## **Archived Information**

## INDIAN EDUCATION

(GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCIES, SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR INDIAN CHILDREN, AND NATIONAL ACTIVITIES)

Goal: To help American Indian and Alaska Native children achieve to the same challenging standards expected of all students by supporting access to programs that	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
meet their unique educational and culturally related academic need.	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> The Formula Grants to Local Educational Agencies Program (Title IX, Part A, SubPart 1, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as	1985	\$65	2000	\$77
amended) (20 U.S.C. 7811-7818, 7881). Special Programs and Projects to Improve Educational Opportunities for Indian child (Sections 9121, 9122, and 9123 Programs)	1990	\$71	2001	\$115
(Title IX, Part A, SubPart 2 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended, (20 U.S.C. 7831-7833; 7881).	1995	\$77	2002 (Requested)	\$116

## **Program Description**

The purpose of the ESEA Indian Education programs is to address the special educational and culturally related academic needs of American Indian and Alaska Native children and adults.

The Formula Grants program provides grants to local educational agencies (LEAs), certain schools funded by Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), and Indian tribes under certain conditions. These grants serve 422,000 students in the public schools and BIA schools in 41 states through more than 1,200 projects. Activities typically include tutoring, dropout prevention strategies, early childhood and family programs emphasizing school readiness, culturally related projects, and enrichment activities that directly support the attainment of state content and performance standards.

The legislation promotes accountability for results and the integration of Indian Education services with other educational programs and with broader educational reforms under way in states and communities. Toward this end, the law requires each local education agency (LEA) to develop a comprehensive plan for its overall approach to the education of Indian students. The plan must describe how local, state, and federal funds available to the district will be used to pursue the LEA's goals for these students. LEAs must report periodically to their communities on the progress they have made toward attainment of their goals.

The Special Program for Indian Children authorizes a variety of discretionary programs designed to improve the quality of education for Indian students. These programs make competitive rewards, and applications are evaluated based in criteria specified in regulations.

## **Program Performance**

OBJECTIVE 1: AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE STUDENTS SERVED BY LEAS RECEIVING INDIAN EDUCATION FORMULA GRANTS WILL PROGRESS AT RATES SIMILAR TO THOSE FOR ALL STUDENTS IN ACHIEVEMENT TO STANDARDS, PROMOTION, AND GRADUATION.

Indicat	or 1.1 Student achievement: Incr	reasing percentages of American	Indian and Alaska Native students will meet	or exceed the performance standards
establis	shed by national assessments.			
	Targets and Perform	mance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
	Percentage of American Indian and Alaska Native students in grade 4 who were at		Status: Reading—No statistically significant	Source: National Assessment of Educational
	or above basic level in reading on NAEP		change. Math—Positive movement toward the	Progress, 2000, 2002; Schools and Staffing
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	targets.	Survey, 1997.
1994:	48%			Frequency: Biennially.
1998:	47%		<b>Explanation:</b> Increases in the percentage of	Next collection update: 2000.
1999:	Data Collected Biennially	Data not available	students scoring above basic in math are	Date to be reported: Unknown.
2000:	Data Not Yet Available	Continuing increase	occurring; however, similar increases are not	Walladan Barralana Barralana Barralana
2001:		Not available	occurring in reading because reading is more	Validation Procedure: Data validated by
2002:		60%	influenced by external factors other than school.	National Center for Education Statistics review
	Percentage of American Indian and Alaska Native students in grade 8 who were at or above basic level in reading on NAEP			procedures and National Center for Education Statistics statistical standards.
1994:	63%			<b>Limitations of Data:</b> The small sample (for the
1998:	61%			sub-population of American Indian and Alaska
1999:	Data Collected Biennially	Not available		Native students) means there is a high degree of
2000:	Data Not Yet Available	Continuing increase		standard error surrounding the estimates and
2001:		Not available		limits data collection and possibilities for
2002:		70%		comparison to other populations. These
	age of American Indian and Alaska Na ove basic level in math on NAEP	tive students in grade 4 who scored		estimates will vary greatly until a larger population is surveyed.
1992:	43%			
1996:	52%			
1999:	Data Collected Biennially	Not available		
2000:	Data Not Yet Available	Continuing increase		
2001:		Not available		
2002:		64%		
	age of American Indian and Alaska Na ove basic level in math on NAEP			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		
1992:	39%			
1996:	52%			
1999:	Data Collected Biennially	Continuing increase		
2000:	Data Not Yet Available	Continuing increase		
2001:		Continuing increase		
2002:		62%		

