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## Summary of accumulated changes to baseline

- The CA ID of the secondary is 1.8 m to facilitate installation of the camera through the hole in the secondary.
- Space of 5.0 cm (down from 8.0 cm ) between clear apertures of the primary and tertiary mirrors.
- The CA ID of the primary, joint line and the CA OD of the tertiary are respectively: 5116, 5066 and 5016 mm.
- The overall sag of the M1 / M3 monolith is 680 mm.
- Warren Davison suggests reducing the diameter of the hole in the tertiary to $\mathbf{1 0 5 4 . 7} \mathbf{~ m m}$, from 1160 mm.

LSST baseline design specifications: updated

- $\quad 8.36 \mathrm{~m}$ diameter beam
- Full field of view of 3.5 degrees
- Etendue of $318 \mathbf{~ m}^{2}$ deg $^{2}$
- Focal ratio f/1.25
- EFL: 10.45 m
- Plate scale: $50.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{arc}-\mathrm{sec}$
- Flat focal plane
- Five photometric filters
- g-r-i-z-y pass bands, $410 \mathrm{~nm}-1028 \mathrm{~nm}$
- Images calculated for 5 equally spaced and weighted wavelengths spanning the pass band
- Primary and tertiary mirror :
- monolithic
- 5 cm between beams on each mirror
- Camera
- 3 cm between beams at L1 input surface
- Camera can be inserted in hole of secondary: 1.8 m diameter CA ID
- Telescope by itself is corrected on-axis
- No ADC

Three fused spherical silica lenses

- L1
- Maximum diameter, :1.62 m
- Edge thickness at CA diameter is 3.4 cm
- L2
- Central thickness of 3.0 cm
- Minimum space to filter at least 30 cm
- Accommodate filter change mechanism
- L3
- Vacuum barrier
- $>2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ space to focal plane
- Central thickness sufficient to provide "bulletproof" design for fracture safety
- Diameter/thickness ratio of 12.17 yields 6.0 cm thickness for 73 cm lens

Fused silica filters

- First surface concentric about chief ray
- CT and $2^{\text {nd }}$ surface curvature optimized for image quality
- Minimum center thickness of 1.35 cm

Current work

Refine design regarding throughput, camera location, secondary asphericity while maintaining imaging performance

1. Add small amounts of asphericity on $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ to:

- Reduce asphericity on secondary mirror
- Improve null testing for $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$
- $L_{2}$ is now fixed; no imaging advantage for moving $L_{2}$

2. Study small changes in focal ratio: $f / 1.25$ to $f / 1.20$

- Further reduce asphericity on secondary
- $L_{2}$ cantilever and/or secondary diameter increase slightly

Bonus: asphericity on secondary can be reduced from 132 microns to 17 - 100 microns


- Decreasing the $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ cantilever can:
- increase the diameter of the secondary
- decrease the vignetting
- increase the asphericity of the secondary
- Decreasing the focal ratio of the telescope can:
- decrease the asphericity of the secondary
- Either the $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ cantilever or secondary diameter will increase decreases as secondary diameter increases

- Look at designs with secondary asphericity 17-34 microns
- There are relatively small increases of the L1-FP vertex distance
- increase from 2.63 to $2.75-2.81 \mathrm{~m}$ should be acceptable
- The potential advantages for fabricating and testing the secondary mirror are more significant
- Ranges
- f/1.25 to f/1.2236 (plate scale 50.66 to 50.00 microns/arc-sec)
- Primary radius 19.6 to 19.9 m
- L1 cantilever 2.7 to 2.8 m
- M2 CA diameter 3.37 to 3.44 m
- Telescope length 6.32 to 6.45 m

Continuing work

- Comments on next slide [slide 10]
- Designs A-H increase the L1 cantilever from 2.6 m to 2.7 m
- Designs A-C with biconcave L2 have $\mathbf{2 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 5}$ microns asphericity
- Long radius on $1^{\text {st }}$ surface of L 2 leads to testing difficulties since radius must be within + /- 50 mm or so
- Designs D-H have a plano-concave L2
- Ideal for fabrication and testing
- Asphericity increases to 30 to 34 microns
- Decreasing the focal ratio
- Decreases the vignetting as it increases the diameter of M2
- Slightly improves the image sizes
- Designs D-H are reasonable but look at what is required to further reduce asphericity on secondary

