Endeavor<sup>™</sup> Zotarolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent System

**Medtronic Vascular Presentation** 

Sean M. Salmon Vice President and General Manager, Coronary and Peripheral, Medtronic Vascular

# **Endeavor Program Overview**

- Project Overview and Product Description
  - Sean M. Salmon VP and GM, Medtronic Vascular
- Drug Substance and Pre-clinical Characterization
  - LeRoy LeNarz, MD Chief Medical Officer, Medtronic Vascular
- Clinical Trial Results
  - Martin B. Leon, MD PI, Columbia University Medical Center
- Clinical Trial Program Safety Overview
  - Laura Mauri, MD, MSc Chief Scientific Officer, Harvard Clinical Research Institute
- Post-Market Plan and Conclusions
  - Richard E. Kuntz, MD, MSc Sr. VP, Medtronic, Inc

### Expert Consultants

Jeffrey Popma, MD Brigham and Women's Hospital Angiographic Core Lab Peter Fitzgerald, MD, PhD – Stanford IVUS Core Lab Richard P. Chiacchierini, PhD - R.P. Chiacchierini & Associates, LLC Sean Willis, PhD - Biocompatibles, Ltd. Stephen Jones, PhD - Biocompatibles, Ltd.

### Purpose

 Provide an overview of the pre-clinical and clinical data that provide assurance based on valid scientific evidence of the safety and effectiveness of the Endeavor Zotarolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent System

### **Endeavor:** Proposed Indications for Use

The Endeavor<sup>TM</sup> Zotarolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent System is indicated for improving coronary luminal diameter in patients with ischemic heart disease due to *de novo* lesions of length  $\leq 27$  mm in native coronary arteries with reference vessel diameters of  $\geq 2.5$ mm to  $\leq 3.5$ mm.

### **Stent Size Matrix**



Consistent dose of 10µg/mm stent length across sizes

## **Endeavor Program Overview**

Premarket Safety and Efficacy Package			2yr	3yr	4yr
ENDEAVOR I	Single Arm First-in-Man (n=100)		-		4yr
ENDEAVOR II	1:1 RCT vs. BMS (E=598,D=599) PK (n=106)			3yr	
ENDEAVOR II CA	Continued Access Single Arm (n=296)		2yr		
ENDEAVOR III	3:1 RCT vs. Cypher® (E=323,C=113)		2yr		
ENDEAVOR IV	1:1 RCT vs.Taxus® (E=773,T=775)	9mo			
ENDEAVOR PK	Pharmacokinetic Study (n=43)	9mo			
ENDEAVOR Japan	Single Arm (n=99)	9mo			
	Ongoing				
PROTECT	1:1 RCT vs. Cypher (E=4400,C=4400)				

**Open Label Single Arm (n=8000)** 

**E-FIVE** 

Proposed

US Post Approval Open Label Single Arm Study Comparing to Pre-Market Data

### **Endeavor Clinical Program Summary**

### Substantial Density of Safety and Efficacy Data

- 7 Clinical Trials: 3 Randomized, 4 Single Arm
- 2232 Endeavor patients enrolled
- 1287 Endeavor patients with 2 or more years of follow-up
- 675 Endeavor patients with 3 years of follow-up
- 3980 Endeavor patient-years of follow-up
- Clinical and angiographic superiority to BMS
  - Treatment effect sustained through 3year follow-up

### Clinical non-inferiority to an approved DES

Consistent clinical and angiographic outcomes

Across different geographies and studies

No observed safety signals before or after 1 year

Low rates of ST, death, cardiac death, and MI

## **Endeavor: Product Description**

### **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



### **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



## **Endeavor Stent and Delivery System**

 Driver (3.0mm and 3.5mm) and Micro-Driver (2.5mm) stent systems (P030009)

- Driver platform, approved October 1, 2003
- Micro-Driver platform, approved April 21, 2006
- Rapid Exchange (RX), Over-The-Wire (OTW), and Multi Exchange II (MX<sup>2</sup>) Delivery Systems
- Product matrix corresponds to the proposed indications:
  - $\geq 2.5$ mm to  $\leq 3.5$ mm vessel diameter
    - 2.5 3.5 mm stent diameters
  - $\leq 27 \text{ mm}$  lesion length
    - 8-30 mm stent lengths

## **Endeavor Stent Platform**



- Thin struts 0.0036"
- Strength & visibility



- Edgeless design
- Modular structure
- 1 mm elements
- Flexibility
- Scaffolding
- Conformability



- Flexible and low profile balloon
- Pillows securement
- Minimal balloon overhang
- Nominal 9 atm **– RBP 16 atm**

### **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



### **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



## **PC Technology** <sup>™</sup>

#### Phosphorylcholine (PC) Polymer

- Broad history of use in medical devices including coronary stents
  - BiodivYsio AS PC Coated Stent (P000011, approved September 29, 2000)
  - >150,000 stent implants world wide at the time of Endeavor development
- Blended composite polymer primarily comprised of hydrophilic monomers
- PC mimics the chemical structure of phospholipid headgroups



## **PC Technology**



PC<sup>1</sup> mimics the chemical structure of the phospholipid headgroup 90% of phospholipids in the outer membrane of a red blood cell contain the Phosphorylcholine (PC) headgroup



<sup>1</sup> Hayward JA & Chapman D; Biomaterials 5, 135, 1984.

### PhosphoCoat<sup>™</sup> – Thrombo-Resistant

- Non-thrombogenic (hemocompatible)
  - Non-inflammatory
  - Hydrophilic: Inhibits monocyte adhesion
- PC coated stents showed less platelet adhesion compared to uncoated stents in a baboon-shunt flow model\*



\*Lewis AL, Coll Surf B; Biointerfaces, 2000, 18, 261

### **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



### **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



## **Zotarolimus: Structure and Properties**



	Sirolimus	Zotarolimus
Lipophilicity	logD 3.6	logD >4.5
Potency	IC <sub>50</sub> 0.4nM	IC <sub>50</sub> 0.3nM



## **Porcine Drug Elution Kinetics and PK**

#### **Drug Elution by Recovered Drug from Stent**



 Zotarolimus is hydrophobic and rapidly elutes from the hydrophilic PC polymer matrix within 14 days

#### **Blood and Arterial Tissue Zotarolimus Concentration**



 Zotarolimus is highly lipophilic enabling rapid arterial tissue loading and drug retention which is sustained for ~28 days

# Drug Substance and Pre-clinical Characterization

LeRoy LeNarz, MD Chief Medical Officer Global VP, Medical Affairs Medtronic Vascular

### **Zotarolimus Development**

Full standard drug characterization

- Combination product with joint review by CDRH and CDER
  - ICH guidelines and FDA guidance observed
  - Includes relevant pharmacology, ADME, toxicology, and other studies needed to characterize zotarolimus as a new chemical entity

## **Demonstrated Drug Safety**

Standard safety pharmacology studies

Examples:

- No respiratory toxicity in standard rat model at 50 ng/ml
- Non-antigenic in guinea pigs: did not induce systemic anaphylactic or passive cutaneous anaphylactic reactions
- No skin sensitization by lymph node assay
- No effect on platelet aggregation at 200 ng/ml or 50x highest anticipated  $C_{\rm max}$  for 48 mm stent length

### **Demonstrated Cardiovascular Safety**

- Comprehensive cardiovascular evaluation
  - hERG: no reduction in vitro current at highest achievable concentration (181ng/ml)
  - No significant prolongation in action potential duration In vitro canine cardiac Purkinje fibers
  - Both conscious and anesthetized dog (up to 232ng/ml) models, lack of effect on heart rate, Blood Pressure, Systemic Vascular Resistance, Pulmonary Vascular Resistance, and QTc
  - No significant hemodynamic findings in conscious primates with exposure up to 1357 ng/ml

