

**Cultural Competence Standards
in Managed Care
Mental Health Services for Four
Underserved/Underrepresented
Racial/Ethnic Groups**

November 1998

Center for Mental Health Services
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

This document was prepared by the authors through the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE) Mental Health Program under Purchase Order No. 97MO4762401D with the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), Division of Special Programs Development and Special Populations, Special Program and Development Branch, U.S. Department of Health and Human Service (DHHS). Harriet G. McCombs, Ph.D., served as Project Officer.

The opinions expressed herein are the views of the Consensus Panel members and do not reflect the official position of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). No official support or endorsement of CMHS or DHHS for these standards and guidelines that may be described in this document is intended or should be inferred. The guidelines in this documents should not be considered substitutes for local assessment, planning and program development.

November 1998
Center for Mental Health Services
Rockville, Maryland 20857

Table of Contents

	Page
Preface	v
Cultural Competence Standards in Mental Health Panel Members, Project Officers, and Sponsor	vii
Introduction	1
I. Guiding Principles	17
II. Overall System Standards and Implementation Guidelines	23
Cultural Competence Planning	25
Governance	28
Benefit Design	31
Prevention, Education, and Outreach	34
Quality Monitoring and Improvement	36
Decision Support and Management Information Systems	39
Human Resource Development	41
III. Clinical Standards and Implementation Guidelines	43
Access and Service Authorization.....	45
Triage and Assessment	48
Care Planning	50
Plan of Treatment	52
Treatment Services	55
Discharge Planning	58
Case Management	60

	Page
Communication Styles and Cross-cultural	
Linguistic and Communication Support	63
Self Help	66
IV. Provider Competencies	67
Knowledge, Understanding, Skills, and Attitudes	69
V. Glossary	75

Preface

This document was developed as the result of the separate and joint work of four national panels which were formed to develop cultural competency standards in mental health services for four racial/ethnic groups. A national panel had been created of Latino mental health professionals, families, and consumers to develop cultural competence standards for Latino populations. This panel was sponsored by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE) Mental Health Program. Similarly, a panel to develop standards for African Americans was established separately under the auspices of the University of Pennsylvania. In October 1996, WICHE helped establish two panels, one for Native American/Alaska Natives, and the other for Asian/Pacific Islander Americans. Each panel reviewed the literature about mental health research and services pertaining to their particular racial/ethnic group. During this process, the four national panels began sharing materials and ideas. They met together in Washington, D.C. in June 1997 to reach a consensus about core cultural competence standards applicable to all four groups.

Cultural Competence Standards in Managed Care Mental Health Services for Four Underserved/Underrepresented Racial/Ethnic Groups was developed by the co-chairs and selected members of the four national racial/ethnic panels with the recognition that in order to provide individualized mental health services consumers shall be viewed within the context of their cultural group and their experiences from being part of that group. (Panel chairs, members, project officers, and WICHE staff are listed after this preface.)

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, both mandate accessibility to programs and the facilities at which services are dispensed. It is therefore critical for public and private agencies to be staffed with culturally competent and appropriately qualified bicultural and bilingual personnel. To help meet this requirement, co-chairs and selected members of the four racial/ethnic panels (hereafter Four National Panels) recommend that cultural competence training be provided to *all* direct care staff and those with management responsibilities.

In this document, individuals from "four underserved/underrepresented racial/ethnic groups," and populations of "four underserved/underrepresented racial/ethnic groups," designate people from the following four racial/ethnic groups: African American; Asian/Pacific Islander; Latino/Hispanic; and Native American/American Indian/Native Alaskan/Native Hawaiian. More detailed information about the sub-groups making up each of the four racial/ethnic groups is given in the Introduction. These groups have been identified as underserved. Furthermore, they are underrepresented in the administrative, service provider, research, and education mental health workforce.

Several groups are known by more than one commonly used term, e.g., African American and Black are used interchangeably, as are Native American and American Indian, and Latino and Hispanic. Whereas each group includes various subgroups, only two include subgroups in their formal designation: Native American, Alaskan Native, and Native Hawaiian; and Asian and Pacific Islander. To avoid wordiness, the shorter term "the four groups" is used when referring to these four

racial/ethnic groups.

Although individuals within each of these groups share the same broad race/ethnicity, any particular person's cultural identity may involve the following factors, among others: race, ethnicity, language, country of origin, acculturation, gender, age, class, religious/spiritual beliefs, sexual orientation, and physical disabilities.

A second document developed by the co-chairs and selected members of the Four National Panels is an *Implementation Plan* for implementing the above-mentioned *Standards*. The *Implementation Plan* complements the *Standards*, addressing specific initiatives that would facilitate successful implementation of the *Standards*, such as personnel hiring and management, marketing plans for building community partnerships, funding strategies, and quality monitoring and improvement. Members of the Four National Panels believe that a critical element in implementing these *Standards* is having culturally competent racial/ethnic staff and consultants with expertise in cultural competency. Co-chairs and members of the Four National Panels are available to provide services to anyone interested in their expertise in planning or implementing any aspect of the *Standards*.

The development of *Cultural Competence Standards in Managed Care Mental Health Services for Four Underserved/Underrepresented Racial/Ethnic Groups* was developed through a contract with the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE), Mental Health Program and funded by the Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. This project is part of the CMHS sponsored Managed Care and Workforce Training Initiative coordinated by the Center for Mental Health Policy and Services Research at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine.

For further information about the *Standards*, contact Harriet G. McCombs, Ph.D., CMHS, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 18C-07, Rockville, MD 20857 (301) 443-7790.

Cultural Competence Standards in Mental Health Panel Members, Project Officers, and Sponsor

African American Panel

Chair

King Davis, Ph.D.
Professor, School of Social Work
Virginia Commonwealth University
1001 West Franklin
Richmond, VA 23284

Olivia Garland, M.P.A.
Director, Managed Behavioral Health Care
Trigon Blue Cross
2221 Ed Holland Drive
Mail Drop 44-E
Richmond, VA 23230

Members

Marian Brown, M.S.W.
Vice President for Quality Assurance
Options Mental Health Corp.
240 Corporate Boulevard
Norfolk, VA 23502

Mareasa Issacs-Shockley, Ph.D.
2262 Hall Place, NW, Suite 204
Washington, DC 20007

Frances M. Christian, Ph.D.
Department of Psychiatry
Virginia Commonwealth University
PO Box 980710
Randolph Minor Annex, 3rd Floor
Richmond, VA 23298-0253

Linda James-Myers, Ph.D.
Black Studies Department
Ohio State University
University Hall, Room 484
230 North Oval Mall
Columbus, OH 43210

Jacqui Ennis, M.P.A.
Department of Health Administration
Virginia Commonwealth University
P.O. Box 980203
Richmond, VA 23226

Delores Macey, Ph.D.
Director, Cultural Action Program
Sout Carolina Department of Mental Health
2414 Bull Street
Columbia, SC 29202

Ronald O. Forbes, M.D.
Selman & Associates
Richmond, VA

Napoleon Peoples, Ph.D.
Coordinator of Multi-Cultural Training
University Counseling Services
907 Floyd Avenue, Box 842525
Virginia Commonwealth University
Richmond, VA 23284-2525

Hikmah Gardiner
Mental Health Association of
Southeast Pennsylvania
1211 Chestnut Street, 11th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Vernellia R. Randall, J.D., R.N.
School of Law
University of Dayton
300 College Park
Dayton, OH 45469-1320

Altha Stewart, M.D.
Vice President and C.O.O.
AMOORE Health Systems, Inc.
570 West DeKalb Pike
Building 4, Suite 116
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Cheryl Taylor, Ph.D., R.N.
School of Nursing
North Carolina State University
1601 East Market Street
Greensboro, NC 27411

JoAnn Thomas-Wilson, Ph.D.
Director, Psychological Services
Virginia State University
Box 82
Petersburg, VA 23803

Wilma Townsend, M.S.W.
Chief, Office of Consumer Services
Ohio Department of Mental Health
30 East Broad Street, Room 1180
Columbus, OH 43215

Jean Turner, Ph.D., R.N.
School of Nursing
McCleod Hall
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, VA 22903-3395

Ivan Walks, M.D.
Associate National Medical Director
Options Mental Health
240 Corporate Boulevard
Norfolk, VA 23502

Edilma Yearwood
Child Day Hospital
New York Hospital-Cornell Medical Center
21 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, NY 10605

Asian American & Pacific Islander Panel

Co-Chairs

Evelyn Lee, Ed.D.
RAMS Clinic
3626 Balboa Avenue
San Francisco CA 94117

Stanley Sue, Ph.D.
National Research Center for Asian
American Mental Health
Department of Psychology
Young Hall
University of California, Davis
Davis, CA 95616

Members

Bart Aoki, Ph.D.
Clinical Director
Asian American Recovery Services
785 Market Street, 10th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Jean Lau Chin, Ed.D.
Executive Director
South Cove Community Health Center
145 South Street
Boston, MA 02111

James Chou, M.D.
New York Coalition for Asian-American
Mental Health
Nathan Kline Institute
140 Old Orangeburg Road
Orangeburg, NY 10962

Sandy Fong
Former President
Chinese Family Alliance of the Mentally Ill
1130A Clay Street
San Francisco, CA 94108

Tessie Gullermo, M.S.
Executive Director
Asian & Pacific Islander American
Health Forum
116 New Montgomery Street, #531
San Francisco, CA 94150

Larke Huang, Ph.D.
Consultant
CASSP Technical Assistance Center
Center for Child Health and
Mental Health Policy
Georgetown University
Child Development Center
9012 Mistwood Drive
Potomac, MD 20854

Frank Kim, Ph.D.
Clinical Director
Asian/Pacific Center for Human
Development
1825 York Street
Denver, CO 80206

Jennifer Abe-Kim
Department of Psychology
Loyola Marymount University
Loyola Boulevard at West 80th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90045

Luke Kim
National Research Center on Asian
American Mental Health
Department of Psychology
University of California, Davis
Davis, CA 95616

Karen Kurasaki
National Research Center on Asian
American Mental Health
Department of Psychology
University of California, Davis
Davis, CA 95616

Ford Kuramoto, D.S.W.
1887 Maplegate Street
Monterey Park, CA 91755

Paul Leung, M.D.
Associate Professor, Psychiatry Department
Oregon Health Sciences University
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road
Portland, OR 97201

May Lorenzo Ph.D.
Private Practice
72 Kneeland Street, Suite 204
Boston, MA 02111

Francis Lu, M.D.
Professor, Department of Psychiatry
San Francisco General Hospital
University of California
San Francisco, CA 94110

Julie Oliveria, M.S.W., L.S.W.
Adult Service/Director
Waranae Coast Community Mental
Health Center, Inc.
85-670 Farrington Highway
Waranae, HI 96792

Walter Phillips, L.O.S.W.
Director of Mental Health Program
Union of Pan Asian Communities
1031 - 25th Street
San Diego, CA 92102

Steve Shon, M.D.
Medical Director
Texas Department of Mental Health
and Mental Retardation
P.O. Box 12668
Austin, TX 78711

Shobha Sninivasan
National Research Center on
Asian American Mental Health
Department of Psychology
University of California, Davis
Davis, CA 95616

Chong Suh, Ph.D.
Director, Asian/Pacific Counseling Center
3550 West 6th Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90020

Rieko True, Ph.D.
Former Deputy Director of Health for
Mental Health and Substance Abuse
City and County of San Francisco
5326 Silva Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103

Tina Yee, Ph.D.
Associate Director, Cultural Competence
and Consumer Relations
Division of Mental Health
City and County of San Francisco
1380 Howard Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Latino Panel

Co-Chairs

Hank Balderrama, M.S.W.
Mental Health Division
Washington Department of Social
and Health Services
P.O. Box 45320
Olympia, WA 98504-5320

Andres Pumariega, M.D.
Professor and Chair,
Department of Psychiatry and
Behavioral Science
James H. Quillen College of Medicine
107 Hillrise Hall
PO Box 70567
East Tennessee State University
Johnson City, TN 37614

Members

Carmen Acosta-Johnson, Ph.D.
Family Advocate
P.O. Box 1687
Taos, NM 87571

Rose Garduno, M.S.W., P/MHNP
8125 SW Ruby Terrace
Portland, OR 97219

Sara Gonzalez
Family Advocate
Nueces County Mental Health/
Mental Retardation Center,
Youth Services
1546 South Brownlee
Corpus Christi, TX 78404

Pablo Hernandez, M.D.
Administrator
Wyoming State Hospital and
Wyoming Division of Behavioral Health
P.O. Box 177
Evanston, WY 82931-0177

Floyd H. Martinez, Ph.D.
Multnomah County Department
of Community and Family Services
421 SW 6th, 7th Floor
Portland, OR 97204-1618

Roberto Quiroz, M.S.W.
Executive Director
Mental Health Corporation of Denver
4141 East Dickenson Place
Denver, CO 80222-6060

Gilberto Romero
Customer Relations Consultant
Division of Mental Health
New Mexico Department of Health
P.O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, NM 87502-6110

Josie Romero, L.C.S.W.
JTR & Associates
5253 Edenvale Avenue
San Jose, CA 95136

Javier Saenz, Ph.D.
Counseling Associates
2787 East 4510 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84117

Joseph Torres, Ph.D.
Consultant for Equal Educational
Opportunity
Massachusetts Department of Mental Health
25 Staniford Street
Boston, MA 02114-2575

Native American Panel

Co-Chairs

Candace M. Fleming, Ph.D.
(Kickapoo-Oneida-Cherokee)
National Center for American Indian
and Alaska Native Mental Health Research
NCAIANMHR, UNP A011-13
4455 East 12th Avenue
Denver CO 80220

Jeff King, Ph.D. (Muscogee Creek)
Director, Native American Counseling
and Psychological Consultation
1777 South Bellaire Street, Suite 444
Denver, CO 80222

Members

Naleen Andrade, M.D. (Native Hawaiian)
John A. Burns School of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry,
University of Hawaii at Manoa
1356 Lusitana Street, 4th Floor
Honolulu, HI 96813

Marla Bull Bear (Lakota)
Native American Advocacy Project
P.O. Box 527
Winner, SD 57580

Debbie Carter, M.D.
(African American-Cherokee)
Program for Public Psychiatry
UCHSC Psychiatry, CPH C249-27
4200 East 9th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80262

