup>st</sup> stage, percent of tota
E	l		l	1	<u></u>	.t		figuration	18	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				1	L
11	8.0	835	0.731	1.486	\$7.50	7.55010-5	J	21		0.01052	545	300	40.5		847 7810	5 107
3	6.0	206 255	.730	1.496	97.39	10.57		39		.01419	194	459	4.6		847.7	100
8	8.0	255	.755	1.490	97,66 97,65	15.09		12		.09164	950	254	48.3		248.3	100
•	8.0	235	.729	1.462	97.12	21.60		164		.02041	1161	896	47.32		240.3	100
8	5.0	226 917	453	.921	95.18	5.41				01940	B1.00	-00,5	40.0 		393.0	100
10	5.0	225	.485	. 192	\$5.18	1.56		20		01664	494. 550	207	20.8		393.6	100
11	5.1	005	.100	1	15.4	10.57		57		.02295	751	604	81.0		393.0	300
12	5.5	220	467	120	<b>19.91</b>	15.10		80 89.		-02505	805 Mice-	581. -Cut	29.0		378.3	100
							Confi	surveying 22								
15	8.0	235	0.730	1.464	97.26	7.58×10-5		18.4		0.01055	. 571	354	44.0		947.341A	100
i.	6.0	255	.734	1.472	97.67	10.57		35.4		.01410	720	491	47.5		247.3	100
17	0.0	285 855	792	1.467	96.14	15.89		80.4		.09900	1048	alo I	52.7		244.5	100
10	8.2	256	.758	1.494	95.59	00.06		110.4		-09540	113	806	51_S		246.3	100
38	5.0	. <u>2</u> 18	.464	,925	\$4.41	4,60				.00991	1300	1065   out	52.6		257.0 395.8	100
ä	5.4	200	.454	125	91/05	7,56		10.0		-01053	414	198	25.5		395.8	100
04	5,0	220	-454	.925	95/11	10.37	~~~~~~	58.9	·	102200	506 )	<b>61</b> 5	30.2		393.	100
ñ	5.0	216	454	-925	84,41	13.19	]	58.9 75.9		102890	750	512 568	30.4	-	595.8 . 395.8	300
							Confl	Pration 30								
85	8.0	255	0.751	1.486	97.59	5.49:10-3		10.4		0.00606	Blow	out			247,7:10-8	100
27	8.0	255	,751	1.468	\$7.5	7.55		29.0	=:	.00679	56) 505	2016 370	45.5	== 1	249.0	100
	ě.ŏ	935	.720	1.440	97.95 98.93	10,37		83.4	= 1	-01420	714	479	48.5		147_3	100
20	4.0	27 I	.752	1,488	97.50	15.89		69.6		-02170	1029	795	59.1		\$48,7 \$48.0	100
32	<b>i</b> .0	255	.727	1,489	97.12	18.60		114.6	1	-025E1	1154	. 223	62.5		247,0	100
38	5.0	21	.440	.915	93.30	4.78			(	.01065	Lor	at I		=	389.5	100
35	8.0	220	.450	.918	15.47	7.68		19.0		01526	556	354	50.5	1	395.0	200
56	5.0	225	.451	.917	94,77	10.37		33.0	1	.02500	636 636		57.6		590.4 591.5	100
37	15.0						Confi	Poration 32								
36	15.0	254	1.370	2 785	100.24	4.74×10 <sup>-0</sup>		17.1		00340	44	185	75.1	1.21	132.0x10 <sup>-0</sup>	100
	15.0	254	1.300	9-789 9-760	100.17	10.37		39.3		.00757	678	119	74.8	1.27	131.9	100
ũ,	15.1	256	1.372	2.789	100.04	13.89 ·		48.0	=	,00950	22	611 625	75.0	1.30	121.4	100
먨	15.0	200	1.372	2.749	100.00	18.60		112.1	<u> </u>	.01352	981	721	74.5	1.32	139.9	100
4	8.0	255	.72	1.482	97.13	3,66		47.1		.01562	10221	an 1	73.4	1.33	51.8	100
6	a.0	240	.786	1,400	97.41 97.69	7.55		18.5	/	01055	561	323		. 77	44.7	200
21	0.0	<b>250</b>	.730	1.44	19.35	10.37		33.4		.01414		454	69.R		47.3	100
	8.0	858	.729	14월 (	97.54	15.19  - 15.89  -		53.6		.01800		752	68.0	.7	N7.0	100
51	8.0 8.0	250	-728	1.400	7.4	u.eo -		ш.		0.8584	1906	267	55.1	.75	244,7 244,7	100
52	5.0	225		.917	95.89	7.55		147.6		01120.	1250	892	49.8	.75	H4,7	100
뛄	5.0	295	.468	.911	15.40	10.51		32.0		.02070	754 664	811 609	42.6	.42 3	95.5	100
50	<b>3.0</b>	21.5		.987	14.40	un 13  -		70.0	$\simeq$	00000	364 (	54	浊.?		43.5	300
-	a.u	214	-464	.927	94.40	L5.34 -		74.0		.05342	in a la					<b>3</b>

.

.

٠

.

a a

2048

...

TABLE III. - EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

. . ..

۲

:

- - -

i

.

.

NACA RM E54A06

.

្ដ្

CK-3 back 3048

-

•

-

-

.