### **ADME Studies**

- Standard Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME) Testing
- High protein binding in all species, 99%
- Distributed on RBC and plasma at 20:1 ratio
- Radiolabel studies define feces as predominant path of excretion and little renal clearance (less than 6%)
- Metabolism mainly via CYP 3A4 pathways
  - Non inhibitor at relevant concentrations
  - Minimal amplification by ketoconazole interaction studies in dog and man (less than 2x)

### **Toxicology Studies**

Genotoxicology studies negative

Reproductive toxicity characterized

Single and repeat dose 28 day studies in rat and monkey provide safety margin for anticipated use

90 day repeat dose studies in monkey define chronic safety

# **Endeavor: Human PK** *Overview of Pharmacokinetics*

### **Zotarolimus Pharmacokinetics**

#### Phase-1 Single Dose I.V. Study (n=60)

 Drug concentrations in blood were demonstrated to be linear and dose proportional across the dose range from 100-900 micrograms

#### Phase-1 Multi Dose I.V. Study (n=72)

- Administered intravenously for 14 consecutive days in repeat doses of 200, 400 and 800 µg
- Linear/dose proportional confirmed with steady state reached at day 10
- No treatment emergent adverse effect

No deaths, SAEs reported in either Phase I PK study

#### **Pharmacokinetics** *Comparison of Zotarolimus Pharmacokinetics between Endeavor US PK Study and Endeavor II (PK subset)*

PK Parameters (unit)	Endeavor US PK Study			Endeavor-II PK Subset			
	180 μg (N = 24)	240 µg (N = 6)	300 µg (N = 7)	180 µg (N = 10)	240 µg (N = 22)	300 µg (N = 15)	
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	1.51 ±	1.83 ±	2.66 ±	1.64 ±	1.84 ±	2.45 ±	
	0.62	0.21	0.99	0.57	0.41	0.40	
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	1.20 ±	1.40 ±	1.48 ±	1.18 ±	1.03 ±	0.90 ±	
	0.60	1.30	1.29	0.48	0.54	0.52	
AUC <sub>0-24</sub>	20.0 ±	23.7 ±	31. 5 ±	25.1 ±	24.6 ±	31.7 ±	
(ng●h/mL)	6.5	3.6	9.2	7.4	6.8	6.0	

The pharmacokinetics of zotarolimus from US PK study are consistent to those observed from the Endeavor II PK subset

### **Zotarolimus Exposure**

 Clinical studies establish wide safety margins of exposure for use based on 48 mm stent (ENDEAVOR US PK Study)

	_Cum.	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	Cum. AUC (ng∙hr /ml)	Exposure margin			
	Dosage (µg/kg)			Dosage	C <sub>max</sub>	AUC	
Extrapolated Clinical	6.9	4.0	162	NA	NA	NA	
90-d Monkey Cumulative 10 µg/kg/d (daily IV)	900	14.8	9661	130	4	60	
Human Single Dose IV 900 µg	12.9	111	254	2	28	2	
Human Multiple Dose IV 800 µg/d	160	38.9	2395	23	10	15	

## **Endeavor Biocompatibility**

### **Summary of Key Pre-Clinical Findings:**

- Histopathology
- Inflammation
- Endothelialization (coverage and function)

## **Histopathology of Artery**

No indication of thrombosis or necrosis at 7 to 180 days in porcine studies involving 127 animals\*



### Inflammation

- Endeavor demonstrates consistently low inflammation based on a 0-3 scoring system
- A Score of 1 implies lack of organized inflammation


# **Biocompatibility (Inflammation Scores)**

Porcine inflammation scores show mild response at all chronic time points up to 180 days with single and overlapped  $10\mu g/mm$  stents\*.





\*P<0.05 compared to the respective controls

#### \*CVPath, Dr Renu Virmani

# **Biocompatibility (Inflammation Scores)**

Porcine inflammation scores show mild response to a 3X overdose (30µg/mm) and 6X overdose (overlapping 30µg/mm) at all chronic time points up to 180 days with single and overlapped stents.



## **Endothelial Coverage**

Porcine histology and SEM show rapid endothelial replacement with both single and overlapping (OL) stents\*.



#### **Overlapping (OL) Stents**

#### **Single Stents**

# Endothelial Coverage (Overdose)

Porcine histology and SEM show rapid endothelial replacement with Endeavor 3X overdose (30µg/mm) and 6X overdose (overlapping 30µg/mm) using both single and overlapped (OL) stents.



#### **Single Stents**

#### **Overlapped (OL) Stents**

### **Preserved Endothelial Function** In Vivo Assessment of Endothelial Function in Porcine Models: eNOS Staining

Immunohistochemistry Staining for Presence of eNOS





#### **Preserved Endothelial Function** In Vivo Assessment of Endothelial Function in Porcine Models: Active Vasoreactivity

**Distal Vessel Vasoreactivity Following Acetylcholine (Ach) Challenge** 



42

## **Pre-clinical Summary**

Extensive pre-clinical evaluation at 10 µg/mm and overdose drug levels has demonstrated:

- No medial necrosis or aneurysms
- Low levels of drug/polymer induced inflammation
- Rapid, complete and functional endothelialization

# **Endeavor Clinical Drug Safety** *Overview from Randomized Trials*

## Endeavor Drug Safety by Body System

	ENDEAVO da	PR II To 30 ys	ENDEAVOR III To 30 days		ENDEAVOR IV To 30 days	
Body System	Endeavor Stent (N= 598)	Driver Stent (N=599)	Endeavor Stent (N=323)	Cypher Stent (N=113)	Endeavor Stent (N=773)	Taxus Stent (N=775)
Hepatobiliary Disorders	7(1.2%)	4(0.7%)	2(0.6%)	0(0.0%)	2(0.3%)	1(0.1%)
Immune System Disorders	5(0.8%)	7(1.2%)	1(0.3%)	0(0.0%)	3(0.4%)	3(0.4%)
Renal and Urinary Disorders	10(1.7%)	16(2.7%)	8(2.5%)	7(6.2%)	17(2.2%)	15(1.9%)
Vascular Disorders	70(11.7%)	74(12.4%)	43(13.3%)	18(15.9%)	48(6.2%)	59(7.6%)

No significant body system treatment emergent events

## **Pre-clinical / Clinical Conclusions**

Porcine studies demonstrated

- Drug/polymer coating is safe with respect to biocompatability
- Normal endothelial coverage and function

### Zotarolimus demonstrated

- Favorable safety margins
- No anticipated drug-drug interaction
- No treatment emergent events as a combination product

# Endeavor Clinical Trial Program

Martin B. Leon, MD

#### **Disclosures:**

- Member of Medtronic's Coronary Advisory Board
- Principal Investigator of ENDEAVOR III and ENDEAVOR IV

## **DES Use Considerations** *Areas for improvement*



Preserve efficacy advantage of DES, while improving safety and deliverability

## Early DES Assumptions (2002-06)

#### Efficacy measures

 Angiographic late loss (LL) and binary restenosis were *robust* surrogates for target lesion revascularization (TLR)

#### Safety considerations

 Safety (e.g. stent thrombosis) could be determined in the first year after DES implantation

#### Clinical trial design

 Blinded superiority RCTs in low-medium complexity patients vs. BMS were sufficient to demonstrate DES safety and efficacy