Marlene Echo Hawk, Ph.D.
(Otoe-Missouria)
Deputy Director, Indian Health Service
Alcoholism and Substance Abuse
Programs Branch
5300 Homestead Road NE
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Anita Ethelbah-Eicke (Santa Clara Tewa)
Project Consultant
Native American Advocacy Project
038 South Santa Clara
Española, NM 87532

Ralph Forquera (American Indian)
Executive Director
Seattle Indian Health Board
611-12 Avenue South, Suite 200
Seattle, Washington 97144-2007

John W. Gastorf, Ph.D. (Osage)
Director, Behavioral Health Services
Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma
PO Box 948
Tahlequah, OK 74465

Joyce Kramer, Ph.D. (Omaha)
Professor, Department of Social Work
220 Bohannon Hall
University of Minnesota at Duluth
Duluth, MN 55812

Teresa LaFromboise, Ph.D.
Professor, Department of Education
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-3096

Ron Morton, Ph.D.
(Cherokee-Creek-Choctaw)
Executive Director
San Diego American Indian Health Center
2561 First Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103

Gordon L. Neligh, M.D.
Director, Programs for Public Psychiatry
UCHSC Psychiatry, CPH C249-27
4200 East 9th Avenue
Denver, CO 80262

Scott Nelson, M.D., M.P.H.
Director, Office of Mental Health and
Social Service Programs
National Headquarters,
Indian Health Service
5300 Homestead Road NE
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Grace Elaine Sage, Ph.D. (Oneida)
PO Box 238
Arlee, MT 59821-0238

Sharyne Shiu-Thorton (Cherokee)
Department of Health Services
University of Washington - Seattle
Seattle, WA 98165

Noelle Yeun, M.D. (Native Hawaiian)
John A. Burns School of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry
University of Hawaii at Manoa
1356 Lusitana Street, 4th Floor
Honolulu, HI 96813

Ben Young, M.D. (Native Hawaiian)
387 Auwinala Road
Kailua, HI 96734

**Center for Mental Health Services
Project Officers**

Harriet G. McCombs, Ph.D.
Public Health Advisor
Special Programs Development Branch
Center for Mental Health Services
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 18C-05
Rockville, MD 20857

Paul Wohlford, Ph.D.
Chief, Human Resources Planning and
Program Development Branch
Center for Mental Health Services
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 15C-18
Rockville, MD 20857

Contracting Organization

A. Marie Sanchez, Project Coordinator
Western Interstate Commission for
Higher Education (WICHE)
Mental Health Program
P.O. Box 9752
Boulder, CO 80301-9752

Introduction

Increasing Diversity of the Consumer Population

America's population is not only growing, it is changing dramatically. Present and projected changes in America's ethnic composition challenge the capabilities of mental health systems. The U. S. population has always been multi-ethnic and its composition in flux (Bogue, 1985; Sutherland, 1966). However, popular awareness of different cultural groups has increased in the last few decades. Based on present population and growth rates, the U. S. Bureau of the Census (1996) predicts that the United States will undergo the population changes described below over the next half century:

Total Population Comprised by Different Groups

(in millions/ percentage of total population)

Populations	1996	2050
Hispanics	27.8 (10.5%)	96.5 (24.5%)
African American	32.0 (12.1%)	53.6 (13.6%)
Asian and Pacific Islander	9.1 (3.4 %)	32.4 (8.2%)
American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut	2.0 (0.7%)	3.5 (0.9%)
White	194.4 (73.3%)	207.9 (52.8%)
Total	265.4 (100%)	393.9 (100%)

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1996. Hispanic can be of any race; data for all other groups are non-Hispanic.

Shifts in ethnic diversity are not just about numbers, but are also about the impact of cultural differences. In relation to mental health systems, new approaches are needed in service delivery to address cultural differences among consumers. It is important to note that culture is not simply determined by ethnicity and a particular set of beliefs, norms, and values. Culture also involves the historical circumstances leading to a group's economic, social, and political status in the social structure. Culture involves the circumstances and experiences associated with developing certain beliefs, norms, and values (Charon, 1995; APA, 1996). More specifically, this is especially true about the socioeconomic and political factors which have a significant impact on a group's or its members' psychological well-being (Muñoz & Sanchez, 1997).

The essential point for mental health providers is that people develop different approaches in response to their life circumstances. Mental health providers are beginning to realize that cultural competence in diversity is an important component in providing effective mental health services. Therefore, it is important that mental health providers are aware of the underlying pattern and history of America's diversity (Muñoz & Sanchez 1997).

People of African Descent

Demographic and Health Profile

Some scholars trace the history of African people in North America to 1619 (Bennett, 1966), while others propose that African people entered the Americas several centuries before that date (Van Sertima, 1976). During the period from 1619 to 1997, the proportion of people of African descent in North America has ranged between 10-19% (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995) of the total population of the United States. In 1790, blacks constituted their largest proportion (19%) of the total U.S. population, and their smallest proportion (9.7%) was recorded in 1930 (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995). The black population of the United States is growing at about 1.3% per year, one of the slowest growth rates of all populations in the country. In 1997, people of African descent numbered close to 33 million or 12.6% of the population in the United States. The majority (52.8%) of the black population in the United States resides in the South (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995), although this figure is considerably lower than in previous decades. The smallest proportion (9.4%) of people of African descent reside in the West.

Between 1619 and 1860, the majority of the people of African descent came to the United States from the western coast of Africa as part of the slave trade that flourished during that era. Since 1860, growth in the population of people of African descent in the United States has come about primarily through births, which have continued to exceed the national family average. Although immigration of people of African descent into the United States has increased over past decades, black African immigrants remain the smallest number of all immigrants to the United States (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995). Immigration of black people in the past decade from Egypt, Ethiopia, Ghana, Nigeria, Haiti, Panama, Jamaica, Trinidad, Barbados, and other Caribbean nations has significantly increased the nationalistic, cultural, religious, and language diversity within the black population in the United States (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995). The terms African American and Black are used interchangeably here to refer to people of African descent.

Historical and current data about the health status of American populations confirms that there are very significant differences in prevalence and incidence of physical and mental health problems between groups based on culture, color, income, and country of origin. Also noted are major differences in help-seeking patterns (Neighbors, 1986). In two special reports (Center for Health Economics Research, 1993; Robert Wood Johnson, 1991), it was noted that people of color, particularly residents of inner cities, showed major disparities in their health status when compared to other populations. The disparities noted in the literature cover the range of disorders from high

neonatal mortality rates per live birth, higher rates of heart and circulatory problems, disproportionate rates of AIDS and related deaths, greater prevalence of chronic conditions, higher rates of edentulism (toothlessness), and higher rates of admissions to psychiatric facilities (Center for Health Economics Research, 1993; Robert Wood Johnson, 1991). The high incidence of substance abuse, physical injuries, and deaths from violence greatly distinguish low income black neighborhoods and communities in terms of potential and actual costs of health care. According to some reports, substance abuse is the most significant health problem in the nation (Institute for Health Policy, 1993). These populations also show lower availability of health insurance and a significantly lower proportion of health professionals within easy access of their neighborhoods.

Historic Patterns of Mental Health Service Use

From the time that state governments decided to provide and finance residential care for the long-term mentally ill, major public policy paradoxes have been raised and debated about race and mental illness (Jarvis, 1844). The first of these paradoxes centers on the incidence and prevalence of severe mental illness in populations of African descent, while the second centers on the extent to which these populations require and consume public and/or proprietary mental health services (Snowden & Cheung, 1990).

A cursory review of the data on admissions to inpatient psychiatric facilities (Manderscheid & Sonnenschein, 1987; Scheffler & Miller, 1989; Snowden & Cheung, 1990) shows disproportionately high rates of admissions by African Americans to all types of inpatient facilities. This data (Manderscheid & Sonnenschein, 1987; Snowden & Cheung, 1990; Snowden & Holschuh, 1992) shows that between 1980 and 1992, the rate of admission for all persons to state hospitals in the United States was approximately 163.6 per 100,000. The rate for whites was 136, while the rate for Hispanics was 146 and the rate for Native Americans and Asians was 142 per 100,000 (Manderscheid & Sonnenschein, 1987). The admission rate to state hospitals for consumers of African descent for that same year was 364.2 per 100,000 population. Admissions to general hospitals with psychiatric units showed similar patterns by race and ethnicity. For the population as a whole the rate per 100,000 was 295.3 per 100,000, while the rate for the white population as a whole was 284.9. The rate during the same period for consumers of African descent admitted to general hospital psychiatric units was 386.6 per 100,000.

While the national mean admission rate to Veterans Administration Hospitals was 70.4 per 100,000, populations of African descent had a rate of 118.2 per 100,000. No other racial or ethnic population had an admission rate to the Veterans' Administration Hospitals that approximated the rate for populations of African descent. When age is examined, the relationship between admissions to psychiatric hospitals and race is more pronounced. For example, the rate of admissions to state psychiatric hospitals for consumers of African descent between the ages of 25-44 was 598 per 100,000, while the national mean was 163.6 (Manderscheid & Sonnenschein, 1987). The most excessive rate found was for consumers of African descent between the ages of 25-44 where 753 per 100,000 were admitted to state psychiatric hospitals (Manderscheid & Sonnenschein, 1987). Although admissions are not indicative of actual prevalence rates in the population, what is shown clearly is an inveterate pattern of service utilization differentiated by race and class.

Data drawn from the National Institute of Mental Health (Manderscheid & Sonnenschein, 1987) showed that blacks were more frequently diagnosed on admission with severe mental illness than other ethnic or racial populations. Admissions of blacks to state mental hospitals showed that 56% of these individuals received a primary diagnosis of schizophrenia, while only 38% of all individuals received a similar diagnosis. Garretson (1993), Flaskerud & Hu (1992), Jones & Gray (1986), and Lawson et al. (1994) conclude that the primary reason for the disproportionate rate of severe mental illness diagnoses are errors made by diagnosticians who are unfamiliar with mental illness as it is manifested in populations of color.

Decades of knowledge in the literature about how populations of African descent consume mental health services show that people of African descent:

- drop out of services at a significantly higher rate than white populations;
- use fewer treatment sessions for their mental health problems than white populations;
- enter mental health treatment services at a later stage in the course of their illness than do white populations;
- under-consume community mental health services of all kinds;
- over-consume inpatient psychiatric care in state hospitals at twice the rate of corresponding white populations;
- are more often misdiagnosed by mental health practitioners than white populations; and
- are more often diagnosed as having a severe mental illness than whites.

Managed Behavioral Health Care and Race: Implications

These data reflect a number of conclusions that may be helpful as the nation sets its course towards managed behavioral health care in the public and private sectors. First, it is clear that under the prior and present systems of care, consumers of African descent with serious mental illness: were and are not served well, diagnoses were found to have been in error, inpatient admission rates were disproportionately high; involuntary admissions were used with great frequency; and the most severe mental illness labels were ascribed at a rate that appears higher than its expected frequency in the population. Of significance as well are the findings of different patterns of help seeking and help utilization on the part of African American populations. Populations of African descent tend to delay seeking help for psychiatric problems (as well as major health problems) from formal health systems until conditions have become more serious or chronic and most other community and familial resources have been exhausted. Consumers of African descent also do not tend to remain engaged in outpatient services or utilize as many service units as other populations, although their diagnoses are more severe. Each of these conclusions portends important clinical and marketing issues for managed behavioral health care processes and values. As new managed care policies and services are being developed there is a greater need to focus more attention on the service issues and dilemmas related to race and severe mental illness. While a key aim of managed care policy and processes is designed to reduce unnecessary services and excessive costs, the role of race and service utilization remains poorly understood.

The paradoxes associated with race and mental illness are likely to impact disproportionately on low income communities of color with the implementation of managed care policies. For managed care to effectively serve consumers of African descent with severe mental illness, there will need to be a significant focus on issues of access, as well as accuracy of diagnosis and quality of treatment. Too often clinical issues are not examined from an ethnic or racial perspective because they do not fit the dominant cultural perspective. Even those professionals who have been educated in urban areas with large concentrations of minority populations may be conditioned to assess consumers using standards and guidelines that are not culturally specific or sensitive. In a behavioral health care environment that seeks to penetrate the market of consumers of African descent, there is a need to establish standards and guidelines for managed care systems, organizations, and providers.

Asian and Pacific Islander People

Who are the Asians and Pacific Islanders?

Asian and Pacific Islanders are often misunderstood to be a homogeneous ethnic group. Unfortunately, failure to make distinctions among the diverse ethnic, cultural, and language groups comprising Asian and Pacific Islanders, and tendencies to generalize their economic, social, and political circumstances, can lead to faulty conclusions about individuals' mental health needs.

Asian Americans are the fastest growing racial/ethnic group in the United States in terms of percentage increase. The American Asian/Pacific Islander population grew 108% from 3,726,440 in 1980 to 7,273,662 in 1990, thus constituting 2.9% of the entire U.S. population according to the 1990 U.S. Census (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1991). Projections are that by the year 2020, the Asian American population will be approximately 20.2 million, or about 8% of the total U.S. population.

Asian Americans are also the most diverse group in terms of ethnic origin, cultural background, immigration history, and acculturation to U.S. culture. For example, Asian Americans comprise at least 31 ethnic groups. Their diverse immigration history spans over 200 years. The earliest immigrants came predominantly as indentured laborers, whereas more recently many Asian/Pacific Islanders have come to the U.S. as refugees escaping persecution in their home countries, and many more have immigrated in search of better education and economic opportunities.

Prior to World War II, the majority of Asian and Pacific Islander immigrants to the U.S. were from China and Japan. However, this has broadened to include many people from diverse Asian groups, such as Nepalese and Tibetans from Central Asia; and from Southeast Asia, Burmese, Cambodians, Laotians, and Vietnamese, who in turn have many different subgroups, among which the Hmong are perhaps best known. American Samoans, Guamanians, and Filipinos have also come in more significant numbers. Without negating these peoples' diversity, hereafter the terms Asian,

Asian Americans, or Asian/Pacific Islander will be used when referring to them.

In 1990, 68% of Asian Americans were born outside the U.S. A myriad of issues surround this diverse immigration history, and contribute to a situation of economic polarity among Asian Americans. For example, disparate levels of language proficiency and education clearly contribute to this economic polarity. Unemployment among those of limited education and English language proficiency is double that of Asian Americans who are not disadvantaged in terms of education and language.

