VERY HIGH SWELLING AND EMBRITTLEMENT OBSERVED IN A Fe-18Cr-10Ni-Ti HEXAGONAL FUEL WRAPPER IRRADIATED IN THE BOR-60 FAST REACTOR - V. S. Neustroev (Research Institute of Atomic Reactors, Dimitrovgrad, Russia) and F. A. Garner (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland WA USA)

OBJECTIVE

The object of this effort is to determine the generality of fundamental mechanisms of radiation damage to structural steels by examining experiments on Russian steels that are comparable to Western steels. Similarity of response in fission spectra will hopefully imply similarity in fusion spectra.

SUMMARY

The highest void swelling level ever observed in an operating fast reactor component has been found after irradiation in BOR-60 with swelling in Kh18H10T (Fe-18Cr-10Ni-Ti) austenitic steel exceeding 50%. At such high swelling levels the steel has reached a terminal swelling rate of ~1%/dpa after a transient that depends on both dpa rate and irradiation temperature. The transient duration at the higher irradiation temperatures is as small as 10-13 dpa depending on which face was examined. When irradiated in a fast reactor such as BOR-60 with a rather low inlet temperature, most of the swelling occurs above the core center-plane and produces a highly asymmetric swelling loop when plotted vs. dpa.

Voids initially harden the alloy but as the swelling level becomes significant the elastic moduli of the alloy decreases strongly with swelling, leading to the consequence that the steel actually softens with increasing swelling. This softening occurs even as the elongation decreases as a result of void linkage during deformation. Finally, the elongation decreases to zero with further increases of swelling. This very brittle failure is known to arise from segregation of nickel to void surfaces which induces a martensitic instability leading to a zero tearing modulus and zero deformation.

PROGRESS AND STATUS

Introduction

Currently there is a strong interest in the void swelling behavior of austenitic steels used for the core internals of Western pressurized water reactors (PWRs) and Russian water-cooled, water-moderated energy reactors (VVERs) [1-5]. The primary construction materials of the internals are AISI 304 stainless steel and Kh18H10T (Fe-18Cr-10Ni-Ti) stainless steel, respectively. Void swelling has been raised as a potentially life-limiting phenomenon with respect to license extension of these reactors. In addition to dimensional distortion arising from void swelling and irradiation creep, it is known that swelling at ≥10% induces a severe form of void-induced embrittlement which raises some safety concerns [6, 7].

Of particular interest is the swelling at low temperatures and low dpa rates characteristic of PWR and VVER internals. A number of papers by the authors of the current study have addressed this concern [8-20]. It has been clearly shown for both steels that lower dpa rates decrease the duration of the transient regime of swelling and therefore increase swelling at a given exposure level. It has also been convincingly shown that lower dpa rates cause swelling to occur at lower temperatures that encompass all temperatures of relevance to PWR and VVER internals. Similar irradiation conditions can occur in ITER and various proposed fusion energy devices.

Also of interest is the anticipated swelling behavior of the steels at high exposure levels and potentially higher swelling levels associated with life extension. Unfortunately, it is impossible to develop swelling data at very high exposures when irradiation proceeds at relatively low neutron fluxes and

^{*} Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under contract DE-AC06-76RLO-1830.

correspondingly lower displacement rates. However, it is possible to explore high neutron exposures at PWR and VVER-relevant temperatures using the BOR-60 fast reactor, which has an inlet temperature of 320°C.

In the current study it is desired to examine whether certain characteristics of swelling observed in AISI 304 stainless steel in the EBR-II fast reactor are also observed in Kh18H10T stainless steel. Of particular interest are the dependence of the transient duration of swelling on temperature and dpa rate, and whether the terminal swelling rate of ~1%/dpa is reached by this steel at high neutron exposures. An additional area of strong interest is the consequences of void swelling on the mechanical properties. This paper addresses these issues by examining a hexagonal wrapper irradiated to rather high swelling levels.

Experimental Procedure

A fuel assembly designated O-196 was irradiated without movement or rotation in cell D13 in the third row (11.9 mm from core center) of the BOR-60 fast reactor from December 1983 up to January 1987. All of its neighbors were fuel assemblies. The flux gradient across the assembly was rather small.