#### **11 RCTs with Cypher, Taxus, Endeavor, and BMS** (5381 pts) Surrogate Angiographic Endpoints for Clinical Outcomes

#### LL vs. TLR - A monotonic but curvilinear relationship





440

#### Pocock S. et al., JACC, in press

#### **9 Prospective, Double-Blind, Randomized Trials** *Freedom From (Protocol) Stent Thrombosis (4yr FU)*



Stone G. et al., NEJM 2007; 356: 998-1008

#### **Consensus Observations on DES Safety from the Dec. 2006 FDA Panel**

#### **Approved DES...**

- <u>Very late</u> stent thrombosis occurs after 1 year (0.2-0.6% per year) and may represent a constant hazard
- Little is known about DES safety for "off label" use indications, but preliminary data suggest a higher frequency of very late stent thrombosis vs. "on label" use
- Dual anti-platelet therapy should be extended in some DES patients, but duration of therapy, associated risks, and impact on very late stent thrombosis is controversial

## **Current DES Insights**

#### Efficacy measures

- The relationship between LL and TLR is <u>non-linear</u> and moderate LL may still result in low TLR
- Angiographic follow up has a profound impact on TLR

#### Safety considerations

 DES safety evaluations can no longer be confined to 1 year, as very late stent thrombosis is increased compared with BMS

#### Clinical trial design

 Larger non-inferiority RCTs vs. approved DES and even larger "real world" studies (both with longer follow-up) are now required to discern clinical safety and efficacy

## **Endeavor Program Overview**

Premarket Safety and Efficacy Package			2vr	3vr	4vr
ENDEAVORI	Registry First-in-Man (n=100)				yr
ENDEAVOR II	1:1 RCT vs. BMS (E=598,D=599) PK (n=106)			3yr	
ENDEAVOR II CA	Continued Access Registry (n=296)		2yr		
ENDEAVOR III	3:1 RCT vs. Cypher® (E=323,C=113)		2yr		
ENDEAVOR IV	1:1 RCT vs.Taxus® (E=773,T=775)	9mo			
ENDEAVOR PK	Pharmacokinetic Study (n=43)	9mo			
ENDEAVOR Japan	Registry (n=99)	9mo			
PROTECT	1:1 RCT vs. Cypher (E=4400,C=4400)				

**Open Label Single Arm (n=8000)** 

**E-FIVE** 

Proposed

US Post Approval Open Label Single Arm Study Comparing to Pre-Market Data

## **Endeavor Studies Summary**

## Submitted to FDA Panel:

 3 Randomized Trials (3,181 pts) 1,694 Endeavor pts

4 Registries (538 pts)
538 Endeavor pts

Patient years of follow-up
Overall - 6,492
Endeavor - 3,980

## Endeavor Clinical Program Goals

- Demonstrate superior reduction in restenosis compared with a BMS (both angiographic and clinical endpoints)
- Demonstrate a "BMS-like" early and late safety profile (death, MI, and stent thrombosis)
- Demonstrate comparable (non-inferior) outcomes vs. approved DES
- Show consistency of angiographic and clinical outcomes across all RCTs

# **Endeavor Randomized Trials**

Randomized Trials (total n=3181 pts)

Study (n=)	Design	Control Arm	Primary EP	Duration of F/U
Ell (n=1197)	1:1 double blind superiority, pK	Driver & Micro Driver BMS	9 month TVF	3 years
EIII (n=436)	3:1 single blind non-inferiority	Cypher DES	8 month In- segment LL	2 years
EIV (n=1548)	1:1 single blind non-inferiority	Taxus DES	9 month TVF	9 months

## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Consistent data analysis and endpoint definitions (all RCTs)*

- QCA Core Lab
  - Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
  - Jeffrey J. Popma, MD
- IVUS Core Lab
  - Cardiovascular Core Analysis Lab
  - Stanford Interventional Cardiology, CA, USA
  - Peter Fitzgerald, MD
- ECG Core Lab
  - Harvard Clinical Research Institute, Boston, MA, USA
  - Peter Zimetbaum, MD
- Data Coordinating Center
  - Harvard Clinical Research Institute, Boston, MA, USA
  - Laura Mauri, MD
- Clinical Events Committee/DSMB
  - Harvard Clinical Research Institute, Boston, MA, USA
  - Donald Cutlip, MD

## **Endeavor Clinical Program**

## Key Baseline Variables across RCTs

	Ell n=598	EIII n=323	EIV n=773
Diabetics - %	18.2	29.7	31.2
Unstable Angina - %	33.2	51.1	51.6
RVD - mm	2.73	2.75	2.73
Lesion length - mm	14.04	14.96	13.41
B2/C lesions - %	78.4	67.2	69.6
Angiographic F/U - % (eligible) % (total) n	88.6 44.1 264	85.8 85.8 277	87.8 18.6 144

# **Endeavor Stent Platform**







EIIEIIIEIVEndeavor<br/>Device<br/>Success (%)98.897.3

## **ENDEAVOR II** *Double Blind RCT vs Driver*

PI: Jean Fajadet, Richard Kuntz and William Wijns



Angio = first 600 pts (50%) IVUS = first 300 pts (25%)

Primary Endpoint: TVF at 9 months Secondary Endopoints: MACE at 30 days and 9 months, ABR at 8 months Drug Therapy: ASA and Clopidogrel/Ticlid  $\geq$ 3 months Zotarolimus Dose: 10 µg per mm stent length

## **ENDEAVOR II** Patient Flowchart



## **ENDEAVOR II** Baseline Characteristics

	Endeavor n=598	Driver n=599	P value
Males (%)	77.2	75.3	NS
Diabetics (%)	18.2	22.2	NS
Unstable Angina (%)	33.2	33.3	NS
RVD (mm)	2.73	2.76	NS
Lesion length (mm)	14.04	14.38	NS
B2/C lesions (%)	78.4	79.1	NS

## **ENDEAVOR II** *Clinical Events at 30 days*

	Endeavor n=596	Driver n=594	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	0.2 (1)	0	0.2%[-0.2%,0.5%]
Cardiac	0.2 (1)	0	0.2%[-0.2%,0.5%]
MI (all) - % (#)	2.7 (16)	3.5 (21)	-0.9%[-2.8%,1.1%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	0.8 (5)	-0.5%[-1.4%,0.4%]
Non Q wave	2.3 (14)	2.7 (16)	-0.3%[-2.1%,1.4%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	2.7 (16)	3.5 (21)	-0.9%[-2.8%,1.1%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
TLR - % (#)	0.8 (5)	1.2 (7)	-0.3%[-1.5%,0.8%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	0.3 (2)	0	0.3%[-0.1%,0.8%]
TVR - % (#)	1.2 (7)	1.2 (7)	-0.0%[-1.2%,1.2%]
MACE - % (#)	2.9 (17)	3.7 (22)	-0.9%[-2.9%,1.2%]
TVF - % (#)	3.2 (19)	3.7 (22)	-0.5%[-2.6%,1.6%]

## **ENDEAVOR II** *Primary Endpoint Result at 9 months*

## **Target Vessel Failure**



## **ENDEAVOR II** *Efficacy at 9 months*

## **Target Vessel Revascularization**



## **ENDEAVOR II** *Efficacy at 9 months*

## **Target Lesion Revascularization**



#### **ENDEAVOR II** *Clinical Events to 9 months*

	Endeavor n=592	Driver n=591	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	1.2 (7)	0.5 (3)	0.7%[-0.4%,1.7%]
Cardiac	0.8 (5)	0.5 (3)	0.3%[-0.6%,1.3%]
MI (all) - % (#)	2.7 (16)	3.9 (23)	-1.2%[-3.2%,0.8%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	0.8 (5)	-0.5%[-1.4%,0.4%]
Non Q wave	2.4 (14)	3.0 (18)	-0.7%[-2.5%,1.2%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	3.4 (20)	4.4 (26)	-1.0%[-3.2%,1.2%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
0-30 days	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
31-270days	0	0	
TLR - % (#)	4.6 (27)	11.8 (70)	-7.3%[-10.4%,-4.2%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	1.5 (9)	2.2 (13)	-0.7%[-2.2%,0.9%]
TVR - % (#)	5.6 (33)	12.5 (74)	-6.9%[-10.2%,-3.7%]
MACE - % (#)	7.3 (43)	14.4 (85)	-7.1%[-10.6%,-3.6%]
TVF - % (#)	7.9 (47)	15.1 (89)	-7.1%[-10.7%,-3.5%]

