

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### **REGION II**

SAM NUNN ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER 61 FORSYTH STREET, SW, SUITE 23T85 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8931

November 19, 2004

Carolina Power & Light Company
ATTN: Mr. John W. Moyer
Vice President
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Unit 2
3851 West Entrance Road
Hartsville, SC 29550

SUBJECT: H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT UNIT 2 - NRC PROBLEM

IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION INSPECTION REPORT

NO. 05000261/2004007

Dear Mr. Moyer:

On October 22, 2004, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an inspection at the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit 2. The enclosed report documents the inspection findings, which were discussed on October 22, 2004, with you and other members of your staff during an exit meeting.

This inspection was an examination of activities conducted under your license as they relate to the identification and resolution of problems, and compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and the conditions of your operating license. Within these areas, the inspection involved examination of selected procedures and representative records, observations of activities, and interviews with personnel.

On the basis of the sample selected for review, there were no findings of significance identified during this inspection. The inspectors concluded that problems were properly identified, evaluated, and resolved within the corrective action program. However, during the inspection, several examples of minor problems were identified, including conditions adverse to quality that were not identified for entry into the corrective action program, errors in performing cause evaluations, and corrective actions that were ineffectively tracked or had not occurred.

CP&L 2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web-site at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Paul Fredrickson Reactor Projects Branch 4 Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No.: 50-261 License No.: DPR-23

cc w/encl: (See page 3)

CP&L 3

cc w/encl:
William G. Noll
Director, Site Operations
Carolina Power & Light Company
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Electronic Mail Distribution

Daniel G. Stoddard
Plant General Manager
Carolina Power & Light Company
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Electronic Mail Distribution

Chris L. Burton, Manager Performance Evaluation and Regulatory Affairs CPB 9 Electronic Mail Distribution

C. T. Baucom, Supervisor Licensing/Regulatory Programs Carolina Power & Light Company H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Electronic Mail Distribution

J. F. Lucas, Manager Support Services - Nuclear Carolina Power & Light Company H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Electronic Mail Distribution

Henry J. Porter, Director
Div. of Radioactive Waste Mgmt.
Dept. of Health and Environmental
Control
Electronic Mail Distribution

R. Mike GandyDivision of Radioactive Waste Mgmt.S. C. Department of Health and Environmental ControlElectronic Mail Distribution

Beverly Hall, Acting Director
Division of Radiation Protection
N. C. Department of Environment,
Health and Natural Resources
Electronic Mail Distribution

Steven R. Carr Associate General Counsel - Legal Dept. Progress Energy Service Company, LLC Electronic Mail Distribution

John H. O'Neill, Jr. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N. Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1128

Peggy Force Assistant Attorney General State of North Carolina Electronic Mail Distribution

Chairman of the North Carolina Utilities Commission c/o Sam Watson, Staff Attorney Electronic Mail Distribution

Robert P. Gruber Executive Director Public Staff - NCUC 4326 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4326

Public Service Commission State of South Carolina P. O. Box 11649 Columbia, SC 29211

Distribution w/encl: (See page 4)

CP&L 4

Distribution w/encl: C. Patel, NRR RIDSNRRDIPMLIPB PUBLIC

OFFICE DRP/RII		DRP/RII		DRS/RII				
SIGNATURE	GTM		EMD		DAJ			
NAME	GMacDonald:as		E DiPaolo		D Jones			
DATE	11/18/2004		11/18/2004		11/18/2004			
E-MAIL COPY?	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
PUBLIC DOCUMENT	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		

# U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II

Docket No: 50-261 License No: DPR-23

Report No: 05000261/2004007

Licensee: Carolina Power & Light (CP&L)

Facility: H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2

Location: 3581 West Entrance Road

Hartsville, SC 29550

Dates: October 4 - 8, 2004 (Week 1)

October 18 - 22, 2004 (Week 2)

Inspectors: E. DiPaolo, Senior Resident Inspector, Brunswick (Lead

Inspector)

G. MacDonald, Senior Project Engineer

D. Jones, Resident Inspector

Approved by: Paul Fredrickson, Chief

Reactor Projects Branch 4
Division of Reactor Projects

#### **SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

IR 05000261/2004007; Carolina Power & Light Company; on 10/4/2004 - 10/22/2004; H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit 2; Biennial baseline inspection of the identification and resolution of problems.

The inspection was conducted by a senior resident inspector, a resident inspector, and a senior project engineer. No findings of significance were identified. The NRC's program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors is described in NUREG-1649, "Reactor Oversight Process," Revision 3, dated July 2000.

#### Identification and Resolution of Problems

The licensee was effective at identifying problems at a low threshold and entering them into the Corrective Action Program (CAP). Management's involvement in the review of issues documented in the program was timely and appropriate. Self-assessments and audits of the CAP, and trend reviews were critical, thorough, and effective in identifying program deficiencies. Although not reflective of the general assessment into licensee problem identification, the inspectors identified a case where equipment deficiencies in a plant area were not being appropriately identified.