The hexagonal duct served as a wrapper surrounding the fuel assembly and was constructed from a titanium-stabilized Russian stainless steel designated Kh18H10T with nominal composition Fe-18Cr-10Ni-0.5Ti but with a small addition of scandium. This element has been shown in ion and neutron irradiation of pure nickel to strongly retard swelling [21]. The Kh18H10T steel is used in Russian reactors for nuclear applications where AISI 304 would be used in Western reactors. The closest Western analog of the Russian steel is AISI 321 stainless steel. The duct wall was 1 mm thick.

The chemical composition in wt. % was measured to be Fe-17.9Cr-10.1Ni-1.22Mn-0.46Ti-0.36Si-0.095C-0.009S-0.032P-0.012N-0.05Sc. The duct was fabricated and then annealed at 800°c for two hours, followed by annealing at 600°C for 1 hour.

The temperature and fluence profiles for this duct are shown in Figure 1. The duct reached a maximum exposure of $12.6 \times 10^{26} \text{ n/m}^2$ (E>0.1 MeV) corresponding to 56 dpa in the BOR-60 neutron spectrum and experienced life-averaged temperatures of 320 to 620°C.

When removed from reactor it was obvious from both observation and flat-to-flat measurements that significant volume changes had occurred in this duct. When cutting was employed to produce specimens for examination the duct was observed to be very brittle, with cracking and brittle failure often occurring.

Flat #2 was chosen for the most extensive examination but specimens were cut from all six flats. The duct was sectioned at various elevations to produce specimens of size $5 \times 50 \times 1$ mm on which to perform density measurements using hydrostatic weighing at room temperature in CCl₄ with an accuracy of ±0.2%. A second set of specimens were cut at $55 \times 15 \times 1$ mm to be used for production of tensile specimens. An electro-erosion technique was used to produce a gauge section that was 22.5 mm long, 5.5 mm wide and 1 mm thick. A third set of specimens of $4 \times 4 \times 1$ mm were cut for microscopy examination. Microscopy results were reported earlier by Borodin and coworkers [22].

Twenty-two flat tensile specimens were subjected to tensile tests at a strain rate of 1 mm/min. Tensile tests were conducted at room temperature, a temperature close to the radiation temperature and also at 800°C. Some of the tensile results have been presented previously in Russian [23, 24].




Figure 1. Calculated fluence and life-averaged temperature distributions along the axis of the wrapper. The temperature cited is the life-averaged value. The fluence quoted is the value above 0.1 MeV in units of 10^{26} n/m².

Results

Density change data are presented in Table 1 with all locations being measured from the core centerplane. The faces of the duct were arbitrarily but progressively numbered 1 through 6. The swelling peaked at ~100 mm above the core center-plane on all faces. Note that density changes ($\Delta\rho/\rho_0$) as large as 35.4 % were observed with some variations from face-to-face, especially in the high swelling portion of the duct.

However, swelling $(\Delta V/V_0)$ in % is defined as $(100 \Delta \rho/\rho_0)/(100 - \Delta \rho/\rho_0) = 100 \Delta \rho/\rho_{irr}$. Thus 35.4% density change represents a swelling level of 54.8%. Although relatively large errors are characteristic of microscopy measurements at such high swelling levels, the microscopy measurements by Borodin and coworkers largely confirm the swelling levels measured by density change [22].

Figure 2 shows the swelling distribution along Flat #2 which peaks at 44.3% at a position 100 mm above the core center-plane. Figure 3 shows the swelling observed along all six faces, with the peak swelling of 54.8% observed on Flat #1. Note, however, that Flat #1 swells somewhat less than Flat #2 at other elevations.

Looking at the density change data more closely in Figure 4, however, it appears that Flat #5 actually has the largest overall swelling, perhaps as large as ~60%, but a measurement at 100 mm was not made for this duct. The most significant feature of the swelling of the six faces is the face-to-adjacent face continuity of swelling at each elevation, as is also shown in Figure 4. It appears that face number 5 might be closest to the core centerline, but correlation of peak swelling of individual faces with respect to the core center line could not be made because no record was made of the initial orientation and no face was specifically marked.

