## COLORADO Department of Transportation 2008-2013



Engineering, Education, Enforcement, Emergency Medical Services= People and Programs Working to Save Lives

Colorado Integrated Safety Plan 2008-2013

The mission of the CDOT Safety and Traffic Engineering Programs is to reduce the incidence and severity of motor vehicle crashes and the associated human and economic loss.
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## Message from the Colorado Department of Transportation's Executive Director



Colorado continues to be among the top states in the nation in reducing the number of traffic deaths and injuries. From 2005 to 2006, Colorado's motor vehicle fatalities dropped 12 percent, outpaced only by two other states and the District of Columbia.

We can attribute much of our success to the engineering of safer highways, education of the driving public, and enforcement of the state's driving laws. Despite our successes, traffic crashes remain the leading cause of death and injury in Colorado. Clearly, there is more work to be done to save lives on Colorado roadways.

It is the mission of the Safety Program of the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) to continue providing programs and projects designed to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes. We will accomplish this by continuing to expand our safety partnerships and by providing tools for safety advocates to work along with us. We will continue our partnerships with local governments and law enforcement to make traveling through Colorado safer than ever before.

We are pleased to introduce our tenth strategic plan for transportation safety, entitled "Colorado Integrated Safety Plan 2008-2013." This document explains:

- Our progress in reducing traffic crash fatalities and injuries
- Our plans for further reducing deaths on Colorado's highways in the future
- Our transportation safety goals and objectives
- Strategies for achieving our goals
- Specific safety projects and funding for implementation.

I would like to thank the individuals both inside and outside CDOT who helped contribute to this report and the selection of projects. It is through this collaboration and the development of innovative safety programs that we will reduce future roadway deaths and injuries in Colorado.


Russell George
Executive Director

# Message from the Safety and Traffic Engineering branch Manager 



Colorado has had a significant decrease in the number of fatalities and injuries due to motor vehicle crashes over the past years. It is our mission to continue this downward trend as Colorado continues to be one of the fastest-growing states in the nation. As the state grows, the need for an efficient and safe transportation system necessitates coordination and planning at all levels of government.

The Colorado Integrated Safety Plan (ISP) is a statewide implementation and planning document for safety projects during the 2008-2013 fiscal years. The ISP serves as a tool for planning projects for the future of roadway safety in Colorado.

This integrated safety plan focuses on the "four E's" of roadway safety - "Education, Enforcement, Engineering and Emergency Services." Each of these roadway safety priorities have been incorporated into 19 programs with over 140 projects totaling over $\$ 47.5$ million for federal fiscal year 2008 and similarly for the five future years. The Integrated Safety Plan brings together all of the programs as a comprehensive plan to address roadway safety throughout Colorado.

We are committed to continuing Colorado's role as a leader in the United States in creating innovative, measurable and effective safety programs that are challenged by an increasing population, even though we are faced with limited and reduced funding.

Rest assured that our Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff and all our roadway safety partners are committed to moving our Integrated Safety Plan forward as a unified team to continue saving lives and reducing injuries and crashes, as well as all the related economic impact on Colorado's roadways.

Roadway Safety is Our Mission,


Gabriela C. Vidal, P.E.
Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Manager

## COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch


## INTRODUCTION




#### Abstract

This Integrated Safety Plan (ISP) has been developed to implement strategies that have been identified as most likely to reduce traffic crashes in Colorado. The strategies are further described in the related focus areas within the Colorado Strategic Plan to Improve Roadway Safety (SPIRS) which contains both strategic and action elements. Goals and objectives have been developed along with specific performance measures. With SAFETEA-LU enactment, CDOT, along with our safety partners, have created the Colorado SPIRS which encompasses the entire state safety plan. This CDOT ISP focuses on those program areas that are under CDOT responsibility and funding. Through the SPIRS, CDOT coordinates its programs with other state roadway safety stakeholders. In turn, these partnerships maximize and help to better coordinate projects.


The ISP focus is on the three contributing factors to crashes: the roadway, the driver, and the vehicle. The crash sequence is examined and strategies are developed to reduce the likelihood of a crash occurring and to mitigate the effects of the crash once the crash sequence has begun. Many strategies will involve joint efforts and cooperative programs at all levels of government and between the public and private sectors.

Traditional roles may change and broaden as non- traditional sources of funding for program activities may be identified and may be pursued as part of future action plans. In the traditional approach, these action plans could be comprehensive and address all three contributing factors - the roadway, the driver, and the vehicle. Conversely, individual plans could be developed for each of the three areas. This integrated plan will support either approach. The action plan found in this document contains specific programs and projects to address identified transportation safety problems. Specific funding sources and budgets are also identified.

Through the Governor's Representative for Highway Safety and the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch, partnerships have been developed between the six CDOT Regions and Headquarters Staff Branches, the Governor's office, the legislature, federal agencies, state agencies, political subdivisions, community groups and the private sector which has facilitated the development of a comprehensive approach to solving identified highway safety problems.

## Mission, Goals, and Objectives

The mission of the CDOT Safety and Traffic Engineering Programs is to reduce the incidence and severity of motor vehicle crashes and the associated human and economic loss. The CDOT has set specific goals for reducing the rate of fatal and injury crashes and total crashes. To accomplish this, four major objectives have been identified:

- Maintain the fatal crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles at 1.00 through 2008 and 2010.
- Reduce the injury crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles to 66.6 by 2008 and 65.3 by 2010.
- Increase seat belt usage to $82.5 \%$ by 2008 and $85.0 \%$ by 2010.
- Reduce alcohol related fatal crashes as a percentage of all fatal crashes to $29.5 \%$ by 2008 and $29.0 \%$ by 2010.


## The Problem Statement

Reducing the number of motor vehicle crashes, fatalities, injuries and the associated social and economic losses resulting from these crashes is a crucial part of the mission of the Safety Programs at CDOT. In almost 30 years significant progress has been made. In 1977, Colorado had 3.8 fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles of travel. By the year 2005, the rate declined to 1.26 . If the 1977 fatality rate had remained unchanged, over 1,700 persons would have died in the year 2006, compared to the actual number of 535. From 1997 to 2006, over 17,000 lives have been saved.


Much of this success is due to the passage of important traffic safety legislation particularly those statutes which address the impaired driver. Many administrative actions which solve specific problems have been implemented. Grass roots organizations such as Mothers Against Drunk Driving have had a significant impact. Public information programs have served to raise the awareness of the public to the risks of driving and their responsibilities as drivers. The federal highway safety program itself has been instrumental in these improvements in terms of addressing driver behavior issues but also, significantly, in improvements to both vehicles and the roadway environment. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) have provided leadership to the states as each worked to improve traffic safety.

In spite of the successes, problems remain. In Colorado the most serious problems continue to be impaired driving, the lack of use of occupant protection devices, young driver behaviors, and the various dangerous driver actions which have become known as aggressive driving. Problems occurring as a result of the roadway environment continue. In urban areas rear-end, approach turns, and broadside crashes are most prevalent while in the rural areas hitting fixed objects or overturning continue to be problems. Issues surrounding the safety of motorcycles and commercial vehicles continue to be of concern.