Indicator 1.2 Increasing percentages of American Indian and Alaska Native students will meet or exceed the performance standards established by states.					
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Number of states reporting an increase in the percentage of students in schools who meet proficient and advanced performance levels in reading and math			Status: Unable to judge.	<b>Source:</b> Consolidated State Performance Reports, Title I Section.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> The 1994 Elementary and	Frequency: Annually. Next collection update: Winter 2000.	
1998-1999:	No Data Available	Not applicable	Secondary School Act requires, by 2000-01,		
1999-2000:	No Data Available	Baseline to be set	disaggregation of achievement data submitted by	Date to be reported: Unknown.	
2009-2010:		Not applicable	states to reflect American Indian and Alaska Native proficiency levels on state assessments.	Validation Procedure: To be verified by Department attestation process and <u>Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Data</u> .	
				Limitations of Data and Planned	
				Improvements: Substantial variation across	
				states in their definitions of proficient student	
				performance.	
Indicator 1. all students	•		es of American Indian and Alaska Native stu		
	Targets and Performan	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percentage of school gradua		20 to 24 years old who are high	Status: Data have been collected. Progress toward target is likely.	<b>Source:</b> U.S. Census 1990, 2000, and 2010. <i>Frequency:</i> 10 years (U.S. Census 2000).	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Next collection update: 2000.	
1989-1990:	70%		<b>Explanation:</b> Projects are targeting services to	Date to be reported: Unknown.	
1998-1999:	Data Collected every 10 years	No target set	reduce dropouts and increase the graduation rates		
1999-2000:	Data Collected every 10 years	75%	of American Indian and Alaska Native students.	National Center for Education Statistics	
2009-2010:		80%	Increased promotion and graduation completion	Transcript Data, 2000-01.	
			are expected.	Frequency: Biennially.	
				Next collection update: 2002-04.	
				Date to be reported: Unknown.	
				Validation Procedure: Census data validated by the Census Bureau review procedures and Census standards; OIE Annual Performance Report data supplied by grantees. No formal verification procedures applied; National Center for Educational Statistics Transcript data. Validated by the National Center for Educational Statistics review procedures and National Center for Educational Statistics statistical standards.	
				Limitations of Data: Participation in Census	
				surveys varies by regions and location, resulting	
				in undercount of population.	

OBJECTIVE 2: HELP LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (LEAS) HELP THEIR STUDENTS REACH HIGH STANDARDS THROUGH THE COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE EDUCATION PROGRAMS WITH ALL LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERALLY FUNDED PROGRAMS.

2.1 Comprehensive planning for school improvement: Increasing percentages of local educational agencies (LEAs) that serve American Indian and Alaska Native Educational Agencies (LEAs) that serve American Indian and Alaska Native Educational Agencies (LEAs) that serve American Indian and Alaska Native Educational Agencies (LEAs) that serve American Indian and Alaska Native Educational Agencies (LEAs) that serve American Indian and Alaska Native Education Edu

2.1 Co	2.1 Comprehensive planning for school improvement: Increasing percentages of local educational agencies (LEAs) that serve American Indian and Alaska				
Native	Native students will coordinate culturally related services with core academic subjects (mathematics, reading, science, and social studies).				
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
			Status: Data are being collected.	Source: Office of Indian Education Annual	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Performance Report, 2000.	
1999:	No Data Available	No target set	<b>Explanation:</b> The Office of Indian Education's	Frequency: Annually.	
2000:	No Data Available	Performance targets will be	Annual Performance Report has been updated to	Next collection update: 2001.	
		determined from the 2000 data	assess the LEAs progress in implementing	Date to be reported: Unknown.	
		collection.	comprehensive planning to coordinate culturally		
2001:		Continuing increase	related services to American Indian and Alaska	Validation Procedure: Office of Indian	
2002:			Native students.	Education Annual Performance Report data	
				supplied by grantees, no formal validation	
				process.	
				<b>Limitations of Data:</b> Data are self-reported by	
				grantees.	
				Planned Improvement: Identify a benchmark	
				for performance targets. Identify a process for	
				validating Annual Performance Report data.	

OBJECTIVE 3: DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS WILL FOCUS ON IMPROVING EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AND SERVICES FOR AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CHILDREN AND ADULTS.

Indicator 3.1 Increasing percentages of the teacher and principal workforces serving American Indian and Alaska Native students will themselves be American					
Indian and Alaska Native.					
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percent	Percentage of principals and teachers in public schools with 25 percent or more		Status: Data are being collected. No 1999 data,	Source: Schools and Staffing Survey, 1999;	
Americ	American Indian and Alaska Native students		but progress toward target is likely.	National Longitudinal Survey of Schools (1998-	
Year	Year Actual Performance		Performance Targets		99 and 2000-01).
	Principals	Teachers		<b>Explanation:</b> Projects to train teachers were	Frequency: Biennially.
1994:	13%	15%		funded in FY 1999 for the first time since FY	Next collection update: Schools and Staffing
1999:	Data Collect	ed Biennially	Continuing increase	1994. Because the projects are just beginning,	Survey, 2000; National Longitudinal Survey of
2000:	Data Not Y	et Available	Continuing increase	some of the targeted number of participants will	Schools, 2000-01.
2001:			18% of principals and 20% of	take part in these programs, and the number will	Date to be reported: Unknown.
			teachers in public schools with high	increase.	
			proportions of Native Americans		Validation Procedure: Validated by National
			will be American Indian or Alaska		Center for Educational Statistics review
			Native.		procedures and National Center for Education
2002:			Continuing increase		Statistics statistical standards.
Note: 1	Note: 1994 data were reported in the 1997 Schools and Staffing Survey; additional			<b>Limitations of Data:</b> Sample size is small, and	
	ll be reported upon		<del>, ,, ,, ,, ,</del>		it is costly to add supplemental samples to data
	data will be reported upon availability.			collection programs. National sample results in	
					an under-representation in sample count.
					Diameter Maria de la Co
					Planned Improvements: Monitor the number of
					American Indian and Alaska Native students
					through LEA's reporting on program
			effectiveness in their Annual Performance		
					Report.