L1 cantilever increased to 2.7 meters; $\mathrm{f} / 1.25, \mathrm{f} / 1.24$ and $\mathrm{f} / 1.2236$
A-C, L2 is biconcave; D-H, L2 is plano-concave

| LSST : Sept 30,2005 |  | Units | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FNBR |  | --- | 1.2500 | 1.2400 | 1.2336 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 | 1.2400 | 1.2336 | 1.2336 |
|  | Plate scale | $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 50.66 | 50.26 | 50.00 | 50.66 | 50.66 | 50.26 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| Casting | Required depth: M1M3 | mm | 676 | 676 | 676 | 676 | 671 | 672 | 676 | 674 |
| M1 | Radius | m | -19.681 | -19.695 | -19.688 | -19.681 | -19.832 | -19.811 | -19.685 | -19.795 |
| M1 | Max. Dep.: BF parabola | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 109 | 113 | 116 | 114 | 117 | 119 | 120 | 123 |
| M2 | Diameter (Optical CA) | m | 3.40 | 3.41 | 3.42 | 3.40 | 3.41 | 3.43 | 3.42 | 3.44 |
| M2 | Max. Dep.: BSF | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 25 | 21 | 21 | 32 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 31 |
| M3 | Max. Dep.: BSF | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 411 | 409 | 406 | 407 | 394 | 391 | 394 | 388 |
| L1 | Diameter (Optical CA) | m | 1.58 | 158 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.58 |
| L1 | Center Thickness | mm | -72.13 | -70.18 | -69.99 | -72.67 | -/1.9b | -10.10 | -69.76 | - /2.63 |
| L2 | Diameter (Optical CA) | m | 1.056 | 1.042 | 1.046 | 1.058 | 1.048 | 1.048 | 1.044 | 1.064 |
| L2 | Surface1: Radius | m | 29.93 | 39.78 | 54.53 | plano | plano | plano | plano | plano |
| L2 | Surface2: Radius | m | -2.914 | -2.954 | -2.95 | -2.651 | -2.727 | -2.196 | -2.864 | -2.789 |
| L2 | Max. Dep.: BSF | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 260 | 253 | 268 | 213 | 230 | 245 | 233 | 244 |
| L2-filter | L2 to Filter space | mm | -300 | -300 | -300 | -300 | -300 | -300 | -300 | -318.7 |
| Filter | Surface1\&2:RD i-filter | m | -6.403 | -6.223 | -6.122 | -6.3 | -6.306 | -6.1/4 | -6.104 | -6.066 |
| Camera | L1 1st vertex to M2 | m | 2.710 | 2.709 | 2.708 | 2.711 | 2.690 | 2.691 | 2.706 | 2.694 |
|  | Overall System Length ${ }^{1}$ | m | 6.352 | 6.331 | 6.317 | 6.35 | 6.37 | 6.35 | 6.32 | 6.33 |
|  | Integrated Etendue(A $\Omega$ ) | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ | 318.9 | 319.2 | 319.7 | 318.9 | 319.5 | 319.9 | 319.7 | 320.3 |
|  | on-axis | \% | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 |
|  | full-field | \% | 55.47 | 55.67 | 55.72 | 55.58 | 56.01 | 56.19 | 55.80 | 56.37 |
|  | Integrated | \% | 60.39 | 60.45 | 60.54 | 60.39 | 60.49 | 60.57 | 60.54 | 60.65 |
|  | Vignetting (\% from center) | \% | 11.32 | 11.01 | 10.93 | 11.14 | 10.46 | 10.17 | 10.79 | 9.89 |
| Image Size (Worst case 80\% Diffraction Encircled energy Dia.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{g}: 410-552 \mathrm{~nm}$ | sec | 0.305 | 0.300 | 0.299 | 0.328 | 0.307 | 0.298 | 0.303 | 0.280 |
|  | r : $550-694 \mathrm{~nm}$ | sec | 0.273 | 0.259 | 0.250 | 0.280 | 0.248 | 0.234 | 0.257 | 0.236 |
|  | i: 694-847 nm | sec | 0.265 | 0.252 | 0.242 | 0.267 | 0.236 | 0.222 | 0.248 | 0.215 |
|  | y: $847-930 \mathrm{~nm}$ | sec | 0.278 | 0.261 | 0.247 | 0.274 | 0.239 | 0.225 | 0.252 | 0.219 |
|  | z: 960-1028 nm | sec | 0.290 | 0.274 | 0.260 | 0.282 | 0.245 | 0.234 | 0.259 | 0.231 |