Colorado has been very proactive in passing needed transportation safety legislation and implementing administrative rules and regulations. For example, the passing of a motorcycle helmet requirement for persons under 18 years of age who is an operator of a motorcycle or motorized bicycle and their passenger, if the passenger is also under 18 was passed in 2007. Also, modifications to the Graduated Driver's License (GDL) laws were made that replaces a requirement that drivers' education courses include 6 hours of behind-the-wheel training with a requirement that a person who received their instruction permit for drivers' education have such training before being issued a drivers' license. The GDL change also requires a person who is under 15 and $1 / 2$ years of age to complete driver's education, but not behind-the-wheel training, prior to obtaining a permit. Unfortunately, for the third consecutive year, the primary seat belt legislation failed to pass. However, there is a strong possibility for strengthening the existing Booster Seat legislation in 2008.

Successful statewide enforcement and educational programs will continue however, there remains a high-risk group of drivers and other vehicle occupants, which must be more specifically targeted with programs and messages about traffic safety. To address these groups and to allow the strategic targeting of resources, CDOT will continue to conduct market research to try to better understand the attitudes, beliefs and values of these groups. This is part of CDOT's ongoing problem analysis process, which drives the development, and implementation of Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch programs.

## Accountability

Tracking and Evaluation: Measuring traffic fatalities, injuries, seat belt usage, and alcohol involvement in crashes is critical to determine safety program performance. To ensure accountability each program determines the following:

- The exact nature of the traffic safety problem it is trying to address;
- What are reasonable goals and objectives for reducing this problem; and
- How well the program implemented accomplished its objectives

The logical basis of any inquiry about the effect of behavioral as well as infrastructure improvement programs is the comparison of


In the process of evaluation it is critical to assess what level of safety is expected without implementing countermeasures and then compare it with what actually happened. Traffic, weather, road user demography, vehicle fleet and other important factors change over time making it necessary to account for these significant explanatory variables.

## Performance Measures

1. Reduce the percentage of alcohol related fatal crashes from $44.6 \%$ in 1995 to $29.5 \%$ by 2008 and $29.0 \%$ by the year 2010. Note: 2006 fatalities have decreased considerably, which impacted the alcohol related fatal crash as a percentage of all fatal crashes.

| Alcohol Related Fatal Crashes as a Percentage of All Fatal Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | $2008$ Goal | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Goal } \end{aligned}$ |
| 44.6\% | 40.7\% | 39.0\% | 40.5\% | 39.1\% | 38.2\% | 45.5\% | 42.7\% | 40.1\% | 37.3\% | 39.9\% | 41.2\% | 29.5\% | 29.0\% |

2. Reduce the average BAC at the time of arrest from 0.155 in 1995 to 0.095 in 2008 and 0.090 by the year 2010. Note: Source is Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

| Average BAC at Time of Arrest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & \text { Goal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Goal } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.155 | 0.150 | 0.151 | 0.135 | 0.130 | 0.134 | 0.128 | 0.120 | 0.156 | 0.160 | 0.145 | 0.160 | 0.095 | 0.090 |

3. Reduce the total number of crashes per 100 million VMT from a high of 307.1 in 2002 to 283.7 by 2008 and maintain through year 2010. Note: 2005 and 2006 crash data not complete as of August 31, 2007. Revised VMT's per DTD affected 2003 and 2004 rates.

| All Police-Reported Crashes per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| 279.8 | 283.6 | 281.2 | 280.7 | 283.4 | 288.4 | 305.8 | 307.1 | 294.4 | 283.7 | 283.7 | 283.7 |

4. Reduce the fatal crash rate (number of crashes) from 1.62 per 100 million VMT in 1995 to 1.00 and maintain at 1.00 through 2010. Note: Fatal crash rate of 1.0 is a US DOT goal for 2010.

| Fatal Crashes per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| 1.62 | 1.54 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.37 | 1.47 | 1.51 | 1.55 | 1.31 | 1.30 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

5. Reduce the injury crash rate from 87.3 per 100 million VMT in 1995 to 66.6 by 2008 and 65.3 by 2010.

| Injury Crashes per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |  |  |  |  |
| 87.3 | 84.8 | 74.1 | 77.6 | 77.8 | 76.3 | 80.3 | 77.1 | 74.0 | 69.3 | 66.6 | 65.3 |  |  |  |  |

6. Reduce the number of motorcycle crashes per 1,000 motorcycle registrations from 19.0 in 2002 to15.0 by 2008 and maintain at 15.0 through 2010.

| Number of Motorcycle Crashes per 1,000 Motorcycle Registrations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| 16. | 16. | 14. | 16. | 17. | 18. | 19. | 19. | 18. | 18. | 15. | 15. |

7. NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment Recommendation: Create a statewide coalition of state and local agencies that will address each of the 80 traffic records recommendations through strategic planning by 2008 based on priorities.
8. Increase the statewide overall seat belt use rate from $55.5 \%$ in 1995 to $82.5 \%$ by 2008 and $85.0 \%$ by 2010 . Note: Based on CSU annual seat belt survey.

| Observed Seat Belt Use in Colorado from Annual Observational Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 |
| $55.5 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |

9. Increase seat belt usage in rural Colorado from $50 \%$ in 1995 to $79.1 \%$ in 2008 and $81.0 \%$ by 2010.

| Observed Seat Belt Use in Rural Colorado |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |  |  |
| $50.0 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |  |  |

10. Increase the use of seat belts by front seat occupants of passenger cars from $61.0 \%$ in 1995 to $86.1 \%$ by 2008 and $88.0 \%$ by 2010.

| Observed Seat Belt Use in Passenger Cars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| $61.0 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |

11. Increase the use of seat belts by front seat occupants of light trucks from $36.1 \%$ in 1995 to $70.1 \%$ by 2008 and $72.0 \%$ by 2010 .

| Observed Seat Belt Use in Light Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |  |
| $36.1 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $49.8 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |  |

12. Increase the car seat use for children from $79.0 \%$ in 1997 to $90.0 \%$ by 2008 and $92.0 \%$ by 2010.

| Car Seat and Belt Use by Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| $79.0 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | N/A | $79.3 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |

13. Increase seat belt use by children ages 5 to 15 from $48.8 \%$ in 1997 to $76.5 \%$ by 2008 and $80.0 \%$ by 2010 .

| Seat Belt Use by Children Ages 5 to 15 by 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |  |  |
| $48.8 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | N/A | $43.8 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |  |  |

14. Reduce the percent of underage (ages < 21) drinking drivers that are involved in a fatal crash to all A/R fatal crashes. Source: FARS data. (* FARS Reporting Code Change, ** Data not yet Completed)

| Percentage of Fatal Crashes of Underage (ages < 21) Drinking Drivers to All A/R Fatal Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{*}$ | $2006^{* *}$ | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| $11.8 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |

15. Reduce the percent of drinking drivers (ages 21 to 34 ) that are involved in a fatal crash to all A/R fatal crashes. Source:

