

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary
**Draft Management Plan and
Environmental Assessment**

April 2008



United States Department
of Commerce

Carlos M. Gutierrez
Secretary

National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration

Conrad C. Lautenbacher, Jr.
Administrator

National Ocean Service

John H. Dunnigan
Assistant Administrator

Gerry E. Studds
Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary
175 Edward Foster Rd.
Scituate, MA 02066
(781) 545-8026
<http://stellwagen.noaa.gov>

ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This document is the revised draft management plan and environmental assessment for the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary. The public is encouraged to provide comment on this document. When final, this plan will chart the course for the sanctuary over the next five years.

Comments or questions on this management plan should be directed to:

Craig MacDonald, Ph.D.

Superintendent

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary

175 Edward Foster Rd.

Scituate, MA 02066

(781) 545-8026

sbplan@noaa.gov

Recommended citation:

U.S. Department of Commerce. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. National Marine Sanctuary Program. 2008. Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary Draft Management Plan / Draft Environmental Assessment. Silver Spring, MD.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OVERVIEW

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary (SBNMS or sanctuary) stretches between Cape Ann and Cape Cod at the mouth of Massachusetts Bay in the southwestern corner of the Gulf of Maine. Virtually the size of the state of Rhode Island and located wholly within federal waters, sanctuary boundaries include the submerged lands of Stellwagen Bank, all of Tillies Bank and Basin, and the southern portions of Jeffrey's Ledge. The sanctuary protects 842-square miles (638 square nautical miles) of open-ocean, overlaying a diverse seafloor topography and array of benthic and pelagic habitats that support biological communities broadly representative of the Gulf of Maine.

The sanctuary mission is to conserve, protect and enhance the biological diversity, ecological integrity and cultural legacy of the sanctuary while facilitating uses that are compatible with the primary goal of resource protection. When Congress designated the sanctuary in 1992, it did so to recognize the nationally significant conservation and aesthetic qualities of the site. Congress directed that the sanctuary be managed to maintain the habitats and ecological services of the natural assemblage of living resources of the area, as well as its maritime heritage resources. The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary is the only federal entity mandated to conserve biological diversity and protect maritime heritage resources in the offshore waters of the Gulf of Maine.

The management plan review process is, in essence, an exploration and rediscovery of the sanctuary. It is a journey across earlier decades of scientific monitoring and analysis, leading to the directed research and evaluation of the moment. It draws upon a foundation of over 670 source documents, most of which are peer-reviewed scientific papers published in reputable professional journals. It is a quest for facts and findings, culminating in the up-to-date synthesis and characterization of the resources and human uses of the sanctuary today. It is a public collaboration of immense proportion, involving comments from over 20,000 concerned citizens, more than 300 individuals participating in scoping meetings, and over 200 people serving on issue-driven working groups. The entire process was coordinated with, and reviewed by, the 45 members and alternates on the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Advisory Council holding appointments during 2002-2006 and offering representation from Connecticut to Maine.

The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary was designated for a multitude of reasons, not the least of which was its long history of human use and its high natural productivity and resource diversity. The historic exploitation of the whales and fish on Stellwagen Bank and vicinity helped forge a cultural tradition that is difficult to perpetuate today as a result of overfishing, habitat destruction and rapid transformation of the region's economy. The modern appreciation for these resources requires that they be protected for their intrinsic value, multiple ecosystem services, and recreational and

ecotourism importance, while facilitating uses (including fish and seafood production) that are environmentally sustainable and compatible with the widely recognized need and Congressional mandate for resource protection.

The environmental condition of the sanctuary is subject to major alterations that are largely due to the effects of human activities. The basic diversity of marine life and the patterns and processes that control the distribution and abundance of marine organisms in the sanctuary is still not well understood. Yet, conserving this biodiversity is central to the implementation of ecosystem-based sanctuary management, an evolving approach that stresses the management of the entire sanctuary ecosystem including all biological communities, habitats and species populations, together with all compatible uses. Comprehending the great importance of marine biodiversity, and the need to maintain ecological complexity in the sanctuary, this draft management plan is based on the concept of managing marine resources for biodiversity conservation.

KEY FINDINGS

There are well over 575 known species in the sanctuary and the list is largely incomplete. Living landscapes (anemone forests, sponge gardens, hydroid meadows, worm tube beds) carpet the seafloor and the associated marine communities support benthic and pelagic species that are dependent upon them. The number of invertebrate species that constitute these landscape features remains to be adequately counted. Water column and seafloor habitats sustain over 80 species of fish and provide important feeding and nursery grounds for 22 marine mammal species, including the endangered humpback, fin and sei whales and the critically endangered North Atlantic right whale. The area supports foraging activity by 34 species of seabirds, dominated by gulls, storm petrels, gannets, auks (alcids), sea ducks and shearwaters. Four species of endangered or threatened sea turtles are known to frequent the area. Numerous shipwrecks occur throughout the sanctuary, encapsulating the rich maritime history of the place.

The sanctuary is a hotspot for prey abundance, which is what ultimately attracts the whales, sustains the fish, seabirds and other wildlife, and supports the economic viability of most current uses in the sanctuary. Key prey species include sand lance (small semi-pelagic fish), herring and planktonic copepods. Sand lance numbers in the sanctuary are the highest and most concentrated anywhere in the southern Gulf of Maine and the sanctuary is in an area of high relative abundance of herring. Accordingly, the sanctuary is one of the most intensively used whale habitats in the northeast continental region of the U.S. The World Wildlife Fund and *USA TODAY* named Stellwagen Bank and vicinity one of the top ten premiere places in the world to watch whales. The readers of *Offshore* magazine voted Stellwagen Bank the best place to watch wildlife and the number three favorite recreational fishing spot in the northeastern U.S.

