

APPENDIX 21 -- IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Purpose: Section 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 4180 (43 CFR 4180) requires the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to develop and implement standards and guidelines to meet the fundamentals of rangeland health. The following is a description of the processes to be used in determining implementation priorities for determining and initiating appropriate management actions.

Procedure: In order to assess whether the allotments are meeting the fallback standards (43 CFR 4180.2(f)(1)), BLM has made, based on existing information, an initial determination of which grazing allotments are not meeting one or more of the fallback standards and whether this is the result of current grazing practices. An assumption has been made by the BLM field managers that, with few possible exceptions, the implementation needed for the regulatory fallback standards and guidelines will essentially be the same as for any anticipated set of final approved standards and guidelines developed for the State. Therefore the recent screening process determinations used for identifying how and where to implement the fallback standards and guidelines will be used as the proposed plan for implementing the standards and guidelines developed and adopted for use specifically in California.

For the initial screening process, a review was made, for all grazing allotments, of existing monitoring information such as grazing utilization records, range condition and trend studies, soil and water resource assessments and inventories, riparian proper functioning condition (PFC) assessments, photographs, wildlife habitat information, vegetation studies, etc. Resource management specialists familiar with the on-the-ground situation, in conjunction with the above mentioned information, then recommended priorities for implementation or identified needs for further assessments. Each allotment was placed into one of four categories as follows:

- Category 1: Areas where one or more standards are not being met, or significant progress is not being made toward meeting the standards(s), and livestock grazing is a significant contributor to the problem.
- Category 2: Areas where all standards are being met, or significant progress is being made toward meeting the standard(s).
- Category 3: Areas where the status for one or more standards is not known, or the cause of the failure to not meet the standard(s) is not known.
- Category 4: Allotments where one or more of the standards are not being met or significant progress is not being made toward meeting the standards by causes other than (or in addition to) livestock grazing activities. (Those allotments where current livestock grazing is also a cause for not meeting the standards are included in Category 1 in addition to this category.) The authorized officer should take appropriate action based on regulation or policy; however, these actions not related to livestock grazing are outside the scope of this implementation plan and will not be addressed in this document.

CATEGORY 1 ALLOTMENTS

Recently the field offices identified, based on existing information, the allotments that they thought contained areas not meeting the fallback standards, as identified in the regulations. The following table lists the allotments in this category. For these allotments where the standards are not being met, management actions will be implemented to correct the situation prior to the next grazing season turn-out period for the allotment.

Each field manager will determine the priorities for initiating needed management changes for Category 1 allotments based on ecosystem position, resource risk, biological values, legal requirements, and social/economic considerations. Grazing permittees/lessees, interested publics (per 43 CFR 4100 definition),¹ and other appropriate agencies will be provided opportunities to review resource conditions and monitoring information, participate in the evaluation of the information, and provide recommendations for management remedies. The BLM will meet NEPA process requirements for any proposed changes in management. Final determinations of the needed management remedies will be reflected as terms and conditions to the permit or lease either developed through agreement with all interested parties or, if necessary, through the issuance of full force and effect decisions.² Resource Advisory Councils and other affected interests will be consulted in the development, revision, or termination of allotment management plans or equivalent planning that is also involved in making these determinations. Again, changes in management to make significant progress towards meeting the standards must be initiated by the start of the next grazing season.

For those allotments where existing data is insufficient to assess all standards or all areas of an allotment, a rangeland health assessment will be conducted and documented, verifying why the standard(s) is not met. This will be an on-the-ground qualitative assessment (PFC for riparian areas and Qualitative Procedure to Assess Rangeland Health for Uplands; see Appendix 25) as needed for each of these allotments, to further verify rangeland health conditions. This information may cause further adjustments in determinations and/or management actions.

Monitoring will be conducted to evaluate the progress towards improving rangeland health and to evaluate the success of the specific management measures applied.