-

Run	Combustor- inlet total pressure, T <sub>1</sub> , in. Kg abs	Ocebustor- inlet total temper- aturg, Ti,	Air-flow rate, lb/sec	Air-flow rate per unit ares, 1b/(sec) (sq ft)	Combustor reference velocity, Tw ft/sec	Fuel-flow rate, lst stage, lb/sec	Fuel-flow rate, god stage, lh/sec	Fuel- manifold pressure, ist stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), ib/sq in-	Fuel- manifold pressure, gud stage (shows comfus tor- inlet pressure), ib/sq in.	Fuel- air ratio	Mean combus- tor cutlet total temper- ature, oy	Nean combus- tor temper- ature rise, cy	Combus- tion effi- ciency, percent	Total pres- sure loss through combus- tor, $\Delta P$ , in. Mg	Combus- tion param- eter, Tr, Ih, ft, Ih, sec, Tr units	Fuel in- jected in 1 <sup>st</sup> stage, percent of total
							Confi	puration 55								
57 58 59 60 61 62 64 56 64 56 65 65 67 55	15.0 15.1 15.0 15.1 15.0 15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8	252 260 255 255 255 256 256 258 240 245 245 245	1.376 1.377 1.575 1.369 1.369 1.369 1.369 1.369 1.369 1.369 1.369 1.359 1.359 1.355	2.797 2.789 2.789 2.782 2.782 2.782 2.782 2.782 2.782 1.490 1.494 1.494 1.504	100.15 99.28 99.14 100.05 89.57 100.17 100.17 96.60 95.61 93.98 93.98	4.78210 <sup>-5</sup> 7.85 10.37 13.12 15.66 21.60 21.60 4.78 7.55 10.37		19.1 38.1 54.2 79.1 106.2 127.2 18.7 33.7 85.6		0.00547 .00548 .007653 .00958 .01156 .01561 .00545 .01561 .00645 .01027 .01400	427 556 724 875 1020 1124 1225 616 840 1065 1200	175 346 476 820 765 868 989 378 641 820 985	65.7 84.5 85.7 89.0 92.0 90.0 88.2 75.2 85.8 82.3 77.5	1.87 1.92 1.93 1.98 2.00 2.05 2.01 1.05 1.09 1.14 1.11	132.6×10 130.8 130.8 151.9 130.1 151.9 130.1 151.9 249.0 250.7 245.9 250.7	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
49	6.0	225	.454	-925	79.56	10.37		33.6		.02530	1360	1135	71.0	-65	275.6	100
ñ	15.0	255	1.570	2.785	97.39					.0276				1.67	132.1	<u> </u>
							Confi	guration 3	<u>د</u>			· 		r	<del></del>	
72 73 74 75 76	15.0 15.0 15.1 15.1 15.0	260 260 260 260 260	1.372 1.370 1.370 1.369 1.368	2.787 2.783 2.783 2.783 2.781 2.779	101.00 100.80 100.10 100.10 100.70	4.78×10-3 7.57 10.36 15.65 18.56		18.0 52.0 78.0 105.0		0.00548 .00553 .00758 .01158 .01358	455 603 729 968 1063	195 343 469 708 525	74.14 63.20 84.16 84.99 85.25	1.22 1.26 1.29 1.33 1.35	132.5×10 132.1 130.2 130.2 132.0	100 100 100 100
76	8.0	240	.752	1.687	98.16	4.78		156.0		.00655	586	558	75.55	1.58	248.0	100
80	8.0	245	.752	1.455	96.10	10.56		33.5		.01417	764 988	745	69.25 75.36	.72 .75	248.0	100
.61 82	8.0	250	.752	1.487	99.56	13.10		52.5 78.5		.01790	1151	901 947	71.43	.77	248.0	100
83	8.0	240	.732	2.487	98.18	15.58		106.5		.02538	1205	939	55.33	.76	248.0	100
85	6.0	\$25	-445	-904	77.66	7.57		17.5		.01701	1054	829	68.61	-56	266.1	100
67	5.0	225	.454	-922	79.45	15.10		51.5		.02985	1900	\$75	69.60		273.5	100
- 00	18.1	225	.445	-304	91.62		Confil	unstion 37					I	1 -36 _	380.8	
55	14.9	255	1.360	2.763	100.00	13,10×10-0		28.3		0.00963	675	420	59.36	0.88	h32.9×10-	100
90 91 92 93	15.0 15.0 16.0 15.0	256 257 258 260	1.360 1.356 1.350 1.350 1.361	2.783 2.785 2.743 2.765	98.65 99.36 99.06 100.10	15.85 18.50 21.39 24.12		39.2 53.2 71.2 91.2		.01165 .01370 .01584 .01772	854 981 1095 1209	806 734 837 949	72.05 73.83 74.81 76.70	.91 .94 .95 .95	129.3 130.7 130.2 131.2	100 100 100
95 95 97 98	15.1 14.9 15.1 8.0 8.0	260 260 252 235 255	1.561 1.561 1.544 .728 .755	2.765 2.765 2.750 1.479 1.495	91.48 100.80 98.51 96.95 \$7.66	26.86 29.56 32.20 4.78 7.56		114.2	Ξ	.01974 .02172 .02402 .00856 .01030	1528 1426 1514 Blow- 496	1058 1166 1252 -out 261	76.43 78.66 77.20 34.29	1.01 1.10 1.13 .67	128.5 133.0 127.9 247.0 249.1	100 100 100 100
99 100 101 102 103	8.1 5.0 5.1 5.0 8.0	235 256 255 235 235 235	-739 -738 -735 -729 -728	1.501 1.499 1.495 1.481 1.481	97.20 98.42 96.67 96.80 97.08	10.36 13.10 15.85 18.58 19.42		10.7 90.7 36.6 50.7		.01402 .01775 .02156 .02549 .02664	771 888 1134 1250 Blow-	536 652 699 1017 -put	52.78 51.55 59.30 58.30	.54 .54 .53 .55	244.7 250.0 243.1 247.0 247.0	100 100 100 100
104 105 108 107	6.0 6.1 15.0	218 290 222 255	.454 .454 .454 1.358	.922 .922 .922 2.758	78.84 78.87 77.81 99.37	8.67 10.36 13.10		11.6 24.6		.01909 .02262 .02685	Blox- 1010 1284	out 790 1062	49.47 34.29	<u>.27</u> .77	273.6 273.6 265.0 130.8	100 100 100
		<b>-</b>			1		Confi	Furnition 30	· · · · · ·						h	
106 109 110 111 112	15.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	240 243 248 248	1.376 .734 .734 .730 .730	2.795 1.495 1.491 1.483 1.481	100.50 96.72 98.87 99.03 99.56	18.68×10 <sup>*</sup> 4.78 7.57 10.56 13.10		59.1 10.5 18.5 28.5		0.01350 .00549 .01031 .01419 .01785	1024 Elow- 750 876 1008	769 -out 628 760	79.79 67.17 61.55 60.25	1.48 .76 .78 .79	150.5×10-4 248.5 248.9 247.5 249.0	100 100 100 100
114	8.0 8.1 8.0 6.1	257 258 240 257 222	.755 .725 .726 .726 .757 .457	1.489 1.475 1.475 1.497 .925	97.90 96.97 96.18 98.43 78.32	15.65 18.58 21.39 22.75 4.78		42.5		.02162 .02565 .02948 .05067 .01048	1073 1135 1129 Blow-	536 897 869 -out 367	56.38 50.80 44.27 50.14	.77 .76 .76 .34	248.6 245.8 240.1 249.8 266.1	100 100 100 100
116 119 120 121 122	6.1 6.1 6.0 6.0 15.1	225 225 228 222 254	-458 -458 -440 -457 1-572	.924 .924 .494 .928 2.787	78.08 78.32 77.34 79.63 99.72	T.67 30.36 13.10 14.47		11.4 17.4 28.5		.01664 .02277 .02977 .05168	913 1034 1118 Blow-	690 809 890 aut	58.00 90.67 63.81	.41 .40 .58 1.11	265.4 265.4 265.3 275.5 130.5	100 100 100 100

Blow-out.

TABLE III. - Continued. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

•

;

.

-

3048

.

\_\_\_\_\_

· ...

-

.....

. ..

. . . ••• س د\_

.

-

:

