

# ON THE SYMMETRIC FORM

# OF SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS WITH ENTROPY

(NASA-CR-185795) ON THE SYMMETRIC FORM OF SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS WITH ENTROPY (ICASE) 22 p

N90-70395

Unclas 00/77 0224374

Amiram Harten

Report No. 81-34 October 15, 1981

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665

Operated by the

UNIVERSITIES SPACE



RESEARCH ASSUCIATION

## ON THE SYMMETRIC FORM

## OF SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS WITH ENTROPY

Amiram Harten
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University
and
School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel-Aviv University

# ABSTRACT

This paper reviews the symmetrizability of systems of conservation laws which possess entropy functions. Symmetric formulations in conservation form for the equations of gas dynamics are presented.

Funds for the support of this study have been allocated by the NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA under Interchange No. NCA2-OR525-101. Additional support was provided by NASA Contract No. NAS1-15810 while the author was in residence at the Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665.

## Introduction

In this paper we consider systems of conservation laws which possess an entropy function. Such equations of mathematical physics can be written in a symmetric form which retains the conservation properties of the system. Among the researchers who have investigated this class of equations are Godunov [2], Friedrichs and Lax [3], and more recently Mock [6] and Harten and Lax [4].

The symmetrizability of systems of conservation laws with entropy may and should be utilized in the design and analysis of numerical solutions to such problems. For example it offers the possibility to locally linearize the equations in a way which preserves the hyperbolicity and conservation properties (see Roe [7], [8], the next section and [5]). Another example is the use of the symmetrizibility property to rigorously analyze splitting algorithms for the Navier-Stokes equations by Abarbanel and Gottlieb (see [1]). Of particular interest is the possibility of improving the structure of iteration matrices in direct Newton-iteration methods to the solution of the steady state equations.

The goal of this paper is to review the general structure of systems of conservation laws with entropy, and in particular to present symmetric formulations of the equations of gas dynamics. Hopefully, this information will be of service to the designers of numerical approximation of this important class of equations.

## 1. Systems of Conservation Laws with Entropy

In this paper we consider systems of hyperbolic conservation laws of the form

(1.1a) 
$$u_t + \sum_{i=1}^{d} f^i(u)_{x_i} \equiv u_t + div f(u) = 0.$$

Here u(x,t) is an m-column vector of unknowns,  $f^i(u)$  is a vector valued function of m components,  $x = (x_1, ..., x_d)$ ,  $f = (f^1, ..., f^d)$ . We can write (1.1a) in the matrix form

(1.1b) 
$$u_{t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} A^{i}(u)u_{x_{i}} = 0,$$

where

(1.1c) 
$$A^{i}(u) = f_{i}^{i}$$

(1.1) is called hyperbolic if the matrix

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega_i A_i(u),$$

has real eigenvalues and a complete set of eigenvectors for all real  $\omega_{\mathbf{i}}$ .

A scalar function U(u) is an entropy function for (1.1) if:

i) U satisfies

(1.3) 
$$U_{u}f_{u}^{i} = F_{u}^{i}$$
,  $i = 1,...,d$ 

where  $F^{i}(u)$  is some scalar function called entropy flux in the  $x_{i}$ -direction.

ii) U is a convex function of u.

It follows from (1.3), upon multiplication of (1.1a) by  $\, {\it U}_{\rm u} , \,$  that every smooth solution of (1.1) also satisfies

(1.4) 
$$U_t + \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_{x_i}^i \equiv U_t + \text{div } F = 0,$$

where

$$F = (F^1, \ldots, F^d).$$

A system of equations

(1.5) 
$$Pv_{t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} B^{i}v_{x_{i}} = 0,$$

is called symmetric hyperbolic if  $\,P\,$  and all  $\,B^{\,i}\,$  are symmetric matrices, and if  $\,P\,$  is positive definite.

The symmetrization of (1.1) will be accomplished by introducing new dependent variables v in place of u by setting u = u(v), i.e.,

(1.6a) 
$$u(v)_t + \sum_{i=1}^d f^i(u(v))_{x_i} = u_v v_t + \sum_{i=1}^d f^i_v v_{x_i} = 0.$$

Thus (1.1) becomes of form (1.5) with

(1.6b) 
$$P = u_v$$
,  $B^i = f_v^i$ .