Z, mm	350	300	250	200	150	100	50	0	-50	-100	-150	-400
fast fluence*	2.1	3	5	7.5	9.7	11	12	12.4	12.3	11.1	9.7	1.5
Temperature ⁰C	600	600	590	585	560	530	500	465	430	395	360	320
dpa	11.3	16.2	27	40.5	52.4	59.4	64.8	67	66.4	59.9	52.4	8.1
flat 1	-	-	-	16.8	-	35.4	28.6	-	-	-	-	-
flat 2	4.8	3.6	10.6	20.4	29.2	30.7	28.2	21.2	9.2	2.3		1.2
flat 3	3.1	6.7	-	-	27.9	31.2	27.1	24.1	-	-	-	-
flat 4	1.7	-	-	-	29.2	33.4	26.5	-	6.8	1.5	1.8	-
flat 5	-	-	-	-	32.3	-	33.8	-	-	-	-	-
flat 6	-	-	13.2	-	28	-	31.2	-	-	-	-	-

Table 1. Density changes in % measured on various flats (fast fluence in units of 10²⁶ m/m² (E>0.1 MeV)

* Fast fluence in units of 10^{22} n/cm² E>0.1 MeV




Figure 2. Swelling observed along the length of flat #2 of the wrapper.




Figure 3. Swelling observed on all six faces of the wrapper.




Figure 4. Continuous swelling variation from face-to-adjacent face at a given elevation, plotting only density values for immediately adjacent faces and only density changes that are >15%. It is interesting to note in the lower-swelling portions of the core, both at lower temperature (0 mm) and higher temperatures (200 mm) the swelling increases from faces 1 to 3, a behavior opposite to that of the higher-swelling elevations.

Figure 5 presents the swelling data of Flat #2 vs. dpa where 10^{26} n/m² E>0.1 MeV produces 5.43 dpa. In this presentation the dependence on temperature and dpa rate is manifested as a "loop" determined by the interaction of the temperature and dpa rate to determine the duration of the transient swelling regime. It is known that the transient regime of swelling in this steel always decreases as the temperature increases from bottom to top of the duct, but increases as the flux increases as the flux falls above the core center-plane. Such loops have been observed previously in AISI 304 stainless steel [3].




Figure 5. Swelling plotted vs. dpa level for flat #2.




Figure 6. Swelling plotted vs. dpa level for all flats.

Each high-swelling data point on the flat 2 loop is thought to reside on a separate swelling curve with a swelling rate of $\sim 1\%$ /dpa where the transient duration is complete but was determined by a combination of the dpa rate and temperature. Note that on the high temperature side of the loop a

slope of ~1%/dpa is evident, indicating that all temperatures above ~530°C share the same transient duration of ~12 dpa. Figure 6 shows the swelling of all flats plotted together and reinforces the perception that 1%/dpa is indeed the terminal swelling rate, especially at the higher temperatures. It appears, however, that there may be a slight variation in the transient duration, being somewhat less than 12 dpa on the highest swelling face.

Mechanical Properties

Shown in Table 2 are the results of the tensile tests. Figure 7 presents the results for tests conducted at or near the irradiation temperature.

Note that in three of the test specimens designated numbers 26, 32 and 44, all with rather high swelling levels, failed with essentially zero elongation before the test was properly started, indicating a very high degree of embrittlement.

Rather than plot the tensile data vs. dpa level, irradiation temperature or test temperature it is instructive to plot the data vs. the swelling level only. Note that as the swelling level reaches and exceeds ~10%, the yield and ultimate strengths have converged. For swelling levels >20% the yield stress and frequently the ultimate stress plunge to zero. It is particularly noteworthy that the steel actually "softens" as the swelling increases.

Figure 8 appears to show that the strength and elongation measurements for tests conducted at 20 and 800°C. As expected, the strength at 20°C is somewhat higher than the strength observed at higher test temperatures, and the strength again decreases as swelling increases. In tests conducted at 800°C the steel is very "soft" with strengths of ~100 MPa for all swelling levels.






Figure 7. Mechanical properties of specimens tested at or near the irradiation temperature.

