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## Cosmic Rays over a Wide Energy Range

- At lower energies, spectrum of cosmic rays is almost featureless.
- Only the "knee" at $3 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{eV}$
- The knee is due to a rigiditydependent cutoff, seen in composition.
- Kascade experiment: measures electron and muon components of showers.

- Model dependent, but indicative.
- Is it $\mathrm{E}_{\max }$ or containment?
- Low energy $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}=3 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{eV}\right)$ and sharp elemental cutoffs $\rightarrow$ limit comes from $\mathrm{E}_{\text {max }}$, rather than containment.
- Learn about galactic sources.
- Structure $\rightarrow$ Physics



## Big Change Expected at High Energies

- Expect two spectral features due to interactions between CR protons and CMBR photons.
- GZK cutoff due to pion production.
- Dip in spectrum due to e+epair production (the ankle).
- A third spectral feature is seen (second knee).
- Galactic/extragalactic transition.
- Learn about extragalactic sources; and propagation over cosmic distances.




## What Causes the Ankle? Two Interpretations

- 1. Extragalactic protons, losing energy by $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ production, are pushed to the left, excavating the ankle.
- 2. The (heavy) galactic spectrum is giving way to the (light) extragalactic one.
- Composition should provide the answer.



## Second Knee at $\sim 10^{17.6} \mathrm{eV}$

- Yakutsk, Akeno, Fly's Eye Stereo, HiRes Prototype/MIA all saw flat spectrum followed by a steepening in the power law. The break is called the second knee.
- Correct for varying energy scales: all agree on location of the second knee.
- There are THREE spectral features in the UHE regime. Location of second knee is not known accurately.
- Cause: galactic or extragalactic? source effect or propagation?
- Cause of second knee is unknown.




## GZK Cutoff

- Predicted in 1966 by K. Greisen, G. Zatsepin, and V. Kuzmin.
- Photons of CMBR interact with cosmic ray protons of extragalactic origin.
- Photoproduction of pions; $\Delta$ resonance is near threshold.
- Pion carries away $20 \%$ of proton's energy $\rightarrow$ strong energy-loss mechanism for protons, that travel $>50 \mathrm{Mpc}$.
- Causes a strong break in the spectrum.
- Should occur at about $6 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ (10J).


## Previous Experiments

- Several smaller experiments saw one super-GZK event each: Volcano Ranch, Haverah Park, Yakutsk, Fly's Eye.
- Akeno Giant Air Shower Array (AGASA) was the first experiment to be large enough to measure the spectrum at the
 GZK energy; they didn't see the cutoff.
- AGASA main evidence: 11 events above $10^{20} \mathrm{eV}$.
- This led some to question whether the GZK cutoff exists, and how it might be evaded.



## High Resolution Fly's Eye (HiRes) Experiment: Has the World's Highest Exposure (5xAGASA)

- HiRes is a fluorescence experiment.
- Fluorescence yield:
- Charged particles in cosmic ray air shower excite $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ molecules.
- Emit $\sim 5$ UV photons/mip/meter.
- 300-400 nm wavelength.
- High energy showers are bright.
- HiRes has two detectors located atop desert mountains in westcentral Utah. Operated from May, 1997 to April, 2006.
- Collected data on moonless nights: about $10 \%$ duty factor.
- Mono: wider energy range ( $10^{17.2}<\mathrm{E}<10^{20.5} \mathrm{eV}$ ), best statistics.
- Stereo: best resolution, $10^{18.5}<\mathrm{E}<10^{20.5} \mathrm{eV}$, fewer events.
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## Mirrors and Phototubes

- $4.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ spherical mirror
- $16 \times 16$ array of phototubes, .96 degree pixels.



## The Two HiRes Detectors

- HiRes1: atop Five Mile Hill
- 21 mirrors, 1 ring ( $3<$ altitude $<17$ degrees).
- Sample-and-hold electronics (pulse height and trigger time).
- HiRes2: Atop Camel's Back Ridge
- 12.6 km SW of HiRes 1 .
- 42 mirrors, 2 rings ( $3<$ altitude $<31$ degrees).
- FADC electronics (100 ns period).



## Two Calibrations

## Photon scale

- Absolute calibration by Xenon flasher
- Referenced to NIST- traceable photodiodes
- Checked by HPD, laser shots.
- Achieve 10\% absolute calibration.