The symmetry of the matrices  $u_v$  and  $f_v^i$  implies that u and  $f^i$  are gradients with respect to v, i.e., there exist scalar functions q(v),  $r^i(v)$  such that

$$q_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{i}} = (\mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{i}})^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where superscript T denotes transpose. The positive definiteness of  $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{v}}$  is equivalent to the convexity of  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{v})$ .

Note that the convexity of q implies that the mapping  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{_{\mathbf{V}}}$  is one-to-one, so that (1.7a) can be inverted, i.e.,  $\mathbf{v}$  can be regarded as a function of  $\mathbf{u}$ .

Theorem 1.1 (Godunov). Suppose (1.1) can be symmetrized by introducing new variables v, i.e., (1.7) holds, where q is a convex function of v. Then (1.1) has an entropy function U(u) given by

(1.8a) 
$$U(u) = u^{T}v - q(v),$$

with entropy fluxes Fi(u)

(1.8b) 
$$f^{i}(u) = (f^{i})^{T}v - r^{i}(v)$$
.

Proof: Differentiate (1.8a) with respect to u; using (1.7a) we get

(1.9) 
$$U_{u} = v^{T} + u^{T}v_{u} - q_{v}v_{u} = v^{T}.$$

Similarly from (1.8b) and (1.7b) we get

(1.10) 
$$\mathbf{f}_{u}^{i} = \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{f}_{u}^{i} + (\mathbf{f}^{i})^{T} \mathbf{v}_{u} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{v}}^{i} \mathbf{v}_{u} = \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{f}_{u}^{i}.$$

Relation (1.3) follows.

To prove the convexity of U, we show that U is the Legendre transform of q:

(1.11) 
$$U(u) = \max_{v} [u^{T}v - q(v)].$$

For, by the convexity of q, the right side has a unique maximum; at the maximum point the v derivative must vanish; this gives relation (1.7a). This proves that (1.11) is the same as (1.8a). (1.11) represents U as the maximum of linear functions; this proves that U is convex.

Conversely:

Theorem 1.2 (Mock). Suppose U(u) is an entropy function for (1.1), then

$$v^{T} = U_{u},$$

symmetrizes (1.1).

<u>Proof:</u> The convexity of U implies that the mapping  $u \to U_u$  is one-to-one, hence (1.12) defines u as a function of v. We define now q and  $r^i$  by

(1.13a) 
$$q(v) = v^{T}u - U(u),$$

(1.13b) 
$$r^{i}(v) = v^{T}f^{i} - F^{i}(u),$$

where  $F^{1}$  are the entropy fluxes. Differentiating (1.13a) with respect to v, and using (1.12) gives

$$r_{v}^{i} = (f^{i})^{T} + v^{T} f_{u}^{i} u_{v} - F_{u}^{i} u_{v} = (f^{i})^{T}.$$

These formulas show that (1.7a) and (1.7b) hold; therefore  $u_v$  and  $f_v$  are symmetric. To show that  $u_v$  is positive we have to verify that q is convex. This can be done, as before, by observing that, because of the convexity of U, it follows from (1.13a) and (1.12) that q is the Legendre transform of U.

(For more details see [4].)

We note the following relations:

i) The symmetric positive definite matrix  $u_v$  simultaneously symmetrizes all  $A^i = f_u^i$  from the right, i.e.,

(1.14a) 
$$A^{i}u_{v} = B^{i} = symmetric on all i.$$

ii) The symmetric positive definite matrix  $\,v_{u}\,$  simultaneously symmetrizes all  $\,{}_{A}^{i}\,$  from the left.

(1.14b) 
$$v_u A^i = v_u B^i v_u = symmetric.$$

iii) The similarity transformation

(1.14c) 
$$(v_{11})^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{i}(v_{11})^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (v_{11})^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{i}(v_{11})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \text{symmetric},$$

simultaneously transforms all A into symmetric matrices.

We say that the system (1.1) can be linearized in the sense of Roe if for all  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$ 

(1.15a) 
$$f^{i}(u_{2}) - f^{i}(u_{1}) = A^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2})(u_{2} - u_{1}), \quad i = 1, ..., d$$
,

(1.15b) 
$$A^{i}(u,u) \equiv f_{u}^{i}(u) \equiv A^{i}(u),$$

and the matrix

(1.15c) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega_{i} A^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2}),$$

has real eigenvalues and a complete set of eigenvectors for all real  $\;\omega_{\,\hbox{\scriptsize i}}\;$  (see [7] and [8]).