FARS data. (* FARS Reporting Code Change, ** Data not yet Completed)

| Percent of Drinking Drivers (ages 21 to 34) that are involved in a Fatal Crash to all A/R Fatal Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005* | 2006** | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| 42.7\% | 40.3\% | 36.5\% | 33.2\% | 33.5\% | 33.3\% | 33.6\% | 37.3\% | 33.9\% | 41.0\% | 39.7\% | 38.5\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% |

16. Reduce the fatality rate from 1.83 in 1995 to 1.00 by 2008 and maintain through 2010. Note: Fatality rate is measured in the number of people killed per 100 million vehicles miles traveled (100 MVMT).

| Fatalities per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 Goal | 2010 Goal |
| 1.83 | 1.71 | 1.62 | 1.60 | 1.54 | 1.63 | 1.73 | 1.71 | 1.48 | 1.46 | 1.26 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## New Performance Measures

With the development of the Colorado Strategic Plan for Improving Roadway Safety (SPIRS) as required under SAFETEA-LU, CDOT has developed additional performance measures that will be tracked annually to demonstrate progress toward goal. In addition, the goals will also be updated annually. Therefore, the below performance measures do not have progression graphs.
17. Develop and implement a comprehensive approach to ensure timely response data of emergency crashes by 2010.
18. Develop and implement a plan to increase the education and involvement of EMS personnel in traffic safety data efforts by 2008.
19. Develop an educational program regarding ambulance transportation safety by 2010.
20. Develop a best practice manual for emergency response to crashes by 2010.
21. Develop and implement an emergency preparedness plan for urban, rural and wilderness highway settings by 2010.
22. Develop at least two integrated EMS/public health/public safety information programs by 2010.
23. Develop standards for Critical Care Ground Transports by 2010.
24. Develop a statewide assessment and plan for EMS response and operation by 2010.
25. Evaluate roadway engineering safety program effectiveness every four years to begin in 2008.
26. Fully-automate traffic records data system by 2010.
27. Identify accident prone railroad crossings and crossings with heavy violations (e.g., failure to yield, failure to stop at stop signs, driving around gates) and target those crossings for frequent patrol by 2010.
28. Implement a GPS System for EMS personnel by 2010.
29. Improve timely collection of crash data from two years to thirty days by 2010.
30. Increase public awareness of work zone safety requirements of the driver.
31. For CDOT funded enforcement programs, increase DUI citations by $5 \%$ by 2008.
32. Increase the number of people reached through educational engineering training classes, and the number of students targeted from 3,000 in 2004 to 5,000 in 2008.
33. Increase the number of high visibility roadway signs by 2010.
34. Increase the letter size on roadway signs by 2010.
35. Provide at least six traffic engineering studies per year for towns with populations of 20,000 or less or at least 3 counties with populations below 40,000.
36. Reduce the number of conflict points near intersections that create safety and congestion problems by 2010.
37. Reduce construction and maintenance work zone crashes by $5 \%$ by 2010.
38. Reduce the number of alcohol-related motorcycle fatal crashes from 20 in 2005 to 13 by 2010.
39. Reduce the percentage of crashes for people age 65 and older.
40. Increase the number of railroad crossings that are upgraded with active warning devices.
41. Limit new and eliminate existing at-grade railroad crossings on Colorado roadways by removing or replacing with a grade separation structure.
42. Reduce total number of crashes at highway-rail crossings from 35 in 2004 to 30 in 2010.
43. Train at least 130 individuals annually from local entities in basic traffic engineering.

## Appendix A

Federal Fiscal Year Financial Programs

## FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2008-2013

FFY 2008: PROGRAM FUNDING / PROGRAM PROJECTS FFY 2009: PROGRAM FUNDING / PROGRAM PROJECTS FFY 2010: Program Funding / Program Projects FFY 2011: PROGRAM FUNDING / PROGRAM PROJECTS FFY 2012: PROGRAM FUNDING / PROGRAM PROJECTS FFY 2013: Program Funding / Program Projects


9

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2008 } \\ & \text { Program } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Commission } \\ \text { Safety } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {LSAOLISSO }}^{\text {R }}$ | HEOOHer | (tatate |  | ${ }_{\text {OP Incontive }}^{\text {(Sect } 15 \mathrm{~N}}$ ) |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Base } \\ \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{array}$ | Section 403 |  |  |  | FARS |  |  | State Match <br> for 402 <br> (Safety) <br> (State) |  | ${ }_{\text {(Stane) }}^{\text {Cone }}$ | ${ }_{\text {(State }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY2008 Federal/State/Local (Un-Matched Funds) | s - | ${ }^{655.988}$ | S 14,686,987 | s 1.629 .85 | s | s. | $\bigcirc$ - | 4,139.800 | S 60.000 | s | s $1.689 .00 \mid$ | ${ }^{665.00}$ | 77,80 | S 136.00 | S 64.300 | S 180,00\| | s 832200 | 350.000 | s 1.628,45 | 27,959,855 |
| Trasporatiol Commis | \$ 74,972,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $74.972,36$ |
|  | (61,898.00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (16,98, 0,00 |
| Estimaded Caryoverissuing |  | S 4.726.989 | S 1.,25.,388 | - 285,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,558,777 |
| Sub-Total | s 13,074,364 | s 5,322,877 | S 16,94,935 | s 1,915,005 | s | s. | s. | 4,193,000 | S 60,000 | s | \$ 1,68,000 | s 665,00 | s 7,800 | s 136,00 | s 643,000 | S 180,000 | s 832,200 | 350,000 | s 1,62, 4,45 | 47,50,976 |
| Rooktal (RFM) | s $\quad(3,273,112)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(3,273,12)}$ |
| Hazard Elimination (HAZ) |  |  | \$ (15,93,257) | s (1,688,137) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (16,88, 394 |
| Rail Crosings (RRC) |  | \& (5, 382827] |  | s (116,412) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (5.499239) |
| Hot Spots (Hor) | s ${ }^{\text {2 } 2.292,960]}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.429,969) |
| Traficic Signals (SGM) | s (1.650,9,92) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (1.65,9912) |
| Staty Noedse | s (5,521, ,44) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (5,721,144) |
| Enginoering Sataty (SAF) |  |  | s (1,00, 278) | ( (11,256) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,112,534) |
| Sub-Total | (13,074,364) | ${ }_{(5,382,887)}$ | (16,194,535) | ${ }^{(1,915,8055)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(36,567,531)}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | (614,000) |  |  | s (180,00) |  |  |  |  | (180000 | (300000) |  | (172.64) | ${ }^{11.446,64}$ |
| Sub-Total | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | [614,00) |  | . | (188,000) | . |  | . |  | (188,000) | (300,00) | . | ${ }^{172,655)}$ | (1,46,645 |
| Pronbit Racail Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (643,000) |  |  |  |  | (683, 000 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  | . | . | . |  | . | . | . |  | . | (663,000) | . |  | . |  | (683,000) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (145,000) |  |  |  | s (665,000) | - (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (187,800) |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (145,000) |  |  | . | (665,000) | (77,800) | . | . | . | . | . | . | ${ }^{18878000}$ |
| Impaited Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S(1,37,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1941,00}$ | s (2,34,000) |
| Young Diviers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (100.00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (100,000) |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,365.00) | S (600,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S (216,000) |  |  | s (2,181,000 |
| Motorycie satey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S (18,800) |  |  | s (36,00) |  |  | S (36,000) |  |  |  |  | (51, 00 | (005,500) |
| cintomation and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (1,765,000 |  |  |  |  |  | s (100.000) |  |  |  | s (125,000) |  | s (1,900,000 |
| Sate Communtites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S ${ }^{(2320000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(2322000}$ |
| Bityclefedesstran Satety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (90,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (90000) |
| Cone Zone Satey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S (225,000) |  | (225,00) |
| Roatway Satery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s (316200) |  |  | (3162,20) |
| Sub-Total | . |  |  | . |  |  |  | ${ }^{(3,380,800)}$ | (600,000) |  | (1,509,000) |  |  | (138,000) |  | . | (532200) | (350,000) | (1,456,000) | (7,964,000 |
| Balance | 1 | s | ${ }^{5}$ | s | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s - | ${ }^{\text {s }}$. | s | * - | s - | s | s - | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | s • | s - | ${ }^{5}$ | s |