¹ Interested public means an individual, group or organization that has submitted a written request to the authorized officer to be provided an opportunity to be involved in the decision-making process for the management of livestock grazing on specific grazing allotments or has submitted written comments to the authorized officer regarding the management of livestock grazing on a specific allotment. (43 CFR 4100.0-5)

² In most situations where an agreement is not achievable or cannot be made timely, a proposed decision would be issued (this proposed decision is protestable), and then a final decision developed. (Provisions are available for requesting a stay of a final decision.)

TABLE 1 -- CATEGORY 1 ALLOTMENTS		
Allotment Name	Field Office	Standard(s) Not Met^a
Goldpan Canyon	Caliente	Riparian, WQ
North Fork River	Caliente	Habitat, Riparian, WQ
Studhorse Canyon	Caliente	Riparian
Chico Martinez	Caliente	Habitat
Western Min. RD.	Caliente	Habitat
Maricopa	Caliente	Habitat
Canebrake	Caliente	Riparian
Case Mountain	Caliente	Riparian, WQ
Freedom Hill	Caliente	Soils, Riparian
Short Canyon	Caliente	Habitat
Washburn Ranch	Caliente	Riparian
Loco Bill Canyon	Caliente	Soils, Habitat, Riparian
Sand Canyon	Caliente	Riparian
Lorraine	Caliente	Riparian, WQ
Walker Pass West	Caliente	Riparian
Spanish Needle	Caliente	Riparian
Oak Grove	Caliente	Riparian, WQ
Aurora Canyon	Bishop	Riparian, WQ, Habitat
Bodie Mountain	Bishop	Soils, Riparian, WQ
Potato Peak	Bishop	Riparian
Hot Creek	Bishop	WQ, Habitat
Wilfred Creek	Bishop	Habitat
Wells Meadow	Bishop	Habitat
Travertine Hills	Bishop	Riparian, WQ
Sawmill Creek	Bishop	Riparian, WQ
Laws	Bishop	Riparian, WQ
Bigelow	Folsom	Habitat
Appling Estate	Folsom	Riparian, Habitat

TABLE 1 -- CATEGORY 1 ALLOTMENTS		
Allotment Name	Field Office	Standard(s) Not Met^a
Rapini	Folsom	Riparian, Habitat
Gorham Ranch	Hollister	Soils
Silver Creek	Hollister	Soils
Gates	Hollister	Habitat
Upper Los Gatos Cr	Hollister	Habitat
Diamond A	Hollister	Habitat
Kreyanhagen	Hollister	Habitat
Salt Creek	Clear Lake	Habitat
Dutch Gulch	Redding	Habitat
McDonald Mountain	Alturas	Habitat
South McDonald	Alturas	Habitat
West Beaver Creek	Alturas	Riparian
South Horse Lake	Eagle Lake	Riparian, Habitat
Observation	Eagle Lake	Riparian
North Fort Sage	Eagle Lake	Riparian
Tablelands	Eagle Lake	Soils, Riparian, Habitat (Medusahead)
Spanish Springs AMP	Eagle Lake	Habitat
Rowland	Eagle Lake	Habitat, Soils
Shaffer	Eagle Lake	Riparian
Twin Peaks	Eagle Lake	Soils, Riparian, Habitat
Chilcoot	Eagle Lake	Habitat, Soils
North Horse Lake	Eagle Lake	Riparian
Deep Cut	Eagle Lake	Soils, Riparian, Habitat
Pitchfork	Eagle Lake	Habitat, Soils
Slate Creek	Eagle Lake	Riparian
Cottonwood	Eagle Lake	Riparian
Nevada Cowhead	Surprise	Habitat, Riparian
Sand Creek	Surprise	Riparian

TABLE 1 -- CATEGORY 1 ALLOTMENTS		
Allotment Name	Field Office	Standard(s) Not Met^a
Selic-Alaska	Surprise	Riparian, Habitat
Tuledad	Surprise	Riparian, Habitat
Wall Canyon West	Surprise	Riparian, Habitat

^a These standards are based on the primary indicator topics for rangeland health: soils (including soil erosion), riparian and wetlands function, water quality, and habitat (including healthy plant communities and healthy animal habitats).