Specimen number	Elevation mm	Swelling %	Test temp. °C	Ultimate tensile strength, MPa	Yield strength, Mpa	Uniform elongation, %	Total elongation, %	
14	+250	10.6	590	290	280	0.4	0.4	
18	1250	13.2	20	740	610	1.1	1.1	
19	+200	16.8	800	75	56	2.5	2.5	
27		27.9	20	475	405	0.7	0.7	
30		28.0	360	59	-	0	0	
29	+150	32.3	500	140	-	0	0	
26		29.2	560	0	-	0	0	
28		29.2	800	125	110	1.9	3.4	
31		35.4	20	138	-	0	0	
34	+100	33.4	360	103	-	0	0	
32	+100	30.7	530	0	-	0	0	
33		31.2	800	31	28	1.6	3.7	
37		28.6	20	60	-	0	0	
39		27.1	360	141	-	0	0	
38	+50	28.2	500	172	-	0	0	
40		26.5	590	245	225	1.2	1.2	
44	0	21.2	470	0	-	0	0	
45	0	24.1	320	482	-	0	0	
50	-50	9.2	430	630	610	1.6	1.6	
56	-100	2.3	400	765	605	2.0	2.5	
62	-150	0	360	825	755	0.7	0.7	
68	-400	1.2	800	100	75	11.7	48.6	

Table 2. Mechanical properties of specimens.

26, 32, 44 -failed before testing





Discussion

Although neutron-induced swelling levels of 80-90% have been reached in experimental studies [2, 26] the highest swelling level ever reported for a stainless component irradiated in a U. S. fast reactor was ~37% at ~100 dpa in cold-worked D9 [27]. The swelling of 50 to perhaps 60% in the current study is therefore the largest reported swelling observed in any fast reactor component.

Based on a large number of earlier studies the higher swelling in Kh18H10T compared to that of D9 probably arises from the combined lack of cold-work, the lower nickel level and especially the pre-irradiation aging treatment employed [28]. It is well known that aging of most stainless steels at temperatures on the order of 600 to 800°C is the surest way to accelerate the onset of swelling [29-33].

It can be seen in Figures 5 and 6 that the incubation period at the higher irradiation temperatures was only 10-12 dpa, depending on what face was examined. Such aging promotes the early formation of carbide, intermetallic phases and non-equilibrium nickel-silicides such as G-phase, removing elements such as Ni, Si and Ti from solution that tend to resist the onset of swelling. Indeed, Borodin and coworkers found that in this duct large densities of both metal carbides and G-phase were formed with very high nickel (20-73%), silicon (3-25%) and titanium (5-99%) levels. Most interestingly, the scandium introduced into the steel to retard swelling was also concentrated into these precipitates.

The relative continuity of swelling on the various adjacent faces as seen in Figure 4 confirms that the variations in peak swelling probably arose from face-to-face variations in either temperature or dpa rate rather than as a result of purely random variations in swelling. It was initially assumed that there was not a very significant gradient in neutron flux across the fuel assembly, but this assumption might not be completely accurate to <5%.

In addition, here are always small variations in temperature distribution/history, especially since all neighbors of this assembly were fueled assemblies subject to burn-up and therefore experiencing temperature and flux histories of their own. The most likely origin of the face-to-face variations observed probably is related to minor variations in temperature especially.

Figure 5 demonstrates that all swelling values obtained above ~430°C lie on swelling curves where the terminal swelling rate of ~1%/dpa has already been reached. Eight of the twelve measurements lie on the above center-plane portion of the duct, where the dpa rate always falling as the temperature increases. The transient duration of swelling in this steel is known to decrease with falling dpa rate and/or increasing temperature [34, 35]. AISI 304 stainless steel exhibits the same behavior [36].

When plotted against dpa and ignoring temperature, swelling across components with sufficient swelling in simple steels such as Kh18H10T and AISI 304 stainless steel is seen to develop "loops" that demonstrate the competitive influence of flux and temperature gradient along the component axis. Figure 9 shows a previously published example of an annealed AISI 304 loop in an EBR-II hexagonal duct [3]. The EBR-II loop is more symmetric with both low and high temperature sides showing the 1%/dpa tangent.

The Kh18H10T loop is not as symmetric, however, primarily due to the much lower inlet temperature of BOR-60 (330 vs. 370°C) compared to EBR-II. As a consequence most of the swelling regime on this duct occurs above the core center-plane. Therefore swelling experiences a continuously declining dpa rate with increasing temperature and produces a rather asymmetric loop, while in EBR-II the swelling regime straddles both increasing and decreasing dpa rates.

