# **II. Nakhla** clinopyroxenite, 10 kg shower, seen to fall



*Figure II-1.* Three largest pieces of Nakhla meteorite (1813 grams, 1651 grams and 1318 grams). Note the thin black fusion crust and broken surface. Photograph kindly provided by Gaber M. Naim, Director, Egyptian Geological Survey.

#### **Introduction**

Multiple fragments of the Nakhla meteorite were seen to fall as a shower in the hamlets surrounding the village of El-Nakhla, El-Baharîya in Egypt (near Alexandria) on June 28th, 1911 at 9:00 a.m. Dr. W. F. Hume, Director of the Geological Survey of Egypt, personally visited the site and collected both the evidence of eyewitnesses of the fall and about a dozen specimens including the largest known fragments (Hume 1911; Ball 1912) (figure II-1).

The following account is from Attia *et al.* (1955). "From the evidence of eye-witnesses, it appears that the stones fell over an area of 4.5 kilometers in diameter; and were derived from the explosion of a single meteorite. The explosion seems to be repeated several times and the track of the meteorite was marked by a column of white smoke. The stones buried themselves in the ground to depths ranging from 10-30 cm and the holes caused showed some inclination. Altogether about forty stones, of a total weight of nearly 10 kilograms, were collected. Of these, about half are completely enveloped in a black varnish-like skin of fused matter. Some have one or more of their faces only partially fused, while others exhibit fresh fractures showing greenish-gray crystalline interior. The weights of the individual stones range from 1813 grams in the largest specimen down to about 20 grams in the smallest. The smallest fragment of which the fused skin is entire weighs 34 grams."

The exact strewn field for the Nakhla shower is unmapped, but is said to be within a diameter of 4.5 km (Prior 1912). Kevin Kichinka has documented the circumstances of the Nakhla fall (Kichinka 1998 a, b).

# **Petrography**

Nakhla is an olivine-bearing, clinopyroxenite consisting mostly of augite with less abundant Fe-rich olivine, plagioclase, K-feldspars, Fe-Ti oxides, FeS, chalcopyrite and a hydrated alteration phase that resembles "iddingsite" (Prior 1912; Bunch and Reid 1975; Reid and Bunch 1975; Weinke 1978; Harvey and McSween 1992 b, d; Treiman 1986, 1990, 1993 a, d).

In Nakhla, euhedral and subhedral sub-calcic augite



*Figure II-2.* Photomicrograph of thin section of Nakhla meteorite illustrating close-packed, elongate clinopyroxene crystals and minor mesostasis with lath-like plagioclase. Field of view is 2.2 mm.

grains (0.5 to 1.0 mm) are set in a fine-grained mesostasis of thin radiating laths of plagioclase, pyroxenes, olivine, magnetite and other minerals (figure II-2). Minor subhedral olivine grains up to 2-4 mm are also present (Treiman 1990). Nakamura *et al.* (1982a) observed poikilitic intergrowths between olivines and pyroxenes indicating substantial adcumulus growth.

The nakhlites (Nakhla, Lafayette, Governador Valadares, NWA817, NWA998, Yamoto 000593 and Mil03346) have been interpreted as cumulates (*i.e.* Bunch and Reid 1975; Gale *et al.* 1975). However, Treiman (1987), Treiman *et al.* (1996) and Lentz *et al.* (1999) found a close comparison of Nakhla with "Theo's flow," which is an extrusive Archean flow in Canada. In fact, Prior (1912) originally observed that the interstitial mesostasis of Nakhla was *"like the matrix* 

of a fine-grained basalt". The elongate pyroxenes in the nakhlites are weakly aligned (Berkley *et al.* 1980). It is also claimed that the nakhlite meteorites have experienced varying degrees of late-magmatic and sub-solidus diffusive re-equilibration (Harvey and McSween 1992).

The olivine grains in Nakhla frequently contain magmatic, "melt" inclusions (figure II-3). These inclusions have mostly re-crystallized and are hard to analyze, but numerous attempts have been made to use them to calculate the composition of the initial magma (Treiman 1986, 1993; Harvey and McSween 1992d; Stockstill *et al.* 2001; Rost *et al.* 2001). Perhaps the best attempt to "calculate" the parent magma composition is found in Treiman and Goodrich (2001).

#### Mineralogical Mode (from Lentz et al. 1999)

(volume %)										
Olivine	14.2%	11.9	8.2	5.7	4.6	16	8.5	18	13.2	16
Pyroxene	75.4	83.4	83	85.2	84.4	74.6	80.7	74.1	81	76.8
Mesostasis	10.4	4.7	8.7	9.2	11	9.4	10.8	8	5.8	7.2
Hvdrous alteration		trace								



*Figure II-3.* Backscattered electron image of large recrystallized "melt" inclusions in olivine (bright region) in Nakhla (note also the vesicle in the melt inclusion). Olivine is surrounded by close-packed clinopyroxene crystals.