CATEGORY 2 ALLOTMENTS

Based on monitoring information a number of allotments meet all the standards or are making significant progress towards meeting the standards to sustain rangeland health. Periodic monitoring will reveal if the standards are continuing to be met or are making significant progress towards being met. If not, this determination will trigger further rangeland health assessments and evaluation for the areas of concern. (See the monitoring section for further information on monitoring techniques and practices.) The following table identifies the allotments currently within this category.

TABLE 2 - CATEGORY 2 ALLOTMENTS

Field Office	Allotment Names		
Caliente	Painted Rock Saucito Ranch Phelan Temblor Creek Buena Vista Creek Sulphur Canyon Santa Barbara Cyn. Round Mt. Road Packwood-Fran. Kelso Peak Nellie's Nipple Havilah Basin Washburn Cove Power Line Road Scodie Meadow Kennedy Lamont Badger Creek Rancheria Creek Horn Mountain Rankin Ranch Sacatar Meadows Eagle Nest Peak Dry Creek Johns Peak	KLC Temblor-Caliente Oilfield Road North Temblor Frazer Valley Derby Acres Thompson Ridge Santiago Creek Bluestone Ridge Airport Cyrus Canyon Wagy Flat Cienaga Canyon Devils Gulch Bald Eagle Peak Cuyama 2 Santa Rita West Klipstein Rio Bravo Mountain Creek Cooks Peak Progress Gulch Milk Ranch Peak East Klipstein	Goodwin Ranch Carrizo Ranch Naval Pet. Res. 1 Oil Field Bear Valley Selby Ranch Willow Spring Cyn. Santa Teresa Chimineas Ranch Smith Canyon Cholla Canyon Burnt Point Surp. Arroyo Red Mountain Long Valley Freeborn Mt. McKittrick Smt. Hubbard Hill Chimney Rock Liveoak Canyon Bodfish McVan Oil Field Paso Robles Rancheria
Bishop	Volcanic Tablelands Owens Valley Com. Shannon-Baker Ck. Marble Creek Black Lake Tinemaha Symons Ash Creek Tobacco Flat West Santa Rita Lone Tree West Reservoir Rancheria Gulch Aristo Ranch Mono Sand Flat Walters Ranch Casa Diablo	Zurich West Crater Mnt. Black Mine Mathieu Chalfant Valley Granite Mountain Bramlette Chalk Bluff Alabama Hills Aberdeen Mono Lake Copper Mountain Dry Canyon Slinkard Valley Potato Peak E. Crater Mountain George Creek	Owens Valley Little Round Valley Hammil Valley Adobe Valley Poleta Canyon Adobe Lake Jeffery Long Valley Red Mountain Poverty Hills Mono Mills Dog Creek Koenig Ranch Little Mormon Green Creek Blind Springs Mount Biedeman

TABLE 2 - CATEGORY 2 ALLOTMENTS

Field Office	Allotment Names		
Hollister	Birdwell Ortiz Estate Mercy White House Butts Estate Perry Diaz Frusetta Ranch Chular Willow Spring Roth Taylor Boynnton Laguna Creek Cievo Hills Folks Bucks & Cedar Rail Cattle Dosados Panoche Hills Wolfenberger Hernandez South Chalone Boekenooogan Mine Creek	Jack Harris Juniper Ridge Los Banos Akers Echo Canyon Aurignac Lewis Flat Frusetta Bee Canyon Rena Creek Schmidt Walker Peak Cole Gravelly Flat DePavo Westphal Indian Valley Ortialita Jacalitos Ranch Squire Adobe East Little Panoche Alcade Mine Canyon	Lasgoity Zwang Cal-West Burnett Brothers La Gloria Pine Rock Freeman Romero Ranch Draghi Alcade Canyon Thomason Ardans Molina Canyon Castle Mountain Little Panoche Lamacchia Quarter Circle Joaquin Rocks Moreno Gulch Williamson Bar-B Goat Mountain Mueller Grassy Canyon
Arcata	Strawberry Rock Spanish Flat Big Butte	Windy Point Pepper Gap Lake Mountain	HJ Ridge Willis Ridge Jewett Creek
Clear Lake	Salt Lick Canyon Perkins Creek 5615 Ritcher Lease	Cement Creek Perkins Creek 5602 Green Valley	Jericho Valley Scotts Valley
Redding	Messner/Limekiln L&L Cattle C. R1 Paynes Creek Henke Clear Ck. Pasture Paynes Ck/Sac. R. Lemos Furtado Salt Springs Bullskin	Inks Creek Costa Fuglistaler Novy Graves Big Bluff Tuscan Rickert Hornbrook Hitchings	Fiock Digger Creek Gray Orwick #1 Table Mountain Liston Battle Creek Kerns Long Ranch