## Atmospherics

- Molecular: density checked by radiosonde balloons from nearby airports.
- Aerosols: measured in situ by laser systems.
- Very clear, stable skies.
- <VAOD> $=0.04$
- $1 / 10$ of molecular optical depth
- Change by $\pm 0.02$ changes energy by $10 \%$ at 25 km .
- Aerosols vary slowly: typically constant over a night or two.
- HiRes has an excellent site.


## Measurement of Fluorescence Yield

- Three published results: Kakimoto et al., Nagano et al., and T461.
- Ratio of fit to (Kakimoto, Nagano, and T461) to fit to Kakimoto
$=1.00 \pm 0.06$



## Monocular Data Analysis

- Pattern recognition.
- Fit SDP.
- Time fit (HiRes2), $5^{\circ}$ resolution.
- Profile plot.
- Gaisser-Hillas fit.
- Profile-Constrained time Fit (HiRes1 PCF),
 $7^{\circ}$ resolution.


## HiRes1 Energy Reconstruction

- Test HiRes1 PCF energy reconstruction using events seen in stereo.
- Reconstructed energy using mono PCF geometry vs. energy using stereo geometry.

- Get same answer.


## Stereo Analysis

- Intersection of showerdetector planes determines geometry, $0.6^{0}$ resolution.
- Timing does as well for parallel SDP's.
- Two measurements of energy, $\mathrm{X}_{\text {max }}$. Allows measurement of
 resolution.


## Back of Envelope Energy Calculation

$E=\operatorname{area} \times \frac{d E}{d x}$
$E=\frac{1}{2} N_{\text {max }} \times 1000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \times 2 \frac{\mathrm{MeV}}{\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}}$
$E=1 \times 10^{9} N_{\max } \quad\left(\right.$ actually $\left.1.3 \times 10^{9}\right)$


- Energy determination is robust.
- Based on center of shower, not tails.
- Easy to Monte Carlo.


## Systematic Uncertainties

- Energy scale: total $=17 \%$
- Photon scale $10 \%$
- Mean dE/dx 10\%
- Fluorescence yield 6\%
- Missing energy 5\%
- Atmosphere 5\%
- Spectrum: total $=30 \%$


## The Monte Carlo Technique in Cosmic Ray Physics

- Two -step process: Corsika or Aires shower codes, using QGSjet or Sibyll hadronic generators, to generate showers. Followed by a detector simulation.
- Success is limited for ground arrays, due to "thinning" and poor prediction of tails of shower, particularly for muons.
- Success is good in the center of the shower, the part seen by fluorescence detectors.
- Techniques from HEP:
- Shower libraries: every event is an actual Corsika event.
- Simulation using previous measurements of the spectrum and composition.
- Simulation using exact detector conditions as a function of time.
- The data/MC comparison method for judging success of simulation.
- Development of model-independent acceptance calculation.
- Result for HiRes is an excellent calculation of the acceptance.


## Aperture Calculation

## Aperture $=\frac{\operatorname{Acc}(E)}{\operatorname{Thr}(E)} A \Omega$

- Need complete simulation of detector: create MC sample identical to the data.
- Put in spectrum, composition, as measured by Fly's Eye, HiResMIA, HiRes stereo experiments; use actual Corsika showers.
- Shower development
- Light emission, transmission, and collection
- Trigger and readout electronics
- Write out MC in same format as data.
- Analyze both with same program.
- Compare histograms of data and MC to judge success (or failure) of simulation.


## Compare Data to Monte Carlo: Judge success of simulation and acceptance calculation.

Inputs to Monte Carlo:
Fly's Eye stereo spectrum; HiRes/Mia and HiRes Stereo composition;
Library of Corsika showers.
Detailed nightly information on trigger logic and thresholds, live mirrors, etc.


Result: excellent simulation of the data, and an accurate aperture calculation.

## (Steeply Falling) Spectrum Calculation

$$
J(E)=\frac{D(E)}{A(E)} \frac{T(E)}{\text { Area } \times \Omega t d E}
$$

- If spectrum + resolution correctly modeled, $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{E})=$ constant.
- First order correction for resolution.
- Possible bias: GZK appears in data, but
 not in MC.
- Second order correction:

$$
b(E)=\left(\frac{T(E, n o G Z K)}{A(E, n o G Z K)}-\frac{T(E, G Z K)}{A(E, G Z K)}\right) D(E)
$$

- Bias is smaller than statistical uncertainties; correction reduces $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{E})$.



## Testing the Aperture

- Test the aperture calculation by limiting distances to the region to where the detector is fully efficient.

- Spectrum is invariant.
- Histogram of events’ energies shows ankle, high energy suppression.