#### **ENDEAVOR II** *Clinical Events to 9 months*

	Endeavor n=592	Driver n=591	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	1.2 (7)	0.5 (3)	0.7%[-0.4%,1.7%]
Cardiac	0.8 (5)	0.5 (3)	0.3%[-0.6%,1.3%]
MI (all) - % (#)	2.7 (16)	3.9 (23)	-1.2%[-3.2%,0.8%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	0.8 (5)	-0.5%[-1.4%,0.4%]
Non Q wave	2.4 (14)	3.0 (18)	-0.7%[-2.5%,1.2%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	3.4 (20)	4.4 (26)	-1.0%[-3.2%,1.2%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
0-30 days	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
31-270days	0	0	
TLR - % (#)	4.6 (27)	11.8 (70)	-7.3%[-10.4%,-4.2%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	1.5 (9)	2.2 (13)	-0.7%[-2.2%,0.9%]
TVR - % (#)	5.6 (33)	12.5 (74)	-6.9%[-10.2%,-3.7%]
MACE - % (#)	7.3 (43)	14.4 (85)	-7.1%[-10.6%,-3.6%]
TVF - % (#)	7.9 (47)	15.1 (89)	-7.1%[-10.7%,-3.5%]

## **ENDEAVOR II** Angiographic and IVUS Results to 8 months

	Endeavor n = 264	Driver n = 265	Difference [95% Cl]
RVD - mm	2.75	2.78	-0.03 [-0.11,0.05]
In-stent			
DS - %	27.9	42.2	-14.33 [-17.68,-10.97]
LL - mm	0.62	1.03	-0.41 [-0.50,-0.32]
ABR - %	9.5	33.2	-23.7% [-30.4%,-17.1%]
In-segment			
DS - %	32.7	44.3	-11.66 [-14.82,-8.50]
LL - mm	0.36	0.72	-0.36 [-0.45,-0.27]
ABR - %	13.3	34.7	-21.5% [-28.5%,-14.4%]
IVUS			
Neointimal Volume - mm <sup>3</sup> (n)	30.15 (90)	53.51 (81)	-23.36 [-32.91,-13.81]
Vol Obstruction - % (n)	17.34 (90)	29.55 (81)	-12.22 [-16.51,-7.92]
Late Incomplete Apposition	0 (0/114)	0 (0/104)	

## **ENDEAVOR II** Angiographic and IVUS Results to 8 months

	Endeavor n = 264	Driver n = 265	Difference [95% Cl]
RVD - mm	2.75	2.78	-0.03 [-0.11,0.05]
In-stent			
DS - %	27.9	42.2	-14.33 [-17.68,-10.97]
LL - mm	0.62	1.03	-0.41 [-0.50,-0.32]
ABR - %	9.5	33.2	-23.7% [-30.4%,-17.1%]
In-segment			
DS - %	32.7	44.3	-11.66 [-14.82,-8.50]
LL - mm	0.36	0.72	-0.36 [-0.45,-0.27]
ABR - %	13.3	34.7	-21.5% [-28.5%,-14.4%]
IVUS			
Neointimal Volume - mm <sup>3</sup> (n)	30.15 (90)	53.51 (81)	-23.36 [-32.91,-13.81]
Vol Obstruction - % (n)	17.34 (90)	29.55 (81)	-12.22 [-16.51,-7.92]
Late Incomplete Apposition	0 (0/114)	0 (0/104)	

## **ENDEAVOR II** Angiographic Outcomes at 8 Months

#### In-Segment Angiographic Binary Restenosis


#### **ENDEAVOR II** *Clinical Events to 36 months*

	Endeavor n=577	Driver n=579	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	3.3 (19)	4.5 (26)	-1.2%[-3.4%,1.0%]
Cardiac	1.6 (9)	2.4 (14)	-0.9%[-2.5%,0.8%]
MI (all) - % (#)	3.3 (19)	4.3 (25)	-1.0%[-3.2%,1.2%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	1.0 (6)	-0.7%[-1.6%,0.3%]
Non Q wave	2.9 (17)	3.3 (19)	-0.3%[-2.3%,1.7%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	4.5 (26)	6.7 (39)	-2.2%[-4.9%,0.4%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.8%,0.4%]
0-30 days	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
31-1080 days	0	0	
TLR - % (#)	7.3 (42)	14.7 (85)	-7.4%[-11.0%,-3.8%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	2.9 (17)	4.8 (28)	-1.9%[-4.1%,0.3%]
TVR - % (#)	9.5 (55)	17.6 (102)	-8.1%[-12.0%,-4.2%]
MACE - % (#)	12.0 (69)	20.7 (120)	-8.8%[-13.0%,-4.5%]
TVF - % (#)	12.8 (74)	21.4 (124)	-8.6%[-12.9%,-4.3%]

#### **ENDEAVOR II** *Clinical Events to 36 months*

	Endeavor n=577	Driver n=579	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	3.3 (19)	4.5 (26)	-1.2%[-3.4%,1.0%]
Cardiac	1.6 (9)	2.4 (14)	-0.9%[-2.5%,0.8%]
MI (all) - % (#)	3.3 (19)	4.3 (25)	-1.0%[-3.2%,1.2%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	1.0 (6)	-0.7%[-1.6%,0.3%]
Non Q wave	2.9 (17)	3.3 (19)	-0.3%[-2.3%,1.7%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	4.5 (26)	6.7 (39)	-2.2%[-4.9%,0.4%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.8%,0.4%]
0-30 days	0.5 (3)	1.2 (7)	-0.7%[-1.7%,0.4%]
31-1080 days	0	0	
TLR - % (#)	7.3 (42)	14.7%(85)	-7.4%[-11.0%,-3.8%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	2.9 (17)	4.8 (28)	-1.9%[-4.1%,0.3%]
TVR - % (#)	9.5 (55)	17.6 (102)	-8.1%[-12.0%,-4.2%]
MACE - % (#)	12.0 (69)	20.7 (120)	-8.8%[-13.0%,-4.5%]
TVF - % (#)	12.8 (74)	21.4 (124)	-8.6%[-12.9%,-4.3%]

### **ENDEAVOR II** *TVF Free Survival to 1080 days*



### **ENDEAVOR II** *TVR Free Survival to 1080 days*



## **ENDEAVOR II** *TLR Free Survival to 1080 days*



### **ENDEAVOR II** Cardiac Death/MI Free Survival to 1080 days



### **ENDEAVOR II** Stent Thrombosis (Protocol) Free Survival to 1080 days



### **ENDEAVOR II** Summary

Compared with the bare metal Driver stent, the Endeavor DES demonstrated...

