

advanced and (2) prohibitively expensive for our needs." The applicant then claims, with respect to the foreign article, that "* * * the other products were unacceptable for the reasons (1) and/or (2)." The applicant also states that "The domestic products encountered during the searching were unnecessarily advanced; they were "overkill" for the intended types of applications planned."

The applicant cites only one pertinent specification respecting its requirements; namely a "high speed" CCD camera, pointing out that "Cost rises dramatically with the speed, and the domestic instruments encountered during product searching were designed for frame speeds that were unnecessarily high for the applications being planned. Consequently their costs were prohibitive." Notwithstanding design considerations, it is common industry practice to make frame and shutter speeds adjustable, as the foreign manufacturer does, so that most domestic cameras should be operable at slower rates if required. The applicant fails to specify any rate or advance any argument to the contrary.

The regulations explicitly disallow matters of cost, convenience or institutional limitations as pertinent considerations in determining eligibility for duty exemption. Furthermore, a domestic instrument whose performance specifications are superior to those of the foreign instrument is considered "scientifically equivalent." Pursuant to CFR 15 301.5 (d)(1)(i) the necessary condition for duty exemption is that "* * * the Director finds that the foreign instrument possesses one or more pertinent specifications not possessed by the domestic instrument * * *". The application has failed to cite any such specification.

Furthermore, 15 CFR 301.5(e)(7) provides, in part, as follows:

Information provided in a resubmission that * * * contradicts or conflicts with information provided in a prior submission, or is not a reasonable extension of the information contained in the prior submission, shall not be considered in making the decision on an application that has been resubmitted. Accordingly, an applicant may elect to reinforce an original submission by elaborating in the resubmission on the description of the purposes contained in a prior submission and may supply additional examples, documentation and/or other clarifying detail, *but the applicant shall not introduce new purposes or other material changes in the nature of the original application.* (Emphasis supplied.)

Consequently, in view of the applicant's own determination, cited above, that equivalent domestic instruments were "prohibitively expensive" and by its failure to specify a pertinent feature possessed by the foreign and not by domestic instruments, we conclude that a resubmission cannot establish, without introducing conflicting information or impermissible new purposes, that a scientifically equivalent domestic instrument is not available. Therefore, the application is denied.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 02-28817 Filed 11-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Bangladesh

November 6, 2002.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 14, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ross Arnold, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927-5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at <http://www.customs.gov>. For information on embargoes and quota reopenings, refer to the Office of Textiles and Apparel website at <http://otexa.ita.doc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for swing and special shift.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the **CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 66 FR 65178,

published on December 18, 2001). Also see 66 FR 59409, published on November 28, 2001.

James C. Leonard III,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

November 6, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on November 21, 2001, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in Bangladesh and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2002 and extends through December 31, 2002.

Effective on November 14, 2002, you are directed to adjust the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit ¹
334	257,322 dozen.
335	353,041 dozen.
336/636	740,510 dozen.
363	45,979,859 numbers.
369-S ²	2,883,230 kilograms.
645/646	651,142 dozen.

¹ The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2001.

² Category 369-S: only HTS number 6307.10.2005.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

James C. Leonard III,
Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc.02-28767 Filed 11-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in the Philippines

November 6, 2002.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.