| FY2008 Program | Dossripition |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Base Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Soction 03 | al bac | ${ }_{\text {maem }}^{\text {ative }}$ | (intomaton system | fars | Motaryce | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Prohibit Racial } \\ \text { Profiling } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (satat math | FHWA Fiox funds |  | Cono 2one | most teaF | Toat | $\underset{\substack{\text { Looal } \\ \text { Beopet }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { anden } \\ \text { mancy }}}^{\text {and }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{(\operatorname{sectin})}{ }$ |  | $($ (soct 402$)$ |  | ${ }_{\text {( } 5 \text { coct } 183)}$ | (Sose 401) | (ssoctas) |  | (Soce 2010) | ${ }_{\text {(sacectac) }}$ |  | (f+7wA) | (State) | (State) | (State) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (180000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $3^{(1,086.655)}$ |  |  |
| Pronbib Racail Protiling |  |  |  |  |  |  | (80000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{80.000 \\ 188.000}]{ }$ |
|  | \|oicle |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{155000000}$ |  |  | (683. |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5150.000}$ |  |
|  | 08-04-41-02 GPS Units for Local Law Enforcement <br> $08-04-41-03$ Transfer of City and County Denver Accident Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inowues coor suat) |  |  |  | (145600 |  |  |  | 1240.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $08-04-41-06$ EMS and Trauma Registry Data <br> 080  08-04-41-07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cino.000 |  | ${ }^{21,000}$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {chers }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1455000}$ |  |  |  | (cas 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {chemem }}^{\text {chemem }}$ | \$ 210,000 | 34.582 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{180 \\ 1140}}^{18}$ | S 3 S60.00 | 10.000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{15}{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { sio.000 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{2824 \\ 34.000}}^{\text {a }}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {mpaitace Divive }}$ | $08-01-111-07$ Drug Recognition Expert (DR <br> 08-01-11-08 DRE Teccnology Transer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |
|  | $08-01-11-09$ Impaired Driving Overtime Enforcement <br> $08-01-11-10$ Impaired Driving Technology Transfer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{20}{20}$ | ${ }^{202023} 3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S 841.00 | ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Eataon }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% (941,000 |  | 2,184,000 |  |
| Voms orvers |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (100.000 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 10.0.001 } \\ \text { 100.00 }}}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | (10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
|  | 08-06-61-03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }^{224+1}$ |
|  | 08-06-61-05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(150,000 }}^{\text {(10.00 }}$ | ${ }^{3} 150$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{180.000}$ | ${ }_{3}{ }^{3}$ | 20.00 |
| ${ }^{\text {Occupanam Protection }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\underbrace{6.1000}_{\substack{25000}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{180}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {coid }}^{1900}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stioue | ${ }_{\substack{43,200 \\ 3.174}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{212600}$ |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ (600000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {(216,000 }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |
| Motorycles satey | $08-07-71-02$ Operation Save ALife <br> $08-07-71-03$ Motorcycle Rider Skill Enhancement |  |  |  |  |  | (86,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136.000 | \$ 386000 | ${ }_{18,381}$ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{188800 \\(300000}}$ |  |  | ${ }^{360000}$ |  |  | ${ }^{385000}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (155,000 |  | $\frac{3}{5} 36000$ | 37.231 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{\frac{5}{8}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{1000}$ |  |  |
| Public litomation and Education | (oume |  |  | ${ }^{125}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{12}$ | - |  |
| Puincemommanomaneaucaton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 200,00 }}$ | . |  |
|  |  |  |  | (100000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{125.0 .0}}$ | $\cdots$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { (10.0.00) }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{16875000000}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% 1000000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communtes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (100.000 |  |  |
| Bicyrepepecestran Satey |  |  |  | (232000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{132} 200$ | ${ }^{5}$ 220.000 | ${ }^{30,730}$ |
| Come 2ons satay |  |  |  | Soloco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0000 |  | $\xrightarrow{202350}$ |
| Cono Zono Ssatay | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{(12050}^{1250}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C11 |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { (13,500 }}$ | \% 9.000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 年 |  |
|  | onn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0) | 退 |  |