- A similar safety profile (death, MI, and stent thrombosis) through 3 years of follow up
- Improved angiographic results at 8 months follow up (late loss and binary restenosis)
- Superior TVF rate (by 48%), due largely to a diminished TVR requirement (by 55%), which persisted through 3 years follow up

#### **ENDEAVOR III** 3:1 RCT vs Cypher PI: Martin B. Leon and David Kandzari



Primary Endpoint: In-segment late lumen loss by QCA at 8 months Secondary Endpoints: TLR, TVR, TVF at 9 months and ABR at 8 months Drug Therapy: ASA and Clopidogrel/Ticlid  $\geq$ 3 months Zotarolimus Dose: 10 µg per mm stent length

## **ENDEAVOR III** Patient Flowchart



# **ENDEAVOR III** Baseline Characteristics

	Endeavor n=323	Cypher n=113	P value
Male (%)	65.3	81.4	0.001
Diabetics (%)	29.7	28.3	NS
Unstable Angina (%)	51.1	55.7	NS
RVD (mm)	2.75	2.79	NS
Lesion length (mm)	14.98	14.95	NS
B2/C lesions (%)	67.2	56.6	NS

#### **ENDEAVOR III** *Clinical Events at 30 days*

	Endeavor n=323	Cypher n=113	Difference [95% CI]
Death (all) - % (#)	0	0	
Cardiac	0	0	
MI (all) - % (#)	0.6 (2)	3.5 (4)	-2.9%[-6.4%,0.6%]
Q Wave	0	0	
Non Q wave	0.6 (2)	3.5 (4)	-2.9%[-6.4%,0.6%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	0.6 (2)	3.5 (4)	-2.9%[-6.4%,0.6%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0	0	
TLR - % (#)	0	0	
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	0	0.9 (1)	-0.9%[-2.6%,0.8%]
TVR - % (#)	0	0.9 (1)	-0.9%[-2.6%,0.8%]
MACE - % (#)	0.6 (2)	3.5 (4)	-2.9%[-6.4%,0.6%]
TVF - % (#)	0.6 (2)	4.4 (5)	-3.8%[-7.7%,0.1%]

## **ENDEAVOR III** *Primary Endpoint Result at 8 months*

#### **In-segment Late Loss**

P for Non-Inferiority 0.791



### **ENDEAVOR III** Angiographic and IVUS Results at 8 Months

	Endeavor n = 277	Cypher n = 94	Difference [95% Cl]
QCA			
In-stent			
DS - %	24.9	11.0	13.89 [9.88,17.90]
LL - mm	0.62	0.15	0.47 [0.36,0.58]
ABR - %	9.7	2.1	7.6% [3.1%,12.2%]
In-segment			
DS - %	30.4	23.9	6.56 [3.01,10.12]
LL - mm	0.36	0.13	0.24 [0.13,0.34]
ABR - %	12.3	4.3	8.0% [2.4%,13.6%]
IVUS			
Neointimal Volume -mm <sup>3</sup> (n)	24.09 (209)	3.74 (67)	20.36 [15.21,25.50]
Vol Obstruction - % (n)	15.9 (187)	2.7 (61)	13.27 [10.48,16.07]
Late Incomplete Apposition - % (#/n)	0.5 (1/189)	5.9 (4/68)	-5.4% [-11.0%,0.3%]

### **ENDEAVOR III** Angiographic and IVUS Results at 8 Months

	Endeavor n = 277	Cypher n = 94	Difference [95% Cl]
QCA			
In-stent			
DS - %	24.9	11.0	13.89 [9.88,17.90]
LL - mm	0.62	0.15	0.47 [0.36,0.58]
<b>ABR - %</b>	9.7	2.1	7.6% [3.1%,12.2%]
In-segment			
DS - %	30.4	23.9	6.56 [3.01,10.12]
LL - mm	0.36	0.13	0.24 [0.13,0.34]
ABR - %	12.3	4.3	8.0% [2.4%,13.6%]
IVUS			
Neointimal Volume -mm <sup>3</sup> (n)	24.09 (209)	3.74 (67)	20.36 [15.21,25.50]
Vol Obstruction - % (n)	15.9 (187)	2.7 (61)	13.27 [10.48,16.07]
Late Incomplete Apposition - % (#/n)	0.5 (1/189)	5.9 (4/68)	-5.4% [-11.0%,0.3%]

#### **ENDEAVOR III** *Clinical Events to 24 months*

	Endeavor n=313	Cypher n=112	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	1.6 (5)	4.5 (5)	-2.9%[-6.9%,1.2%]
Cardiac	0	0.9 (1)	-0.9%[-2.6%,0.8%]
MI (all) - % (#)	0.6 (2)	3.6 (4)	-2.9%[-6.5%,0.6%]
Q Wave	0	0	
Non Q wave	0.6 (2)	3.6 (4)	-2.9%[-6.5%,0.6%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	0.6 (2)	3.6 (4)	-2.9%[-6.5%,0.6%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0	0	
0-30 days	0	0	
31-720 days	0	0	
TLR - % (#)	7.0 (22)	4.5 (5)	2.6%[-2.2%,7.3%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	8.3 (26)	6.3 (7)	2.1%[-3.4%,7.5%]
TVR - % (#)	13.7 (43)	9.8 (11)	3.9%[-2.8%,10.6%]
MACE - % (#)	9.3 (29)	11.6 (13)	-2.3%[-9.1%,4.4%]
TVF - % (#)	14.4 (45)	13.4 (15)	1.0%[-6.4%,8.4%]

#### **ENDEAVOR III** *TVF Event Free Survival to 720 days*



#### ENDEAVOR III Summary

Compared with the Cypher DES, the Endeavor DES demonstrated...

- Higher angiographic late loss at 8 months follow up
- Reduced peri-procedural non-Q MIs, and low rates of death, Q-MI, and stent thrombosis through 2 years of follow up
- Similar TVF through 2 years of follow up

#### ENDEAVOR IV 1:1 RCT vs Taxus PI: Martin B. Leon



Primary Endpoint: TVF at 9 months Secondary Endpoints: In-segment % DS at 8 months; TLR and TVR at 9 months Drug Therapy: ASA and Clopidogrel/Ticlid  $\geq$ 6 months Zotarolimus Dose: 10 µg per mm stent length

### **ENDEAVOR IV** *Patient Flowchart*



## **ENDEAVOR IV** Baseline Characteristics

	Endeavor n=773	Taxus n=775	P value
Male (%)	66.9	68.5	NS
Diabetics (%)	31.2	30.5	NS
Unstable Angina (%)	51.6	49.9	NS
RVD (mm)	2.73	2.70	NS
Lesion length (mm)	13.41	13.80	NS
B2/C lesions (%)	69.6	70.9	NS

### **ENDEAVOR IV** *Clinical Events at 30 days*

	Endeavor n=770	Taxus n=771	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	0.3 (2)	0	0.3%[-0.1%,0.6%]
Cardiac	0.1 (1)	0	0.1%[-0.1%,0.4%]
MI (all) - % (#)	0.8 (6)	2.3 (18)	-1.6%[-2.8%,-0.3%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	0.1 (1)	0.1%[-0.3%,0.6%]
Non Q wave	0.5 (4)	2.2 (17)	-1.7%[-2.8%,-0.5%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	0.9 (7)	2.3 (18)	-1.4%[-2.7%,-0.2%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.4 (3)	0.1 (1)	0.3%[-0.2%,0.8%]
TLR - % (#)	0.4 (3)	0.8 (6)	-0.4%[-1.1%,0.4%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	0	0.3 (2)	-0.3%[-0.6%,0.1%]
TVR - % (#)	0.4 (3)	0.9 (7)	-0.5%[-1.3%,0.3%]
MACE - % (#)	1.2 (9)	3.0 (23)	-1.8%[-3.2%,-0.4%]
TVF - % (#)	1.0 (8)	3.0 (23)	-1.9%[-3.3%,-0.5%]

