System Impact Study

Wessington Springs Project

Generation Interconnection GI-0602

100 MW Generation Addition near Wessington Springs, South Dakota

July 2007

Prepared by:

Western Area Power Administration
Upper Great Plains Region
Transmission System Planning
Billings, MT

Table of Contents:

System Impact Study	
1 Executive Summary	3
2 Purpose	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Background	4
2.3 Previous Studies	4
3 Study Model Development	5
3.1 Steady State	
3.2 Near term Transient Stability	5
3.3 Outyear Transient Stability	5
3.4 Short-Circuit Analysis	7
4 Study Criteria	7
4.1 Steady-State (Powerflow) Criteria	
4.2 Analysis for transient stability	
5 Study Results	
5.1 ACCC Thermal Analysis	
5.1.1 Area local to Wessington Springs	
5.1.1.1 Bloomfield-Gavins Point 115 kV line	
5.1.1.2 Aberdeen Junction-Aberdeen	
5.1.1.3 Redfield-Btap Section of Huron-Redfield-Huron NW Park 115 kV line	
5.1.2 Network analysis	
5.2 ATC Evaluation	
5.3 Stability Analysis	
5.3.1 nbz and nmz	
5.3.2 mat High voltage violation	
5.3.3 fd1, fd3, fd4, fdl and fdk disturbances	
5.3.4 ef3	
5.4 Local response	
5.4.1 Local Dynamic response	11
5.4.2 Local prior outage voltage response	11
5.5 Short-Circuit Calculations	
6 Conclusions	
6.1 Summary of Impacts from Steady State Analysis	
6.2 ATC Evaluation	
6.3 Transient Stability Analysis	
6.4 Prior Outage Analysis	
7 Listing of Exhibits	
7.1 Exhibit A – List of disturbances used in dynamics cases.	
7.2 Exhibit 1 – Capacitor additions in the 2009 NORDAG study cases.	14
7.3 Exhibit 2 – Rate A system intact overloads.	14
7.4 Exhibit 3 – Rate C First Contingency overloads.	
7.5 Exhibit 4 – Rate C First Contingency overloads low voltage facilities for information	
7.6 Exhibit 5 – System Intact Overvoltage violations	
7.7 Exhibit 6 – System Intact Undervoltage violations for Informational purposes	
7.8 Exhibit 7 – First Contingency Overvoltage violations for Informational purposes.	
7.9 Exhibit 8 – First Contingency Undervoltage violations.	
7.10 Exhibit 9 – First Contingency Undervoltage violations for Informational purposes.	
7.11 Exhibit 10 – Rate A system intact overloads for information.	14

1 Executive Summary

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the system impacts of a proposed 100 MW generation addition near Wessington Springs, South Dakota. The Customer requested generation interconnection only study of a new 100 MW generation facility that will interconnect with the Fort Thompson-Storla 230 kV line September of 2008. The customer has indicated that 51 MW of the request will be utilized as network generation resource; however Western did not received a Transmission Service Request in time to include in this study.

Study results indicate the requested generation interconnection can be accommodated with facility improvements, and if the transmission customer addresses potential 3rd party facility overloads that first appear in the 2016 case. The out of queue order nature of this study demands that the request be restudied when the assumptions used in this study change significantly, or this project is at the top of the queue order. Intermediate study results may result in the customer being required to install or participate in additional facilities, on the IS system or third party.

The actual transmission service start date will be dependent upon the final determination of 3rd party impacts to be resolved, and the time required to install the required IS facility improvements to accommodate the generation interconnection, however the customer has indicated that a September 2008 in service date is required. The potential third party overload issues must be resolved with the third party due to loss of the facilities that lead to the overload. Neither overload issue shows in study work until the 2016 model year.

Potential third party overloads requiring line upgrades						
overloaded facilities upon outage of peak cases impacted						
		2008	2011	2016		
		summer	winter	summer		
Bloomfield-Gavins Point 115 kV line	Battle Creek-Norfolk 115 kV line	no	no	yes		
Redfield-Btap 115 kV line section	Groton-Aberdeen 115 kV line	no	no	yes		

North Dakota export transient stability performance and minimum transient voltages are maintained for NDEX at 2080, with the addition of a 30 MVAR capacitor addition near Jamestown 345 kV bus. No new constrained interfaces are required to accommodate the proposed project near Wessington Springs, SD for system intact conditions. Continued operation of the generation with prior outage of Fort Thompson-Wessington Springs will require installation of 20 MVAR of capacitance at Wessington Spring to maintain bus voltages, or adherence to an operational guide.

Informational casework with the NORDAG Study models indicate that requirements for capacitor additions change over time, study work at NDEX=2530 for the 2009 year model indicates 10 MVAR at Wessington Springs plus 20 MVAR at Buffalo 345 kV bus plus 40 at Hilltop 230 kV bus. NDEX=2860 for the 2015 model year requires 10 MVAR at Jamestown 345 kV bus, 40 MVAR at Huron 230 kV bus, and 70 MVAR at Hanlon 230 kV bus. These results emphasize that restudy will be required over time.

Short circuit analysis indicates change in fault current of more than 100 amps at the Fort Thompson 230, Storla 230 and Storla 115 kV buses.

Used as a 51 MW network resource dispatched to AVS or Oahe generation, with the remainder dispatched to Sherco, Wessington Springs had no transient voltage MAPP criteria violations.

Sumn	Summary of facilities requirements for GI-0602 for 09/08 in-service date			
year	NDEX	Steady	none	
2008	2080	State		
ER		Dynamic	30 MVAR near Jamestown 345 kV bus	
		n-1	Installation of 20 MVAR capacitor at Wessington Springs or op guide for reduced	
			output upon outage of Fort Thompson-Wessington springs 230 kV line	
year	NDEX	Steady	none	
2008	2080	State		
NR		Dynamic	none	
		n-1	Installation of 20 MVAR capacitor at Wessington Springs or op guide for reduced	
			output upon outage of Fort Thompson-Wessington springs 230 kV line	

2 Purpose

2.1 Introduction

The Upper Great Plans Region (UGPR) of the Western Area Power Administration (Western) has received a completed application for a new 100 MW generation interconnection near Wessington Springs, South Dakota. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the system impacts of this generation interconnection request. The customer has indicated that a proposed 100 MW generation addition will be connected approximately 21 miles from Basin Electric Power Cooperative's Storla Substation on the Fort Thompson – Storla 230 kV line.

2.2 Background

Western (UGPR) received an interconnection only request from the customer for up to 100 MW. Western (UGPR) provides administration of the IS Tariff on behalf of the other IS parties Basin Electric Power Cooperative (BEPC) and Heartland Consumers Power District (HCPD). Western and the other parties in the IS are all members of the Mid-Continent Area Power Pool (MAPP), and are required to observe MAPP policies and procedures when selling transmission services. The 100 MW request includes a corresponding Transmission Service Request for 51 MW of Network Service (NR) while the remaining 49 MW of the request is to be utilized as an energy resource (ER). The TSR delivery has not been analyzed at this time.

2.3 Previous Studies

Western does <u>not</u> have any current existing studies that could be utilized to determine if this Request could be accommodated. This is an out of queue order study, that will need to be restudied, perhaps several times, as various prior queued projects are either installed or abandoned. Studies will need to be specifically run to evaluate this transmission service request.

3 Study Model Development

Standard MAPP models were modified as detailed below to accommodate this study.

3.1 Steady State

MAPP 2006 series models as posted on the MAPP ftp site. The power flow analysis was done to evaluate the ability of the IS transmission to accommodate the 100 MW scheduled to the model system swing bus and to the Twin Cities area generation. Both gen to gen and gen to load cases were developed with Wessington Springs generation on line, to be compared with the base case with Wessington Springs modeled off line. The study cases were developed from the summer peak 2008, winter peak 2011 and summer peak 2016 cases.

Additional sensitivities were done on these cases, using the same base cases, for 51 MW of the Wessington Springs generation to be used as Network Resource. Each model year was modeled with 51 MW dispatch of the Wessington Springs generation displacing generation at Oahe and AVS and the remainder to Sherco. Because this is an out of queue study and there is great uncertainty in the 2011-2016 case years, these results are of limited value.

3.2 Near term Transient Stability

The standard NMORWG 2006 study package was used to perform the associated transient stability analysis. Near term work was done for NDEX set for 2080. The base model used was the b00-s709aa.xzqV424.sav case from the Belfield GI-0217 study. The base case made the following modifications to the NMORWG study package case¹:

- North Dakota generation modeled at URGE
- Series compensation Modeled on the LGS-Wilmarth 345 kV line
- SW MN wind at ~825 MW with associated transmission upgrades
- Belfield and Leland Olds III were not modeled

Cases were developed as follows:

Table 3.2	Table 3.2 – Dynamic Stability case development existing system NDEX @ 2080			
case	source	change comments		
b02	b00	Add Wessington Springs, model off line		
b03	b02	Turn on Wessington Springs at 100 MW, dispatch to Sherco		
b04	b02	add 30 MVAR at Jamestown 345		
b05	b02	Dispatched 51 MW to AVS, 49 to Sherco		
b06	b02	Dispatched 51 MW to Oahe, 49 to Sherco		

3.3 Outyear Transient Stability

NORDAG Study models were used to test sensitivity of the proposed additions in out year cases. It is realized that these are "best estimate" cases, and that as NDEX increases, or

5 of 34

¹ Customers in service date for Wessington Springs (GI-0602) is 09/2008. Scheduled in service dates for Coyote (G607) is 10/2008, and none of the increase will cross NDEX. In service date for Stanton (G531) is 04/2009, and it will increase NDEX by 68MW.

projects are either committed or cancelled, that the models and assumptions associated with those models will change, requiring restudy of GI-0602. MAPP interfaces in the NORDAG Study has MHEX S set to 2175 MW, NDEX set to 2530 MW in the 2009 NORDAG study model, and NDEX set to 2860 for 2015 NORDAG study case.

Export levels for MWSI was set to 1480 MW (the current limit) by the setexports routine in the NORDAG study with Arrowhead-Gardner Park 345 kV line open, and then the Arrowhead-Gardner Park 345 kV line was closed and the interface flow allowed to settle. The resulting flow shows 1215 MW on the MWSI interface, so this level was maintained for this informational portion of the study.

The base case 2009² NORDAGS model started with case s709aa.uvgV24V-rev2.sav with:

- North Dakota generation modeled at URGE
- Series compensation Modeled on the LGS-Wilmarth 345 kV line
- SW MN wind at ~825 MW with associated transmission upgrades
- Big Stone II (600 MW) along with local reinforcements
- Mesaba (600 MW) along with Riverton reinforcements
- Belfield and Leland Olds III were not modeled
- Stanton (84 MW) and Coyote (25 MW) were added

Since only some of this generation was dispatched inside NDEX the case resulted in NDEX=2530 MW.

The Wessington Springs generation was modeled based upon information supplied by the generation interconnection requestor by use of an iplan downloaded from the Siemens PTI web site. Several IPLAN routines were used as supplied by the turbine manufacturer to utilize the standard wind generator and exciter models. These routines were used to create a model for the proposed Wessington Springs generating units.

Table 3.	Table 3.3a2009 dynamic stability case development				
case	source	change comments			
2009 NC	2009 NORDAGs cases without prior queued projects				
7ha	NORDAG	base case			
w04 7ha Wess, dispatch to Sherco					
w05	w04	Add 20 MVAR at Buffalo 345			

The w04 and w05 cases were developed from the original 7ha NORDAGs case. No additional prior queued projects beyond what NORDAG study initially added were placed into these models. The 7ha case produced a set of disturbances with criteria violations limited to the mat, nbz and yas disturbances. w04 has Wessington Springs generation placed on-line, and resulted in a lower criteria violations on nbz and yas, and added disturbance fd4. W05 has facilities additions to produce cleaner runs equivalent to the 7ha case.