| FY2009 Program | Transportation Safety Safety | RRX | HEIOther | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Hatate } \\ \text { (Engway } \\ \text { (nngineer) }} \end{gathered}$ | Local | OP Incentive | $\begin{gathered} \text { OP } \\ \text { Innovative } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Base } \\ \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{array}$ | Alchol BAC | Alchol Incentive | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Information } \\ \text { System } \\ \text { Improvements } \end{array}$ | FARS | Motorcycle Safety | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proninit } \\ & \text { Pacial } \\ & \text { Profiling } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { State Matcc } \\ \text { for 402 } \\ \text { (Safety) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FHWA Flex Funds | Cone Zone | MOST \& LEAF | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Titile 23 USC Funding Source |  | LS40/LS50 | LS201/L30 | (HAA402) | (HAA0000) | (Sec 157, | $($ Sec 157e) | (Sec 402) | (Sec 163) | (Sec 410) | (Sec 408) |  | $(\sec 2010)$ | (Sec 1906) | (state) | (FHWA) | (state) | (state) |  |
| FY2009 Fed/State/Local (Un-Matched Funds) | \$ - | \$ 99,114 | \$ 14,893,443 | \$ 1,635,466 | \$ . |  |  | \$ 4,139,800 |  | \$ 1,660,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ 100,000 |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 832,000 |  | \$ 1,629,000 | \$ 25,746,623 |
| Transportation Commission <br> Safety Funds | \$ 76,18,604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76,18,604 |
| Transferred to Regions for MLOS Signing and Striping | \$ (63,612,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (63,612,000) |
| Estimated Carryovers avings |  | \$ 1,954,344 |  | 116,412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 2,070,756 |
| Sub-Total | \$ 12,574,604 | \$ 2,053,458 | \$ 14,89, 443 | s 1,751,878 | s . |  |  | s 4,139,800 |  | \$ 1,660,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ 100,000 |  | s 180,000 | \$ 832,000 |  | s 1,629,000 | \$ 40,391,983 |
| Rockfall (RFM) | \$ $\quad(3,439,164)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(3,439,164)$ |
| Hazard Elimination (HAZ) |  |  | \$ (14,893,443) | \$ (1,654,827) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (16,548,270) |
| Rail Crossings (RRC) |  | \$ (2,053,458) |  | \$ (97,051) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(2,150,509)$ |
| Hot Spots (HOT) | \$ (2,499,480) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(2,496,48)$ |
| Traftic Signals (SGN) | \$ $(1,696,638)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,696,638) |
| Safety Needs/ Resurfacing (SAE) | \$ (4,942,322) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (4,942,322) |
| Engineering Safety (SAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ . |
| Sub-Total | (12,574,604) | (2,053,458) | (14,893,443) | ${ }^{(1,751,878)}$ | . |  |  | $\cdot$ |  | . | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | . | (31,27, 383$)$ |
| Planning, Administration and Operations (Traffic Analysis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (614,000) |  | \$ (180,000) |  |  |  |  | (180,000) | \$ (300,000) |  | (173,000) | \$ (1,447,000) |
| Sub-Total | . | $\cdot$ | . | . | - |  |  | (614,000) |  | (180,000) | - | $\cdot$ |  |  | (180,000) | $(300,000)$ |  | (173,000) | (1,447,000) |
| Prohibit Racial Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |
| Sub-Total | - | . | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | . | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Traffic Records } \\ \text { (Includes CDOT Staff) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (145,000) |  |  | \$ (500,000) | \$ (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (722,80) |
| Sub-Total | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |  |  | (145,000) |  | . | (500,000) | (77,80) |  |  | . |  |  | . | (722,800) |
| Impaired Driving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ - |  | \$ (1,344,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (941,000) | \$ $(2,285,000)$ |
| Young Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ - |  | \$ (100,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (100,00) |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,365,00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S (216,000) |  |  | \$ (1,581,00) |
| Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (18,800) |  | \$ (36,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (515,000) | \$ (569,80) |
| Public Information and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(1,675,000)$ |  | \$ . |  |  | \$ $(100,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,775,000)$ |
| Safe Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (232,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(232,000)$ |
| Bicycle/Pedesstrian Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(90,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(90,000)$ |
| Roadway Safety Traffic Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (316,000) |  |  | $(316,000)$ |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | (3,380,800) | - | (1,480,000) | - | $\cdot$ | (100,000) | - | . | (532,000) | . | (1,456,000) | (6,948,800) |
| Balance | s - | \$ | \$ - | s | s. | \$ | s | \$ - | s | \$ . | s . | \$. | s - | s. | \$ . | \$ - | \$ | \$ . | s . |




| FY2009 Program |  | Description | $\underset{\text { Incontive }}{\text { Op }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Innovative }}}{\text { op }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{gathered}$ | al bac | $\underset{\text { Incentive }}{\text { AL }}$ | Information System Improvements | FARS | Motorcycle Safety | Prohibit Racial Profiling | State Match for 402 （Safety） | FHWA Flex Funds | ${ }_{\text {Stighaxa }}^{\substack{\text { Sund }}}$ | Cone Zone | MOST 8 LEAF | Total | $\underset{\substack{\text { Loanal } \\ \text { Benefit }}}{\text { Len }}$ | A．Agency <br> Match |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $(5 \mathrm{Sec} 157 \mathrm{~N})$ | （50ce 157e） | （Sec 402） | （ Sec 163$)$ | $($ Sec 410） | （Sec 408） |  | $($ Sec 2010） | $($ Sec 1906） |  | （FFHWA） | （State） | （State） | （State） |  |  |  |
| Plaming，Administration， | $\left\|\frac{0.12 \cdot 98}{09+1 \cdot 97}\right\|$ | Program Support Program Admin |  |  | ${ }^{4344.000}$ |  | （180．000） |  |  |  |  |  | （300，000．00］ |  |  | （173，00 | $\frac{(1,1,87,000)}{(1360.000)}$ | $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | （614．000） |  | （180，000） |  |  |  |  | （180，000） |  |  |  | （173，000 | （1．477，000 |  |  |
|  | 析 | EARSECRS Dat Reporing and II |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1250,0000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{8}$ ． |  |
|  | O－0．44，－24 | Peoblem IosotitacaionAAnual Report |  |  | （145，000 |  |  | $5 \square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1445.0000}$ |  |  |
|  | － | Etiectoic T Toket Data Tansmision |  |  |  |  |  | （100．0000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1880,000000}$ |  |  |
|  | 090444107 |  |  |  |  |  |  | （45，0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{45,0000}$ | ． |  |
|  | 111 | Ten |  |  | （145．000） |  |  | （500．000） | （77．800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （72288000 | 5 |  |
|  | O－ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {130．000 }}^{13600}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1360,000)}$ |  |  |
|  | 09001－11－03 | Traflic Sately Resource Proseculor |  |  |  |  | （144，00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （144，000 |  |  |
|  | － | Methers fagins Donk D Diving |  |  |  |  | （1000．000） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1000,000} 1$ |  |  |
| 1 mparired Diving | 09001－1．－06 | ORE Teechnolog T Tanser |  |  |  |  | （20，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （20，000 |  |  |
|  |  | Impared Divivg OVetime Entorement |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(2200,000} 120.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O9001－1－1－09 | Dui cheorpoint Colorado |  |  |  |  | （227，000） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1270,000}$ |  |  |
|  | ｜oseler | Law Enioceemen A sssitance Fund（LEAF） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （941，000 | （89，0000 |  |  |
| Young Divers | 109033－31－02｜ | College and University Impared Diving Prevention |  |  |  |  | （1，34，000） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ¢ 941.000 | $\frac{12.285,000}{1100,000}$ |  |  |
|  |  | ， |  |  |  |  | （100．000） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | La Pata Eas Occupant Provection |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text {（150，000 }}}^{110000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （150，0000 |  |  |
|  |  | Ocaupan Protection tor Mesa count Youth |  |  | ${ }^{(1190.000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1000000}$ |  |  |
|  | 隹 |  |  |  | （150．000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （155，000） | s |  |
|  | － $090.0661-06$ | Easiem Plans Teen Moior Venicie Sately |  |  | ${ }^{(50,000} 130.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(130,00000}$ |  |  |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {20，}}^{120.000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 090．6661－10 | Penve Melto Tocupan Traftic Saliely Challenge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （60，000） |  |  |
|  | O9006．61－11 | Ocaupan Provediot Entorcementus |  |  | 11550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1550,000}$ |  |  |
|  | O9066－61－13 | Larimer County Teen Molorv venicies Safety |  |  | （10，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （90，000） |  |  |
|  | ） | Wester Ruval Taftic Satey |  |  | （50．000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （216，000） |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {（120，000 }}$ | ． |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | （1，3655．000） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11．581，000｜ |  |  |
| Motorycyle Satety |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Motorcycle Operator S } \\ \text { Operation Save A Life } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | （36．000） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （515．000） | （35．000 | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （355，000） | － |  |
|  | 隹 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {（300．000 }}^{10000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1000,000}$ |  |  |
| Whic Information and Education | O90．0．8．0．06 | DUI Program Materials |  |  | ${ }_{\text {（250．000 }}^{(25000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1255,000}$ | $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |
| Public information and Eaucation | 09008－8，－08 | Clickt tor T Tcketa and Soai Belts－Paid Media |  |  | 120000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （200，000） |  |  |
|  |  | Click lor Tioketand Seat Bels－Minomity Communty Fous |  |  | ${ }^{(1000.000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{(1000,000000}$ |  |  |
|  | 践 | Child Passenger Safety／Tween Seat Belt Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （100．000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | （100，00 |  |  |
|  | 09－99－99－01］ | Weld County Child Passenger and Divivg Satey |  |  | $\frac{11.655,000}{(42,000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sato Communtios | － |  |  |  | $(100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （100，000） |  |  |
|  | 0909991－04 | Trafic Sadery Entorcement and Coaltion Recoogntion |  |  | ${ }_{\text {（1752．000 }}^{(122000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{175.00}$ |  |  |
| Bicycleprodestrian Sataty | ［0940：955011 | Pedestrian／Skills on Wheels $T$ Ween Buckle Up for Love |  |  | （90．000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |
|  | 090．5．51－01 | Traffic Satey Engineeing Studies or Local Entities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （115．00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sitas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(24,0000}$ |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Roadway Satery } \\ \text { Trafic Engineering }}}{ }$ | O－0．5．51－24 | Work Zone Seminas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(11,50000}$ |  |  |
|  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ） | In ${ }^{\text {andomation Brochures and Teenhical Reference Materals }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［24．000）${ }_{\text {（3，500 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 316，000） |  |  |