#### **ENDEAVOR IV** *Clinical Events at 30 days*

	Endeavor n=770	Taxus n=771	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	0.3 (2)	0	0.3%[-0.1%,0.6%]
Cardiac	0.1 (1)	0	0.1%[-0.1%,0.4%]
MI (all) - % (#)	0.8 (6)	2.3 (18)	-1.6%[-2.8%,-0.3%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	0.1 (1)	0.1%[-0.3%,0.6%]
Non Q wave	0.5 (4)	2.2 (17)	-1.7%[-2.8%,-0.5%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	0.9 (7)	2.3 (18)	-1.4%[-2.7%,-0.2%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.4 (3)	0.1 (1)	0.3%[-0.2%,0.8%]
TLR - % (#)	0.4 (3)	0.8 (6)	-0.4%[-1.1%,0.4%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	0	0.3 (2)	-0.3%[-0.6%,0.1%]
TVR - % (#)	0.4 (3)	0.9 (7)	-0.5%[-1.3%,0.3%]
MACE - % (#)	1.2 (9)	3.0 (23)	-1.8%[-3.2%,-0.4%]
TVF - % (#)	1.0 (8)	3.0 (23)	-1.9%[-3.3%,-0.5%]



### ENDEAVOR IV Primary Endpoint Result at 9 months Target Vessel Failure

*P* for Non-Inferiority < 0.001 ∠ =3.8%



## **ENDEAVOR IV** *TVR at 9 months*

#### **Target Vessel Revascularization**



## **ENDEAVOR IV** *TLR at 9 months*

#### **Target Lesion Revascularization**



#### **ENDEAVOR IV** *Clinical Events to 9 months*

	Endeavor n=740	Taxus n=734	Difference [95% Cl]
Death (all) - % (#)	0.7 (5)	0.8 (6)	-0.1%[-1.0%,0.7%]
Cardiac	0.4 (3)	0.3 (2)	0.1%[-0.5%,0.7%]
MI (all) - % (#)	1.5 (11)	2.5 (18)	-1.0%[-2.4%,0.5%]
Q Wave	0.3 (2)	0.1 (1)	0.1%[-0.3%,0.6%]
Non Q wave	1.2 (9)	2.3 (17)	-1.1%[-2.4%,0.2%]
Death (cardiac) + MI (all) - % (#)	1.9 (14)	2.7 (20)	-0.8%[-2.4%,0.7%]
Stent Thrombosis (all) - % (#)	0.8 (6)	0.1 (1)	0.7%[-0.0%,1.4%]
0-30 days	0.4 (3)	0.1 (1)	0.3%[-0.2%,0.8%]
31-270days	0.4* (3)	0	0.4%[-0.1%,0.9%]
TLR - % (#)	4.2 (31)	2.7 (20)	1.5%[-0.4%,3.3%]
TVR (non-TL) - % (#)	2.0 (15)	2.9 (21)	-0.8%[-2.4%,0.7%]
TVR - % (#)	5.5 (41)	5.0 (37)	0.5%[-1.8%,2.8%]
MACE - % (#)	5.7 (42)	5.7 (42)	-0.0%[-2.4%,2.3%]
TVF - % (#)	6.8 (50)	7.4 (54)	-0.6%[-3.2%,2.0%]

\*Day 83, 145, 171 (ST with MI on Day 171)

### **ENDEAVOR IV** *TVF Event Free Survival to 270 Days*



Error bars represent <u>+</u>1.5SE estimated by Peto formula

### **ENDEAVOR IV** *TVR Free Survival to 270 Days*



## **ENDEAVOR IV** *TLR Free Survival to 270 Days*



Error bars represent +1.5SE estimated by Peto formula

### **ENDEAVOR IV** *Cardiac Death/MI Free Survival to 270 Days*



## **ENDEAVOR IV** Angiographic and IVUS Results at 8 months

	Endeavor n = 144	Taxus n = 135	Difference [95% Cl]
RVD – mm	2.65	2.68	-0.03 [-0.14, 0.08]
In-stent			
DS - %	26.41	16.09	10.32 [5.85, 14.79]
LL - mm	0.67	0.42	0.25 [0.13, 0.37]
ABR - %	13.3	6.7	6.6% [-0.4%, 13.6%]
In-segment			
DS - %	32.28	26.61	5.68 [1.83, 9.52]
LL - mm	0.36	0.23	0.13 [0.02, 0.23]
ABR - %	15.3	10.4	4.9% [-2.9%, 12.7%]
IVUS			
Neointimal Volume - mm <sup>3</sup> (n)	24.14 (74)	14.88 (77)	9.26 [3.46, 15.06]
Vol Obstruction - % (n)	15.72 (74)	9.88 (77)	5.84 [2.68, 9.00]
Late Incomplete Apposition - % (#/n)	0.9 (1/106)	3.2 (3/95)	-2.2% [-6.2%, 1.8%]

## **ENDEAVOR IV** Angiographic and IVUS Results at 8 months

	Endeavor n = 144	Taxus n = 135	Difference [95% Cl]
RVD – mm	2.65	2.68	-0.03 [-0.14, 0.08]
In-stent			
DS - %	26.41	16.09	10.32 [5.85, 14.79]
LL - mm	0.67	0.42	0.25 [0.13, 0.37]
ABR - %	13.3	6.7	6.6% [-0.4%, 13.6%]
In-segment			
DS - %	32.28	26.61	5.68 [1.83, 9.52]
LL - mm	0.36	0.23	0.13 [0.02, 0.23]
ABR - %	15.3	10.4	4.9% [-2.9%, 12.7%]
IVUS			
Neointimal Volume - mm <sup>3</sup> (n)	24.14 (74)	14.88 (77)	9.26 [3.46, 15.06]
Vol Obstruction - % (n)	15.72 (74)	9.88 (77)	5.84 [2.68, 9.00]
Late Incomplete Apposition - % (#/n)	0.9 (1/106)	3.2 (3/95)	-2.2% [-6.2%, 1.8%]

# **ENDEAVOR IV** *TVR by Angiographic Follow-up at 9 months*



# **ENDEAVOR IV** *TLR by Angiographic Follow-up at 9 months*


### **ENDEAVOR IV Post Hoc Subgroup Analysis** Endeavor vs. Taxus Odds Ratio [95% CI]



### **ENDEAVOR IV Post Hoc Subgroup Analysis** Endeavor vs. Taxus Odds Ratio [95% CI]



110

## ENDEAVOR IV Summary

### Compared with the Taxus DES, the Endeavor DES demonstrated...

- Reduced peri-procedural non-Q MIs, and a similar safety profile (death, Q-MI, and stent thrombosis) through 9 months follow up
- Met TVF primary endpoint
- Similar TVR/TLR in subsets of interest through 9 months follow up
- Higher angiographic late loss at 8 months follow up

# **Endeavor Clinical Program**

## ENDEAVOR II, III and IV Late Lumen Loss at 8 months (Endeavor patients)



113

## **ENDEAVOR II, III and IV** *Angiographic Binary Restenosis at 8 months*

 ENDEAVOR II n=264
ENDEAVOR III n=277
ENDEAVOR IV n=144



### **Target Vessel Failure to 9 Months in RCTs** Odds Ratio with 95% Confidence Intervals



115

## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Summary*

In 3 randomized trials (3,181 pts), the Endeavor DES has demonstrated...