Model year 2015 stability cases were developed from the NORDAGs 8h3 case. The base case NORDAGs model year 2015 case has the addition of the following items as compared to the NORDAG Study model year 2009 case:

6 of 34

² The NORDAG Study built the 2015 year case first, then backed Young 3 out of the case to derive the 2009 case.

Young III (630 MW) with 330 MW dispatched through NDEX

This case resulted in NDEX=2860 MW.

3.4 Short-Circuit Analysis

The purpose of the short-circuit analysis is to identify breakers in the transmission system that will not be able to handle the increased fault current due to the addition of the proposed GI-0602 project. Three-phase and single-line-to-ground faults were simulated and the impact of the proposed project to the fault currents was determined.

4 Study Criteria

The proposed generation addition is located in central South Dakota. The generation is sunk to Sherco for the peak load studies. The heavy transfer and urge dynamic studies adjusted the loads behind interfaces in accordance to the NMORWG 2006 study package in order to adjust flows across the interfaces to desired levels. The resulting study data is examined in accordance to MAPP Design Review Subcommittee (DRS), and improvements required to keep the system within criteria are proposed.

4.1 Steady-State (Powerflow) Criteria

Western has performed the studies of this proposed 100 MW of generation to provide sufficient feedback concerning the limitations in terms of voltage and overloads, and the improvements required for providing the requested generation interconnection. The First Contingency analysis for this study was performed with the PSS/E Rev 30 program utilizing activities DIFF and ACCC, along with a reporting utility in rev 30 to provide a comparative report on up to nine cases at once.

For the ACCC outputs included in this report, the following areas were monitored, and the following contingencies were simulated:

ACCC Areas Monitored (All 69kV and greater facilities in the Area):

ALTW, MP, SMMPA, GRE, OTP, MPW, MEC, NPPD, OPPD, LES, WAPA, MH, SPC, DPC, SJLP and non-metro NSP areas

Voltage Limits: Minimum=0.90 p.u., Maximum=1.10 p.u.

Facility Loading Limits: RATE C Emergency Rating

ACCC Contingencies Simulated:

All Single contingencies with one bus >=110kV in AREAS:

ALTW, MP, SMMPA, GRE, OTP, MPW, MEC, NPPD, OPPD, LES, WAPA, MH, SPC, DPC, SJLP and non-metro NSP areas

Plus all valid multiple contingencies within these areas.

The ACCC request impact comparison listings detail voltage impacts equal to or greater than 0.01 p.u. due to the transfer. These ACCC comparisons also include loading increases equal to or greater than 1 MW and 3% load increase for contingent loading, or 5% load increase for system intact loading. Loading increases greater than or equal to 1 MW on facilities less than 100 kV facilities are included for informational purposes only.

4.2 Analysis for transient stability

Transient analysis baselines were developed to provide a study baseline using the models as described above. Then, the same case work was simulated on the change (Wessington Springs generation on-line) cases. Finally the cases were subjected to system facility additions to clear criteria violations that surfaced when Wessington Springs was placed in service. These data sets were compared and were used to determine impacts to the MAPP system. This case work demonstrated that the proposed system additions along with the 100 MW generation request had no system degradation and provided similar system response as the base line case. It should be noted at this point that this generation interconnection request is out of normal queue order, and that the study results are subject to change as prior queued projects are either executed as planned, or cancelled or reduced. Ultimately these study results may need to be confirmed several times as Wessington Springs generation moves through the gueue.

5 Study Results

5.1 ACCC Thermal Analysis

To analyze the steady state impacts of the 100 MW request from a new generating unit near Wessington Springs, South Dakota, powerflow models were created as described in Section 3 above for two summer peak and a winter peak case.

5.1.1 Area local to Wessington Springs

For purposes of this study, the local Wessington Springs area saw no system intact impacts of 5% or greater (see Exhibit 2). Following are details of injection related thermal impacts over 3% for first contingency outages impacted by Wessington Springs (see Exhibit 3). It should be noted that since this study is out of queue order, as projects are either executed or cancelled, restudies will be required to determine if this issue is actual, or an artifact of how the generation was modeled or sunk, or an artifact due to prior queued projects.

5.1.1.1 Bloomfield-Gavins Point 115 kV line

The Bloomfield-Gavins Point 115 kV line was identified as a potential loading impact due to an outage of the Battle Creek-Norfolk 115 kV line. From this table, it is seen that the overloads shown in the base cases are exacerbated by the addition of Wessington Springs in these cases.

Table 5.1.2a – Rate C Overload summary for Bloomfield-Gavins Point 115 kV line					
case Base Case Gen-Gen Gen-Load					
summer peak 2008					
winter peak 2011					
summer peak 2016	150.5%	153.8%	153.9%		
	114 MVA	115 MVA	115 MVA		

This overload did not occur in the analysis where 51 MW of Wessington Springs is used as a network resource, and the remaining 49 MW is not on line.

5.1.1.2 Aberdeen Junction-Aberdeen

The Aberdeen Junction-Aberdeen 115 kV line was identified as a potential loading impact due to an outage of the Heskett-Wishek 230 kV line. From this table, it is seen that the overloads shown in the base cases are exacerbated by the addition of Wessington Springs in these cases. The ratings in the model are not correct, and the new rating on this line is 120 MVA continuous, therefore this is not an issue.

Table 5.1.2a – Rate C Overload summary for Aberdeen Junction-Aberdeen 115 kV line				
case	Base Case	Gen-Load		
summer peak 2008	97.7%	101.0%	100.2%	
	85 MVA	88 MVA	88 MVA	
winter peak 2011	<95.0%	98.2%	97.4%	
		84 MVA	83 MVA	
summer peak 2016	110.3%	114.8%	113.9%	
	95 MVA	98 MVA	98 MVA	

5.1.1.3 Redfield-Btap Section of Huron-Redfield-Huron NW Park 115 kV line

The Redfield-B tap section of the Huron-Redfield-Huron NW Park 115 kV line was identified as a potential loading impact due to an outage of the Groton-Aberdeen 115 kV line. From this table, it is seen that the overloads shown in the base cases are exacerbated by the addition of Wessington Springs in these cases.

Table 5.1.2a – Rate C Overload summary for Redfield-Huron West Park 115 kV line						
case Base Case Gen-Gen Gen-Load						
summer peak 2008						
winter peak 2011						
summer peak 2016	96.6%	101.8%	101.0%			
	76 MVA	80 MVA	79 MVA			

This overload did not occur in the analysis where 51 MW of Wessington Springs is used as a network resource, and the remaining 49 MW is not on line.

<u>Exhibits 4 thru 9</u> show potential impacts that are under the DRS criteria reporting threshold and are presented for informational purposes.

5.1.2 Network analysis

Sensitivity analysis was done to determine impacts due to using 51 MW of Wessington Springs generation as network resource. No thermal impacts were found in the system intact cases. First Contingency Outages were run, and for the 2008 year model an impact on the Oahe 230/115 kV transformer was found. That impact was shown in a case that has the entire amount of generation dispatched at the Oahe generator hanging on the 115 kV bus. Case work done dispatching the Wessington Springs unit to an Oahe generator off the 230 kV bus showed no impact to the Oahe 230/115 kV bus. Therefore, this impact was due to the sink chosen not due to the interconnection of the Wessington Springs unit. The 2016 year shows no impacts.

5.2 ATC Evaluation

Table 5.2 shows all the Calculated DFs with impacts greater than criteria for information. Mitigation may be required for the TSR if it is determined that there is insufficient or no available transfer capability (ATC) on the affected MAPP constrained interfaces. This is an issue that will be addressed with the SIS for delivery service should the proposed GI-0602 project go forward.

Table 5.2a – Calculated PTDFs > 5% based upon a 100 MW transaction					
Interface 2008 gen to gen 2008 gen to load ATC					
FORCHS_PTDF	32.7%	25.4%	available		
MNTZUMA_W	17.1%	10.0%	not adequate		
QUADCITY_W	32.4%	21.9%	not adequate		

Table 5.2b- Calculated OTDFs > 3% based upon a 100 MW transaction				
Interface	2008 gen to gen	2008 gen to load	ATC	
ARNVINARNHAZ	21.3%	15.3%	not adequate	
DAVCALQUARCK	9.6%	6.9%	not adequate	
HLSXFMTIFARN	18.1%	13.1%	available	
LKFFOXLKGWLM	13.7%	10.9%	not adequate	
LORTRKWEMPAD	9.5%	7.0%	not adequate	
S1226TEKAMAH	16.4%	11.1%	not adequate	
SALXFMQUADAV	15.0%	10.8%	not adequate	
SALXFMWEMPAD	14.0%	10.1%	not adequate	
SPETRILAKRAU	19.5%	14.5%	not adequate	

5.3 Stability Analysis

The faults listed in Exhibit A were run on the stability cases developed for the study of the 100 MW generation request from the customer. All critical North Dakota faults and local 230 kV generation delivery faults were run.

Near term dynamic studies were preformed using an updated NMORWG 2006 study package model as described above. Additional stability work was run using the proposed near-term NORDAG model also described above to show the potential impacts of adding the Big Stone 2 unit which is a large prior queued project in South Dakota on the Wessington Springs request. This analysis was done to provide information only on potential impacts.

5.3.1 nbz and nmz

The cases with NDEX set at 2080 had no nbz or nmz violations. Casework done with the NORDAG Study cases show issues for this disturbance, and potential facilities improvements may be required of the customer.

5.3.2 mat High voltage violation

The cases with NDEX set at 2080 had no mat violations. Casework done with the NORDAG Study cases show issues for this disturbance, and potential facilities improvements may be required of the customer.

5.3.3 fd1, fd3, fd4, fdl and fdk disturbances

The pre-Wessington Springs cases with NDEX set at 2080 had a voltage violation at the Jamestown 345 kV bus. The change case voltage minimum was reduced as compared to the base case, and an IS fix of a 95 MVAR capacitor addition at Wessington Springs brought the voltage minimum back up to the base case level. A 30 MVAR capacitor installed on the Jamestown 345 kV bus also brings the voltage minimum at Jamestown back up to base case levels. Cases were created with 51 MW of the generation dispatched to

fd3 voltage	violation for
NDEX=2080	
case	Jamestown
base (b02)	0.69233
change (b03)	0.68639
IS fix (b04)	0.69256
JT 345 fix	0.69230
nr Oahe (b05)	Okay
nr AVS (b06)	0.69494

either Oahe or AVS, and sensitivities to the fd1, fd3, fd4, fdk and fdl disturbances tested. Both of these network resource cases result in improved system performance from the pre-Wessington Springs base case.

5.3.4 ef3

All the cases testing for network resource and with NDEX set at 2080 had no ef3 violations. Informational casework done with the NORDAG Study cases show issues for this disturbance, and potential facilities improvements may be required of the customer.

5.4 Local response

Local system response to dynamic and prior outage voltage response was analyzed in the following section.

5.4.1 Local Dynamic response

Local transient stability disturbances av1, av3, bv1, bv3, cv1c cv3, dv1 and dv3 had no MAPP criteria violations in any of the casework.

5.4.2 Local prior outage voltage response

Various prior outages in the local area were set up, and the system examined for overloads and voltage criteria violations. No local overloads were found for single element outage in the local Wessington Springs area.

A prior outage of the proposed Wessington Springs-Fort Thompson 230 kV line leads to low voltages at Wessington Springs, Storla and Woonsocket. A capacitor of 20 MVAR located at the proposed Wessington Springs 230 kV substation is required to maintain voltage of near unity at the buses mentioned above. The capacitor would be required only if the customer wishes to maintain generation during an outage of the Fort Thompson-Wessington Springs 230 kV line. An operational guide can be developed in lieu of capacitor additions at Wessington Springs.