## Monocular Spectra



HiRes1: 7/97-5/05
HiRes2: 12/99-8/04

We observe: GZK cutoff; ankle; second knee?

## Spectrum with Systematic Uncertainty from Composition

- Composition determines whether $<$ Xmax $>$ is in HiRes' field of view, or above.
- Different apertures for Corsika/QGSJet protons and iron; leads to systematic uncertainty below $10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$, which is larger than statistical uncertainty.
- HiRes can't say much about the second knee.
- The field needs an experiment, with wide enough energy range, which would see all three UHE cosmic ray features with good statistics!



## Add the HiRes Stereo Spectrum (absolutely normalized)



## HiRes and Other Experiments



HiRes, Fly's Eye Stereo, and HiRes/MIA


HiRes, AGASA, Auger(2005)

## $5 \sigma$ Observation of the Break in the Spectrum

- Broken Power Law Fits
- No Break Point
- Chi2/DOF $=162 / 39$
- One BP
- $\mathrm{Chi2} / \mathrm{DOF}=68.2 / 37$
- $\mathrm{BP}=18.63$
- Two BP's
- $\mathrm{Chi2} / \mathrm{DOF}=34.7 / 35$
- $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{BP}=18.63$
- $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{BP}=19.75$
- Difference in chi2 is equivalent to $5.6 \sigma$ observation.
- Two BP with extension to test hypothesis that a break is present.
- Expect 51.1 events
- Observe 15 events
- Poisson probability: $\mathrm{P}(15 ; 51.1)$

$$
=3 \times 10^{-9}(5.8 \sigma)
$$

- Independent statistics:
$\mathrm{P}(14 ; 44.9)=7 \times 10^{-8}(\mathbf{5 . 2 \sigma})$
- The break is present.


Break is at $(5.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$; GZK expected at $6 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$.
The break is at the GZK energy.

## The Break is at the GZK Energy!

## Use Berezinsky's Integral Spectrum Test

- $E_{1 / 2}$ is the energy where the integral spectrum falls below the power-law extension by a factor of 2 .
- Berezinsky et al.: $\log _{10} E_{1 / 2}$ $=19.72$, for a wide range of conditions.
- Use 2 Break Point Fit with Extension for the comparison.
- $\log _{10} E_{1 / 2}=19.73 \pm 0.07$
- Suppression is the
 GZK cutoff.


## "Test Beam" of High Energy Events

- Laser at Terra Ranch
- 35 km from HiRes-2, at edge of aperture.
- Vertical, 355 nm
- Fires at five energies, as bright as $40-125 \mathrm{EeV}$ showers.
- Efficiency for good-weather nights.
- Excellent trigger + reconstruction efficiency above GZK energy.
- The lack of high energy events is not an instrumental effect. It is due to physics.


GZK Cutoff

## <Xmax> Indicates Composition

- $<\mathrm{X}_{\max }>\rightarrow$ Composition $\rightarrow$ Galactic/Extragalactic Transition
- There is a model-independent break in slope at about $10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$.
- Heavy (galactic) nuclei decrease, give way to light (extragalactic)
 composition.
- Galactic/extragalactic transition is complete by about $10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$.
- The ankle is not the transition.



## HiRes Anisotropy Results: BL Lac Correlations

- Correlations between UHE cosmic rays' pointing directions, and BL Lac sources have been found individually in AGASA, Yakutsk, and HiRes stereo data, by P.Tinyakov, I.Tkachev, D.Gorbunov, S. Troitsky et al.
- HiRes stereo result:
- BL with $\mathrm{m}<18: 10^{-4}$ chance probability.
- Add HP sources: $10^{-5}$ chance probability.
- The HiRes result should not exist, since the $\sim 0.5^{\circ}$ resolution is smaller than expected magnetic deflections.
- This is a northern-hemisphere effect, since many fewer sources are known in the southern hemisphere.


## More Northern

## Hemisphere Anisotropy

- The Quartet: AGASA triplet + HiRes stereo high energy event; in Ursa Major.
- Dip near galactic anticenter, observed by AGASA and HiRes at lower energies.



## Upcoming Experiment: The Telescope Array (TA) and TA Low Energy Extension (TALE)

- TA surface detector: 576 scintillation counters, 1.2 km spacing.
- 3 TA fluorescence detectors overlook SD, $108^{\circ}$ in azimuth each.
- TA will cover E $>10^{18.5} \mathrm{eV}$
- TA will be running in spring, 2007.
- 2 TALE fluorescence detectors plus infill array:
- Stereo detector to observe the ankle with flat aperture.
- Tower detector + infill array to
 cover lower energies.
- Cover $10^{16.5}-10^{20.5} \mathrm{eV}$.


