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## ExECUTIVE SuMMARY

Past and continuing discharges of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have contaminated the natural resources of the Hudson River. The Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees - New York State, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Department of the Interior - are conducting a natural resource damage assessment (NRDA) to assess and restore those natural resources injured by PCBs.

As part of the Hudson River NRDA, the Trustees are conducting an avian egg injection study. As described in the Trustees' Avian Egg Injection Study Plan, one of the contaminants of concern being tested in the study is a PCB mixture. This report provides the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) report on the preparation of the PCB mixture used in the Trustees' avian egg injection studies. The USGS report also describes the rationale for the selection of PCB congeners used in the mixture and the preparation of dosing solutions used in the avian egg injection studies.
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## 

Past and continuing discharges of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have contaminated the natural resources of the Hudson River. The Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees - New York State, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Department of the Interior - are conducting a natural resource damage assessment (NRDA) to assess and restore those natural resources injured by PCBs.

In 2002, the Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees released an Assessment Plan for the Hudson River (Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees, 2002). That Assessment Plan documented that natural resources of the Hudson River had been, and continued to be, exposed to contamination by PCBs , and provided information regarding three major steps in the assessment: pathway and injury determination, injury quantification, and damage determination and restoration. That Assessment Plan further noted that the Trustees were considering conducting injury determination and quantification for a number of Hudson River resources, including birds, and that the Trustees' currently proposed approach to injury determination and quantification entailed several specific investigations focused on birds, including a preliminary avian evaluation, a breeding bird survey, a bird egg study, an evaluation of avian exposure from feeding on floodplain organisms, and bald eagle monitoring.

In 2004, the Trustees released the "Study Plan for Year 2004 Avian Investigations for the Hudson River - Final, Public Release Version" (Avian Injury Study Plan), dated June 15, 2004 (Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees, 2004). That Avian Injury Study Plan described the activities that constituted the Trustees' planned approach to conducting investigations of injury to avian species as part of the Hudson River NRDA, including an avian egg injection study.

In 2006, the Trustees released the "Study Plan for Avian Egg Injection Study" (Avian Egg Injection Study Plan), dated May 12, 2006 (Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees, 2006, 2007).

## -0.

The Avian Egg Injection Study Plan noted that the objective of the investigation is to evaluate the toxicity and adverse effects of embryonic exposure of multiple avian species to dose ranges of PCB 126 or a PCB mixture. That PCB mixture, made up of individual PCB congeners, fits a profile similar to the mixture of PCBs occurring in the eggs of birds nesting in the Upper Hudson River. Appendix A of this report provides the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) report on the preparation of the PCB mixture used in the Trustees' avian egg injection studies. The USGS report also describes the rationale for the selection of PCB congeners used in the mixture and the preparation of dosing solutions used in the avian egg injection studies.
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## Introduction

The Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees are conducting studies to evaluate whether avian reproduction and/or development is injured as a result of exposure to PCBs from the Hudson River. As part of the NRDA, Japanese quail egg injection studies have been conducted in order to establish the effective dosage and reliable measurement end points that will then be applied to tests in other avian species. This report describes the rationale for selection of the PCB congeners selected for testing in avian species and the preparation of the mixture used in the avian egg injection studies with Japanese quail.

The mixture of PCBs, and possibly dioxins and furans, present in biota from the Hudson River are largely the result of releases of Aroclors into the river (see NRDA websites for details: http://www.fws.gov/contaminants/restorationplans/hudsonriver/hudsonriver.cfm or http://www.dec.state.ny.us/website/dfwmr/habitat/nrd/). Aroclors are the commercial names for heat transfer fluids used in electrical components such as capacitors and transformers. Aroclors were chiefly comprised of polychlorinated biphenyls, but also contained polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) as a by-product of manufacture and use (Erickson et al. 1989). Based on our current knowledge of toxicity in avian species, PCBs have a subset of congeners which can bind the Ah-receptor and also cause dioxin-like toxicity. PCBs with chlorine atoms at one (mono-) or no (non-) ortho positions of the biphenyl rings and chlorine atoms on the lateral positions (meta and para) of the biphenyl rings fall into this dioxin-like category of toxicity. These non-ortho-chloro PCBs and mono-ortho-chloro PCBs are referred to as "dioxin-like PCBs." Other PCB congeners have been shown to work through different modes of action and can cause neurotoxicity, malignant transformations of cells and tissues, as well as other untoward effects in exposed species. However, knowledge of the exact mechanisms by which the non-dioxin-like PCB congeners cause these effects is limited.

The amounts of PCDFs in Aroclors although small on a mass basis, are significant on the basis of toxicological potency. Thus, any mixture that is prepared to evaluate the toxicological potency of chemicals associated with the use and release of Aroclors into the Hudson River, must consider the toxic potency of PCDFs as well as PCBs. The relative toxicological importance of PCDFs in fish and wildlife species in the Hudson River is a direct function of the exposure experienced by the particular species. Fundamental principles of toxicokinetics (uptake, distribution, metabolism, and elimination) in the organism are important variables for the assessment of PCDFs, as well as PCBs and other chemicals which bioaccumulate and contribute to dioxin-like toxic equivalents (TEQs). The environmental fate of the PCDFs and PCBs present in Aroclors is dependent, in part, on physical chemical properties of the individual congeners (persistence, volatility, sorption, etc). Thus, the chemical exposure experienced by a species in the Hudson River ecosystem is a function of its trophic level and placement in the food web.

## Rationale and Design of PCB Congener Mixture

The rationale for selection of chemicals to include in a mixture representing avian species found in the Hudson River basin was based on the toxicological concerns for these chemicals (ie. exposure and toxicity). Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins (PCDDs) and PCDFs are both referred to as "dioxin-like" because they exert their toxicity through the same cytosolic receptor (Ah-receptor) and cause the same suite of toxicological symptoms in avian species. Certainly, no model of toxicity comparable to that of dioxin-like toxicity (van den Berg et al. 1998) is available for the non-dioxin-like PCB congeners. Therefore, toxicological evaluation and assessment of PCBs is logically divided into two categories,
dioxin-like PCBs and the non-dioxin-like PCBs. The lack of a detailed model of toxicity for the non-dioxin-like PCB congeners forces us to evaluate these congeners on the basis of mass or simple concentrations. The dioxin-like PCBs, on the other hand, can be evaluated based on concentration and their individual potency (toxic equivalency factor, TEF). Thus, evaluation and selection of PCB congeners to include in a mixture for toxicological testing in Japanese quail was based on concentrations of the non-dioxin-like PCB congeners and the toxic potencies (concentrations X TEF) for each of the dioxin-like PCB congeners. The evaluation of PCDD and PCDF congeners was based on their potency to cause dioxin-like effects and the relative amounts present in avian wildlife from the Hudson River.

## Chemical Data on Belted Kingfisher, Spotted Sandpiper, and Tree Swallows from the Hudson River

The exposure data on belted kingfisher, spotted sandpiper, and tree swallows collected from the Hudson River in 2004 (Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees 2005) was the main source of information for the selection of chemicals that comprised the mixture for toxicity testing. A summary of the chemical exposure data used for the selection of congeners that were added to the synthetic mixture are provided for this report. The data summary for the selection process is provided in two manners: 1) toxic potencies or TEQs for the dioxin-like PCB, PCDD, and PCDF congeners (Table 1); and 2) mean concentrations and their ranks for the non-dioxin-like PCBs (Table 2).

The TEQs present in the avian species collected from the Hudson River in 2004 were remarkable with respect to the concentrations of PCB-related TEQs as compared to PCDF- and PCDD-related TEQs (Table 1). In all three of these species the PCB-related TEQs were $99 \%$ or greater of the total TEQs observed in each species. This is remarkable in the sense that even though we know that Aroclors released into the Hudson River were first and foremost PCBs, they also contained PCDFs as manufacturing by-products. Some of these PCDFs would be subject to metabolism (eg. 2,3,7,8-TCDF) and might not be observed at significant concentrations for this reason. The overall conclusion that can be drawn from these data is that any dioxin-like toxicity observed or expected in developing embryos of birds from the Hudson River study area appears to be almost exclusively related to PCBs. Consequently, our toxicity testing in Japanese quail is focused on PCB congeners that are present. Testing of the contributions of PCDFs and PCDDs to the developmental toxicity of the dioxin-like chemicals in these avian species would necessarily have to take a much smaller research or assessment priority.

Evaluation of the non-dioxin-like PCB congeners present in these avian species from the Hudson River basin was based on the concentrations of the chemicals present, the rank order of their concentrations within the eggs of a species, and the cumulative percent of total PCBs attributable to individual congeners (Table 2). The PCB congener concentrations (ng/g, ranked from greatest to least) are followed by a column of the relative percentage of each congener as compared to the total PCB concentration for the species, and the last column under each species is a cumulative percentage as compared to the total PCB content in that species.

The composition of the PCB congeners with the greatest concentrations in each species was fairly consistent. As one evaluates the cumulative percentage of the congeners, starting from the congener with the greatest concentration, there are a large number of congeners (or congener pairs) which are the same in each of the three species. If one looks at the first 36 congeners for each species, 29 of 36 congeners are the same when comparing the congeners found in greatest concentrations in the tree swallow to that of the belted kingfisher, while 31 of the first 36 congeners are the same between the
spotted sandpiper and the belted kingfisher. The cumulative percentages of individual PCB congener contributions to total PCBs up until the $36^{\text {th }}$ ranked congener (or congener set) are approximately $95 \%$, $90 \%$ and $88 \%$ for spotted sandpiper, belted kingfisher, and tree swallow, respectively. That is to say, the first 36 PCB congeners (or congener sets) account for approximately $88 \%$ of the total PCB content in tree swallows; $90 \%$ of the total PCB content in belted kingfisher; and $95 \%$ of the total PCB content in spotted sandpiper.

## Design of a PCB mixture similar to Hudson River spotted sandpipers

The congener composition developed for the laboratory toxicity testing was based on the spotted sandpiper eggs collected from the Hudson River in 2004. The rationale for the selection was based on: 1) the greater concentrations of total PCBs and TEQs in the eggs of the spotted sandpiper; 2) the large degree of similarity between the congener patterns in tree swallows, spotted sandpipers and belted kingfisher eggs from the Hudson River samples; and 3) the high concentrations of PCBs observed in spotted sandpiper eggs in 2004, suggesting that this species might be a candidate for an egg injection study. The first 36 PCB congeners (or congener sets) in the spotted sandpiper were selected from the list (Table 2). This represented approximately $95 \%$ of the total PCB content measured in spotted sandpiper eggs on a mass basis. Additionally, the dioxin-like PCBs were added to the mixture based upon their importance from a toxicological standpoint. Thus, the dioxin-like PCB congeners that were not among in the first 36 congeners or congener sets were added to the PCB mixture in direct proportion to their concentrations found in spotted sandpiper eggs (Table 2), even when their concentrations were small and the ranks of the dioxin-like PCBs were below the first 36 congeners. Accordingly, another 9 congeners were included in the synthetic PCB mixture on this basis. The exact concentrations of the dioxin-like and non-dioxin-like PCB congeners in the mixture reflect the concentrations found in the spotted sandpiper egg samples.