Bodnar (1999) and Bridges *et al.* (2000) have reported evidence of *"decrepitated"* fluid inclusions along sealed cracks in pyroxenes in Nakhla.

Delano and Arculus (1980) experimentally determined that Nakhla crystallized at a higher oxygen fugacity than basaltic achondrites. Re/Os fractionation also indicates that the source of Nakhla magma was oxidized (Birck and Allègre 1994). Note that oxidized iron is present in magnetite.

Nakhla has been only mildly shocked with an estimated peak pressure of about 20 GPa (Greshake 1998).

It has been proposed that secondary aqueous alteration of nakhlites may have occurred on Mars (Gooding *et al.* 1991; Bridges *et al.* 2001) (see sections on "Salts", "Radiogenic Isotopes" and Lafayette "Iddingsite".) McKay *et al.* (2001) illustrate the secondary alteration (submicron) along cracks in Nakhla.

# Mineral Chemistry

*Olivine*: The olivine in nakhlites has higher Fe/Mg  $(Fo_{25-35})$  than that of coexisting pyroxene  $(En_{60-70})$  (figure II-5.). The olivine in Nakhla is zoned in composition, with steep Mg/Fe profiles in the core regions and progressively flatter toward the crystal boundaries (Harvey and McSween 1991). The Ca content of olivine is also zoned, first from low Ca to high, then a decrease believed to correspond with onset of augite crystallization. Nakamura et al. (1982) reported REE abundance in olivine, but this may include the REE in magmatic inclusions and attached mesostasis (figure II-4). Lentz et al. (1999) have carefully analyzed the olivine in Nakhla and Wadhwa and Crozaz (1995) determined REE by ion probe. Smith et al. (1983) reported relatively high Ni and Ca contents in olivines and showed that they were not of "plutonic" origin.

**Clinopyroxene:** The major mineral is augite  $(Wo_{40}En_{40}Fs_{20})$ . Bunch and Reid (1975), Treiman (1990) and Harvey and McSween (1991) found that the cores of the clinopyroxenes in Nakhla were homogeneous with zoning towards Fe enrichment at



*Figure II-4.* Chondritic normalized REE and other LIL element abundance patterns for whole rock and mineral separates of Nakhla. This is a copy of figure 2 in Nakamura et al. (1982), GCA **46**, 1559.

the rims, with steep transition zones in between (figure II-5). Allen and Mason (1973), Nakamura *et al*, (1982) and Wadhwa and Crozaz (1995a) reported the REE abundances in pyroxene from Nakhla. Lentz *et al*. (1999) have analyzed pyroxene in Nakhla and Smith *et al*. (1983) carefully determined the minor element content (Mn, Ti, Al, Cr, Na). Some grains of clinopyroxene show polysynthetic twinning which may have been caused by shock. Greshake (1998) has carefully studied the exsolution in augite in Nakhla. Mikouchi and Miyamoto (1997) have carefully compared the pyroxenes found in the nakhlites. Lentz *et al*. (2002) determined that Li, Be and B abundances increased from core to rims in Nakhla pyroxenes.

**Plagioclase:** Bunch and Reid (1975) determined the composition of plagioclase as  $An_{34}Ab_{62}Or_4$ . In Nakhla, plagioclase is birefringent and apparently was not maskelynitized by shock (Greshake 1998). Lentz *et al.* (2002) determined Li, Be and B in plagioclase.

**K-feldspar:** Bunch and Reid (1975) reported that potassium feldspar ( $Or_{74}Ab_{24}An_{2}$ ) occurs in the



**Figure II-5.** Pyroxene and olivine composition diagram. Data replotted from Harvey and McSween (1991) and Treiman (1990). Note that olivine phenocrysts have composition in equilibrium with final melt, rather than with the first-formed pyroxene.

mesostasis.

**Phosphates:** Crozaz (1979) studied the U and Th distribution in Nakhla and determined the Th/U ratio in small grains (30 microns) of Cl-apatite. Bridges and Grady (1997) and Protheroe *et al.* (2001) also reported chloroapatite.

*Iddingsite*: Reid and Bunch (1975) noted the fibrous habit of the alteration in Nakhla and concluded that it was "pre-terrestrial". Ashworth and Hutchison (1975) studied the iddingsite with high-voltage electron microscopy and also argued that it was of extraterrestrial origin, because it was remobilized by the shock event. Gooding *et al.* (1991) tentatively identified smectite in this "iddingsite" *(see also Lafayette and NWA817)*. Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1974) reported high K (~7 wt. %) in brown "films" in pyroxene. Bunch and Reid (1975) give an analysis of "iddingsite" in Nakhla.