- A safety profile similar to the Driver BMS (death, MI, and stent thrombosis)
- Superior reduction in restenosis (both angiographic and clinical endpoints) vs. a BMS (Driver)
- Comparable clinical outcomes as measured by TVF (TVR and cardiac death/MI) vs. an approved DES (Taxus)
- Durable clinical outcomes during long-term follow up (to 3 years)
- Consistent angiographic and clinical outcomes across all RCTs

# Endeavor Clinical Trial Program: Post Hoc Safety Overview

Laura Mauri, MD, MSc

#### Disclosures:

Member of Medtronic Advisory Board Co-investigator for ENDEAVOR III Chief Scientific Officer, Harvard Clinical Research Institute



1080day follow up

n=675

## Endeavor Clinical Program Safety Overview

#### Objective:

 to evaluate whether the Endeavor stent is associated with increased rates of death, MI, or stent thrombosis compared with Driver BMS

#### • Method:

- Pooled individual patient level analysis
- 6 Endeavor stent arms, 1 Driver BMS arm
- Cumulative incidence at 360 and 1080 days
- Strengths: Consistent definitions, density and duration of follow up
- Limitations: Does not preserve randomization (only E2 trial randomized to Driver BMS)

## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Baseline Characteristics*

	El n=100	Ell n=598	Ell CA n=296	EIII n=323	EIV n=773	EPK n=43	E2 Driver N=599
Diabetes (%)	16.0	18.2	25.8	29.7	31.2	41.9	22.2
RVD (mm)	2.96	2.73	2.63	2.75	2.73	2.54	2.76
Lesion Length (mm)	10.94	14.04	16.49	14.96	13.41	15.02	14.38
Recommended clopidogrel duration	<u>&gt;</u> 3m	<u>&gt;</u> 3m	<u>&gt;</u> 3m	<u>&gt;</u> 3m	<u>&gt;</u> 6m	<u>&gt;</u> 3m	<u>&gt;</u> 3m
Clincal F/U 12 m (%) 2y (%) 3y (%) *9months	99 99 98	98.7 98.2 96.5	98.6 97.3	99.1 96.9	95.7*	97.7*	98.3 97.8 96.7
Smonuns							100

## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Baseline Characteristics*

	Endeavor n=2133	Driver n=599	P Value
Diabetes (%)	26.1	22.2	0.055
RVD (mm)	2.73	2.76	0.128
Lesion length (mm)	14.16	14.38	0.446

**Endeavor Clinical Program** *Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (DAPT) Usage* 

Percent of Patients on DAPT at:	1 Year	2 Years
Endeavor	29.1%	11.2%
(EI, EII, EIICA)	(279/958)	(106/943)
Driver	29.0%	13.5%
(EII)	(166/572)	(76/562)

## Endeavor Clinical Program - Safety Overview

Cumulative incidence will be presented according to Kaplan Meier graphs, with interval rates presented to 1080 days (3 years)

#### Summary of results:

 no evidence of increase in adverse events for Endeavor vs Driver when comparing death, cardiac death, myocardial infarction, or stent thrombosis

### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Death to 1080 Days (post hoc analysis)*



**Time after Initial Procedure (days)** 

Days	0	30	360	720	1080
Endeavor n at risk	2132	2122	1926	1251	651
Cumulative incidence	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%	1.7%	3.1%
Interval change	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.8%	1.4%
Driver n at risk	596	594	583	568	551
Cumulative incidence	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	2.2%	4.5%
Interval change	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	2.3%

Error bars represent <u>+1.5SE estimated by Peto formula</u>

### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Cardiac Death to* 1080 Days (post hoc analysis)



Time after Initial Procedure (days)

Days	0	30	360	720	1080
Endeavor n at risk	2132	2122	1926	1251	651
Cumulative incidence	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
Interval change	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%
Driver n at risk	596	594	583	568	551
Cumulative incidence	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%	2.4%
Interval change	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%	0.5%

Error bars represent <u>+1.5SE</u> estimated by Peto formula

### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of MI prior to 1080 Days* (post hoc analysis)



**Time after Initial Procedure (days)** 

Days	0	30	360	720	1080
Endeavor n at risk	2132	2083	1883	1219	634
Cumulative incidence	1.4%	1.9%	2.2%	2.4%	2.7%
Interval Change	1.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
Driver n at risk	596	573	560	545	528
Cumulative incidence	2.5%	3.5%	3.9%	3.9%	4.2%
Interval Change	2.5%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%

Error bars represent <u>+1.5SE</u> estimated by Peto formula

### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Cardiac Death and MI prior to 1080 Days (post hoc analysis)*



Time after Initial Procedure (days)

Days	0	30	360	720	1080
Endeavor n at risk	2132	2083	1883	1219	634
Cumulative incidence	1.4%	2.0%	2.8%	3.0%	3.5%
Interval Change	1.4%	0.6%	0.8%	0.2%	0.5%
Driver n at risk	596	573	560	545	528
Cumulative incidence	2.5%	3.5%	4.5%	5.8%	6.6%
Interval Change	2.5%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%

Error bars represent +1.5SE estimated by Peto formula

## **Stent Thrombosis** *Protocol Definition*

- Coronary symptoms AND
- [Angiographic confirmation of thrombus or occlusion OR
- Pathologic confirmation of acute thrombosis]
- Unexplained death within 30 days
- Target vessel MI without angiographic confirmation of thrombosis or other identified culprit lesion within 30 days
- Patients with intervening TLR were excluded

#### Timing

- Acute (within 24 hours)
- Sub-Acute (1 day to 30 days)
- Late (after 30 days)

## **Stent Thrombosis** *Academic Research Consortium (ARC)*

- Definite/Confirmed
  - Coronary symptoms AND
  - [Angiographic confirmation of thrombus or occlusion OR
  - Pathologic confirmation of acute thrombosis]
- Probable
  - Unexplained death within 30 days
  - Target vessel MI without angiographic confirmation of thrombosis or other identified culprit lesion
- Possible
  - Unexplained death after 30 days
- Timing
  - Early (within 30 days)
  - Late (30 days to 1 year)
  - Very Late (past 1 year)

### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Thrombosis (Protocol)* to 1080 Days (post hoc analysis)



Time after Initial Procedure (days)

Days	0	30	360	720	1080
Endeavor n at risk	2132	2117	1918	1248	648
Cumulative incidence	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Interval Change	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Driver n at risk	596	587	576	561	544
Cumulative incidence	0.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
Interval Change	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Error bars represent +1.5SE estimated by Peto formula

### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of ARC Definite/Probable prior to 1080 Days (post hoc analysis)*



**Time after Initial Procedure (days)** 

Days	0	30	360	720	1080
Endeavor n at risk	2132	2117	1917	1247	648
Cumulative incidence	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%
Interval Change	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
Driver n at risk	596	587	575	560	542
Cumulative incidence	0.2%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%
Interval Change	0.2%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%

Error bars represent + 1.5SE estimated by Peto formula

Endeavor Clinical Program Cumulative Incidence of Stent Thrombosis by Time Interval (ARC definite and probable)

Cumulative Incidence -%						
	Endeavor n=2132	[95% CI]	Driver n=596	[95% CI]		
Early (0-30d)	0.3%	[0.09,0.57]	1.2%	[0.03,2.04]		
Late (31-360d)	0.4%	[0.02,0.67]	0.2%	[0.00,0.51]		
Very Late (361d-3y)	0.1%	[0.00,0.32]	0.2%	[0.00,0.59]		
Cumulative	0.8%	[0.02,1.49]	1.5%	[0.35,2.71]		

Standard error was estimated by Peto formula

## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Safety Endpoints to* 1080 days (post hoc analysis)