The final selection of congeners to be included into the PCB mixture was based on the composition of PCBs in the spotted sandpiper eggs taken in the initial 11 samples collected from the Hudson River in 2004, the presence of these congeners in Aroclor mixtures, and the relative amounts of some congeners in Aroclors. The field identification and species of these 11 samples were as follows: 1) BK04-105-E, Belted Kingfisher; 2) BK04-150-E, Belted Kingfisher; 3) BK04-171-E, Belted Kingfisher; 4) BK04-216-E, Belted Kingfisher; 5) SS04-22-E1, Spotted Sandpiper; 6) SS04-140-A-E, Spotted Sandpiper; 7) SS04-237-A-E1, Spotted Sandpiper; 8) TS04-JV-40-EA, Tree Swallow; 9) TS04-JV-42-EA, Tree Swallow; 10) TS04-REM-83-EA, Tree Swallow; and 11) TS04-RI-128-EA, Tree Swallow. The analysis of PCB congeners included co-elution of some congeners (Table 2). The first 36 ranked congeners or congener sets included 18 pairs of congeners that co-eluted and 5 congener sets that potentially included three co-eluting congeners (Table 3). Construction of the congener mix for toxicity testing required us to evaluate the possible composition of each individual congener within a set of co-eluting congeners. The composition of individual congeners within a set was estimated from the composition of these congeners within a 1:1:1:1 Aroclor 1242:1248:1254:1260 mixture. Of the 18 pairs of co-eluting congeners, 13 of the pairs contained a congener that was present in only very small amounts ( $<1 \%$ ) relative to the amount of the other co-eluting congener. The PCB congeners with relative proportions of $<0.01$ (ie. $<1 \%$ ) as compared to the other co-eluting congener were omitted from the PCB mixture (Table 3). Thus, PCB congeners 80, 61, 106, 73, 76, 127, 120, 160, 86, 93, 182, 111, and 107 were not added to the PCB mixture, due to negligible concentrations assumed to be present. The individual congeners of the 5 remaining co-eluting pairs of congeners were added into the PCB mixture at concentrations proportional to their relative amounts in the Aroclor 1:1:1:1 mixture (Table 3).

The same selection criteria and rules for proportions were used for the 5 sets of co-eluting congeners that appeared in the top 36 ranked congeners or congener sets from the original data set on spotted sandpiper eggs (Table 2). Congeners 90 and 116 were omitted from the mixture, due to assumed negligible amounts. The remainder of the individual congeners in the 5 sets of triplet peaks were added into the PCB mixture at the amounts given in Table 3. The composition of the PCB mixture resulted in 58 individual PCB congeners; the 49 congeners selected based on their importance to the total mass of PCBs and the 9 congeners selected based on their dioxin-like toxicological properties (Table 3). The 58-congener PCB mixture, with proportions equivalent to that of the congener composition of spotted sandpiper eggs from the Hudson River, was special ordered from AccuStandard, Inc. (New Haven, CT; Table 4).

The objective of this work was to prepare the mixture of PCB congeners and develop a serial dilution set of dosing solutions to be used to study PCB-related toxicity in avian embryos and chicks. The remainder of this report describes the preparation of six concentrations (doses) of the custom 58 -congener PCB mixture emulsified in $0.75 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) corn oil:1,2-propanediol and an emulsified $0.75 \%$ corn oil:1,2-propanediol blank under sterile conditions. These solutions were prepared for use as egg injection dosing solutions in a Japanese quail egg dosing study conducted at the University of Maryland. The solutions may potentially be used in subsequent egg injection studies on other species.

## Preparation of custom 58-congener PCB mixture dosing solutions

## PCB mixture order and receipt

The custom 58-congener PCB standard dissolved in $250-\mathrm{mL}$ of isooctane and corresponding $250-\mathrm{mL}$ of blank isooctane were purchased from AccuStandard, Inc. (New Haven, CT; Invoice \# 270876). The custom PCB congener solution and isooctane blank were received in separate brown glass bottles with Teflon-lined screw caps. AccuStandard personnel used their exact measured weights of each congener and GC/MS -based congener purities to generate a list of "prepared concentrations" and "certified analyte concentrations" provided in the Certificate of Analysis for the custom PCB congener standard solution (Attachment 1). A similar certificate of Analysis was provided for the isooctane blank (Attachment 2).

Upon receipt at CERC, the custom PCB congener package was opened by CERC staff and the bottles were inspected for concurrence with attached documentation. Both bottles were received in good condition and documentation was verified. To verify these solution concentrations triplicate $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ sub-samples of each were taken with a $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ Hamilton syringe and placed in cleaned amber $1.5-\mathrm{mL}$ autosample vials with yellow Teflon-faced septa screw caps. The autosample vials were weighed before and after sample addition using both a Mettler AE260 and a Mettler-Toledo A6245 so that mass of the transferred volume could be determined. The six samples were given to the CERC Organic Chemistry section for analysis. The 58-congener PCB mixture and the isooctane blank were secured and stored in a locked box placed in the CERC Biochemistry Section hazardous compound storage area.

## Glassware preparation

Transfer tubes - Two 30-mL glass culture tubes with Teflon-faced rubber lined screw caps (Fisher \# 149 3010G, $20 \times 125 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were used for transfer and sub-sampling of the original 58-congener PCB mixture from AccuStandard. We prepared these transfer tubes by solvent rinsing with three consecutive
washes of acetone, securing the Teflon-lined screw cap during each rinse to eliminate any residual manufacture contaminants in both the tube and cap. These transfer tubes were numbered ( $8 \& 9$ ) by etching and any residual acetone was allowed to evaporate. Two tube heating blocks with accompanying nitrogen purge apparatuses were prepared in separate hoods, one designated for the PCB mixture (tube \#8) and one for the blank (tube \#9). Heating blocks were set to maintain heat at approximately $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (range $32-38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Dose solution vials - Six new 3-mL reaction-vials (Sigma, Z115096) and corresponding black Teflonlined screw caps (Sigma, Z164313) were etched with a number and marked for volume at 0.80 and 1.6 mL using ultra pure water, ultra filtered $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ASTM Type I water (Hydro Service \& Supplies, Inc. Research Triangle Park , NC). Each was then solvent rinsed with three consecutive washes of acetone, securing the Teflon-lined screw cap during each rinse to eliminate any residual water or manufacture contaminants. Rinsed vials and caps were air dried in the fume hood. Six additional new $3-\mathrm{mL}$ reaction vials were etched with a number and marked for volume at 0.50 and $1.0-\mathrm{mL}$ and processed as described above. Each vial and cap was etched with the same number and vial and cap weights were recorded separately and as a pair so they could be used together.

## Sub-sampling of the PCB mixture and procedural blank from AccuStandard

We calculated that $50-\mathrm{mL}$ of the $250-\mathrm{mL}$ original 58-congener PCB mixture ( $20 \%$ ) would be required to make the dosing solutions for the Japanese quail egg injection studies (Table 4). Using new, sterile, 10 mL , serological pipette $12.5-\mathrm{mL}$ of the 58 -congener isooctane mixture received from AccuStandard was transferred to one of the pre-washed, pre-weighted, $30-\mathrm{mL}$ culture tubes (labeled \#8). A second new, sterile, $10-\mathrm{mL}$, serological pipette was used to transfer $12.5-\mathrm{mL}$ of the isooctane blank received from AccuStandard into another pre-washed, pre-weighted, 30 mL culture tubes (labeled \#9). The tubes were placed into separate, pre-designated heating blocks and a gentle stream of nitrogen was used to evaporate the solvent (isooctane) in each tube. The volume of each tube was concentrated to approximately 3 mL and then another 12.5 mL of the original 58-congener PCB mixture from AccuStandard (for tube \#8) or the isooctane blank received from AccuStandard (for tube \#9) were carefully added to the respective transfer tubes. The cycle of transfer and evaporation (from the 58-congener PCB mixture solution to transfer tube \#8 or the isooctane blank to transfer tube \#9) was continued until a total of 50 mL of the original solutions (PCB or blank) from AccuStandard had been added to each tube. After the addition of the final 12.5 mL aliquot, the volume in each transfer tube was allowed to concentrate to approximately 1 mL .

The concentrated 58-congener PCB solution (transfer tube \#8) was quantitatively transferred using a baked Pasteur pipette to a previously prepared 3-mL dosing solution vial, labeled 3-2. Vial 3-2 was placed in the PCB designated heating block and secured with gently purging nitrogen to facilitate evaporation of the isooctane washes. Transfer tube \# 8, containing the PCB mixture, was rinsed 12 times with 1 to $1.5-\mathrm{mL}$ isooctane and each rinsate was transferred to vial $3-2$ as space was made available due to evaporation. The isooctane blank was treated in exactly the same manner, transferring the contents of transfer tube \# 9 to $3-\mathrm{mL}$ vial labeled 3-1. The isooctane blank solution in transfer tube \#9 was rinsed 12 times and rinses transferred to vial 3-1, which was placed in the isooctane heating block. After the final rinse was transferred, the heating block temperatures were reduced to approximately $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and both vials (vial 3-1 and vial 3-2) were concentrated to the pre-etched mark at 0.8 mL (described above).

## Preparation of PCB mixture stock emulsion and procedural blank emulsion

The dosing solutions of the PCB mixture were prepared as emulsions of $0.75 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ corn oil in 1,2-propanediol (propylene glycol) to attain concentrations great enough for toxicity testing. A PCB stock emulsion (in vial labeled 3-2) and procedural blank emulsion (in vial labeled 3-1) were prepared from the sub-samples described above. The targeted concentration of the PCB stock emulsion dosing solution was $246 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ PCB/ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ in a final volume of $1.6-\mathrm{mL}$. A procedural blank emulsion was also prepared. These emulsions were prepared as follows. A small amount of sterile corn oil (Sigma, Cat. \# C8267, batch 103 K 0107 , density $0.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added to each of the $3-\mathrm{mL}$ vials; $12.2-\mu \mathrm{L}$ to vial $3-1$ (blank) and $12.7-\mu \mathrm{L}$ to vial 3-2 (PCB mixture), calculated based density. Each vial was gently mixed by slow vortexing. This was done to allow the corn oil to act as a co-solvent for the PCB congeners and the rest of the isooctane was allowed to evaporate. Any residual isooctane was allowed to evaporate under gentle nitrogen purge with the vials maintained at approximately $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The vials were periodically mixed by slow vortexing. The evaporation was continued until vials reached a constant weight and the masses of the contents (PCB congeners) in each of the two vials were determined by difference.