No other prior outage voltage issues were found, however these results would need to be reviewed when Wessington Springs generation interconnection comes up on the queue list for study.

5.5 Short-Circuit Calculations

Short-circuit calculations were performed to determine the impact of the proposed project on substation fault current levels. Three-phase and single-line-to-ground (SLG) symmetrical fault current levels were calculated at the local area buses, both without and with the proposed project. In order to calculate fault current levels, classical fault assumptions were used with a pre-fault voltage of 1.0 p.u.

Table 5.5 lists the three-phase and SLG fault current impacts at the local area buses. The comparison showed that the calculated fault current levels were impacted by more than 100 amps at the Fort Thompson 230 (240 amps), Storla 230 (233 amps) and Storla 115 kV (107 amps) buses.

Table 5.5: Fault Currents Without and With Proposed GI-0602 Plant

BUS			WITHOU	T GI-0602	WITH C	SI-0602
NO.	NAME	kV	FAULT CURRENT (AMP)		FAULT C (AN	
			3-PH	SLG	3-PH	SLG
66507	Fort Thompston	230	15877	16117	16064	16254
67122	Storla	230	4740	4079	4973	4198
67123	Storla	115	6078	6374	6185	6460
66528	Woonsocket	115	5026	4043	5077	4071
66518	Mt Vernon	115	4009	3708	4047	3734

6 Conclusions

The summary of system impacts for the Wessington Springs generation addition is shown below.

6.1 Summary of Impacts from Steady State Analysis

These potential 3rd party facility overloads need to be reviewed with the appropriate owners and addressed, after a transmission Service Request is made, and the customer's project get to the top of the queue.

Table 6.1	(Developed from	ACCC Screening	ı Analvsis)

Potential Limiting Facility due to Wessington Springs (GI-0602)

Bloomfield-Gavins Point 115 kV line may require customer to participate in upgrade. Restudy after project in queue order or for transmission service request.

Redfield-B tap section of the Huron-Redfield-Huron NW Park 115 kV line may require customer to participate in upgrade. Restudy after project in queue order or for transmission service request.

No local overloads occur in the analysis where 51 MW of Wessington Springs is used as a network resource.

6.2 ATC Evaluation

No mitigation is required until the TSR is studied. Information on ATCs was provided for information only.

6.3 Transient Stability Analysis

System improvements required to maintain system response to disturbances applied while the Wessington Springs generation is in service at 100 MW is the addition of a switched 30 MVAR capacitor in the area around Jamestown 345 kV bus. Some method of keeping the generation online for at least the duration of a fault before a generator trip signal is given may be required.

Table 6.3 (Dev	eloped from Transient stability Analysis)
disturbance	facility additions required
nmz	The NDEX=2080 cases and network generation resource cases show no impact. Informational casework shows potential impacts were a pre-existing problem. Will need restudy to confirm as projects assumed in study are dropped, or NDEX increases from the 2080 MW.
mat	The NDEX=2080 case shows no impact. Informational casework shows potential impacts were a pre-existing problem. Will need restudy to confirm as projects assumed in study are dropped, or NDEX increases from the 2080 MW.
fd3	The NDEX=2080 case shows an impact that can be mitigated with addition of a 30 MVAR capacitor at Jamestown 345. Informational casework shows potential impacts exacerbates existing problem. May need to participate in fixing voltage dip at Jamestown 345 kV bus. The customer may need restudy after project is queued up. Capacitive additions for the 2009 model year of 40 MVAR static, or 15 MVAR dynamic be required, depending upon what exists in the area by the time queue is attained. Additions required seem to decrease in time, but the effect still is present indicating the need for restudy when queue order is reached. Network resource cases show no impact for NR dispatch of Wessington Springs.
ef3	The NDEX=2080 case shows no impact. Network resource cases show no impact. Informational casework shows potential 10 MVAR capacitor addition at Wessington Springs for 2009 NORDAG model year. NORDAG model year 2015 show increasing effect, indicating that the customer may need more mitigation for this disturbance as time goes on. Out year models indicate requirement for 40 MVAR capacitor at Huron 230 kV, and 70 MVAR capacitor at Hanlon 230 kV and 10 MVAR in Jamestown 345 kV area.

6.4 Prior Outage Analysis

IS improvements required to keep Wessington Springs generation on line at 100 MW for prior outage of Wessington Springs-Fort Thompson is the addition of 20 MVAR capacitor at Wessington Springs 230 kV bus or adherence to an Operational Guide for the outage of Fort Thompson-Wessington Springs 230 kV line.

6.5 Short-Circuit Calculations

Short-circuit calculations show that the calculated fault current levels were impacted by more than 100 amps at the Fort Thompson 230, Storla 230 and Storla 115 kV buses.

7 Listing of Exhibits

Exhibits used for this study report, attached at the end of this report. Additional data is available upon request via cd.

- 7.1 Exhibit A List of disturbances used in dynamics cases.
- 7.2 Exhibit 1 Capacitor additions in the NORDAG study cases.
- 7.3 Exhibit 2 Rate A system intact overloads.
- 7.4 Exhibit 3 Rate C First Contingency overloads.
- 7.5 Exhibit 4 Rate C First Contingency overloads low voltage facilities for information.
- 7.6 Exhibit 5 System Intact Overvoltage violations.
- 7.7 Exhibit 6 System Intact Undervoltage violations for Informational purposes.
- 7.8 Exhibit 7 First Contingency Overvoltage violations for Informational purposes.
- 7.9 Exhibit 8 First Contingency Undervoltage violations.
- 7.10 Exhibit 9 First Contingency Undervoltage violations for Informational purposes.
- 7.11 Exhibit 10 Rate A system intact overloads for information.

Exhibit A - List of disturbances used in dynamics cases.

Transie	ent Disturbances preformed on cases	
code	Brief description of disturbance	area
		tested
ac1	4 cy slgf @ avs 345 on broadland line, avs brkr 4596 stk, clr @ 10 cy by tripping fltd line & oliver-mercer load	N Dak
ac3	4 cycle 3 phase fault at antelope valley 345, clear the antelope valley-broadland 345 kv line	N Dak
ah1	4 cycle slgf @ Leland Olds 345 on Groton line, Leland Olds breaker 2396 stuck. Clear @ 11 cycles by tripping faulted line & Leland Olds #1 transformer.	N Dak
ah3	4 cycle 3 phase fault at Leland Olds 345. Clear the Leland Olds-Groton 345 kV line.	N Dak
ag1	4 cycle slgf @ Leland Olds 345 on Fort Thompson line, Leland Olds breaker 2692 stuck. Clear @ 11 cycles by tripping faulted line.	N Dak
ag3	4 cycle 3 phase fault at Leland Olds 345. Clear the Leland Olds-Fort Thompson 345 kV line.	N Dak
am1	5 cy slgf @ 1.old 230 on wsh-bis line, lo brkr 682 stk, clr @ 14 cy by tripping 1.old 230 bus	N Dak
am3	5 cycle 3 phase fault at leland olds 230, clear the leland olds-washburn-bismarck 230 kv line	N Dak
av1	5 cy slgf @ Fort Thompson 230 on Wess Springs line, delayed trip at FT, cleared by tripping line	local
av3	5 cycle 3 phase fault at Wessington Springs, clear the Wessington Springs-ft thompson 230 kv line	local
bv1	5 cy slgf @ Fort Thompson 230 on Wess Springs line, delayed trip at Wess Springs cleared by tripping line	local
bv3	5 cycle 3 phase fault at Fort Thompson, clear the ft thompson-Wessington Springs 230 kv line	local
cv1	5 cy slgf @ VT Hanlon 230 on Wess Springs line, delayed trip at VT Hanlon cleared by tripping line	local
cv3	6 cycle 3 phase fault at V T Hanlon, clear the Wessington Springs-V T Hanlon 230 kv line	local
dv1	5 cy slgf @ VT Hanlon 230 on Wess Springs line, delayed trip at Wess Springs cleared by tripping line	local
dv3	6 cycle 3 phase fault at V T Hanlon, clear the V T Hanlon-Wessington Springs 230 kv line	local
ec1	5 cy slgf @ cen-hes, brkr 86 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 12 cy by tripping cen-hesk, ramp sbdc back @ 17 cy	N Dak
ef1	5 cy slgf @ stanton 230 on cc-mchenry line, brkr 31rb8 stk, clr @ 12.5 cy by tripping fltd line & sq butte line	N Dak
ef3	5 cycle 3 phase fault at stanton 230, clear the stanton-coal creek-mchenry 230 kv line	N Dak
ef4	5 cy slgf @ stanton 230 on cc-mchenry line, brkr 31rb7 stk, clr @ 15.5 cy by tripping fltd line & stanton 230 bus 1	N Dak
ef9	4 cycle 3 phase fault at stanton 230, clear the stanton-coal creek-mchenry 230 kv line	N Dak
ei2	Permanent bipole fault on the CUDC line. Both Coal Creek units tripped at 0.28 sec.	N Dak
eq1	4.5 cycle slgf @ Coal Creek on pole 1, breaker 61rb1 stuck. Clear @ 11.5 cycles by tripping faulted pole & bus 1, cross trip Coal Creek Station 2.	N Dak
evk	5 cy slgf @ sqbt230p1,brkr 15 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 12cy,trp sqbt-cen,trp Yng 2,ramp sbdc p2 > 275 @ 17 cy	N Dak
evl	5 cy slgf @ sqbt230p1,brkr 14 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 12 cy by tripping bus, ramp sbdc p2 > 275 @ 17 cy	N Dak
ewl	5 cy slgf @ sqbt230p2,brkr 19 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 12cy,trp sqbt-cen,trp Yng 2,ramp sbdc p1 > 275 @ 17 cy	N Dak
fd1	5 cy slgf @ sqbt-stn, brkr 18 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 11 cy by tripping sqbt-stn, ramp sbdc p1 > 275 @ 17 cy	N Dak
fd3	5.0 cy 3 ph flt @ square butte 230 on stanton line, clr square butte-stanton 230 kv line	N Dak
fd4	5 cy slgf @ sqbt-stn, brkr 17 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 12 cy by tripping sqbt-stn, ramp sbdc back @ 17 cy	N Dak
fd9	4.0 cy 3 ph flt @ square butte 230 on stanton line, clr square butte-stanton 230 kv line	N Dak
fdk	5 cy slgf @ sqbt-stn, brkr 18 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 11 cy,trip sqbt-stn & Yng2, ramp sbdc p1 > 275 @ 17 cy	N Dak
fdl	5 cy slgf @ sqbt-stn, brkr 17 stk, sbbp blk @ 1 cy, clr @ 12 cy,trip sqbt-stn & Yng2, ramp sbdc back @ 17 cy	N Dak
mad	4 cycle 3 phase fault at Dorsey 500 kV. Clear the Dorsey-Forbes 500 kV line.	500
mts	Three-phase fault at Monticello on Monticello-Parkers Lake 345 kV line.	Cities
nad	4 cycle 3 phase fault on the Dorsey to Forbes 500 kV line D602F at Forbes.	500
nbz	3.5 cycle 3 phase fault on the Chisago County to Forbes 500 kV line D601C at Chisago County.	500
nmz	4 cycle, three phase fault at chisago trip f601c, xtrip d602f, use new 100% reduction init from chisago, leave svs on mp sys	neb
oas	Single line to ground fault with breaker fail at Dorsey 602L stuck.	500
pas	Single line to ground fault with breaker failure at Forbes with 602L stuck. Trip D602F.	500
уа3	4 cycle 3 phase fault at Arrowhead 345 kV, clear the Arrowhead - Gardner park 345 kV line	Cities
yas	4 CY SLG fault at Arrowhead 230 on AHD-GDP ckt #1, AHD brkr stk, clear at 17 cycles by tripping AHD-GDP bus section	Cities

