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# I. Recent Developments in High-Altitude Meteorological Balloons 

Eric Nelson<br>Kaysam Corporation of America Poterson, New Jersey

Two years ago I was accorded the privilege of speaking to you here about two new types of special-purpose balloons which had recently been developed. It is gratifying to be asked to talk to you again for two reasons. First, because I must conclude that the previous address was of interest to at least a few of you. Second, because it is good to know that the humble, rather shabby looking, dusty meteorological balloon still finds its place among its glamorous polyolefin sisters and its noisy boisterous brothers, the rockets.

During this two-year interval we have been concentrating on providing balloons capable of reaching ever higher altitudes with greater reliability. It is my purpose to acquaint you with the vehicles which are now available for high-altitude soundings and research. At the same time, I want to describe a technique which resulted from the development of the ML-566 fast-rise balloon that simplifies the launching of large balloons and further improves their reliability and performance.

The largest balloons which are supplied in high volume quantities are the ML-537 balloons, which are purchased by the Armed Forces, and its counterpart purchased by the U.S. Weather Bureau and identified as the $1200-\mathrm{g}$ balloon. The ML-537 is required to reach an altitude of $110,000 \mathrm{ft}$ by day and by night at an

[^0]ascensional rate of at least $1,000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$. The altitude requirement for the Weather Bureau is slightly lower, being $31,500 \mathrm{~m}$ or $103,500 \mathrm{ft}$. Considerable data is, of course, available for this type of balloon, and in order to demonstrate the reliability of this vehicle we are using the test data obtained by the U.S. Weather Bureau during the course of the last production contract. These balloons were manufactured by the Kaysam Corporation of America and the flight data presented as Table 1 were obtained with balloons selected at random from production lots by Weather Bureau inspectors and flown by Weather Bureau personnel.

Table 1. Flight Performance of $1200-\mathrm{g}$ Balloons

|  | Percentage of Flights Reaching |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 105,000 ft | 110,000 ft | 115,000 ft | 120,000 ft |
| Total of 140 flights | 89 | 81 | 56 | 30 |
| October 1965 Day | 86 | 57 | 50 | 21 |
| Night | 93 | 93 | 71 | 43 |
| November Day | 72 | 57 | 29 | 0 |
| Night | 100 | 100 | 80 | 40 |
| January Day | 100 | 100 | 75 | 50 |
| Night | 40 | 40 | 20 | 0 |
| February Day | 67 | 50 | 17 | 0 |
| Night | 67 | 50 | 17 | 0 |
| March Day | 100 | 100 | 63 | 25 |
| Night | 73 | 64 | 18 | 9 |
| April Day | 100 | 94 | 67 | 19 |
| Night | 89 | 89 | 67 | 45 |
| July Day | 93 | 93 | 62 | 54 |
| Night | 92 | 92 | 67 | 42 |

In all cases a nozzle lift of $2,800 \mathrm{~g}$ was employed and the flights were conducted over a period of about eight months, including midwinter. Rather than list the individual flights, we have grouped them in altitude ranges, showing the number of flights which exceed a given altitude as a percentage of the total number of flights conducted.

The reliability of this balloon is clearly demonstrated by these results; almost 90 percent of the flights reach the minimum altitude required by the specification and 30 percent flew to altitudes of more than $120,000 \mathrm{ft}$. It is interesting to observe that although the balloons perform almost equally well by day and by night, there is a noticeable improvement in the altitudes reached during the summer months. We will make further reference to this phenomenon.

The next largest balloon which carries an Armed Forces nomenclature is the ML-564. This balloon has a day and nighttime altitude requirement of $120,000 \mathrm{ft}$ and a weight specification of $1,800 \pm 100 \mathrm{~g}$. This balloon is purchased in relatively small quantities and the data available are, therefore, much more limited than that for the ML-537 balloon. However, it is possible to present sufficient data to illustrate this balloon's capabilities and the results of a series of carefully monitored flights is shown in Table 2. .

Table 2. Flight Performance of 120 G and 120 GH Balloons 120 GH Ballons

| Type | Altitudes Reached |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Day Flights | Night Flights |
| 120GH | 140,597 | 136,808 |
|  | 126,896 | 131,620 |
|  | 135,076 | 143,205 |
|  | 129,902 | 131,903 |
|  | 129,665 | 131,502 |
| 120G | 125,933 | 42,146 |
|  | 140,092 | 135,860 |
|  | 132,917 | 127,953 |
|  | 133,789 | 65,282 |
|  | 135,984 | 123,432 |
|  | 131,542 | 124,292 |
|  | 137,005 | 127,618 |
|  | 134,938 | 123,050 |
|  | 124,016 | 133,927 |
|  | 131,220 | 118,438 |
|  | 139,879 | 133,730 |
|  | 127, 195 | 130,850 |
|  | 133, 234 | 134,003 |
|  | 140, 308 |  |
|  | 127,759 |  |

These flights were conducted under the supervision of Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories and we would point out that the data presented are for this entire group of balloons and not a collection of carefully screened flights. Only if a flight were terminated because of the inability of the tracking equipment to follow the balloon were the results omitted from this tabulation.