Filter sterilized 1,2-propanediol (Fisher \# P355-1, density $1.036 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added to both vials to bring them to the previously marked volume of $1.6-\mathrm{mL}$. Calculated volumes based on the masses of the 1,2-propanediol added confirmed the volume added to each vial. The contents of each 3-mL vial were vigorously vortex-mixed until they became thoroughly emulsified. The resulting emulsion of the 58-congener PCB standard dosing stock solution (vial 3-2) was at a target concentration of $246 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ PCB/uL, while the procedural blank emulsion (vial 3-1) was the negative control for the toxicity testing blank dosing solution.

## Serial dilution of the PCB mixture stock emulsion

The various dosing solutions were prepared by serial dilution of the stock PCB mixture emulsion. A sterile emulsion of corn oil and 1,2-propanediol (propylene glycol) was first prepared to make all of the subsequent dilutions. The sterile stock emulsion of $0.75 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ corn oil:1,2-propanediol was prepared by combining $101.2-\mu \mathrm{L}$ of sterile corn oil with $13.4710-\mathrm{mL}$ of sterile 1,2-propanediol in a sterile $15-\mathrm{mL}$ glass culture tube with a Teflon-faced rubber-lined screw cap (volumes confirmed by weight). This was vigorously vortex-mixed to produce a stable emulsion. The six 3-mL vials previously prepared, weighed and marked at 0.5 and $1.0-\mathrm{mL}$ were arranged so that they were consecutively numbered, 3-8 to $3-12$. A $500-\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquant of the 58 -congener PCB standard dosing solution stock emulsion (vial 3-2) was transferred to the empty vial 3-7 under sterile conditions. In the same manner, a $500-\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquant of the procedural blank dosing solution emulsion (vial 3-1) was transferred to vial 3-4. Under sterile conditions, a $500-\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquant of the freshly vortex-mixed sterile stock emulsion of $0.75 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ corn oil:1,2-propanediol from the $15-\mathrm{mL}$ culture tube was transferred to each of the vials $3-8,3-9,3-10,3-11$, and 3-12. The 58-congener PCB mixture emulsion dosing solution stock (vial 3-2) was freshly vortex-mixed and using a calibrated Rainin P-1000, $500-\mu \mathrm{L}$ was transferred to vial 3-8. This resulted in a 2 -fold dilution and vial 3-8 was vigorously vortex-mixed. In the same manner, $500-\mu \mathrm{L}$ was transferred from vial 3-8 to vial 3-9 resulting in a 4 -fold dilution of the original PCB emulsion. This process was repeated to produce the entire dosing solution dilution series (Table 5).

## Sub-sampling of dosing solution emulsions for chemical analysis

A $20-\mu \mathrm{L}$ sample of each dosing solution (Table 5) was taken and placed in previously prepared amber $1.5-\mathrm{mL}$ autosample vial with Teflon-faced septa screw caps. The autosample vials were weighed before and after sample addition using a Mettler AE260 so that mass of the transferred volume could be determined. The seven samples were given to the CERC Environmental Chemistry Branch for analysis. The results of these analyses will be reported in a separate report from the Environmental Chemistry Branch, CERC.

## Shipment of the dosing solution emulsions

Each vial listed in Table 5 was individually bagged in a $4 \times 4$ "Zip-loc" type plastic bag, placed in a 3 mL vial shipping box and all were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in preparation for shipment. All other vials or bottles containing solutions prepared in this procedure were returned and secured to a locked box. The 3 mL vial shipping box containing the dosing solutions was packed in a Styrofoam shipping box with approximately 10 lbs. dry ice and shipped via FedEx with the appropriate documentation to the laboratory conducting the avian egg injection studies with Japanese quail.

## Nominal concentrations of PCBs and TEQs in the dosing solutions and eggs

The certified concentrations provided by AccuStandard (Attachment 1) were used to calculate the nominal concentrations of individual PCB congeners in each of the emulsified egg dosing solutions (Table 6). Briefly, for each congener we calculated the mass in $50.0-\mathrm{mL}$ of the original $250-\mathrm{mL}$ 58-congener PCB standard stock solution from AccuStandard (Table 4). This mass was converted to concentration units ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) using the final volume of the PCB stock dosing solution emulsion ( $1.60-\mathrm{mL}$ in vial 3-2). Subsequently, dosing solution concentrations for the individual congeners were calculated based on dilution factors (Table 5). Finally, individual PCB congener egg dose concentrations were calculated by multiplying the dosing solution concentration of each congener times the injection volume $(4 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{egg})$ and then dividing by the average mass of a Japanese quail egg ( $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{egg}$ ). Expected doses of each of the 58 PCB congeners were calculated in this fashion, for each of the six dosing solutions (Table 6).

For example: The AccuStandard certified concentration for PCB congener 28 (2, 4, 4’trichlorobiphenyl) of $817.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, was multiplied by 50.0 ml , resulting in $40,880 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of PCB congener 28. Next, we divided by 1.60 mL and then by $1000 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mL}$ to give $25.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$; the concentration of PCB congener 28 in the emulsified stock dosing solution ( $98 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ total PCB/g egg, vial 3-7). The PCB congener 28 concentration/g egg (expected nominal dose) was calculated by multiplying $25.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ by $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, dividing by $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{egg}$ and finally multiplying by $1000 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{g}$ to obtain $10,220 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ (Table 6). The names for each of the dosing solution emulsions (column headers) were given as the nominal total PCB dose expected to be delivered to an individual Japanese quail egg from that solution (Table 6). Thus, the six dosing solution emulsions were referred to as $98,49,25,12,6$, and $3 \mu \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg doses (column headers in Table 6).

The dioxin toxic equivalents (TEQs) expected to be delivered to a quail egg at each dose were also estimated (Table 7). The dose from the 12 PCB congeners with dioxin-like potency (from Table 6) was multiplied times the potency of the congener (toxic equivalency factor, TEF) as determined for avian wildlife (van den Berg et al. 1998). The sum of the individual congener TEQs was estimated for each of
the dosing solutions. The six dosing solution emulsions, referred to as $98,49,25,12,6$, and $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg (Table 6), had total TEQs of approximately12,600 pg/g, 6,300 pg/g, 3,100 pg/g, 1,600 pg/g, $790 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{g}$, and $390 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{g}$, respectively (Table 7).
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Tables

Table 1. Concentrations (pg/g) of dioxin-like toxic equivalents (TEQs) derived from PCBs, PCDDs, and PCDFs measured in spotted sandpiper, belted kingfisher, and tree swallow eggs collected from the Hudson River basin in 2004*.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tree swallow } \\ \text { TEQ } \\ (\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{g}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Belted Kingfisher TEQ ( $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Spotted Sandpiper TEQ (pg/g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB-81 | 600 | 600 | 1700 |
| PCB-77 | 2000 | 650 | 1500 |
| PCB-123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCB-114 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| PCB-126 | 200 | 900 | 500 |
| PCB-167 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCB-156 | 4 | 5 | 16 |
| PCB-157 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| PCB-169 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCB-189 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCB 118/106 | 4 | 5 | 16 |
| PCB 105/127 | 17 | 23 | 76 |
| PCB Sub-total | al 2828 | 2187 | 3818 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PECDD | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HXCDD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HXCDD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HXCDD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HPCDD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OCDD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCDD Sub-total | al 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PECDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PECDF | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HXCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HXCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HXCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HXCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HPCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HPCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OCDF | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCDF Sub-total | al 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Total TEQs | 2837 | 2194 | 3823 |

TEQs based on concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polychlorinated dibenzo-pdioxins (PCDDs), and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) measured in eggs collected in 2004 and avian TEF values (van den Berg et al. 1998). Concentrations of co-eluting congeners PCB-118/PCB-106 and PCB-105/PCB-127, were assumed to be all PCB-118 and PCB-105, respectively, for TEQ estimation purposes. Concentrations for the PCB congeners are based on GC-LR/MS analysis and concentrations of PCDDs and PCDFs are based on GC-HR/MS analysis of the initial 11 avian egg samples taken in 2004 (see text for sample identification numbers).

Table 2. Concentrations ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ egg), relative amount (\% of total), and cummulative percentage of rank ordered polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners measured in the eggs of birds collected along the Hudson River in 2004.