Theorem 1.3 (Harten-Lax). Suppose (1.1) has an entropy function, then (1.1) can be linearized in the sense of Roe.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $v^T = U_u$ , then by Theorem 1.2 the mapping  $u \to v$  is one-to-one,  $v_u$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix and  $f_v^1$  are symmetric. Let  $v_1 = v(u_1)$ ,  $v_2 = v(u_2)$  and define

$$v(\theta) = v_1 + \theta(v_2 - v_1),$$

then

$$f^{i}(u_{2}) - f^{i}(u_{1}) = \int_{0}^{1} f_{v}^{i}(v(\theta)) \frac{dv}{d\theta} d\theta = \int_{0}^{1} f_{v}^{i}(v(\theta)) d\theta (v_{2} - u_{1}).$$

Denote

(1.16a) 
$$B^{1}(u_{1},u_{2}) = \int_{0}^{1} f_{v}^{1}(v(\theta))d\theta ,$$

then

(1.16b) 
$$f^{i}(u_{2}) - f^{i}(u_{1}) = B^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2})(v_{2} - v_{1}),$$

where  $B^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2})$  is symmetric.

Now let

$$u(\eta) = u_1 + \eta(u_2 - u_1),$$

then

(1.17a) 
$$v_2 - v_1 = \int_0^1 v_u(u(\eta)) \frac{du}{d\eta} d\eta = \int_0^1 v_u(u(\eta)) d\eta(u_2 - u_1).$$

Denote

$$P(u_1, u_2) = \int_0^1 v_u(u(\eta)) d\eta$$
,

then

(1.17b) 
$$v_2 - v_1 = P(u_1, u_2)(u_2 - u_1),$$

where  $P(u_1, u_2)$  is symmetric positive definite. Combining (1.16b) and (1.17b) we get

(1.18a) 
$$f^{i}(u_{2}) - f^{i}(u_{1}) = A^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2})(u_{2} - u_{1}),$$

where

(1.19) 
$$A^{i}(u_{1},u_{2}) = B^{i}(u_{1},u_{2})P(u_{1},u_{2}).$$

For  $u_1 = u_2 = u$  we get that  $v(\theta) \equiv v(u)$ ,  $u(\eta) \equiv u$  and  $B(u_1, u_2) = f_v^i(v(u))$ ,  $P(u_1, u_2) = v_u(u)$ . Hence

(1.20a) 
$$A^{i}(u,u) = B^{i}(u,u)P^{i}(u,u) = f^{i}_{v}(v(u))v_{u}(u) = f^{i}_{u}(u) = A^{i}(u)$$
.

Denote

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega^{i} A^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2}) \equiv \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega^{i} B^{i}(u_{1}, u_{2}) \right] P(u_{1}, u_{2}).$$

Then

$$(1.20b) \qquad \left[ P(u_1, u_2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} C \left[ P(u_1, u_2) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \left[ P(u_1, u_2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega^i B^i(u_1, u_2) \right] \left[ P(u_1, u_2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

= symmetric.

Thus C is similar to a symmetric matrix and therefore has real eigenvalues and a complete set of eigenvectors for all real  $\omega_{4}$ .

# 2. Euler Equations of Gas Dynamics

In this section we consider the Euler equations for polytropic gas in conservation form:

(2.1a) 
$$u_t + [f^x(u)]_x + [f^y(u)]_v = 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}^{T} = (\rho, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{E}) \equiv (\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{3}, \mathbf{u}_{4}),$$

$$(2.1b) \qquad \left[\mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u})\right]^{T} = \mathbf{u}_{1}^{-2} \left(\mathbf{u}_{1}^{2}\mathbf{u}_{2}, (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{u}_{1} \left[\mathbf{u}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{4} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_{3}^{2}\right] + \frac{3-\gamma}{2}\mathbf{u}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{2}^{2}, \mathbf{u}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{2}\mathbf{u}_{3},$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{2} \left[\gamma \mathbf{u}_{1}\mathbf{u}_{4} - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{2}^{2} + \mathbf{u}_{3}^{2})\right]\right).$$

where  $\rho$  is the density, E the total energy, m and n are the momentum in the x-direction and the y-direction, respectively.