Prioritization and evaluation of problems in the CAP were effective. The technical adequacy and depth of evaluations, proposed corrective actions and timeliness were in a manner commensurate with the safety significance of the issue. The inspectors identified noteworthy deficiencies associated with five cause determinations. Although the inspector-identified discrepancies indicated some problems in the evaluation of issues, overall, this area of the program was considered effective. The licensee had identified the site's evaluation of issues as an area of program focus.

The CAP was effective in correcting problems consistent with the importance to safety of the issues. Effective management involvement in the process was evident. Outstanding corrective actions were tracked and delays in the implementation of corrective actions received the appropriate level of management attention. During the course of the inspection, the inspectors identified isolated problems with the implementation of corrective actions. However, these issues did not affect the overall assessment of corrective action implementation.

Individuals actively utilized the CAP and employee concerns program (ECP). Issues entered into the ECP received the appropriate level of management involvement. Management demonstrated sensitivity to organizational attitude toward the CAP and a safety conscious work environment. Based on discussions conducted with licensee employees and a review of station activities, site personnel felt free to report safety concerns.

#### REPORT DETAILS

#### 4. OTHER ACTIVITIES (OA)

#### 4OA2 Problem Identification and Resolution

a. Effectiveness of Problem Identification

#### (1) <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed action requests (ARs) selected across the seven cornerstones of safety listed in the Attachment to verify that problems being properly identified, appropriately characterized, and entered into the Corrective Action Program (CAP). The inspectors reviewed program documents which described the administrative process for documenting and resolving issues. For the assessment of the CAP, the inspectors focused on several risk significant systems which included the emergency diesel generators, component cooling water system, safety injection system, residual heat removal system, and nuclear service water system. The inspectors reviewed a sampling of ARs that had been generated since the last problem identification and resolution inspection (August 2002).

The inspectors reviewed plant equipment issues associated with Maintenance Rule (a)(1) items, functional failures, maintenance preventable functional failures (MPFF), repetitive MPFFs, and system health reports associated with the focus systems to verify that problems were being identified. Plant walkdowns of the focus systems were performed to verify that no evident material condition problems existed that were not already identified.

During the inspection, the inspectors reviewed operator logs, operator turnover sheets, control room deficiency lists, temporary modification lists, and performed control room walkdowns to verify that equipment issues were entered into the CAP at an appropriate level. Issues identified in lower tier corrective action programs (e.g., plant observation program) were reviewed to verify that they were appropriately addressed. Industry operating experience (10CFR21 notices and NRC information notices) items were reviewed to verify that applicable issues were appropriately evaluated and addressed.

The inspectors audited several of the licensee's Management Review Team Meetings, CAP Unit Evaluator Meetings, Plan-of-the-Day Meetings, and an Engineering CAP Rollup Meeting to determine the level of management involvement into issues and problems. This was also performed to gauge the effectiveness of the screening process in ensuring that problems were properly entered into the CAP.

The inspectors reviewed several self-assessments and audits of the CAP to verify that findings were being entered into the CAP and that appropriate corrective action was taken to resolve program deficiencies. Program trend reports and statistics were reviewed to verify that indicated trends were entered into the CAP at the appropriate level.

No findings of significance were identified. Based on the sample selected, the inspectors determined that the licensee was identifying problems and entering them into the CAP at a very low threshold. Problems identified through industry experiences were properly addressed. The inspectors observed appropriate and timely management involvement in the review of the issues documented in the program.

Self-assessments, audits of the CAP, and trend reviews were critical, thorough, and effective in identifying deficiencies in the CAP. These deficiencies were routinely entered into the CAP and corrective actions were implemented.

Although not reflective of the general assessment of licensee problem identification, the inspectors identified a case where equipment deficiencies were not being appropriately identified. During walkdowns of nuclear service water structure underground power cable manholes, the inspectors identified conditions (e.g., accumulation of silt that clogged a drainage pipe, sump pump deficiencies, etc.) that affected proper drainage of water from the manholes. These conditions indicated improper preventive maintenance activities of these areas. Additionally, the condition of silt that resulted in a clogged drainage pipe had been previously identified during an NRC inspection for license renewal in May 2003. AR 94315 was generated at that time as a result of the observation. However, actions identified by the AR did not correct the condition. The licensee determined that inspection of nuclear service water structure power cable manholes were not contained in the preventive maintenance program and entered this issue into the CAP (AR 140998). Additionally, the licensee generated AR 141982 to address the lack of organizational ownership of the area or a program to inspect and maintain the manholes.