#### **Cumulative Incidence -%**

	Endeavor n=2132	[95% CI]	Driver n=596	[95% CI]
Death	3.1	[1.62,4.51]	4.5	[2.59,6.49]
Cardiac Death	1.0	[0.13,1.78]	2.4	[0.96,3.88]
MI	2.7	[1.33,4.09]	4.2	[2.30,6.15]
Cardiac Death/MI	3.5	[1.94,5.04]	6.6	[4.28,8.99]
Thrombosis (protocol)	0.5	[0.00, 1.06]	1.2	[0.14,2.21]
Thrombosis (Def/Prob)	0.8	[0.02,1.49]	1.5	[0.35,2.71]

Standard error was estimated by Peto formula

## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Death and Cardiac Death to 1080 days (post hoc analysis)*



## **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of MI and Cardiac Death/MI* to 1080 days (post hoc analysis)



### **Endeavor Clinical Program** *Cumulative Incidence of Stent Thrombosis to 1080 days (post hoc analysis)*





Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals

## **Endeavor Clinical Program - Safety** *Summary 1*

From the Endeavor clinical program dataset of 2132 patients treated with Endeavor and 596 patients treated with Driver:

 There was no evidence of increased rates of death, cardiac death, or myocardial infarction in patients treated with the Endeavor stent compared with Driver BMS to 3 years follow up

There was no evidence of increased stent thrombosis risk within 1 year (0.7% vs 1.3% ARC definite/probable)
or in years 1-3 (0.1 vs 0.2%) in patients treated with the Endeavor stent compared with those treated with the Driver BMS

## **Endeavor Clinical Program - Safety** *Summary 2*

The observed safety profile should be considered in the context of the density of clinical follow up and concomitant antiplatelet therapy:

I287 Endeavor stent patients have been followed to 2 years, and 675 patients to 3 years

•71% of Endeavor stent patients were off dual antiplatelet therapy at 1 year and 89% were off dual antiplatelet therapy at 2 years

## **ENDEAVOR** Conclusion and Post Approval Strategy

*Rick Kuntz, MD, MSc Sr. Vice President, Medtronic, Inc.* 

## **Summary**

#### Safety Overview

- No signal of adverse safety events prior to 1 year or in years 1 to 3
- Endeavor RCT Experience
  - Clinical and angiographic superiority in a double blinded 1:1 RCT
  - Clinical non-inferiority in a single blind 1:1 RCT despite modest increases in in-segment late lumen loss
- Preclinical and Drug Substance
  - Well characterized drug safety profile
  - Biomimetic polymer and non-cytotoxic drug preserves endothelial function with low inflammation
  - Proven cobalt alloy modular stent technology enhances deliverability

# Endeavor Safety Summary

Randomized Trial and Pooled Data to 3 years



## Summary

#### Safety Overview

No signal of adverse safety events prior to 1 year or in years 1 to 3

#### Endeavor RCT Experience

- Clinical and angiographic superiority in a double blinded 1:1 RCT
- Clinical non-inferiority in a single blind 1:1 RCT despite modest increases in in-segment late lumen loss
- Preclinical and Drug Substance
  - Well characterized drug safety profile
  - Biomimetic polymer and non-cytotoxic drug preserves endothelial function with low inflammation
  - Proven cobalt alloy modular stent technology enhances deliverability

### **Endeavor Efficacy Summary** 9 Month Primary Endpoint of TVF

Superior TVF at 9 Months Compared to BMS in a Double Blinded, Multi-center RCT

#### Non-Inferior TVF at 9 Months Compared to Taxus in a Single Blinded, Multi-center RCT


### **Endeavor Efficacy Summary** *Target Lesion Revascularization to 9 Months*

Superior <u>TLR</u> at 9 Months Compared to BMS in a Double Blinded, Multi-center RCT No Difference in TLR to 9 Months Compared to Taxus in a Single Blinded, Multi-center RCT



## **Summary**

#### Safety Overview

- No signal of adverse safety events prior to 1 year or in years 1 to 3
- Endeavor RCT Experience
  - Clinical and angiographic superiority in a double blinded 1:1 RCT
  - Clinical non-inferiority in a single blind 1:1 RCT despite modest increases in in-segment late lumen loss

#### Preclinical and Drug Substance

- Well characterized drug safety profile
- Biomimetic polymer and non-cytotoxic drug preserves endothelial function with low inflammation
- Proven cobalt alloy modular stent technology enhances deliverability

## **Endeavor DES System**

#### **Driver Cobalt Alloy Stent**



#### **PC Technology**



#### **Stent Delivery System**



#### **Drug: Zotarolimus**



# Summary

<b>Dec FDA Panel Observations</b>	Endeavor Program
An increased ST rate beyond 1 year rate was seen for DES compared with BMS	No increased ST rate was seen before or after 1 year regardless of definition
No increased risk of death or MI due to: 1. Revascularization or 2. Insufficient discriminating data	Lower TLR rates without increase in VLST rates, and numerically lower rates of death and MI at 3 years
Recommendations: Larger and longer pre-market clinical analysis	3 or more years of data sufficiently powered to show durable lower TLR rates, and safe VLST rates with measurable confidence boundaries

# PROTECT

### International RCT Designed to Estimate VLST (>1 year)



**Principle Secondary Endpoints:** Death/Non-Fatal MI, Cardiac death/Non-Fatal MI Additional Endpoints: MACCE, TLR, TVR, Procedural Success

Clinical Follow up and Dual Antiplatelet Monitoring: At 30 days, and every 6 months until 3 years, than each year until 5 years

**Enrollment Ongoing** 

# **E-Five Single Arm Registry**

International Post-market, All comers





**Primary Endpoint:** MACE at 12 months

**Secondary Endpoints:** 

MACE at 30 days and 6 mo, stent thrombosis, procedure success rate; device success rate; lesion success rate

#### **Enrollment Complete**

\*Limited number of centers and specific patient subset.

### US Post-Approval Single Arm Registry Required US Post Market Study



#### **Co-Primary Endpoints:**

80% powered at each time-point with a one-sided alpha error of 5%

-ARC definite and probable stent thrombosis annually for 5 years <1% rate at each yearly time interval for the on-label population

#### - Cardiac Death/MI annually for 5 years

Primary analysis of non-inferiority of Endeavor on-label patients with Driver (Ell control) cardiac death/MI incidence yearly through 3 years

# Endpoints assessed in per-label patients (n=2120) and all patients (n=5300)

## Summary

<b>Dec FDA Panel Observations</b>	Endeavor Program
An increased ST rate beyond 1 year rate was seen for DES compared with BMS	No increased ST rate was seen before or after 1 year regardless of definition
No increased risk of death or MI due to: 1. Revascularization or 2. Insufficient discriminating data	Lower TLR rates without increase in VLST rates, and numerically lower rates of death and MI at 3 years
<i>Recommendations:</i> Larger and longer <i>pre-market</i> clinical analysis	3 or more years of data sufficiently powered to show durable lower TLR rates, and safe VLST rates with measurable confidence boundaries
Recommendations: Larger and longer <i>post-approval</i> studies 1. Uniform ST definitions 2. Monitoring of Antiplatelet Therapy	Large Post-market RCT (8800 pts) to test for lower VLST

## In Closing....

### Substantial Density of Safety and Efficacy Data

- 7 Clinical Trials: 3 Randomized, 4 Single Arm
- 2232 Endeavor patients enrolled
- 1287 Endeavor patients with 2 or more years of follow-up
- 675 Endeavor patients with 3 years of follow-up
- 3980 Endeavor patient-years of follow-up
- Clinical and angiographic superiority to BMS
  - Treatment effect sustained through 3years follow-up

### Clinical non-inferiority to an approved DES

Consistent clinical and angiographic outcomes

Across different geographies and studies

No observed safety signals before or after 1 year

Low rates of ST, death, cardiac death, and MI

# Thank you