Exhibit 1 -- Capacitor additions in the NORDAG study cases.

		capactor	additions									
		7ha	w02	w42	w43	w44	w45	w46	w50	w51	w52	w53
starting ca	ase	na	7ha-w00	w02	w42	w43	w44	w45	7ha	w50	w51	w52
				add facilities for	add wess		add wess		initial	add	Wess to	add
		initial case	add priors	clean runs	>swing	clean	>mpls	clean	case	facilities	swing	facilities
66550	Granite Falls		200	200	200	200	200	200		200	200	200
63054	Panther		250	100	100	100	100	140		150*	150*	150*
67160	Groton		75	75	75	75	75	75		75	75	75
67105	Lee Olds		200	200	200	200	200	200		200	200	200
63229	Whapaton		60*	60*	60*	60*	60*	60*		60*	60*	60*
66523	Sioux Falls		250	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100
67101	AVS		250	250	250	250	250	250		250	250	250
66564	Sioux City		300	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100
66565	Sioux City 230		250	150	150	150	150	150		150	150	150
60189	Blue Lake		200	200	200	200	200	200		200	200	200
66537	White		200	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100
66507	Fort Thompson		300	150	150	150	150	150		150	150	150
61612	Riverton		50	50	50	50	50	50		50	50	50
60356	Paynes									50	50	50
63050	Willmar		60	60	60	60	60	60		60	60	60
61954	Redwood Falls		25	25	25	25	25	25		25	25	25
61624	Forbes 230			150	150	150	150	150				
61614	98L Tap			350	350	350	350	350				
61638	Brchklt		25	25	25	25	25	25		50	50	50
67233	DGC			28	28	28	28	28		30	30	30
61616	Hilltop									150*	150*	150*
63369	Jamestown			70*	70*	70*	70*	70*		70*	70*	70*
66792												
61637	plattrv											40
63358	Buffalo					20		20				
		generatio	n dispatch									
		change fro	m 7ha case									
60002	Sherco				-100							
99616	Wess Springs				100						100	
66519	Oahe		325	325	325				325			
66507	Ft Thompson		325	325	325				325			
66538	White		200	200	200				200			
67349	Belfield		500	500	500							

Exhibit 2 - Rate A system intact overloads.

Base case rate A overloads of 5% increase from base case to change case or more and more than 1~MW.

•	PTI :	INTERACTIVE	POWER SYST	EM SIMULATOR	RPSS/E	THU, JUL 26	2007 15:0	3		PAGE 8 .
•		3.0 00VIII 11	TENIGH DEDON			G3.1 G111 3.01103				•
•		AC CONTING	SENCY REPOR	r for 9 AC (CONTINGENCY	CALCULATION	N RUNS			•
	BASE CASE	MONITORED I	BRANCHES LO	ADED ABOVE	95.0% OF R	ATING SET A	- ALL VIOL	ATIONS		•
	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	
	f08base.	f08gen-g	f08gen-l	f11base.	f11gen-g	f11gen-l	f16base.	f16gen-g	f16gen-l	
X MONITORED ELEMENTX	sav	en.sav	oad.sav	sav	en.sav	oad.sav	sav	en.sav	oad.sav	
Name of										

Exhibit 3 - Rate C First Contingency overloads.

Potential contingency case rate C overloads of 3% increase from base case to change case and more than 1 MW.

PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATOR--PSS/E FRI, JUL 06 2007 7:28 PAGE 9.

AC CONTINGENCY REPORT FOR 9 AC CONTINGENCY CALCULATION RUNS

CONTINGENCY CASE MONITORED BRANCHES LOADED ABOVE 95.0% OF RATING SET C - WORST CASE VIOLATIONS
THRESHOLD FOR THE COUNT OF CONTINGENCIES CAUSING OVERLOADING IS 100.0% OF RATING SET C
MINIMUM DEVIATION FROM BASE CASE LOADING = 1.0 MVA (MW FOR INTERFACES)
MINIMUM INCREASE IN LOADING FROM BASE CASE = 1.0 PERCENT OF RATING SET C

X MONITORED ELEMENTX	XLABELX	/lf/wl f08base. sav	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f08gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f11base. sav	/lf/wl fllgen-g en.sav	/lf/wl fllgen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f16base. sav	/lf/wl f16gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f16gen-l oad.sav
60133 SHEYNNE4 230.00 66435 FARGO 4 230.00 1	SINGL1 903					 		111.6% 432MVA (4x)	115.6% 443MVA (4x)	114.8% 441MVA (4x)
60138 SOURIS 7 115.00 60139 MALLARD7 115.00 1	180 2	 	 		110.7% 131MVA (1x)	 		99.0% 121MVA (0x)	102.3% 125MVA (1x)	101.7% 124MVA (1x)
60139 MALLARD7 115.00 67155 LOGAN 7 115.00 1	SINGL1 1638	 	 			104.0% 166MVA (2x)	103.6% 165MVA (2x)			
60139 MALLARD7 115.00 67155 LOGAN 7 115.00 1	180 2	 	 		128.7% 197MVA (2x)	 		117.8% 187MVA (1x)	122.0% 194MVA (1x)	121.2% 193MVA (1x)
60140 MCHENRY7 115.00 63044 MCHENRY4 230.00 1	180 2	 	100.7% 106MVA (1x)	100.1% 105MVA (1x)	129.3% 136MVA (1x)	 		108.3% 114MVA (1x)	114.3% 120MVA (1x)	113.7% 119MVA (1x)
60148 MINVALY7 115.00 60357 MAYNARD7 115.00 1	SINGL1 744	95.4% 83MVA (0x)	112% 90MVA Locked cap	100.6% 88MVA	98.9% 87MVA (0x)	105.6% 92MVA (1x)	104.4% 91MVA (1x)	115.0% 95MVA (1x)	120.9% 100MVA (2x)	119.5% 99MVA (2x)
60175 ROSEAU 4 230.00 67576 RICHER 4 230.00 1	SINGL7 161	 				 		118.5% 321MVA (3x)		121.7% 329MVA (3x)
60215 HYLNDLK7 115.00 60261 DEANLAK7 115.00 1	SINGL1 202	96.3% 209MVA (0x)	 			 				
60215 HYLNDLK7 115.00 60261 DEANLAK7 115.00 1	705 1 	 	101.8% 221MVA (1x)	100.6% 218MVA (1x)		 		105.6% 227MVA (1x)	111.1% 239MVA (1x)	109.9% 236MVA (1x)
60357 MAYNARD7 115.00 62005 KERKHOT7 115.00 1	SINGL1 744	97.9% 83MVA (0x)	116% 89MVA Locked cap	103.7% 87MVA (1x)	106.2% 90MVA (1x)	113.5% 96MVA (1x)	112.1% 95MVA (1x)	118.4% 98MVA (1x)	125.0% 104MVA (2x)	123.4% 102MVA (2x)
62003 JOHNJCT7 115.00 66555 MORRIS 7 115.00 1	510	 	 		136.6% 132MVA (3x)	140.3% 135MVA (3x)	139.4% 135MVA (3x)			
62005 KERKHOT7 115.00 62006 KERKHO 7 115.00 1	510	 				 		115.4% 51MVA (2x)	122.6% 54MVA (2x)	121.8% 53MVA (2x)

essington S	prings Sys	stem Impact	Study	- V	/estern A	Area Pow	er Admin	istration			
63219 GRANTCO7 63220 ELBOWLK7	115.00 115.00 1	866 			 			 	96.6% 154MVA (0x)		
63219 GRANTCO7 63220 ELBOWLK7	115.00 115.00 1	SINGL4 916			 			 		100.5% 159MVA (2x)	99.8 158MV (0x
63219 GRANTCO7 66555 MORRIS 7	115.00 115.00 1	610 1			 	131.2% 140MVA (47x)	137.7% 147MVA (170x)	136.5% 146MVA (173x)	130.0% 140MVA (24x)	139.2% 150MVA (60x)	138.1 148MV (45x
63245 WILTON 7 63246 BEMIDJI7	115.00 115.00 1	540			 			 	121.0% 161MVA (107x)	124.0% 164MVA (94x)	123.4 164MV (98x
64751 BLMFLD 7 66511 GAVINS 7	115.00 115.00 1	SINGL1 1145			 			 	150.5% 114MVA (7x)	153.8% 115MVA (7x)	153.9 115MV
66417 DICKNSN4 66425 BELFELD4	230.00 230.00 1	107 1			 	108.0% 291MVA (1x)	111.8% 302MVA (1x)	111.2% 300MVA (1x)	113.6% 306MVA (1x)	117.5% 316MVA (1x)	116.9 314MV. (1x
66554 MORRIS 4 66555 MORRIS 7	230.00 115.00 1	610 1 610		 	 			 	155.2% 194MVA (136x)	164.2% 205MVA (150x)	162.7 203MV (144x
66554 MORRIS 4 66555 MORRIS 7	230.00 115.00 1	SINGL4 689 		 	 	111.6% 139MVA (2x)	115.5% 144MVA (2x)	114.8% 143MVA (2x)		 	
67101 ANTELOP3 67183 CHAR.CK3	345.00 345.00 1	107 1 1	114.5% 419MVA (1x)	117.8% 431MVA (1x)	117.2% 429MVA (1x)			 		 	
67105 LELANDO3 67201 LELND1TY	345.00 345.00 1	SINGL1 1847	116.1% 348MVA (2x)	123.1% 369MVA (2x)	121.9% 366MVA (2x)	146.7% 440MVA (2x)	153.6% 461MVA (2x)	152.4% 457MVA (2x)	153.9% 462MVA (2x)	161.3% 484MVA (2x)	160.1 480MV. (2x
67106 LELANDO4 67201 LELND1TY	230.00 345.00 1	SINGL1 1847	116.1% 348MVA (2x)	123.1% 369MVA (2x)	121.9% 366MVA (2x)	146.7% 440MVA (2x)	153.6% 461MVA (2x)	152.4% 457MVA (2x)	153.9% 462MVA (2x)	161.3% 484MVA (2x)	160.1 480MV (2x
67401 ABDNJCT7 67402 ABDNSBT7	115.00 115.00 1	SINGL1 1945	97.0% 85MVA (0x)	101.0% 88MVA (1x)	100.2% 88MVA (1x)		98.2% 84MVA (0x)	97.4% 83MVA (0x)	110.3% 95MVA (2x)	114.8% 98MVA (3x)	113.9 98MV (3x
67403 REDFLD 7 67411 BTAP WP7	115.00 115.00 1	SINGL1 1732			 			 	96.6% 76MVA (0x)	101.8% 80MVA (1x)	101.0 79MV (1x
INGL1 744 : OPE INGL1 903 : OPE INGL1 1145 : OPE INGL1 1638 : OPE INGL1 1732 : OPE INGL1 1847 : OPE INGL1 1945 : OPE OPE OPE OPE 80 2 : TRJ	ENTS ENT LINE FROM EN LINE FROM	BUS 60192 [BLUE BUS 63050 [WILLM BUS 63369 [JAMES BUS 664739 [BATTI] BUS 66512 [GARRI BUS 66512 [GROTC BUS 67105 [LELAN BUS 67201 [LELNI BUS 67201 [LELNI BUS 67202 [LELNI BUS 67202 [LELNI BUS 63041 [COAL BUS 63041 [COAL	ARA4 230 ETN3 345 CCR7 115 SN7 115 IN 7 115 IDO3 345 ETT4 230 CITY 345 CITY 345 CITY 345 CITY 345 CZTY 345 CR4 230	.00] TO BUS .00] TO BUS	66550 [GRAN 66791 [CENT 64918 [NORF 66449 [MAX 67402 [ABDN 67202 [LELN 67394 [WISH 67105 [LELN 67105 [LELN 67105 [LELN 67106 [LELN 67106 [LELN 67106 [LELN 63042 [COAL	IITF4 230 IER 3 345 IER 7 115 7 115 ISBT7 111 ID2TY 345 IEK 4 230 INDO3 345 INDO4 230	5.00] CKT 9 .00] CKT 1 5.00] CKT 1 1.00] CKT 1				