#### b. Prioritization and Evaluation of Issues

#### (1) <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed ARs listed in the Attachment to verify that the issues were properly prioritized and the cause evaluated in accordance with the procedural requirements of the CAP. The review included issues associated with previously identified violations of NRC requirements. The inspectors reviewed cause evaluations to verify that the evaluation was commensurate with the safety significance of the issue, and that the evaluation addressed operability, reportability, common cause, generic concerns, and extent of condition, where appropriate. For significant conditions adverse to quality, the inspectors checked that the licensee adequately identified the causes and corrective actions to prevent recurrence. Documents reviewed are listed in the Attachment.

No findings of significance were identified. In general, the licensee's prioritization and evaluation of problems in the CAP were considered effective. The technical adequacy and depth of evaluations, as documented in individual ARs, were acceptable. The inspectors found that the licensee properly prioritized proposed corrective actions in a manner commensurate with the safety significance of the issue. Based on the total number of ARs reviewed during the inspection, the inspectors concluded that the licensee's CAP was generally being effectively implemented with respect to evaluation of problems. However, the inspectors identified several deficiencies associated with individual cause determinations as shown below:

- Priority 2 ARs 75691 and 75489 were written for a test failure of safety injection (SI) system relief valve SI-871. The valve lifted with pressure marginally higher than allowable but had a significantly lower reseat pressure than design. The evaluation of the affect on system operability of the valve's test failure did not address the affect of the low reseat pressure on past operability of the SI system. The relief valve protects the common SI and containment spray (CS) suction piping from overpressure. Highest system pressure would most likely occur during piggyback operation when the residual heat removal (RHR) pump provides flow to the CS/SI suction header. The valve relieves to the CS discharge header. The inspectors concluded that the affect on the SI system would be a potential loss of SI suction inventory to containment which would not be significant in piggyback mode when the sump was the suction supply.
- The licensee identified an increase in relief valve test failures before and during refueling outage (RO) 21. ARs were written to evaluate and fix the valve test failures. AR 74421 was written to investigate the trend of relief valve test failures, but this AR did not identify any corrective action other than to repair and retest the individual valves and expand the test scope as necessary. There were additional activities identified which could impact relief valve performance, however they were all identified as enhancements not as corrective actions.
- A root cause evaluation associated with an inattentive employee documented in AR 61013 was not of sufficient depth to determine the actual root cause. However, effective corrective actions were implemented to address the actual cause. The licensee indicated that root cause evaluations performed by the site organization which performed the evaluation had previously been identified as an area of weakness. The licensee had focused on improvements in the quality of root cause evaluation by the organization.
- A self-assessment of the motor monitoring program in 2000 identified a
  weakness that a minimum acceptance criterion for degraded direct-burial
  cables was not well established. This weakness in the program required motor
  cable determination to discern if the motor or the cable was degraded. The

corrective actions of AR 26298, written as a result of the self-assessment, only addressed improvements in the motor monitoring program. No corrective actions were established to address the weakness of the degraded condition of the site direct-burial power cables or power cable acceptance criteria not being well established. The licensee determined that the corrective actions of AR 26298 were insufficient and generated AR 141988 to evaluate the condition of the cables for acceptability.

• The root cause evaluation, as documented in AR 133713, associated with a failure of a Westinghouse Type BF66 Relay identified a manufacturing defect as the cause. This type relay is used throughout the reactor protection system. The corrective action to prevent recurrence was limited to the replacement of 10 relays obtained within the same purchase order. The evaluation did not address approximately 300 installed relays which were constructed utilizing the same manufacturing techniques as the failed relay and, therefore, subject to the same type of manufacturing defect. Subsequently, information was provided by Westinghouse indicating that the relay failure may be isolated and not caused by a manufacturing defect. At the end of the inspection, the licensee was continuing to evaluate this issue.

Although the inspector-identified discrepancies indicated some problems in the evaluation of issues, overall, this area of the program was considered effective based on the number of ARs reviewed. The inspectors noted that the licensee had identified the site's evaluation of issues as an area of program focus.

#### c. Effectiveness of Corrective Actions

#### (1) <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed corrective actions to verify that the licensee had identified and implemented corrective actions associated with identified causes for the ARs listed in the Attachment. The timeliness of the corrective actions were reviewed to assess whether they were implemented or planned consistent with the importance to safety of the issues. The inspectors reviewed maintenance rework items, Maintenance Rule functional failures for focus systems, select canceled modifications, and select items from the operator workarounds list to verify that no inconsistencies existed with prior established corrective actions for issues. The inspectors verified that common causes and generic concerns were addressed where appropriate. The review included a sample of the oldest open ARs in the licensee's database to verify that the planned dates for implementing corrective actions were justified and reasonable. Licensee followup of corrective action effectiveness associated with Priority 1 (significant condition adverse to quality) ARs was reviewed to verify appropriateness of the reviews. The inspectors also reviewed and assessed the adequacy of corrective actions associated with non-cited violations (NCVs) of regulatory requirements identified since the last problem identification and resolution inspection (August 2002).

