Creep Behavior of a New Cast Austenitic Alloy

CF8C-Plus

John P. Shingledecker, Philip J. Maziasz, and Neal D. Evans Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Mike J. Pollard Advanced Materials Technology, Caterpillar Inc.

> ECCC Creep Conference London, UK: September 12, 2005

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



September 12, 2005 1

Outline

- Development of CF8C-Plus
 - **Materials Needs: Cost Effective Performance**
 - **Engineered Microstructure Approach**
 - **Casting Considerations**
- Creep Behavior
 - CF8C vs. CF8C-Plus
 - **Compared with Alloys of Interest**
- Additional Mechanical Properties
- Current and Future Commercial Interest
- Additional Alloy Modifications





Materials Needs

- Increased Efficiency of Diesel Engines = Higher Exhaust Temperatures
 - Load at Temperature: Creep
 - Start-up/Shut-down: Fatigue
 - Oxidation
- CRADA between ORNL & CAT
 - Unacceptable failure rate anticipated by CAT for SiMo Cast Iron applications
 - Evaluate New Cast Materials for Diesel Exhaust Manifolds, Turbo-charger housings, and turbine casings
 - Cast Stainless Alloy Development





Turbo Bridge / Failure





Materials Needs: High Performance Low-Cost Alloy is needed to Replace SiMo Cast Iron Some Candidate Alloy Compositions (wt%)

- SiMo Cast Iron: Fe-3.45C-4Si-0.6Mo-0.3Mn
- CF8C: Fe-19Cr-10Ni-0.07C-1.0Nb-0.7Mn-1Si
- CN-12: Fe-25Cr-13Ni-0.4C-1Mn-1.7Nb-0.3N-0.15S
- Ni-Resist: Fe-2Cr-35Ni-0.5Mn-5Si-1.9C
- Hitachi 20/20 Fe-20Cr-20Ni-0.45C-2Nb-3W-1Mn-0.6Si-0.15S

Improving the properties of less expensive alloys without the costly addition of Ni offered the best opportunity

CF8C-Plus = Best Results







September 12, 2005 4

Engineered Microstructure Approach

- Austenitic Alloy Design is based on achieving desired microstructure for High-Temperature **Strength and Stability**
- Additions made using 'alloying rules' developed using 30+ years of experience at ORNL
 - Reactant, Catalytic, Inhibitor, and Interference Effects
- Started with base CF8C composition (wrought equivalent = 347 stainless steel)
- **Desired microstructure and properties were** • produced during the 1st year of development
- 500 lb (1100kg) Commercial trials began during 2nd year





Mn and N Additions can improve CF8C Austenite Stability



- Large Costly Additions of Ni are NOT needed for fully austenitic stainless steel
- **CF8C = 15% Delta Ferrite, CF8C-Plus = 0% Delta Ferrite**





Detrimental Phases can be avoided

CF8C

- Delta (δ) ferrite \rightarrow Aging \rightarrow Sigma (σ) Phase
 - Loss of Ductility & Toughness
 - Weakened Grain **Boundaries**

- **CF8C-Plus**
 - No δ -ferrite
 - **Strong Grain Boundaries** (mix of MC and $M_{23}C_6$ carbides)
 - Fine stable MC carbide precipitate early during aging



September 12, 2005

Nano-scale Carbide Strengthening

 CF8C-Plus Has "Super" Creep Resistance at 850°C Because Abundant, Stable Nano-Scale NbC **Pin Dislocations**

Commercial, Standard CF8C



Creep Tested 850°C/500 h

Metals and Ceramics Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory



CF8C-Plus



(TEM, as cast)

Creep Tested 850°C/23,000 h



Additional Casting Considerations

- **Melt Fluidity:**
 - CF8C has Si to improve fluidity
 - **CF8C-Plus has reduced Si, but Mn improves fluidity and shows as** good or better melt fluidity compared to CF8C
- Hot Tearing:
 - CF8C is susceptible to hot tearing
 - No hot tears have been observed in any CF8C-Plus castings including components with cross sections ranging from 0.1" (2mm) to 9" (220mm) thick
- Post-Casting Heat-Treatment
 - CF8C is typically solution annealed after casting to homogenize structure
 - CF8C-Plus shows the best properties in the as-cast condition = process cost reduction
- Machining •
 - Machining characteristics of CF8C-Plus are comparable to other austenitic alloys
- Welding •
 - All weld trials have been successful on CF8C-Plus using commercially available weld fillers