The Jacobian matrix  $A^{X} = f_{u}^{X}$ 

$$(2.2a) A^X =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -u_1^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \left[\frac{(3-\gamma)}{2} u_2^2 + \frac{(1-\gamma)}{2} u_3^2\right] u_1 & (\gamma-3)u_1^2 u_2 & (\gamma-1)u_1^2 u_3 & (1-\gamma)u_1^3 \\ u_1 u_2 u_3 & -u_1^2 u_3 & -u_1^2 u_2 & 0 \\ \gamma u_1 u_2 u_4 + (1-\gamma)u_2(u_2^2 + u_3^2) & -\gamma u_1^2 u_4 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} u_1(3u_2^2 + u_3^2) & (\gamma-1)u_1 u_2 u_3 & -\gamma u_1^2 u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues

$$(2.2b) \quad a_1^{x} = u_1^{-1} \left\{ u_2 - \left[ \gamma(\gamma - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ u_1 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}; \quad a_2^{x} = a_3^{x} = u_1^{-1} u_2;$$

$$a_4^{x} = u_1^{-1} \left\{ u_2 + \left[ \gamma(\gamma - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ u_1 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

The Jacobian matrix  $A^y = f_u^y$ 

$$(2.3a)$$
  $A^{y} =$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -u_1^3 & 0 \\ u_1u_2u_3 & -u_1^2u_3 & -u_1^2u_2 & 0 \\ u_1(\frac{3-\gamma}{2}u_3^2 + \frac{1-\gamma}{2}u_2^2) & (\gamma-1)u_1^2u_2 & (\gamma-3)u_1^2u_3 & (1-\gamma)u_1^3 \\ \\ \gamma u_1u_3u_4 + (1-\gamma)u_3(u_2^2 + u_3^2) & (\gamma-1)u_1u_2u_3 & u_1\left[-\gamma u_4 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}(3u_3^2 + u_2^2)\right] & -\gamma u_1^2u_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues

$$(2.3b) \quad a_1^y = u_1^{-1} \left\{ u_3 - \left[ \gamma(\gamma - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ u_1 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}; \quad a_2^y = a_3^y = u_1^{-1} u_3;$$

$$a_4^y = u_1^{-1} \left\{ u_3 + \left[ \gamma(\gamma - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ u_1 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

It follows from (2.1) that

(2.4a) 
$$S = \log[P \rho^{-\gamma}] = \log \left\{ \frac{u_1^{-\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1} \left[ u_1 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right] \right\},$$

where

(2.4b) 
$$P = (\gamma - 1)u_1^{-1} \left[ u_4 u_1 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right]$$

is the pressure, satisfies

$$u_1 \frac{dS}{dt} = u_1 S_t + u_2 S_x + u_3 S_y = 0,$$

for all smooth u(x,t).

Consequently

(2.5a) 
$$u_1h(S)_t + u_2h(S)_x + u_3h(S)_y = u_1\dot{h}(S)\frac{dS}{dt} = 0$$

for all differentiable functions h(S). Here  ${}^{\bullet}$  denotes derivative with respect to S.

Multiplying the continuity equation in (2.1)

(2.5b) 
$$u_{1t} + u_{1x} + u_{3y} = 0$$
,

by -h(S) and subtracting (2.5a) we obtin the entropy equation (1.4) for (2.1).

$$[-u_1h(S)]_t + [-u_2h(S)]_x + [-u_3h(S)]_y = 0.$$

Here

(2.6b) 
$$U(u) = -u_1h(S), \quad F^X(u) = -u_2h(S), \quad F^Y(u) = -u_3h(S).$$

 $v^{T} \equiv (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  in (1.12) becomes

(2.7) 
$$v^{T} = -(\gamma - 1) \frac{\dot{h}(S)}{P} \left( u_{4} + \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{h(S)}{h(S)} - \gamma - 1 \right), -u_{2}, -u_{3}, u_{1} \right).$$