However, fishing—especially commercial fishing—impacts and pressures every resource state in the sanctuary. On an

annual basis, virtually every square kilometer of the sanctuary is physically disturbed by fishing. Fishing has removed almost all of the big old growth individuals among biologically important fish populations and reshaped biological communities and habitats in the process. Commercial fishing lands 17.0 million pounds to 18.4 million pounds of fish and crustaceans from the sanctuary each year on average (1996-2005), yet discards approximately 23% of the total catch as bycatch (based on 2002/2003 estimates). The part of the catch from the sanctuary that actually is landed amounts to 1.9%–2.8% of the total New England landings value for all northeast fisheries. Fishing removes 3,200 metric tons of herring from the sanctuary each year on average, an amount sufficient to potentially deplete the forage base for whales and other sanctuary wildlife. The area in and around the sanctuary has the highest use of fixed gear vessels anywhere along the eastern seaboard of the U.S., and the sanctuary area has the highest number (41%) of reported whale entanglements in the Gulf of Maine. Fishing gear fouls eleven of eighteen known historic shipwreck sites in the sanctuary, which also display evidence of damage by gear impacts.

The sanctuary receives more commercial shipping traffic than any other location within U.S. jurisdiction in the Gulf of Maine and approximately ten percent of the vessel/whale collisions recorded world-wide is reported from the sanctuary area. The annual mean and maximum operating speeds of whale watch boats in the sanctuary doubled between 1980–1987 and 1998–2004, as did their annual rate of whale strikes. The overall level of non-compliance with NOAA whale watch guidelines, based on the distance traveled by the whale watch boats, was 78%. The sanctuary seems prone to biological invasion by exotic species. This is based on factors associated with community maturity and niche opportunities created by a history of lowered species diversity and extensive chronic habitat disturbance by fishing, together with the sanctuary's location amid extensive commercial shipping traffic that can serve as primary vectors for the introduction of exotics from hull bottoms and ballast water. Harmful algal blooms and degraded water quality continue to be concerns with expanding coastal development and increasing urbanization in the region, coupled with unrelenting population growth and commensurate waste management needs. Creeping industrialization along the western boundary of the sanctuary in the form of deepwater LNG ports may lead to chronic underwater noise affecting sanctuary resources in virtual perpetuity. Over half of all resource condition categories (10 of 17) evaluated for the sanctuary had fair through poor ratings. The general trend for habitat and living resources appears to be static and in need of improvement.

MANAGEMENT PLAN

This document provides the basis to consider how things should be done differently to improve the resource conditions of the sanctuary, since that is what the findings indicate is needed.

The Sanctuary Advisory Council provides a vision for the future that contrasts the current conditions in the sanctuary:

“The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine life, supported by diverse, healthy habitats in clean ocean waters. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is protected and fully restored for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining natural and cultural resources.”

The management plan represents the first step toward achieving this vision.

This draft management plan serves as a non-regulatory policy framework for addressing the issues facing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over the next five years. It lays the foundation for restoring and protecting the sanctuary's ecosystem. It details the human pressures that threaten the qualities and resources of the sanctuary. It recommends actions that should be taken now, and some that should be considered in the near future, for restoring and protecting this special place.

At this time, NOAA is not proposing any regulations or changes to the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary designation document. However, several regulatory initiatives that derive from the strategies presented in the draft management plan ultimately could be considered for action prior to the next management plan review nominally scheduled for 2013. These include: management of whale watching, maritime heritage resources management, preventing local depletion of key forage species, and instituting requirements for habitat zoning and compatibility analysis. These initiatives may necessitate that the designation document be amended.

This document provides strategic guidance for management actions and focuses those actions on four priority programmatic areas: capacity building, ecosystem protection, marine mammal protection and maritime heritage management. NOAA is focusing on these priority areas because they will significantly contribute to achieving the vision and mission of the sanctuary. The eleven action plans in this document address issues relative to these four areas and are based extensively on the advice of working groups established by the Sanctuary Advisory Council.

The public is invited and encouraged to comment on this draft management plan. Comments may be submitted in writing to Dr. Craig MacDonald, Sanctuary Superintendent, Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary, 175 Edward Foster Rd., Scituate, MA 02066 or by facsimile to (781) 545-8036. For information on the open comment period, or to obtain a copy of the draft management plan, please call (781) 545-8026 or send an email to sbplan@noaa.gov. Copies of this document may be downloaded from the internet at <http://stellwagen.noaa.gov/management>.

ORGANIZATION OF THIS DOCUMENT

The draft management plan is organized into ten principal sections.

Section I provides background information on the national marine sanctuaries and the management plan review process.

Section II is an overview of the institutional setting within which the sanctuary operates.

Section III presents the sanctuary setting. This section is divided into three sub-sections: biodiversity conservation; physical setting, including geography, geology, and oceanography; and primary producers and decomposers.

Section IV describes the resource states of the sanctuary and provides context and foundation for the action plans in Section VII. This section is divided into eight sub-sections: seafloor and water column habitats, benthic invertebrates, fishes, seabirds, sea turtles, marine mammals, and maritime heritage resources.

Section V discusses the kinds and status of human use and the economic value where available.

Section VI is a summation of the effects of human uses on sanctuary resources including a discussion of cumulative impacts.

Section VII contains the action plans, which detail the management actions the sanctuary will take to address priority issues and meet the purposes and policies of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act.

Section VIII provides an environmental assessment of the two alternatives considered: no action and revising the management plan.