It is again clear from the data that a vehicle which is very reliable to the $120,000-\mathrm{ft}$ level is now available with equal performance and capabilities at night and during the day. It should be noted that the first five balloons in each group were substantially heavier than the remaining balloons and that these balloons appear to be somewhat more consistent in performance. Although they were no larger than the other balloons, it appears that the somewhat greater wall thickness does result in a more reliable balloon. By increasing the length somewhat further, however, a balloon capable of reaching an altitude of at least $130,000 \mathrm{ft}$ can be obtained. Less data are available for this balloon, but Table 3 gives an indication of the performance obtainable from this type of balloon which weighs about $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ and has a length of approximately 160 in .

The same compound was used for the manufacture of all the balloons described so far. As in many other fields, however, making a balloon which will reach still greater altitudes is not simply a question of increasing the size. Numerous other factors, including the ability of the heavier balloon to carry its own greater weight and the duration of the flight itself, necessitate building certain characteristics into the balloon compound. In order to reach altitudes of $140,000 \mathrm{ft}$ and higher, a specially designed compound for use at such altitudes was developed in the laboratories of the Kaysam Corporation of America. Calculations showed that a balloon made from this compound, weighing in the order of $4,000 \mathrm{~g}$, and having a flaccid length of about 240 in ., should be capable of reaching an altitude of at least $150,000 \mathrm{ft}$. At the time of the original manufacture of these balloons the altitude reached for an expanding meteorological balloon was $146,000 \mathrm{ft}$, which had been attained by a balloon weighing about $6,000 \mathrm{~g}$. Other balloons of this weight had also exceeded altitudes of $140,000 \mathrm{ft}$, but their performance was generally very erratic.

Table 3. Flight Performance of 130G Balloons

| Day Flights | Night Flights |
| :---: | :---: |
| 146,283 | 136,778 |
| 123,812 | 134,360 |
| 130,659 | 139,180 |
| 135,636 | 113,707 |
| 147,844 | 139,340 |
| 137,470 | 136,253 |
| 139,314 | 138,500 |
| 147,000 | 114,300 |
| 127,953 | 135,500 |
| 114,000 | 124,500 |

The size of these balloons is a basic cause of their erratic performance. A balloon having a flaccid length of 240 in . has a surface area of over 500 sq ft . In order to reach an altitude of $150,000 \mathrm{ft}$ the balloon has to be capable of expanding uniformly until its surface area has increased to almost $8,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$. One small defect, such as a minute pinhole or small thin spot which prevents that particular area from expanding the required amount, can lead to premature rupture and more or less considerable reduction in bursting altitude. Hence, not only the manufacturing process itself must be conducted with meticulous care, but the inspection of the finished balloon must be carried out to the same exacting standards.

This balloon is for use in the daytime only and a limited number of flights were conducted by U.S. Army Electronics Research and Development Laboratories at Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. Five of six balloons were successfully tracked to burs and the altitudes ranged from $127,000 \mathrm{ft}$ to $147,800 \mathrm{ft}$. Two of these five balloons reached altitudes in excess of $140,000 \mathrm{ft}$; the remaining three reached altitudes of $127,000,135,000$ and $139,000 \mathrm{ft}$. However, a much larger series of flights has just been completed in West Germany with this type of balloon.

The flights covered a period of about eight months and the results obtained are shown in Tables 4 and 5. The flights are given in chronological order with the date of the flight as well as the bursting altitude. Table 4 shows the performance of balloons from September, 1965, through April, 1966. The performance during the month of September was generally extremely good, and the balloon appears to be almost as reliable as the $1200-\mathrm{g}$ balloon flown by the U.S. Weather Bureau, the performance of which was shown originally in Table 1.

During the winter months, however, there was a sharp deterioration in performance and as a result the number of flights was sharply curtailed, being restricted to a few evaluation flights. This situation persisted until April when there was a marked improvement in performance. Table 5 shows the results obtained during the months of May and June. It is immediately obvious that at this time of the year, and presumably from April through September, this balloon provides an extremely useful and reliable vehicle for high-altitude meteorological observations and research. Actually, during the month of June 67 percent of the balloons reached altitudes of over $150,000 \mathrm{ft}$, which surpasses the original performance in September of last year when 64 percent reached altitudes of more than $140,000 \mathrm{ft}$ but only 27 percent reached the $150,000 \mathrm{ft}$ level.