|  | Tree swallow averages (ng/g) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% | Belted Kingfisher averages (ng/g) Rel. \% |  |  | Cumm.\% | Spotted Sandpiper averages (ng/g) Rel. \% |  |  | Cumm.\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL PCB | 8203 | 100 |  | TOTAL PCB | 9198 | 100 |  | TOTAL PCB | 25579 | 100 |  |
| PCB 52/73 | 506 | 6 | 6.2 | PCB 138/163/164 | 642 | 7 | 7.0 | PCB 28 | 2548 | 10 | 10.0 |
| PCB 28 | 459 | 6 | 11.8 | PCB 153 | 580 | 6 | 13.3 | PCB 66/80 | 2290 | 9 | 18.9 |
| PCB 66/80 | 426 | 5 | 17.0 | PCB 52/73 | 566 | 6 | 19.4 | PCB 74/61 | 1679 | 7 | 25.5 |
| PCB 70/76 | 409 | 5 | 21.9 | PCB 118/106 | 548 | 6 | 25.4 | PCB 118/106 | 1604 | 6 | 31.7 |
| PCB 31 | 382 | 5 | 26.6 | PCB 66/80 | 523 | 6 | 31.1 | PCB 47/48/75 | 1538 | 6 | 37.8 |
| PCB 49/43 | 370 | 5 | 31.1 | PCB 47/48/75 | 492 | 5 | 36.4 | РСB 138/163/164 | 1410 | 6 | 43.3 |
| PCB 118/106 | 368 | 4 | 35.6 | PCB 74/61 | 428 | 5 | 41.1 | РСВ 90/101/89 | 1289 | 5 | 48.3 |
| PCB 138/163/164 | 347 | 4 | 39.8 | PCB 90/101/89 | 410 | 4 | 45.5 | PCB 52/73 | 1250 | 5 | 53.2 |
| РСВ 90/101/89 | 318 | 4 | 43.7 | РСВ 99 | 395 | 4 | 49.8 | PCB 49/43 | 1099 | 4 | 57.5 |
| PCB 41/71/64/68 | 316 | 4 | 47.5 | PCB 49/43 | 386 | 4 | 54.0 | РСВ 153 | 1016 | 4 | 61.5 |
| PCB 74/61 | 305 | 4 | 51.3 | PCB 110 | 304 | 3 | 57.3 | PCB 99 | 998 | 4 | 65.4 |
| PCB 47/48/75 | 283 | 3 | 54.7 | PCB 28 | 282 | 3 | 60.4 | PCB 70/76 | 913 | 4 | 68.9 |
| PCB 153 | 266 | 3 | 58.0 | PCB 105/127 | 227 | 2 | 62.9 | PCB 105/127 | 764 | 3 | 71.9 |
| PCB 110 | 259 | 3 | 61.1 | PCB 149/139 | 218 | 2 | 65.2 | PCB 31 | 743 | 3 | 74.8 |
| PCB 44 | 231 | 3 | 63.9 | PCB 41/71/64/68 | 181 | 2 | 67.2 | PCB 56/60 | 720 | 3 | 77.6 |
| PCB 56/60 | 214 | 3 | 66.5 | PCB 187/182 | 164 | 2 | 69.0 | PCB 41/71/64/68 | 645 | 3 | 80.2 |
| PCB 99 | 178 | 2 | 68.7 | PCB 180 | 160 | 2 | 70.7 | РСВ 110 | 453 | 2 | 81.9 |
| PCB 105/127 | 172 | 2 | 70.8 | PCB 56/60 | 144 | 2 | 72.3 | РСВ 85/120 | 437 | 2 | 83.6 |
| PCB 95/93 | 146 | 2 | 72.6 | PCB 31 | 139 | 2 | 73.8 | PCB 87/115/116 | 330 | 1 | 84.9 |
| PCB 87/115/116 | 138 | 2 | 74.3 | PCB 70/76 | 136 | 1 | 75.3 | PCB 128 | 250 | 1 | 85.9 |
| PCB 42/59 | 137 | 2 | 76.0 | PCB 85/120 | 128 | 1 | 76.7 | PCB 149/139 | 217 | 1 | 86.8 |
| PCB 149/139 | 125 | 2 | 77.5 | PCB 95/93 | 123 | 1 | 78.0 | PCB 92 | 213 | 1 | 87.6 |
| PCB 37 | 119 | 1 | 78.9 | PCB 87/115/116 | 120 | 1 | 79.3 | PCB 180 | 180 | 1 | 88.3 |
| PCB 97/86 | 99 | 1 | 80.1 | PCB 92 | 115 | 1 | 80.6 | PCB 158/160 | 178 | 1 | 89.0 |
| PCB 85/120 | 83 | 1 | 81.1 | PCB 146 | 108 | 1 | 81.7 | PCB 146 | 165 | 1 | 89.6 |
| PCB 180 | 70 | 1 | 82.0 | PCB 170/190 | 99 | 1 | 82.8 | PCB 97/86 | 159 | 1 | 90.3 |
| PCB 128 | 61 | 1 | 82.7 | PCB 128 | 87 | 1 | 83.8 | PCB 156 | 155 | 1 | 90.9 |
| PCB 26 | 52 | 1 | 83.4 | PCB 111/117 | 86 | 1 | 84.7 | PCB 95/93 | 155 | 1 | 91.5 |
| PCB 92 | 50 | 1 | 84.0 | PCB 63 | 74 | 1 | 85.5 | PCB 187/182 | 141 | 1 | 92.0 |
| PCB 132/168 | 48 | 1 | 84.6 | PCB 91 | 62 | 1 | 86.2 | PCB 170/190 | 132 | 1 | 92.5 |
| PCB 16/32 | 47 | 1 | 85.1 | PCB 158/160 | 54 | 1 | 86.8 | PCB 111/117 | 130 | 1 | 93.0 |
| PCB 170/190 | 47 | 1 | 85.7 | PCB 156 | 53 | 1 | 87.3 | PCB 141 | 118 | 0.5 | 93.5 |
| PCB 22 | 46 | 1 | 86.3 | PCB 199 | 52 | 1 | 87.9 | PCB 130 | 84 | 0.3 | 93.8 |
| PCB 158/160 | 44 | 1 | 86.8 | PCB 151 | 51 | 1 | 88.4 | PCB 107/109 | 81 | 0.3 | 94.1 |
| PCB 91 | 44 | 1 | 87.3 | PCB 44 | 49 | 1 | 89.0 | PCB 137 | 78 | 0.3 | 94.5 |
| PCB 146 | 42 | 1 | 87.9 | PCB 107/109 | 47 | 1 | 89.5 | PCB 42/59 | 71 | 0.3 | 94.7 |
| PCB 156 | 42 | 1 | 88.4 | PCB 196/203 | 46 | 0.5 | 90.0 | PCB 144/135 | 65 | 0.3 | 95.0 |
| PCB 77 | 40 | 0.5 | 88.8 | PCB 132/168 | 42 | 0.5 | 90.4 | PCB 91 | 65 | 0.3 | 95.2 |
| PCB 33/20/21 | 38 | 0.5 | 89.3 | PCB 72 | 41 | 0.4 | 90.9 | PCB 132/168 | 60 | 0.2 | 95.5 |
| PCB 17 | 38 | 0.5 | 89.8 | PCB 97/86 | 38 | 0.4 | 91.3 | PCB 63 | 60 | 0.2 | 95.7 |
| PCB 18 | 36 | 0.4 | 90.2 | PCB 183 | 38 | 0.4 | 91.7 | PCB 114 | 57 | 0.2 | 95.9 |
| PCB 141 | 35 | 0.4 | 90.6 | PCB 177 | 37 | 0.4 | 92.1 | PCB 119 | 50 | 0.2 | 96.1 |
| PCB 63 | 34 | 0.4 | 91.0 | PCB 141 | 36 | 0.4 | 92.5 | PCB 167 | 49 | 0.2 | 96.3 |
| PCB 15 | 33 | 0.4 | 91.4 | PCB 130 | 31 | 0.3 | 92.8 | PCB 183 | 44 | 0.2 | 96.5 |
| PCB 187/182 | 33 | 0.4 | 91.8 | PCB 144/135 | 30 | 0.3 | 93.2 | PCB 177 | 40 | 0.2 | 96.6 |
| PCB 67 | 31 | 0.4 | 92.2 | PCB 194 | 30 | 0.3 | 93.5 | РСВ 123 | 39 | 0.2 | 96.8 |
| PCB 53 | 29 | 0.4 | 92.6 | PCB 178 | 29 | 0.3 | 93.8 | PCB 174/181 | 39 | 0.2 | 96.9 |
| PCB 107/109 | 28 | 0.3 | 92.9 | PCB 42/59 | 28 | 0.3 | 94.1 | PCB 82 | 38 | 0.1 | 97.1 |
| PCB 82 | 27 | 0.3 | 93.3 | PCB 137 | 28 | 0.3 | 94.4 | РСВ 151 | 37 | 0.1 | 97.2 |
| PCB 151 | 25 | 0.3 | 93.6 | PCB 174/181 | 27 | 0.3 | 94.7 | РСВ 157 | 33 | 0.1 | 97.4 |
| PCB 111/117 | 24 | 0.3 | 93.9 | PCB 119 | 26 | 0.3 | 95.0 | PCB 147 | 32 | 0.1 | 97.5 |
| PCB 25 | 23 | 0.3 | 94.1 | PCB 133 | 25 | 0.3 | 95.3 | PCB 72 | 31 | 0.1 | 97.6 |
| PCB 144/135 | 23 | 0.3 | 94.4 | PCB 167 | 22 | 0.2 | 95.5 | PCB 77 | 30 | 0.1 | 97.7 |
| PCB 84 | 22 | 0.3 | 94.7 | PCB 114 | 22 | 0.2 | 95.8 | PCB 22 | 30 | 0.1 | 97.8 |
| PCB 40 | 20 | 0.2 | 94.9 | PCB 26 | 22 | 0.2 | 96.0 | РСВ 129 | 29 | 0.1 | 98.0 |
| PCB 130 | 20 | 0.2 | 95.2 | PCB 147 | 21 | 0.2 | 96.2 | PCB 199 | 26 | 0.1 | 98.1 |
| PCB 137 | 20 | 0.2 | 95.4 | PCB 123 | 18 | 0.2 | 96.4 | PCB 196/203 | 25 | 0.1 | 98.2 |
| PCB 167 | 16 | 0.2 | 95.6 | PCB 206 | 17 | 0.2 | 96.6 | РСВ 171 | 23 | 0.1 | 98.2 |
| PCB 183 | 16 | 0.2 | 95.8 | PCB 154 | 17 | 0.2 | 96.8 | PCB 133 | 21 | 0.1 | 98.3 |
| PCB 174/181 | 14 | 0.2 | 96.0 | PCB 193 | 15 | 0.2 | 97.0 | PCB 33/20/21 | 20 | 0.1 | 98.4 |