Asian Pacific Islander American Mental Health Issues

The accumulating evidence suggests that Asian Americans are experiencing significant mental health problems. Their diversity — the many ethnic groups, languages, cultures, value and belief systems, and immigration histories, as well as differences in present-day social, economic, and political circumstances — result in an equally diverse range of mental health concerns. The extent to which these issues become problems and how distress is expressed are thought to be affected by multiple factors that may include, but are not limited to: residence area; generational status in the U.S.; degree of acculturation, religious beliefs and value orientations, native language facility, English language proficiency; age, education, economic status, family composition, and degree of family dispersion; immigration as an unaccompanied minor; degree of identification with the country of origin; perception of choice in emigrating to the U.S.; social-political identification; and connection with formal and informal local networks.

Unfortunately, rates of psychopathology have been difficult to assess. It is believed that most existing estimates, which are based on utilization rates among clinical samples, seriously underestimate the actual need in the general Asian American population. Moreover, it is not known to what extent Western diagnostic criteria may overlook culturally-specific symptom expression and culture-bound syndromes. There is convergent evidence that Asian/Pacific Islanders underutilize mental health services, regardless of service type, based on reports comparing Asian American service use rates to their proportion in the general population. Several studies also report that Asian Americans exhibit more severe disturbances compared to non-Asians, suggesting that they are more likely to endure psychiatric distress for a long time, only coming to the attention of the mental health system at the point of acute breakdown and crisis. Further studies show that Asian Americans are more likely to drop out after initial contact or terminate prematurely from mainstream service settings. Studies have linked such underuse to the shame, stigma, and other cultural factors that influence symptom expression and conceptions of illness, as well as to limited knowledge about the availability of local mental health services, and a tendency to seek more culturally congruent care. The latter may include herbalist, acupuncturist, and other forms of indigenous healing. Increased utilization, longer treatment, consumer satisfaction, and positive therapeutic outcomes have been attained by culturally responsive, ethnic-specific services for Asian/Pacific Islanders which emphasize flexible hours, community-based facilities, bicultural and bilingual staff, and implementation of culturally congruent treatment plans.

Asian Pacific Islander Mental Health Service Delivery Issues

The data point to a great need for delivering more effective mental health services to Asian/Pacific Islander persons. Cultural competence is a fundamental component of providing effective mental health care to Asian/Pacific Islanders. Cultural competence is a respect for, and understanding of diverse ethnic and cultural groups, their histories, traditions, beliefs, and value systems. Cultural competence in mental health services is integrally important to all levels of care, including the structure and policies of service delivery systems, care planning for the individual consumer/family, and direct treatment intervention. As we transition into managed behavioral health care, cost-cutting and service restructuring threaten the quality of care to Asian/Pacific Islanders. Availability of care from culturally competent mental health specialists is a basic concern. In addition, coverage for family-oriented care plans, culturally appropriate interventions, linguistic interpreters, and alternative models of care are threatened. With these concerns in mind, the Asian/Pacific Islander national panel participated in developing the standards for providing culturally competent mental health care to the four groups.

Latino Populations

Demographic and Health Profile

The term "Latino(a)," as used in this publication, refers to all persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or other Central and South American or Spanish origin. Latinos comprise significant populations in virtually all the United States, now numbering more than 27,800,000 people, or 10.5% of the national population, a 50% increase over 1980. It is projected to grow to 29 million by the year 2000 and 128 million by the year 2050. At that time, Latinos will clearly be the largest ethnic group in the United States. Latinos, or Hispanics, are widely recognized as being a major part of the fabric of the Southwestern United States, such as California, Texas, New Mexico, and Arizona. They can be found in significant numbers and percentages in such diverse states as Florida, Colorado, Nevada, New Jersey, Illinois, Utah, and Washington State. Latinos also can have a significant presence in 25 metropolitan areas of the country. The Latino population in the United States is not homogenous, but is composed of an extremely diverse group of nationalities of origin. These include 13.4 million of Mexican origin, 2.4 million of Puerto Rican heritage, Cuban, 1.1 million, and 2.9 million from Central and South American countries. Their cultural backgrounds are diverse, including Spanish, Aztec, Mayan, Incan, and Caribbean cultures, and Native American, White, and African American racial/ethnic origins. Their common language and link with Spanish culture serve as a means of considering them in unison, but their diverse religious, folk, family, and health beliefs and values as well as diverse linguistic idioms make them one of the most culturally rich groups in America. In addition to having Spanish as a common language, the people of Mexican, Puerto Rican Cuban, Central and South American origins also have a tendency to utilize mental health services less than the general population except under crisis situations.

Latinos have been adversely affected by under-education, under-employment, inadequate

housing, and insufficient access to health care insurance. In addition, Latinos often perceive historic U.S. mental health models as unnecessary, unwelcoming, or not useful. Although it has never been firmly established, it should be assumed that the prevalence of mental illness is distributed relatively evenly among all peoples of the world. It may also be reasonably assumed, that if the tendency to be affected by mental illness is relatively the same, factors such as lower socioeconomic status and lesser access to resources will tend to exacerbate stressors and the accompanying need for mental health services.

In May, 1995, a national conference was held in Denver, Colorado. Well over 100 Latino mental health professionals from throughout the United States met and discussed the fact that Latinos, while affected by numerous socioeconomic stressors, remain likely to use mental health services primarily in crisis circumstances, drop out of services sooner, and have undesirable treatment outcomes. From that conference, a national panel was created to begin developing a set of national standards for delivering mental health services for Latino populations in a managed care setting.

When the panel began its work in January, 1996, several concepts were self-evident. Latinos have disproportionately less access to the full range of mental health services normally expected within any given state. They do not have comparable rates of use of ongoing outpatient services, have lower rates of voluntary hospitalization, and use crisis and other higher-cost services at higher rates than other populations.

Mental health staff who have trained in general practice often do not consider cultural competence as necessary or even valid. Thus, there is a tendency not to incorporate cultural competence principles in service development and planning models at the local and state level, nor to use those principles in direct service delivery.

These factors are particularly important when comparing briefly a Fee for Service to a Managed Care service model. In a Fee for Service environment, any service provided to a client results in a revenue to the organization which provided the unit of service. In a Managed Care or capitated rate environment, delivery of a service to an individual becomes a cost; and the fewer the services delivered in a set rate environment, the higher the profits. If Latinos have underutilized mental health services in a Fee for Service environment where there are incentives to the provider to deliver the service, it follows that Latinos are more at risk in a Managed Care environment. In the Managed Care context, service delivery becomes a cost to an organization which is paid a flat rate per person served, irrespective of what the total price of service might be.

One further consideration is important. In a private insurance setting, the Fee for Service versus Managed Care example is particularly true, in that there is the ability to limit costs by limiting services. When the total number of sessions or hospitalization days allowed by an insurance company is exhausted, the remaining costs become the responsibility or burden of the insured. In a public setting, governmental sponsors expect that provider organizations will deliver certain outcomes within a fixed or capitated rate and be financially at risk for costs above that.

Thus it becomes critical that costs be managed by delivering services effectively rather than

by limiting benefits. In this environment, it becomes critical to understand access and utilization patterns, outcomes, outliers, and cost by groups and by types of service. In this environment it becomes very important to understand service groups' and subgroups' needs in order to meet them effectively and attain desirable outcomes within a fixed cost.

The Latino national panel based much of its work upon the above concepts and several other assumptions:

- cultural competence results from a specialized practice development rather than from having been born in , or having spent significant amounts of time in a culture, or by a general practitioner using an interpreter, regardless of the interpreter's linguistic expertise;
- qualifications of Latino mental health specialists should include performance-based competency verification;
- developing specialized instruments, protocols, and outcome measures shall continue;
- additional methods for testing and confirming the viability of specialized practice shall also continue; and
- developing culturally competent standards augments the general body of knowledge, not at the expense of service to others.

American Indian, Native Alaskan, and Native Hawaiian Populations

Demographic and Health Profile

The opportunity to highlight literature and articulate current thinking about what constitutes culturally competent mental health diagnosis and treatment of Native Americans is welcome. Although very little has been written that directly addresses managed care for Native American populations, there is a foundation of writing and thinking that is relevant to this issue; however, this body of knowledge is emerging and far from complete. In the United States, the terms Native American, Indian, and American Indian are commonly used and have been considered interchangeable when referring to aboriginal people of the continental United States, i.e., American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts. In this document, Native American also includes the natives of Hawaii.

A rich diversity exists among the hundreds of tribes and villages, and within urban Native American communities. Among the better known tribes are the Apache, Cherokee, Navajo, Iroquois, and Sioux (Lakota), but there are numerous lesser-known groups throughout the country. To name a few, there are the Cahuilla, Gay Head Wampanoag, Mississippi Choctaw, Red Lake Chippewa, Shivwits, and Tlingit. Census data for 1990 reported approximately 1.9 million American Indian,

Eskimo, and Aleut people in the United States (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1991). About half live on federal Indian reservations in 33 states, mostly located in the western states. The other half lives in urban areas, although some reside in small off-reservation communities. The Indian population is young; approximately half are 18 years of age or younger (Nelson, McCoy, Stetter, & Vanderwagen, 1992). Of the 211,014 Native Hawaiians living in the U.S. in 1990, the majority (138,742 or 66%) resided in Hawaii (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1991). This population faces severely poor health conditions (Mokuau, 1990); Native Hawaiians have the shortest life expectancy of any ethnic group in Hawaii (State of Hawaii, 1987).

Many American Indian and Alaska Native groups have sovereign nation status with the federal government of the United States. For most Indian tribes, sovereignty was specifically retained when they signed treaties with the United States government. They are recognized as distinct political entities operating within the American government system, which is the "nation within a nation" concept. Today, Hawaiian Natives are also seeking to restore their sovereign nation status, which was lost at the time of the overthrow of the Hawaiian monarchy.

The importance of mental health services to Native people can be understood in historical, geographical, educational, and tribal contexts. It is important to understand the impact of colonization on Native people and corresponding issues of mental health (Duran & Duran, 1995). Although some early contacts between Native peoples and Europeans were positive, most were not. At initial contact there were several million Native Americans. From the point of initial contact with Europeans, holocaust conditions led to the annihilation of some, and near destruction for other tribes across the Americas and the Hawaiian Islands. Diseases foreign to Native people wiped out over half of the American Indian population, and the impact of these diseases is still being felt in Native country. Disease killed many leaders and elders, thus cutting off tribal leadership, as well as the sources for knowledge and tradition. Furthermore, the power of the medicine people was undermined, because there were no cures for alien diseases about which they had no knowledge. Memories remain among Native Americans about what Whites did through deliberately providing them with infected blankets as "gifts" — an early form of germ warfare (Vogel, 1972).

Forced relocation was another factor which caused many deaths as well as numerous other problems, many of which were mental health related. Dealing with the reality of being conquered, the shame, the forced dependency upon the U.S. government, and the stripping of traditional roles from men, women, and children has impacted tribes for centuries. The pain of the "Trail of Tears," or long walks made by tribes, remains in the hearts and minds of American Indians today. Other impacts of forced relocation include: dealing with broken treaties, being restricted to reservations (historically, an Indian had to have a permit in order to leave the reservation), poverty conditions, and the consequences of not relocating, which often meant destruction and death (O'Sullivan & Handal, 1988; Vogel, 1972). Alcohol was another devastation (Berkhofer, 1978) and is considered to be the number one problem in Native American country today.

Forced education through boarding schools caused considerable damage to the structure and function of tribal societies as well as to the mental health of Natives. Historically, Native American children were taken from their tribal homes to attend boarding schools sometimes hundreds or thousands of miles away. They were forbidden to speak their tribal language, given new names,

usually a uniform, their hair was shorn, and they were taught the ways of White society. The early charters for Native American education were the same: to remove the child from the influence of his or her "savage" parents. Today, approximately 25% of Native children attend boarding schools. The horrible effects of boarding schools on tribes extend to the undermining of tribal ways of parenting, traditional child-rearing, use of language (many a story about a child finally returning home and being unable to speak to his or her parents any longer), the negative messages about Natives, and the forced assimilation of White ways have had devastating consequences. Today, there are counseling groups specifically designed to address the effects of boarding school education experiences.

In terms of health care, the U.S. government has had this responsibility through the obligations of many treaties. Typically, these obligations were carried out through the Public Health Service via the Indian Health Service (IHS) and Bureau of Native Affairs (BIA). The Public Health Service in 1955 assumed primary responsibility for providing health care to Native Americans, and currently IHS services approximately 60% of the Indian population (Johnson, 1995). IHS services include clinical care as well as environmental health, facility maintenance, and critical public health functions. The hope was, that once this was fully developed and comparable to the nation's health care systems, Congress could then relinquish its responsibilities to American Indians. This goal was part of the termination policy formulated by Congress during the Truman and Eisenhower administrations. Under Nixon's self-determination policy, tribes were encouraged to take over governing their health care programs (Flack, 1995). Under Public Law 93-638, 300 tribes across the nation now compact or contract with the federal government to provide part or all of the health care for their tribal members. Furthermore, there exists 41 urban Indian health clinics, which although enormously underfunded, attempt to serve the most disadvantaged Indians and those from distant tribes who may not be eligible for IHS contract services.

Presently, there are numerous agencies/departments involved to varying degrees in providing mental health services to Native Americans. At the broad systems level, there is a lack of clarity regarding the roles of the IHS, the BIA, states, counties, cities, and tribes in mental health care. There are relatively few working agreements among these service delivery systems (WICHE, 1993).

Native Americans appear to be at higher risk for mental disorders than most ethnic groups in the United States (Nelson, McCoy, Stetter & Vanderwagen, 1992). Of great concern is the high prevalence of depression, anxiety, substance abuse, violence, and suicide. Other common mental health problems of Native American individuals are psychosomatic symptoms and emotional problems resulting from disturbed interpersonal and family relationships (Neligh, 1990).

The Native American Panel has many concerns about managed care as a model of health care delivery for Native Americans. Of particular concern is the use of a prepaid or capitated approach to service payment. Issues affecting Native American people are complex and linked to historical events and current experiences which are perpetuated by current events, that on the surface, do not seem related. However, because of the historic trauma experienced by many Native Americans, subtle messages that communicate a lack of belonging to contemporary American society and the continuing assault on Indian sovereignty serve to perpetuate mental health problems. Managed care organizations which do not address these complex issues in a careful and thoughtful manner with

Native American consumers, sovereign tribal nations, native organizations, and relevant federal agencies will only add to the oppression experienced by Native Americans for decades.