Section IX lists the sources and literature cited in this document.

Section X includes a number of appendices, which provide supporting information on various aspects of this draft management plan.

The sanctuary management objectives, included in this draft management plan, are organized by priority programmatic area and their respective action plan in the list that follows.

Capacity Building

Administrative Capacity and Infrastructure Action Plan

ADMIN.1 Improve Site Staffing and Support Capabilities for SBNMS Programs

ADMIN.2 Maintain and Enhance the Infrastructure of the Site

ADMIN.3 Develop a SBNMS Volunteer Organization to Support Sanctuary Programs and Enhance Site Visibility

Interagency Cooperation Action Plan

IC.1 Facilitate Cooperation and Coordination Between Agencies

IC.2 Establish Mechanisms for Improving Information Sharing

Public Outreach and Education Action Plan

POE.1 Improve Outreach and Education Capacity to Increase Sanctuary Visibility, Awareness, and Stewardship

POE.2 Improve Capacity for Formal and Informal Education Programs that Support Management Goals

Compatibility Determination Action Plan

CD.1 Develop a Framework for Sanctuary Compatibility Determination

Ecosystem Protection

Ecosystem-Based Sanctuary Management Action Plan

EBSM.1 Establish a Science Review Protocol

EBSM.2 Establish an Information Management System

EBSM.3 Understand Ecosystem Structure and Function

EBSM.4 Protect Ecological Integrity

EBSM.5 Evaluate the Need and Feasibility of Modifying the Sanctuary Boundary

Ecosystem Alteration Action Plan

EA.1 Reduce Impacts of Laying Cables and Pipelines

EA.2 Reduce Alteration of Benthic Habitat by Mobile Fishing

EA.3 Reduce Impacts of Biomass Removal by Fishing Activity

Water Quality Action Plan

WQ.1 Assess Water Quality and Circulation

WQ.2 Reduce Pollutant Discharges and Waste Streams That May Affect the Sanctuary

Marine Mammal Protection

Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance Action Plan

- MMBD.1 Reduce Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance by Vessels
- MMBD.2 Reduce Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance by Noise
- MMBD.3 Reduce Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance by Aircraft

Marine Mammal Vessel Strike Action Plan

- MMVS.1 Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strike Between Large Commercial Ships and Whales
- MMVS.2 Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strike Through Speed Restrictions
- MMVS.3 Support and Develop Research Programs to Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strikes

Marine Mammal Entanglement Action Plan

- MME.1 Aid Disentanglement Efforts
- MME.2 Reduce Marine Mammal Interaction with the Trap/Pot Fishery
- MME.3 Reduce Marine Mammal Interaction with the Gillnet Fishery

Maritime Heritage Management

Maritime Heritage Management Action Plan

- MH.1 Establish a Maritime Heritage Program
- MH.2 Inventory, Assess and Characterize Historical Resources
- MH.3 Protect and Manage Historical Resources
- MH.4 Develop and Implement a MH Outreach and Education Program
- MH.5 Assess Shipwrecks and Other Submerged Objects for Potential Hazards

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This draft management plan was written and compiled by: Craig D. MacDonald, Ph.D., Sanctuary Superintendent
Benjamin Cowie-Haskell, Management Plan Coordinator
Nathalie Ward, Ph.D., External Affairs Coordinator

With contributions by:

Peter Auster, Ph.D., University of Connecticut at Avery Point
Les Kaufman, Ph.D., Boston University
Jesse Schwartz, Ph.D., Boston University

GIS analyses were conducted by Michael A. Thompson.

Technical support was provided by Perot Systems Government Services, notably Timothy Feehan, Ayhan Ergul and Ted Racine. Document design and layout by Marla Laubisch.

Staff of the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary and the National Marine Sanctuary Program are acknowledged for their contributions in the development of this draft management plan.

From 2002-2006, the Sanctuary Advisory Council was instrumental in the development of this draft management plan. NOAA acknowledges and thanks the Advisory Council representatives for their individual and collective contribu-

tions to this process. The following members of the Advisory Council are acknowledged for chairing the working groups that led to development of the action plans in this document: Regina Asmutis-Silvia, Susan Farady, Alan (Jerry) Hill, Porter Hoagland, Ph.D., Judith Pederson, Ph.D., Mason Weinrich, Richard Wheeler, John Williamson and Sally Yozell. NOAA also acknowledges and thanks the many members of these working groups. The early foundation for this management plan review was laid during 1998-1999 by Brad Barr, former Sanctuary Superintendent, and the previous Advisory Council (1996-2000), and is recognized.

The National Marine Sanctuary Program staff gratefully acknowledge the enormous assistance provided by the NOAA Fisheries Service Northeast Regional Office and Northeast Fisheries Science Center for access to the numerous and extensive databases that underlay many of the analyses in this management plan, for the many agency scientists and managers who devoted considerable time serving on the working groups as members and technical advisors, and for collaboration with sanctuary staff on several key research projects conducted to inform sanctuary management. Related assistance was provided by local and State agency partners, which also is acknowledged. The Sanctuary Program's collaboration with NOAA's National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science yielded substantial information to characterize the sanctuary's ecological setting, and is acknowledged.