It has been noted that many of the problems associated with the manufacture of this balloon are due to its physical size. In a similar manner the size of the balloon also produces difficulties in inflation and launching. Furthermore, the duration of the flight can be a problem in itself. With a rate of ascent in the order of 1,000 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$, a flight to $150,000 \mathrm{ft}$ takes $2-1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$. This is uncomfortably close to the life of the battery in a standard radiosonde and there is a danger that the flight may

Table 4. Flight Performance of 140D Balloons Flown in West Germany from September 1965 to April 1966

| Date Flown | Altitude | Date Flown | Altitude |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $9 / 1 / 65$ | 152,000 | $1 / 20 / 66$ | 26,000 |
| $9 / 3 / 65$ | 155,500 | $2 / 21 / 66$ | 46,000 |
| $9 / 6 / 65$ | 148,500 | $3 / 29 / 66$ | 23,000 |
| $9 / 8 / 65$ | 152,000 | $4 / 1 / 66$ | 26,000 |
| $9 / 11 / 65$ | 148,500 | $4 / 5 / 66$ | 144,000 |
| $9 / 13 / 66$ | 111,500 | $4 / 7 / 66$ | 125,000 |
| $9 / 15 / 65$ | 148,500 | $4 / 19 / 66$ | 111,000 |
| $9 / 16 / 65$ | 121,500 | $4 / 22 / 66$ | 147,000 |
| $9 / 17 / 65$ | 135,000 | $4 / 25 / 66$ | 157,000 |
| $9 / 20 / 65$ | 148,500 | $4 / 26 / 66$ | 95,000 |
| $9 / 22 / 65$ | 42,000 | $4 / 26 / 66$ | 131,000 |
| $11 / 5 / 65$ | 137,500 | $4 / 27 / 66$ | 141,000 |
| $12 / 22 / 65$ | 135,000 | $4 / 28 / 66$ | 75,500 |
| $12 / 28 / 65$ | 142,000 | $4 / 28 / 66$ | 137,500 |
| $1 / 6 / 66$ | 30,000 | $4 / 30 / 66$ | 154,000 |
| $1 / 7 / 66$ | 132,000 |  |  |
| $1 / 17 / 66$ | 23,000 |  |  |

Table 5. Flight Performance of 140D Balloons Flown in West Germany from May to June 1966

| Date Flown | Altitude | Date Flown | Altitude |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 1 / 66$ | 43,000 | $5 / 30 / 66$ | 147,000 |
| $5 / 2 / 66$ | 134,000 | $5 / 31 / 66$ | 164,000 |
| $5 / 3 / 66$ | 151,000 | $6 / 1 / 66$ | 138,000 |
| $5 / 4 / 66$ | 151,000 | $6 / 3 / 66$ | 151,000 |
| $5 / 7 / 66$ | 29,500 | $6 / 6 / 66$ | 161,000 |
| $5 / 11 / 66$ | 66,000 | $6 / 7 / 66$ | 158,000 |
| $5 / 12 / 66$ | 128,000 | $6 / 8 / 66$ | 33,000 |
| $5 / 13 / 66$ | 141,000 | $6 / 9 / 66$ | 168,000 |
| $5 / 14 / 66$ | 138,000 | $6 / 10 / 66$ | 72,000 |
| $5 / 16 / 66$ | 128,000 | $6 / 12 / 66$ | 151,000 |
| $5 / 17 / 66$ | 147,000 | $6 / 13 / 66$ | 158,000 |
| $5 / 18 / 66$ | 62,000 | $6 / 14 / 66$ | 158,000 |
| $5 / 24 / 66$ | 56,000 | $6 / 15 / 66$ | 161,000 |
| $5 / 25 / 66$ | 147,000 | $6 / 21 / 66$ | 79,000 |
| $5 / 28 / 66$ | 111,500 |  |  |

terminate because of battery failure rather than balloon burst. Furthermore, if high winds are encountered aloft then the angle may become low enough to result in the signal being lost through ground interference.

It was felt that the carrier balloon technique which resulted from the development of the ML-566 balloon could be adapted to the high-altitude balloon with
advantage. The MI.-566 balloon is designed to reach an altitude of $100,000 \mathrm{ft}$ at over 1, $700 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$. This balloon consists of a high-altitude balloon enclosed inside a two-piece streamlined balloon, as illustrated in Figure 1. The inner balloon, which is approximately twice the length of the outer balloon, is initially folded as indicated. In the case of the ML-566 the folds in the inner balloon have virtually disappeared when the assembly has been inflated and is ready for launch (see Figure 2). As the assembly rises the streamlined outer balloon provides for rapid ascent during the early stages of the flight, and this balloon is designed to burst at about $50,000 \mathrm{ft}$.