Having stated these concerns, the Native American Panel offers the following guidelines toward the goal of developing culturally competent managed care organizations which would serve Native Americans in ever-increasingly effective and respectful ways. In reviewing the system and clinical guidelines, we agreed that guidelines for Provider Competencies would be the same regardless of the setting (urban, suburban, rural, or reservation). All other guidelines were reviewed, with rural-reservation managed care settings kept separately in mind from urban-suburban settings. It is highly likely that the urban-suburban managed care settings would be non-Native American and most likely, non-minority specific. In considering the rural/reservation situation, we found it useful to use the Navajo Nation as a reference point. The Navajo Nation has sovereign nation status over its membership residing on or near the reservation, a very large geographic area located in three states (Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah). This illustrates the complexity in developing culturally competent health care for one tribal nation.

Managed Care in the Delivery of Mental Health Services

The transition into managed care in the delivery of health care services has had an impact on both private and public behavioral health systems. As this transition is taking place, a number of potential risks have surfaced. Professionals and organizations representing individuals from the four groups have concerns about how these major shifts will affect all ethnically diverse populations. Some of these concerns include:

- Cost-cutting that potentially threatens the quality of care;
- Restructuring of services away from local, community-based approaches;
- Relocation of services threatening accessibility;
- Services provided to consumers from the four groups by mental health professionals not familiar with the language, cultural values, and multiple needs of the different groups;
- Consumer lack of knowledge about how the health care system works; and
- Language differences interfering with communication and access to resources.

The authors of this document acknowledge that this shift to a new health care delivery system offers a number of potential opportunities for behavioral service delivery as well as for the racial/ethnic communities. Some of these potential opportunities include:

- Increased accountability for services provided;
- Flexibility of care options to consumers;
- Competition for service providers to provide maximum value for the Medicaid consumer dollar;

- Greater cost-efficiency through:
 - ◆ More accurate assessment and effective treatment;
 - ◆ Use of culturally competent, less restrictive community-based treatment approaches;
 - ◆ A shift from more restrictive and expensive services to earlier use of services, leading to secondary prevention;
 - ◆ Use of traditional healers in conjunction with traditional western mental health approaches; and
 - ◆ Partnership with community organizations for health promotion.

- Greater acceptability through:
 - ◆ Culturally friendly location and ambiance; and
 - ◆ Community input in design and governance.

To frame the opportunities outlined above, the authors have developed the Guiding Principles and Standards that follow.

References

- American Psychological Association [APA]. (1996). APA guidelines for providers of psychological services to ethnic, linguistic, and culturally diverse populations. [Approved by the APA Council of Representatives August, 1990.] <http://www.apa.org/pi/guide.html>. (13 Sept. 1996).
- Bennett, Lerone. (1966). *Before the Mayflower: A history of the Negro in America, 1619-1966* (3rd ed.). Chicago: Johnson Publishing Company.
- Berkhofer, Robert F. (1978). *The White Man's Indian: Images of the American Indian from Columbus to the Present*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Bogue, Donald J. (1985). *The Population of the United States: Historical Trends and Future Projections*. New York: The Free Press.
- Center for Health Economics Research, Brandeis University. (1993). *Access to Health Care: Key Indicators for Policy*. Princeton: The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.
- Charon, J. M. (1995). *Ten Questions: A Sociological Perspective* (2nd ed.). Belmont, CA: International Thomson.
- Duran, E., & Duran, B. (1995). *Native American Postcolonial Psychology*. New York:

State University of New York Press.

Flack, J.M., Amaro, H., Jenkins, W., Kunitz, S., Levy, J., Mixon, M., & Yu, E. (1995). Panel I: Epidemiology of minority health. *Health Psychology, 14*(7), 592-600.

Flaskerud, J.H. & Hu, L. (1992). Relationship of ethnicity to psychiatric diagnosis. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 18*(5), 296-303.

Garretson, D. J. (1993). Psychological misdiagnosis of African Americans. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development, 21*, 119-126.

Institute for Health Policy, Brandeis University. (1993). *Substance Abuse: The Nation's Number One Health Problem: Key Indicators for Policy*. Princeton: The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Jarvis, E. (1844). Insanity among the colored population of the free states. *American Journal of the Medical Sciences, 7*, 71-83.

Johnson, K.W., Anderson, N.B., Bastida, E., Kramer, B.J., Williams, D., & Wong, M. (1995). Panel II: Macrosocial and environmental influences on minority health. *Health Psychology, 14*(7), 601-612.

Jones, B. & Gray, B. (1986). Problems in diagnosing schizophrenia and affective disorders among Blacks. *Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 37*(1), 61-65.

Lawson, W.B., Heplar, N., Holladay, J., & Cuffel, B. (1994). Race as a factor in inpatient and outpatient admissions and diagnosis. *Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 45*(1), 72-74.

Manderscheid, R.W. & Sonnenschein, M.A. (1987). *Mental Health, United States, 1985*. Rockville, MD: National Institute of Mental Health.

Mokuau, N. (1990) The impoverishment of native Hawaiians and the social work challenge. *Health & Social Work, 15*, 235-242.

Muñoz, Robert Hernandez, Jr., & Sanchez, A. Marie. (1997). *Developing Culturally Competent Systems of Care for State Mental Health Services*. Baltimore, MD: Center for Mental Health Services, and Boulder, CO: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE), 1997).

Neligh, G. (1990, Summer). *Mental Health Programs for American Indians: Their Logic, Structure, and Function*. Denver: National Center for American Indian/Alaska Native Mental Health Research.

Neighbors, H.W. (1986). Ambulatory medical care among adult Black Americans: The hospital emergency room. *Journal of the National Medical Association, 78*, 275-282.

Nelson, S., McCoy, G., Stetter, M., & Vanderwagen, W.C. (1992). An overview of mental health services for American Indians and Alaska Natives in the 1990s. *Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 43*, 257-261.

Public Law 93-638. (1975). *Indian Self-determination Education Assistance Act*, as amended by P.L. 100-472 (1994).

O'Sullivan, M.H., & Handal, P.J. (1988). Medical and psychological effects of the threat

of compulsory relocation for an American Indian tribe. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research*, 2(1), 3-20.

Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. (1991). *Challenges in Health Care: A Chartbook Perspective*. Princeton: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Scheffler, R.M. & Miller, A.B. (1989). Demand analysis of service use among ethnic subpopulations. *Inquiry*, 26, 202-215.

Snowden, L.R., & Cheung, F.K. (1990). Use of inpatient mental health services by members of ethnic minority groups. *American Psychologist*, 45, 347-355.

Snowden, L.R., & Holschuh, J. (1992, August). Ethnic differences in emergency psychiatric care and hospitalization in a program for the severely mentally ill. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 28(4).

State of Hawaii. (1987, July). *Long Term Care Demographics for Hawaii*. Honolulu: Executive Office on Aging.

Sutherland, S. H. (1966). *Population Distribution in Colonial America*. New York: AMS Press.

U.S. Bureau of the Census. (1991). *1990 Census Profile: Race and Hispanic Origin*. Washington, D.C.: Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

U.S. Bureau of the Census. (1992, November). *1990 Census of Population; General Population Characteristics, United States*. 1990 CP-1-1. Washington, DC: Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

U.S. Bureau of the Census. (1996, May). Resident Population of the United States: Middle Series Projections, 1996-200, by Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin, with Median Age (Numbers in thousands. Consistent with the 1990 Census, as enumerated.) The data source is *Current Population Reports*, Series P25-1130, "Population Projections of the United States by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1995 to 2050." [population/projection -extract/nation/nprh9600.asc; -extract/nation/nprh3550; -extract/nation/nprh0610.asc]. 16 May. Washington, DC: Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

U.S. Department of Commerce. (1995). *Statistical Abstract of the United States*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Van Sertima, Ivan. (1976). *They Came Before Columbus*. New York: Random House.

Vogel, Virgil J. (1972). *This Country Was Ours: A Documentary History of the American Indian*. New York: Harper & Row.

Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education [WICHE]. (1993). *The Journey of Native American People with Serious Mental Illness: First National Conference. Executive Summary*. Boulder, CO: WICHE Publications.

Guiding Principles

Guiding Principles

1. *Principle of Cultural Competence*

Cultural competence includes attaining the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to enable administrators and practitioners within systems of care to provide effective care for diverse populations, i.e., to work within the person's values and reality conditions. Recovery and rehabilitation are more likely to occur where managed care systems, services, and providers have and utilize knowledge and skills that are culturally competent and compatible with the backgrounds of consumers from the four underserved/underrepresented racial/ethnic groups, their families, and communities. Cultural competence acknowledges and incorporates variance in normative acceptable behaviors, beliefs, and values in:

- determining an individual's mental wellness/illness, and
- incorporating those variables into assessment and treatment.

2. *Principle of Consumer-Driven System of Care*

A consumer-driven system of care promotes consumer and family as the most important participants in the service-providing process. Whenever possible and appropriate, the services adapt self-help concepts from the racial/ethnic culture, taking into account the significant role that mothers and fathers play in the life of consumers from the four groups.

3. *Principle of Community-Based System of Care*

A community based system of care includes a full continuum of care. The focus is on: including familiar and valued community resources from the minority culture; investing in early intervention and preventative efforts; and, treating the consumer in the least restrictive environment possible.

4. *Principle of Managed Care*

The costs of a public managed health care delivery system are best contained through the delivery of effective, quality services, not by cutting or limiting services. Effective systems provide individualized and tailor-made services that emphasize outcome-driven systems and positive results. Such systems acknowledge the importance of added-value inclusion of ethnic/cultural groups as treatment partners. The system includes an emphasis on managing care, not dollars. It recognizes that dollars will manage themselves if overall care is well managed. It recognizes racial/ethnic group-specific variables which have significant implications for individualized assessment and treatment.

5. *Principle of Natural Support*

Natural community support and culturally competent practices are viewed as an integral part of a system of care which contributes to desired outcomes in a managed care environment. Traditional healing practices are used when relevant or possible; and family is defined by function rather than bloodlines, as individuals from the four groups generally conceive of family much more broadly than nuclear family.

6. *Principle of Sovereign Nation Status*

Systems of health care for Native Americans who are members of sovereign nations shall acknowledge the right of those sovereign nations to participate in the process of defining cultural competent managed care.

7. *Principle of Collaboration and Empowerment*

Consumers from the four groups and their families have the capacity to collaborate with managed care systems and providers in determining the course of treatment. The greater the extent of this collaboration, the better the chances are that recovery and long-term functioning will occur and be sustained. The risk of psychological dependency and lower functioning increases with a decrease in collaboration with consumers and families. Empowering consumers and families enhances their self esteem and ability to manage their own health.

8. *Principle of Holism*

Consumers from the four groups are more likely to respond to managed care systems, organizations, and providers who recognize the value of holistic approaches to health care and implement these in their clinical work, policies, and standards. Where holistic approaches are absent, there is greater risk that consumers from the four groups will over-utilize mental health services, resulting in increased costs.

9. *Principle of Feedback*

Managed care systems, organizations, and providers shall improve the quality of their services and enhance desired outcomes of their service delivery to consumers from the four groups through legitimate opportunities for feedback and exchange. Where such opportunities for feedback are absent, there is a greater likelihood that the system of managed care services and policies will not be congruent with the needs of consumers from the four groups and will not result in high levels of consumer satisfaction. Managed care systems that lack opportunities for this feedback limit their chances of making culturally specific corrections in their approaches to services while simultaneously increasing their risks.

10. *Principle of Access*

In order for consumers from the four groups to seek, utilize, and gain from mental health care

in a Managed Health Plan, services, facilities, and providers shall be accessible. Where services and facilities are geographically, psychologically, and culturally accessible, the chances are increased that consumers from the four populations will respond positively to treatment for mental illness. Inadequate access to services will result in increased costs, limited benefit to the consumer, and a greater probability that services will not result in the outcomes desired.

11. *Principle of Universal Coverage*

Populations of the four groups have higher than average frequencies of unemployment and receipt of transfer payments, along with lower disposable income. Where health care coverage, benefits, and access are based on employment or ability to pay, consumers from the four groups are more likely to be medically underserved. The greater the extent to which health care is universally available without regard to income, the greater the likelihood that the health status of consumers from the four groups will be enhanced.

12. *Principle of Integration*

Consumers from the four groups have higher than expected frequencies of physical health problems. Integrating primary care medicine, mental health, and substance abuse services in a Managed Care Plan increases the potential that consumers from the four groups will receive comprehensive treatment services and recover more rapidly, with fewer disruptions due to a fragmented system of care.

13. *Principle of Quality*

The more emphasis that is placed in managed care systems on ensuring continuous quality culturally competent service to consumers from the four groups, the greater the likelihood that relapse will be prevented; with sickness treated appropriately and costs lowered. The less emphasis placed on providing quality services to consumers from the four groups, the greater the chances that costs will increase.

14. *Principle of Data Driven Systems*

The quality of decision making, service design, and clinical intervention for consumers from the four groups in managed health care is increased where data on prevalence, incidence, service utilization, and treatment outcomes are used to inform and guide decisions.

15. *Principle of Outcomes*

Consumers from the four groups and their families evaluate services on the basis of actual outcomes relative to the problems that stimulated help seeking in a managed care environment. The greater the extent to which managed care plans, organizations, and providers emphasize and measure these outcomes in comparison to the expectations of consumers from the four groups, the higher the degree of consumer satisfaction.

16. *Principle of Prevention*

States, managed care organizations, and provider organizations should provide community education program about mental illness and the risk factors associated with specific disorders. The goal should be to increase the capacity of families to provide a healthy environment and to identify the early warning signs when mental health problems do exist. Early problem identification and intervention can prevent the exacerbation and reduce the disabling effect of mental illness.

**Overall System Standards
and
Implementation
Guidelines**

Cultural Competence Planning

Standard

A Cultural Competence Plan for both public and private sectors shall be developed and integrated within the overall organization and/or provider network plan, using an incremental strategic approach for its achievement, to assure attainment of cultural competence within manageable but concrete timelines.