(2.8a) 
$$v_{\mathbf{u}} = \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{P}\right)^2 u_{\mathbf{1}} \dot{\mathbf{h}}(S) \cdot$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}q^{4} + c_{\star}^{4}/\gamma & -q_{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}q^{2}(1-R)+Rc_{\star}^{2}\right] & -q_{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}q^{2}(1-R)+Rc_{\star}^{2}\right] & \frac{1}{2}q^{2}(1-R) & -c_{\star}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}-r\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-q_{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}q^{2}(1-R)+Rc_{\star}^{2}\right] & q_{1}^{2}(1-R) + c_{\star}^{2}/\gamma & q_{1}q_{2}(1-R) & -q_{1}(1-R) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-q_{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}q^{2}(1-R)+Rc_{\star}^{2}\right] & q_{1}q_{2}(1-R) & q_{2}^{2}(1-R) + c_{\star}^{2}/\gamma & -q_{2}(1-R) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}q^{2}(1-R)-c_{\star}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}-R\right) & -q_{1}(1-R) & -q_{2}(1-R) & 1-R \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\equiv \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{P}\right)^2 u_1 \dot{h}(S) \cdot D.$$

Here P is the pressure (2.4b),  $c^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P/u_1$ ,  $q_1 = u_2/u_1$ ,  $q_2 = u_3/u_2$  and  $q^2 = q_1^2 + q_2^2$ ; R = h(S)/h(S).

We show now that the symmetric matrix D is positive definite if and only if

(2.8b) 
$$R = h(S)/h(S) < \frac{1}{\gamma}$$
.

We do so by showing that the determinants of the major blocks of D are positive if and only if (2.8b) holds.

(2.9a) 
$$M_{11} = D_{11} = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - R\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}q^2 - c_{\star}^2\right)^2 + q^2 \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{4} q^2 + c_{\star}^2\right)/\gamma > 0,$$

$$(2.9b) M_{22} = \det \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{c_{\star}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \left\{ (1 - R\gamma) \left[ (\frac{1}{2}q^{2} - c_{\star}^{2})^{2} + (\gamma + 1)c_{\star}^{2}q_{1}^{2} \right] + c_{\star}^{2}q_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}(\gamma - 1)q^{4} \right\} > 0,$$

$$(2.9c) \\ M_{33} = \det \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{13} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} & D_{23} \\ D_{31} & D_{32} & D_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{c_{\star}^{4}}{\gamma^{2}} \left[ (1 - R\gamma) c_{\star}^{2} (q^{2} + c_{\star}^{2}/\gamma) + (1 - R) q^{4}/4 \right] > 0,$$

$$(2.9d) \qquad M_{44} = \det(D) = \frac{c_{\star}^{8}}{4} (\gamma - 1) (1 - R\gamma) > 0.$$

We consider now h(S) of the form

(2.10a) 
$$h(S) = Ke^{\frac{S}{\alpha+\gamma}} = K(P\rho^{-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\gamma}}$$

In this case  $\dot{h}(S) = \frac{K}{\alpha + \gamma} e^{\frac{S}{\alpha + \gamma}}$ ;  $R = \dot{h}(S)/\dot{h}(S) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \gamma}$ . It follows from (2.8) that  $v_{ij}$  is positive definite if and only if

(2.10b) 
$$\alpha > 0$$
,  $K > 0$ .

We note that  $\det(v_u) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .

Substituting (2.10a) with  $K = \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{\gamma - 1}$  for h(S) in (2.7) we get

(2.11) 
$$\mathbf{v}^{T} = -\mathbf{P}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\gamma}-1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}} \left(\mathbf{u}_{4} + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\gamma-1} (\alpha-1), -\mathbf{u}_{2}, -\mathbf{u}_{3}, \mathbf{u}_{1}\right).$$

Denote:

$$(2.12a) w = -v,$$

(2.12b) 
$$\mu = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\alpha} \left[ w_1 w_4 - \frac{1}{2} (w_2^2 + w_3^2) \right],$$

then u(v) is given by

(2.13a) 
$$u_1 = \rho = w_4 \frac{\gamma + \alpha - 2}{\gamma - 1} \frac{1 - \alpha - \gamma}{\gamma - 1},$$