TABLE III. - Continued. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Run	Combustor- inlet total pressure, Fi, in. Hg aba	Combustor- inlet total temper- ature, Ti,	Air-ficm rate, 1b/sec	Air-flow rate per unit ares, 1b/(sec) (sq ft)	Combinator reference velocity, Vr, ft/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 1 <sup>55</sup> stage, 1b/sec	Fuel-flor rate, 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage, 1h/sed	Fuel- manifold pressure, lat stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), ib/sq in.	Fuel- mamifold pressure, 201 stage combustor- inlet pressure), lh/sq in.	Suel- air ratio	Nesz oorbus- tor outlet total temper- ature, ay	Bean combus- tor temper- ature rise, og	Combus- tion effi- clency, percent	Total pres- aure loss through tor, AP, in. Hg	Combus- tion param eter, V <sub>X</sub> /P <sub>i</sub> T <sub>1</sub> , ft, 1b, sec, 7 units	Fuel in- jected stage, percent of total
			I		l	L		sumetion 39			<u> </u>	Ļ		L	I	└{
123	15.0	258	1.585	2,789	101.60	8.11×10-3				9.00585	Blow	out			135. 610	100
124 125 126 127	15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1	255 258 259 250	1.370	2.785	99.44 100.10 100.20 100.40	10.38 13.10 15.85 18.58		6.9 12.9 90.9 97.8		.00756 .00954 .01184 .01555	685 812 925 1036	430 554 655 775	78.84 78.84 79.95	1.33 1.35 1.37	130.2 130.5 130.4 150.5	100 100 100
126 129 130 131	18.1 15.0 15.1 15.0	261 251 252 252 252	1.368 1.374 1.375 1.385	2.775 2.791 2.795 2.810	100.10 101.40 100.80 102.00	21.59 24.12 25.55 21.55		37.9 60.0 61.9 75.0		.01584 .01786 .01953 .02157	1149 1203 1395 1460	688 1091 1151 1198	80.59 85.67 84.26 82.25	1.48 1.50 1.51 1.53	130.1 132.5 130.6 133.2	100 100 100 100
133 134 156	8.0 8.0 6.0	240 242 250	.740 .735 .750	1.603	99.26 98.67 98.31	7.57 10.35 13.11		10.4	=	-04539 -00.025 -00.420 -00.796	1537 574 575 1056	1277 434 635 806	60.62 67.76 62.51 63.86	1.55	135.0 251.0 249.1 247.4	100 100 100
187 158 139	8.0 8.0	237 236 236	.727 .725 .722	1.477	97.09 96.42 96.15	10.58 21.59 35.55		29.4 56.4	=	.02556 .02556 .03501	1205 1261 281or	946 1015	55.10	.78	246.8 246.0 244.8	100 100 100
141 142 143	5.0 6.1 6.0	110 1210 2210 2216	.460 .460 .461	.835 .936 .937	79.92 78.61 60.66	7.87 10.36 13.11		11.4	Ξ.	.01084 .01645 .02262 .02844	821 1075 1205	601 853 978	50.85 54.29 50.45	.38 .54	277.0 264.1 277.9	100 100
144	8.0	21.8	- 4 49	.912	17.77	15.65	Confl	Caration 44	L	.03530	Blow	out		·	270.6	100
145	0.0	234	0.728	1.478	\$7.09	4.78×10-3				0.00656	478	243	41.44	0.66	247.0×10	100
147 148 148	8.0 8.2 8.1	240 245 254	.755 .754 .753	1.453	96.75 96.15	7.57 10.35 13.10 15.65		41.5	$\equiv$	.01410 .01788 .03162	546 766 913 953	406 546 558 717	53.95 53.51 52.47 47.22	.56 .70 .63	251.0 249.1 256.8 342.5	100 100 100
150 151 152 153	8.0 8.0 6.0	25532 25232 232	.752 .759 .752 .480	1.457 1.457 1.457 .930	97.45 .97.48 .97.48 .97.48 .71.57	16.56 21.59 21.67 7.57		56.5 76.8	Ξ	.038538 .02929 .02960 .03860	841 865 31or- 775	704 734 out 555	39.95	.70 .71 .37	247.5 247.5 247.5 275.0	100 100 100 100
165 155	6.0 6.1 .15.1	225 21.6 255	.452 1.370	.939 2.763	78.71 100.20	13.10		17.5 20.4	=	.02267	11.39 9194	714	44.87 39.55	.38 .37 1.00	275.8 299.1 151.5	100
							Confi	garation 48				A	****			
157 159 159 160	15.0 15.1 15.0	256 255 254 267 260	1.366 1.364 1.366 1.367 1.369	2.776 2.772 2.775 2.775 2.778 2.778	99.95 99.67 93.01 100.90	4.87×10-3 7.16 9.92 19.64		7.1 17.0 27.1		0.00342	11.04- 5655 878 781	500 422 524	76.49 78.50 77.56	1.15 1.15 1.15	131.8×10 151.5 150.0 131.4	188 188 188
162 165 164 165	15.0 16.1 15.1 15.0	259 250 260 260	1.365 1.365 1.365 1.363	2.778 9.774 2.774 2.770	100.20 99.77 99.77 100.30	18.07 20.85 25.61 26.31		54.1 71.0 90.0 112.1	Ξ	.01.125 .01.527 .01.730 .01.150	973 1055 1155 1201	714 795 873 841	78.24 73.45 71.87 70.10	1.21	151.5 129.9 129.9 131.4	100 100 100
164 164 169	15.0 8.0 8.0	260 260 257 240	1.364	2.172	100.70 98.45 96.45	88.85 51.26 6.54 7.17		154.0		.02114 .02289 .00671 .00976	1276 1570 1510m- 685	1018 1110 -out 445	61-19	1.27	130.4 132.4 249.5 248.9	100 100 100
171 172 173	8.0 8.1	246 257 258	.738 .739 .739	1.426	99.56 98.70 97.94	12.64 15.36 18.08		27.5 40.5 56.5	Ξ	.01717 .02078 .02467	\$06 \$18 \$190	878 754	63.00 44.20	.86 .86 .87	249.5 249.5 247.8	100 100 100
175 176 177	8.0 8.0 6.0	225 220 225	.740 -455 -460	1.504	98.55 79.05 80.50	9.92		18.5		.03191 .01675 .02156	810w- 815 698	out 595 874	52.44 44.27	.31 .38	251.0 274.0 277.1	100 100 100
178	<b>6</b> .0	226	. 460	.935	60,160	12.64	Confie	26.5 mretion 50		.02748	895	770	40.54	.56	217.1	_ 100
179	15.0	256	1.357	2.758	99.29	7.17×10-3		7.0		0.00528	576	320	<u>61.01</u>	1.08	150.8×10	100
180 181 182 183	15.0 15.0 15.1 25.1	255 265 267 268	1.364 1.365 1.370 1.362	2.772 2.770 2.785 2.768	99.67 99.69 99.72 99.72 99.27	9.92 19.54 15.36 16.09		17.0 27.0 37.9 53.5	Ξ	.00727 .00927 .01121 .01528	700 803 818 1011	445 554 561 753	81.72 81.85 78.57	1.10 1.10 1.11 1.15	131.5 131.4 130.9 128.4	100 100 100 100
184 185 186 187 187	15.1 15.1 15.0 15.0 4.0	250 250 250 250 250 250 240	1.362 1.359 1.360 1.360 .738	2.762 2.762 2.764 2.764 2.764 1.500	99.88 99.33 100.10 100.10 98.93	20.85 23.61 26.31 28.88 4.42		71.8 92.2 115.0 137.0		.01532 .01737 .01935 .09129 .00595	1084 1168 1258 1534 471	824 908 978 1074 251	76.01 74.61 72.84 73.69 61.52	1.14 1.17 1.18 1.91 .61	130.3 189.1 131.2 151.2 250.0	100 100 100 100
189 190 191 192 193	8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	241 244 246 239 239	-735 -735 -735 -738 -738	1.494 1.494 1.494 1.500 1.500	98.73 99.15 99.43 98.65 98.55	7.17 9.82 12.64 15.36 18.08		15.4 95.4 40.4		.00875 .01349 .01720 .02081	729 886 1001 170	488 542 755 731 785	68.14 66.03 61.87 49.85 49.15	.63 .65 .64 .64	249.0 249.0 249.0 250.0 250.0	100 100 100 100
194 195 196 197 198	8.0 8.0 6.0 8.0 6.0	239 239 225 225 227	. 735 . 738 . 456 . 456 . 456	1.500 1.500 .927 .927	98.65 98.85 79.80 79.50 80.04	20.66 24.69 7.17 9.92 12.64		74.4		.02627 .05346 .01572 .02175 .02778	1113 Blow- 854 896 1101	874 out 629 671 874	45.18 55.70 43.69 45.10	.56 .32 .35 .34	250.0 250.0 274.7 274.7 274.7	100 100 100 100

### 

<u>.</u>....