PHOTOGRAPHY AND ART CREDITS

Section I. Captain Henry S. Stellwagen—Courtesy of the Stellwagen Family

Section II. Smooth sunstar on seafloor—Credit: USGS

Section III. Northern red anemone and American lobster—Credit: USGS

Section IV. Squid and starfish on mud habitat—Credit: USGS

Section V. Sand lance over gravel, shells and coarse sand—Credit: USGS

Section VI. Frilled anemones on a boulder—Credit: USGS

Section VII. Burrowing anemone with pink shrimp—Credit: USGS

Section VIII. Northern sea stars in a muddy basin—Credit: USGS

Section IX. Shell pile in the trough between sand waves—Credit: USGS

Section X. Gravel with encrusting coralline algae and sponges—Credit: USGS

Page 26 “Inside the anemone forest” painting—Credit: Joline Putnam, RI School of Design¹

Page 36. “Exploring the deep boulder reef” painting—Credit: Mary Jane Brush, UConn²

Page 43. Diatoms (*Chaetoceros affinis*, *Coscinodiscus* sp., *Chaetoceros debilis*)—Credit: Paul Hargraves, Univ. RI

Page 48. Feeding humpbacks and seabirds—Credit: Ari Friedlander, Duke Univ/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707)

Page 49. American lobster, cunner and benthic invertebrates—Credit: Matthew Lawrence, SBNMS

Page 57. Hydromedusae—Credit: Norman Despres

Page 68. Field of sand dollars—Credit: USGS

Page 74. Northern puffer—Credit: Norman Despres

Page 82. Greater shearwater—Credit: Glen Tepke

Page 90. Leatherback turtle—Credit: Glen Tepke

Page 92. Humpback whale calf fluke—Credit: Kate Sardi, WCNE/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707)

Page 120. “Evening Shipping on Boston Bay, 1898” painting—Credit: William G. Muller

Page 132. Various human uses during a summer day on the SBNMS—Credit: Regina Asmutis-Silvia

Page 132. Commercial Fishing section—Credit: SBNMS/NOAA

Page 144. Recreational Fishing section—Credit: SBNMS/NOAA

Page 154. Whale Watching section—Credit: Regina Asmutis-Silvia

Page 155. Other Recreation and Tourism section—Credit: Deborah Marx, SBNMS

Page 156. Maritime Transportation section—Credit: SBNMS

Page 166. Cunner and invertebrates—Credit: Tane Casserley

Page 184. Whale tagging research boat—Credit: WCNE/SBNMS; Humpback and NOAA Ship Nancy Foster—Credit: WCNE/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707); Sanctuary exhibit at Gloucester Maritime Heritage Center—Credit: Anne Smrcina, SBNMS; SBNMS facilities—Credit: Anne Smrcina, SBNMS

Page 207. Haddock—Credit: NURC-UConn; Greater Shearwater—Credit: WCNE/SBNMS; Atlantic herring—Credit: Jon Witman, Brown Univ.; Sea Scallop—Credit: USGS

Page 227. Breaching humpback whale—Credit: Ari Friedlander, Duke Univ/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707); Recreational boat and humpback whales—Credit: Kate Sardi, Duke Univ./SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707); Dead right whale with propeller marks—Credit: PCCS; Entangled humpback whale—Credit: PCCS (NOAA Permit 932-1489)

Page 246. *Portland’s* steam release pipe—Credit: NURC-UConn, The Science Channel and SBNMS; *Portland’s* bits with encrusting invertebrates—Credit: NURC-UConn, The Science Channel and SBNMS; Teacups in *Portland’s* galley—Credit: NURC-UConn/SBNMS; Pipes and mug on *Portland’s* deck—Credit: NURC-UConn, The Science Channel and SBNMS.

LAST PAGE. Whales and birds feeding at sunset in the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary—Credit: Cara Pekarcik, WCNE/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707)

^{1,2}Both paintings are scientifically accurate portrayals of characteristic seafloor landscapes based on the artists’ examination of over a hundred hours of underwater video made by remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) in the sanctuary.

CONTENTS

About This Document.....	i
Executive Summary	ii
Overview	ii
Key Findings.....	ii
Management Plan	iii
Organization of this Document	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Photography and Art Credits	vi
I. Introduction to the Document.....	1
Overview of the National Marine Sanctuary Program.....	2
Overview of the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary	4
Sanctuary Management Plan Review.....	4
The Draft Management Plan.....	6
II. Institutional Setting	9
Human Resources	10
Sanctuary Superintendent.....	10
Sanctuary Staff.....	10
Infrastructure	10
Site Facilities	10
Vessels	11
Sanctuary Advisory Council.....	12
Relationship with Other Agencies and Authorities	12
NOAA Offices.....	12
Other Federal Agencies	14
Regional Authorities	15
State Agencies.....	15
Local Government Agencies.....	16
Tools for Formalizing Relationships	16
Sanctuary Funding.....	17
Appropriations	17
Additional Sources of Support.....	17
Research and Monitoring.....	18
Education and Outreach.....	18
Intramural	18
Extramural.....	19
Collaborative.....	19
Enforcement and Permitting.....	20
Enforcement.....	20
Permitting.....	20
III. Sanctuary Setting.....	25
Biodiversity Conservation	26
Emphasis on Community Ecology.....	26
Managing for Biodiversity Conservation	27
Physical Setting	37
Geography	37
Geology	37
Oceanography	38

Primary Producers and Decomposers	44
Prokaryotes	44
Protists	45
Fungi.....	46
IV. Resource States	47
Context.....	48
Seafloor as Habitat	49
Water Column as Habitat	57
Benthic Invertebrates.....	68
Fishes	74
Seabirds	82
Sea Turtles	90
Marine Mammals	92
Maritime Heritage Resources.....	120
V. Status of Human Use	131
Context.....	132
Commercial Fishing	132
Recreational Fishing.....	144
Whale Watching	154
Other Recreation and Tourism.....	155
Maritime Transportation	156
Prohibited Uses.....	160
VI. Summation	165
Context.....	166
Historic Importance.....	166
Status Today	167
Current Challenges.....	168
Compatible Uses.....	170
VII. Action Plans	177
Introduction to Action Plans	178
What are Action Plans?	178
What is their origin?	178
How are they prioritized?	178
How are they evaluated?	178
How are they organized?.....	180
What are the costs?	180
How are they implemented?.....	181
Explanation of Vision and Mission	182
Vision:.....	182
Mission:	182
‘Unpacking’ the Vision	182
Capacity Building.....	184
Administrative Capacity and Infrastructure Action Plan	185
Issue Statement	185
Goal	185
Objectives.....	185
Interagency Cooperation Action Plan	192
Issue Statement	192
Goal	192
Objectives.....	192