(2.13b) 
$$u_2/u_1 = q_1 = -w_2/w_4$$

(2.13c) 
$$u_3/u_1 = q_2 = -w_3/w_4$$
,

(2.13d) 
$$(\gamma - 1) \left[ u_1 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} (u_2^2 + u_3^2) \right] / u_1 = p = w_4^{-2} \mu \rho$$

We turn now to express the fluxes  $f^X$  and  $f^Y$  in (2.1) in terms of the dependent variable v.

$$(2.14a) \quad [f^{x}(v)]^{T} = \rho w_{4}^{-3} \left( -w_{2}w_{4}^{2}, w_{4}(w_{2}^{2} + \mu), w_{2}w_{3}w_{4}, -w_{2}(w_{1}w_{4} - \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{\gamma - 1} \mu) \right) ,$$

$$(2.14b) \quad [f^{y}(v)]^{T} = \rho w_{4}^{-3} \left( -w_{3}w_{4}^{2}, w_{2}w_{3}w_{4}, w_{4}\left(w_{3}^{2} + \mu\right), -w_{3}\left(w_{1}w_{4} - \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{\gamma - 1} \mu\right) \right) ;$$

 $\rho(v)$  is given in (2.13a). We observe that the fluxes  $f^X(v)$  and  $f^Y(v)$  are homogeneous functions of v of degree

(2.15) 
$$\operatorname{degree} = -\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{\gamma - 1}.$$

We denote

(2.16) 
$$k_1 = (1 - \alpha - \gamma)/\alpha; \quad k_2 = \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{\gamma - 1}.$$

The Jacobian  $f_v^x = -f_w^x$  is

(2.17a) 
$$f_v^x = -\rho \mu^{-1} w_4^{-3}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -k_1 w_2 w_4^3 & w_4^2 (k_1 w_2^2 - \mu) & k_1 w_2 w_3 w_4^2 & -w_2 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4 \right] \\ w_4^2 (k_1 w_2^2 - \mu) & -w_2 w_4 (k_1 w_2^2 - 3\mu) & -w_3 w_4 (k_1 w_2^2 - \mu) & k_2 \mu (w_2^2 + \mu) \\ k_1 w_2 w_3 w_4^2 & -w_3 w_4 (k_1 w_2^2 - \mu) & w_2 w_4 (\mu - k_1 w_3^2) & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) \\ -w_2 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu & k_2 \mu (w_2^2 + \mu) & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & -w_2 \left[ w_1 (2k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & -w_2 \left[ w_1 (2k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ + k_1 w_1 w_4 \right] & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_2^2 - \mu) & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly the Jacobian  $f_y^y = -f_y^y$  is

(2.17b) 
$$f_v^y = -\rho \mu^{-1} w_4^{-3}$$
.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -k_1 w_3 w_4^3 & k_1 w_2 w_3 w_4^2 & w_4^2 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. \\ + k_1 w_1 w_4 \right] \\ k_1 w_2 w_3 w_4^2 & w_3 w_4 (\mu - k_1 w_2^2) & w_2 w_4 (\mu - k_1 w_3^2) & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) \\ w_4^2 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & w_2 w_4 (\mu - k_1 w_3^2) & -w_3 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - 3\mu) & k_2 \mu (w_3^2 + \mu) \\ + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & k_2 \mu (w_3^2 + \mu) & -w_3 \left[ w_1 (2k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) - k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & k_2 \mu (w_3^2 + \mu) & -w_3 \left[ w_1 (2k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) - k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & + w_1 w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4^{-1} \mu^2 \right] \\ -w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right] & w_3 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_2 w_3 (k_2 \mu + k_1 w_1 w_4) & -k_2 (k_2 - 1) w_4 (k_1 w_3^2 - \mu) \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_2 + 1) \mu \right. & w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] & w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] & w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] & w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] & w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] & w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] \\ -w_4 w_4 \left[ (k_1 w_3 + \mu) \right] &$$

The homogeneity property (2.15) of  $f^{X}(v)$  and  $f^{Y}(v)$  implies

(2.18) 
$$f_{v}^{x}v = -\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{\gamma-1} f^{x}(v)$$
;  $f_{v}^{y}v = -\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{\gamma-1} f^{y}(v)$ .

