"זוֹזוֹזוֹז'ז עונארטעע

si OZV, a Sujjarja Brijajud Oberarjou Kaja-Kesbouzika Brijajud Oberarjou

> Ling Coleman, Land Ben Zeiders, GSA



The Philadelphia Custom House



Under the Hood: Operations and Maintenanc e



CH Energy Biography

1934 570,000 sq. ft. art deco

Fantastic track record:

- 67,000 Btu/ft² per year (office bldg. avg. ~ 100,000 Btu/ft²)
- 1999 ENERGY STAR score: 86/100
- 1st ENERGY STAR building in PA
- 1st historic ENERGY STAR bldg. in US
- All despite: single pane windows, constant volume air supply, induction unit space conditioning

2003 ESPC: lighting, new EMCS

So energy performance even better

2006 Prospective Energy Project?

- Nothing left? LBL asked GSA if it could take a closer look
- Result: GSA implemented project that saved \$70K (~ 10% of electric bill) over past year

• Savings will likely be higher this year

Payback Period? Maybe two weeks

 Two solenoid valves + one visit from controls contractor

Key to Savings: Tariff

Energy Charge: < 3¢/kWh</p>

• Less than 1/2 of comm./ind. average

Demand charge: \$27/kW/mo.

About 2-3 times C/I norm

Demand "ratchet":

- 80% of summer peak (single interval reading) becomes floor for next eight months' (Oct. – May) billed demand
- EX: If CH reaches 2000 kW peak in July, 1600 kW is min. demand for Oct. May



Tariff's Implications

GSA was paying \$70K/yr. in ratchet chgs.

Month	Actual Peak (kW)	Billed Peak (kW)	Ratchet Penalty*		
Summer, 2003	2,088	2,088	N/A – Summer		
Oct-03	1,691	1,691	N/A – Actual > Ratcheted		
Nov-03	1,520	1,670	\$3,812		
Dec-03	1,040	1,670	\$16,008		
Jan-04	1,069	1,670	\$15,271		
Feb-04	1,051	1,670	\$15,729		
Mar-04	1,112	1,670	\$14,179		
Apr-04	1,582	1,670	\$2,236		
May-04	1,955	1,955	N/A – Actual > Ratcheted		
TATAI			*^7 ^^		

* @\$25.41/kW (current is \$27.02/kW)

How GSA Cut CH's Demand

"Pre-Cooling"

- If OA temp. > 70° at 2 A.M., chiller comes on (normal start is 6 A.M.)
- Induction units fail open (full cool) until 9 A.M., then tenants control
- Result: Dehumidification and slight over-cooling

Demand-limiting"

- Only one chiller runs throughout day
- CHW temp. from 42° to 46° at 9 A.M. and up to 48° later, if necessary



GSA's Operations Team



Results

Demand peak was reduced ~ 15% Conservative savings est.: ~ \$70K

CH '05-'06 Savings w/ 1766 kW (vs. 2050 kW) Summer, '05 Peak							
MONTH	Expected Peak	Actual Peak	Billed Peak	Peak Cut	kW Value		
June, '05	1900	1766	1766	134	\$ 3,410		
July, '05	2050	1692	1692	358	\$ 9,109		
August, '05	2050	1692	1697	353	\$ 8,982		
September, '05	1900	1711	1711	189	\$ 4,809		
October, '05	1640	1604	1604	36	\$ 916		
November, '05	1640	1448	1448	192	\$ 4,885		
December, '05	1640	1015	1413	227	\$ 5,776		
January, '06	1640	992	1413	227	\$ 6,134		
February, '06	1640	961	1413	227	\$ 6,134		
March, '06	1640	953	1413	227	\$ 6,134		
April, '06	1640	1393	1413	227	\$ 6,134		
May, '06	1850	1646	1646	204	\$ 5,512		

Results (cont.)

Expected energy (kWh) penalty didn't occur

- Regression of previous summers' usage against cooling degree days predicted 2% higher kWh usage in 2005 than actual
- Why? Greater efficiency of higher-load chiller operation???

Thermal complaints went down

- Hot calls: dropped from 41 in summer 2004 to 26 in much hotter 2005
- Cold calls: dropped from 10 in summer 2004 to 6 in 2005 – pleasant surprise

Energy Moral of Story: Know Thy Tariff!

- CH had model energy program
- Nonetheless, by studying elec. rate and designing operations around it, big savings were still possible with little investment
- Not only operations strategies but also conservation measures (e.g., lighting retrofits, chiller selection, etc.) should be planned with attention to rate structure

Tariff Caveats!

Rates of "X cents/kWh" are rare for large facilities

- If consultants and ESCOs cite them beyond early stage, they probably don't get it
- Demand charges (esp. with ratchet clauses) must be understood
- Time-of-use, block, and real-time rates all have great bearing on ECMs' savings
- Remember: <u>average</u> kWh aren't saved, <u>marginal</u> ones are