.

Run	Combustor- inlet total pressure, Fi, in. Hg abs	Combustor- Iniet total terrer- ature, T <sub>1</sub> ,	Air-flow rate, lb/sec	Air-flow rate per unit area, lb/(sec) (sq ft)	Combustor reference velocity, V <sub>r</sub> , ft/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 18 stage, 1b/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 200 stage, 12/sec	Puel- manifold pressure, ist stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), ib/sq in.	Fuel- manifold pressure, 20 stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), 1b/sq in.	Fuel- air ratio	Mean combus- tor outlet total temper- ature, og	Kean combus- tor temper- ature rise, 9	Combus- tion effi- alency, percent	Total pres- sure loss through combus- tor, AP, in. Mg	Combus- tion param- ater, V <sub>I</sub> /7 <sub>1</sub> 7 <sub>1</sub> , ft, lb, sec, OR units	Fuel in- feoted in 18t stage, percent of total
-		-	•	i	L		Configu	ation 52		·		<u> </u>	·			
199	15.0	256	1.367	2.778	100.00	7.17×10-3		7.2		0.00524	545	289	73.40	1.07	131.7×10	100
901 902 903	15.0 15.0 15.0	256 256 257	1.373	2.778 2.791 2.791	99.88 100.50 100.60	12.64 15.35 18.07		27.2 40.2 54.2	$\equiv$	.00926 .01180 .01318	756 881 999	500 625 742	73.74 73.22 78.83	1.11 1.13 1.14	151.7 132.4 132.4	100 100 100
204 205 205 205 205	15.1 15.0 15.0 15.1	257 257 257 258 258 258	1.384 1.367 1.367 1.367 1.367	2.613 2.778 2.778 2.778 2.778	101.10 100.20 100.20 100.30 93.64	20.85 23.61 26.31 26.85 31.26		71.1 92.2 114.2 157.2 161.1	=	.01507 .01727 .01925 .02110 .02258	1094 1201 1313 1404 1475	837 944 1056 1146 1217	78.14 79.35 79.35 79.55 76.54	1.14 1.18 1.20 1.19 1.23	132.7 131.8 131.8 131.7 130.1	100 100 100 100
207 210 212 212 213	8.0 8.1 8.0 8.0 8.0	236 236 240 240 235	-735 -735 -735 -738 -738 -738	1.494 1.494 1.494 1.486 1.494	96.02 96.81 96.56 96.18 97.58	5.22 7.17 9.92 12.64 15.35		17.8 26.6 40.6	=	.00711 .00975 .01349 .01727 .02068	810v 678 653 975 1041	642 613 736 806	61.60 62.94 60.08 55.06	.58 .80 .62	245.2 245.0 245.1 248.2 248.2	100 100 100 100
214 215 216 217	8.1 8.0 8.0	235 235 238 231	.736 .736 .736 .731	1.494 1.494 1.494 1.496	96.67 96.67 97.88 96.79	18.07 20.85 23.33		55.5		.02459 .02637 .03174	1126 1178 Blow	891 941 -out	52.46 48.61	.61 .65 .53	263.0 243.0 269.2 247.9	100 100 100
							Configut	ation 54								
218 219 220 221 222	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	255 255 256 256 256	1.375 1.375 1.370 1.370 1.370	2.735 2.795 2.785 2.785 2.785	100.80 100.50 100.10 100.20 100.20	7.17×10-5 9.82 12.64 15.35 18.06		7_0 17_0 27_0 34.0 52_0	=	0.00554	539 670 796 846 1070	284 415 541 690 814	68.51 77.70 80.14 85.43 86.53	1.17 1.22 1.25 1.27 1.25	155.5×10 132.7 152.0 151.9 131.9	100 100 100 100
225	15.0 15.1 15.0 8.0	250 260 260 250 235	1.365 1.365 1.365 1.365 1.365	2.774 2.774 2.776 2.776 1.478	100.40 100.40 100.10 100.40 36.81	20.83 23.61 26.31 28.86 5.94		80.0 104.0 129.9 157.0	=	.01526 .01730 .01927 .02114 .00818	1188 1295 1404 1491 Blow	928 1039 1144 1231	86.42 86.36 86.34 85.46	1.30 1.35 1.35 1.36	131.5 131.6 230.6 131.5 246.8	100 100 100 100
225 225 230 231 232	8.0 8.0 8.0	235 235 235 235 235	.727 .727 .727 .728 .728	1.478 1.478 1.678 1.480 1.490	36.81 96.81 97.09 96.95	7.17 9.92 12.64 15.33 18.00				.00985 .01364 .01739 .02108 .02108	686 943 1162 1286 1344	421 706 915 1061 1109	58.00 72.26 74.86 72.95 65.50	.63 .67 .72 .70	246.6 246.8 246.8 247.0 247.0	100 100 100
233 254 235 235 235	6.0 6.0 5.0	235 215 217 218 211	.727 .454 .454 .454 .454	1.478 -923 -923 -923 -923	95.62 78.29 78.52 78.64 93.39	20.83 7.17 1.92 12.64				.02665 .01579 .02184 .02784	1388 876 1250 1375	1153 561 1033 1157	59.77 58.24 68.54 61.41	.49 34 .35 .38 .34	240.5 273.5 273.5 273.5 394.0	100 100 100
							Configu	ration 55								
258	15.1	252 250	1.375	2.795	99.71 91.25	7.17×10-3		4.8 18.9	=	0.00521	561 706	309 456	79.17	1.14	131.6×10	100 100
240 241 242	15.1 15.1 15.0	249 255 256	1.375 1.375 1.362	2.785	98.93 100.10 99.52	12.84 15.35 18.07		27.8 41.8 56.9		-00923 -01116 -01327	929 1046	674 674 791	82.06 83.61 83.56 84.60	1.20 1.20 1.25	131.1 130.4 131.2	100 100 100
244 245 245 246	15.0 8.0 8.0	256 235 257 242	1.380 -735 -752 -732	2.005 1.494 1.488 1.488	100.50 97.58 97.76 98.46	23.61 7.17 9.92 12.64		10.3	=	.01711 .00978 .01355 .01727	1269 731 891 1018	1014 436 464 776	55.00 69.28 55.97 63.49	1.27	152.9 249.2 247.9 247.9	100
248	8.0 8-9	240	.735	1.490	98.32 98.05	15.35		42.3		-02094	1010	770	52.42	.68	262.1	100
Ē							Configu	ration 56								_
250 251	15.0	250	1.354	2.752	98.24 96-24	7.17×10-5 \$.92			=	0.00529	565 683	315 433	79.50 79.55	1.09	130.4x10 130.4	100
252	15.0 15.1	255 255 255	1.358 1.352 1.365	2.748	98.95 98.79 91.00	12.64 15.35 18.07		=	=	.00931 .01138 .01324	918 1024	541 663 769	80.57 81.50	1.12	130.9 130.2 129.5	100
256	15.0	252	1.365	2.780	99.41			L. <u></u>						.17	151.7	=
957		242	0.732	1.484	98.45	12.64110-3	Configur	ation 56		0-01727	1075	793	\$4.94	T	848.0010	100
254 269	1.0	235 240	.732	1.485	36.04 95.18	15.35 18.68	Configur	70.6 92.6		.02097	1130	889 525	41.57 48.28	0.69	248.0	100
250	18.0	256	1.375	2.795	100.80	4.49×10-3		7.0		0.00341	Blow	out			132.500	100
262 263 264	15.0 15.0 15.0	24.9 257 256	1.375 1.388 1.375 1.362	2.785 2.785 2.735 2.768	100.80 99.19 100.70 99.94	7.17 9.92 9.92		20.2 37.0 35.0	$\equiv$	.00522 .00524 .00721 .00728	591 715 725	342 458 467	87.94 85.99 85.86	1.22	131.0	100 100 100
265 266 267 268	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	250 251 256 259 253	1.362 1.367 1.367 1.362 1.370	2.768 2.778 2.778 2.768 2.788	98.82 99.33 100.00 100.10 95.82	9.92 9.92 12.64 19.64 19.64		37.2 38.0 49.1 56.0	=	.00726 .00726 .00926 .00928 .00923	718 724 843 860 851	468 473 593 601 596	87.00 88.21 87.91 88.88 88.61	1.20	151.5 151.8 151.8 151.8 151.5 132.0	100 100 100 100
270 271 272 273 273	15.1 18.0 15.0 15.0	253 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	1.367 1.367 1.375 1.365 1.369	2.778 2.778 2.795 2.774 2.782	38.46 99.86 100.90 99.18 99.35	12.64 15.35 15.35 15.35 15.35		51.9 74.1 82.0 87.1 90.2		.00925 .01123 .01118 .01125 .01121	854 981 994 994 984	804 726 736 743 754	89.53 89.78 91.66 91.73 90.94	1.22 1.28 1.35 1.26	130.9 131.9 132.7 131.6 131.9	100 100 100 100 100