*Glass*: Interstitial glass has been analyzed by Berkley *et al.* (1980). Gale *et al.* (1975) also reported glass in Nakhla as one of their "mineral" separates.

*Magnetite*: Ti-rich magnetite with ilmenite exsolution is an important phase in Nakhla (Bunch and Reid 1975, Ashworth and Hutchison 1975). Magnetite is also one of the "mineral" separates analyzed by Gale *et al.* (1975). Weinke (1978) found that the magnetite contained substantial Fe<sup>+3</sup>. Greshake *et al.* (2000) point out that this requires highly oxidizing conditions, possibly subsequent to initial crystallization.



Figure II-6. Chondrite normalized REE abundance patterns for Nakhla, compared with that of Shergotty.

*Symplectite*: Yamada (1997), Mikouchi and Miyamoto (1997, 1998) Greshake *et al.* (2000) and Wasserman and Bertka (2000) have studied thin lamellar symplectic inclusions composed of augite and magnetite in host olivine.

*Ilmenite:* Harvey and McSween (1992d) determined the composition of ilmenite in a magmatic inclusion in Nakhla olivine.

**Sulfides:** Bunch and Reid (1975) observed that the sulfide grains ranged from "*fresh to altered grains that had a weathered appearance*". They tentatively reported both "stoichiometric" pyrite and FeS (pyrrhotite ?) with 0.2 to 0.5% Ni. Weinke (1978) gave a complete analysis of "troilite". Greenwood *et al.* (1998) report minor chalcopyrite associated with pyrrhotite and have determined the isotopic composition of S.

**Carbonates**: Chatzitheodoridis and Turner (1990) and Bridges and Grady (1998a, b, 1999, 2000) reported analyses of siderite in Nakhla and found some grains that were extremely high in MnO (~20%). Saxton *et al.* (1997, 2000) determined that the  $\delta^{18}O = +34\%$  in Mn-rich siderite grains in Nakhla is higher than any other Martian carbonates. Grady *et al.* (1995) reported  $\delta^{13}C = +50\%$  in siderite from Nakhla.

**Salts:** Wentworth and Gooding (1988a,b, 1989), Chatzitheodoridis and Turner (1990) and Gooding *et al.* (1991) have reported minor, but important, amounts of Ca-carbonate, Ca-sulfate, Mg-sulfate, NaCl, and "rust" including a clay mineral tentatively identified as smectite *(see discussion in "Other Isotopes")*. Bridges and Grady (1997) reported abundant (1%),



*Figure II-7.* Total sulfur contents determined for Martian meteorites. This is figure 2 in Burgess et al. (1989), EPSL **93**, **3**16.

large grains of halite (400 microns) with included grains of plagioclase, silica, chloroapatite, siderite and anhydrite and initially argued that this halite was igneous in origin and formed from the magma! On further evidence, Bridges and Grady (1999, 2000) found that the halitesiderite-anhydrite-chloroapatite assemblages in Nakhla instead indicated "progressive evaporation" of an acidic brine on Mars.

Wentworth *et al.* (2001) illustrate lath-like, gypsum/ anhydrite with evidence of desiccation and/or weathering.

#### Whole-rock Composition

Major element analyses of Nakhla were reported by Prior (1912), McCarthy et al. (1974), Dreibus et al. (1982) and Kong et al. (1999)(Table II-1). Nakhla is ultra-mafic and very poor in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (~1.6%). Schmitt and Smith (1963) first reported REE analyses of Nakhla and Lafayette and recognized that they had REE patterns similar to terrestrial basalts! Weinke (1978) and Wang et al. (1998) determined trace element analyses of Nakhla. The REE analysis by Nakamura et al. (1982) is plotted in figure II-4 and Dreibus et al. (1982) in figure II-6. Laul et al. (1971) found that Nakhla had volatile element abundances similar to those in ocean ridge basalts. Treiman (1987), Treiman et al. (1996), Friedman et al. (1997) and Lentz et al. (1999) found a close comparison of chemistry, texture and mineralogy of Nakhla with Theo's flow, which is an extrusive Archean flow in Canada.

In addition to the data in table II-1, Clark *et al.* (1967) determined 40 ppb U. Curtis *et al.* (1980) determined two values for B (4.6 and 255 ppm) for the Nakhla meteorite. Gibson and Moore (1983) determined 1040 ppm S for one piece and Gibson *et al.* (1985) determined



*Figure II-8*: Ar plateau diagram for Nakhla and Lafayette (from Podosek 1973).

values of 260, 200, 330 and 360 ppm S for additional pieces. Burgess *et al.* (1989) determined 220 ppm S and found that it was released over a wide range of temperatures (figure II-7). Mermelengas *et al.* (1979) determined 23 ppb Pd. Smith *et al.* (1977) determined 90 ppb Te. Ehmann and Lovering (1967) determined 0.22 to 0.25 ppm Hg, but this is probably laboratory contamination, as Weinke (1978) determined only 0.7 ppb Hg. Wang *et al.* (1997) reported a wide range of volatile trace elements with no apparent difference between Antarctic and non-Antarctic Martian meteorites.