TABLE 2 - CATEGORY 2 ALLOTMENTS

Field Office	Allotment Names		
Alturas	Tule Mountain Pine Creek Mesa South Graves Portuguese Flat Crowder Much River Silva Flat Rocky Prairie Moon Spring Mahogany Mnt. Coyote Ridge Big Tablelands West Field Corbie Field Cutoff Christopher Brunnermer Russell Porter Reservoir Indian Peak Bend Daisey Dean Crabtree Deep Canyon Clarks Valley Peterson Ranch Cinder Pit Mt. Hebron Starvation Gulch W. Mahogany	North Tablelands East Field N. Graves/McKey Strip Dixie Valley Cold Springs Said Valley Six Mile Hill Hogback ridge Rimrock S. Red Rock Lake Bloody Point Fitzhugh Field Dervin Field Flourney Individual Cloud Perry Sterns Loomis North Juniper Plantation Cramer Coffin Warm Springs West Coyote Cayton Crystal Lake Four Corners West Dome Cayuse Mountain	South Tablelands Mithcell Hill Wside Bailey/Dorris Russel Slough Bald Mnt./River Nelson Corral Dry Cow Archgate N. Red Rock Lake Ryegrass Swale Modoc Gulch Windmill Pine Creek Field West Fitzhugh Stevens Roberts Creek Prock Browns Field South Juniper Babcock Dixon Hill Marr Hall Field Wing Day Popcorn Cave Contad Saddle Mountain Lava Flow
Eagle Lake	E. Bald Mountain Shinn Peak Spanish Spgs. Ind. N. Bailey Creek Wood Snowstorm Willow Creek Delleria Ind. McPherrin Walton Hansen Coffin	Barron Twin Buttes Buffam/Met. Ravendale Buck's Bay Walsh Mountain Round Valley Ramelli Mello Canyon Said Valley Stone Bonta	S. Fort Sage Winter Range Williams Erick Crest Rice Canyon Willow Ck. Grade Steffan Alpers Dry Valley Humphrey

TABLE 2 - CATEGORY 2 ALLOTMENTS			
Field Office	Allotment Names		
Surprise	Alkali Lake	Bicondoa	Bitner
	Bull Creek	Calcutta	Corral
	Crooks Lake	East	Little Basin
	Long Valley	Lower Lake	Massacre Lakes
	Massacre Mtn.	Mosquito Valley	North Larkspur
	Nut Mountain	South Larkspur	West
	12 Mile	Latirogoyen	North Cowhead

CATEGORY 3 ALLOTMENTS

A determination has not yet been made of the rangeland health condition for these allotments and whether they meet all the standards for rangeland health. This is either due to insufficient information or an indication by the field manager that further assessment of the cause is needed in order to finalize a determination. Rangeland health assessments are planned to be completed for all allotments within the next five years. The initial scheduling for the assessments will be made using the BLM I,M,C priority management system. Where concerns have been brought to BLM's attention that a rangeland health problem may exist on a particular allotment, then those areas will be of highest priority for assessment.