Implementation Guidelines

The Cultural Competence Plan shall include:

1. Development and integration of the Cultural Competency Plan shall be achieved with the participation and representation of top and middle management administrators, front-line staff, consumers and/or their families, sovereign tribal nations, and community stakeholders.
2. An individual at the executive level shall take responsibility for and have authority to monitor implementation of the Cultural Competence Plan.
3. Each individual manager shall be accountable for the success of the Cultural Competence Plan based on his/her level within the organization.
4. A process for integrating the Cultural Competence Plan into the overall state and/or department plan, and for including the principles of cultural competency in all aspects of organizational strategic planning and in any future planning process;
5. A process for determining unique regionally-based needs and ecological variables within the communities/populations served using existing agency databases, surveys, community forums, and key informants;
6. Identification of service modalities and models which are appropriate and acceptable to the communities served (i.e., urban, frontier and rural), population densities and targeted population subgroups, (e.g., children, adolescents, adults, elders, sexual minorities, and individuals with co-occurring conditions).
7. Identification and involvement of community resources, (e.g., tribal and community councils

or governing bodies, family members, clans, native societies, spiritual leaders, churches, civic clubs, and community organizations) and cross-system alliances (e.g., corrections, juvenile justice, education, social services, substance abuse, developmental disability, primary care plans, public health, and tribal health agencies) for purposes of integrated consumer support and service delivery;

8. Identification of natural supports (e.g., family members, religious and spiritual resources, traditional healers, churches, civic clubs, community organizations) for purposes of reintegrating the individual within his/her natural environment, keeping in mind that for some, this may also include migratory patterns to and from a reservation or reservations, state to state, or country to country.
9. Working to assure cultural competence at each level of care within the system (e.g., crisis, inpatient, outpatient, residential, home-based, health maintenance, community health liaison services.)
10. Stipulation of adequate and culturally diverse staffing and minimal skill levels (including gender, ethnicity, and language as well as licensing, certification, credentialing, and privileging) for all staff, clerical through executive management.
11. The use of culturally competent indicators, which are adapted for specific minority cultural values and beliefs, in developing, implementing, and monitoring the Cultural Competence Plan.
12. Development of rewards and incentives (e.g., salary, promotion, bonuses) for cultural competence performance, as well as sanctions for culturally destructive practices (e.g., discrimination). Cultural competence performance shall be an integral part of the employee-provider performance evaluation system, and provider organization performance evaluation system.
13. Development of a plan to integrate ongoing training and staff development into the overall Cultural Competency Plan.
14. Development and ongoing plan monitoring of indicators to assure equal access, comparability of benefits, and outcomes across each level of the system of care and for all services provided through the Health Plan.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Presence of a Cultural Competence Plan and defined steps for its integration at every level of organizational planning.

2. Presence, within the Cultural Competence Plan, of related policy/procedure changes.
3. Percentage/number of staff receiving initial and ongoing cultural competence training.
4. Presence of established links with community resources.
5. Demonstration of staff knowledge and skills regarding group values, traditions, expression of illness, cultural competence principles (e.g., credentialing and performance based testing).
6. Demonstration of a cultural competence system evaluation (e.g., Mason, 1995, *Cultural Competence Self Assessment Questionnaire: A Manual for Users*).
7. Demonstration of staff and consumer awareness and acceptance of the Cultural Competence Plan.
8. Presence of a plan for recruitment, retention, and promotion of staff of racial/ethnic backgrounds representative of target population served.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Percentage of consumers from the four groups compared to overall representation in the community.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall general population.
2. Percentage of consumers from the four groups served by or under direct supervision of culturally competent staff.
Benchmark: 100% served.
3. Consumer satisfaction with services, measured in culturally competent manner.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.
4. Proportionality of access to, and length of service of, the full range of treatment services offered.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall service recipients for access to specific levels and types of services.
5. Reduced restrictiveness of placement (including incarceration/detention) for consumers from the four groups.
Benchmark: Comparable restrictiveness to the general population and overall reduced restrictiveness.

Governance

Standard

Each health plan's governing entity shall incorporate a board, advisory committee, or policy making and influencing group which shall be proportionally representative of the consumer populations to be served and the community at large, including age and ethnicity. In this manner, the community served will guide policy formulation and decision making, including Request for Proposals development and vendor selection. The governing entity responsible for the Health Plan shall be accountable for its successful implementation, including its cultural competence provisions.

Implementation Guidelines

1. The Health Plan shall include formal procedures for decision-making related to policies, practices, and grievances in accordance with state and federal law with racial/ethnic community and professional input, participation, and involvement at all levels, including fair hearings. Consumers shall be informed of this procedure in their own language at intake and supported linguistically at the time of any steps in the complaint and grievance process.
2. The Health Plan shall make available a culturally competent group of ombudspersons (minimally comprised of consumer, family member, and regional representatives) to be involved in all appeals and concerns from the community served. The group of ombudspersons shall have independence from the Health Plan, and there shall be formalized procedures for resolving differences of opinion between the ombudsperson and the Health Plan administration's governance.
3. The governing entity shall determine for each Health Plan an equitable percentage of profit or savings to be reinvested in racial/ethnic community-based services and preventive programs on an ongoing basis. A financial penalty or termination of contracts is applicable when inequities exist to access and/or comparability of benefits.
4. The Health Plan shall develop interagency and cross-system agreements or pooled funding to coordinate services with other agencies (e.g., public health, social services, corrections and

juvenile justice, youth services, education, substance abuse, developmentally disabled services).

5. Financial and liability risks for the Health Plan shall be distributed such that culturally competent smaller scale providers and organizations will be able to continue to deliver services.
6. Policies governing practitioner ethics and behavior (e.g., gift giving by consumers, interactions with consumers outside the service setting, confidentiality) shall provide for differences relevant to the context of racial/ethnic cultural values.
7. Contract continuation and renewal shall be contingent upon successful achievement of performance standards which demonstrate effective service, equitable access and comparability of benefits for populations of the four racial/ethnic groups.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Racial/ethnic consumer awareness of and participation in Health Plan benefits, appeals procedures, and ombudspersons, as demonstrated by the comparability of the rate of grievances and complaints.
2. The percentage of complaints and grievances of individual practitioners is tracked and factored into performance evaluations.
3. Presence of culturally-informed policies of practitioner behavior and performance-based demonstrations of implementation.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Final disposition of grievances and appeals for consumers from the four groups.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population..
2. Percent of consumers from the four groups receiving blended, coordinated, or wrap-around services.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population and increasing over time.
3. Percentage of reports of unethical practitioner behavior for practitioners serving populations of the four groups.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population..

4. Sanctions and incentives reinforce progress toward the delivery of culturally competent services.

Benchmark: Decreased rates of sanction over time.

5. Composition of the governing board, advisory committee, other policy-making and influencing groups, and consumers served reflects service area demographics.

Benchmark: 90% of expected representation.

6. Consumer awareness of plan.

Benchmark: 80% of surveyed respondents aware of plan.

7. Culturally-based policies shaping practitioner behaviors and measuring performance.

Benchmark: Presence of policies.

Benefit Design

Standard

The Health Plan shall ensure equitable access and comparability of benefits across populations and age groups. Coverage shall provide for access to a full continuum of care (including prevention programs) from most to least restrictive in ways which are comparable, though not identical, acknowledging that culturally competent practice provides for variance in individualized care.

Implementation Guidelines

1. The Health Plan shall not make arbitrary restrictions and limitations in benefit level. For example, in some regions post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) has been eliminated from the eligible list of treatable disorders. Southeast Asian refugees who suffer disproportionately from PTSD are therefore not eligible for treatment. Cost-effectiveness shall be accomplished through care management and utilization review mechanisms.
2. Coverage shall incorporate and integrate innovative treatment modalities, including alternative healers, and primary prevention and health promotion to all levels of care in order to enhance the acceptability and cost-effectiveness of care.
3. Coverage shall incorporate services delivered by qualified racial/ethnic mental health specialists when available, or non-minority culturally competent mental health specialists when culturally competent specialists from the appropriate racial/ethnic group(s) are not available.
4. Coverage shall incorporate the coordination of services across service agencies and systems serving the consumer in order to ensure cost sharing for consumer services.
5. The Health Plan shall provide to consumers and families in their primary language(s) information, community education, and written and oral materials regarding covered services and procedures for accessing and utilizing services. . Such information shall be made available through partnerships with community organizations in addition to conventional means of dissemination. Written correspondence or audio presentations regarding eligibility shall be in consumers' and families' primary language(s), with alternative methods of communication also developed and utilized.

6. Eligibility and level of care criteria for service provision and/or receiving services shall be developed by or under the guidance of culturally competent bilingual, bicultural providers. These criteria shall be defined primarily by the assessment of behavior and functioning and secondarily by diagnosis, given the limitations of diagnostic systems in cross-cultural applications. Utilization review and eligibility determinations shall be performed by culturally and linguistically competent staff.
7. The Health Plan shall provide for consumer choice of individual provider. All providers shall be responsible for comparable levels of service to sponsored (insured) and unsponsored (uninsured) persons.
8. The Health Plan shall provide for the needs of both sponsored and unsponsored populations of the four groups and shall provide access for service to unsponsored individuals in proportion to general industry standards and practice.
9. The Health Plan shall make provisions in the benefit design for people who leave the Health Plan, including service planning and a transition process to new plans.
10. The Public Health Plan shall work with private plans to provide for instances when a privately insured individual becomes uninsured and probably will require services from the Public Health Plan.
11. In order to ensure adequate funding for more intensive services, benefits shall include adequate culturally competent risk-adjustment strategies specifically for consumers at-risk for serious and persistent mental illness, emotional disturbance and/or other multiple, long-term service needs.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Culturally competent eligibility and level of care criteria are formally established.
2. Eligibility determinations and service planning are performed by, or under the supervision of linguistically and culturally competent bilingual/bicultural specialists.
3. Consumers from the four groups receive direct services provided by or from culturally competent bilingual/bicultural personnel, or by personnel supervised by culturally competent bilingual/bicultural racial/ethnic mental health specialists.
4. Consumers receive consumer-friendly bilingual materials on Health Plan benefits.
5. Percent of consumers receiving services by traditional healers.
6. Treatment plans incorporate individual, familial, and community strengths and appropriate

interagency resources.

7. Prevention strategies and action plans are implemented.
8. Use of flexible funding for consumers from the four groups, comparable across groups.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Benefit distribution and service provision for consumers from the four groups.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population.
2. Percent of covered consumers who know benefits and how to access them.
Benchmark: 80%, as measured by consumer survey.
3. Consumer and family satisfaction with services.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.
4. Proportionality of racial/ethnic consumer access to full range of benefits.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population.
5. Focused prevention, education, outreach & services planning for consumers from the four groups.
Benchmark: Increased specialized and preventive services to at-risk consumers.

Prevention, Education, and Outreach

Standard

Each Managed Care Mental Health Plan shall have a prevention, education, and outreach program which is an integral part of the Plan's operations and which is guided in its development and implementation by consumers, families, and community-based organizations.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Managed care plans shall develop mechanisms that increase the provider's knowledge of what the community wants and needs, how and in what form it obtains new information, and its experiences with existing services.
2. The location of behavioral health services shall be a joint decision between managed care plans, providers and consumers.
3. Prior to the development of prevention, education, and outreach programs, managed care plans shall document how they assess and plan to apply information and knowledge about risk factors associated with consumers from the four groups and their families.
4. Prevention, education, and outreach approaches shall include specific services for at-risk youth in the family of the primary consumer.
5. Managed care plans shall provide consumers from the four groups and their families with education and information about the available service benefits and how to access them.
6. Prevention, education, and outreach approaches shall consider the family and community systems in which the primary consumer lives.
7. Prevention, education, and outreach plans and methods shall include linkages with religious organizations in the community and shall training of members of the faith community to assist in educating consumers about mental health service.
8. Managed care plans shall offer programs to educate professionals and consumers about how

consumers and their families from the four groups can be more responsible for their own health and preventing illness.

9. Managed care plans shall develop and maintain an updated listing of community resources that may be beneficial in providing prevention, education, and outreach services to consumers from the four racial/ethnic groups and their families.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Activities and material, including an updated listing of community resources, are provided in the language(s) of the population(s) being served.
2. Education and training linkages are made with faith-based organizations in the community.
3. The Managed Care Mental Health Plan assesses the existence of racial/ethnic groups in the population being served, assesses the needs and risk factors associated with those populations, and takes these factors into consideration in prevention, education, and outreach activities.
4. The organization coordinates education and outreach activities with community cultural organizations.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Documentation that activities and material are provided in the proportion of the primary language(s) in the population served.
2. The Managed Care Mental Health Plan maintains a list of cultural community organizations and documents the utilization of these organizations to assist in education and outreach

Quality Monitoring and Improvement

Standard

The Health Plan shall have a regular quality monitoring and improvement program that ensures (1) access to a full array of culturally competent treatment modalities, (2) comparability of benefits, and (3) comparable successful outcomes for all service recipients.

Implementation Guidelines

The Quality Monitoring and Improvement Plan shall include:

1. Sampling approaches of current and past utilization patterns, by modality (including pharmacological therapy), and level of care.
2. Quality improvement teams with proportionate representation of consumers from the four groups and culturally competent specialists which review data from quality indicators relating to these populations. Procedures shall be in place to ensure that if irregularities or deficiencies in care are found, special quality studies and corrective actions shall be undertaken to identify causes and address root causes/processes.
3. Consumer satisfaction surveys, translated orally and in written format into local languages and dialects, implemented by members of the community independent from the Health Plan. Surveys shall be available in various formats to facilitate the participation of consumers at all socioeconomic and educational levels. Sampling shall include involvement of Health Plan drop-outs. Evidence of a pattern of discrimination shall be a reason for termination of contract.
4. Periodic assessment of functional outcomes which are valid and applicable to populations of the four groups, for consumers and families receiving services, as well as the entire covered population. Outcomes shall be quantifiable objectives, not just process variables, and shall be collected independent of agency billing records.
5. Quality and outcome data related to service provision for consumers from the four groups and their families shall be identified by the provider. Data shall be reported on a regular basis to the governing entity and used as a basis for determining contract renewal.
6. Credentialing and privileging standards, specific to various disciplines, which include

cultural and linguistic competence, knowledge, skills, and attitudes relevant to the racial/ethnic population. These standards shall include a continuing education requirement and performance based competency evaluation.