				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63042	[COAL TP4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63044	[MCHENRY4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63041	[COAL CR4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63049	[STANTON4	230.00]	CKT	1
510			:	TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	66550	[GRANITF4	230.00]	TO	BUS	66554	[MORRIS 4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	66554	[MORRIS 4	230.00]	TO	BUS	66555	[MORRIS 7	115.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	66553	[MOORHED4	230.00]	TO	BUS	66554	[MORRIS 4	230.00]	CKT	1
540			:	TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63336	[AUDUBON4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63053	[HUBBARD4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63053	[HUBBARD4	230.00]	TO	BUS	61641	[HUBBARD7	115.00]	CKT	1
610	1		:	TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63331	[FERGSFL4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63329	[WAHPETN4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	66754	[MAPLE R4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63329	[WAHPETN4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63329	[WAHPETN4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63191	[WAHPET1Y	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63191	[WAHPET1Y	230.00]	TO	BUS	63229	[WAHPETN7	115.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63191	[WAHPET1Y	230.00]	TO	BUS	63129	[WAHPETN9	41.600]	CKT	1
705		1	:	TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	60192	[BLUE LK3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60233	[PARKERS3	345.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	60192	[BLUE LK3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60262	[EDEN PR3	345.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	60262	[EDEN PR3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60263	[EDEN PR7	115.00]	CKT	9
866			:	TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63052	[INMAN 4	230.00]	TO	BUS	61611	[WINGRIV4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63052	[INMAN 4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63051	[HENNING4	230.00]	CKT	1
				TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	63052	[INMAN 4	230.00]	TO	BUS	62531	[INMAN 7	115.00]	CKT	1
SINGL4	689	9	:	OPEN	LINE	FROM	BUS	62003	[JOHNJCT7	115.00]	TO	BUS	63364	[JOHNJCT4	230.00]		
SINGL4	91	6	:	OPEN	LINE	FROM	BUS	63331	[FERGSFL4	230.00]	TO	BUS	63366	[SILVRLK4	230.00]		
SINGL7	16	1	:	OPEN	LINE	FROM	BUS	60173	[ROSEAUN2	500.00]	TO	BUS	67621	[RIEL 2	500.00]	CKT	1

Exhibit 4 - Rate C First Contingency overloads low voltage facilities for information.

Contingency case buses with Rate C overloads. For informational purposes.

PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATOR--PSS/E FRI, JUL 06 2007 7:28 For information PAGE 9 .

AC CONTINGENCY REPORT FOR 9 AC CONTINGENCY CALCULATION RUNS

CONTINGENCY CASE MONITORED BRANCHES LOADED ABOVE 95.0% OF RATING SET C - WORST CASE VIOLATIONS

THRESHOLD FOR THE COUNT OF CONTINGENCIES CAUSING OVERLOADING IS 100.0% OF RATING SET C

MINIMUM DEVIATION FROM BASE CASE LOADING = 1.0 MVA (MW FOR INTERFACES)

MINIMUM INCREASE IN LOADING FROM BASE CASE = 1.0 PERCENT OF RATING SET C

X MONITORED ELE	MENTX	XLABELX	/lf/wl f08base. sav	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f08gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl fllbase. sav	/lf/wl fllgen-g en.sav	/lf/wl fllgen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f16base. sav	/lf/wl f16gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f16gen-l oad.sav
60027 BLL 74G 61477 BLL TR6Y	13.800 90.000 6	 SINGL1 2462 	 	 	 	 	 		102.2% 61MVA (1x)	106.3% 64MVA (2x)	 105.4% 63MVA (2x)
60058 ANS C74G 60129 SPLT RK7	13.800 115.00 P4	 NSP - 1 	183.8% 417MVA (30x)	183.8% 417MVA (32x)	183.8% 417MVA (31x)	 136.3% 309MVA (16x)	142.7% 324MVA (21x)	142.0% 322MVA (21x)			
60107 W FARIB7 60792 WFARBLT8	115.00 69.000 2	 SINGL1 2417 	130.8% 43MVA (1x)	132.1% 43MVA (1x)	131.8% 43MVA (1x)	105.9% 34MVA (1x)	106.3% 35MVA (1x)	106.6% 35MVA (1x)	105.8% 34MVA (1x)	107.5% 35MVA (1x)	107.2% 35MVA (1x)
60107 W FARIB7 60792 WFARBLT8	115.00 69.000 3	 SINGL1 2417 	132.1% 43MVA (1x)	133.4% 43MVA (1x)	133.1% 43MVA (1x)	106.9% 35MVA (1x)	107.3% 35MVA (1x)	107.6% 35MVA (1x)	106.8% 35MVA (1x)	108.6% 35MVA (1x)	 108.3% 35MVA (1x)
60110 WILMART7 60650 WILMART8	115.00 69.000 2	SINGL1 2420	130.7% 119MVA (5x)	131.8% 120MVA (2x)	131.6% 120MVA (2x)	105.9% 96MVA (2x)	106.8% 97MVA (2x)	106.1% 97MVA (2x)	117.0% 106MVA (3x)	118.1% 107MVA (3x)	117.8% 107MVA (3x)
60156 PYNSVIL7 60760 PAYNES 8	115.00 69.000 1	SINGL1 2443	 	95.6% 58MVA (0x)	 		98.1% 60MVA (0x)	97.3% 59MVA (0x)	115.0% 70MVA (1x)	119.5% 73MVA (1x)	 118.6% 72MVA (1x)
60315 T-CRNRS7 60666 T CORNE8	115.00 69.000 1	 SINGL1 2521 	 	95.4% 77MVA (0x)	 		 		109.1% 88MVA (1x)	 113.1% 92MVA (1x)	 112.0% 91MVA (1x)
60650 WILMART8 62336 JHNSNTP8	69.000 69.000 1	 SINGL1 22 	 	 	 	 	 97.1% 73MVA (0x)	95.5% 71MVA (0x)	120.4% 90MVA (1x)	 128.0% 96MVA (1x)	 126.2% 94MVA (1x)
60719 LAFAYET8 62079 LAFAYTT8	69.000 69.000 1	 SINGL1 22 	 	 	 	98.8% 41MVA (0x)	107.1% 44MVA (1x)	105.3% 43MVA (1x)	100.6% 41MVA (1x)	109.4% 44MVA (1x)	107.4% 44MVA (1x)
60730 ARLNGTN8 60731 GRENISL8	69.000 69.000 1	 SINGL1 22 	 	 	 	 	 		102.3% 51MVA (1x)	 119.4% 59MVA (1x)	 115.3% 57MVA (1x)
60731 GRENISL8 60931 CARVRCO8	69.000 69.000 1	 SINGL1 22 		 	 	 	 		 101.1% 51MVA (1x)	 118.1% 60MVA (1x)	 114.0% 57MVA (1x)
60805 WATRVIL8 62679 ELYSNTP8	69.000 69.000 1	 SINGL1 22 	 	 	 	 	 		96.9% 40MVA (0x)	108.7% 45MVA (1x)	 106.3% 44MVA (1x)
60808 EAGLELK8	69.000	 SINGL1 22							99.4%	106.8%	 105.1%

62347 EAGLELK8	69.000 1								AVM08 (x0)	86MVA (1x)	85MVA (1x)
60825 WABASHA8 61960 LAKECITY	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 11	 	 	 		 		110.5% 42MVA (3x)	117.8% 45MVA (11x)	115.99 44MV/ (8x)
60826 TRAVRSE8 62323 PNLPNTP8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22			 	120.9% 64MVA (1x)	131.0% 69MVA (1x)	128.7% 68MVA (1x)	161.9% 84MVA (54x)	172.6% 90MVA (56x)	170.19 88MV (54x
60826 TRAVRSE8 62323 PNLPNTP8	69.000 69.000 1	NSP	134.5% 71MVA (27x)								
60826 TRAVRSE8 62323 PNLPNTP8	69.000 69.000 1	705 1		111.3% 59MVA (44x)	110.0% 58MVA (43x)				 		
60826 TRAVRSE8 62350 TRAVERS8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22			 		101.4% 53MVA (1x)	98.2% 51MVA (0x)	135.6% 70MVA (1x)	148.5% 76MVA (3x)	145.5 74MV. (3x
60831 EASTWD28 62347 EAGLELK8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22			 		 		104.4% 84MVA (1x)	111.8% 90MVA (1x)	110.2 89MV (1x
60868 LESR TP8 62349 RUSHRVR8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22	 		 		 		117.5% 58MVA (1x)	130.4% 64MVA (1x)	127.4 62MV (1x
60938 FTRIDGL8 62077 SCHLTP 8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22	 	 	 	107.5% 45MVA (1x)	115.8% 49MVA (1x)	114.0% 48MVA (1x)	112.1% 47MVA (1x)	121.0% 51MVA (1x)	118.9 50MV (1x
60967 COULEE 8 60968 SW CRK 8	69.000 69.000 1	875 1 1	163.8% 115MVA (1x)	170.5% 120MVA (1x)	168.8% 118MVA (1x)	153.2% 111MVA (1x)	159.8% 116MVA (1x)	158.1% 114MVA (1x)	115.8% 85MVA (1x)	123.1% 90MVA (1x)	121.1 88MV (1x
60968 SW CRK 8 60973 LAX 8	69.000 69.000 1	875 1 	133.0% 92MVA (1x)	139.7% 96MVA (1x)	137.9% 95MVA (1x)	127.9% 92MVA (1x)	134.5% 96MVA (1x)	132.8% 95MVA (1x)	 	 	
62077 SCHLTP 8 62079 LAFAYTT8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22	 		 	102.8% 43MVA (1x)	111.1% 47MVA (1x)	109.3% 46MVA (1x)	105.3% 44MVA (1x)	114.1% 48MVA (1x)	112.1 47MV (1x
62106 FOREST 8 62140 MARTNTP8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2685	 		 	 	 		103.5% 47MVA (1x)	105.1% 48MVA (1x)	104.7 48MV (1x
62121 VILGTEN8 62132 PRKWOOD8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2693	 	 	 	 	 	 	104.2% 75MVA (1x)	105.7% 76MVA (1x)	105.3 76MV (1x
62293 RUSH CY8 62299 ADRIANR8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2699	 	 	 		95.1% 35MVA (0x)	 	141.3% 51MVA (13x)	141.9% 52MVA (13x)	141.7 52MV (13x
62323 PNLPNTP8 62342 PENELOP8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22	 		 		102.4% 69MVA (1x)	100.6% 68MVA (1x)	126.5% 85MVA (2x)	134.8% 90MVA (4x)	132.9 89MV (3x
62336 JHNSNTP8 62343 PENELTP8	69.000 69.000 1	NSP NSP	139.8% 74MVA (51x)	 	 		 	 	 	 	
62341 NWSWDTP8 62349 RUSHRVR8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22			 		 		 124.9% 62MVA	 137.7% 68MVA	134.8 67MV