When assessing the radiation-induced changes in mechanical properties it is important to realize that most of this duct is dominated by rather high swelling. Although voids initially serve to harden the microstructure [37], large swelling levels allow previously second-order void effects to become dominant [38]. The most consequential of these second-order effects is the strong decrease of elastic moduli at high swelling levels. All of the elastic moduli are well-known to decrease initially at ~2% per each percent of void swelling [39-43].

As a consequence the slope of the elastic region (Young's modulus) of the stress-strain curve decreases, and more even more importantly the barrier strengths of all sinks decrease as the shear modulus likewise decreases. Therefore the yield and ultimate strengths decrease with increasing swelling as seen in Figures 7 and 8, even though the strongly elongation decreases. This behavior has been observed in pure copper [44], but in stainless steel the apparent "softening" is enhanced by specimen failure in the elastic region of the stress-strain curve.




Figure 9. A swelling loop produced in annealed AISI 304 stainless steel employed as a hexagonal wrapper in EBR-II [3]. The fast fluence is specified in units of 10²² n/cm² E>0.1 MeV. The dotted line has a slope of 1%/dpa.

The failure mode is strongly influenced by void swelling in two ways. First, crack initiation arising from void-to-void linkage leads to a fracture surface showing extensive ductile dimpling arising from void interactions, a process covered in detail in other recent papers [45, 46]. Second, nickel segregation to voids, especially at higher swelling levels, leads to a martensitic instability in the matrix that produces a zero tearing modulus and a fracture surface covered with alpha ferrite [6, 47].

Three specimens in this test series failed while loading into the tensile holder and most specimens with >20% swelling appear to have failed by this mechanism. Other high swelling specimens that survived mounting also failed with zero elongation. However, in the current study the failure surface was not examined to confirm the action of the martensite instability.

Results

In this study is reported the highest void swelling level ever observed in an operating fast reactor component, exceeding 54 and perhaps ~60% swelling. At very high swelling levels the Kh18H10T steel approaches a terminal swelling rate of ~1%/dpa after a transient that depends on both dpa rate and irradiation temperature. When irradiated in a fast reactor such as BOR-60 with a rather low inlet temperature, most of the swelling occurs above the core center-plane and produces a very asymmetric swelling loop when plotted vs. dpa.

Voids initially harden the matrix, but as the swelling level becomes significant, the elastic moduli decrease strongly, with the consequence that the steel actually softens with increasing swelling, even as the elongation decreases as a result of void linkage during deformation. Finally, zero deformation levels occur at higher swelling levels, arising most likely from a segregation of nickel to void surfaces that induces a martensitic instability and produces a zero tearing modulus.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Science Program of Higher Education, grant # 2.1.2.7242. The participation of F. A. Garner was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

References

[1] F. A. Garner, L. R. Greenwood and D. L. Harrod, "Potential High Fluence Response of Pressure Vessel Internals Constructed from Austenitic Stainless Steels", Proc. Sixth International Symposium on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems - Water Reactors, San Diego, CA, August 1-5, 1993, pp. 783-790.

[2] F.A. Garner, D.J. Edwards, S.M. Bruemmer, S.I. Porollo, Yu. V. Konobeev, V.S. Neustroev V.K. Shamardin and A.V. Kozlov, "Recent Developments Concerning Potential Void Swelling of PWR Internals Constructed from Austenitic Stainless Steels", Proc. Fontevraud 5, Contribution of Materials Investigation to the Resolution of Problems Encountered in Pressurized Water Reactors, 23-27 September, 2002, paper #22, on CD format, no page numbers.

[3] F. A. Garner, and B. J. Makenas, "Recent Experimental Results on Neutron-induced Void Swelling of AISI 304 Stainless Steel Concerning its Interactive Dependence on Temperature and Displacement rate", Fontevraud-6 Symposium on Contribution of Materials Investigations to Improve the Safety and Performance of LWRs", 18 - 22 September 2006, Fontevraud, France, pp. 625-636.

[4] V. K. Shamardin, V. S. Neustroev, V.I. Prokhorov et al., Proceedings of 5th Russian Conference on Reactor Material Science, Dimitrovgrad, RIAR, 1998, Vol. 2, Part 1, pp.19-39, (in Russian).