|  | Tree swallow averages (ng/g) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% |  | Belted Kingfis averages (ng/g) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% |  | Spotted Sandpi averages (ng/g) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB 136 | 13 | 0.2 | 96.1 | PCB 171 | 14 | 0.2 | 97.1 | PCB 124 | 20 | 0.1 | 98.5 |
| PCB 114 | 13 | 0.2 | 96.3 | PCB 100 | 14 | 0.1 | 97.3 | PCB 178 | 19 | 0.1 | 98.6 |
| PCB 196/203 | 12 | 0.1 | 96.4 | PCB 172/192 | 14 | 0.1 | 97.4 | PCB 16/32 | 18 | 0.1 | 98.6 |
| PCB 124 | 12 | 0.1 | 96.6 | PCB 77 | 13 | 0.1 | 97.6 | PCB 194 | 18 | 0.1 | 98.7 |
| PCB 129 | 12 | 0.1 | 96.7 | PCB 202 | 13 | 0.1 | 97.7 | PCB 81 | 17 | 0.1 | 98.8 |
| РСВ 177 | 12 | 0.1 | 96.9 | PCB 157 | 12 | 0.1 | 97.8 | PCB 100 | 15 | 0.1 | 98.8 |
| PCB 51 | 12 | 0.1 | 97.0 | PCB 136 | 11 | 0.1 | 98.0 | РСВ 103 | 14 | 0.1 | 98.9 |
| PCB 72 | 11 | 0.1 | 97.2 | PCB 195 | 10 | 0.1 | 98.1 | PCB 172/192 | 14 | 0.1 | 98.9 |
| РСВ 98/102 | 11 | 0.1 | 97.3 | PCB 126 | 9 | 0.1 | 98.2 | PCB 154 | 14 | 0.1 | 99.0 |
| PCB 24/27 | 11 | 0.1 | 97.4 | PCB 208 | 8 | 0.1 | 98.2 | PCB 53 | 14 | 0.1 | 99.1 |
| РСВ 199 | 11 | 0.1 | 97.6 | PCB 98/102 | 8 | 0.1 | 98.3 | PCB 26 | 14 | 0.1 | 99.1 |
| РСВ 123 | 10 | 0.1 | 97.7 | PCB 103 | 7 | 0.1 | 98.4 | РСВ 193 | 12 | 0.05 | 99.2 |
| РСВ 157 | 9 | 0.1 | 97.8 | PCB 16/32 | 7 | 0.1 | 98.5 | PCB 8/5 | 11 | 0.04 | 99.2 |
| PCB 119 | 9 | 0.1 | 97.9 | PCB 84 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.5 | PCB 44 | 11 | 0.04 | 99.2 |
| PCB 194 | 9 | 0.1 | 98.0 | PCB 159 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.6 | PCB 67 | 11 | 0.04 | 99.3 |
| PCB 83/108 | 8 | 0.1 | 98.1 | PCB 81 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.7 | PCB 37 | 11 | 0.04 | 99.3 |
| РСВ 171 | 7 | 0.1 | 98.2 | PCB 113 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.7 | PCB 25 | 10 | 0.04 | 99.4 |
| PCB 147 | 7 | 0.1 | 98.3 | PCB 129 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.8 | PCB 166 | 8 | 0.03 | 99.4 |
| PCB 46 | 7 | 0.1 | 98.4 | PCB 82 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.8 | РСВ 98/102 | 7 | 0.03 | 99.4 |
| PCB 81 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.4 | PCB 53 | 4 | 0.05 | 98.9 | РСВ 206 | 7 | 0.03 | 99.5 |
| PCB 45 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.5 | PCB 67 | 4 | 0.05 | 98.9 | PCB 24/27 | 7 | 0.03 | 99.5 |
| PCB 178 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.6 | PCB 209 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.0 | PCB 162 | 7 | 0.03 | 99.5 |
| PCB 19 | 6 | 0.1 | 98.6 | PCB 162 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.0 | PCB 202 | 7 | 0.03 | 99.5 |
| PCB 55 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.7 | PCB 201 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.1 | РСВ 113 | 6 | 0.02 | 99.6 |
| PCB 38 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.8 | PCB 165 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.1 | PCB 136 | 6 | 0.02 | 99.6 |
| PCB 133 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.8 | PCB 166 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.1 | PCB 195 | 6 | 0.02 | 99.6 |
| PCB 172/192 | 5 | 0.1 | 98.9 | PCB 25 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.2 | PCB 69 | 5 | 0.02 | 99.6 |
| PCB 8/5 | 5 | 0.1 | 99.0 | PCB 69 | 3 | 0.04 | 99.2 | РСВ 159 | 5 | 0.02 | 99.6 |
| PCB 206 | 5 | 0.1 | 99.0 | PCB 124 | 3 | 0.04 | 99.3 | PCB 126 | 5 | 0.02 | 99.7 |
| PCB 4/10 | 5 | 0.1 | 99.1 | PCB 179 | 3 | 0.04 | 99.3 | PCB 140 | 5 | 0.02 | 99.7 |
| РСВ 193 | 4 | 0.05 | 99.1 | PCB 189 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.3 | РСВ 185 | 5 | 0.02 | 99.7 |
| PCB 57 | 4 | 0.04 | 99.2 | PCB 176 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.4 | PCB 189 | 4 | 0.02 | 99.7 |
| РСВ 154 | 3 | 0.04 | 99.2 | PCB 112 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.4 | PCB 17 | 4 | 0.02 | 99.7 |
| PCB 103 | 3 | 0.04 | 99.3 | PCB 185 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.4 | PCB 191 | 4 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| PCB 202 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.3 | PCB 24/27 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 83/108 | 4 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| PCB 134/143 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.3 | PCB 140 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 84 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| PCB 179 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.4 | PCB 191 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 131/142 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| PCB 131/142 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.4 | PCB 57 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 208 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| РСВ 195 | 3 | 0.03 | 99.4 | PCB 125 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.6 | РСВ 175 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| РСВ 122 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 4/10 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.6 | PCB 40 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| РСВ 100 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 148 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.6 | РСВ 179 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| РСВ 166 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 83/108 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.6 | PCB 55 | 3 | 0.01 | 99.8 |
| PCB 162 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.5 | PCB 18 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 18 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| РСВ 208 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.6 | PCB 175 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 4/10 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 126 | 2 | 0.03 | 99.6 | PCB 207 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 122 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 96 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.6 | PCB 88/121 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | РСВ 165 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| РСВ 189 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.6 | PCB 198 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | РСВ 201 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 69 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 19 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.8 | PCB 94 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 29 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 51 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.8 | РСВ 176 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 113 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 40 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.8 | PCB 15 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 185 | 1 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 22 | 2 | 0.02 | 99.8 | PCB 148 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| РСВ 191 | 1 | 0.02 | 99.7 | PCB 200 | 1 | 0.02 | 99.8 | РСВ 125 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 176 | 1 | 0.02 | 99.8 | PCB 94 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.8 | PCB 57 | 2 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 159 | 1 | 0.02 | 99.8 | PCB 205 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 88/121 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| РСB 209 | 1 | 0.02 | 99.8 | PCB 17 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 134/143 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 |
| PCB 125 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.8 | PCB 197 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 209 | 1 | 0.004 | 99.9 |
| PCB 94 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.8 | PCB 37 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | РСВ 198 | 1 | 0.004 | 99.9 |
| PCB 175 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.8 | PCB 150 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 51 | 1 | 0.004 | 99.95 |
| PCB 58 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.8 | PCB 131/142 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | РCB 205 | 1 | 0.003 | 99.96 |
| PCB 140 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 45 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | РCB 200 | 1 | 0.003 | 99.96 |
| PCB 88/121 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 33/20/21 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 45 | 1 | 0.003 | 99.96 |
| PCB 201 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 58 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 19 | 1 | 0.003 | 99.97 |
| PCB 50 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 122 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | РСВ 207 | 1 | 0.003 | 99.97 |
| PCB 6 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 34/23 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.95 | PCB 173 | 1 | 0.003 | 99.97 |
| PCB 7/9 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | РСВ 96 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.96 | РСВ 197 | 1 | 0.002 | 99.97 |
| PCB 112 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 188 | 0.4 | 0.005 | 99.96 | PCB 29 | 1 | 0.002 | 99.98 |


|  | Tree swallow averages (ng/g) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% |  | Belted Kingfis averages ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% |  | Spotted Sandp averages (ng/g) | Rel. \% | Cumm.\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB 54 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 134/143 | 0.4 | 0.004 | 99.97 | РСВ 150 | 0.5 | 0.002 | 99.98 |
| PCB 12/13 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 54 | 0.4 | 0.004 | 99.97 | PCB 6 | 0.4 | 0.002 | 99.98 |
| PCB 207 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 8/5 | 0.3 | 0.004 | 99.98 | PCB 96 | 0.4 | 0.002 | 99.98 |
| PCB 198 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 155 | 0.3 | 0.003 | 99.98 | PCB 58 | 0.4 | 0.002 | 99.98 |
| PCB 173 | 1 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 50 | 0.2 | 0.003 | 99.98 | РCB 155 | 0.4 | 0.001 | 99.98 |
| PCB 34/23 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 99.9 | PCB 55 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.98 | PCB 184 | 0.4 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 35 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 99.95 | РCB 173 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 65/62 | 0.3 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 165 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 99.96 | PCB 46 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 112 | 0.3 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 205 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 99.97 | PCB 152 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 161 | 0.3 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 148 | 0.4 | 0.005 | 99.97 | PCB 15 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 54 | 0.3 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 200 | 0.3 | 0.004 | 99.97 | PCB 78 | 0.1 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 7/9 | 0.3 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 39 | 0.3 | 0.004 | 99.98 | PCB 39 | 0.1 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 38 | 0.2 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 197 | 0.2 | 0.003 | 99.98 | PCB 161 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.996 | PCB 46 | 0.2 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 150 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.98 | PCB 184 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.998 | PCB 188 | 0.2 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 30 | 0.2 | 0.002 | 99.98 | PCB 65/62 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.999 | PCB 34/23 | 0.2 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 65/62 | 0.1 | 0.002 | 99.99 | PCB 104 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 100 | PCB 50 | 0.2 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 152 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | PCB 7/9 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 100 | PCB 39 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 |
| PCB 78 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | PCB 6 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 100 | PCB 12/13 | 0.1 | 0.0005 | 99.995 |
| PCB 184 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | PCB 35 | 0.04 | 0.0005 | 100 | PCB 78 | 0.1 | 0.0005 | 99.996 |
| PCB 161 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | РСВ 169 | 0.04 | 0.0004 | 100 | РСВ 152 | 0.1 | 0.0004 | 99.996 |
| PCB 145 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | PCB 38 | 0.03 | 0.0004 | 100 | PCB 79 | 0.1 | 0.0004 | 99.997 |
| PCB 155 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | PCB 29 | 0.03 | 0.0003 | 100 | PCB 35 | 0.1 | 0.0003 | 99.997 |
| PCB 104 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.99 | РCB 145 | 0.03 | 0.0003 | 100 | PCB 36 | 0.1 | 0.0002 | 99.997 |
| PCB 11 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.995 | PCB 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 30 | 0.1 | 0.0002 | 99.998 |
| PCB 79 | 0.1 | 0.001 | 99.996 | PCB 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 145 | 0.05 | 0.0002 | 99.998 |
| PCB 188 | 0.03 | 0.0004 | 99.997 | PCB 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 186 | 0.05 | 0.0002 | 99.998 |
| PCB 36 | 0.03 | 0.0004 | 100 | PCB 14 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 169 | 0.05 | 0.0002 | 99.998 |
| PCB 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 11 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 14 | 0.04 | 0.0002 | 99.998 |
| PCB 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 12/13 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 204 | 0.04 | 0.0001 | 100 |
| РСВ 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 30 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| PCB 14 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 36 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| PCB 169 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 79 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| PCB 186 | 0 | 0 | 100 | РCB 186 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 11 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| PCB 204 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 204 | 0 | 0 | 100 | PCB 104 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