## TA Detectors



SD in Millard County, Utah
FD being deployed

## TA/TALE Layout

- Two 6-km stereo pairs: observe the ankle with flat aperture.
- Tower detector with 3 times larger mirrors: reach down to $10^{16.5} \mathrm{eV}$.
- Infill array for hybrid observation at the lowest energies.
- Cover $10^{16.5}-10^{20.5} \mathbf{e V}$.
- TALE doubles the high energy aperture.



## TA/TALE Aims

- Apertures:
- High energy aperture: $3000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster (3x HiRes)
- half SD events,
- half FD events (in mono, stereo, hybrid, stereo hybrid).
- 10x HiRes stereo aperture at $10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$.
- 10x HiRes/MIA hybrid aperture, $\mathrm{E}<10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$.
- Extend $\mathrm{E}_{\text {min }}$ down to $10^{16.5} \mathrm{eV}$.
- Measure all three spectral features in one experiment.
- Perform correlated spectrum-composition study at the second knee.
- Study the galactic-extragalactic transition:
- Mixed composition at low energies: Watch the heavy elements die away ( $\sim 10^{17.5} \mathrm{eV}$ ).
- Observe light composition above $10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$.
- Study anisotropy in the northern hemisphere.



## HiRes (plus Auger and TA) Lower-energy Limitations

- HiRes observes elongation above $10^{18.0} \mathrm{eV}$ clearly.
- HiRes looks up to $31^{\circ}$, can't see $X_{\text {max }}$ for close-by (low energy) events.
- Makes spectrum measurements difficult below $10^{17.5} \mathrm{eV}$.
- Composition bias for $\mathrm{E}<10^{18.0} \mathrm{eV}$.


Before bracketing and Cerenkov cuts

## Observe the Second Knee in

## Hybrid Mode with a Tower Detector

- Two improvements
- Use bigger mirrors.
- Look higher up.
- Tower detector with 3 x mirrors:
- 750 cm radius of curvature.
- Use HiRes-type phototubes with Winston cones.


- 15 mirrorss, $3 x$ HiRes area, in rings $3,4,5$.
-111 AGASA counters, spacing of 400 m , shown in red. Can see events hitting outside also.
- 10 x HiRes/MIA hybrid aperture.


## TA/TALE Anisotropy

- HiRes + AGASA see correlations with BL Lac's.
- Point source figures of merit at $10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ :
(HiRes has 31 events above bkg correlated with BL Lac's)


| Experiment | Aperture <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right.$ ster $)$ | Resolution | Figure of Merit <br> (A/Resolution $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HiRes stereo | $300(\mathrm{avg})$ | 0.5 deg | 1200 |
| TA/TALE stereo | 340 | 0.5 | 1360 |
| TA SD | 1500 | 1.5 | 667 |
| Auger SD | 6600 | 1.5 | $2933 \quad(1000)$ |
| TA/TALE hybrid <br> stereo | 260 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{2 6 0 0 0}$ |

Multi-energy observations are important!

## TA/TALE - Auger Comparison

| Item | TA/TALE | Auger |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hemisphere | Northern | Southern |
| Energy range | $10^{16.5}-10^{20.5} \mathrm{eV}$ | $10^{18.5}-10^{20.5} \mathrm{eV}$ |
| Total Aperture | $3000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster | $8000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster |
| Good Resolution Aperture | $1500 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster | $800 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster |
| Poor Resolution Aperture | $1500 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster | $7200 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ster |
| Galaxy Center/Anticenter | $\mathrm{No} / \mathrm{Yes}$ | $\mathrm{Yes} / \mathrm{No}$ |
| BL Lac's | Yes | No |

## Structure $\rightarrow$ Physics

- We have a good idea what causes the
- Knee
- Ankle
- GZK cutoff
- We have a pretty good idea where the galactic/extragalactic transition is.
- The biggest unanswered question is: What is the second knee?
- Study it using correlated
 spectrum/composition measurements.


## Summary

- HiRes has observed the GZK cutoff. It occurs at $(5.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$.
- We see the "ankle" of the cosmic ray spectrum.
- We have evidence for the galactic/extragalactic transition.
- We have hints of interesting anisotropy.
- We will continue these studies with TA and TALE.