				 		 	 		(1x)	(1x)	(1x)
62341 NWSWDTP8 62350 TRAVERS8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22					98.1% 51MVA (0x)		131.1% 66MVA (1x)	144.0% 72MVA (1x)	141.0% 71MVA (1x)
62342 PENELOP8 62343 PENELTP8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 22	 		 	98.6% 67MVA (0x)	106.5% 72MVA (1x)	104.7% 71MVA (1x)	132.0% 89MVA (18x)	140.4% 94MVA (56x)	138.4% 93MVA (52x)
62427 WILLMAR8 63050 WILLMAR4	69.000 230.00 1	SINGL1 678	 		 	 			104.8% 97MVA (4x)	 	105.7% 98MVA (4x)
62427 WILLMAR8 63050 WILLMAR4	69.000 230.00 1	690			 	96.5% 89MVA (0x)	98.2% 91MVA (0x)	97.9% 90MVA (0x)		106.7% 99MVA (4x)	
63000 COAL 41G 63041 COAL CR4	22.000 230.00 1	SINGL1 2687	 	107.7% 609MVA (1x)	107.6% 609MVA (1x)	109.1% 618MVA (1x)	109.1% 618MVA (1x)	109.1% 618MVA (1x)	109.1% 618MVA (3x)	109.1% 618MVA (1x)	109.1% 618MVA (2x)
63001 COAL 42G 63041 COAL CR4	22.000 230.00 1	SINGL1 2686		103.5% 631MVA (1x)	104.6% 638MVA (1x)	104.6% 638MVA (1x)	104.7% 638MVA (1x)	104.7% 638MVA (1x)	104.6% 638MVA (2x)	104.6% 638MVA (1x)	104.6% 638MVA (1x)
63823 AVOCA 5 63824 AVOCA 8	161.00 69.000 2	SINGL1 2779		95.9% 48MVA (0x)					113.2% 57MVA (1x)	116.8% 58MVA (1x)	115.6% 58MVA (1x)
64418 E MOLINE 64468 SB 39 8	161.00 69.000 1	SINGL1 2870							100.3% 152MVA (1x)	100.5% 153MVA (1x)	100.5% 153MVA (1x)
64909 N.PLATT4 WNDTR N.PLT T8	230.00 WND 2 1	SINGL1 1315							102.3% 239MVA (1x)	102.5% 240MVA (1x)	102.5% 240MVA (1x)
65509 S909 8 65537 S937 8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 1396		95.6% 61MVA (0x)	95.2% 60MVA (0x)				112.2% 70MVA (2x)	113.3% 71MVA (2x)	112.9% 71MVA (2x)
65517 S917 8 65518 S918 8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 1394	103.0% 68MVA (2x)	103.5% 69MVA (2x)	103.4% 68MVA (2x)				118.5% 78MVA (2x)	119.1% 78MVA (2x)	118.8% 78MVA (2x)
65538 S938 8 65601 S906 S 8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 1393	102.9% 53MVA (2x)	103.3% 53MVA (2x)	103.2% 53MVA (2x)				118.2% 60MVA (2x)	118.6% 61MVA (2x)	118.4% 61MVA (2x)
65563 S963 8 65627 W BROCK8	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 1277			 	120.8% 70MVA (2x)	122.3% 71MVA (2x)	121.8% 71MVA (2x)	114.5% 67MVA (2x)	116.1% 68MVA (2x)	115.6% 68MVA (2x)
67315 COYOTE1G 67316 COYOTE 3	24.000 345.00 1	009		 	 	101.8% 436MVA (2x)			103.5% 443MVA (6x)	104.3% 447MVA (5x)	104.2% 446MVA (5x)
67550 MC.PHIL8 67757 MCPHL-P7	63.500 110.00 1	SINGL1 3448	168.1% 134MVA (3x)	168.1% 135MVA (3x)	168.1% 135MVA (3x)	156.9% 126MVA (1x)	156.9% 126MVA (1x)	156.9% 126MVA (1x)	156.0% 125MVA (1x)	156.1% 125MVA (1x)	156.1% 125MVA (1x)
68737 T BRN 68810 GENOA	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 3551						- 	98.4% 28MVA (0x)	101.4% 29MVA (1x)	100.8% 29MVA (1x)
68737 T BRN 68810 GENOA	69.000 69.000 1	875 1 	104.8% 30MVA (2x)	110.0% 31MVA (2x)	108.6% 31MVA (2x)	103.3% 30MVA (2x)	108.5% 31MVA (2x)	107.1% 31MVA (2x)	-	- 	

- Western Area Power Administration

_											
68757 ETHANOL 69000 MT VALLE	69.000 69.000 1	961	 				 		104.0% 29MVA (5x)	110.6% 30MVA (38x)	108.9% 30MVF (26x)
68761 HARRISON 68767 KAISER	69.000 69.000 1	960				108.3% 30MVA (3x)	116.4% 32MVA (3x)	114.3% 32MVA (3x)	114.7% 32MVA (2x)	123.1% 34MVA (2x)	120.9% 33MV <i>I</i> (2x)
68761 HARRISON 68770 LANCASTE	69.000 69.000 1	960	 			104.3% 29MVA (2x)	112.4% 31MVA (3x)	110.3% 31MVA (2x)	110.4% 31MVA (2x)	118.8% 33MVA (2x)	116.6% 32MVA (2x)
68766 MENOMINE 68768 T KIELER	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 3534	121.5% 34MVA (4x)	127.2% 36MVA (5x)	125.7% 35MVA (5x)						
68766 MENOMINE 68768 T KIELER	69.000 69.000 1	960	 		 	140.6% 40MVA (11x)	148.8% 42MVA (33x)	146.6% 41MVA (22x)	152.9% 43MVA (16x)	161.3% 45MVA (46x)	159.18 45MV (44x)
68767 KAISER 68768 T KIELER	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 3534	99.0% 27MVA (0x)	 	103.2% 28MVA (1x)		 	 	 		
68767 KAISER 68768 T KIELER	69.000 69.000 1	960	 	102.3% 29MVA (2x)	 	120.8% 34MVA (3x)	129.0% 36MVA (4x)	126.8% 35MVA (3x)	126.8% 35MVA (2x)	135.1% 37MVA (2x)	132.98 37MV <i>I</i> (2x)
68770 LANCASTE 68779 HURICAN	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2397	108.3% 30MVA (1x)	113.0% 32MVA (1x)	111.8% 31MVA (1x)	115.3% 32MVA (1x)	118.6% 33MVA (1x)	117.7% 33MVA (1x)	123.2% 34MVA (2x)	126.0% 35MVA (2x)	125.2° 34MV (2x
68775 BELLCNTR 68784 T SG	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2399	 111.3% 47MVA (2x)	112.4% 48MVA (2x)	112.2% 48MVA (2x)	134.5% 57MVA (2x)	132.8% 56MVA (2x)	133.3% 56MVA (2x)	127.8% 54MVA (2x)	124.1% 52MVA (2x)	125.1 53MV. (2x
68779 HURICAN 69181 MTHOP TP	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2397	100.2% 28MVA (1x)	104.9% 29MVA (1x)	103.6% 29MVA (1x)	106.8% 29MVA (1x)	110.1% 30MVA (1x)	109.2% 30MVA (1x)	113.7% 31MVA (1x)	116.5% 31MVA (2x)	115.7 31MV (2x
68784 T SG 68786 BOAZ	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2399	108.4% 45MVA (2x)	109.5% 46MVA (2x)	109.4% 46MVA (2x)	131.0% 54MVA (2x)	129.3% 54MVA (2x)	129.8% 54MVA (2x)	124.5% 51MVA (2x)	120.8% 50MVA (2x)	121.9 50MV (2x
68793 T AR 68806 RCKBG TP	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2399							96.6% 26MVA (0x)	101.8% 28MVA (2x)	100.4 27MV (1x
68867 INDEPNDN 68906 ELK CRK	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2519	 						101.9% 28MVA (1x)	103.0% 29MVA (1x)	102.8 29MV (1x
68874 ALMA 8 68879 T GILMN	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2403					96.0% 28MVA (0x)		109.0% 32MVA (1x)	117.0% 34MVA (1x)	114.9 33MV (1x
68879 T GILMN 68883 NELSON	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2403					95.8% 27MVA (0x)		108.8% 31MVA (1x)	116.8% 34MVA (1x)	114.8 33MV (1x
68883 NELSON 68885 ELLA	69.000 69.000 1	SINGL1 2403				·	 		99.4% 28MVA (0x)	107.4% 30MVA (1x)	105.3 30MV (1x
69165 GALENA8 69505 GALENA 5	69.000 161.00 1	SINGL1 3538	 	 	 	 	 	 	108.2% 80MVA (1x)	110.0% 81MVA (1x)	109.5 81MV (1x

CONTINGENCY L													
XLABELX													
						[PR ISLD3	-				[PR ISLD5	161.00]	
SINGL1 22 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	60108	[WILMART3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60192	[BLUE LK3	345.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 678 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	62005	[KERKHOT7	115.00]	TO	BUS	62425	[WILLMAR7	115.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 1277 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	64863	[HUMBOLT5	161.00]	TO	BUS	65391	[S975T4 T	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 1315 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	64909	[N.PLATT4	230.00]	TO	BUS	64910	[N.PLATT7	115.00]	CKT 2
SINGL1 1393 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	65382	[S1206T2T	161.00]	TO	BUS	65406	[S1206 5	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 1394 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	65383	[S1209T1T	161.00]	TO	BUS	65409	[S1209 5	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 1396 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	65386	[S1214T1T	161.00]	TO	BUS	65414	[S1214 5	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 2397 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	69507	[SENECA 5	161.00]	TO	BUS	69511	[BELLCTR5	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 2399 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	69511	BELLCTR5	161.001	TO	BUS	69515	[HLSBORO5	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 2403 :	OPEN :	LINE	FROM	BUS	69543	[ALMA 5	161.001	TO	BUS	69545	[RCK ELM5	161.00]	CKT 1
SINGL1 2417 :						-	_				[WFARBLT8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2420 :						-	-				[WILMART8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2443 :						-	-				[PAYNES 8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2462 :						-	-				[BLL TR5Y	90.000]	
SINGL1 2519 :						-	115.00]				-	115.00]	
SINGL1 2517 :						-	-				[T CORNE8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2521 :						-	-				[BLAINE 8	69.0001	
SINGL1 2686 :						-					[COAL 41G	22.0001	
											[COAL 41G		
SINGL1 2687 :												22.000]	
SINGL1 2693 :											[BNKRLK 8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2699 :											[BEAR CK8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2779 :						-	-				[AVOCA 8	69.000]	
SINGL1 2870 :							-				[SB 18 8	69.000]	
SINGL1 3448 :						-	-				[MC.PHIL8	63.500]	
SINGL1 3534 :						-	161.00]				-	69.000]	
SINGL1 3538 :						-					[GALENA8	69.000]	
SINGL1 3551 :						-	-				[HARMNY	69.000]	
009 :	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	63030	[DICKNSN3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60270	[MPLEGV13	345.00]	CKT 1
	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	60270	[MPLEGV13	345.00]	TO	BUS	60233	[PARKERS3	345.00]	CKT 1
	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	63030	[DICKNSN3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60202	[COON CK3	345.00]	CKT 1
	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	63030	[DICKNSN3	345.00]	TO	BUS	62925	[DICKNSN7	115.00]	CKT 1
	SET B	US 63	3030 I	DICE	KNSN3	345.00] LO	AD TO 10	55.0) MW				
	CHANG	E BUS	6300	00 [0	COAL 41	.G 22.000]	GENERAT	ION	BY -	-581.0	MW		
NSP - 1 :	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	60126	[SPLT RK3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60130	[SPLTRTA3	345.00]	CKT 1
	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	60130	[SPLTRTA3	345.00]	TO	BUS	66537	[WHITE 3	345.00]	CKT 1
	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	60126	[SPLT RK3	345.00]	TO	BUS	60131	[SPLTRTB3	345.00]	CKT 1
	TRIP	LINE	FROM	BUS	60131	[SPLTRTB3	345.001	TO	BUS	66564	[SIOUXCY3	345.00]	CKT 1
NSP :						[BLUE LK3	-				[WILMART3	345.001	
						[HYLNDLK7	-				[DEANLAK7	115.001	
690 :						[WILLMAR7					[KERKHOT7	115.00]	
0,50						[MAYNARD7	-				[KERKHOT7	115.001	
						[KERKHOT7					[KERKHO 7	115.00]	
						[KERKHO 7					[BENSON 7	115.00]	
705 1:						[BLUE LK3					[PARKERS3	345.00]	
705 1 •						-	_				-	_	
						[BLUE LK3	-				[EDEN PR3	345.00]	
0.50						[EDEN PR3					[EDEN PR7	115.00]	
960 :						[PARKERS7	-				[CEDARLK7	115.00]	
						[PARKERS7					[BASCRK 7	115.00]	
875 1:						[COULEE 5	-				[LACROSS5	161.00]	
						[GENOA 5					[LAC TAP5	161.00]	
						[LACROSS5					[LAC TAP5	161.00]	
						[MRSHLND5	-				[LAC TAP5	161.00]	
961 :						[FOX LK 5	-				[RUTLAND5	161.00]	
						[RUTLAND5	-				[WINBAGO5	161.00]	
	TRIP :	LINE	FROM	BUS	61932	[RUTLAND5	161.00]	TO	BUS	61934	[RUTLAND	69.000]	CKT 1