Public Outreach and Education Action Plan	197
Issue Statement	197
Goal	197
Objectives.....	197
Compatibility Determination Action Plan	203
Issue Statement	203
Goal	203
Objectives.....	203
Ecosystem Protection.....	206
Ecosystem-Based Sanctuary Management Action Plan.....	207
Issue Statement	207
Goal	207
Objectives.....	207
Ecosystem Alteration Action Plan	214
Issue Statement	214
Goal	214
Objectives.....	214
Water Quality Action Plan.....	222
Issue Statement	222
Goal	222
Objectives.....	222
Marine Mammal Protection	227
Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance Action Plan	228
Issue Statement	228
Goal	228
Objectives.....	228
Marine Mammal Vessel Strike Action Plan.....	235
Issue Statement	235
Goal	235
Objectives.....	235
Marine Mammal Entanglement Action Plan.....	240
Issue Statement	240
Goal	240
Objectives.....	240
Maritime Heritage Management	246
Maritime Heritage Action Plan	247
Issue Statement	247
Goal	247
Objectives.....	247
VIII. Draft Environmental Assessment	255
Purpose and Need.....	256
Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives	256
Affected Environment.....	258
Environmental Consequences.....	259
IX. Sources Cited.....	261
X.Appendices	283
Appendix A. National Marine Sanctuaries Act	284
Appendix B. Designation Document for the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary	296
Appendix C. Key Topics and Issues Identified during Public Scoping for Revision of the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Management Plan.	299

Appendix D. List of Current and Former Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Advisory Council Members (2001-2006).....	300
Appendix E. List of Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Advisory Council Meetings Relating to Management Plan Review	303
Appendix F. List of Working Group Members	304
Appendix G. Existing Federal and State Authorities Relevant to Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Protection and Management	312
Appendix H. Questions and Answers Regarding Regulatory Coordination on Fishing between the National Marine Sanctuary Program and Federal Fishery Management Agencies	319
Appendix I. Regulations	321
Appendix J. Preliminary Species List for the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary.....	324
Appendix K. Description of Typical Waste Discharges in the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary.....	337
Appendix L. Cetacean and Pinniped Species Descriptions.....	339
Appendix M. Northeast Region Whale Watch Guidelines Including the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary.....	343
Appendix N. Federal Regulations on Approach to Endangered North Atlantic Right Whales	345
Appendix O. Prioritized Strategy Implementation Based on Funding Scenarios.....	346
Appendix P. Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Cooperative Enforcement Plan.....	352
Appendix Q. Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Zoning Working Group Charge and List of Members	355
Appendix R. Existing Marine Resource Management Zones that Overlap the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary	357
Appendix S. List of Acronyms	360
Appendix T. Glossary.....	362
Appendix U. Metric Conversion Table	365

FIGURES

Figure 1. The system of National Marine Sanctuaries.	2
Figure 2. Illustration of the Proposed Management Continuum for the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary.	7
Figure 3. Current organizational chart for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	10
Figure 4. Oblique aerial photograph showing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary buildings (red roofs), pier and docks on Scituate Harbor in 2003 during facilities renovation.	11
Figure 5. The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary's 50-foot research vessel R/V <i>Auk</i>	11
Figure 6. Explorer John Smith's <i>Map of New England</i> , 1616, with Stellwagen Bank and the sanctuary area (shaded blue) superimposed.	31
Figure 7. Species and trophic interactions of the northwest Atlantic food web.	33
Figure 8. Trophic cascades in kelp forests along the coast of Maine.	34
Figure 9. Historic reduction in mean TLs in fishery landings in the GoM from statistical bulletin landings data (1901–1935) and LME Northeast U.S. continental shelf landings (1950–2003).	35
Figure 10. Multi-beam sonar image of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary area showing (a) sun-illuminated seafloor topography and (b) backscatter intensity of sediments.	36
Figure 11. The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary in relation to adjacent land and associated geographic places.	39
Figure 12. Generalized diagram of the counter-clockwise circulation patterns in the GoM.	40
Figure 13. Generalized diagram of the various water circulation patterns in the upper layers that exist within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during stratified conditions.	41
Figure 14. Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) image of internal wave events in Massachusetts Bay on August 7, 2003.	43
Figure 15. Selected tracks of telemetered drifter buoys depicting generalized current flow in the vicinity of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	42
Figure 16. Example of a microhabitat formed within a mud habitat by burrowing anemones.	49
Figure 17. Map depicting the WGoMCA (cross-hatched) and its overlap with the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	51
Figure 18. Location of long-term sampling sites for the Seafloor Habitat Recovery Monitoring Project.....	54
Figure 19. Side-scan sonar image of bottom otter trawl tracks over the mud habitat of Gloucester Basin in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	55
Figure 20. Two conceptual models of pattern shifts in community state due to disturbance.	56
Figure 21. Location of water column stations, including the additional Stellwagen Bank sanctuary stations sampled in August and October 2001-2005.	59
Figure 22. Annual mean ammonium (top) and nitrate (bottom) concentrations in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, the nearfield and Cape Cod Bay relative to the outfall startup.	59
Figure 23. Top: annual mean total dissolved nitrogen (TDN); Middle: dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN); Bottom: total nitrogen (TN) in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, the nearfield and Cape Cod Bay relative to the outfall startup.	60
Figure 24. Annual mean chlorophyll in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and other regions relative to the outfall startup.	60
Figure 25. Benthic community parameters at stations (FF05, FF04) in or (FF14, FF11) near Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1992-2005) relative to the outfall startup.	61
Figure 26. Location of the NOAA NS&T BE sampling sites (2004) within Massachusetts Bay including the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	61
Figure 27. Concentration of contaminants, select metals (Cd [cadmium] and Pb [lead]) and organic compounds (total PCBs [Polychlorinated Biphenyls] and DDT [pesticide]), in sediments within Massachusetts Bay including the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	62
Figure 28. Location of sewer outfalls, the MWRA outfall, industrial discharge sites and dumping/disposal sites within Massachusetts Bay.	65
Figure 29. Annual disposal volumes at the Massachusetts Bay Disposal Site for the period 1982–2003.	66
Figure 30. Representative species of sponges in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	70