No findings of significance were identified. The inspectors determined that the licensee's CAP was effective in correcting problems. Management involvement in the process was effective. The inspectors found that the age of outstanding corrective actions were tracked, the bases for delays in the implementation of corrective actions received the appropriate level of management attention, and that the delays were reasonable. Corrective actions for NCVs were determined to be adequate. During the course of the inspection, the inspectors identified some problems with the implementation of corrective actions as discussed below:

- AR 127517 documented that a manufacturer-installed cylinder plug, which is a component of the indicator valve adapter, was left loose when installed on the B EDG. During the performance of a surveillance test, the plug was discharged while the engine was running. The inspectors identified that corrective actions to verify tightness of the manufacturer installed plugs following indicator valve adapter replacements were not implemented. AR 139413 was written to revise the preventive maintenance procedure.
- AR 92949 evaluated two cases where relief valves lifted and failed to reseat as
  designed. One corrective action item was to revise relief valve nozzle and
  guide ring setting procedures to incorporate independent verification into the
  relief valve settings. Procedure CM-102 was revised to incorporate
  independent verification of the correct direction of rotation while setting the
  relief valve, but the revision did not incorporate independent verification of the
  final notch settings.
- AR 30516 was written to address conduit damage caused by gaps in pressurizer insulation. The effectiveness review of corrective actions associated with this AR was completed without corrective action to prevent recurrence being complete. The temporary insulation was still in place on the pressurizer, the mirror insulation had not yet been replaced with the Nukon blanket insulation. AR 140654 was generated for resolution.
- AR 124697 was written for incorrect piping utilized when implementing the CS/SI full flow test line modification. The incorrect pipe was replaced with the correct material. The AR identified two inappropriate acts which led to the use of the incorrect material. These were incorrect material reserved by the planner and failure by the craft to verify the material against the work package prior to installation. Corrective action only addressed the craft aspect through counseling and a stand-down to reestablish material verification standards. No corrective action was included to address the planning aspect of the failure.
- During maintenance of a nuclear service water valve motor-operator in 2002, megger readings as measured from the breaker, were less than established acceptance criteria. Subsequently, acceptable motor resistance readings were taken locally with the power cables determinated with satisfactory results. The

investigation determined that the cause of the failure was due to buried cable moisture intrusion due to cable aging. Established criteria specified that the cables should have been replaced. However, the inspectors identified that no corrective action was pursued to correct or evaluate the degradation of the cable. Although direct buried cable insulation degradation is a known site problem, the issue has not been entered into the CAP. The site recognized that replacement of the cables will be a necessity to the long term continued operation of the plant. At the completion of the inspection, the site budget plan included replacement of the cables which was planned to commence in 2006. Based on the plan to replace the cables, no violation of regulatory requirements was identified. AR 140969 was initiated to develop a preventative maintenance process to ensure that cables are properly evaluated when disconnected from motors. The licensee also initiated AR 141988 due to the failure of a previous evaluation (AR 26298) to establish acceptance criteria for cables when megger readings are less than the established acceptance criteria.

Although there were problems in implementing corrective actions as noted above, the inspectors determined that, overall, corrective actions were timely and effective consistent with the importance to safety of the issues based on the sample reviewed.

#### d. Assessment of Safety-Conscious Work Environment

#### (1) Inspection Scope

The inspectors questioned licensee personnel during interviews concerning their experience with the CAP to assess whether there were impediments to the establishment of a safety conscious work environment. Specifically, personnel were asked questions regarding any reluctance to initiate ARs and the adequacy of corrective action for identified issues. The inspectors interviewed the licensee's Employee Concerns Program (ECP) representative to assess the adequacy of procedural control, tracking of concerns, and trending of issues. Several ECP issues and evaluations were reviewed with respect to maintaining and promoting a safety conscious work environment and to verify that issues affecting nuclear safety were being appropriately addressed. The inspectors assessed licensee management sensitivity to a safety-conscious work environment through inspection activities, discussions with management and licensee personnel, and attendance at various meetings. The inspectors interviewed several managers, attended several meetings, and reviewed several applicable corrective action documents to assess licensee management sensitivity to a safety conscious work environment. Documents reviewed are listed in the Attachment.

No findings of significance were identified. Individuals actively utilized the CAP and ECP as evidenced by the low threshold of issues entered into the programs. Issues entered into the ECP received the appropriate level of management involvement. When issues became evident through either the ECP or CAP assessments, site management demonstrated sensitivity to organizational attitudes toward the CAP and a safety conscious work environment. In particular, CAP Assessment 76934 identified an assessment weakness that workers' attitude toward the CAP had declined. The associated investigation, documented in AR 90592, was thorough and identified corrective actions to raise site personnel sensitivity in this area. Additionally, an employee concern related to group dynamics and site culture was appropriately raised to senior management and properly investigated. The inspectors determined that a safety conscious work environment was evident at the site.