| FY2010 Program | Transportation Commission Safety | RRX | HEOOther | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Hatate } \\ \text { (Engway } \\ \text { Sngeer) }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | OP Incentive | $\begin{gathered} \text { OP } \\ \text { Innovative } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Base } \\ \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{array}$ | Alchol BAC | Alchol Incentive | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Information } \\ \text { Improvements } \end{array}$ | FARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Motorcycle } \\ \text { Safety } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prohitit } \\ & \text { Pacial } \\ & \text { Profiling } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { State Match } \\ \text { for 402 } \\ \text { (Safety) } \end{array}$ | FHWA Flex Funds | Cone Zone | MOST \& LEAF | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trite 23 USC Funding Source |  | LS401/L50 | LS201/L30 | (HAA402) | (HAA0000) | $(\mathrm{Sec} 157 \mathrm{~A})$ | (Sec 157 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ) | (Sec 402) | (Sec 163) | (Sec 410) | (Sec 408) |  | (Sec 2010) | (Sec 1906) | (State) | (FHWA) | (State) | (State) |  |
| FY2010 Fed/State/Local (Un-Matched Funds) | \$ - | \$ 1,121,153 | \$ 12,245,469 | \$ 1,356,945 | \$ . |  |  | \$ 3,872,800 |  | \$ 1,560,000 | 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ . |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 832,000 |  | \$ 1,629,000 | \$ 23,375,167 |
| Transportation Commission Safety Funds | \$ 75,397,526 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75,397,526 |
| Transferred to Regions for MLOS Signing and Striping | \$ (65,417,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (65,417,000) |
| Estimated CarryoverISavings |  | \$ 873,458 |  | \$ 97,051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 970,509 |
| Sub-Total | 9,980,526 | \$ 1,994,611 | \$ 12,245,469 | \$ 1,453,996 | s |  |  | \$ 3,872,800 |  | \$ 1,560,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | s . |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 832,000 |  | s 1,629,000 | s 34,326,202 |
| Rockfall (RFM) | \$ $(3,034,777)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(3,034,717)$ |
| Hazard Elimination (HAZ) |  |  | \$ (12, 245,469) | \$ (1,360,607) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (13,606,076) |
| Rail Crossings (RRC) |  | \$ (1,994,611) |  | \$ (93,389) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2,088,000) |
| Hot Spots (HOT) | (2,156,634) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2, 156,634) |
| Traffic Signals (SGN) | $(1,465,782)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,465,782) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Satety Needs } \\ \text { Resurfacing (SAE) } \end{gathered}$ | \$ $(3,323,393)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3, 323,993) |
| Engineering Safety (SAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Sub-Total | (9,980,526) | (1,994,611) | (12,245,469) | (1,453,996) | . |  |  | . |  | . | . | . |  |  | . |  |  | . | (25,674,602) |
| Planning, Administration, and Operations (Traffic Analysis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (614,00) |  | \$ (180,000) |  |  |  |  | \$ $(180,000)$ | \$ (300,000) |  | \$ $(173,000)$ | (1,447,000) |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | (614,000) |  | (180,000) | . | . |  |  | (180,000) | (300,000) |  | (173,000) | (1,447,000) |
| Prohibit Racial Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | - |  | . | - | - |  |  | . |  |  | . | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(145,000)$ |  |  | \$ (500,000) | \$ (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (722,80) |
| Sub-Total |  |  | . |  | . |  |  | (145,000) |  | $\cdot$ | (500,000) | (77,80) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (722,800) |
| 1 mpaired Divivin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,244,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (941,000) | \$ (2,185,000) |
| Young Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (100,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (100,000) |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,390,00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (216,000) |  |  | \$ (1,606,000) |
| Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (18,80) |  | \$ (36,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (515,000) | \$ (569,80) |
| Public Information and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,525,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1, 525,00) |
| Sate Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $\quad(90,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (90,000) |
| Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(90,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(90,000)$ |
| Roadway Safety Traffic Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(316,000)$ |  |  | $(316,000)$ |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | (3,113,800) | . | (1,380,000) | . | . | . | . | - | (532,000) | . | (1,456,000) | (6,481,800) |
| Balance | \$ . | \$ . | \$ | s - | s . | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$. | \$ | s. | \$ . | \$ - | s | s | \$ . |