TABLE III. - Continued. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

.

•

-

-

.

-

.

• ..

-

Pou	Combustor- inlet total pressure, F1, in. Ng abs	Combustor- inlet total temper- ature, T <sub>1</sub> , Cy	Air-flow rate, Lh/sev	Air-flow rate per unit gres, lb/(Sec) (sq ft)	Combustor reference velocity, Vr, ft/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 1% stage, 1%/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 200 stage, 1h/Aec	Fuel- menifold pressure, let stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), lb/sg in.	Fuel- menifold pressure, 2cd stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), lb/sq in-	Puel- air ratio	Mean combus- tor cutlet total temper- sture, Op	Nean dombus- tor temper- ature rise,	Combus- tion effi- cianoy, percent	Total pres- sure loss through combus- tor, af, in. Eg	Combus- tion param- etar, V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub> , ft, 1b, seo, oR units	Fuel in- jectod in let stags, percent of total		30 <u>4</u> 8
27	15.0	285	1.367	2.770	<b>#9.88</b>	15.08X10-3		Pation 37	· # ****	0.01525	1105	848	90.11	1.20	151.9×10"	100.00		
27 27 27 27	15.0 15.0 15.0	265 260 249 252	1.365 1.372 1.355 1.360	2,774 2.789 2.774 2.774 2.764	99.74 99.55 98.90 98.96	18.05 15.08 18.08 18.08		112.1 122.0 122.1 127.1	Ξ	.01325 .01318 .01326 .01329	11116	856 862 863	\$0.64 \$2.57 \$2.21 \$1.55	1.24	131.0 132.1 131.8 131.1	100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00		
26 26 26 26	15.0 15.0 15.0 18.0 15.0	256 250 252 254 254	1.377 1.370 1.375 1.375 1.370	2.799 2.785 2.795 2.795 2.795 2.785	100.80 99.40 100.00 100.50 99.96	20.85 20.85 20.85 25.00 24.89		152.1 155.2 167.1 212.1 235.1	H	.01514 .01529 .01518 .01716 .01617	1221 1217 1221 1319 1341	945 947 969 1065 1107	90.87 90.34 90.90 69.25 45.02	1.36 1.51 1.51 1.35	132.8 130.0 152.5 132.5 131.9	100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00		
28 28 28 28	15.0 15.1 15.0 15.0 15.0	258 250 256 256 257	1.365 1.367 1.375 1.370 1.373	2.774 2.778 2.795 2.785 2.785 2.791	100.30 98.53 101.20 100.20 100.60	9.46 9.47 11.47 13.66 15.65	5.19×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5.29 5.89 4.50 5.22	52.0 34.9 47.0 65.1 92.1		.00927 .00928 .01117 .01318 .01520	681 873 1009 1129 1251	622 625 749 875 1002	92.15 92.05 93.30 93.27 94.02	1.53 1.25 1.31 1.29 1.34	131.6 130.1 132.7 131.8 132.5	14.17 14.44 14.47 14.47		
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	15.1 15.0 15.0 15.3 15.2	957 250 250 257 249	1.382 1.370 1.572 1.374 1.370	2.809 2.785 2.789 2.783 2.785	100.60 99.55 98.38 97.96	17.69 17.69 17.6 <del>9</del> 19.69 19.69	5.86 5.86 6.61 6.63	135.0	IIII	.01704 .01718 .01718 .01914 .01921	1361 1565 1576 1465 1451	1104 1019 1126 1208 1202	93.34 52.07 94.61 92.01 91.09	1.35	131.5 132.0 132.1 126.4 129.6	76.18 75.18 75.18 74.87 74.81		
23222	15.0 15.1 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	251 250 251 251 251 250	1.372 1.360 1.370 1.570 1.375	2.789 2.784 2.785 2.785 2.785 2.785	99.89 98.02 99.54 99.54 101.20	11.69 21.61 23.50 25.11 6.33	6.63 7.22 7.65 6.39 6.31	175.1 202.1 252.1 16.0		.01918 .02120 .02289 .02465 .00919	1478 1578 1670 1739 853	1227 1329 1419 1456 595	93.28 92.33 92,22 91.23 94.42	1.39 1.45 1.45 1.25	132.1 129.2 132.0 132.0 132.7	74.81 74.96 76.94 76.86 50.10		
30 30 30 30	15.1 18.0 18.0 15.0 15.1	251 260 255 257 257	1.367 1.375 1.365 1.377 1.376	2.778 2.795 2.774 2.799 2.799 2.797	\$9.00 101.20 99.74 100.90 \$9.95	6.33 7.64 10.46 11.81	6.51 7.67 6.57 10.42 11.62	15.9 22.0 30.0 41.1	Ξ	.00925 .01115 .01519 .01616 .01717	838 953 1094 1225 1549	584 705 839 968 1091	88.52 87.88 89.34 90.56 91.56	1.29 1.26 1.13 1.55	130.9 152.8 131.6 152.0 150.1	50.10 48,90 50,16 50,10 41,58		
88888	15.0 15.3 15.0 15.0 15.0	251 257 252 252 252	1.372 1.375 1.372 1.372 1.365	2.789 2.795 2.788 2.788 2.785 2.785	99.69 98.77 99.83 99.83 99.54	11.82 13.14 13.16 14.43 14.43	11.65 15.12 15.11 14.44 14.44	58.0 62.9 67.0 62.0 82.1	17.0	.01724 .01913 .01916 .02104 .02110	1928 1498 1414 1835 1529	1047 1241 1162 1263 1277	87.22 94.74 88.14 69.65 68.95	1.52	158.2 127.2 152.2 152.2 151.9	49,10 50,11 50,13 49,56 49,56		*