A qualitative procedure will be used to determine rangeland health conditions, using the established Proper Functioning Condition Technique (TR 1737-9 and 11) for riparian/wetland areas and the Qualitative Procedure to Assess Rangeland Health for Uplands (Appendix 25). This latter technique has been tested in some areas, but may need further modification and refinement after trying it in California's diverse environments. Additionally, some of the current procedures may have to be adapted in situations where relict or pristine areas are not readily available for comparing information. Further refinement may also be needed to adequately assess rangeland health conditions for the annual grassland vegetative type. These techniques may also be applicable for any re-assessments or refined assessments for those allotments currently identified in the other categories.

The following table identifies those allotments needing further assessment, which will be completed within the next five years.

TABLE 3 - CATEGORY 3 ALLOTMENTS

Field Office	Allotment Name		
Caliente	Catskin Cottonwood Drainage Bitterwater Valley Red Hill Curtis Mountain Fay Canyon S. Comb Rocks	Blossom Peak Live Oak Pass Mankins Creek Raven Pass Cedar Canyon Lynch Canyon Bitter Ck. Drg.	Pleito Elephant Back N. Comb Rocks South Mountain San Emigdio Sulphur Ridge
Folsom	Thomason Gardella Hope Burgess Tait Ford Banks Van Allen Dragmanovich Hendley Bacchi Ranch Stembridge Millhouse Boys Rnch White Dunlap, A. Del Orto Porteous Campbell Wooster Haigh Santo Domingo Ranch	Meyer Gorgas Visher Turpin Toppins Newton & Sons Bordenave Crook Solari Williams Bacchi Valley Thompson Morales Oneto Dunlap, C. Cuneo, E. Sanders Dutil Sardella Filberti	Landes Giusto Griffith Ortiz Fehr Ritts Fraguero Gann Rabon Valley Munn Whittle Robinson Reed Oneto Partnership Hertlein Ranch Cuneo, M. Himan Chatom O'Day Engler
Hollister	Fort Ord Ashurt Ranch		
Clear Lake	Maxwell Creek Ladoga	Apple Tree Feliz Creek	Rocky Ridge Eams Lease
Redding	Aldridge Meissner	Hathaway J. Taylor	Hayden
Eagle Lake	West Fort Sage Satica Harrison	Jacks Valley Evans Magee	Ulch Dotta

TABLE 3 - CATEGORY 3 ALLOTMENTS			
Field Office	Allotment Name		
Alturas	Highway Kelly Stevens XL Hughes Clark Haury Majors North Dibble Radio Hill Round Valley Monchamp Butte Creek Roberts Individual Avery Hencraft Summit W. Bloody Point North Sheepy Barntop Hagge	Bacon Blacks Canyon Stull Gardner Thoms Creek Eicholz Hitchens Knudson Harper Hill Reclamation Howell Canyon Meyers Piper Pilot Butte Lower Highway Brockman West Sheep Mtn. Bryant Mountain Lower Lake South Sheepy	Fisher SX Hastings Lakeshore Chase Valley Turner Canyon Hayes Canyon Dibble Hill Barber Canyon South Barber Mamath Barrows Roberts Reservoir Big Valley Mtn. Fillman-Diablo Dry Valley Rattlesnake Butte Loveness West Panhandle Red Rock Valley
Surprise	Bare Denio Bally Mountain East Bally Granger Horse Lake Scammon Upper Sand Creek Red Rock Lake	Board Corral Duck Lake Bidwell Fandango Gravelly McCulley South Bidwell Warner Valley Wall Canyon East	Boggs Homecamp Buck Mountain Goose Creek Highway Ninemile Upper Lake Nevada Coleman

CATEGORY 4 ALLOTMENTS

This category includes allotments in which one or more of the standards are not met due to causes other than (or in addition to) current livestock grazing activities. In some instances the cause may be land use type activities other than grazing, such as mining, road construction, forest practices, recreation vehicle use, etc., or to natural causes such as infestation of noxious weeds, unnatural invasions of less desirable vegetation due to wildfire (or lack thereof) or unusual flood events. Where grazing is also a cause for not meeting a standard(s) in any one of these allotments, it is included in Category 1 in addition to this category.