Thus for  $\alpha=1-2\gamma$  we have  $-\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{\gamma-1}=1$  and (2.18) implies that  $f_v^x v = f_v^x (v)$ ,  $f_v^y v = f_v^y (v)$ . This property may be used in constructing upwind differencing schemes (see [9] and [5]). We remark that  $\alpha=1-2\gamma<0$  and therefore  $v_u$  is not positive definite; however the mapping  $u \to v$  is one-to-one.

We note that for  $\alpha=1-2\gamma<0$  we have  $k_1=0$  in (2.16) which results in a great simplification in (2.17)

$$(2.19a) \quad f_{v}^{x} = -\rho w_{4}^{-3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -w_{4}^{2} & 0 & -(k_{2}+1)w_{2}w_{4} \\ -w_{4}^{2} & 3w_{2}w_{4} & w_{3}w_{4} & k_{2}(w_{2}^{2}+\mu)-w_{1}w_{4} \\ 0 & w_{3}w_{4} & w_{2}w_{4} & k_{2}w_{2}w_{3} \\ -(k_{2}+1)w_{2}w_{4} & k_{2}(w_{2}^{2}+\mu)-w_{1}w_{4} & k_{2}w_{2}w_{3} & -k_{2}w_{2}[2w_{1} & -(k_{2}-1)\mu/w_{4}] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2.19b) \quad f_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{y}} = -\rho w_{4}^{-3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -w_{4}^{2} & -(k_{2}+1)w_{3}w_{4} \\ 0 & w_{3}w_{4} & w_{2}w_{4} & k_{2}w_{2}w_{3} \\ -w_{4}^{2} & w_{2}w_{4} & 3w_{3}w_{4} & k_{2}(w_{3}^{2}+\mu)-w_{1}w_{4} \\ -(k_{2}+1)w_{3}w_{4} & k_{2}w_{2}w_{3} & k_{2}(w_{3}^{2}+\mu)-w_{1}w_{4} & -k_{2}w_{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2w_{1} & -(k_{2}-1)\mu/w_{4} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here  $k_2 = -1 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}$ .

For  $\alpha \equiv \gamma > 0$  we have  $k_2 = 0$  in (2.16); thus (2.17) becomes

Here  $k_1 = 1/\gamma - 2$ .

# 3. Viscosity Terms

In this section we consider the viscosity terms in the compressible Navier-Stokes equations

(3.1) 
$$u_t + [f^x(u)]_x + [f^y(u)]_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^x(u, u_x, u_y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} Q^y(u, u_x, u_y),$$
where  $u_t + [f^x(u)]_x + [f^y(u)]_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^x(u, u_x, u_y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} Q^y(u, u_x, u_y),$ 
where  $u_t + [f^x(u)]_x + [f^y(u)]_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^x(u, u_x, u_y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} Q^y(u, u_x, u_y),$ 

$$(3.2a) \quad [Q^x]_x = (0, \lambda (q_{1x} + q_{2y}) + 2\mu q_{1x}, \mu (q_{2x} + q_{1y}), \mu q_2 (q_{1y} + q_{2x}) + \lambda q_1 (q_{1x} + q_{2y}) + 2\mu q_1 q_{1x}),$$

(3.2b) 
$$[Q^{y}]^{T} = (0, \mu(q_{1y} + q_{2x}), \lambda(q_{1x} + q_{2y}) + 2\mu q_{2y}, \mu q_{1}(q_{2x} + q_{1y})$$

$$+ \lambda q_{2}(q_{1x} + q_{2y}) + 2\mu q_{2}q_{2y});$$

as before  $q_1 = u_2/u_1$  and  $q_2 = u_3/u_1$  are the velocity components in the x and y directions, respectively.