## Look at larger L1 cantilever distance of $\mathbf{\sim} \mathbf{2 . 8} \mathbf{~ m}$

- Designs I - M: Minimum asphericity of 17 microns achieved for L1 cantilever of ~2.8 m
- All designs I - M should be acceptable
- Designs K-M look at reducing L1 CA size from 1.58 m to 1.55 m
- Powers of L1 and L2 increase slightly ( and weight, thickness)
- Reducing the focal ratio increases the space between L2 and the filter; could be helpful for filter interchange
- Size of L2 increases slightly
- Recommendation: Designs K-M should be passed by small group to further discuss


## Increasing L1 cantilever to 2.8 m reduces secondary asphericity to minimum possible values; K-M trades M2 diameter and vignetting vs. L1 size

| LSST : Sept 30,2005 FNBR |  | Units$\qquad$ $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ mm | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { I } & \\ & 1.2500 \\ & 50.66 \end{array}$ | J | K 1.2500 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { L } \\ & \hline 1.2400 \end{aligned}$ | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2400 |  | 1.2336 |  |  |
|  | Plate scale |  |  | 50.26 | 50.66 | 50.26 | 50.00 |
| Casting | Required depth: M1M3 |  | 675 | 677 | 677 | 677 | 677 |
| M1 | Radius |  | m | -19.840 | -19.839 | -19.842 | -19.838 | -19.835 |
| M1 | Max. Dep.: BF parabola | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 102 | 104 | 100 | 105 | 111 |
| M2 | Diameter (Optical CA) | m | 3.39 | 3.40 | 3.37 | 3.40 | 3.42 |
| M2 | Max. Dep.: BSF | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| M3 | Max. Dep.: BSF | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 400 | 393 | 400 | 401 | 403 |
| L1 | Diameter (Optical CA) | m | 1.56 | 1.58 | 1.550 | 1.550 | 1.550 |
| L1 | Center Thickness | mm | -74.410 | -74.220 | -78.400 | -79.14 | -81.60 |
| L2 | Diameter (Optical CA) | m | 1.058 | 1.060 | 1.078 | 1.084 | 1.098 |
| L2 | Surface1: Radius | m | plano | plano | plano | plano | plano |
| L2 | Surface2: Radius | m | -2.609 | -2.660 | -2.508 | -2.545 | -2.541 |
| L2 | Max. Dep.: BSF | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 230 | 253 | 224 | 235 | 233 |
| L2-filter | L2 to Filter space | mm | -305.3 | -312.2 | -300 | -323.9 | -355.8 |
| Filter | Surface1\&2:RD i-filter | m | -5.899 | -5.731 | -5.744 | -5.69 | -5.628 |
| Camera | L1 1st vertex to M2 | m | 2.777 | 2.811 | 2.804 | 2.780 | 2.762 |
|  | Overall System Length ${ }^{1}$ | m | 6.43 | 6.42 | 6.45 | 6.42 | 6.39 |
|  | Integrated Etendue(A $\Omega$ ) | $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{Clg}^{2}$ | 318.3 | 318.2 | 317.6 | 318.8 | 319.5 |
|  | on-axis | \% | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 | 62.55 |
|  | full-field | \% | 55.72 | 55.53 | 55.59 | 55.80 | 56.12 |
|  | Integrated | \% | 60.27 | 60.26 | 60.13 | 60.37 | 60.50 |
|  | Vignetting (\% from center) | \% | 10.92 | 11.22 | 11.13 | 10.79 | 10.28 |
|  | $\mathrm{g}: 410-552 \mathrm{~nm}$ | sec | 0.276 | 0.264 | 0.274 | 0.267 | 0.264 |
|  | r : $550-694 \mathrm{~nm}$ | sec | 0.228 | 0.209 | 0.232 | 0.216 | 0.209 |
|  | i: 694-847 nm | sec | 0.226 | 0.202 | 0.225 | 0.208 | 0.201 |
|  | y: $847-930 \mathrm{~nm}$ | sec | 0.233 | 0.207 | 0.232 | 0.215 | 0.209 |
| Oct 3,2005 | z: 960-1028 nm | sec | 0.247 | 0.215 | 0.237 | 0.218 | 0.209 |



| - g:50\% | - r:50\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathrm{i}: 50 \%$ | - z50\% |
| - y.50\% | - g:80\% |
| -- r:80\% | $\pm \mathrm{i}: 80 \%$ |
| $\bigcirc-$ z80\% | -雱-y. $80 \%$ |
| - - u:80\% | - u: u ( 50 |

## Current baseline Detector/WFS Layout

 20 Curvature Sensors