31 31 31 31 31 31	15.0 15.0 15.1 15.0 15.0 15.0	265 252 255 255 255	1.376 1.372 1.365 1.372 1.360	2.801 2.789 2.774 2.789 2.605	100.70 99.85 99.08 99.08 99.97 100.80	14.43 15.61 15.61 14.76 16.76	14.44 15.67 15.67 16.78 16.78	85.0 97.0 100.0 106.0 102.0	24.0 24.0 38.0 32.0	.02098 .02990 .02292 .02448 .02430	1503 1641 1624 1719 1684	1245 1389 1369 1466 1429	87.42 90.45 68.68 89.85 89.85 87.80	1.59	132.8 132.2 128.9 132.2 133.0	38855 35555 55555		-
31 31 31 31	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	255 265 255 255 252	1.364 1.372 1.364 1.572 1.368	2.772 2.769 2.772 2.789 2.789 2.780	\$9.66 91.97 \$9.66 99.97 93.54	16.75 17.79 17.79 18.92 18.92	18.78 17.76 17.78 18.90 18.90	112.0 120.0 125.0 155.2	30.0 33,0	.02455 .02591 .02504 .02757 .02765	1704 1776 1775 1858 1858	1419 1525 1520 1506 1614	86.26 84.63 84.00 84.56 84.76	1.45 1.45 1.50	231.6 132.2 131.6 152.2 151.9	49,47 50,04 50,04 60,03 50,03		
32 32 32 32	15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1	265 265 262 255 255	1.362 1.358 1.372 1.362 1.364	2.768 2.790 2.769 2.768 2.772	98.86 99.30 99.63 99.63 99.00	18.92 18.92 20.03 20.05 20.05	18.90 18.90 19.99 19.99 19.99	140.0 139.0 143.0 155.0	57.A	.027177 .02765 .02917 .02938 .02938	1864 1853 1835 1930 1925	1809 1598 1681 1875 1670	88.18 87.87 88.52 87.42 87.24	1.48	129.5 130.2 132.2 129.4 129.9	80.08 80.03 80.08 50.06 50.06	•	
32 32 32 32 32	15.4 15.6 15.8 15.9 16.2	255 255 256 256 256 256	1.362 1.368 1.368 1.365 1.365	2.768 2.780 2.790 2.774 2.774	86,93 96.11 95.03 94.22 92.48	21.12 22.22 25.33 24.47 24.42	21.06 92.22 23.33 24.50 28.11	171.8		.03098 .03249 .03411 .03588 .03702	2003 2044 2105 2180 2205	1748 1769 1847 1904 1847	47.19 55.57 84.72 83.64 83.30		124.5 122.0 116.9 117.1 112.9	50,05 50,05 50,00 43,17 45,35		
83 83 83 83 83	15.0 15.0 15.0 5.0 8.0	258 257 258 231 235	1.375 1.370 1.373 .739 .733	2.795 2.785 2.791 1.488 1.490	100.90 100.40 100.70 96.92 97.61	3.14 3.86 4.51 4.42 7.18	9.47 11.53 13.83 17.7		IIII	.00922 .01125 .01314 .00603 .00950	733. 870 966 Blow 780	475 413 738 -out 545	70.28 75.33 77.41 75.93	1.15 1.17 1.21 .51	132.8 132.0 132.2 248.0 248.0	25,91 25,05 25,02 100,00 100,00		
33 33 35 35	8.0 8.0 8.0	238 235 236 238	.732 .735 .758 .726 .732	1.488 1.490 1.488 1.480 1.488	97.08 97.61 97.06 96.95 97.06	7.18 7.92 9.92 12.64 12.64	20.4 31.7 35.8 52.7 56.4			.01385 .01385 .01738 .01738	791 1000 1020 1194 1214	559 765 766 959 962	77.61 78.95 81.26 74.70 81.17	.64	248.0 248.3 248.0 248.0 248.0	100.00		
54 54 54 54	8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	255 251 229 230	.735 .726 .739 .730 .150	1.450 1.474 1.499 1.464 1.464	96.27 98.92 98.36 98.52	15.35 15.35 18.06 18.06 20.85		85.4 115.4 120.6 157.8		.02014 .02117 .02470 .02477 .02556	1548 1571 1384 1541 1578	1119 1153 1153 1112 1145	77.12 78.16 68.55 68.78 58.31	1 222	268.7 965.6 967.9 967.4 267.4	100.00		
34 34 34 34	8.0 8.0 8.0	222	155 155 159 159 159	1.454 1.454 1.454 1.484	96.03 97.46 95.79 96.79 87.07	9.48 11.47 13.56 15.64	5.19 5.19 4.50 4.20	32.4 47.4 91.4		.01721 .02104 .02474 .02456	1011 1276 1453 1545 1470	781 1044 1221 1513 1236	00.40 86.88 84.77 78.86 84.46	.68 .77 .77	249.1 247.5 247.5 247.5	12,14,00 12,14,00 14,00 14,00		
36 35 35 35	8.0 8.0 8.0 8.2	236 237 254 250	.753 .753 .750 .729	1.488 1.490 1.490 1.484 1.452	97.75 97.75 97.90 97.07 94.03	4.85 6.33 7.64 9.03 10.47	6.31 7.87 9.03	10.4 10.7 19.7 #0-4	Ξ	.01724 .03089 .02474 .02866	1180 1380 1486 1653	767 524 1113 1221 1425	78.02 78.27 77.38 72.61 74.77	.76	248.6 248.6 247.6 255.1	57.10 (9.90 10.00 10.12		
35 35 36 36 36	8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	235 237 257 256 206	.733 .733 .727 .724 .455	1.490 1.490 1.478 1.480 .925	97.61 97.90 95.81 96.25 77.65	3.13 5.85 4.53 5.22 2.74	11.53 13.63 15.44			.01729 .02100 .02454 .02565 .00801	648 1151 1535 1246 Blow	553 914 1095 1015	52.92 42.52 54.49 52.20		248.5 248.5 240.5 245.9 874.0	100,00		
36 36 36 36	8.0 8.0 8.0 6.0	208 210 211 205	.455 .455 .454 .456 .457	.925 .925 .927 .927 .927	77.62 77.65 77.71 78.17 77.64	1.40 7.17 9.92 9.92 9.92 9.92		57.5 37.5		.01575 .02184 .02175 .02170	1016 1256 1231 1256	485 808 1025 1029 1050	71.92 67.70 47.62 69.84	.30 .38 .38 .38	274.0 273.8 274.9 276.7	100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00		<b>.</b>