| FY2010 Program | Doscripiton | ${ }_{\text {incoentive }}^{\text {Op }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Innovative }}^{\text {op }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transportation } \\ & \text { Safety } \end{aligned}$ | al bac | ${ }_{\text {Incontive }}$ | Information System Improvements | fars | Motoreycle | Prohibit Racial Profiling |  | ${ }_{\text {Ftwa }}$ Fiox funds | ${ }_{\text {Highaye Fund }}^{\text {Sut }}$ | Cone zone | Most $\mathrm{L}_{\text {Leaf }}$ | Total | $\underset{\substack{\text { Looal } \\ \text { Benoft }}}{\text { Len }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $($ (50c 1570) | (Soccto2) | (Soc 183) | $($ Seco 40) | (300048) |  | (Soce 2010) | (Soct 1906) |  | (FFWNA) | (state) | (state) | (State) |  |  |  |
| Pepaning Adminitration, |  |  |  | $\frac{4830}{1800}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ (180,000) |  |  |  |  |  | (300,00000) |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ (173,000 | ${ }^{11.087,0000}$ |  |  |
|  |  | s | s | (1044000) |  | ¢ (180,000) |  | 5 | s | s | ${ }^{\text {(180, 0000) }}$ |  |  |  | s (173.000) | (1.457.0000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 145000 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{1(150) 00} 120$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (125.500 |  |  |
|  | $10-04-41-04$ Problem Identification/Annual Repo <br> 10-04-41-05 Electronic Ticket Data Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10-04-41-06$ EMS and Trauma Registry Data |  |  |  |  |  | (100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (100.000) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{14500}{10}$ |  |  | 15000 | $\frac{5}{\text { s }} 178000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{15000}{18000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impatrod Diving |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {(1000000 }}$ (2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2020.000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(2200.000}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (941,000 | $\frac{1270,000}{(191,000}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80,00 |  |  |
| Voung Divers |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(124000) }}^{\text {(100000) }}$ |  |  | s |  |  |  |  |  | (941,00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  | ${ }^{1000000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\text {(100,000 }}$ |  |  |
|  | 10-06-61-02 Child Passenger Safety Education and Outreach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupan Protoction | $10-06-61-04$ Minority Community Occupant Protection <br> 10  10-06-61-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupantrouction | $10-06-61-07$ OP Technology Transfer <br> $10-06-61-08$ Occupant Protection Enforcement/CSP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10.0661-090.0$ acupant Protection Ento |  |  | 1200000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{200,000}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | (1.380.000 |  | - | . | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 s. | ¢ |  | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| cle Sataty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $s$ |  |  | (38,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ (1515.00) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{300000 \\ 1100000}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1300}{ }^{1000}$ |  |  |
| Public ITromation and Etucation |  |  |  | ${ }^{\frac{125,500}{1250,00}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1255,}$ |  |  |
|  | Io. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (100.000 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{1.5255000}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | $s$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | (1,535000 |  |  |
| Sate communties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bityclelpedosstian Stater |  |  |  |  |  | S |  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 190,00 |  |  |  | s |  |  |  | ${ }_{(1150}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10-05-51-02$ Signs for Small Communities <br> $10-05-51-03$ Traffic Engineering Seminars <br> $10-05-51-04$ Work Zone Seminars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (24.5000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | s |  | (116.000) |  |  |  | 116.000 |  |  |


| FY2011 <br> Program | Transportation Commission Safety | RRX | HE/Other | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \text { Highway } \\ \text { (Engineer) } \end{gathered}$ | Local <br> Funds | OP Incentive | $\begin{gathered} \text { OP } \\ \text { Innovative } \end{gathered}$ | Base <br> Transportation <br> Safety | Alchol BAC | Alchol Incentive | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Information } \\ \text { System } \\ \text { Improvements } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FARS | Motorcycle Safety | Prohibit Racial Profiling | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { State Match } \\ \text { for 402 } \\ \text { (Safety) } \end{array}$ | FHWA Flex Funds | Cone Zone | MOST \& LEAF | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trite 23 USC Funding Source |  | LS40/LS50 | LS20/LS30 | (HAA402) | (HAA0000) | $($ Sec 157A) | (Sec 1578) | (Sec 402) | (Sec 163) | (Sec 410) | (Sec 408) |  | (Sec 2010) | (Sec 1906) | (State) | (FHWA) | (State) | (State) |  |
| FY2011 Fed/State/Local (Un-Matched Funds) |  | \$ 1,261,803 | \$ 13,024,683 | \$ 1,461,498 |  |  |  | \$ 3,972,800 |  | \$ 1,849,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ - |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 1,048,000 |  | \$ 1,629,000 | \$ 25,004,584 |
| Transportation Commission Safety Funds | \$ 75,091,471 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 75,091,471 |
| Transferred to Regions for MLOS Signing and Striping | \$ $(65,563,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (65,563,000) |
| Estimated Carryover/Savings |  | \$ 840,497 |  | \$ 93,389 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 933,886 |
| Sub-Total | \$ 9,528,471 | \$ 2,102,300 | \$ 13,024,683 | \$ 1,554,887 | \$ . |  |  | \$ 3,972,800 |  | \$ 1,849,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 1,048,000 |  | \$ 1,629,000 | \$ 35,466,941 |
| Rockfall (RFM) | \$ $(2,126,273)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(2,126,273)$ |
| Hazard Elimination (HAZ) |  |  | \$ (13,024,683) | \$ (1,447, 187) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ ( $14,471,870$ |
| Rail Crossings (RRC) |  | \$ $(2,102,300)$ |  | \$ (107,70) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(2,210,000)$ |
| Hot Spots (HOT) | \$ $\quad(1,450,194)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,450, 194) |
| Traffic Signals (SGN) | \$ (985,572) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (985,572) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Safety Needs/ } \\ \text { Resurfacing (SAE) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ (4,966,432) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (4,966,432) |
| Engineering Safety (SAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ - |
| Sub-Total | (9,528,471) | (2,102,300) | (13,024,683) | (1,554,887) | . |  |  | . |  | - | . | . |  |  | - |  |  | - | (26,210,341) |
| Planning, Administration, and Operations (Traffic Analysis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (614,000) |  | \$ (180,000) |  |  |  |  | \$ $(180,000)$ | \$ (300,000) |  | \$ $(173,000)$ | \$ (1,447,00) |
| Sub-Total | - | - | . | - | - |  |  | $(614,000)$ |  | $(180,000)$ | . | . |  |  | $(180,000)$ | $(300,000)$ |  | $(173,000)$ | $(1,447,000)$ |
| Prohibit Racial Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | - |  | - | - | . |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |
| Traffic Records |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(145,000)$ |  |  | \$ (500,000) | \$ (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (722,800) |
| Sub-Total | - | . | - | . | . |  |  | $(145,000)$ |  | - | $(500,000)$ | $(77,800)$ |  |  | . |  |  | - | (722,800) |
| Impaired Driving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,533,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (941,000) | \$ $(2,474,000)$ |
| Young Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ ( 100,000 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(100,000)$ |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(1,440,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (432,000) |  |  | \$ (1,872,000) |
| Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (18,800) |  | \$ (36,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(515,000)$ | \$ (569,80) |
| Public Information and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,525,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,525,000)$ |
| Safe Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(140,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(140,000)$ |
| Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(90,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(90,000)$ |
| Roadway Safety Traffic Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(316,000)$ |  |  | $(316,000)$ |
| Sub-Total | - | - | . | . | - | . | - | $(3,213,800)$ | . | (1,669,000) | - | - | - | - | . | $(748,000)$ | - | (1,456,000) | (7,086,800) |
| Balance | \$ . | \$ - | \$ - | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ - | \$ . | \$ - | \$ . |