#### 40A6 <u>Meetings, Including Exit</u>

On October 22, 2004, the inspectors presented the inspection results to Mr. John Moyer and other members of his staff. The inspectors confirmed that proprietary information was not provided or examined during the inspection.

ATTACHMENT: SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### **KEY POINTS OF CONTACT**

#### Licensee personnel

- C. Baucom, Supervisor Licensing/Regulatory Programs
- A. Cheatham, Radiation Protection Superintendent
- C. Church, Engineering Manager
- B. Clark, Manager Nuclear Assessment Section
- J. Huegel, Maintenance Manager
- R. Ivey, Operations Manager
- J. Lucas, Manager, Nuclear Site Support
- G Ludlam, Training Manager
- D. Martrano, Performance Evaluation Section
- J. Moyer, Vice President Robinson Nuclear Plant
- W. Noll, Director of Site Operations
- D. Stoddard, Plant General Manager
- S. Wheeler, Lead Self Evaluation Specialist
- D. Winters, Supervisor Plant Support Group
- T. Lee, Employee Concerns

#### NRC personnel

- P. Fredrickson, Chief, Reactor Projects Branch 4
- L. Wert, Deputy Director, Division of Reactor Projects

LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

None.

#### LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

### Significant Action Requests (AR), Priority 1

133713	MR Performance Criteria Exceeded for System 1080 Protection Relays
132944	Inattentive Employee
123399	Trend in Employee Inattentiveness
61013	Inattentive Employee
76766	Seal Leak from RHR Pump "A"; Unplanned
108878	Simultaneous Failure of RHR Pump Rooms Sump Level Indicators
82945	TS allowable surveillance interval exceeded for A EDG
79818	Potential station blackout EDG common cause failures
30920	Fault pressure relay failure for C phase main transformer
77439	Unexpected power increase while flushing the cation bed - adverse trend per RES cap roll-up
21953	SSFI concern with plant calculations
22494	Replacement of the B EDG fuel oil transfer pump and motor
122720	NAS Assessment R-EP-04-01, Issue 1 on 10 CFR 50.54Q
112813	Proposed NCV Pursuant to 50.47(B)2
100571	Acceptance Criteria Exceeded on a RAM Package
104566	N42 Upper Detector Spiking Down
124140	Feedwater Transient
115704	Potential Primary to Secondary Leakage Based on R-24B
76524	EDG B Failed Fast Start During OST-163
103661	A EDG Lube Tubing Not Connected After Maintenance
82945	TS Allowable Surveillance Interval Exceeded for A EDG
24488	Unavailability Hours Exceeded for Deepwell Pump B
126009	Plastic Piece Found Downstream of SI-Pmp-B Discharge Check Valve
77042	EST-083 Was Listed As Closed But Was Not Fully Completed
030516	Damaged Conduit For PCV-456 Solenoid and Limit Switches
Adverse A	action Requests (AR) Priority 2

121511 126196 73692	ECCS Sump Monitoring Possible Corrosion on "B" RHR Pump Stuffing Box Extension "A" RHR Pump Motor Oil Level Too High
82889	UFSAR Design Flow Rate for RHR Heat Exchanger Shell Side Flow Incorrect
98476	PMTR for Alternate Power Breakers for MCC-5 and RHR pump A Not Identified
67826	Inattentive Employee
105553	Inattentive Employee
117418	Inattentive Employee
112008	Inattentive Employee
125357	Site gate issue
131205	LC-475B1-X(B) Failed to Deenergize during MSF-021
83209	10 CFR 21 Notification on Whiting Crane #25 Gearcases
130440	Whiting Crane Part 21 Notice 2004-015-00, Overstress of Welds on Slack Link Load
	Sensing Structural Frames of Cranes
73823	AFW-39 Left Tagged
74341	Boundary Value Leak-by Leads to AOP-14 Entry
84308	CC-702 Hinge Bracket Fasteners
94309	Revise Inspection Due Date for CC-738
94318	Revise Due Date for Check Value Inspection of CC-931
97157	CCW Tritium Analysis Concern
98216	Failure of CCW Pump to Start