An attempt has been made to identify these causes so that future management actions may be considered. Causes related to natural phenomena such as geomorphologic influences to water quality or establishment of natural vegetative communities following fires (such as chaparral establishment in the Mediterranean bioregion) have not been included.

The following table lists the allotments in this category and, where known, identifies the probable causes for not meeting standards.

TABLE 4 -- CATEGORY 4 ALLOTMENTS			
Field Office	Allotment Name	Standard(s) Not Met	Cause
Caliente	Kettleman Hills	Habitat	Fire
	N. Naval Pet. Res.	Habitat	Fire
	Old Arroyo	Habitat	Fire
	Arroyo Hondo	Habitat	Fire
	Goldpan Canyon	Riparian, WQ	Roads
	North Fork River	Habitat, Riparian, WQ	Recreation*
	Lorraine	Riparian, WQ	Roads
Bishop	Laws	Soil, Habitat	Water Diversion*
	Wells Meadow	Soil, Riparian, WQ	Deer Use*
	Mormon Ranch	Soil, Riparian, Habitat	Road
Arcata	Travis Ranch	Habitat	Weeds
	Horse Pasture Ridge (unallotted)	Habitat	Weeds
Clear Lake	Salt Creek	Habitat	Weeds*
Redding	McKay	Soils, Habitat	Wild Horses
	Krusp	Riparian	Mining
	Coonrod	Habitat	Weeds
Alturas	North Ash Valley	Habitat	Fire**
	South Ash Valley	Habitat	Fire**
	South Fork	Habitat	Fire**
	Thompson	Habitat	Fire**
Eagle Lake	Observation	Riparian, Habitat	Wild Horses*
	Tablelands	Soils, Riparian, WQ, Habitat	Weeds*
	Twin Peaks	Soils, Riparian, Habitat	Wild Horses,* Weeds*
	Deep Cut	Soils, Riparian, Habitat	Weeds*
Surprise	Tuledad	Riparian, Habitat	Wild Horses* Fire**
	Red Rock Lake	Habitat	Wild Horses*

* Livestock grazing is also a causal influence.

** Undesirable vegetation invasion is attributed to excessive wildfire prevention or fire suppression.

APPLICATION OF GUIDELINES

Once the guidelines are approved by the Secretary of the Interior, they will be applicable to the management of livestock grazing on all allotments not meeting the health standards; some guidelines will be applicable regardless of the specific rangeland health condition. Many of the guidelines are designed to help protect and sustain rangeland health and are not intended to be applied only to remedy problems. Many of the guidelines will need to be more specifically identified and then applied as terms and conditions of a permit or lease, based upon the specific needs for meeting rangeland health standards. There will also be instances where specific terms and conditions to grazing use authorizations will be applied for reasons other than those directly related to rangeland health, such as to accommodate other resource needs and land uses or to meet administrative requirements. Examples of this may include protecting cultural resource sites, requiring a specific breed of livestock to be used that is compatible with the needs of other permittees or lessees using the same allotment, or for meeting various regulatory requirements for grazing administration purposes. In some instances, existing terms and conditions will be carried over from previously made plans and commitments, such as those identified in allotment management plans or coordinated management plans. In these instances, the applications may or may not be related to rangeland health needs.

The preferred alternative contains utilization, residue, and stubble height guidelines that apply to all allotments that do not meet the standards and are not making significant progress toward meeting the standards (and for which lower utilization levels or higher levels of stubble or residue would be expected to help move these allotments toward the standards). These guidelines will apply unless and until Field Offices develop site-specific utilization, residue, and stubble height guidelines. Until the standards are achieved or significant progress toward meeting the standards is being made, site-specific guidelines can be more but not less restrictive than those in these guidelines. Determination of whether the statewide guidelines or site-specific guidelines are most appropriate will be made prior to the start of the next grazing season following the approval of these standards and guidelines.

In developing the guidelines, much attention has been given to prescriptions relative to maximum grazing use levels. While these parameters are needed and are effective in restoring and sustaining rangeland health, other measures as portrayed in the guidelines must also be considered and implemented where and when appropriate. Often a combination of different measures will be necessary for effective results.