[5] V. S. Neustroev, V.N. Golovanov, V. K. Shamardin, et al. "Radiation Phenomena in Fe-18Cr-10Ni-Ti Steel Irradiated in Different Reactors at Conditions Similar to Conditions of VVER Internals", Proceedings of 5th Russian Conference on Reactor Material Science, Dimitrovgrad, RIAR, 2001, Vol.3, Part.1, pp. 3-23, (in Russian)

[6] M. L. Hamilton, F. H. Huang, W. J. S. Yang and F. A. Garner, "Mechanical Properties and Fracture Behavior of 20% Cold-Worked 316 Stainless Steel Irradiated to Very High Exposures," Effects of Radiation on Materials: Thirteenth International Symposium (Part II) Influence of Radiation on Material Properties, ASTM STP 956, F. A. Garner, N. Igata and C. H. Henager, Jr., Eds., ASTM Philadelphia, PA, 1987, pp. 245-270.

[7] V. S. Neustroev, V. N. Golovanov and V. K. Shamardin, Atomnaya Energiya 69 (1990) 223-226 (in Russian).

[8] F. A. Garner and M. B. Toloczko, "Irradiation Creep and Void Swelling of Austenitic Stainless Steels at Low Displacement Rates in Light Water Energy Systems", J. Nucl. Mater., 251 (1997) 252-261.

[9] V. S. Neustroev, V. K. Shamardin, Z. E. Ostrovsky, A. M. Pecherin, and F. A. Garner, "Temperature-Shift of Void Swelling Observed at PWR-Relevant Temperatures in Annealed Fe-18Cr-10Ni-Ti Stainless Steel Irradiated in the Reflector Region of BOR-60", Effects of Radiation on Materials: 19th International Symposium, ASTM STP 1366, M. L. Hamilton, A. S. Kumar, S. T. Rosinski and M. L. Grossbeck, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, 2000, pp. 792-800.

[10] F. A. Garner, N. I. Budylkin, Yu. V. Konobeev, S. I. Porollo, V. S. Neustroev, V. K. Shamardin, A. V. Kozlov, "The Influence of DPA rate on Void Swelling of Russian Austenitic Stainless Steels," 11th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems – Water Reactors, 2003, pp. 647-656.

[11] F. A. Garner, S. I. Porollo and Yu V. Konobeev, V. S. Neustroev and O. P. Maksimkin, "Void Swelling of Russian Austenitic Stainless Steels at PWR-relevant Displacement Rates and Temperatures", Fontevraud-6 Symposium on Contribution of Materials Investigations to Improve the Safety and Performance of LWRs", 18 - 22 September 2006, Fontevraud, France, pp. 637-648.

[12] F. A. Garner, S. I. Porollo, A. N. Vorobjev, Yu. V. Konobeev and A. M. Dvoriashin, "Void Swelling at Low Displacement Rates in Annealed X18H10T Stainless Steel at 30-56 dpa and 280-332°C", 9th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems – Water Reactors, 1999, pp. 1051-1059.

[13] S. I. Porollo, Yu. V. Konobeev, A. M. Dvoraishin, V. M. Krigan and F. A. Garner, "Determination of the Lower Temperature Limit of Void Swelling of Stainless Steels at PWRrelevant Displacement Rates", 10th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems – Water Reactors, 2001, issued on CD format, no page numbers.

[14] S. I. Porollo, Yu. V. Konobeev, A. M. Dvoriashin, A. N. Vorobjev, V. M. Krigan and F. A. Garner, "Void Swelling at Low Displacement Rates in Annealed X18H10T Stainless Steel at 4 to 56 dpa and 280-332°C", J. Nucl. Mater. 307-311 (2002) 339-342.

[15] N. I. Budylkin, T. M. Bulanova, E. G. Mironova, N. M. Mitrofanova, S. I. Porollo, V. M. Chernov, V. K. Shamardin and F. A. Garner, "The Strong Influence of Displacement Rate on Void Swelling in Variants of Fe-16Cr-15Ni-3Mo Austenitic Stainless Steel in BN-350 and BOR-60," J. Nucl. Mater. 329-333 (2004) 621-624.

[16] S. I. Porollo, Yu. V. Konobeev, A. M. Dvoriashin, V. M. Krigan and F. A. Garner, "Determination of the Lower Temperature Limit of Void Swelling of stainless Steels at PWR-Relevant Displacement Rates," Effects of Radiation on Materials, ASTM STP 1447, M. L. Grossbeck, T. R. Allen, R. G. Lott and A. S. Kumar, Eds., ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA, 2004, pp. 429-445.