and the second second

Run	Combustor- imlat total pressure, Pi, in. Hg ats	Combustor- inlet total temper- ature, T <sub>1</sub> , oy	Air-flow Fate, 13/sec	Air-flow rate per unit area, ib/(sec) (se ft)	Combustor reference velocity, Vr, St/Sec	Fuel-flow rate, 1 <sup>21</sup> stage, 1b/sec	Fuel-flow rate, 201 stage, 1b/sec	Fuel- manifold pressure, ist stage (above combustor- inlet pressure), ib/sq in.	Fuel- menifold Frd stage (shove combustor- islet pressure), ik/sq in.	Fuel- air ratio	Mean contus- tor outist total temper- ature, oy	Mean contrus- tor temper- ature rise, oy	Combus- tion affi- ciency, percent	Total pres- sure loss, through combus- tor, AF, in. Hg	Combus- tion perma- teter, Vy/FiTi, ft, 1b, sso, on units	Fuel in- Jected in 185 stage, percent of total
<b>—</b>	l	L		L.		<u>ا</u>	Configu	ration 57	L		L	·	L		L	<u> </u>
365	6.0 6.0	211	0.457	0.829	78.34	9.92×10-3		34.3		0.02170	1247	1036	68.90	0.34	276.7×20	100.00
367 368 369	6.0 6.0 6.0	205 212 205	.456 .456 .457	.927 .927 .929	77.47 78.29 77.99	8.52 12.64 12.64		36.6	$\equiv$	.02174 .02772 .02765	1257 1261 1321	1062 1069 1113	69.84 56.84 59.15		274.9	100.00
370 371	6.0 6.0	21.0 205	.457 .456	.929	78.23 77.47	12.64		85.3	===	.02786	1290 1309	1070 1104	54.79 58.55	.34	275.5	100.00
372 373 374	0.0	207 208 212	.457	.929 .919	77.99	12.54 15.06 7.26	2.61×10-3	25.5	$\equiv$	.03300	BLow 1274	-out 1062	70.21	=	278.5	100.00 100.00 73.80
375 376 377	6.0 6.0	206 211 205	.457 .457	.929 .929	77.75	7.25 7.28 7.20	2.61	$\equiv$	$\equiv$	.02164	1256 1255 1260	1052 1044 2054	70.15 69.66 70.17		275.5	73.00 73.00 73.00
378 379		214 211	.452 .457	.919 .929	77.83	9.47 9.47	3.22 3.22			.05808	1484	1250	68.12 68.03	36	272.7	74.84
380 381 382 383 384	6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	211 206 210 210	.457 .456 .457 .457 .457	.929 .927 .929 .929 .929	78.34 77.76 77.76 78.25 78.25	9.47 9.47 4.98 4.98 6.33	3.22 5.22 5.00 5.00 6.31	34.8  11.6	Ξ	.02717 .02783 .02179 .02179 .02179	1503 1483 1221 1208 1523	1292 1276 1015 995 1313	69.19 68.06 67.08 85.96 70.67	.41 .41	275.5 274.8 275.5 275.5 275.5	74.64 74.64 49.79 49.79 50.10
385 356 587 386 389	6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	212 207 205 210 211	.457 .458 .457 .456 .457	.921 .927 .925 .927	78.46 77.70 77.89 78.06 78.34	6.33 6.33 1.61 2.47 5.19	6.31 6.31 5.33 7.47 9.50	$\equiv$	Ξ	.02766 .02772 .01562 .02181	1490 1469 974 1155 1231	1278 1262 765 945 1090	68.64 67.53 68.57 62.21 53.76	 .36 .39 .37	275.5 274.6 275.5 274.8 275.5	50.10 50.10 25.50 24.64 25.17
390 391 392 393	15.0 15.0 18.0 15.0	237 240 242 245 245	1.052 1.048 1.055 1.052 1.052	2.138 2.130 2.144 2.138 2.134	74.93 74.97 75.66 75.79	4.40 7.17 9.92 9.82 6.92		7.1 20.1 37.1 39.1	Ξ	.00419 .00584 .00940 .00943	Blow 691 875 875 877	-out 451 , 634 , 630	64.90 92.49 91.72 82.74	.70 .70	101.4 101.0 101.6 101.4	100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
395 396 397 398 398	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	257 239 250 242 248	1.051 1.053 1.045 1.045 1.048	2.136 2.140 2.124 2.130 2.132	74.86 75.29 75.82 75.18 75.90	12.64 15.35 15.35 15.35 18.08		54.1 90.1 95.0 100.0 127.0		.01203 .01458 .01469 .01465 .01465	1033 1208 1216 1215 1346	796 969 966 971 1096	\$2.18 94.20 93.37 94.01 91.69	.74 .74 .75 .77	101.3 101.5 100.7 101.0 101.1	100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
400 401 402 403	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	249 237 245 240 245	1.045 1.049 1.050 1.060 1.057	2.124 2.132 2.154 2.154 2.154 2.146	75.72 74.72 75.65 75.11 76.15	20.53 9.47 9.47 11.47 15.56	3.19 3.22 3.66 4.51	163.0 35.1 47.0 51.1 74.0	=	.01965 .01209 .01209 .01460 .01710	1459 1073 1079 1236 1380	1210 836 834 998 1135	89.50 96.64 96.42 97.07 95.68	.78 .75 .75 .80	100.7 101.1 101.2 101.2 101.8	100.00 74.76 74.64 74.52 75.04
405 406 407 408	16.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	249 249 248 245 238	1.043 1.048 1.045 1.049 1.053	2.120 2.130 2.324 2.132 2.132 2.140	75.57 75.93 75.72 75.56 75.11	15.64 17.69 19.69 3.58 4.96	5.24 5.86 6.63 3.60 5.00	100.0 123.0 152.0  12.1		.02002 .02247 .02519 .00683	1534 1646 1769 , Blow 835	1265 1397 1520 -out 597	94.07 92.24 90.75 86.42	.82 .53 .70	100.5 101.0 100.7 101.1 101.5	74.90 75.12 74.81 49.90 49.79
410 411 412 413 414	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	243 238 245 240 246	1.048 1.051 1.043 1.048 1.049	2.130 2.136 2.120 2.130 2.132	75.25 74.97 75.14 74.97 75.68	4.94 6.33 6.33 7.65 8.03	5.00 6.29 6.31 7.67 9.01	17.1 23.1	Ξ	.00950 .01201 .01212 .01452 .01452	839 1024 1029 1201 1350	596 786 783 961 1104	55.58 91.14 90.11 93.12 92.41	.75	101.0 101.3 100.5 101.0 101.1	49.79 50.18 50.10 49.95 50.04
418 416 417 418 419	15.0 15.0 18.0 15.0 15.0	249 249 245 235 245	1.045 1.042 1.049 1.054 1.049	2.124 2.118 2.132 9.142 2.132	75.72 75.50 78.58 74.86 75.56	10.47 11.82 1.81 2.47 2.47	10.42 11.63 5.33 7.44 7.46	45.0 57.0	Ē	_01999 _02270 _00661 _00941 _00948	1503 1635 8104 775 771	1254 1386 -out 540 526	91.79 50.58 78.23 75.69	.80 .83 .64	100.7 100.4 101.1 101.5 101.1	80.12 49.98 25.30 24.93 24.86
4212234 422234	15.0 15.0 15.0 16.0 15.0	238 243 238 246 258	1.051 1.045 1.049 1.049 1.777	2.136 2.124 2.132 2.132 3.612	74.97 75.07 74.93 75.68 130.60	3.19 3.19 3.85 4.51 5.50	11.63 15.50 11.53 15.53	7.0		.01207 .01214 .01465 .01720 .00310	944 975 1148 1304. Blow	706 732 905 1058	81.12 83.83 87.60 88.34	.69 .77	101.5 100.7 101.1 101.1 171.2	25.17 25.17 25.01 25.02 100.00
425 426 427 428 428	15.0 15.0 15.0 18.0 15.0	257 256 258 252 262	1.780 1.780 1.781 1.784 1.782	3.618 3.618 3.620 3.626 3.622	130.40 130.20 130.70 129.81 131.50	7.17 7.17 <b>5.8</b> 2 <b>5.9</b> 2 12.64		21.0 20.1. 36.0 37.1 62.1		.00405 .00403 .00587 .00556 .00703	495 506 593 561 684	242 250 335 329 422	79.80 82.45 90.60 79.13 80.47	2.01 2.07 2.09 2.15	171.5 171.5 171.6 171.6 171.9 171.7	100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
430 431 432 433 435	15.0 18.0 15.0 15.2 15.1	258 258 282 262 262 269	1.782 1.730 1.781 1.780 1.786	3.622 3.638 3.620 3.618 3.634	130.80 131.30 131.40 129.60 130.70	12.64 15.35 15.35 18.06 18.08		61.0 80.0 92.1 124.0 116.0		.00709 .00858 .00862 .01016 .01011	877 776 785 879 860	419 516 523 617 600	78.78 62.25 62.75 63.63 61.60	2.15 2.18 2.20 2.21 2.15	171.7 172.5 171.6 187.0 170.0	100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00
435 436 437 438 439	15.2 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	1.790 1.761 1.785 1.795 1.798	3.638 3.620 3.630 3.644 3.654	129.60 150.70 151.20 131.70 132.50	20.85 6.89 9.47 11.49 13.56	2.61 3.22 3.89 4.51	152.0 34.0 48.0 68.0		.01165 .00533 .00711 .00858 .01005	548 Blow 677 782 880	689 -out 418 523 620	82.10 79.46 85.10 84.94	2.12 2.15 2.23	168.0 171.6 172.1 172.8 173.2	100.00 72.52 74.64 74.71 75.04
440 441 442 443 444	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	259 256 256 261 267	1.782 1.780 1.790 1.780 1.786	3.622 3.618 3.638 3.618 3.618 3.630	130.90 130.60 131.30 131.20 151.20	6.33 6.33 8.33 7.65	5.00 -5.00 6.31 8.25 7.66	17.0 16.1 22.0		.00576 .00589 .00708 .00709 .00858	510v Blow 554 559 553	out 276 238 394	52.55 56.55 62.26		171.7 171.5 172.5 172.5 171.6 172.1	51.10 49.79 50.10 50.18 49.92
445 448 447 448 449	15.0 15.0 15.0 16.0 18.0	260 258 280 261 257	1.800 1.810 1.780 1.783 1.791	3.679 3.679 3.618 3.624 3.640	132.40 152.80 151.00 151.40 151.20	9.03 10.47 2.48 3.14 3.19	\$_01 10.42 7.44 9.50 \$.50	30.0 40.0	7.0	.01002 .01154 .00572 .00709	741 827 Blow- Blow- Blow-	481 569 -cut -out	65.64 67.98	2.16	173.4 174.4 171.5 171.8 171.8	50.04 50.12 25.01 24.83 25.17
450 451 452	15.0 15.0 15.0	259 262 260	1.790 1.779 1.782	3.638 3.616 3.622	131.50 131.30 131.10	3.86 3.86 4.51	11.54 11.53 13.53	$\equiv$	$\equiv$	.00880 .00859 .01012	Blow Blow Blow	out	$\equiv$	$\equiv$	172.5 171.4 171.7	25.07 25.10 25.02