		5
1115 1253 7442 7617 9059 1140 1137 2052 2440 8254 8280 1068 1266	379 21 71 92 967 79 21 97 49 90 391	Closed System Inside Containment Relief Valve CC-729 Nozzle Ring Set Incorrectly Relief Valve Failures Diesel Fuel Oil Transfer Pump Motor Fault CAP Assessment Weakness #2 - Workers' Attitude Toward CAP Boric Acid on Containment Floor Clearance on C Service Water Pump Motor Heater Intermediate Range Allowed values non-conservative EDG fuel oil day tank minimum level Maintenance Rule functional failure 52/36B Elevated CCW Temperatures Greater Than 105 Degrees B [Emergency Diesel Generator] Standby Circulating Pump Rework on [Work Request Number] 469914-01 Unanticipated Service Water Auto Isolation to Turbine Building When Restoring Service Water B EDG Governor Operating Improperly
1275		EDG B Cylinder Test Valve Plugs
1338		A EDG Signs of High Temperature on the Generator Output Bus Bar
8946		B EDG Shutdown OST-401-2 Due to Oil Leak
8157		10CFR21 Report for a Defective Fuel Injector Pump
1037 898		EDG A Generator Outboard Bearing Debris in Oil Concrete Chips Found in the B CCW Heat Exchanger
1260		AOP-022 Entered for Service Water Leak
9019		SI Valve SI-924 Found Out of Position
897		20 MW Load Reduction Due To Spurious Turbine Runback
8473		Unanticipated LCO Entry (EDG B Out of Service)
1338		Check Valve DA-20A Lower Guide was Detached from Disc
8872		SW-338 Found Out of Position
9431		Poor Material Condition of Cables in Electrical Manholes
1137	79	Clearance 9801136
7028		V6-12D-MO Failed PM-409 Required Causing Extended LCO Time
9532		SW Pump D Maintenace/LCO Significantly Extended
2436		Functional Failure of Deepwell Pump B
1377		B Deepwell Pump Tripped - Maintenance Rule High Safety Significance
2629		Motor Program Self-Assessment SI-879A Check Valve Bonnet Gasket Not Installed
1360 1288		SI-879A Check valve Bonnet Gasket Not Installed SI-879A Has Active Leakage
1254		Insufficient Insulation Removal for ISI Caused Rework
1239		Fabrication Error Identified on Struts
832		Part 21 Rockbestos Firewall III Cable KXL Insulation
8905		Rockbestos-Suprenant Firewall III Cable 10CFR21 Notification
1261	178	IN 04-009 Corrosion of Steel Containment and Liners
1275		CCW Pmp C Indication Dual When Attempted to Start
1295		SI-Pmp-C PMT Failed Due To Flow and Pressure Above Curve
1185		B SI Pump Motor Bearing Degradation
1246		CS/SI Full Flow Test Piping Installation Errors
1245		Incorrect Schedule Pipe Issued
1296		Venting the BIT Header
1218	362	SI-Pmp-A Has A Possible Through Casing Leak

74796 117303 74421 92949 75489 75691	SI Pmp C Casing Torqued To Incorrect Value For 1st Pass High Trace Metals in Oil Sample Evaluate Recent Trend In Relief Valve Test Failures Review NCR 31337 Loss Of CCW Durig OST-946 on 5/4/01 SI-871 Lifted Above The Allowable Band When Tested IAW EST-112 Re-Seat Pressure For SI-871 Is 50 PSI Valve to Be Retested
Improvem	ent Action Requests (AR), Priority 5
100868 116765 97608	Informal Self-Assessment - Post Maintenance Testing Process Review NRC Information Notice 04-01, Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Recirculation Line Orifice Fouling Improvement Item-RHR Pump Venting Sequence
77575	[Local Clearance and Test Request Request] Number 40761 in Place Greater Than 90 Days
76279	Track Resolution of CSI-PMP-C Discolored Oil
Procedure	Modification Requests (PMR)
87177 78956 96255 72570 86665	EDG B Governor Friction Clutch Torque Check Add PM for Main Bearing Oil Booster Change Frequency of PS-4500A Calibration to line Up with EDG Maintenance Outage Service Water Header Strainer PM Establish PM with Two Models: Install/Remove Temporary Cooling at HVH-1, 2,3, and 4
Canceled	Action Requests (AR)
69943 70416 65357 70666 73926 74444 74795 31924	Resource challenges for total exposure Repeat failures of PI-1619A PI-1619A is breaking approximately every two months SPDS communication was called in and should not have been Weakness from EP Drill on 9/3/02 DS bus feeder breaker 52/32A failed to reclose Rework on HDV-224B Potential adverse trend in rework

#### Operating Experience Items

Part 21 Notice 2004-015-00, Overstress of Welds on Slack Link Load Sensing Structural Frame of Cranes

Part 21 Notice 2004-007-00/01/02, Potential Safety Hazard with Woodward Digital Reference Unit

Part 21 Notice 2004-005-00, Potential Slippage of Aluminum Roots Blowers on Opposed Piston EDGs

Part 21 Notice Event #39512, Firewall III Cables May Include an Alternate Resin Information Notice 2002-12, Submerged Safety-Related Electrical Cables Information Notice 2003-20, Derating of Whiting Cranes

Information Notice 2004-01, Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Recirculation Line Orifice Fouling

Information Notice 2004-08, RCS Pressure Boundary Leakage

Information Notice 2004-09, Corrosion of Steel Containment and Liners (Evaluated)