[^0]Table 3. Calculations of the relative amounts for individual congeners added to the PCB mixture representing spotted sandpiper eggs from the Hudson River.

|  | Ratio of the components in an Aroclor mixture* | Major PCB component of combined peak | Congener concentration (ng/g) | Congener contribution (\%) | Cummulative contribution (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL PCB |  |  | 25579 | 100 |  |
| PCB 28 |  |  | 2548 | 10 | 10 |
| PCB 66/80 |  | 66 | 2290 | 9 | 19 |
| PCB 74/61 |  | 74 | 1679 | 7 | 25 |
| PCB 118/106 |  | 118 | 1604 | 6 | 32 |
| PCB 47/48/75 | (1:0.65:0.05) |  | 1538 |  |  |
| 47 | 1 | 47 | 905 | 4 | 35 |
| 48 | 0.65 | 48 | 588 | 2 | 38 |
| 75 | 0.05 | 75 | 45 | 0.18 | 38 |
| PCB 138/163/164 | (1:0.23:0.1) |  | 1410 |  |  |
| 138 | 1 | 138 | 1060 | 4 | 42 |
| 163 | 0.23 | 163 | 244 | 1 | 43 |
| 164 | 0.1 | 164 | 106 | 0.41 | 43 |
| РСВ 90/101/89 | (0:1:0.028) |  | 1289 |  |  |
| 90 | 0 | 90 | NS |  |  |
| 101 | 1 | 101 | 1253 | 5 | 48 |
| 89 | 0.028 | 89 | 35 | 0.14 | 48 |
| PCB 52/73 |  | 52 | 1250 | 5 | 53 |
| PCB 49/43 | (1:0.12) |  | 1099 |  |  |
| 49 | 1 | 49 | 981 | 4 | 57 |
| 43 | 0.12 | 43 | 118 | 0.46 | 57 |
| PCB 153 |  |  | 1016 | 4 | 61 |
| PCB 99 |  |  | 998 | 4 | 65 |
| PCB 70/76 |  | 70 | 913 | 4 | 69 |
| PCB 105/127 |  | 105 | 764 | 3 | 72 |
| PCB 31 |  |  | 743 | 3 | 75 |
| PCB 56/60 | (1:1) |  | 720 |  |  |
| 56 | 1 | 56 | 360 | 1 | 76 |
| 60 | 1 | 60 | 360 | 1 | 78 |
| PCB 41/71/64/68 | (0.28:0.22:1:0) |  | 645 |  |  |
| 41 | 0.28 | 41 | 120 | 0.47 | 78 |
| 71 | 0.22 | 71 | 95 | 0.37 | 78 |
| 64 | 1 | 64 | 430 | 2 | 80 |
| PCB 110 |  |  | 453 | 2 | 82 |
| PCB 85/120 |  | 85 | 437 | 2 | 84 |
| PCB 87/115/116 | (1:0.1:0) |  | 330 |  |  |
| 87 | 1 | 87 | 300 | 1 | 85 |
| 115 | 0.1 | 115 | 30 | 0.12 | 85 |
| 116 | 0 | 116 | NS |  |  |
| PCB 128 |  |  | 250 | 1 | 86 |
| PCB 149/139 | (1:0.02) |  | 217 |  |  |
| 149 | 1 | 149 | 213 | 1 | 87 |
| 139 | 0.02 | 139 | 4 | 0.02 | 87 |


|  | Ratio of the components in an Aroclor mixture* | Major PCB component of combined peak | Congener concentration (ng/g) | Congener contribution (\%) | Cummulative contribution (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB 92 |  |  | 213 | 1 | 88 |
| PCB 180 |  |  | 180 | 1 | 88 |
| PCB 158/160 |  | 158 | 178 | 1 | 89 |
| PCB 146 |  |  | 165 | 1 | 90 |
| PCB 97/86 |  | 97 | 159 | 1 | 90 |
| PCB 156 |  |  | 155 | 1 | 91 |
| PCB 95/93 |  | 95 | 155 | 1 | 91 |
| PCB 187/182 |  | 187 | 141 | 1 | 92 |
| PCB 170/190 | (1:0.22) | 170 | 132 |  |  |
| 170 | 1 | 190 | 108 | 0.42 | 92 |
| 190 | 0.22 |  | 24 | 0.09 | 93 |
| PCB 111/117 |  | 117 | 130 | 1 | 93 |
| PCB 141 |  |  | 118 | 0.46 | 94 |
| PCB 130 |  |  | 84 | 0.33 | 94 |
| PCB 107/109 |  | 109 | 81 | 0.32 | 94 |
| PCB 137 |  |  | 78 | 0.30 | 94 |
| PCB 42/59 | (1:0.3) |  | 71 |  |  |
| 42 | 1 | 42 | 54 | 0.21 | 95 |
| 59 | 0.3 | 59 | 16 | 0.06 | 95 |
| PCB 114 |  |  | 57 | 0.22 | 95 |
| PCB 167 |  |  | 49 | 0.19 | 95 |
| PCB 123 |  |  | 39 | 0.15 | 95 |
| PCB 157 |  |  | 33 | 0.13 | 95 |
| PCB 77 |  |  | 30 | 0.12 | 96 |
| PCB 81 |  |  | 17 | 0.07 | 96 |
| PCB 126 |  |  | 5 | 0.02 | 96 |
| PCB 189 |  |  | 4 | 0.02 | 96 |
| PCB 169 |  |  | 0.05 | 0.0002 | 96 |

* The ratios of co-eluting congeners measured in an Aroclor 1:1:1:1 mixture of Aroclor 1242:1248:1254:1260. Congeners with relative concentrations $<1 \%$ of the total for the co-eluting peaks were excluded. Congener concentrations for the coeluting PCBs were based on the concentration of the entire co-eluting set of congeners in sandpiper eggs multiplied times the relative amount (\%) of each individual congener in the set.

Table 4. Final selected PCB congeners, total mass and percentage of total PCB in the stock 50mL aliquant of the original PCB mixture solution.

| PCB Congener | PCB congener mass (ug) in the 50 mL aliquant of the original stock solution received from AccuStandard. | Relative amount (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB 28 | 40880 | 10.46\% |
| PCB 66 | 36660 | 9.38\% |
| PCB 74 | 26840 | 6.87\% |
| PCB 118 | 25530 | 6.53\% |
| PCB 47 | 14420 | 3.69\% |
| PCB 48 | 9405 | 2.41\% |
| PCB 75 | 802 | 0.21\% |
| PCB 138 | 17000 | 4.35\% |
| PCB 163 | 3980 | 1.02\% |
| PCB 164 | 1607 | 0.41\% |
| PCB 101 | 19980 | 5.11\% |
| PCB 89 | 600 | 0.15\% |
| PCB 52 | 20100 | 5.14\% |
| PCB 49 | 15820 | 4.05\% |
| PCB 43 | 1816 | 0.46\% |
| PCB 153 | 16215 | 4.15\% |
| PCB 99 | 15935 | 4.08\% |
| PCB 70 | 14515 | 3.72\% |
| PCB 105 | 12280 | 3.14\% |
| PCB 31 | 11800 | 3.02\% |
| PCB 56 | 5780 | 1.48\% |
| PCB 60 | 5760 | 1.47\% |
| PCB 41 | 1998 | 0.51\% |
| PCB 71 | 1614 | 0.41\% |
| PCB 64 | 6780 | 1.74\% |
| PCB 110 | 7220 | 1.85\% |
| PCB 85 | 6940 | 1.78\% |
| PCB 87 | 4818 | 1.23\% |
| PCB 115 | 398.4 | 0.10\% |
| PCB 128 | 4026 | 1.03\% |
| PCB 149 | 3380 | 0.87\% |
| PCB 139 | 68 | 0.02\% |
| PCB 92 | 3413.5 | 0.87\% |
| PCB 180 | 2802 | 0.72\% |
| PCB 158 | 2822 | 0.72\% |
| PCB 146 | 2583.5 | 0.66\% |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { PCB congener mass (ug) in the } 50 \mathrm{~mL} \\ \text { aliquant of the original stock solution } \\ \text { received from AccuStandard. }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | $\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\text { Relative amount (\%) }\end{array}\right]$| PCB Congener |
| :--- |

Table 5. Target and nominal concentrations of total PCB in each dosing solution.

| Vial \& Cap |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dose ID | $\#$ | Solution Description | Target Concentration $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{L})^{1}$ | Nominal Concentration $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{L})^{2}$ |
| 7 | $3-7$ | Stock 58-congener PCB mixture | 246 | 244 |
| 6 | $3-8$ | 2-fold dilution | 123 | 122 |
| 5 | $3-9$ | 4-fold dilution | 62 | 61 |
| 4 | $3-10$ | 8-fold dilution | 31 | 30.5 |
| 3 | $3-11$ | 16-fold dilution | 15 | 15 |
| 2 | $3-12$ | 32-fold dilution | 8 | 7.5 |
| 1 | $3-4$ | Isooctane blank | 0 | 0 |

[^1]${ }^{2}$ Nominal total PCB concentrations are based on the sum of the certified analyte concentrations from AccuStandard (Attachment 1), a volume of 50 mL of the $250-\mathrm{mL}$ of original custom 58 -congener PCB mixture, a stock PCB emulsion volume of 1.6 mL , and the appropriate serial dilution for each dose.