7. A record of, and regular reporting on, all appeals, grievances, and law suits, as well as informal complaints, differentiated by ethnicity of the complainant and the specific provider. Disproportionate trends by ethnicity shall require measurable and timely corrective action.
8. Criteria for the removal of providers from provider panels and tracking for providers and practitioners which are open for review, analyzed by ethnicity and gender of provider and able to account for differing service needs of diverse populations.
9. Tracking of consumer movement across levels of care, of the use of intrusive, specialized or restrictive interventions, and of unusual occurrences by age, gender, ethnicity, and specific practitioner, with sanctions for desirable and unacceptable performances.
10. Identification and tracking of high-cost consumers resulting in the review and adaptation of their individualized treatment plans to more effectively address their needs.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Presence of a Quality Improvement Plan.
2. Proportional representation of consumers from the four groups, providers, and community members on the quality improvement team.
3. Occurrence of quality studies focusing on the use of best practice in resolution of deficiencies in the care of consumers from the four groups.
4. Linguistically and culturally factored consumer satisfaction surveys which are independently administered and include Health Plan drop-outs and short term recipients.
5. Regular reporting of racial/ethnic specific quality assurance data to the governing entity, including appeals and grievances.
6. Systematic tracking of high-cost consumers with support to treatment teams to identify and implement successful interventions.
7. Systematic tracking and open reporting of persons, removed from provider panels, by ethnicity.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Consumer and family satisfaction with services for the four groups.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population.

2. Rates of drop-out, grievances, restrictive care, unusual occurrences, and adverse events for consumers from the four groups.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall service population.

3. Match between level of care/restrictiveness of modality and level of treatment need for consumers from the four groups.

Benchmark: Level of match comparable to overall population.

4. Functional outcomes in domains of daily living (e.g., housing, access to primary health care, family role, vocational/educational/employment, community tenure).

Benchmark: Improvement over time, rates comparable to overall community.

5. Comparability of access and benefit from service.

Benchmark: Comparable rates of access, consumer satisfaction, and clinical results across overall service population.

6. Rates of recidivism into restrictive level of care or other restrictive placements.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall population served and significant reductions where inequities exist.

Decision Support and Management Information Systems

Standard

The Health Plan shall develop and maintain a database which shall track utilization and outcomes for the four groups across all levels of care, ensuring comparability of benefits, access, and outcomes. The Health Plan shall also develop and manage databases of social and mental health indicators on the covered population from the four groups and the community at large.

Implementation Guidelines

1. The database shall include qualitative and quantitative data that accurately reflects the four groups and shall be collected and interpreted in a culturally competent manner at national, state, and local levels. Findings from these data shall be used in a culturally competent manner to continually assess, improve, and inform strategic planning for services to racial/ethnic group consumers and families.
2. For purposes of accountability, the Health Plan shall report to the governing entity, in a regular and timely manner, performance and outcome data specific to consumers and families from the four groups.
3. Aggregated data linkages by ethnicity with primary care plan, public health, substance abuse, developmental disability, education, courts, corrections, and juvenile justice shall be established to provide cross-system utilization information on the mental health status of the four groups as the federal, state, and local levels.
4. Data shall be current, accurate, and include gender, age, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, linguistic proficiency, geographic area, sexual orientation (at the consumer's option), and health insurance status.
5. Each of the four groups shall be broad and inclusive, including a capacity to code all and multiple subgroups and those of mixed race/ethnicity. Each of the four categories shall be distinct and monitored separately even when consistent with U.S. Census Bureau practice.

6. The Health Plan shall collect and track (independently from billing data) aggregated diagnostic and assessment information, service utilization trends and costs, drop-outs, and utilization patterns, and behavioral and functional outcomes. Each category shall be computed across modalities, using standardized units of measurement across modalities.
7. Individual consumer data shall be kept confidential with data sets coded in such a manner that client shall not be readily identified.
8. The Health Plan shall seek formal input from the consumers' communities on adequacy of proportional mix of culturally competent mental health professional staff, including adequacy of culturally competent staff from their own racial/ethnic group.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Presence of a data system inclusive of the above mentioned elements.
2. Use of a unified clinical record across all levels of care that legally allow for sharing of information to facilitate data collection and tracking.
3. Regular reporting to the governing entity of performance and outcome indicators.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Timely and accurate consumer data which provides for tracking across age and race ethnicity.
2. Timely transition of data to enhance continuity of care.

Benchmark: Real time authorizations for services.

3. Focused preventive and service planning for consumers.

Benchmark: Increased specialized and preventive services to at-risk consumers.

Human Resource Development

Standard

Staff training and development in the areas of cultural competence and racial/ethnic mental health shall be implemented at all levels and across disciplines, for leadership and governing entities, as well as for management and support staff. The strengths brought by cultural competence form the foundation for system performance rather than detracting or formulating separate agendas.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Certification for Mental Health Specialists shall be done locally and based on performance-based qualifications as determined by a local panel of culturally competent experts consistent with state, local, and tribal laws.
2. The Health Plan's clinical workforce shall include and make special effort to recruit and retain at least a proportional representative percentage of mental health professionals from each of the four groups and their subgroups being served.
3. Career ladders for the development and advancement of racial/ethnic staff shall be established for clinical and administrative supervisory and senior positions.
4. Differential pay rates shall be developed and implemented for specialized skills in cultural and linguistic competence in general, and for racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists in particular.
5. There shall be regularly required cultural competence training for all staff (see section on Cultural Competence Planning). Funding shall be designated to ensure this.
6. Specific continuing education requirements and performance-based standards shall be established for the development, maintenance, and continuance of clinically and culturally competent mental health providers to serve individuals from any of the four groups.
7. All states that license or certify providers of mental health services shall require cultural competency training prior to licensing or certification and for renewals. States shall establish accreditation standards for licensing bodies.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Establishment and evaluation of a credentialing process for racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists.
2. Recruitment, retention, and career development plan for racial/ethnic and other culturally competent mental health professionals.
3. Use of language fluency examinations to determine the level of competence of clinicians and interpreters to provide comprehensive clinical and preventive care.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Percentage of consumers from the four groups served in their preferred language.
Benchmark: 100%.
2. Percentage of consumers from the four groups served by, or under the supervision of, culturally competent bilingual/bicultural Mental Health Specialists.
Benchmark: 100%.
3. Proportionality of racial/ethnic staffing to the needs of the four populations.
Benchmark: There is a 1:1 match between need (not strict percentage of population) and staffing.
4. Percentage of staff receiving at least five hours of training annually in cultural competence awareness.
Benchmark: 100%.

Clinical Standards
and
Implementation Guidelines

Access and Service Authorization

Standard

Services shall be provided irrespective of immigration status, insurance coverage, and language. Access to services shall be individually- and family-oriented (including client-defined family) in the context of racial/ethnic cultural values. Access criteria for different levels of care shall include health/medical, behavior, and functioning in addition to diagnosis. Criteria shall be multidimensional in six domains: psychiatric, medical, spiritual, social functioning, behavior, and community support.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Specific procedures shall be developed to ensure comparability of access and receipt of benefits across populations. Racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists shall be involved in the development and ongoing implementation and evaluation of these procedures.
2. Gatekeeping, service authorization, and critical service junctures for consumers from the four groups shall be performed by or under the supervision of a culturally competent mental health professional.
3. Restrictive placements for consumers from the four groups shall be made only with prior cultural consultation. Restrictive placements include inpatient, residential, and involuntary treatment.
4. Access shall be decentralized and facilitated through multiple outreach and case-finding approaches. These approaches shall include strategic co-location within racial/ethnic community organizations, social service agencies, community action agencies, health centers, churches, mosques, schools, and neighborhood locales which are accessible through public transportation and in-home, in-community, and mobile care. They shall be publicized by culturally and linguistically appropriate information which allows client choices.
5. Agencies shall have the flexibility of providing services to consumers from the four groups who may not reside in the agency's geographic service area, when this is in the best interest of the clients.
6. Access to traditional healers and self-help services shall be covered by the benefits package.

7. The use of telephone numbers (e.g., 1-800) for access shall not be exclusive of other points of entry for 24 hour crisis service and shall be accompanied by education of consumers from the four groups in the use of such access procedures.
8. Legal documentation for immigrant groups shall not be a requirement for service and shall not serve as a barrier to service access. (Legal status shall not be confused with sponsored and unsponsored status.)
9. Confidentiality requirements shall be adapted to incorporate the values of consumers, particularly including family decisions about services when appropriate, so as not to serve as a barrier to care.
10. Equal availability of telephone and other communication means of access, for consumers and families from the four groups shall be assured. Staff who provide telephone access services shall be culturally and linguistically competent, and have access to racial/ethnic mental health professional staff for consultation.
11. Programs serving consumers and families of the four groups shall provide culturally inviting environments (e.g., decor, ambiance) as measured by consumer satisfaction surveys.
12. The Health Plan shall provide all consumers, families, and providers a culturally based and linguistically complete orientation and ongoing education about access to managed care.
13. Ability to pay shall not be a barrier to accessing services in a managed health care environment.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Procedures for access in place with specific provisions for consumers from the four groups.
2. Time from point of first contact through service provision for all levels of care are tracked by age, gender, ethnicity (i.e., particular subgroup and mixed origins), primary language, and level of functioning.
3. Rate and timeliness of response to telephone calls by consumers from the four groups.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Tracking of authorization decisions including denials, rationale, and disposition by ethnicity.

Benchmark: Comparability across ethnic groups served.

2. Tracking of access and utilization rates for populations of the four groups across all levels of care in comparison to the covered population.

Benchmark: Proportional to covered population.

3. Consumer and family satisfaction with access and authorization services.

Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.

Triage and Assessment

Standard

Assessment shall include a multi-dimensional focus including individual, family, and community strengths, functional, psychiatric, medical, and social status as well as family support.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Cultural and demographic factors in the assessment process relating to age, gender, sexual orientation, and relational roles shall be addressed in the assessment of consumers from the four groups for both consumers and families.
2. The assessment shall identify beliefs and practices; family organization and relational roles (traditional and non-traditional); effects of ethnically-related stressors such as poverty and discrimination; beliefs related to health/mental health; attribution of condition; spirituality; and history at help-seeking and treatment. History of immigration, assimilation, or acculturation also shall be part of the assessment.
3. Clinical and functional assessment scales utilized by managed mental health care systems, organizations, or providers shall be culturally competent, reliable, and validated for use with racial/ethnic consumers and their families.
4. Systemic cultural and ethnic factors shall be addressed to ensure accurate assessment and service planning (e.g., linguistic barriers, differences in symptom expression, culture-bound syndromes).
5. Racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists shall be involved, either directly or via consultation, especially at the time of care determination and prior to more restrictive placements, particularly with involuntary placement and treatment.
6. The consumer's preference for therapeutic linkages with the racial/ethnic community or family shall be considered prior to initiating service.
7. The use of family members as culturally informed individuals, including children when appropriate, shall be encouraged.
8. Linguistically and culturally appropriate admission/entrance forms and procedures shall be used.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Presence of specialized assessment procedures for consumers from the four groups.
2. Inclusion of cultural factors in the assessment of consumers from the four groups.
3. Inclusion of family members, as appropriate, and significant community stakeholders in the assessment process for consumers from the four groups. Documentation of efforts to include family and significant others, or rationale when not done.
4. Involvement of culturally competent racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists in assessment and treatment planning process and at other critical treatment junctures.
5. The recognition of not attributing to culture what is the person's psychopathology,

Recommended Outcomes

1. Consumer, family, and stakeholder satisfaction with the assessment process.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.
2. Consistency of service authorizations with utilization management practice for consumers from the four groups.
Benchmark: Comparable across the four groups, and in general, increasing over time.
3. Reduction of frequency of treatment plan revisions resulting from inadequate diagnosis and assessment.
Benchmark: Comparable across the four groups and decreasing over time.
4. Compliance with Guidelines for assessment.
Benchmark: 90% compliance.

Care Planning

Standard

Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall be compatible with the cultural framework and community environment of consumers and family members. When appropriate, care plans shall involve culturally indicated family leaders and decision makers

Implementation Guidelines

1. Care planning and other critical treatment decisions for consumers from the four groups shall be performed, or supervised directly, by racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists.
2. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall incorporate consumer-driven goals and objectives that are functionally defined and oriented toward measurable recovery and rehabilitation outcomes.
3. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall address culturally-defined and socioeconomic needs relevant to the consumer's condition and stressors when appropriate.
3. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall incorporate family and cultural strengths, traditional healers, religious and spiritual resources, natural support systems, community organizations, racial/ethnic self-help organizations, and interagency resources, except when clinically and/or culturally contraindicated.
4. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall address and coordinate the mental health needs of the individual within the context of the entire family, including coordination among multiple providers with a single point of clinical accountability.
5. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall include consumer and family education about problems and conditions being addressed. Plans shall also include treatment modalities, particularly those addressing cultural beliefs and attitudes about health and mental health, as well as education about preventive approaches.
6. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall address coordination of mental and physical health, as well as other needed social and treatment services (e.g., housing, transportation, education, services for substance abuse and other addictive behavior), according to the health beliefs and practices of the consumer and family.

7. Care plans for consumers from the four groups shall develop specialized approaches to maintain continuity of care, prevent symptom relapse, and reduce recidivism to more restrictive and expensive services, including flexible purchase of wrap-around services.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Consumer and family involvement and investment in the development of, and agreement with, the Care Plan.
2. Culturally defined needs addressed in the care plans of consumers from the four groups.
3. Leadership by racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists in the care planning process for consumers from the four groups.
4. Inclusion of traditional healers in the Care Plan for consumers or family from the four groups, except when contraindicated.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Consumer and family satisfaction with Care Plan.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction via an independent evaluator.
2. Consumer and family involvement with Care Plan.
Benchmark: 100% documented involvement.
3. Functional outcomes in domains of daily living (e.g., housing, restrictiveness of placement, access to primary health care, family role, vocational/educational/employment, community tenure, and community engagement).
Benchmark: Comparable to overall community and significant improvement in at least one domain of function for over 75% of consumers.

Plan of Treatment

Standard

The Treatment Plan for consumers from the four groups shall be relevant to their culture and life experiences. It shall be developed by or under the guidance of a culturally competent provider in conjunction with the consumer and family, where appropriate.