Figure 31. Representative species of cnidarians in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	71
Figure 32. Representative species of anemones in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	72
Figure 33. Empty ocean quohog shells (<i>Arctica islandica</i>) serve as habitat for a variety of fish such as the blenny shown here.	73
Figure 34. Representative species of tunicates in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	73
Figure 35. Seasonal mean fish species diversity (species richness) across the GoM for the period 1975–2005.	74
Figure 36. Geographic strata of similar bathymetric profile used to compare diversity indices with the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	75
Figure 37a. Comparison of fish species diversity (species richness, Margalef’s and Shannon indices) between the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and other similar strata within the GoM.	76
Figure 37b. Comparison of fish species diversity (Simpson, taxonomic diversity and taxonomic distinctness indices) between the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and other similar strata within the GoM.	77
Figure 38. Annual per capita egg production (in millions of eggs) for cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) as a function of age (and by implication size).	78
Figure 39. Decrease in maximum length of white hake sampled in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by NOAA Fisheries Service standardized trawl surveys over the period 1963–2000.	79
Figure 40. Reduction in maximum length of 15 species of ecologically and commercially important fish over a 38-year period (1963–2000) within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	79
Figure 41. Change in maximum length of a subset of fish species sampled in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1990–2005.	80
Figure 42. Relative seasonal abundance of seabirds within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary for the calendar year July 1994–June 1995.	85
Figure 43. Part 1. Spatial distribution and density of seabirds in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	86
Figure 43. Part 2. Spatial distribution and density of seabirds in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	87
Figure 44. Demonstrated high seasonal and inter-annual variability in the relative abundance of seabird species frequenting the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary based on standardized survey sightings data for the period July 1994–August 1995.	88
Figure 45. Illustration of the great auk.	89
Figure 46. Spatial distribution and density of key prey species for piscivorous cetaceans in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM.	95
Figure 47. Overlay of spatial distribution of North Atlantic right whale relative abundance (sightings-per-unit effort: SPUE) on spatial distribution of <i>Calanus</i> copepods for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM.	95
Figure 48a. Spatial distribution and relative abundance of key cetacean species in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM based on interpolation of SPUE for the period 1970–2005.	97
Figure 48b. Spatial distribution and relative abundance of key cetacean species in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM based on interpolation of SPUE for the period 1970–2005.	98
Figure 49. Seasonal patterns of interpolated SPUE data for all baleen whale species in spring, summer, fall and winter and all seasons combined for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM (1970–2005).	99
Figure 50. Seasonal patterns of interpolated SPUE data for all dolphins and porpoises in spring, summer, fall, winter and all seasons combined for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM (1970–2005).	100
Figure 51. Relative occurrence of fin, humpback, minke and right whales in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	101
Figure 52. Relative occurrence of harbor porpoise, white-sided dolphins and pilot whales in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	101
Figure 53. Frequency of Cetacean Sightings within Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by month. Data are from standardized surveys from July 2001–June 2002.	101
Figure 54. Comparison of the spatial distribution of baleen whales within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary from whale watch and standardized survey data.	102
Figure 55. A three-dimensional visualization of the spatial distribution of baleen whales within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1979–2004).	103
Figure 56. A time/depth plot of the diving behavior of a tagged humpback whale in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over a 15-hour period in July of 2006.	104