Information Notice 2004-10, Loose Parts in Steam Generators

Information Notice 2004-12, Submerged Safety-Related Electrical Cables

Actions taken for relief valves in response to NRC IR 05000400/2003008

Letter from Engine Systems, Inc., INPO OE Item 11848 for Woodward Governor, Dated 6/5/01

Part 21 Notice 2002-25-1, Fuel Injector Pump Found to be Leaking Excessively Through Nameplate Rivet Hole

Operating Experience 15204, Failure of the Essential Service Water Strainer Due to a Lack of appropriate Preventative Maintenance

Action Request 64419 IN 2002-12 Submerged Safety Related Cables

#### Work Orders (WO)

65481	Inspect BFD Relays (CCW Pump B & C Alarm Relays)
131678	Inspect BFD Relays (CCW Pumps B & C Alarm Relays)
163431	B CCW Pump Seals Leaks - Inboard Seal has a slight spray
177461	Outboard Pump Seal of C CCW Pump has numerous leaks
192364	FT 613 Needs to be vented
192459	C CCW Pump has inboard seal leak
195584	FT 613 Needs to be vented
232418	C CCW Pump outboard bearing has slight seal leakage
298782	Inspect BFD Relays (CCW Pump B & C Alarm Relays)
334312	App 002E1 Alarms Too Late
334513	Lo Flow Alarm for RHR Pump A
335741	App 001D1 alarms Too Early
355972	A CCW Pump Control Switch Clearing
431084	A CCW Pump Failed to Start
431604	Replace Secondary Contact on 52/33C
554999	CC-749A would not stroke from RTGB
611353	Seal Leakage from RHR Pump B
108913	SI Pump A, EC 52753, Pipe Struts Fabrication Error
376024	Insufficient insulation removed for ISI inspection of A cold leg weld
568118	SI Pump A discharge check valve SI-879A bonnet leaking
383935	Exhaust Leaks on EDG B
98556	B EDG Standby Circulating Water Pump Has a Seal Leak. Rework is Suspected.
420204	Civil/Mechanical Detailed Inspection
411837	Assist [Robinson Engineering Section] in Detailed Inspection of Electrical Manhole
417108	During PM on 52/25B Found Low Megger Readings on SW Pump D
559609	SI-879A Leakage
561687	SI Pump A Discharge Check Valve Leakage
292136	OST-151-3 (QL) Safety Injection System Component Test
26312	OST-151-2 (QL) Safety Injection System Component Test
342963	OST-151-2 (QL) Safety Injection System Component Test
292135	OST-151-2 (QL) Safety Injection System Component Test

#### Employee Concerns Reports (ECR)

ECR 44745

ECR 44548

ECR 41926

ECR 41951

ECR 41813

#### Procedures

Nuclear Generation Group Standard Procedure, ADM-NGGC-0104, Work Management Process, Revision 27

Nuclear Generation Group Standard Procedure, ADM-NGGC-0203, Preventive Maintenance and Surveillance Testing Administration, Revision 5

Nuclear Generation Group Standard Procedure, ADM-NGGC-0204, Work Management (WO Scheduling), Revision 0

Nuclear Generation Group Standard Procedure, CAP-NGGC-0205, Significant Adverse Condition Investigation, Revision 1

Nuclear Generation Group Standard Procedure, CAP-NCCG-0200, Corrective Action Program, Revision 11

Plant Operation Manual (POM), Vol. 1, Part 1, PLP-128, Degraded Operable SSCs, Revision 1 POM, Vol. 3, Part 9, OST-943, Service Water to Safety Related Equipment Valve Position Verification, Revision 11

General Plant Procedure, GP-002, Cold Shutdown to Hot Subcritical at No Load Temperature-Average, Rev. 93

General Plant Procedure, GP-007, Plant Cooldown from Hot Shutdown to Cold Shutdown, Rev. 64

CM-611, Governor for the Emergency Diesel Generators A & B, Rev. 13

CM-628, Emergency Diesel Generator Cylinder Liner Adapters Maintenance, Rev. 10

Engineering Surveillance Test (EST), EST-140, Leak Test for ECCs Boundary Valves(Refueling)

PM-409, Bridge and Insulation Resistance Testing of Electrical Equipment, Rev. 9

PM-479, Motor Testing, Rev. 4

SFS-001, IF-300 Shipping Cask Operations, Rev. 38

HPP-318, Decon of the IF-300 Cask, Rev. 6

HPP-25, Shipping and Receiving the IF-300 Cask, Rev. 24

MMM-001, Maintenance Administration Program, Rev. 63

OMM-001-12, Minimum Equipment List and Shift Relief, Rev. 38

CM-102, Nozzle Relief Valve Maintenance, Rev. 31

EST-112, Pressure, Safety, and Relief Valve Bench Testing, Rev. 20

#### Drawings

G-190678, Yard Duct Runs, Dated March 29, 1967

G-190199, Service and Cooling Water System Flow Diagram, Sheet 2 of 13, Rev. 63

G-190199, Service and Cooling Water System Flow Diagram, Sheet 6 of 13, Rev. 40

G-190204-A, Emergency Diesel Generator System Flow Diagram, Sheet 3 of 3, Rev. 18