Any terms or conditions specified for a permit or lease, must be consistent with and support appropriate BLM land use plans or other land use plans applicable to the public lands (such as the Northwest Forest Plan and PacFish). BLM will also adhere to requirements such as those identified as terms or conditions from a biological opinion for protecting the habitat of a plant or animal under the Endangered Species Act.

Terms and conditions will be applied to grazing permits, leases, or other grazing authorizations as the authorized officer (field manager) determines the need. The determination of what terms and conditions will be applied will be made in consultation with the respective permittees/lessees and other interested parties involved in the particular allotment. The same process will be used for making needed changes to any existing terms and conditions. Information from assessments and evaluations of monitoring data will be used to determine the management changes needed. Alternative management changes will be

considered and evaluated through the NEPA process prior to making final determinations. It is anticipated that in most instances, the terms and conditions will be identified cooperatively and be agreed upon by the affected permittee/lessee and all interested parties. Where an agreement cannot be reached, then a formal decision (which is appealable) will be issued.

MAINTENANCE OF LAND USE PLANS

The Record of Decision for this EIS will amend the land use plans identified in Appendix 4, as well as allotment management and other activity level plans, to include the standards and guidelines adopted through the EIS process. The standards and guidelines will become effective immediately upon approval by the Secretary of the Interior and will be incorporated into the Plans at that time. Where there are plan decisions that are contrary to the new regulations, the principles of rangeland health and the standards and guidelines, those decisions will be deleted from the plans or amended to comply.

Each Field Office will make the physical changes to their land use plans prior to next grazing season. As this is merely plan maintenance, further NEPA analysis will not be necessary to complete this administrative action.

REPORTING PROGRESS IN RANGELAND HEALTH ACHIEVEMENTS

Rangeland health conditions will be reported annually for each grazing allotment. This information will include the determinations of rangeland health conditions through assessments and monitoring and the progress made towards meeting rangeland health standards. Specifically, for each allotment an identification will be made of what standards, if any, are not met or where significant progress is not being made toward meeting the standard; the magnitude of the standard not being met, such as acres, miles of stream, number of sites, etc.; what progress has been made regarding determining and implementing needed management changes; and the results of making the management changes as determined from monitoring information. Additionally, any changes in the management categories of the allotments will be identified and an explanation of the reasons for the change will be made.

The above information will be gathered at the field office which administers the respective allotment(s). A summary of this information will be consolidated for all of the allotments within the EIS area and made available to the public annually.

Throughout all processes the public is encouraged to participate in the identification of rangeland health conditions, developing management remedies, monitoring results and reviewing progress towards achieving rangeland health standards.

BLM FIELD OFFICES

There are currently 10 Field Offices located within the EIS area. The Field Managers within these offices are responsible for implementing the rangeland health standards and applying the guidelines for livestock grazing to the grazing allotments within their area of jurisdiction. Specific information regarding the administration and management of any grazing allotment is

recorded and available for review at the respective Field Office. The following is a list of Field Offices with the most current address and phone numbers:

Alturas Field Office
708 W. 12th Street
Alturas, California 96101
(530) 233-4666

Eagle Lake Field Office
2950 Riverside Drive
Susanville, California 96130
(530) 257-0456

Arcata Field Office
1695 Heindon Road
Arcata, California 95521-4573
(707) 825-2300

Folsom Field Office
63 Natoma Drive
Folsom, California 95630
(916) 985-4474

Bakersfield Field Office
3801 Pegasus Avenue
Bakersfield, California 93308
(805) 391-6000

Hollister Field Office
20 Hamilton Court
Hollister, California 95023
(408) 630-5000

Bishop Field Office
785 North Main St., Suite E
Bishop, California 93514
(760) 872-4881

Redding Field Office
355 Hemstead Drive
Redding, California 96002
(530) 224-2100

Clear Lake Field Office
2550 N. State Street
Ukiah, California 95842
(707) 468-4000

Surprise Field Office
P.O. Box 460
602 Cressler Street
Ceaderville, California 96104
(530) 279-6101