



Information Resource Center
Public Affairs Section
U.S. Embassy – Buenos Aires
<http://spanish.argentina.usembassy.gov>

JURISPRUDENCIA Y EFICACIA JUDICIAL



SITIOS WEB

Federal Judicial Center

<http://www.fjc.gov>

Es el organismo de investigación y educación continua del poder judicial federal, entre cuyas funciones se encuentran las de realizar estudios sobre el funcionamiento de los tribunales. En su sitio web ofrece publicaciones sobre administración de cortes y materiales educativos para el personal judicial. Entre otras publicaciones, en el sitio pueden consultarse:

Effective Use of Courtroom Technology: A Judge's Guide to Pretrial and Trial

[http://www.fjc.gov/public/pdf.nsf/lookup/CTtech00.pdf/\\$file/CTtech00.pdf](http://www.fjc.gov/public/pdf.nsf/lookup/CTtech00.pdf/$file/CTtech00.pdf)

Case Management Procedures in the Federal Courts of Appeals

[http://www.fjc.gov/public/pdf.nsf/lookup/CaseMan1.pdf/\\$file/CaseMan1.pdf](http://www.fjc.gov/public/pdf.nsf/lookup/CaseMan1.pdf/$file/CaseMan1.pdf)

Administrative Office of the United States Courts

<http://www.uscourts.gov/adminoff.html>

Es la oficina de apoyo administrativo del sistema judicial federal. Mantiene el sitio www.uscourts.gov, en el que pueden consultarse algunas publicaciones como:

Courtroom Technology Manual

<http://www.uscourts.gov/misc/courtman.pdf>

Reports to Congress on the Optimal Utilization of Judicial Resources

<http://www.uscourts.gov/rcoujresource/index.html>

National Association for Court Management

<http://www.nacmnet.org/>

Esta asociación de profesionales en administración de cortes trabaja para el mejoramiento de la administración a nivel federal, estadual y local. Entre sus proyectos se encuentra la definición de las competencias administrativas básicas de jueces y personal judicial:

Core Competency Curriculum Guidelines

"What court managers should know and be able to do"

http://www.nacmnet.org/CCCG/cccg_homepage.htm

National Center for State Courts



<http://www.ncsconline.org/>

A través de la investigación, servicios de consultoría, publicaciones, y programas educativos, el NCSC ofrece soluciones para mejorar el funcionamiento de los tribunales con la tecnología más reciente, recopila e interpreta datos sobre los tribunales en todo el país, y provee información sobre “mejores prácticas”. En el sitio web pueden consultarse gran cantidad de publicaciones organizadas en 18 áreas temáticas. Algunas de ellas:

Defining Optimal Court Performance: The Trial Court Performance Standards

http://www.ncsconline.org/WC/Publications/Res_TCPS_DefiningOptCrtPerfTCPSPub.pdf

Trial Court Performance Standards Desk Reference Manual

http://www.ncsconline.org/WC/Publications/Res_CtPerf_TCPSDeskRefPub.pdf

The Foundation for the Virtual Courtroom: Today's Developing Technologies

http://www.ncsconline.org/WC/Publications/KIS_CtRoomCTC6302Sec2Pub.pdf

ARTICULOS

DUBOFSKY, Jean E. Judicial

Performance Review: A Balance Between Judicial Independence and Public Accountability (Fordham Urbana Law Journal, XXXIV, 1, January 2007)

In Colorado, the independence of the judiciary needs to be protected, perhaps more than at any other time in the state's history. While public accountability is important, it is achieved through the executive and legislative branches of the government. The courts function best if judges are free to decide each case without regard to how the general public might put a thumb on the scales of justice. To the degree that judicial performance commissions can protect judicial independence, while providing voters in retention elections with sufficient information to make a decision about whether a particular judge should be retained, Colorado's model is one that can prove helpful to other states.

<http://law.fordham.edu/publications/articles/400flspub8522.pdf>

KOURLIS, Rebecca Love and SINGER, Jordan M.

Using judicial performance evaluations to promote judicial accountability (Judicature, Volume 90, Number 5 March-April 2007)

In November 2006, voters in several states faced ballot measures that would have crippled the ability of state courts to do the work we expect of them. The “JAIL 4 Judges” referendum would have subjected South Dakota's judges to civil and criminal penalties for deciding cases in ways that offended a small minority of citizens. A proposal in Montana threatened judges with special recall elections if they made unpopular decisions. In Colorado, a ballot initiative sought to penalize judicial experience by imposing retroactive term limits for appellate judges, a measure that would have ousted nearly half the sitting appellate bench. And Oregon voters considered whether to elect their appellate judges by geographic district, apparently intending to tie judicial candidates more closely to the values of a particular region of



Photograph Collection of the Supreme Court of the United States

the state.

http://www.ajs.org/ajs/publications/Judicature_PDFs/905/kourlis_905.pdf

FELDMAN, Adam D.

A Divided Court in More Ways Than One: The Supreme Court of Delaware and Its Distinctive Model for Judicial Efficacy, 1997-2003 (Albany Law Review; 2004, Vol. 67 Issue 3, p849-892)

In recent years, the nation's focus on judicial affairs tends to concentrate on the most identifiable level, namely the Supreme Court of the United States. Most notably within the past year, the Supreme Courts' decisions in *Grutter v. Bollinger* and *Lawrence v. Texas* have fundamentally changed both society's accepted liberties and the public's view of judicial affairs. This focus, however, unnecessarily overshadows a basic reality of the United States legal system: that these historic decisions often originate in state courts.



Colección de referencia virtual del IRC

El IRC cuenta con una colección de libros electrónicos disponible a través de Internet, que incluye títulos sobre temas variados como Derecho, Educación, Ciencias Sociales, etc. Para consultar los mismos solicite la clave de acceso gratuita a BuenosAiresIRC@state.gov y consúltelos en http://spanish.argentina.usembassy.gov/coleccion_de_referencia_virtual.html

Alguno de los títulos en derecho de esta colección:

- ❖ *Encyclopedia of Law and Society, 2007*
- ❖ *Encyclopedia of Law Enforcement, 2005*
- ❖ *Encyclopedia of the American Constitution, 2nd ed., 2000*
- ❖ *Gale Encyclopedia of Everyday Law, 2nd ed., 2006*
- ❖ *Major Acts of Congress, 2004*
- ❖ *National Survey of State Laws, 5th ed., 2005*
- ❖ *West's Encyclopedia of American Law, 2nd ed., 2005*

¿Necesita más información?

El Information Resource Center (IRC), perteneciente a la Sección Informativa y Cultural de la Embajada de Estados Unidos, tiene como objetivo contribuir a las relaciones bilaterales entre los Estados Unidos y la Argentina. Para las personas que residen en el interior del país, el IRC recibe y responde consultas por correo electrónico, teléfono, fax o correo postal

El IRC puede ayudarlo. Comuníquese con nosotros:

Information Resource Center
Av. Colombia 4300
(C1425GMN) Buenos Aires
Tel 5777-4380 Fax 5777-4236
BuenosAiresIRC@state.gov