[17] S. I. Porollo, A. M. Dvoriashin, Yu. V. Konobeev, A. A. Ivanov, S. V. Shulepin and F. A. Garner, "Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Austenitic Stainless Steel 12X18H9T after Neutron Irradiation in the Pressure Vessel of BR-10 Fast Reactor at Very Low Dose Rates", J. Nucl. Mater. 359 (2006) 41-49.

[18] F. A. Garner, S. I. Porollo, Yu. V. Konobeev and O. P. Maksimkin, "Void Swelling of Austenitic Steels Irradiated with Neutrons at Low Temperatures and Very Low Dpa Rates", in proceedings of 12th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems - Water Reactors, (2005) pp. 439-448.

[19] O. P. Maksimkin, K. V. Tsai, L. G. Turubarova, T. A. Doronina and F. A. Garner, "Void Swelling of AISI 321 Analog Stainless Steel Irradiated at Low Dpa Rates in the BN-350 Reactor", J. Nucl. Mater. 367-370 (2007) 990-994.

[20] O. P. Maksimkin, K. V. Tsai, L. G. Turubarova, T. Doronina and F. A. Garner,

"Characterization of 08Cr16Ni11Mo3 Stainless Steel Irradiated in the BN-350 Reactor," J. Nucl. Mater. 329-333 (2004) 625-629.

[21] V. S. Neustroev, V. K. Shamardin, L. S. Ozigov, "Swelling and Mechanical Properties of Neutron-irradiated Binary Nickel Alloys with La, Sc and Pr",. Voprosy Atomnoy Nauki i Tehniki. Seria: Fizika Radiatsionnyh Povregdeniy i Radiatsionnoe Materialovedenie, Issue 1(73), 2(74), Kharkov, 1999, pp. 107-114 (in Russian).

[22] O. V. Borodin, V. V. Bryk, V. V. Voyevodin, I. M. Neklyudov, V. K. Shamardin and V. S. Neustroev, Effects of Radiation on Materials: 17th International Symposium, ASTM STP 1270, 1996, pp. 817-830.

[23] Neustroev V.S., Shamardin V.K. "Medium-Temperature Embrittlement of Austenitic Steels and Alloys Irradiated in Fast Neutron Reactors". The Physics of Metals and Metallography 83, No. 5, 1997, pp. 555-560 (Russian).

[24] V. S. Neustroev and V. K. Shamardin, "Concerning the Interrelation Between Microstructure and Embrittlement of Fe-18Cr-10Ni-Ti Steel after Neutron Irradiation to 70 dpa", Atomic Energy 71, No. 4 (1991) 345-348 (in Russian).

[25] F. A. Garner and D. S. Gelles, "Neutron-Induced Swelling of Commercial Alloys at Very High Exposures," Proceedings of Symposium on Effects of Radiation on Materials: 14th International Symposium, ASTM STP 1046, N. H. Packan, R. E. Stoller and A. S. Kumar, eds. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1990, Vol. II, pp. 673-683.

[26] F. A. Garner, "Recent Insights on the Swelling and Creep of Irradiated Austenitic Alloys," J. Nucl. Mater., 122 and 123, (1984) pp. 459-471.

[27] B. J. Makenas, S. A. Chastain and B. C. Gnieting, "Dimensional Changes of FFTF Austenitic Cladding and Ducts", ANS Winter Meeting on LMR:A Decade of LMR Progress and Promise, 1990, pp. 176-183.

[28] F. A. Garner, Chapter 6: "Irradiation Performance of Cladding and Structural Steels in Liquid Metal Reactors," Vol. 10A of Materials Science and Technology: A Comprehensive Treatment, VCH Publishers, 1994, pp. 419-543.

[29] H. R. Brager and F. A. Garner, "The Influence of Preirradiation Thermal-Mechanical Treatment on Phase Stability and Swelling in Type 316 Stainless Steel, "Transactions ANS 28, (1978) 151.