Exhibit 5 - System Intact Overvoltage violations.

Base case buses with voltages greater than 1.10 per unit. For informational purposes.

	P	TI INTERACT	IVE POWER S	YSTEM SIMULA	ATORPSS/E	FRI, JUL	06 2007	7:28	For Informat	tion PAGE 10) .
•											
•		AC CON	FINGENCY RE	PORT FOR 9 2	AC CONTINGER	NCY CALCULAT	TION RUNS				
•		'MAPP	1 DA	SE CASE BUSI	ec wrmii wor	PACE CDEATER	1 1000				•
•		MAPP	DA	SE CASE BUSI	SS WIIH VOL.	IAGE GREATE	£ 1.1000				•
	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl	/lf/wl		
	f08base.	f08gen-g	f08gen-l	f11base.	f11gen-g	!	f16base.	f16gen-g	f16gen-l		
XX	sav	en.sav	oad.sav	sav	en.sav	oad.sav	sav	en.sav	oad.sav		
66539 WATERSVC 20.000					1.12394	1.12011		1.10887	1.10468		

Exhibit 6 - System Intact Undervoltage violations for Informational purposes.

Base case buses with voltages less than 0.90 per unit. For informational purposes.

	PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATORPSS/E FRI, JUL 06 20	007 7:28	For information	PAGE 11 .
•				
	AC CONTINGENCY REPORT FOR 9 AC CONTINGENCY CALCULATION R	RUNS		
	'MAPP ' BASE CASE BUSES WITH VOLTAGE LESS 0.90	000		

xx	/lf/wl f08base. sav	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f08gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl fllbase. sav	/lf/wl f11gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f11gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f16base. sav	/lf/wl f16gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f16gen-l oad.sav
60798 DODGCEN8 69.000				0.87346	0.87037	0.87157		0.89936	0.89998
60799 KASSON 8 69.000		0.89922	0.89953	0.84740	0.84464	0.84571	0.89007	0.88739	0.88800
60916 GARWINDG 69.000				0.87346	0.87037	0.87157		0.89936	0.89998
99917 KYPMP 17 4.2000						 		0.89243	0.89451

Exhibit 7 - First Contingency Overvoltage violations for Informational purposes.

Contingency case buses with voltages greater than 1.10 per unit. For informational purposes.

		PTI II	TERACTIVE	 POWER SYSTEI	 M SIMULATOR	PSS/E F		 2007 7:28		For inform	 ation PAGE 1
			AC CONTING	ENCY REPORT	FOR 9 AC C	ONTINGENCY	CALCULATION	RUNS			
•	' M.	APP ' COI	NTINGENCY C	ASE BUSES W	ITH VOLTAGE	GREATER TH	AN 1.1000 -	- WORST CAS	E VIOLATION	S	
X BUS	X	 XLABELX	f08base.	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	f08gen-1	f11base.		f11gen-l	f16base.		
60058 ANS C74G	13.800	 SINGL1 60 		(3x)	1.10892 (3x)	 	 	 	 	 	
66539 WATERSVC	20.000	 SINGL1 2752 		1.13032 (5x)	1.12597	į	 	1.14711 (3822x)	 		
66539 WATERSVC	20.000			 	 	1.13915 (43x)	 	 	(13x)	1.16891 (342x)	1.16961 (111x)
66539 WATERSVC	20.000	SINGL4 2811 		 		 	1.14689 (3829x)		 	 	
CONTINGENCY LEGENI KLABELX EVEN		I	l	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1
SINGL1 60 : OPEN	N LINE FRO	OM BUS 63314 [B	IGSTON4	345.00] TO 1	BUS 63315 [BIGSTN1G	24.000] CK	г 1			
TRIE TRIE	LINE FRO	OM BUS 63030 [D: OM BUS 60270 [MI OM BUS 63030 [D:	PLEGV13 ICKNSN3	345.00] TO 1 345.00] TO 1 345.00] TO 1	BUS 60233 [PARKERS3 COON CK3	345.00] CK: 345.00] CK: 345.00] CK:	г 1 г 1			
SET	BUS 6303	OM BUS 63030 [D: D [DICKNSN3 : 3000 [COAL 41G	345.00] LOA		MW		115.00] CK	I. T			
SINGL4 2811 : OPEN	N LINE FRO	OM BUS 63314 [B	IGSTON4	230.00] TO 1	BUS 63317 [BIGSTN2X	25.000] CK	Г Р1			

Exhibit 8 - First Contingency Undervoltage violations.

Possibly impactive contingency case buses with voltages less than 0.90 per unit.

		NTERACTIVE	POWER SYSTEM	M SIMULATOR	PSS/E FI	RI, JUL 06 2	2007 7:28			PAGE 13
•		AC CONTING	ENCY REPORT	FOR 9 AC CO	ONTINGENCY (CALCULATION	RUNS			
	'MAPP '	CONTINGENCY	CASE BUSES	WITH VOLTA	GE LESS THAI	0.9000 -	WORST CASE	VIOLATIONS		
XX	XLABELX	/lf/wl f08base. sav	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f08gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f11base. sav	/lf/wl fllgen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f11gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f16base. sav	/lf/wl f16gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f16gen-l oad.sav
61910 MILACA 4 230.00	SINGL1 660	 	 	 	 	 		 	 	0.89973 (1x)
62425 WILLMAR7 115.00	- SINGL1 744								0.89958 (1x)	
63188 PICKERTY 230.00	SINGLE-034		 	 	 	0.89893 (1x)		 	 	
63188 PICKERTY 230.00	SINGL7 161		 	 	 			0.86946 (10x)	0.85889 (14x)	0.86024 (14x)
63196 BEMIDJIY 115.00	SINGL1 834			 	 			0.50802 (2x)	0.49740 (2x)	0.49872 (2x)
63197 CASS LKY 115.00	SINGL1 834			 	 	 		0.57282 (3x)	0.56284 (2x)	0.56408 (2x)
63246 BEMIDJI7 115.00	SINGL1 834		 	 	 			0.54939 (2x)	0.53864 (2x)	0.53997 (2x)
63248 CASS N 7 115.00	SINGL1 834		 	 	 	 		0.55210 (3x)	0.54186 (3x)	0.54313 (3x)
64775 CLRWATR7 115.00	SINGL1 1145		 	 	 			 	0.71814 (14x)	0.71878 (14x)
64775 CLRWATR7 115.00	SINGL1 1159		 	 				0.73366 (14x)	 	
64776 CO.LINE7 115.00	SINGL1 1145		 					0.67357 (6x)	0.65359 (6x)	0.65441 (6x)
64780 COLMB.W4 230.00	SINGL1 951		 	 	 			 	0.89889 (2x)	
64780 COLMB.W4 230.00	SINGL1 2968			 	 			 	 	0.89929 (1x)
64806 E.COL. 4 230.00	SINGL1 2968		 	 	 			 	 	0.89559 (3x)
64812 EMMET 7 115.00	- SINGL1 1145		 	 	 			0.87132 (3x)	0.86112 (3x)	0.86139 (3x)
64926 ONEILL 7 115.00	- SINGL1 1145							0.85491 (4x)	0.84352 (5x)	0.84377 (5x)
64977 STUART 7 115.00	SINGL1 1145								0.89177 (1x)	0.89206 (1x)

: TRIP LINE FROM BUS 66755 [PRAIRIE4 230.00] TO BUS 63047 [RAMSEY 4 230.00] CKT 1

TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63056 [BALTA 4 230.00] TO BUS 63047 [RAMSEY 4 230.00] CKT 1
TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63266 [RAMSEY 7 115.00] TO BUS 63047 [RAMSEY 4 230.00] CKT 1
SINGL7 161 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 60173 [ROSEAUN2 500.00] TO BUS 67621 [RIEL 2 500.00] CKT 1

65001 VICTRYH4 230.	00 SINGL1 1350				0.79469 (1x)	0.79897 (1x)
66311 DUNLAP 7 115.					0.89840 (1x)	
66428 CARNGTN7 115.	!		0.89600	0.89946 (1x)	 	
66708 KARLSTA7 115.	00 SINGL7 161				0.89928 (3x)	(3x)
66785 KARLSTAT 115.	00 SINGL7 161			İ	0.89625	0.89682 (3x)
66789 BEMIDJIT 115.	00 SINGL1 834			0.	52730 0.51659 (2x) (2x)	0.51792
67192 LYNN 7 115.	00 SINGL1 1350				0.89953 (1x)	
67236 BOXBUTE7 115.	00 SINGL1 1776	0.89679 (1x)		j	86940 0.86274 (1x) (1x)	(1x)
67239 COVALT 7 115.					0.89589 (2x)	0.89978 (1x)
SINGL1 744 COPEN LINE	FROM BUS 61910 [MILACA 4 FROM BUS 63050 [WILLMAR4 FROM BUS 63245 [WILLTON 7 FROM BUS 63875 [RAUN 3 FROM BUS 64739 [BATTLCR7 FROM BUS 64751 [BLMFLD 7 FROM BUS 65001 [VICTRYH4 FROM BUS 66570 [ALIANCE7 FROM BUS 66570 [ALIANCE7 FROM BUS 66570 [ALIANCE7 FROM BUS 66752 [DRAYTON4 67503 [DORSEY 4 230.00] 660002 [SHERC33G 26.000] 660001 [SHERC32G 24.000] 660002 [SHERC32G 24.000]	230.00] TO BUS 66550 [GRAI 115.00] TO BUS 63246 [BEM: 345.00] TO BUS 64858 [HOSI 115.00] TO BUS 64918 [NORI 115.00] TO BUS 66511 [GAV: 230.00] TO BUS 66573 [STEC 115.00] TO BUS 67197 [SNAI 345.00] TO BUS 67860 [HOSI 230.00] TO BUS 67557 [LETI SHUNT BY 261.0 MW GENERATION BY 100.0 MW	NITF4 230.00] CKI IDJI7 115.00] CKI KINS3 345.00] CKI FK.N7 115.00] CKI 11S.00] CKI 3ALL4 230.00] CKI KECK7 115.00] CKI KINS7 115.00] TO	1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	NS19 13.800] C	КТ 1

Exhibit 9 - First Contingency Overvoltage violations for Informational purposes.