The nakhlites contain a minor amount of  $H_2O$  as hydrous silicate minerals (Ashworth and Hutchison 1975; Bunch and Reid 1975; Gooding *et al.* 1991; Treiman *et al.* 1993). These altered areas are probably the same as the "brownies" observed by Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1974). Karlsson *et al.* (1992) reported 0.114 wt %  $H_2O$  for Nakhla. Watson *et al.* (1994) also reported 0.111 %  $H_2O$ . Gooding *et al.* (1990) determined the thermal release pattern for several volatile species.

Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1974) noted that the Rb/Sr and K/U of the parent planet for Nakhla were similar to the ratios for the Earth.

Dreibus *et al.* (1999), Sawyer *et al.* (2000) and Gilmour *et al.* (2001) have reported the composition of water-soluble salts leached from Nakhla.

#### **Radiogenic Isotopes**

Stauffer (1962) originally reported a K-Ar age of 1.3



*Figure II-9*: Argon plateau age for Nakhla (from Swindle and Olson 2004).

![](_page_5_Figure_10.jpeg)

*Figure II-10*: *Rb-Sr* isochrons for two small fragments of Nakhla (from Papanastassiou and Wasserburg 1974).

Ga for Nakhla. Using <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>40</sup>Ar, Ganapathy and Anders (1969) calculated "gas retention ages" of 0.77 Ga and  $1.4 \pm 0.3$  Ga respectively for Nakhla. Podesek (1973) reported an Ar39-40 age of ~ 1.3 Ga (figure II-8). Swindle and Olson (2004) obtained relatively flat Ar plateaus at  $1.332 \pm 10$  Ga (figure II-9). K-Ar ages are also reported for different separates by Gilmour *et al.* (1997).

Using Rb-Sr ( $\lambda_{Rb} = 1.39 \times 10^{-11}$  year<sup>-1</sup>), Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1974) determined an age of about 1.31 - 1.37 Ga (figure II-10) and Gale *et al.* (1975) 1.24 ± 0.01 Ga (figure II-11).

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Figure II-11. Rb-Sr mineral diagram for Nakhla determined by Gale et al. (1975). This is figure 2 in their paper in EPSL 26, 200.* 

Nakamura *et al.* (1977, 1982) used the Sm-Nd system to determine an age of  $1.26 \pm 0.07$  Ga (figure II-12). Hutchison *et al.* (1975), Nakamura *et al.* (1982) and Chen and Wasserburg (1986b) tried to determine a U-Pb age (figure II-13) — without agreement.

One might suppose that all the nakhlites should have the same exact age, but the ages obtained have not been concordant within the quoted precision of the measurements. Gooding *et al.* (1991) discuss the effect that pre-terrestrial aqueous alteration (weathering) might have had on the disturbance of the Rb-Sr system. K-Ar ages of iddingsite were reported by Swindle *et al.* (1997, 2004) (see also discussion in Lafayette).

#### **Cosmogenic Isotopes and Exposure Ages**

All of the nakhlites have similar exposure ages. Using <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>21</sup>Ne and <sup>38</sup>Ar, Ganapathy and Anders (1969) calculated an average cosmic-ray exposure age of 10.1 Ma for Nakhla (see figure I-11). Using new production rates, Bogard (1995) calculated 12 Ma from the <sup>21</sup>Ne data and 11 Ma from the <sup>3</sup>He data. Eugster *et al.* (2002) determined 10.8  $\pm$  0.8 Ma by <sup>81</sup>Kr. Measured <sup>14</sup>C activity of about 53.3  $\pm$  0.4 dpm/kg. (Jull *et al.* 

Mars Meteorite Compendium C Meyer 2004 1997) is consistent with saturation ( $61 \pm 9 \text{ dpm/kg}$ ). Pal *et al.* (1986) and Jull *et al.* (1999) reported data for <sup>10</sup>Be, <sup>26</sup>Al, <sup>36</sup>Cl and <sup>14</sup>C. Since we know that Nakhla has been on Earth for 90 years, this data helps interpret similar data for Lafayette and Governador Valadares.

#### **Other Isotopes**

Fallick *et al.* (1983), Kerridge (1988), Watson *et al.* (1994) and Leshin *et al.* (1996) have reported on the hydrogen isotopic composition of water released from Nakhla (figure II-14). Hydrogen released at high temperatures is enriched in deuterium, but hydrogen released at low temperature has a terrestrial ratio.