Figure 57. Visualization showing the NOAA Ship <i>Nancy Foster</i> acoustically mapping sand lance prey fields in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	105
Figure 58. GPS tracks of 36 commercial whale watching trips from six major whale watching ports in Massachusetts that were monitored by onboard observers during the summer and fall of 2003.	106
Figure 59. Comparison of a vessel's maximum recorded trip speed and its maximum recorded zone 1 speed for 46 commercial whale watching trips representing 12 companies operating in and around the Stellwagen Sanctuary in 2003 and 2004.	107
Figure 60. Co-occurrence of baleen whales and tuna fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 2001–June 2002.	108
Figure 61. Photograph of a hooked humpback whale in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary trailing tuna fishing tackle.	108
Figure 62. Approximate location of ship strikes to baleen whales along the eastern seaboard of the U.S. including the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary from 1979–2002.	108
Figure 63. Historical trends (1980–2004) in the cruising speed (annual minimum, maximum and mean) of commercial whale watch vessels operating within and around the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	109
Figure 64. Maximum and average speed in knots for all (156) tracked commercial vessels transiting the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during the months of April and May 2006 using the USCG's AIS.	109
Figure 65. Mandatory ship reporting system (MSRS) data from 1999–2002 showing tracks of large commercial vessels traversing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	111
Figure 66. Ship tracks in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and western GoM for the months of April and May 2006 derived from the USCG AIS.	111
Figure 67. Sighting locations of whales reported entangled in fishing gear in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and GoM between 1985 and 2006.	112
Figure 68. Distribution and density of number of active fixed gear fishing vessels (gillnet, lobster, and other trap/pot fisheries) from Virginia to Maine during 2004.	112
Figure 69. Relative Interaction Potential (RIP) index showing the potential for interaction between baleen whales and fixed fishing gear in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, by 5-minute square area.	113
Figure 70. Three-dimensional ribbon track of a tagged humpback whale showing extensive interdependent use of seafloor and water column during foraging along the bottom.	114
Figure 71. Spatial distribution of commercial herring fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	115
Figure 72. Herring landings in pounds by fishing gear type and year from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	116
Figure 73. Realignment of the shipping lanes (TSS) into the Port of Boston by the International Maritime Organization to reduce the risk of ship strikes to baleen whales in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	118
Figure 74. Location of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary relative to Area 1A in the herring fishery management plan. ...	119
Figure 75. Historic photograph of the steamship <i>Portland</i> from 1891. The <i>Portland</i> sank with all hands during the Portland Gale in November 1898.....	122
Figure 76. The steamship <i>Portland's</i> location in the sanctuary was confirmed by NOAA scientists in 2002.	122
Figure 77. Fragile teacups and dishware in the galley survived the <i>Portland's</i> plummet to seafloor in 1898.	123
Figure 78. Historical photograph of the 4-masted coal schooner <i>Frank A Palmer</i>	123
Figure 79. Historical photograph of the 5-masted coal schooner <i>Louise B Crary</i>	123
Figure 80. In 2002, NOAA scientists confirmed the location of the schooners <i>Frank A. Palmer</i> and <i>Louise B. Crary</i> in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	123
Figure 81. The <i>Frank A. Palmer's</i> stern cabin contains the remains of the captain's sink and toilet.	124
Figure 82. Historical postcard of the 5-masted coal schooner <i>Paul Palmer</i> offloading coal in New Hampshire.	124
Figure 83. The <i>Paul Palmer</i> rests on top of Stellwagen Bank with its wooden frames and hull planking protruding up from the sand.	124
Figure 84. Artifacts, such as the brass hand bell and ceramic dishes seen here, are well preserved on this wooden hulled shipwreck with a coal cargo.	125
Figure 85. The coal cargo depicted in this photograph covers the remains of a shipwreck.	125

Figure 86. This shipwreck's granite block cargo was destined for use in the construction of sidewalks and sewer systems.	125
Figure 87. Many Eastern rig draggers similar to the one pictured here sank within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and are being documented by sanctuary archaeologists.	126
Figure 88. Wire rope associated with a trawl net cuts into the steamship <i>Portland's</i> bow.	127
Figure 89. This large trawl net was once wrapped around the schooner <i>Paul Palmer's</i> windlass, where it was a hazard to SCUBA divers and marine life.	127
Figure 90. Gillnets cover the schooner <i>Louise B. Crary's</i> bow.	128
Figure 91. Jigs are evidence of hook and line fishing activity on the schooner <i>Paul Palmer</i>	128
Figure 92. Braided and monofilament fishing line is caught around the <i>Frank A. Palmer's</i> steering wheel.	129
Figure 93. Spatial density patterns based on fishing trips for two types of bottom mobile gear (otter trawls and dredges combined) in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary are compared using standardized survey data (a) and Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data (b) over the same time period (July 2001–June 2002).	134
Figure 94. Spatial density patterns based on fishing trips using fixed gear (e.g., lobster traps, sink gillnets and longlines) in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary are compared using standardized survey data (a) and Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data (b) over the same time period (July 2001–June 2002).	135
Figure 95. Comparison of the density and distribution of surface buoys within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over two survey periods: from May 1994 through August 1995 and from July 2001 through June 2002.	137
Figure 96. Comparison of the density and distribution of mobile fishing vessels (stern dragger, eastern dragger and scallop dredge) within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over two survey periods: from May 1994 through August 1995 and from July 2001 through June 2002.	138
Figure 97. Size and location of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary relative to State of Massachusetts Offshore Area 19 for reporting lobster landings and NOAA Fishing Area 4 for reporting bluefin tuna landings.	139
Figure 98. Trends in value (2005\$) of annual commercial fishery landings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary for the period 1996–2005.....	142
Figure 99. Trends in annual commercial fishery landings in pounds from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary for the period 1996–2005.....	142
Figure 100. Distribution of commercial fishery landings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by county landed based on total landings value for the period 1996–2005.	142
Figure 101. Spatial density patterns based on fishing trips for party boat (a) and charter boat (b) fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 2001–June 2002.	145
Figure 102. Trend in number of party and charter boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	147
Figure 103. Distribution of (a) party boat and (b) charter boat landings (number of fish) from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by county landed for the period 1996–2005.....	149
Figure 104. Trends in number of anglers and trips by party boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	150
Figure 105. Trends in number of anglers and trips by charter boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	150
Figure 106. Trends in party boat and charter boat landings (quantity) from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	150
Figure 107. Sanctuary map showing that almost 15% or 126 square miles of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary is within the recreational dive limit of 130 feet.	156
Figure 108. Three-dimensional representation of large commercial vessel traffic (156 ships) crossing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary based on USCG AIS data for April–May 2006.	157
Figure 109. Number of commercial deep draft vessel transits to/from the Port of Boston by month for the years 2001–2003.	158
Figure 110. Location of two separate Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) deepwater ports, Northeast Gateway and Neptune, proposed adjacent to the western boundary (inserts) of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary. Each port would have at least two offshore installations indicated by the buoy locations.	162
Figure 111. Spatial density patterns based on trips for all fishing recorded in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 2001–June 2002 based on Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data.	169