Implementation Guidelines

1. The Treatment Plan for consumers from the four groups shall include consumer and family involvement, when appropriate, in its development and agreement
2. Group homes utilized as least restrictive placements shall be monitored for compliance with state and local standards, regulations, and laws, as well as protocols for services. Best practices shall be encouraged in the process. Where such protocols do not exist, they shall be developed to ensure that group homes do not become holding facilities.
3. If authorized by the consumer, the Treatment Plan shall include contact with and utilization of racial/ethnic community organizations.
4. Psychotherapeutic modalities shall be conducted within the context of the value system of consumers from the four groups and their families (e.g., egalitarian, participatory, family-focused, spirituality), and shall address issues specific to their life experiences (e.g., racism, discrimination, violence, gender role conflicts, and life transitions).
5. Treatment planning shall be based on knowledge and skills derived from culturally competent interventions and models of care. These shall include concepts of recovery and rehabilitation that also consider cultural norms, values (e.g., spirituality, community, family), and critical life experiences (e.g., racism and discrimination).
6. Care planning and other critical treatment decisions for consumers from the four groups shall be performed or supervised directly by a culturally competent mental health professional. Managed care plans shall include culturally competent independent mental health practitioners within their networks..
7. The Treatment Plan for consumers from the four groups shall incorporate consumer-driven goals and objectives that are functionally defined and oriented towards measurable recovery and rehabilitative outcomes.

8. The Treatment Plan for consumers from the four groups shall address culturally defined and socio-economic needs.
9. Treatment plans for consumers from the four groups shall reflect awareness of the mental health needs of the entire family, especially when children are the consumers. Coordination among multiple providers, with a single point of clinical accountability, shall occur and be documented.
10. Treatment plans for consumers from the four groups shall address coordination of mental health and physical health, substance abuse, as well as other needed clinical services such as housing, transportation, employment, and education.
11. The Treatment Plan for consumers from the four groups shall be developed so that interventions provide for least restrictive placements, continuum of care, discharge, and cultural competence in treatment modalities and medication usage.
12. Level of care decisions shall be based on established protocols that are culturally relative to the consumer. These protocols shall be reviewed periodically with the consumer, and involved family as appropriate, by providers. Placement considerations shall include consumer and family preferences. Placement within or supported by the family shall be the preferred arrangement unless otherwise clinically contraindicated.
13. Creative or innovative options and interventions should be developed for consumers from the four groups who, for whatever reason, have been labeled historically as non-compliant to treatment.
14. Treatment plans for consumers from the four groups shall include broad based culturally competent educational programs that explain the problems or conditions being treated, treatment methods, concepts of recovery, rehabilitation, prevention, and self-help approaches in communication styles understandable to the consumer.
15. Treatment plans for consumers from the four groups shall be developed by a culturally competent staff. In the absence of a culturally competent staff, external consultation with a culturally competent mental health professional shall be obtained.
16. The decision to go forward with treatment of a consumer from one of the four groups shall be based on a mutually agreed upon written understanding or contract between the consumer and provider.
17. In cases of consumers who present with acute mental illnesses requiring psychopharmacological interventions, the provider shall discuss medications and their effects with the consumer and family as soon as the consumer is able. A statement signed by the consumer and counter-signed by the provider that this guideline has been followed shall be inserted in the case record.
18. The Treatment Plan shall reflect specialized approaches to maintain continuity of care,

prevent symptom relapse, and reduce re-hospitalization.

19. Culturally specific literature in the communication style, language, and appropriate to the literacy level of the consumer on the prevalence of psychiatric disorders, treatment options, and psychopharmacological interventions shall be distributed to consumers from the four groups and their families.
20. Informed consent shall be obtained prior to dispensing medication. The informed consent document shall be specific regarding the nature of the medication and its potential and demonstrated benefits and side effects. The physician prescribing the medication shall be responsible for ensuring that medication information is explained in a culturally specific and clear manner. The consumer shall acknowledge, by signature, that he/she understands the medication prescribed and its potential benefits and side effects. The signed forms shall be dated and included in the consumer's chart. The prescribing physician shall be knowledgeable regarding the physiologically-specific effects of psychotropic medication on consumers from the four groups.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. The Treatment Plan reflects both consumer and family involvement in its development and agreement. The degree of family involvement depends on the wishes of the consumer.
2. The organization has a written policy and a demonstrated practice linking families to advocacy and education groups.
3. The organization has a written policy which expressly targets least restrictive environments for residential placement in or near the community.
4. There is evidence in the Treatment Plan that proposed psychotherapeutic modalities address specific cultural issues and are conducted with specific cultural values.
5. There is evidence in the Treatment Plan of the use of racial/ethnic community services and resources.
6. The Treatment Plan was developed with a culturally competent clinician (defined in chapter on Human Resource Development) or consultation from such a clinician
7. The Treatment Plan is oriented towards measurable recovery and rehabilitation outcomes.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Documented level of involvement of racial/ethnic consumers, and family when appropriate, in the development and agreement with the Treatment Plan. The level of involvement is at least comparable with non-racial/ethnic groups.
2. Evidence of a policy linking families to advocacy and education groups.
3. Documentation of the level of referrals of families to advocacy and education groups.
4. Evidence of a policy which expressly targets least restrictive environments for residential placement in or near the community. Evidence that the policy has been approved by culturally competent consultants
5. Documentation that illustrates how critical life issues such as racism, discrimination, violence, gender role conflicts, and life transitions are addressed. Documentation that illustrates how values such as spirituality, community, and family are addressed.
6. Evidence that a culturally competent clinician was involved in the development of the Treatment Plan, e.g. signature.
7. Evidence that outcomes are re-evaluated by a culturally competent clinician when goals have been achieved.

Treatment Services

Standard

The Health Plan shall assure that the full array of generally available treatment modalities are tailored such that they are culturally acceptable and effective with populations of the four groups (e.g., psycho-education, psychosocial rehabilitation, family therapy, specialized group therapy, behavioral approaches, use of traditional healers, and outreach).

Implementation Guidelines

1. Consumers and families shall be informed about treatment choices available under the Health Plan, as well as about medications and other treatments not available in the Health Plan. The final decision to be treated rests with the consumer.
2. Treatment for consumers from the four groups and their families shall be consumer-driven and performed or guided by culturally competent Mental Health Specialists.
3. Assignment of clinicians to consumers from the four groups and their families shall be based on a match between clinician skills, including cultural competency, and the consumer's clinical, cultural, and linguistic needs.
4. The optimal utilization of the racial/ethnic clinical workforce includes affording these clinicians a variety of clinical experiences including service to consumers other than consumers from similar backgrounds.
5. The workforce serving consumers from the four groups shall meet the needs of these consumers and their families while maintaining comparability in overall workload with other clinical providers. This shall take into consideration requests for interpretation and use of other specialized skills, so that they are not in addition to regular duties.
6. The Health Plan shall contract with, and utilize, local racial/ethnic community-based organizations and independent practitioners in its network and include them in the provider's network or panel. Such providers shall demonstrate cultural competence.
7. Psychotherapeutic modalities shall address psychological issues specific to consumers from the four groups, e.g., current and historical trauma, acculturation, intergenerational and gender role distinctions, and life transitions.

8. Psychological evaluation shall be conducted by qualified practitioners trained in ethnic-specific biological, physiological, cultural, socioeconomic, and psychological variables. Psychological evaluations also shall be provided based on the use of culturally and linguistically competent literature and other specialized approaches. Specific knowledge concerning the norms, biases, and limitations of each instrument used shall be demonstrated.
9. The principle of least restrictive levels of care shall govern treatment and placement decisions, with family placement preferable unless otherwise indicated. Level of care decisions shall be governed by protocols to ensure timely and accurate decision-making and shall be designed and carried out by, or in consultation with, qualified culturally competent Mental Health Specialists.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Protocols for level of care decisions for consumers from the four underserved/ underrepresented groups.
2. Distinction and equivalence of services for consumers from the four underserved/ underrepresented groups.
3. Specialized protocols for prevention of symptom relapse and reduction of recidivism for consumers from the four groups.
4. Culturally and linguistically competent literature on prevalent psychiatric disorders, medical treatment options, and pharmacological interventions distributed to all consumers from the four groups and their families.
5. Consumers receive services by traditional healers, when appropriate and accepted.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Consumer and family satisfaction with treatment services.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.
2. Inclusion of culturally specific activities and domains of daily living (e.g., housing, access to primary health care and maintenance, family role, behavioral/developmental, vocational/ educational/employment, and community tenure) in treatment services.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall population served and significant improvement in at least one domain of function for more than 75% of consumers.

3. Rates of symptom relapse and recidivism into restrictive level of care or other restrictive placements.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall population served and significant reductions over time.

4. Rates of medication side effects, adverse incidents, and utilization of latest pharmacological interventions.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall population served and reduction of medication side effects and adverse incidents.

5. Rates of adverse occurrences during treatment (e.g., suicide, homicide, self-injury, accidents, physical and sexual abuse) within comparable age groups.

Benchmark: Comparable to overall population served and decreasing over time.

6. Demonstrated incorporation of value of cultural identity, including ethnicity (subgroup membership and mixed origin) and other relevant factors in treatment services.

Discharge Planning

Standard

Discharge planning for consumers and families from the four underserved/underrepresented racial/ethnic groups shall include involvement of the consumer and family in the development and implementation of the plan and evaluation of outcomes. Discharge planning shall be done within a culturally competent framework and in a communication style congruent with the consumer's values. The plan shall allow for transfer to less restrictive levels of care in addition to termination of treatment based on accomplishment of mutually agreed upon goals in the treatment plan.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Discharge planning for consumers from the four groups shall involve the consumer, family, or legal guardian who have participated in supporting the consumer's treatment course.
2. The plan shall include case management and aggressive outreach to assure contact is made with the consumer and family, to minimize “administrative” termination which typically results from culturally inappropriate services.
3. Discharge planning shall ensure that steps were taken to address linkages to the next level of care. Documentation shall also demonstrate that a reasonable effort to define the next steps in treatment was made. The provider of case management services shall communicate, discuss, and facilitate linkage to the next level of care.
4. Discharge planning for consumers from the four groups shall acknowledge and recognize the skills needed and the resources available to facilitate a successful recovery program.
5. Discharge planning for consumers from the four groups shall include the identification of personal, familial, community, and other support systems to help them improve and maintain healthy lifestyles.
6. Discharge planning for consumers from the four groups shall include an assessment of the biopsychosocial environment to ensure minimum disruption in their quality of life.
7. Discharge planning shall include identification of a case manager or primary provider to act as the single point of responsibility for coordinating care.

8. Discharge planning for consumers from the four groups shall include assurances that consumers who fail to return to treatment will receive active follow-up to assure their level of care needs are met.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Consumer and family involvement in development of treatment plan.
2. Documented provision of case management services designed to facilitate linkage to next level of care.
3. Involvement of consumers and their identified support systems in improving and maintaining the consumer's health.
4. Documented efforts to contact consumers who fail to return for treatment.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Consumer and family satisfaction with discharge plans.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction via an independent evaluator.
2. Consumer involvement with discharge plan.
Benchmark: 100% documented involvement.
3. Consumer remains connected to health care system in accordance with treatment plan.
Benchmark: 100% documented involvement.
4. No more than 10% of consumers from the four groups in the plan who receive restrictive level of care services experience recidivism.
Benchmark: No more than 10% documented recidivism.

Case Management

Standard

Case management shall be central to the operation of the interdisciplinary treatment team and shall be based on the level of care needed by the primary consumer. Case managers for consumers from the four groups shall have special skills in advocacy, access of community-based services and systems, and interagency coordination. Case management shall also be consumer- and family-driven. Case managers shall be accountable for the cost and appropriateness of the services they coordinate. The Managed Care Plan shall maintain responsibility for the successful and appropriate implementation of the Case Management Plan and providing adequate administrative resources and endorsement.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Case managers working with consumers from the four groups and their families shall demonstrate their level of cultural competence as part of their privileging and credentialing standards within the Plan.
2. Case managers shall be knowledgeable about the four groups and their subgroups, their resources, and natural supports.
3. Case managers shall have access to flexible funds for the provision of wrap-around services.
4. Case management shall be continuous and proportional to the degree of the consumer's need, level of impairment, and person/family resilience. The case manager shall act as a single point of contact in the Plan and have support for coordinating service across all levels of the system of care.
5. The Health Plan shall ensure and enforce that caseloads for case managers are consistent with industry standards, accounting for severity of consumer impairment/case mix and associated cultural stressors. It shall be recognized that case or care management for patients who have limited English proficiency and/or have a broadly defined "client-defined family" and/or social network (e.g., clan leader) relevant to their care, may require additional time for planning and coordination.
6. Practice privileges shall be afforded to case managers across the entire system of care including settings such as inpatient facilities.

7. Each member of the consumer's Treatment Plan shall have responsibility for developing progress notes and reports as appropriate.
8. The primary provider/case manager shall periodically review the consumer's progress in accordance with the Treatment Plan. Changes in the Treatment Plan's components shall be reviewed with the consumer before implementation.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Cultural competence requirements commensurate with level of responsibility, including culturally competent supervision of case managers who serve consumers from the four groups.
2. Cultural competence training for all case managers as part of a credentialing process.
3. Community resources and natural supports included in all care plans.
4. Use of flexible funding for consumers from the four groups, comparable to others.
5. Sufficient numbers of case managers to support caseload and workload standards for consumers from the four groups.
6. Consumer and case manager involvement in treatment decisions across all levels of care.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Consumer and family satisfaction with services selection and coordination.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.
2. Reduction in utilization of and lengths of stay in more restrictive levels of care.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall community and decreasing over time.
3. Access to culturally competent mental health care across all levels of care within the system.
Benchmark: Comparable to overall community and increasing over time.

Communication Styles and Cross-cultural Linguistic and Communication Support

Standard

Cross-cultural communication support to participate in all services shall be provided at the option of consumers and families at no additional cost to them. Access to these services shall be available at the point of entry into the system and throughout the course of services.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Bilingual mental health staff and interpreters shall be certified or otherwise have formally demonstrated their linguistic competence. Use of family members as interpreters, especially children, shall be strictly prohibited.
2. Policy and procedures shall be present and implemented which demonstrate performance-based clinical, cultural, and linguistic competence of designated trained interpreters.
3. Use of tertiary telephonic interpreters shall be discouraged because of inconsistent availability of interpreters and lack of mental health training. accuracy and reliability. Although not optimal, video telecommunication shall be considered acceptable from improving accuracy and reliability. In areas with limited linguistic support resources, qualified telephonic interpreters with training in mental health shall be considered acceptable, but only in emergency situations.
4. Interpreters and translators working with consumers from the four groups and families shall be trained in formal interpretation techniques and supervised by culturally competent racial/ethnic Mental Health Specialists.
5. Training shall be provided to all clinicians in the use of interpreters for consumers from the four groups and their families. This training shall emphasize linguistics and culture.
6. All pertinent written and oral and symbolic consumer and family materials (including consent forms, statement of rights forms, posters, signs, and audio tape recordings) provided to consumers from the four groups and their families shall be interpreted from the appropriate cultural perspective, as measured by consumer satisfaction surveys. Questions and concerns shall be actively solicited.