Figure 3 illustrates the appearance of the assembly after the outer balloon has ruptured and fallen away. The inner ballon, which during the early part of the flight flies in a horizontal position, as it were, now turns through $90^{\circ}$ and assumes the normal position for a meteorological balloon with the neck at the lowest point.


Figure 1. Carrier Balloon Assembly


Figure 2. Carrier Balloon Assembly at Release

Although the assembly was originally developed to provide faster rates of ascent, there are other advantages when it is used in conjunction with very highaltitude balloons. As already mentioned, the Kaysam 140D balloon has a flaccid length of about 20 ft . If inflated in the normal manner to a free lift of $2,000 \mathrm{~g}$, which is recommended for this balloon, the balloon has a vertical height of a little
more than 20 ft . This is too great for most inflation shelters which usually have doors not more than 12 ft high. Therefore it becomes necessary to tie off the balloon near its equator during inflation and to take the balloon out of the shelter in this condition. The tie is then removed and the gas allowed to rise into the upper part of the balloon which is then released. The possibilities of damaging the balloon film by this procedure are substantial, particularly if winds exceed 10 knots.

By enclosing the high-altitude balloon inside a carrier balloon, however, the danger of damage to the inner balloon is eliminated. Furthermore, since the carrier balloon is much smaller than the inner balloon, the problem of its excessive length is also overcome and inflation and launching can be carried out in conventional shelters or from an automatic launching device.

In addition to the increased ease of handling and launching which the carrier technique provides, the outer balloon provides protection against atmospheric attack during the early part of the flight. This is admittedly a somewhat tenuous advantage in that there is little evidence that balloon flights do terminate as the result of atmospheric attack. However, it had been observed in the past that there appeared to be an upper limit of about $145,000 \mathrm{ft}$ beyond which a meteorological sounding balloon was incapable of flying. One thought is that this limit was set by the duration of the flight or time of exposure of the balloon to the atmosphere. Should this be the case, then the protection afforded by the outer balloon during the early stages of the flight would permit the inner balloon to reach higher altitudes by reducing the length of time during which it was subject to atmospheric attack.

The fact that the carrier technique also increases the ascensional rate, thereby still further reducing the total flight time, also minimizes the possibility of failure by atmos pheric deterioration of the balloon film. The reduction in overall flight time also decreases the possibilities of the flight being lost due to battery failure, and because the more rapid rate of rise provides higher angles from the ground tracking equipment the chances of a flight being lost due to interference from the ground are also substantially reduced.

The use of a carrier balloon system has, therefore, numerous practical advantages and flights are now being conducted with this type of assembly. At the present time the number of such flights is quite limited, and it is by no means clear that this technique is enabling the balloons to reach higher altitudes. Table 6 shows the performance obtained with these balloons so far. Although the highest altitude obtained in the United States by a meteorological balloon of the expanding type was with this type of assembly, there have been higher altitudes reported from West Germany with single balloons. However, the advantages in ease of handling and more rapid rate of ascent still render the carrier balloon system worthy of consideration, particularly where the inflation and launching

Table 6. Flight Performance of High-Altitude Carrier Balloon Assemblies

| Altitude | Rate of Ascent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 151,634 | 1309 |
| $145,000 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1368 |
| 140,662 | 1240 |
| $133,244 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1196 |
| $136,194 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1345 |
| 143,842 | 1262 |
| 156,390 | 1511 |
| $139,215 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1290 |

area is restricted. They could, for instance, be used successfully on ships where such conditions prevail. Furthermore, the reliability of the balloon appears to have been greatly improved; the lowest altitude recorded is $133,244 \mathrm{ft}$ and not because of a balloon burst.

In conclusion, we may say that meteorological balloons of the expandable type are now available which are capable of reaching altitudes of as high as 120,000 and $130,000 \mathrm{ft}$ with a high degree of reliability. By enclosing these types of balloons in carriers, the rate of ascent can be raised from as little as $1,000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$ to at least $1,300 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{min}$ with virtually no loss in altitude. In addition, there is also a balloon capable of reaching altitudes of over $140,000 \mathrm{ft}$ and frequently over $150,000 \mathrm{ft}$ with good consistency. Use of this balloon is limited at present to the hours of daylight. Research aimed at providing a similar vehicle for use at night is being conducted.
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