Typical Composition (wt%)

	Cr	Ni	Fe	Mn	Мо	Nb	С	Si	Other
CF8C	19.0	10.0	Bal.	<1	0.3	0.80	0.07	1.0	2 147
CF8C-Plus	19.0	12.5	Bal.	4.0	0.3	0.80	0.10	0.5	0.25N
SiMo		12.5	Bal.	0.3	0.6		3.45	4.0	
Ni-Resist	2.0	35.0	Bal.	0.5		1	1.90	5.0	

SiMo Cast Iron = Current Alloy of Choice for **Diesel Applications**

NiResist = Austenitic Iron used in some applications in place of cast iron (good casting properties – many variants)





CF8C-Plus has much greater creep strength compared to current materials and shows large improvements compared to CF8C



11

CF8C-Plus has improved creep strength & ductility compared to CF8C



Creep-Rupture Ductility for CF8C and CF8C-Plus tested at 650 to 850C and 35 to 180 MPa





Reasons for Creep Strength Improvement

- **Fully Austenitic Structure** •
- **Elimination of Deleterious Phases (sigma)** •
- **N** Solid Solution Strengthening •
- Synergistic Effect of Mn and N
 - Mn improves the solubility of N in austenite
 - Mn and N alter MC carbide precipitate structure
 - Stacking Fault Partial Dislocation precipitation mechanism (Silcock and Tunstall)
 - Rejection of Mn and N at NbC interface
 - Solidification differences between CF8C and CF8C-Plus





CF8C-Plus shows improved Mechanical Properties compared to CF8C for:

- Yield Strength at Temperature
- Tensile Strength at Temperature
- Tensile Ductility at Temperature
- Low Cycle Fatigue Resistance at 650 to 850C
- Thermal-Mechanical Fatigue





September 12, 2005

CF8C-Plus show a dramatic improvement over SiMo Cast Iron in Thermal Mechanical Fatigue (TMF)

TMF Tests at 300 - 760C, 50C/min, Out of Phase, Air



Nf (Open symbol indicates failure outside extensometers)



CF8C-Plus shows improved Low-Cycle Fatigue (LCF) Resistance over CF8C



Low Cycle Fatigue (LCF) test results at 750 and 800C show CF8C-Plus approaches endurance limit at higher strain ranges

Slow strain rate and creep-fatigue studies ongoing





Commercial Interest Within CAT: Redesign and Replacement of SiMo Cast Iron

Potential for use in turbocharger housings and exhaust manifolds across the board as emissions requirements lead to increased exhaust temperatures.



September 12, 2005 18 Commercial Applications – Direct Replacement of NiResist for Natural Gas Reciprocating Engines at Reduced Cost (Cost of CF8C-Plus = 80% of NiResist)



80 lb (180kg) static sand-cast CF8C-Plus exhaust component cast by Stainless Foundry and Engineering, Inc. Metals and Ceramics Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Solar Turbines Mercury 50 gas turbine application: CF8C Upgrade





Solar Turbines 4.6 MW Mercury 50 recuperated low NO_x gas turbine engine

Oak Ridge National Laboratory **Metals and Ceramics Division**



6,700 lb (14,800 kg) CF8C-Plus end-cover cast by MetalTek



Centrifugally cast CF8C-Plus steel tubes for a global petrochemical company technology application





21,000 lbs (46,000kg) of Thin Wall Tubing Produced by MetalTek





Commercialization Summary

- Good high temperature strength, castability, and cost are • driving applications of CF8C-Plus inside and outside of Caterpillar
- Trial licensees: MetalTek International, Wollaston Alloys, and Stainless Foundry & Engineering
- Over 30,000 lb of CF8C-Plus steel have been melted to date •
 - Static and centrifugal castings
 - No Casting Defects or difficulties encountered
 - Casting sizes/weights range from 0.5 to 7,000 lbs with thin and thick walls
- Additional Alloy Modifications are being examined to:
 - Maximize Creep Strength: Cu and W
 - Improve corrosion resistance in steam/water vapor
- **Potential for High-Temperature Plant applications such as USC turbine casings**





Creep strength of CF8C-Plus compared to wrought austenitics and Ni-based alloys



Creep Strength and potential for further alloy modifications at low cost is driving additional interest including high-temperature plant applications