Fallick *et al.* (1983), Carr *et al.* (1985), Wright *et al.* (1992), Sephton *et al.* (2000) and Jull *et al.* (2000) have reported on the isotopic composition of carbon extracted from Nakhla. Carr *et al.* argued that the heavy carbon ( $\delta^{13}C = +12$  to +24 ‰) may be from carbonates that are produced by weathering on Mars. The carbon and nitrogen content and isotopic composition has also been reported by Wright *et al.* (1992). Using leaching experiments to get rid of <sup>14</sup>C component, Jull *et al.* (1995) found that the  $\delta^{13}C$  for some of the carbon in

<i>reference</i> Schmitt63 <i>weight</i>		Haskine	6 Weink	e78 Trein	nan86 Dre	eibus82	McCarthy <del>7</del> 8 g	'4Prior 191	ra73 158 mg	Podosek73		
SiO2 TiO2 Al2O3 FeO MnO							49.33 0.35 1.64 21.7 0.55	48.24 (a) 0.29 (a) 1.45 (a) 20.63 (a) 0.54 (a)	48.97(b) 0.38 (b) 1.74 (b) 20.79 (b) 0.09 (b)			
CaO MgO Na2O							14.3 11.82 0.566	15.08 (a) 12.47 (a) 0.42 (a)	15.17(b) 12 (b) 0.4 (b)			13.71
R20 P2O5 sum							0.166 0.103 100.5	0.12 (a) 0.12 (a) 99.34	99.68			0.13
Li ppm Sc	53.6	(d)	78	(d)	46		3.8 55					
V Cr Co							192.4 1710 54	2900 (a)	2300 (b)			<b>Laul72</b> 81 (e)
Ni Cu					18 3	72	90 6 7					5 03
Zn Ga					64 3.4	55	220					42 2.7
Ge Se Pr					0.09	2.97 0.064	4 0 9					4 27
Rb Sr					4.75	4.55 5.01	4.00				3.15 51.4	2.8
Y Zr	3.17		4.4									
Nb Mo					0.086							
Pd ppb					0.000	42						
Ag ppb Cd ppb						1380 115 14 6						40 71 24 4
Sn ppb					580	14.0						24.4
Sb ppb					250	19 0.340	6					0.287
Ba					0.49	0.349	34			32.5	31.3	0.207
La	1.57		1.6		1.14	- 07	2.14			2.24	2.28	
Ce Pr	6.19 0.67		6.2 0.67		2.7	5.37	5.6			5.97	6.2	
Nd	3.18		3.2		1.58	3.38	2.85			3.11	4.06	
Sm	0.73		0.73		0.5	0 223	0.78			0.815	0.855	
Gd	0.2		0.2		0.62	0.225	0.23			0.249	0.270	
Tb	0.109		0.109		0.082	0.115	0.13			o <del>7</del> 00		
Dy Но	0.14		0.14		0.52		0.17			0.723	0.808	
Er	0.34		0.34				••••			0.404	0.441	
Tm Yh	0.047		0.057		0.038	0 386	0.4			0 358	0 307	
Lu	0.23		0.051		0.24	0.0559	0.4			0.0535	0.0557	
Hf Ta							0.29 0.09					
W ppb Re ppb					<5	0 041	176					
Os ppb					1	0.2						
lr ppb					0 7	0.153	<2	<b>E</b> 1		-		17
Au ppb Ha ppb					0.7	0.897	2.9	Enmann & 230	Lovering	o/		0.55
TI ppb						3.8		200				3.1
Bi ppb	Chen &	Wasserb	ourg 86			5.4		Morgan &	Lovering7	3	0.000	0.5
U ppm	0.213	(C) (C)				0.065		0.191 0.049			0.236	
techniqu	e: (a) XR	F, (b) we	t chem.,	(c) IDMS	S, (d) INA	A, (e) RN.	AA	-				