Expressing  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  as a function of v in (2.11) we get

$$q_1 = -v_2/v_4, \qquad q_2 = -v_3/v_4,$$

and

(3.3a) 
$$q_{1x} = v_4^{-2} \left( -v_4 v_{2x} + v_2 v_{4x} \right); \qquad q_{2x} = v_4^{-2} \left( -v_4 v_{3x} + v_3 v_{4x} \right)$$

(3.3b) 
$$q_{1y} = v_4^{-2} \left( -v_4 v_{2y} + v_2 v_{4y} \right); \qquad q_{2y} = v_4^{-2} \left( -v_u v_{3y} + v_3 v_{4y} \right).$$

Substituting  $q_{ix}$ ,  $q_{iy}$ , i = 1,2 in (3.2) by (3.3) we rewrite (3.2) as

(3.4a) 
$$Q^{X} = R^{XX}(v)v_{x} + R^{XY}(v)v_{y},$$

(3.4b) 
$$Q^{y} = R^{yy}(v)v_{y} + R^{yx}(v)v_{x},$$

where

(3.5a) 
$$R^{XX}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}_4^{-3}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -(\lambda + 2\mu)\mathbf{v}_4^2 & 0 & (\lambda + 2\mu)\mathbf{v}_2\mathbf{v}_4 \\
0 & 0 & -\mu\mathbf{v}_4^2 & \mu\mathbf{v}_3\mathbf{v}_4 \\
0 & (\lambda + 2\mu)\mathbf{v}_2\mathbf{v}_4 & \mu\mathbf{v}_3\mathbf{v}_4 & -(\lambda + 2\mu)\mathbf{v}_2^2 - \mu\mathbf{v}_3^2
\end{bmatrix}$$

(3.5b) 
$$R^{yy}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}_{4}^{-3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \mathbf{v}_{4}^{2} & 0 & \mu \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{4} \\ 0 & 0 & -(\lambda + 2\mu) \mathbf{v}_{4}^{2} & (\lambda + 2\mu) \mathbf{v}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{4} \\ 0 & \mu \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{4} & (\lambda + 2\mu) \mathbf{v}_{3} \mathbf{v}_{4} & -(\lambda + 2\mu) \mathbf{v}_{3}^{2} - \mu \mathbf{v}_{2}^{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

(3.6a) 
$$R^{xy}(v) = v_4^{-3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda v_4^2 & \lambda v_3 v_4 \\ 0 & -\mu v_4^2 & 0 & \mu v_2 v_4 \\ 0 & \mu v_3 v_4 & \lambda v_2 v_4 & -(\lambda + \mu) v_2 v_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

(3.6b) 
$$R^{yx}(v) = v_4^{-3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu v_4^2 & \mu v_3 v_4 \\ 0 & -\lambda v_4^2 & 0 & \lambda v_2 v_4 \\ 0 & \lambda v_3 v_4 & \mu v_2 v_4 & -(\lambda + \mu) v_3 v_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We observe that  $R^{XX}$  and  $R^{YY}$  are symmetric nonnegative matrices (note that  $v_4 < 0$  by definition).  $R^{XY}$  and  $R^{YX}$  are not symmetric, except in the non-physical case  $\lambda = \mu$ ; however  $R^{XY} + R^{YX}$  is symmetric, in agreement with [1].

# REFERENCES

- [1] Abarbanel, S., and Gottlieb, D., "Optimal time splitting for two and three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with mixed derivatives,"

  J. Computational Phys., Vol. 41, No. 1, May 1981, pp. 1-33.
- [2] Godunov, S. K., "An interesting class of quasi-linear systems,"

  DAN. USSR, Vol. 139, No. 3, 1961, pp. 521-523.
- [3] Friedrichs, K. O. and Lax, P. D., "Systems of conservation laws with a convex extension," Proc. National Academy of Sciences, USA, Vol. 68, 1971, pp. 1686-1688.
- [4] Harten, A. and Lax, P. D., "A random choice finite-difference scheme for hyperbolic conservation laws," SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol. 18, No. 2, 1981, pp. 289-315.
- [5] Harten, A., Lax, P. D., and Van Leer, B., "On upstream differencing and Godunov-type schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws," to appear.
- [6] Mock, M. S., "Systems of conservation laws of mixed type," to appear.
- [7] Roe, P. L., "The use of the Riemann problem in finite difference schemes,"

  Proc. Seventh Intl. Conf. Numer. Meth. Fluid Dyn., Stanford/NASA 
  Ames, June 1980, Springer-Verlag, to appear.
- [8] Roe, P. L., "Approximate Riemann solvers, parameter vectors, and difference schemes," to appear in J. Computational Phys.
- [9] Steger, J. L. and Warming, R. F., "Flux vector splitting of the inviscid gas dynamics equations with applications to finite difference methods," NASA TM-78605, July 1979.