Figure 112. Cumulative impacts caused by fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, mediated through directed mortality and collateral impacts affecting community interactions, leading to altered ecological integrity.	170
Figure 113. Effects on marine mammals caused by the cumulative impacts of human activities in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary that could alter their role as a functional element of the sanctuary ecosystem.....	172
Figure 114. Effects on maritime heritage resources in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary caused by cumulative impacts and leading to diminished archaeological integrity.	173
Figure 115. NMSP performance evaluation logic model.	179
Figure 116. Five-year management plan costs.....	181
Figure 117. Current organizational chart for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	186
Figure 118. Organizational Chart—proposed.	187
Figure 119. Hypothetical application of S-CAP process.	205

TABLES

Table 1. Summary of current research and monitoring projects in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	17
Table 2. Summary of representative education and outreach products and programs developed by the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary or through collaboration with its partners.	21
Table 3. Comparison of intensity and severity of various sources of physical disturbance to the seafloor (based on Hall (1994) and Watling and Norse (1998)).	53
Table 4. Inventory of known invasive species to the Gulf of Maine region.	64
Table 5. Time taken for objects to dissolve at sea.	67
Table 6. Sightings totaling 5,825 seabirds of 34 species in nine families recorded in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 1994–August 1995.	84
Table 7. Conservation status of sea turtles found in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and GoM region.	91
Table 8. Conservation status of 22 species of marine mammals sighted in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	93
Table 9. The level of non-compliance with the speed portion of the NOAA whale watching guidelines based on the monitoring of 46 commercial whale watching trips operating in and around the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 2003–2004.	106
Table 10. Herring landings (millions of pounds) from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by gear type (1996–2005).....	115
Table 11. Principal gear types fished in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	135
Table 12. Commercial vessels fishing within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state of homeport.	138
Table 13. Landings value (2005\$) by commercial fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state and county landed (1996-2005). Table is based on VTR data with adjustments made for Area 19 and Area 4 landings.	140
Table 14. Landings in pounds by commercial fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state and county landed (1996-2005). Table is based on VTR data with adjustments made for Area 19 and Area 4 landings.....	141
Table 15. Top ten species landed and top ten commercial fishing gear types used in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1996–2005) based on landed value (2005\$) and volume (lbs.).	143
Table 16. Comparison of ex-vessel value (2005\$) of commercial fishery landings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1996–2005) by New England state landed relative to total value of fishery landings in those states from all sources.	143
Table 17. Number of (a) party boats and (b) charter boats by state of home port that landed fish from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	146
Table 18. Number of vessels, trips and anglers fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by (a) party boats and (b) charter boats during 1996–2005.....	147
Table 19. Quantity of fish landed by (a) party boats and (b) charter boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state and county landed (1996–2005).....	148
Table 20. Top ten species caught by (a) party boat and (b) charter boat fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005 based on number of fish landed.....	150

Table 21. Landings (pounds) by species in the federal offshore waters of Massachusetts by (a) private/rental boats and (b) party/charter boats during 1996–2005 based on the NOAA Survey Query data. Adjustments were made as detailed in the text.	152
Table 22. Annual shipping transits of commercial deep draft vessels to/from the Port of Boston (2000–2005).	157
Table 23. Characteristics of commercial deep draft vessels and other maritime traffic entering/leaving the Port of Boston. Number of transits indicated is for 2005.	159
Table 24. Revised summary of findings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary <i>Condition Report</i> (2006) that was prepared preliminary to this document.	174
Table 25. Estimated Annual Costs for Action Plan Implementation.....	180
Table 26. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for ADMIN action plan.....	186
Table 27. Estimated costs for ADMIN action plan.	190
Table 28. Performance measures for ADMIN action plan.....	191
Table 29. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for IC action plan.	193
Table 30. Estimated costs for IC action plan.	195
Table 31. Performance measures for IC action plan.	196
Table 32. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for POE action plan.....	198
Table 33. Estimated costs for POE action plan.....	201
Table 34. Performance measures for POE action plan.	202
Table 35. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for CD action plan.	204
Table 36. Estimated costs for CD action plan.	205
Table 37. Performance measures for CD action plan.....	205
Table 38. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for EBM action plan.	208
Table 39. Estimated costs for EBSM action plan.	212
Table 40. Performance measures for EBSM action plan.....	213
Table 41. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for EA action plan.	215
Table 42. Estimated costs for EA action plan.	220
Table 43. Performance measures for EA action plan.....	221
Table 44. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for WQ action plan.	223
Table 45. Estimated costs for WQ action plan.....	225
Table 46. Performance measures for WQ action plan.	226
Table 47. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MMBD action plan.	229
Table 48. Estimated costs for MMBD action plan.....	233
Table 49. Performance measures for MMBD action plan.	234
Table 50. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MMVS action plan.	236
Table 51. Estimated costs for MMVS action plan.....	238
Table 52. Performance measures for MMVS action plan.	239
Table 53. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MME action plan.	241
Table 54. Estimated costs for MME action plan.	244
Table 55. Performance measures for MME action plan.....	245
Table 56. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MH action plan.....	248
Table 57. Estimated costs for MH action plan.	252
Table 58. Performance measures for MH action plan.....	253