# Table II-1a. Chemical Composition of Nakhla.

reference weight SiO2	Lodders 9 averages 48.6	8Schmidt 270 mg	72	Schmidt 742 mg	72	Schmidt 262 mg	72	Bunch <i>fusion</i> 47.9	75 crus (a)	Mittlefeh t56 mg	nldt 9	7Kong 48 mg	99	Wang 9	8	Dreibu <i>El.G</i>	s 03 <i>Grady</i>
TiO2 Al2O3 FeO	0.34 1.68 20.6	21 1	(d)	23 16	(d)			0.3 1.57 18 5	(g) (g) (g)	20.7	(d)	0.37 1.98 17.5	(d) (d)			16.08	19 54
MnO CaO	0.49 14.7	0.48	(d) (d)	0.45	(d)	0.47	(d)	0.5 15.4	(g) (g) (g)	15.1	(d)	0.56 19.45	(d) (d)			14.7	14.4
MgO Na2O	12.1 0.46	0.51	(d)	0.41	(d)	0.52	(d)	11.8 0.4	(g) (g)	0.446	(d)	12.02 0.55	(d) (d)			0.729	0.574
K2O P2O5 sum	0.13 0.13							0.04	(g)	0.1	(d)	0.16	(d)				
Li ppm Sc V	3.9 51 192	53	(d)	47	(d)					57.2	(d)	64.2 251	(d) (d)			56.1	55.5
Cr Co	1770 48	1820 43	(d) (d)	1580 47	(d) (d)					49.8	49.8 (d)	2050 43.9	(d) (d)	26.9	(e)	36.9	44.8
Ni Cu	90 12	15	(d)	14	(d)					70	(d)	77.1	(d)		. ,	58	60
Zn Ga Ge	54 3 3			2.95 59.4	(-)					89	(d)	92.2 8.82	(d) (d)	67.1 2.92	(e) (e)	<70 3.7	70 3.4
Se Br	0.08 4.5 3.8 59 3.3 0.086	Pinson	65		Ga	le 75	(c ) (c )	)4.74 )64.3	(c) (c)	3.2	(d)			0.0478 (e)	(e)	3.46	8.45
Rb Sr		2.63 59.82	(c) (c)		(c) (c)	3.9 66.4				) )70	(d)			2.93	(e)	<4 65	<7 90
Y Mo										,		0.137	(e)	22.1	$(\mathbf{a})$		
Cd ppb In ppb Sb ppb	40 93 20 40											240	(e)	96.6 20.2 4.6	(e) (e) (e) (e)		
Cs ppm	<4.3 0.39									0.38	(d)			6.7 1.11	(e) (e)	0.26	0.6
La Ce	29 2.06 5.87									20 1.48 4.3	(b) (b)	2.52 2.59	(d) (d)			40 2.18 5.35	2.39 6.8
Pr Nd	0.67 3.23										()	2.00	(-)			2.93	3.4
Sm Eu Gd	0.77 0.235 0.86									0.68 0.207	(d) (d)	1.11 0.321 2.21	(d) (d) (d)			0.867 0.236	0.862 0.26
Tb Dy Ho	0.12 0.77 0.155									0.12	(d)	0.208	(d)			0.14 0.9 0.18	0.16 0.99 0.16
Er Tm	0.37 0.047															0.10	0.10
Yb Lu Hf	0.047 0.39 0.055 0.27	Lee & Ha	<b>alliday 97</b> (c)0.1641		(c )	)				0.33 0.055 0.24	(d) (d) (d)	0.512 0.085 0.34	(d) (d) (d)			0.35 0.052 0.31	0.37 0.061 0.28
Ta W ppb	0.09 120	264.1	(c)	297.6	(c	0.065 Birk & Allegre 94						145	(e)	Brando	n 0	<b>0</b> 170	320
Re ppb Os ppb Pt ppb Ir ppb Au ppb TI ppb	0.036 0.007 0.5 0.22 0.72 3.5					0.0338 0.00951	(c) (c)	0.0323 0.004	8 (c) (c)			0.38 17.2	(e) (e)	0.052 0.0137	(f) (f)		
												4.35 1.05	(e) (e)	1.21 2.02	(e) (e)	0.0075	0.0005
Th ppm U ppm	0.198 0.052		_		_					0.1	(d)			0.0373	(e)	0.17 <0.06	0.2 0.044
technique	(c ) IDMS,	(d) INAA	, (e)	RNAA, (1	) ID	9MS, (g) e	elec.	Probe									

Table II-1b. Composition of Nakhla (continued).

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Figure II-12.* Sm-Nd isochron for Nakhla determined by Nakamura et al. (1982). This is figure 1 in their paper in GCA 46, 1558.

Nakhla was as heavy as + 35‰. However, in a more recent study by Jull *et al.* (2000),  $\delta^{13}$ C was found to be as low a - 33 ‰ in the hot-acid-labile extracts (*see section on Evidence of Biological Activity*).Grady *et al.* 1995b reconsidered the data of Carr *et al.* for <sup>13</sup>C after acid dissolution experiments and concluded that very heavy carbon  $\delta^{13}$ C = +50 ‰) of Martian origin was present. This value is similar to the isotopic ratio of carbon in the carbonate in ALH84001, and seems to verify the conclusions by Reid and Bunch (1975), Carr *et al.* (1985) and Gooding *et al.* (1991), that the weathering in Nakhla occurred on Mars, not during the time it has been on Earth.

Mathew and Marti (2002) determined nitrogen isotopes along with rare gas component as a function of temperature release. The heavy nitrogen found at very high temperatures is due to spallation.