Table 6. Nominal individual PCB congener doses (ng/g egg) expected in the eggs of Japanese quail injected with each of the dosing solutions.

| PCB congener | Nominal egg dose ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ egg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $98 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ dose (vial 3-7) | $49 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ dose (vial 3-8) | $24 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ dose (vial 3-9) | $12 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ dose (vial 3-10) | $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ dose (vial 3-11) | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ dose (vial 3-12) |
| PCB 28 | 10220 | 5110 | 2555 | 1278 | 639 | 319 |
| PCB 66 | 9165 | 4583 | 2291 | 1146 | 573 | 286 |
| PCB 74 | 6710 | 3355 | 1678 | 839 | 419 | 210 |
| PCB 118 | 6383 | 3191 | 1596 | 798 | 399 | 199 |
| PCB 47 | 3605 | 1803 | 901 | 451 | 225 | 113 |
| PCB 48 | 2351 | 1176 | 588 | 294 | 147 | 73 |
| PCB 75 | 201 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 13 | 6 |
| PCB 138 | 4250 | 2125 | 1063 | 531 | 266 | 133 |
| PCB 163 | 995 | 498 | 249 | 124 | 62 | 31 |
| PCB 164 | 402 | 201 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 13 |
| PCB 101 | 4995 | 2498 | 1249 | 624 | 312 | 156 |
| PCB 89 | 150 | 75 | 38 | 19 | 9 | 5 |
| PCB 52 | 5025 | 2513 | 1256 | 628 | 314 | 157 |
| PCB 49 | 3955 | 1978 | 989 | 494 | 247 | 124 |
| PCB 43 | 454 | 227 | 114 | 57 | 28 | 14 |
| PCB 153 | 4054 | 2027 | 1013 | 507 | 253 | 127 |
| PCB 99 | 3984 | 1992 | 996 | 498 | 249 | 124 |
| PCB 70 | 3629 | 1814 | 907 | 454 | 227 | 113 |
| PCB 105 | 3070 | 1535 | 768 | 384 | 192 | 96 |
| PCB 31 | 2950 | 1475 | 738 | 369 | 184 | 92 |
| PCB 56 | 1445 | 723 | 361 | 181 | 90 | 45 |
| PCB 60 | 1440 | 720 | 360 | 180 | 90 | 45 |
| PCB 41 | 500 | 250 | 125 | 62 | 31 | 16 |
| PCB 71 | 404 | 202 | 101 | 50 | 25 | 13 |
| PCB 64 | 1695 | 848 | 424 | 212 | 106 | 53 |
| PCB 110 | 1805 | 903 | 451 | 226 | 113 | 56 |
| PCB 85 | 1735 | 868 | 434 | 217 | 108 | 54 |
| PCB 87 | 1205 | 602 | 301 | 151 | 75 | 38 |
| PCB 115 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 12 | 6 | 3 |
| PCB 128 | 1007 | 503 | 252 | 126 | 63 | 31 |
| PCB 149 | 845 | 423 | 211 | 106 | 53 | 26 |
| PCB 139 | 17 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| PCB 92 | 853 | 427 | 213 | 107 | 53 | 27 |
| PCB 180 | 701 | 350 | 175 | 88 | 44 | 22 |
| PCB 158 | 706 | 353 | 176 | 88 | 44 | 22 |
| PCB 146 | 646 | 323 | 161 | 81 | 40 | 20 |
| РСВ 97 | 646 | 323 | 162 | 81 | 40 | 20 |
| PCB 156 | 603 | 302 | 151 | 75 | 38 | 19 |
| PCB 95 | 600 | 300 | 150 | 75 | 37 | 19 |
| PCB 187 | 549 | 275 | 137 | 69 | 34 | 17 |
| PCB 170 | 454 | 227 | 113 | 57 | 28 | 14 |
| PCB 190 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 3 |
| PCB 117 | 498 | 249 | 125 | 62 | 31 | 16 |
| PCB 141 | 447 | 224 | 112 | 56 | 28 | 14 |

$98 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g} \quad 49 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g} \quad 24 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g} \quad 12 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g} \quad 6 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g} \quad 3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$

| PCB congener dose (vial 3-7) | dose (vial 3-8) | dose (vial 3-9) | dose (vial 3-10) | dose (vial 3-11) | dose (vial 3-12) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB 130 | 348 | 174 | 87 | 43 | 22 | 11 |
| PCB 109 | 302 | 151 | 75 | 38 | 19 | 9 |
| PCB 137 | 300 | 150 | 75 | 37 | 19 | 9 |
| PCB 42 | 199 | 99 | 50 | 25 | 12 | 6 |
| PCB 59 | 49 | 25 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| PCB 114 | 252 | 126 | 63 | 32 | 16 | 12 |
| PCB 167 | 199 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 9 | 6 |
| PCB 123 | 150 | 75 | 37 | 19 | 9 | 5 |
| PCB 157 | 149 | 74 | 37 | 19 | 6 | 5 |
| PCB 77 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 3 |
| PCB 81 | 50 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 |

Estimation based on the following:
Highest Dose $=98 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{egg}$
Injection volume $=0.4 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{egg}$
Egg weight $=10 \mathrm{~g}$

Table 7. Calculated doses and contributions to TEQs (pg/g egg) of individual dioxin-like PCB congeners for each dose solution of the 58 -congener PCB mixture prepared for the Japanese quail egg injection studies.

|  |  |  | TEQ (pg/g) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB <br> congener | WHO TEF value | Rel. TEQ <br> (\% total) | $98 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg dose | $49 \mu \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg dose | $24 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg dose | $12 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg dose | $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g}$ egg dose | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCB} / \mathrm{g} \\ \text { egg dose } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| PCB 118 | 0.00001 | 0.5 | 64 | 32 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| PCB 105 | 0.0001 | 2.4 | 307 | 154 | 77 | 38 | 19 | 10 |
| PCB 156 | 0.0001 | 0.5 | 60 | 30 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| PCB 114 | 0.0001 | 0.2 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| PCB 167 | 0.00001 | 0.0 | 2 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| PCB 123 | 0.00001 | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| PCB 157 | 0.0001 | 0.1 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0.46 |
| PCB 77 | 0.05 | 39.7 | 5000 | 2500 | 1250 | 625 | 313 | 156 |
| PCB 81 | 0.1 | 39.8 | 5010 | 2505 | 1253 | 626 | 313 | 157 |
| PCB 126 | 0.1 | 16.7 | 2109 | 1054 | 527 | 264 | 132 | 66 |
| PCB 189 | 0.00001 | 0.0 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| PCB 169 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Total TEQ |  | 100.0 | 12594 | 6297 | 3148 | 1574 | 787 | 394 |

Estimated based on a $4 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ egg injection volume and $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{egg}$ assumed egg weight. Relative TEQ (\%) was calculated based on the contribution of each congener to the total TEQ.

## Attachments

124 Merlot Street New Haven, CT O6513 USA

## Component



| $7012-37-5$ | 100 | 817.6 | $\pm 3270$ | 817.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $32598-10-0$ | 100 | 733.2 | $\pm 29.33$ | 733.2 |
| $32690-93-0$ | 100 | 536.8 | $\pm 21.47$ | 536.8 |
| $31508-00-6$ | 99.5 | 513.2 | $\pm 20.53$ | 510.6 |
| $2437-79-8$ | 100 | 288.4 | $\pm 11.54$ | 288.4 |
| $70362-47-9$ | 99.9 | 188.3 | $\pm 7.53$ | 188.1 |
| $32598-12-2$ | 99.5 | 16.12 | $\pm 0.64$ | 16.04 |
| $35065-28-2$ | 100 | 340.0 | $\pm 13.60$ | 340.0 |
| $74472-44-9$ | 99.0 | 80.40 | $\pm 3.22$ | 79.60 |
| $74472-45-0$ | 99.7 | 32.24 | $\pm 1.29$ | 32.14 |
| $37680-73-2$ | 99.4 | 402.0 | $\pm 16.08$ | 399.6 |
| $73555-57-2$ | 99.9 | 12.01 | $\pm 0.46$ | 12.00 |
| $35693-99-3$ | 100 | 402.0 | $\pm 16.08$ | 402.0 |
| $41464-40-8$ | 100 | 316.4 | $\pm 12.66$ | 316.4 |
| $70362-46-8$ | 99.9 | 36.36 | 1.45 | 36.32 |
| $35065-27-1$ | 99.6 | 325.6 | $\pm 13.02$ | 324.3 |
| $38380-01-7$ | 99.6 | $: 320.0$ | $\pm 12.80$ | 318.7 |
| $32598-11-1$ | 99.0 | 293.2 | $\pm 11.73$ | 290.3 |
| $32598-14-4$ | 100 | 245.6 | $\pm 9.82$ | 245.6 |
| $16606-02-3$ | 1.00 | 236.0 | $\pm 9.44$ | 236.0 |
| $41464-43-1$ | 99.6 | 116.1 | $\pm 4.64$ | 115.6 |
| $33025-41-1$ | 99.0 | 116.4 | $\pm 4.66$ | 115.2 |
| $52663-59-9$ | $W .3$ | 40.24 | $\pm 1.61$ | 39.96 |
| $41464-46-4$ | 100 | 32.28 | $\pm 1.29$ | 32.28 |
| $52663-58-8$ | 99.0 | 137.0 | 25.43 | 135.6 |
| $38380-03-9$ | 99.7 | 1448 | $\pm 5.79$ | 144.4 |
| $65510-45-4$ | 99.0 | 140.2 | $\pm 5.61$ | 138.8 |
| $38380-02-8$ | $W .5$ | 96.84 | $\pm 3.87$ | 96.36 |
| $74472-38-1$ | 99.5 | 8.008 | $\pm 0.32$ | 7.968 |
| $38380-07-3$ | 99.7 | 80.76 | $\pm 3.23$ | 80.52 |
| $38380-04-0$ | 99.0 | 68.28 | $\pm 2.73$ | 67.60 |
| $56030-56-9$ | 99.4 | 1.368 | $\pm 0.05$ | 1.360 |
| $52663-61-3$ | 99.7 | 68.48 | $\pm 2.74$ | 68.27 |
| $35065-29-3$ | 100 | 56.04 | $\pm 2.24$ | 56.04 |
| $74472-42-7$ | 100 | 56.44 | $\pm 2.26$ | 56.44 |
| $51908-16-8$ | 98.9 | 52.24 | $\pm 2.09$ | 51.67 |
| $41464-51-1$ | 99.0 | 52.20 | $\pm 2.09$ | 51.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |

## Certified Analyse Concentration ${ }^{2}$ $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$

GAS \# $7012-37-5$
$32598-10-0$ 32690-93-0 31508-00-6 2437-79-8 70362-47-9 32598-12-2
3506s-28-2
74472-44-9
$74472-45-0$
37680-73-2
$73555-57-2$
35693-99-3
41464-40-8
70362-46-8
38380-01-7
32598-11-1
32598-14-4
41464-43-1
33025-41-1
52663-59-9
-46-4
38380-03-9
65510:45-4
-02-8

38380-07-3
38380-04-0
56030-56-9

35065-29-3
74472-42-7

41464-51-1

## (GODS)

## Prepared

 Concentration ${ }^{1}$ $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$Purity \%

$$
\pm 29.33 \quad 733.2
$$

$$
2
$$

$$
\pm 11.54 \quad 288.4
$$

$$
\pm 0: 64
$$

$$
\pm 13.60 \quad 340.0
$$

$$
\pm 1.29 \quad 32.14
$$

$$
\pm 0.48 \quad 12.00
$$

110.00 402.