TABLE III. - Concluded. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

.

~

-

-



Vent



CK-4



(a) Inlet thermocouples (chromel-alumel) and inlet total-pressure rake and bar in plane at station 1.

.

3



Figure 2. - Combustor pressure and temperature instrumentation.

8

1





(e) Inlet total-pressure bar.

Figure 3. - Concluded. Details of combustor instrumentation. (Dimensions are in inches.)



(a) Configurations 1-11. Extended primary zone, pilot unshrouded.



(b) Configurations 12-23. Collapsed primary zone, pilot shrouded.





-







(b) Configuration 37. Extended primary zone, pilot unshrouded.



(c) Configurations 34-36, 38-57. Collapsed primary zone, pilot shrouded.

Figure 5. - Diagrammatic sketches of experimental combustors employing two possible stages of fuel injection. Configurations 24-57. (Dimensions are in inches.)

.



...



Figure 6. - Diagrammatic sketches of four secondary-sleeve - pilot combinations. Pilot open-area pattern same in each configuration.





4

r

... ... .... ......

4

. ...

0-9=11

.. \_

Pilot

(a) Assembly and pilot.

Figure 7. - Configuration 57.

\_ \_ . .

3048

. . .

,



.

ε

. ..

. ..

- -

. .

. ...





Figure 8. - Effect of pilot fuel-nozzle capacity on combustion efficiencies of one pilot-air admission design. (Nozzles rated at 100 lb/sq in. pressure differential.)

33

3048

CK--5





Figure 9. - Effect of pilot shrouding on combustion efficiencies of one pilot-air admission design.

Fuel-air ratio (c) Condition C.

34

80

60

-

o

\*

e,



· · -

Figure 10. - Pilot open-area distribution of five configurations using small circular holes and longitudinal slots for air admission.

ся СП







Figure 12. - Pilot open-area distribution of two configurations with two methods of pilot-air admission. Total open-area approximately the same at any longitudinal position.

37

NACA RM E54A06





L

ł

.

...

**3048** 

.. .



Figure 14. - Pilot open-area distribution for best configurations embodying two methods of pilot-air admission.

39

NACA RM E54A06

-

2

3048

.

· •

-



Figure 15. - Combustion efficiencies of best configurations embodying two methods of pilot-air admission.

CK-6 3048



4

Figure 16. - Pilot open-area distribution for several pilots of various diameters and lengths.

41

NACA RM E54A06



.....

.

.

٢

.\_ ..

.. .

Figure 17. - Effect of pilot diameter on combustion efficiencies of four pilots having different lengths.

# 3048

ŧ

CK-6 back 3048 \*

-

-



Figure 18. - Effect of secondary-sleeve diameter on combustion efficiencies of a single pilot.

x.

- -



Figure 19. - Effect of changes in secondary-sleeve air-entry design on combustion efficiencies of same pilot.



(a) Inlet condition A. (Reference combustor data from ref. 8)

Figure 20. - Combustion efficiencies of best configuration (57).



. . .





NACA RM E54A06





. . . . .-

CK-7 3048



Figure 20. - Concluded. Combustion efficiencies of best configuration (57).



-

.

.. . .

•

. . -

- --. .



۲

.





Figure 21. - Concluded. Correlation and comparison of combustion efficiency data of figure 20.  $V_{\rm r}/1.3$  used for tubular combustors.

NACA RM E54A06





# 



Figure 23. - Pressure losses of best configuration (57).

ប្ដ

NACA RM E54A06





Figure 23. - Concluded. Pressure losses of best configuration (57).

54





NACA-Langley - 3-22-54 - 325

ប្អ



i Ļ

ŧ

and the second second

4

.

-