Taylor *et al.* (1965) found that the ratio of oxygen isotopes in pyroxenes from Nakhla were similar to those in Shergotty and different from those in howardites and eucrites. Clayton and Mayeda (1983, 1996) and Franchi *et al.* (1999) reported the oxygen isotopes for Nakhla. Clayton (1993) reported the <sup>18</sup>O/ <sup>16</sup>O composition of olivine and pyroxene from Nakhla. Karlsson *et al.* (1992) found that the oxygen isotopes in water released from Nakhla and other Martian meteorites was enriched in <sup>17</sup>O, suggesting that the past hydrosphere on Mars was from a different reservoir than the lithosphere. Baker *et al.* (1998) have determined the isotopic composition of oxygen in water

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

*Figure II-13.* U-Pb concordia diagram for mineral separates from Nakhla. This is figure 4 in paper by Nakamura et al. (1982) GCA 46, 1563.

released during heating of Nakhla. Saxton *et al.* (1997, 1998) reported  $\delta^{18}O = 34 \pm 1$  ‰ for 4 grains of siderite - which makes it the heaviest Martian carbonate!!

Farquhar *et al.* (2000) and Greenwood *et al.* (2000) have studied the sulfur isotopes. Farquhar *et al.* have reported a significant  $\Delta^{33}$ S anomaly in Nakhla. However, Greenwood *et al.* did not find the anomaly in pyrrhotite (igneous origin), so that the  $\Delta^{33}$ S anomaly must be in the added salts recording an isotopically anomalous atmospheric component.

Molini-Velsko *et al.* (1986) found that the isotopic composition of Si was normal.

Ott and Begemann (1985) originally showed that there was excess <sup>129</sup>Xe in Nakhla. Musselwhite et al. (1991), Drake et al. (1993, 1994) and Swindle (1995) discussed the origin of this excess and originally argued for an ancient Martian hydro-alteration effect. Turner et al. (1996) reported excess <sup>129</sup>Xe within Nakhla pyroxene and Ott et al. (1988) found that the Xe in carefully etched "residue" from Nakhla was like that of Chassigny. Gilmour et al. (1997, 1998) and Mathew et al. (1998, 1999, 2001) have continued these studies on mineral separates in order to determine the exact siting of Xe in Nakhla. Gilmour et al. (2001) conclude that Nakhla contains two Xe components: one associated with a water-soluble phase enriched in <sup>129</sup>Xe and associated with the Martian atmosphere (shock implantation?) and the other a mixture of Martian interior Xe with some spallation Xe. Bart et al. (2001) also

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Figure II-14. Hydrogen isotopic composition of water relased from the Nakhla meteorite during pyrolysis (p) and combustion (c) experiments by Leshin et al. (1996). This is figure 1 in their paper in GCA 60, 2635.* 

conclude that the <sup>129</sup>Xe-enriched component is due to "shock implantation" of Martian atmosphere. Mathew and Marti (2002) found that the Xe component released at high temperature (>1000°C) contained evidence that Mars has effectively retained <sup>244</sup>Pu-derived fission gas.

Harper *et al.* (1995) reported a small but significant excess of <sup>142</sup>Nd in Nakhla. According to Harper *et al.*, *"This anomaly records differentiation in the Martian mantle before 4539 million years ago and implies that Mars experienced no giant impacts at any time later than 27 million years after the origin of the solar system."* This isotopic anomaly has now also been observed in Chassigny by Jagoutz (1996). Lee and Halliday (1997) also reported excess <sup>182</sup>W in Nakhla.

Birck and Allègre (1994) and Brandon *et al.* (2000) have studied the Re-Os isotopic systematics of Nakhla. The Os isotopic composition was found to be radiogenic. From the Re/Os fractionation Birck and Allègre concluded the mantle of Mars has a relatively high oxidation state! Brandon *et al.* noted that it was further evidence that the Martian mantle wasn't well mixed Smith *et al.* (1983) showed that the relatively high Ni content of olivine and pyroxene in nakhlites also indicated relatively oxidizing conditions. The presence of magnetite also is proof of this.

Hutchison *et al.* (1975), Nakamura *et al.* (1982), Chen and Wasserburg (1986b) and Jagoutz *et al.* (2001) have studied the U-Th-Pb isotopic system for Nakhla. Chen and Wasserburg discussed the problem of "*terrestrial Pb*" contamination. In fact, one must now also consider contamination by "*Martian Pb*" during the alteration process that has been shown to have occurred on Mars (Gooding 1991).

