# Quality Control Procedures: one lab director's perspective

Greg Miller, Ph.D., DABCC, FACB

Professor of Pathology Director of Clinical Chemistry Director of Pathology Information Systems Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VA

## **Integrated Quality System**



## What the lab director needs to know

Result has a high probability to be correct

- Information needed:
  - What can go wrong (risk assessment)
  - How to monitor the measurement process
  - Data to support the result is correct

## What can go wrong

- Manufacturing
- Transportation
- Storage
- SOP by user
- Measurement process

# What can go wrong: transportation and storage

- Temperature and humidity
- Stability after opening

## What can go wrong: SOP by user

- Sample handling
  - Incorrect volume
  - Incorrect fluid, anticoagulant, preservative
  - Evaporation, storage, mixing
  - Pretreatment
- Reagent lot with incorrect calibrator
- Procedural errors

## What can go wrong: measurement process

- Calibration drift or shift
  - Reagent stability (esp. after opening)
  - Calibrator stability (esp. after opening)
  - Dirt (e.g. spilled reagent or sample)
- Imprecision deterioration
- Component failure
  - Fluid handling
  - Temperature and humidity control
  - Electronics

How to monitor the measurement process

- Traditional QC
  - Assess overall performance with surrogate samples
- Measurement system monitors, e.g.:
  - Volumetric parameters
  - Signal magnitude and stability
  - Electronic simulator
- Equivalent QC
  - Internal controls

## **Essential components of QC**

- Know method performance characteristics when it is working correctly (i.e. is stable)
- Have stable monitoring processes
- Define acceptance criteria for the monitoring results that can verify stable method performance
- Document the process

## **Statistical Process Control**

# Verify that a measurement system is performing as expected

- 1. Calibration has not changed
- 2. Imprecision is within the expected variability
  - Must include all sources of variability over an extended time period

### Sources of variability; normal operation

- Gaussian error distribution
  - Pipet system
  - Temperature control
  - Electronic noise, detector response
- Non-Gaussian error distribution
  - Reagent, calibrator or QC deterioration (esp. after opening)
  - Calibration cycles
  - Reagent lot changes
  - Calibrator lot changes
  - Instrument maintenance, component replacement
  - Environmental control (temp., humidity)

## Variability must include all sources



## Variability must include all sources



# Important limitation of QC materials

- Frequently, QC materials are NOT commutable with native clinical samples
- Commutable means a QC material has the same numeric relationship between two methods, or reagent lots, as observed for native clinical samples

# Reagent lot change: patient samples comparison



## **Reagent lot change: QC samples**



## **QC Acceptance Criteria**

- Method stability
- Clinical requirements

## Interpretive rules are based on:

- Probability to detect an error of magnitude that can impact clinical care
- Low false alert rate

## Most common causes of QC alert

- 1. QC material has deteriorated
  - Mishandled after opening or reconstituting
  - Analyte stability less than desired
- 2. False alert due to inappropriate acceptance criteria
  - Reagent lot change causes change in target value
  - The inherent variability in the measurement procedure was underestimated
  - 1-2<sub>s</sub> rule was used
- 3. Measurement procedure problem

### **QC Fault Response**



Further technical investigation

- 1. Identify and correct the problem.
  - Do not assume an "outlier"
- 2. Repeat patient samples.
  - Sample patients over affected time interval to determine if/when clinically significant changes occurred
  - Written acceptance criteria
  - Correct reported results if a clinically significant analytical problem occurred

QC alerts requiring intervention (Does not include QC material degradation, nor new lot mean adjustment issues)



Most common causes of variability in patient results

- Calibrator lot to lot variability
- Reagent lot to lot variability
  - which always requires a re-calibration

## Lot to lot variability: T4

#### **Patient samples comparison**



## Lot to lot variability: TSH

#### **Patient samples comparison**



## Lot to lot variability: Troponin I

**Patient samples comparison** 



## **Point of Care / Near Patient Testing**

- MD expects same reliability as main lab
  - Typically less precise
  - May have different measuring range
  - May have different specificity (interferences)
  - Need sophisticated internal controls

## **B-type Natriuretic Peptide**

POC Meter		Lab	Meter	Lab
Mean, pg/mL	94	50	1586	1785
SD, pg/mL	14	5	357	<b>160</b>
CV	16%	10%	23%	9%

## Hemoglobin A1c

POC Meter		Lab	
Mean, %	4.4	5.8	
SD, %	0.3	0.2	
CV	6%	4%	

Meter	Lab		
9.4	10.7		
0.5	0.4		
5%	4%		

## Key information needed from mfr.

**To define QC monitoring procedures:** 

- Precision near limits (esp. lower) of AMR
- Expected variability between lots of reagent and/or calibrator
- Results of risk assessment
  - What needs to be monitored
  - Additional risk factors at laboratory level (out of manufacturer's control, but not responsibility)
- Maintenance; what to do, and at what frequency, to prevent problems

## **Internal controls**

- Control for all likely risks, e.g.:
  - Sample volume and type
  - Reagent volume(s)
  - Reagent stability
  - Calibrator integrity, and matched to reagent lot
  - Calibration stability
  - Measurement system integrity
  - User errors
- Disable result if a defect is identified

## **QC: sampling frequency**

### Method stability

→ Consider all sources of error

## Clinical requirement

- → Patient impact of incorrect results
- → Value of documenting that no error condition was present when result was reported

## **QC frequency: cost considerations**

 Cost of QC materials and reagents to perform the assays

**Balanced by:** 

- Cost of erroneous medical procedure(s)
- Cost of repeating previously reported patient results
- Cost of recollecting samples for those QNS to repeat

## Thank you for your attention

# **Questions?**

Comments

Discussion