5379-376 Sheet 1 Component Cooling Water System Flow Diagram, Rev. 36

5379-376 Sheet 2 Component Cooling Water System Flow Diagram, Rev. 31

```
5379-376 Sheet 3 Component Cooling Water System Flow Diagram, Rev. 23
```

5379-376 Sheet 4 Component Cooling Water System Flow Diagram, Rev. 31

5370-1082 Sheet 1 Safety Injection System Flow Diagram, Rev. 41

5370-1082 Sheet 2 Safety Injection System Flow Diagram, Rev. 43

5370-1082 Sheet 3 Safety Injection System Flow Diagram, Rev. 25

5370-1082 Sheet 4 Safety Injection System Flow Diagram, Rev. 27

5370-1082 Sheet 5 Safety Injection System Flow Diagram, Rev. 38

#### New Work Tickets From SI System Walkdown

```
00165967 Boric Acid on FI-11096 High Side Vent
```

00165971 Boric Acid on SI-Pmp-A Discharge Drain

00165974 Boric Acid on SI-Pmp-C Inboard Seal

00165975 Boric Acid on Drain for PI-492

00165978 Boric Acid on SI-870B Stem

#### Cancelled Engineering Changes (E/Cs)

```
48984 Evaluation of Cable Splice Requirements for RHR Pump Motors
```

51861 Replace CC-731, 717, 738 Due to Unavailable Spare Parts

47258 Standby Jacket Cooling Pumps

47072 SI Pipe Upgrade and SI-857B Removal

54781 Increased Thinning and Two-Phase Coat Application

58306 Install Guard Over Limit Switch on ES-10 Per CA for Significant AR 124140

56487 Replacement Valve Evaluation for CC-738

51930 Review Technical Manual 728-144-37

51925 Review Technical Manual 762-209-38

51929 Review Technical Manual 755-655-31

51924 Review Technical Manual 728-011-52

52050 Review Technical Manual 727-922-94

47090 Namco EQ Test Report Review

47242 Review of Rosemount Qualification Documents

#### Other

Refueling Outage 22 Report, Report Number 123746-14, Dated 8/4/04

Engineering Service Request (ESR) Number 9700431, Service Water D Motor/Cable Megger Reading Evaluation

Operator Work-Around 04-11, Deepwell Pump B

Degraded Operable SSC Disposition 04-010, Deepwell Pump B Motor

IEEE Standard 141-1976, Cable Systems

IEEE Standard 43-2000, IEEE Recommended Practice for Testing Insulation Resistance of Rotating Machinery

Self-Assessment Report Number 15262, Engineering Motor Program

Service Water System Health Report, Dated 7/26/2004

R-SE-04-01, Robinson Nuclear Plant Self Evaluation Assessment Report, dated September 22, 2004

Assessment Number 76934, Corrective Action Program Cross-functional Self Assessment, April 7-11, 2003

Assessment Number 54779, Corrective Action Program, July 8-August 8, 2002

Nuclear Assessment Section Report RR-CA-02-01, Round Robin Assessment of Corrective Action Program for Robinson and Harris Nuclear Plants, dated August 8, 2002

Site-Wide Analysis of Condition Reports for Performance Trends, dated September 1 through December 31, 2003

Site Wide Analysis of Condition Reports for Performance Trends, dated January 1 through March 31, 2004

Maintenance Rule Performance Summary for system 4080 Component Cooling Water system 31-August-04

Maintenance Rule Event Log Report for System 4080 Component Cooling Water System 31-August-04

Completed Work Orders for System 4080 CCW for 8/31/2004

NRC Inspection Manual Part 9900 Technical Guidance Section 6.13

ASME Code Section III Appendix F Section F-1310C

System Health Report For System 4080 Component Cooling Water dated 7-26-04

CCW Sampling Results Tritium Analyses for 2002-2004

System Health Report For System 2080 and 2080C Safety Injection and Containment Spray

CP&L Laboratory Lube Oil Report Unit 2C SI Pump Outboard Bearings

SI System Walkdown Report September 2004

SI System Work Orders dated 8/31/2004

Material Evaluation 06517R00 Velan Swing Check Valve

Vendor Manual 728-144-37 Westinghouse Air Circuit Breaker DB-50, DB-75, and DB-100

Westinghouse Technical Bulletin W-TB-99-05, DB-50 Breaker Minimum Trip Force and Seismic Enhancements

HB Robinson Plant Valve Test Data for CC-729

Robinson Technical Training Relief/Safety Relief/Safety Valve Maintenance MEL0013R Rev. 1 Engineering Change (E/C) 47104 Rev.0

H. B. Robinson Plant Valve Test Data For SI-871