Contingency case buses with voltages less than 0.90 per unit. For informational purposes.

PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATOR--PSS/E FRI, JUL 06 2007 7:28 For information PAGE 13 .

AC CONTINGENCY REPORT FOR 9 AC CONTINGENCY CALCULATION RUNS

'MAPP ' CONTINGENCY CASE BUSES WITH VOLTAGE LESS THAN 0.9000 - WORST CASE VIOLATIONS

X BUS	X	 XLABELX	/lf/wl f08base. sav	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f08gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl fllbase. sav	/lf/wl fllgen-g en.sav	/lf/wl fllgen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f16base. sav	/lf/wl f16gen-g en.sav	/lf/w] f16gen-] oad.sav
60745 SEDAN 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 				 				0.89300 (1x)	
60746 GLENWD 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 	 	0.88597 (1x)	 	 				0.83603 (1x)	
60747 VILLARD8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434		0.87092 (1x)	 	 				0.81932 (1x)	
60748 WESTPRT8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 		0.86382 (1x)	 	 	 			0.81158 (1x)	
60749 DGLAS C8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434		0.85037 (1x)		 				0.79904 (1x)	
60750 OSAKIS 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434		0.85159 (1x)	 	 	 			0.80040 (1x)	
60751 SAUKCMU8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 	 	0.88617 (1x)		 				0.83991 (1x)	
60752 BLCKOAK8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434				 				0.87995 (1x)	
60753 MEIRGRV8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 								0.89439 (1x)	
60754 MELRSMU8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 	 			 				0.88936 (1x)	
62755 OMMEN 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 	 	0.86301 (1x)	 	 				0.81044 (1x)	
62756 LEVEN 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 		0.87889 (1x)						0.82798 (1x)	
60800 PINEISL8	69.000	 009 				 			0.87023 (3882x)	0.86095 (3888x)	0.86413 (3881x)
62757 GLENWD 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434		0.89846 (1x)						0.85089 (1x)	
62820 W UNION8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434		0.86453 (1x)						0.81481 (1x)	
62821 KANDOTA8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 		0.88901 (1x)	 	 				0.84300 (1x)	
62822 KANDTTP8	69.000	 SINGL1 2434 		0.89060 (1x)	 	 				0.84525 (1x)	

2847 GROVE 8	69.000	SINGL1 2434	1					I	1	0.87861	
ZOT7 GROVE 0	03.000	SINGEL 2454				ļ				(1x)	
3146 BEMIDJI9	41.600	 SINGL1 834 	 			 		- 	0.58431 (2x)	0.57189 (2x)	0.5734 (2x
3156 KARLSTD9	41.600	 SINGL7 161 	 			 		- 	· 	0.89609 (3x)	0.8966 (3x
3159 EDGE SS9	41.600	 220 	 			 		- 	· 	0.89568 (3x)	0.8960 (3x
3167 PICKERT9	41.600	 SINGL7 161 	 			 		- 	- 	0.89312 (3x)	0.8945 (3x
3346 BEMIDJ19	12.500	 SINGL1 834 	 			 	 	- 	0.38524 (2x)	0.37410 (2x)	0.3755 (2x
3347 CASS LK8	69.000	 SINGL1 834 	 			 		- 	0.57353 (2x)	0.56353 (2x)	0.5647 (2x
3348 NO PIPE9	4.2000	 SINGL1 834	 	 		 	 	- j 	0.49504 (3x)	0.48505 (8x)	0.4862 (6x
3349 CASSPIP9	4.2000	 SINGL1 834	 			 		- 	0.53477 (3x)	0.52381 (3x)	0.5251 (3x
3356 DON PIP9	4.2000	 SINGL7 161	 					- 	(311)	0.89906 (3x)	0.8985 (3x
1463 SB 27 8	69.000	 SINGL1 2871	 					- 		0.89972 (1x)	
4740 BATTLCR8	69.000	 SINGL1 1145	 			 		- 	0.68406	0.66018	0.6611
4885 LOUPCTY9	34.500	 SINGL1 1253	 			 		-	(1x) - 0.72285	(1x) 0.71165	0.7156
4916 NELIGH 8	69.000	 SINGL1 1145	 			 		-	(2x) - 	(2x) 0.69591	0.6968
4916 NELIGH 8	69.000	 SINGL1 1159	 	 		 	 	- 	0.71298	(7x) 	(7x
4917 NELIGH 9	34.500	 SINGL1 1145	 	 		 	 	 - 	(7x) - 	0.70235	0.7033
4917 NELIGH 9	34.500	 SINGL1 1159	 	 		 	 	 - 	 - 0.72056	(6x) 	(6x
 1928 ONEILL 9	34.500	 SINGL1 1145	 	 		 	 	 -	(6x) -	0.89664	0.8969
5000 VICTR10G	13.800	 SINGL1 1350	 			 		-	- 	(1x) 0.81507	(1x 0.8194
 5314 GR ISL19	13.800	 330	 	0.89904	0.89938	 	 	 -	 - 0.88016	(1x) 0.87895	(1x
 5316 GR ISL29	13.800	 330	 	(1x) 	(1x) 0.89934	 	 	 -	(15x) 	(18x) 0.87890	(18x
		 	 	(1x)	(1x)	 		 -	(15x)	(18x) 	(18x
5923 PICKERT8	69.000	SINGL7 161 	 	 		 		 -	 -	0.89990 (3x)	
7015 BEMIDJI8	69.000	SINGL1 834	1	1		1	1	1	0.55105	0.53987	0.5412

67241 MORRILL9 3	34.500	SINGL1 1350							ļ	0.89649		
	 		 		 	 	 			(1x)		
63857 PERCIVL8 6	59.000 	SINGL1 934			<u> </u> 			į Į		0.89810 (1x)	0.89807 (1x)	
63852 THURMAN8 6	59.000 	SINGL1 934			 		 			0.89755 (1x)	0.89783 (1x)	
64443 COLONA 6	59.000	SINGL1 2871								0.89901 (1x)	0.89936 (1x)	
99917 KYPMP 17 4	4.2000	SINGL7 161							0.83899 (106x)	0.82667 (3845x)	0.82822 (3820x)	
99927 KYPMPS27 6	59.000	SINGL7 161							0.88849 (5x)	0.87707 (7x)	0.87852 (7x)	
99918 KYPMP 18 4	4.2000	SINGL1 768			 	0.82178 (4x)	0.81198 (5x)	0.81391 (4x)	0.86252 (8x)	0.85221 (14x)	0.85407 (13x)	
99919 KYPMP 19 4	4.2000	SINGL1 768				0.78186 (2x)	0.77160 (2x)	0.77365 (2x)	0.84097 (4x)	0.83089 (6x)	0.83271 (6x)	
				ı	I .	1	1	1				

CONTINGENCY LEGEND: X--LABEL---X EVENTS SINGL1 834 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 63245 [WILTON 7 115.00] TO BUS 63246 [BEMIDJI7 115.00] CKT 1 115.00] TO BUS 64918 [NORFK.N7 115.00] CKT 1 SINGL1 1145 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 64739 [BATTLCR7 SINGL1 1159 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 64751 [BLMFLD 7 115.00] TO BUS 66511 [GAVINS 7 115.00] CKT 1 SINGL1 1253 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 64840 [GR ISLD7 115.00] TO BUS 64968 [ST.LIB 7 115.00] CKT 1 SINGL1 1350 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 65001 [VICTRYH4 230.00] TO BUS 66573 [STEGALL4 230.00] CKT 1 SINGL1 2434 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 60144 [DGLASCO7 115.00] TO BUS 60749 [DGLAS C8 69.000] CKT 1 SINGL1 2871 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 64418 [E MOLINE 161.00] TO BUS 64468 [SB 39 8 69.000] CKT 1 : TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63030 [DICKNSN3 345.00] TO BUS 60270 [MPLEGV13 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 60270 [MPLEGV13 345.00] TO BUS 60233 [PARKERS3 345.00] CKT 1 345.00] TO BUS 60202 [COON CK3 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63030 [DICKNSN3 345.00] TO BUS 62925 [DICKNSN7 115.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63030 [DICKNSN3 SET BUS 63030 [DICKNSN3 345.00] LOAD TO 1055.0 MW CHANGE BUS 63000 [COAL 41G 22.000] GENERATION BY -581.0 MW : TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63358 [BUFFALO3 345.00] TO BUS 63369 [JAMESTN3 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 66792 [MAPLE R3 345.00] TO BUS 63358 [BUFFALO3 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63358 [BUFFALO3 345.00] TO BUS 63198 [BUFFALOY 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63198 [BUFFALOY 345.00] TO BUS 63258 [BUFFALO7 115.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63198 [BUFFALOY 345.00] TO BUS 63158 [BUFFALO9 41.600] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 66792 [MAPLE R3 345.00] TO BUS 63189 [MAPLER1Y 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63189 [MAPLER1Y 345.00] TO BUS 66754 [MAPLE R4 230.00] CKT 1 345.00] TO BUS 63359 [MAPLER19 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63189 [MAPLER1Y 13.800] CKT 1 345.001 TO BUS 66754 [MAPLE R4 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63190 [MAPLER2Y 230.001 CKT 1 345.00] TO BUS 63190 [MAPLER2Y 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 66792 [MAPLE R3 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 63190 [MAPLER2Y 345.00] TO BUS 63360 [MAPLER29 13.800] CKT 1 : TRIP LINE FROM BUS 64984 [SWEET W3 345.00] TO BUS 66571 [GR ISLD3 345.00] CKT 1 TRIP LINE FROM BUS 66506 [FTTHOMP3 345.00] TO BUS 66571 [GR ISLD3 345.00] CKT 1 SINGL7 161 : OPEN LINE FROM BUS 60173 [ROSEAUN2 500.00] TO BUS 67621 [RIEL 2 500.00] CKT 1

Exhibit 10 - Rate A system intact overloads for information.

	PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATORPSS/E THU, JUL 26 2007 15:08	PAGE 8 .
•		•
	AC CONTINGENCY REPORT FOR 9 AC CONTINGENCY CALCULATION RUNS	
•		•
•	BASE CASE MONITORED BRANCHES LOADED ABOVE 95.0% OF RATING SET A - ALL VIOLATIONS	

X MONITORED ELEMENT	x	/lf/wl f08base. sav	/lf/wl f08gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f08gen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl fllbase. sav	/lf/wl fllgen-g en.sav	/lf/wl fllgen-l oad.sav	/lf/wl f16base. sav	/lf/wl f16gen-g en.sav	/lf/wl f16gen-l oad.sav
68757 ETHANOL 69.	000		95.6% 24MVA					120.0% 30MVA	126.7% 31MVA	124.8% 31MVA
68757 ETHANOL 69.	000							99.9% 25MVA	106.5% 26MVA	104.7% 26MVA
68766 MENOMINE 69.	000 000 1				101.5% 26MVA	107.3% 27MVA	105.8% 27MVA	104.4% 26MVA	110.4% 28MVA	108.8% 27MVA
68787 DAYTON 69. 68788 T RC 69.	000				127.0% 32MVA	120.0% 30MVA	122.0% 30MVA	99.9% 25MVA	 	
69007 APLRVR 8 69. 69011 GARFIELD 69.	000 000 1 	95.4% 24MVA	103.4% 26MVA	101.3% 25MVA	169.5% 43MVA	169.3% 43MVA	169.3% 43MVA	203.1% 51MVA	203.3% 51MVA	203.2% 51MVA