[30] F. A. Garner, J. F. Bates and M. A. Mitchell, "The Strong Influence of Temper Annealing Conditions on the Neutron-Induced Swelling of Cold Worked Austenitic Steels", J. Nucl. Mater. 189 (1992) pp. 201-209.

[31] H.J. Busboom, G. C. McClellan, W.L. Bell and W. K. Appleby, "Swelling of Types 304 and 316 Stainless Steel Irradiated to 8 x 1022 n/cm2", General Electric Company Report GEAP-14062, Sunnyvale CA, 1975.

[32] V. A. Krasnoselov, V. I. Prokhorov, A. N. Kolesnikov and Z. A. Ostrovskii, Atomnaya Energiya 54 (2) (1983) 111-115 (in Russian).

[33] K. Erhlich, A. Calza Bini, V. Levy, C. Brown and M. Lippens, Proceedings of International Conference on Reliable Fuels for Liquid Metal Reactors, Tucson, ANS, 1986 pp. 4-1 – 4-16.
[34] T. Okita, N. Sekimura, T. Sato, F. A. Garner and L. R. Greenwood, "The Primary Origin of Dose Rate Effects on Microstructural Evolution of Austenitic Alloys during Neutron Irradiation", J. Nucl. Mater. 307-311 (2002) 322-326.

[35] T. Okita, N. Sekimura, F. A. Garner, L. R. Greenwood, W. G. Wolfer and Y. Isobe, "Neutron-Induced Microstructural Evolution of Fe-15Cr-16Ni Alloys at ~ 400°C During Neutron Irradiation in the FFTF Fast Reactor", 10th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems – Water Reactors, 2001, issued on CD format, no page numbers.

[36] F. A. Garner and D. L. Porter, "A Reassessment of the Swelling Behavior of AISI 304L
Stainless Steel," Proceedings International Conference on Dimensional Stability and Mechanical
Behavior of Irradiated Metals and Alloys, April 11-13, 1983, Brighton, England, Vol. II, pp. 41-44.
[37] F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton, N. F. Panayotou and G. D. Johnson, "The Microstructural
Origins of Yield Strength Changes in AISI 316 During Fission or Fusion Irradiation," J. Nucl.
Mater., 103 and 104 (1981) 803-808.

[38] F. A. Garner and M. B. Toloczko, "High Dose Effects in Irradiated Face Centered Cubic Metals," J. Nucl. Mater., 206 (1993) 230-248.

[39] J. L. Straalsund and C. K. Day, Nuclear Technology, 20 (1973) 27.

[40] M. Marlowe and W. K. Appleby, Transactions ANS 16 (1973) 95-96.

[41] R. L. Trantow, "Ultrasonic Measurement of Elastic Properties in Irradiated 304 Stainless Steel," Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory report HEDL-TME-73-92, 1973.

[42] I. I. Balachov, F. A. Garner, Y. Isobe, M. Sagisaka and H. T. Tang, "NDT Measurements of Irradiation Induced Void Swelling," 11th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems – Water Reactors, 2003, pp. 640-646.

[43] I. I. Balachov, E. N. Shcherbakov, A. V. Kozlov, I. A. Portnykh and F. A. Garner, "Influence of Irradiation-Induced Voids and Bubbles on Physical Properties of Austenitic Structural alloys", J. Nucl. Mater. 329-333 (2004) 617-620.

[44] K. R. Anderson, F. A. Garner, M. L. Hamilton and J. F. Stubbins, "Mechanical Property Changes and Microstructures of Dispersion-Strengthened Copper Alloys After Neutron Irradiation at 411 and 529°C", Proceedings 15th International Symposium on the Effects of Radiation on Materials, ASTM STP 1125, 1992, R. E. Stoller, A. S. Kumar and D. S. Gelles, Eds., pp. 854-874. [45] V. S. Neustroev, "Embrittlement-induced swelling in irradiated austenitic steels", Proceedings of 8th Russian Conference on Reactor Material Science, Dimitrovgrad, RIAR, 2007, (in press and in Russian).

[46] V. S. Neustroev and F. A. Garner, "Severe Embrittlement of Neutron Irradiated Austenitic Steels Arising from High Void Swelling", submitted for publication in J. Nucl. Mater., Proceedings of ICFRM-13.

[47] V. Shcherbak and V. D. Dimitryev, Fiz. Met. Metallovid. 6 (1987) 592-5.