#### **Miscellaneous Studies**

Bhandari *et al.* (1971) reported track evidence for superheavy elements in diopside from Nakhla and this led to a rash of studies (Carver and Anders 1976; Green *et al.* 1978; Crozaz 1979). However, it turned out that the long, etched tracks in Nakhla observed by Bhandari are a shock-related feature and not due to the presence of extinct "superheavy" elements *(i. e. Nakhla is too young (1.3 Ga) to have incorporated short-lived superheavy elements).* 

Kaneda *et al.* (1997) and McKay *et al.* (1993, 1994) have performed experiments to determine trace element partioning between pyroxene and synthetic melts.

Hamilton *et al.* (1997) obtained spectra of Nakhla (see figure VIII-13).

The magnetic properties of Nakhla have recently been investigated by Shaw *et al.* (2001) who report a Martian magnetic field of 4 iT as recently as 1.3 Ga. The magnetic phase in Nakhla appears to be titanomagnetite (Collinson 1997; Rochette *et al.* 2001).

#### "Evidence for Biological Activity"

Toporski *et al.* (1999, 2000) have shown that Nakhla is contaminated through to the center with both cellular structures and what appears to be "*exopolymeric secretions*". They claim that in some cases the organisms are apparently still growing! Glavin *et al.* (1999) showed that Nakhla has the same amino acid profile as the sediment it was removed from *(which must have a wealth of microbial life).* Sephton *et al.* (2000) have used careful GCMS to study the "aromatic high molecular weight organic matter" extracted from

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure II-15. Schematic drawing showing locations of pieces of Nakhla meteorite in 1996.

Nakhla samples that were thought to be "pristine". Their analyses are consistent with Jull *et al.* (2000) who conclude that as much as 75% of the organic matter in Nakhla "*may not be recent terrestrial contamination*". D. McKay *et al.* (1999) and Gibson *et al.* (2000) illustrate "*spherical structures*" within, or beneath, "*iddingsite*" alteration in Nakhla. Flynn *et al.* (1999) studied the organic carbon in Nakhla at high spatial resolution (*but could not rule out terrestrial contamination*).

# **Processing**

Although Nakhla is considered as one meteorite, it arrived as a shower of many pieces (~40), most apparently with fusion crusts (*see Introduction*). It is not known from which pieces the main research has been done, or if all pieces are the same. Note that Friedman *et al.* (1994) have been looking at thin sections of different portions of Nakhla to see what variability may exist (Lentz *et al.* 2001).

The ~40 pieces of Nakhla have been widely distributed and often traded (figure II-15). In 1955, the Cairo Museum had individual specimens weighing 1813 g, 1651 g, 1318 g, 431 g, 393 g, 29 g and 20 g (Attia *et al.* 1955). In 1985, Graham *et al.* list specimens as follows (British Museum 667+9 g, 641 g, 313 g, 156+14 g and 110 g; Smithsonian 644 g; Berlin 602 g; Vienna 500 g; Paris 430 g; Harvard 159 g). Additional samples that are known include: 123 grams at the Research School of Earth Science in Canberra, 80 grams in Russia, 78 grams at UCLA, 67 grams in Perth, 50 grams in Dublin, 28 grams in Ottawa, 34 grams in Tempe, 23 grams in Prague and 8 grams at Mainz. Note that the actual weight collected was only about 10 kg (Attia *et al.* 1955; Grady 2001). Please check the meteorite database (Metbase) maintained by Joern Koblitz (University of Bremen) for current distribution.

Thin sections of the Nakhla meteorite are included in the educational thin section sets distributed by the British Museum of Natural History (Grady and Hutchison 1996). One set of 23 consecutive thin sections is available from the Smithsonian Institution (USNM). (Note that, in order to study salts in Nakhla, it is important not to use polar solvents ( $H_2O$ ) during processing nor thin section preparation).

In July 1998, a large piece (640 grams) of Nakhla from the British Museum (BM1913,25), was split in a dry nitrogen glove box at JSC and processed for distribution to a large number of scientists under the direction of Monica Grady (figures II-16, I-17, I-18). Before splitting in 1998, it was completely covered with fusion crust (however, if it was entered into the BM collection in 1913, it may have been on the ground in the area of the Nile delta, for one or two years). Knowledge of the exact history of the sample is important for studies of the salts and organics.

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Figure II-16.* Recent processing at JSC of Nakhla 1913,25 from the the British Museum (see Monica Grady for detail).

Mathew and Marti (2002) report that the piece of Nakhla they obtained from the British Museum was Nakhla C, but, in general, scientists do not give enough information about the exact piece they studied.

It is not known, which samples of Nakhla have been washed, sawn using water or kerosene, or exposed to contamination. This information is needed in order to properly interpret the results of the studies of "salts" and "organics" in these samples from Mars.

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure II-17. Nakhla 1913,25 after breaking in 1998. NASA photo # S98-04013

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure II-18. Nakhla 1913,25 (inside surfaces) after breaking in 1998. NASA photo # S98-04014