7. Restricted or residential settings shall have the capacity to communicate effectively with monolingual, non-English speakers and individuals with culturally different or unique communication styles.
8. An annual updated directory must be maintained by the mental health organization of paid trained interpreters who are available within 24 hours for routine situations and within one hour for urgent situations.
9. The Health Plan shall designate a single fixed point of administrative responsibility for cross-cultural communication support services.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Sufficient numbers of professional staff competent in the communication styles of consumers from the four groups so as to minimize the use of interpreters.
2. Yearly updated directory of trained interpreters available within 24 hours for routine situations and within one hour or less for urgent situations.
3. Time between point of first contact and communication support services, across all levels of care, and to all consumers and their families.
4. Existence of core curriculum and training program for interpreters and staff.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Linguistically competent services are provided to racial/ethnic consumers.
Benchmark: 100% of limited English-proficient individuals served.
2. Satisfaction rates related to communication styles and linguistically competent services by racial/ethnic consumers.
Benchmark: 90% satisfaction.
3. Elimination of misdiagnosis and inadequate treatment plans resulting from failure to communicate effectively with consumers from the four groups.
Benchmark: Comparable to standards of care for general population and improving over time.

4. All levels of care meet the standards for the provision of linguistically competent services.

Benchmark: Comparable across groups and increasing over time.

Self Help

Standard

Culturally competent self help groups shall be created to provide services to consumers from the four groups and their families. The self help groups shall function as part of a continuum of care. Self help groups for consumers from the four groups shall incorporate consumer-driven goals and objectives that are functionally defined and oriented towards rehabilitative and recovery outcomes. Equal consideration and support shall be given to family and primary consumer self help groups.

Implementation Guidelines

1. Managed care plans for behavioral health programs shall include resources to enable consumers from the four groups and their families to conduct self help groups.
2. Consumers from the four groups and their families shall design, implement, and evaluate self help programs. Culturally competent mental health professionals shall serve in a consultative or educational role at the request of the consumer group.
3. Existing self help entities (programs, agencies, and organizations) that provide services to consumers from the four groups and their families shall be identified, acknowledged, and supported to ensure that they meet these standards.
4. Self help planning for consumers from the four groups and their families shall include consumer and family education about problems and conditions being treated, and preventive and treatment approaches.
5. Consumer self help groups shall be given opportunities to advocate on behalf of consumers from the four groups and their families to ensure that benefit packages, changes in benefits, alterations in services, location of service programs, and changes in providers are congruent with consumer needs.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Resources are expended on self-help groups.
2. In-kind support is expended on self-help groups including such items as meeting rooms, advertising, and/or conducting mailings.
3. Consumers and family participate in self-help or support groups.
4. The agency provides information about disorders and treatment approaches to consumers and family in a culturally competent manner.
5. The Managed Care Mental Health Plan supports the involvement of consumer and family self-help groups in planning for services.

Recommended Outcomes

1. The total amount of expenditures on consumer-run mental health services in one year, divided by expenditures on mental health services.
2. The estimates expenditures on consumer-run mental health services in one year, divided by expenditures on mental health services.
3. Documentation of the level and proportion of racial/ethnic consumers and family who participate in self-help groups or support groups. This should be comparable with the participation of non-racial/ethnic groups.

Provider Competencies

Knowledge, Understanding, Skills, and Attitudes

Standard

The following areas of knowledge and understanding, skills, and attitudes shall be essential components of core continuing education to ensure cultural competence among clinical staff and to promote effective response to the mental health needs of individuals from the four groups.

Knowledge and Understanding of:

Consumer Populations' Backgrounds

1. Factors which define cultural differences between and among different racial/ethnic populations, including differences related to history, traditions, values, belief systems, acculturation and migration patterns, reasons for immigration/migration, and dialect and language fluency.
2. Particular psychosocial stressors and traumas relevant for consumers from the four groups. These include war, trauma, violence, migration, unique aspects of cultural survival and maintenance, socioeconomic status, political unrest, racism, discrimination, and culturally-based belief systems.
3. The effects of the acculturation process on individuals from the four groups.
4. How class, ethnicity, social status, and racism influence behavior, attitudes, values, belief systems, and mental health of consumers from the four groups.

Knowledge and Understanding of:

Clinical Issues

1. Differences in symptom expression, symptom language, and symptomatic patterns of individuals from the four groups with mental illness/emotional disturbance.
2. Culture-bound syndromes associated with the four groups and their subcultures.
3. Differences in thresholds of psychiatric distress in consumers from the four groups and

tolerance of symptomatology by their natural support systems.

4. Nuances of verbal and nonverbal language, speech patterns, and communication styles in the four groups and their subgroups.
5. Dynamics of language use and conceptual frameworks among monolingual and bilingual consumers from the four groups.
6. Differences in the attribution of mental illness (religious, supernatural, etc.) and issues of stigma specific to specific racial/ethnic groups and subgroups.
7. Differences between “culturally acceptable” behaviors and psychopathology within the four groups and their subgroups.
8. Help-seeking behaviors of individuals from the four groups.
9. Role and manifestation of spiritual, tradition, values, and practice beliefs and their integration into treatment.
10. Consumers from the four groups within a family life cycle and intergenerational conceptual framework in addition to individual identity development framework.
11. The-varying effects of commonly used medications on individuals from the four groups.
12. Assessment tools and their limitations, particularly their uses and limitations for the four groups.
13. The impact of psychosocial stressors versus intrapsychic stressors in consumers from the four groups.

Knowledge and Understanding of:

How to Provide Appropriate Treatment

1. Differences in the acceptability and effectiveness of various treatment modalities for individuals from the four groups.
2. Use of culturally informed and qualified interpreters for monolingual consumers when qualified bilingual clinicians are not available.
3. Use of culturally informed individuals, including family members when appropriate, by clinicians serving consumers from the four groups.
4. Social, political, and economic conditions in the community when developing, implementing,

and evaluating programs for consumers and families from the four groups.

5. Use of natural community supports and other community resources for consumers from the four groups.
6. Indigenous healing practices and the role of belief systems (religion and spirituality) in the treatment of consumers from the four underserved/ underrepresented groups.

Knowledge and Understanding of:

Agency/Provider Role

1. Personal and cultural biases of staff and how they may affect benefits and service design and delivery.
2. The role and types of power relationships within the community, agency, or institution and their effect on consumers from the four groups.
3. Ways that mainstream professional values may conflict with, or be responsible to, the needs of consumers from the four groups.
4. Effects of institutional racism and historical barriers on social service policies for individuals from the four groups, and knowledge of how to reduce barriers through use of and participation in systems change efforts.
5. Resources (agencies, persons, informal helping networks, research) that shall be utilized on behalf of consumers from the four groups and their communities.

Knowledge and Skills to:

Communicate Effectively Across Cultures

1. Communicate and listen effectively across cultures, and across all levels of care.
2. Engage and establish rapport with individuals from the four groups using socially and culturally appropriate conventions.

Knowledge and Skills to:

Provide Quality Assessments

1. Conduct culturally competent interviews which take into account the psychological, social, biological, physiological, cultural, political, spiritual, and environmental aspects of the consumer's experience.
2. Assess consumers from the four groups with an understanding of cultural differences in symptom expression, thresholds of psychiatric distress, and culture-bound syndromes.
3. Appropriately refer for and use assessment tools, recognizing the limitations of psychological tests and testing procedures when used with consumers from the four groups.

Knowledge and Skills to:

Formulate and Implement Quality Care and Treatment Plans

1. Formulate culturally competent service plans (case management and treatment) that fit consumer and family's concept of mental illness.
2. Create and implement multidimensional service plans (case management and treatment) that include culture, family, and community.
3. Utilize culturally appropriate community resources (e.g., family, clans, societies, church, community members, and other groups).
4. Provide psychotherapeutic and psychopharmacological interventions with an understanding of different biological and physiological responses to medications based on physical, cultural, and racial/ethnic differences.

Knowledge and Skills to:

Provide Quality Treatment

1. Provide psycho-educational interventions which promote consumer and family voice and ownership in shaping the service delivery system.
2. Empower and advocate for consumers, families, and communities.

3. Use consumer's preferred language and dialect to elicit the range and nuances of emotions, feelings, dynamics, etc.
4. Refer to providers who shall use consumer's preferred language/dialect.
5. Know when and how to use trained interpreters.
6. When indicated, appropriately address racial/ethnic issues in treatment.

Knowledge and Skills to:

Use One's Self and Knowledge in the Treatment Process

1. Recognize one's own limitations, and know when to refer consumers to consultants from the four groups.
2. Evaluate applications of new techniques, exemplary practices, research, and knowledge as to their validity and applicability in working with the four groups.

Knowledge and Skills:

Attitudes

1. Demonstrate attitudes that indicate a respect for the consumer's immigration, migration, colonization, and acculturation experiences.
2. Demonstrate attitudes that indicate a respect for the diverse heritages, cultures, and experiences of consumers from the four groups.
3. Demonstrate attitudes that indicate a willingness to work with culturally, ethnically, and racially diverse populations.
4. Demonstrate an understanding of and respect for how one's self as provider/therapist (especially the degree of one's cultural, ethnic, and racial match to the consumer) may influence the therapeutic relationship. Recognize the need to process this dynamic, and in some cases refer the consumer for his/her treatment benefit.

Recommended Performance Indicators

1. Ongoing program planning and implementation of behavioral health services which meet the needs of consumers from the four groups and their communities.
2. Human Resource Development Plan inclusive of recruitment, retention and development of staff at all levels to enhance and ensure quality culturally competent services to consumers from the four groups and their communities.

Recommended Outcomes

1. Provider shall demonstrate ongoing assessment of behavioral health needs of consumers from the four groups and their communities.

Benchmark: Documented annual assessment and service planning process.

2. Provider services and programs that reflect the needs of consumers from the four racial/ ethnic groups and their communities.

Benchmark: Implementation of services and programs which are commensurate with the (changing) needs of the consumer and community using consumer and community assessment data..

3. Human Resource Development Plan to enhance culturally competent mental health services for the four racial/ethnic groups is established and implemented.

Benchmark: Documented participation of all provider staff in annual training sessions.

Glossary

Glossary

Access

Accessibility of mental health services to people who need them in a manner that facilitates their use; providing the opportunity for people to obtain mental health services from behavioral health providers; providing an active program of community information and outreach to motivate participation in mental health services.

Alternative/Traditional Healer (folk healer)

An individual who is respected by the community, who has cultural knowledge and training to relieve people of their physical and emotional afflictions within their cultural beliefs who sometimes uses physical approaches, spirituality, herbs, and other techniques as a form of healing; individual recognized by a cultural group or tradition with the authority and power to perform rituals, ceremonies, or utilize medicinal substances for physical and spiritual healing.

Bicultural

The ability to understand and function effectively in two or more cultural environments. An individual who is bicultural is not necessarily culturally competent.

Bilingual

The ability to effectively speak two or more languages. Individuals who are involved in serving limited English-proficient persons shall be certified to do so.

Comparability of Benefits

Benefits, afforded to various cultural/ethnic or socioeconomic groups which are relatively equal to each other; relatively the same services provided across all populations served, including any adaptations necessary to reach equal access and utilization.

Competence

Competence is the application of knowledge and the interpersonal, decision-making, and psychomotor skills expected for the practice role (National Council of State Boards of Nursing, Inc. 1996)

Competent

Properly or well qualified and capable.

Critical Service Junctures

Critical service junctures include crisis, evaluation/assessment, treatment planning, treatment plan review/renewal, crisis planning, placement in residential or restrictive settings (including inpatient) and discharge determinations.

Cultural Competency

Acceptance and respect for difference, continuing self-assessment regarding culture, attention to the dynamics of difference, ongoing development of cultural knowledge and resources and flexibility within service models to work towards better meeting the needs of minority populations.

Cultural Consultation

Consultation from an individual knowledgeable about a particular culture. Having to do specifically with culture but not necessarily with mental health clinical issues.

Culture

The integrated pattern of human behavior that includes thought, communication, actions, customs, beliefs, values and institutions of a racial, ethnic, religious, or social group. Culture defines the preferred ways for meeting needs.

Folk Healer

See Alternative/Traditional Healer.

Grievance

A problem or complaint presented formally, orally and/or in writing in a prepaid Health Plan for information, action, or resolution.

Health Plan/Plan

Managed Care Plan or network; equally applies to public agencies delivering managed services; a care system, public or private, based on capitated rates in which costs shall be managed through effective care.

Interpreter

Individual trained and certified in facilitating oral, written, or manual communication between two or more people of different languages; interpreters shall have in-depth knowledge not only of the language, but also of cultural values, beliefs, and verbal and non-verbal expressions.

Management Information System

A system (almost universally automated or computer based) which collects the necessary information in proper form and at appropriate intervals for managing a program or other activities. The system shall afford indicators which measure program progress toward objectives, identify discrete costs, and facilitate identifying problems that need attention.

Mental Health Cultural Specialist

A mental health professional who is certified culturally competent and has demonstrated skill and in-depth knowledge of a specific racial/ethnic group, including skills and knowledge of mental health needs, to serve as a resource person for this particular culturally distinct population.

Provider

An organization or individual, such as a hospital or physician, that provides and is reimbursed for behavioral health care service.

Quality Assurance

Systematic efforts to review and improve the caliber of services provided; activities and programs intended to assure the improvement of care in a defined medical setting or program. Such efforts shall include educational or other approaches intended to remedy identified deficiencies in services and methods, as well as the components necessary to identify such deficiencies (such as peer or utilization review components); the intended objective shall be to assess the program’s own effectiveness.

Sponsored

Person covered by a particular health plan.

Standards

Standards are the generally accepted principles for the best/most appropriate way to provide clinical care for patients with mental illness.

Standards are the criteria or set of rules that describe the expected levels of clinical and system behavior as well as courses of action based on research and experience.

Traditional

Time-honored practices, which vary among groups.

Translator

Individual trained to render written or spoken information from one language to another.

Un-sponsored

Person not covered by a particular health plan.

Value Added

Greater clinical or cost-effectiveness in a service when it is provided in a specialized or modified manner. As an example, a person with skills to perform in more than one capacity (i.e., professionally serve both English-speaking and Spanish-speaking consumers).