$$
1.45 \quad 36.32
$$

$$
324.3
$$

$$
\pm 11.73 \quad 290.3
$$

$$
\pm 9.82 \quad 245.6
$$

$$
\pm 4.64 \quad 115.6
$$

$$
\pm 4.66 \quad 115.2
$$

$$
\pm 1.61 \quad 39.96
$$

$$
\text { a5.43 } \quad 135.6
$$

$$
\pm 5.61 \quad 138.8
$$

$$
=3.87
$$

$$
\pm 3.23 \quad 80.52
$$

$$
\pm 0,05 \quad 1.360
$$

$$
50.01
$$

$$
\pm 2.09 \quad 51.67
$$

$$
\pm 2.09 \quad 51.68
$$
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## CERTIFICATION REPORI

1. Intended Use: The product covered by this Certificate is designed for Calibration or for use in Quality Control procedures for the specified chemical compounds listed on the reverse side. This product can be used for Identification and/or Quantification. This product can also be used as a Reference Material to validate analytical procedures, subject to the conditions under Section 8.
2. Raw Materials: Reference Standards are prepared from the highest quality starting materials with defined purities. All analytes and solvents are obtained from pre-qualified vendors and then analyzed or evaluated prior to use according to 1SO9001 requirements.
3. Manufacturing: AccuStandard, Inc, manufactures its products under an ISO 9001 certified quality system. Balances used in the manufacturing process are calibrated regularly. All weights are traceable through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Test No. 8221254480.
4. Homogeneity Assessment: Homogeneity of the finished product is assessed by analyzing sample batches or by other methods consistent with the intended use of the product and by procedures that comply with the ISO 9001 Quality System.
5. Stability Assessment: AccuStandard, Inc. guarantees the stability of this solution through the expiration date stated on the label, when handled and stored according to the conditions stated on the label. To ensure a uniform solution, mix the contents of the sealed container thoroughily prior to use. Care should be taken not to contaminate the contents of the original container.
6. Analytical Quality Control: Products are tested by validated analyticalmethods covered under the company's ISO 9001 Quality System.
7. Uncertainty Statistics and Confldence LImits: The maximum Uncertainty stated on the face of this certificate has been calculated in accordance with the EURACHEM/CITAC Guide - Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement - Second Edition, The Uncertainty given is the Expanded Combined Uncertainty and represents an estimated Standard Deviation equal to the positive square root of the total variance of the uncertainty of components. The Expanded Uncertainty is $U$ which is $U_{0}(y){ }^{*} K$, where K is the coverage factor at the $95 \%$ confidence level ( $\mathrm{K}=2$ ). The Expanded Uncertainty is based on the combination of uncertainties associated with each individual operation involved in the preparation of the product.
8. Legal Notice and Limit of Llability: This product is for research use only. No warranty for any particular application is expressed or implied. Due to their hazardous nature, they should be handled by trained personnel. The company's liability will be limited to replacement of product or refund of purchase price. Notice of claims must be made within thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

New Haven, CT 06513 USA

CATALOG NO: S-13907-250ML
DESCRIPTION: Custom PCB Congener Standard
LOT: B5110052 See reverse for additional certification information.
SOLVENT: Isooctane

This product is guaranteed accurate to $+0.5 \%$ of the Cerified Analyte concentration through the Expiration Date on the LabeL.


58 Components
A. All weights are traceable through NRST, Tes No 822270236.04
2. Certified Analyte Coricentration w Furity x Preparad Concentration. The Uncertanty calcularied farthes product is the Combined Uncertainty u(y) It mepresents an estimated standard deviation equat to the positive square root of the total withonse of the uncertaint of temponents The Expanded Unechainity is Z which is $\mathrm{U},(\mathrm{y})$ * K where K is the cowernge factor at the 95 's confidenco le wel $(\mathrm{K}=2$ ). Walues reported

3 A prodect with a suffix (-1A 2B, elc.) on its lotiflins had its expiration date extended and is identical to lhe sime lat whithout the suffix:
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## CERTIFICAIION REPORT

1. Intended Use: The product covered by this Certificate is designed for Calibration or for use in Quality Control procedures for the specified chemical compounds listed on the reverse side. This product can be used for Identification and/or Quantification. This product can also be used as a Reference Material to validate analytical procedures, subject to the conditions under Section 8.
2. Raw Materiais: Reference standards are prepared from the highest quality starting materials with definedpurities. All analytes and solvents are obtained from pre-qualified vendors and then analyzed or evaluated prior to use according to IS09001 requirements.
3. Manufacturing: AccuStandard, Inc: manufactures its products under an ISO 9001 certified quality system. Balances used in the manufacturing process are calibrated regularly. All weights are traceable through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Test No. 822/254480.
4. Homogeneity Assessment: Homogeneity of the finished product is assessed by analyzing sample batches or by other methods consistent with the intended use of the product and by proceduries that comply with the ISO 9001' Quality System.
5. Stablity Assessment: AccuStandard, Inc. guarantees the stability of this solution through the expiration date stated on the label, when handled and stored according to the conditions stated on the label: To ensure a uniform solution, mix: the contents of the sealed container thoroughly prior to use. Care should be Wen not to contaminate the contents of the original container.
6. Analytical Quality Control:- Products are tested by validated analytical methods covered under the company's ISO 9001 Quality System.
7. Uncertainty Statistics and Confidence Limits: The maximum Uncertainty stated on the face of this certificate has been calculated in accordance with the EURACHEM/CITAC Guide - Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement - Second Edition. The Uncertainty given is the Expanded Combined Uncertainty and represents an estimated Standard Deviation equal to the positive square root of the total variance of the uncertainty of components. The Expanded Uncertainty is $U$ which is $U_{0}(y)$ * $K$, where $K$ is the coverage factor at fhe $95 \%$ confidence level $(\mathrm{K}=2)$. The Expanded Uncertainty is based on the combination of uncertainties associated with each individual operation involved in the preparation of the product.
8. Legal Notice and Limit of Liability: This product is for research use only. No warranty for any particular application is expressed or implied. Due to their hazardous nature, they should be handled by trained personnel. The company's liability will be limited to replacemënt of product or refund of purchase price. Notice of claims must be made within thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

AccuStandard, Inc.
Chemical Reference Standards - The Standard for Excellence

## WARRANTIES:

Manufacture]: (AccuStandard ${ }^{\circledR}$, Inc.) warrants that its products shall conform to the description of such products as provided in its catalog or on the specific products' label. This warranty is exclusive, and AccuStandard, Inc. makes no other Warranty, express or implied, including any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

## PRODUCTSTABILITY:

AccuStandard's products are monitored regularly to ensure they meet Catalog Specifications (on-going stability studies). The integrity of these products is dependent upon proper handling and storage by the end-user.

AccuStandard recommends the following storage conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Volatiles } & -10 \text { to }-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\text { Semi-Volatiles } & 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{array}
$$

Exceptions: Highly concentrated soludons (e.g. Z-014J) should be stored at room temperature.

Note: Allow ampules to equilibrate to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to opening.

## LIABILITY:

AccuStandard, Inc. products are for research use only. Due to their hazardous nature, they should be handled by trained personnel. AccuStandard's liability will be limited to replacement of products or refund of purchase price. Failure to give notice of claim within thirty (30) days from date of delivery will constitute a waiver by buyer of any and all claims.


## AccuStandard Inc.

125 Market Street New Haven, CT 06513 USA

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

CATALOG NO. S-13907-BLK-250ML<br>DESCRIPTION: Isooctane Control Blank<br>LOT: B5110053<br>\section*{SOLVENT: N/A}<br>See reverse for additional certification information.

EXPIRATION: Nov 9, 2006

This product is guaranteed accurate $10+0.5 \%$ of the Certified Analyte concentration through the Expiration Date on the Label.

## Component

Isooctane

CAS \#
Purity \%
MFG
540-84-1 99.9

Prepared Concentration'
$N / A \pm 0$
Certified Analyte Concentration ${ }^{2}$

1. All weights are traceable through National Institute of Standards \& Technology, Test No.
2. Certified Analyte Concentration $=$ Purity $\times$ Prepared Concentration
3. A product with a suffix ( $-1 \mathrm{~A},-2 \mathrm{~B}$, etc.) on its lot\# has had its expiration date

Please note: AccuStandard follows the U.S. conventions in reporting numerical values, on both certificates and labels.
A comma (.) is used to separate units of one-thousand or greater. A period (.) is used as a decimal place marker.


## CERTIFICATION REPORI

1. Intended Use: The product covered by this Certificate is designed for Calibration or for use in Quality Control procedures for the specified chemical compounds listed on the reverse side. This product can beused for Identification and/or Quantification. This phoduct can also be used as a Reference Material to validate analytical procedures, subject to the conditions under Section 8.
2. Raw Materlals: Reference Standards are prepared from the highest quality starting materials with defined purities. All analytes and solvents are obtained from pre-qualified vendors and then analyzed or evaluated prior to use according to IS09001 requirements.
3. Manufacturing: AccuStandard, Inc. manufactures its products under an ISO 9001 certified quality system. Balances used in the manufacturing process are calibrated regularly. All weights are traceable through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Test No, 822/254480.
4. Homogenelty Assessment: Homogeneity of the finished product is assessed by analyzing sample batches or by other methods consistent with the intended use of the product and by procedures that comply with the ISO 9001 Quality System.
5. Stability Assessment: AccuStandard, Inc. guarantees the stability of this solution through the expiration date stated on the label, when handled and stored according to the conditions stated on the label. To ensure a uniform solution, mix the contents of the sealed container thoroughly prior to use. Care should be taken not to contaminate the contents of the original container.
6. Analytical Quallty Control: Products are tested by validated analytical methods covered under the company's ISO 9001 Quality System.
7. Uncertalnty Statistics and Confidence Limits: The maximum Uncertainty stated on the face of this certificate has been calculated in accordance with the EURACHEM/CITAC Guide - Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement - Second Edition. The Uncertainty given is the Expanded Combined Uncertainty and represents an estimated Standard Deviation equal to the positive square root of the total variance of the uncertainty of components. The Expanded Uncertainty is $U$ which $\xi^{U_{e}} U_{e}(y) * K$, where $K$ Is the coverage factor at the $95 \%$ confidence level ( $K=2$ ). The Expanded Uncertainty is based on the combination of uncertainties associated with each individual operation involved in the preparation of the product.
8. Legal Notice and Limit of Liabillty: This product is for research use only. No warranty for any particular application Is expressed or implied. Due to their hazardous nature, they should be handled by trained personnel. The company's liability will be limited to replacement of product or refund of purchase price. Notice of claims must be made within thirty (30) days from date of delivery.


[^0]:    The concentrations presented in this table are means of the initial 11 avian egg samples taken from the Hudson River by the trustees in 2004, with analysis by GC-LR/MS.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Target concentrations for the dosing solutions of the custom 58-congener PCB mixture.