| FY2012 <br> Program | Transportation Commission Safety | RRX | HEOOther | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Hatate } \\ \text { (Engway } \\ \text { (Engineer) }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | OP Incentive | Op <br> Innovative | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{gathered}$ | Alchol Bac | Alchol Incentive | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Information } \\ \text { Improvemements } \end{array}$ | FARS | Motorcycle Safety | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prohibit } \\ & \text { Racial } \\ & \text { Profiling } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { State Match } \\ \text { for 402 } \\ \text { (Safety) } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Ste } \end{array}$ | FHWA Flex Funds | Cone Zone | MOST \& LeAF | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trite 23 USC F Funding Source |  | LS40/S50 | LS20/LS30 | (HAA402) | (HAAOOOO) | (Sec 157A) | $\underline{(S e c ~ 1578)}$ | (Sec 402) | (Sec 163) | (Sec 410) | (Sec 408) |  | (Sec 2010) | (Sec 1906) | (state) | (FHWA) | (State) | (State) |  |
| FY2012 Fed/State/Local (Un-Matched Funds) |  | \$ 1,140,975 | \$ 13,730,789 | \$ 1.517,669 |  |  |  | \$ 4,018,800 |  | \$ 1,904,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 |  |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 1,048,000 |  | \$ 1,629,000 | \$ 25,747,033 |
| Transportation Commission Safety Funds | \$ 78,844,119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 78,844,119 |
| Transferred to Regions for MLOS Signing and Striping | \$ (66,990,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (66,990,000) |
| Estimated CarryoverISavings |  | 969,300 |  | \$ 107,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 1,07,000 |
| Sub-Total | \$ 11,854,119 | \$ 2,110,275 | \$ 13,730,789 | \$ 1,625,369 | \$ . |  |  | \$ 4,018,800 |  | \$ 1,904,000 | S 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ . |  | s 180,000 | \$ 1,048,000 |  | \$ 1,629,000 | \$ 38,678,152 |
| Rockfall (RFM) | \$ $(3,248,016)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (3,248,016) |
| Hazard Elimination (HAZ) |  |  | \$ (13,730,789) | \$ (1, 525,644) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ ( $15,256,43$ ) |
| Rail Crossings (RRC) |  | \$ $(2,110,275)$ |  | (99,725) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(2,210,000)$ |
| Hot Spots (HOT) | \$ (2,167,152) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (2,167, 15) |
| Traffic Signals (SGN) | \$ (1,472,82) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,472,820) |
| Safety Needs/ Resurfacing (SAE) | \$ $\quad(4,966,131)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (4,966,131) |
| Engineering Safety (SAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Sub-Total | (11,854,19) | (2,110,275) | (13,73,789) | (1, $1,25,369)$ | . |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | (29,32, 552) |
| Planning, Administration and Operations (Traffic Analysis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(614,000)$ |  | \$ (180,000) |  |  |  |  | \$ (180,000) | \$ (300,000) |  | \$ (173,000) | \$ $(1,447,000)$ |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | (614,000) |  | (180,000) | . | . |  |  | (180,000) | $(300,000)$ |  | $(173,000)$ | $(1,447,000)$ |
| Prohibit Racial Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | - | . |  |  | - |  | $\cdot$ | - | - |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |
| Traffic Records |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (145,000) |  |  | \$ (500,000) | \$ (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (722,800) |
| Sub-Total |  |  | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - |  |  | $(145,000)$ |  | - | (500,000) | (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  | - | (722,800) |
| 1 mpaired Driving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,588,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (941,000) | \$ (2,52, 000 ) |
| Young Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S (100,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (100,00) |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,461,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (432,000) |  |  | \$ (1,893,00) |
| Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (18,800) |  | \$ (36,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (515,000) | \$ (569,800) |
| Public Information and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(1,525,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(1,525,000)$ |
| Safe Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (165,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (165,00) |
| Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (90,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (90,000) |
| Roadway Safety Traffic Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (316,000) |  |  | (316,000) |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | (3,259,800) | . | (1,724,000) | - | - | - | - | . | (748,000) | . | (1,456,000) | (7,187,800) |
| Balance | \$ - | s . | s - | \$ . | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - s | s | \$ - | s . | \$ - | \$ . | \$. | \$ | \$ | s . | \$ . | \$ . |





| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY2013 } \\ & \text { Program } \end{aligned}$ | Transportation Commission Safety | RRX | HE/Other | State Highway (Engineer) | Local Funds | OP Incentive | op Innovative | Base Transportation Safety | Alchol BAC | Alchol Incentive | Information System Improvements | FARS | Motorcycle Safety | Prohibit Racial Profiling | State Match <br> for 402 <br> (Safety) | FHWA Flex Funds | Cone Zone |  | ST \& LEAF |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trite 23 USC Funding Source |  | LS40/LS50 | LS20/LS30 | (HAA402) | (HAA0000) | $($ Sec 157A) | (Sec 1578) | (Sec 402) | (Sec 163) | (Sec 410) | (Sec 408) |  | (Sec 2010) | (Sec 1906) | (State) | (FHWA) | (State) |  | (State) |  |  |
| FY2013 Fed/State/Local (Un-Matched Funds) |  | \$ 1,218,815 | \$ 14,418,447 | \$ 1,595,984 |  |  |  | \$ 4,068,800 |  | \$ 1,961,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 |  |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 1,048,000 |  | \$ | 1,629,000 | \$ | 26,697,846 |
| Transportation Commission Safety Funds | \$ 80,442,565 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 80,442,565 |
| Transferred to Regions for MLOS Signing and Striping | $(68,531,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(68,531,000)$ |
| Estimated Carryover/Savings |  | \$ 897,525 |  | \$ 99,725 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 997,250 |
| Sub-Total | \$ 11,911,565 | \$ 2,116,340 | \$ 14,418,447 | \$ 1,695,709 | \$ . |  |  | \$ 4,068,800 |  | \$ 1,961,000 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 77,800 | \$ . |  | \$ 180,000 | \$ 1,048,000 |  | \$ | 1,629,000 | \$ | 39,606,661 |
| Rockfall (RFM) | (3,309,809) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(3,309,809)$ |
| Hazard Elimination (HAZ) |  |  | \$ (14,418,447) | \$ (1,602,049) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (16,020,496) |
| Rail Crossings (RRC) |  | \$ $(2,116,340)$ |  | \$ (93,660) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(2,210,000)$ |
| Hot Spots (HOT) | (2,167,020) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (2,167,020) |
| Traffic Signals (SGN) | (1,472,736) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (1,472,736) |
| Safety Needs/ Resurfacing (SAE) | $(4,962,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(4,962,000)$ |
| Engineering Safety (SAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sub-Total | (11,911,565) | (2,116,340) | (14,418,447) | (1,695,709) | - |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | $(30,142,061)$ |
| Planning, Administration, and Operations (Traffic Analysis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (614,000) |  | \$ (180,000) |  |  |  |  | \$ $(180,000)$ | \$ (300,000) |  | \$ | $(173,000)$ | \$ | (1,447,00) |
| Sub-Total | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | $(614,000)$ |  | (180,000) | - | - |  |  | $(180,000)$ | $(300,000)$ |  |  | $(173,000)$ |  | $(1,447,000)$ |
| Prohibit Racial Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| Sub-Total | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | . |  | . | . | - |  |  | . |  |  |  | . |  | . |
| Traffic Records (Includes CDOT staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(145,000)$ |  |  | $(500,000)$ | (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (722,80) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(145,000)$ |  |  | $(500,000)$ | (77,800) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (722,800) |
| Impaired Driving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,645,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (941,000) | \$ | $(2,586,000)$ |
| Young Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (100,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(100,000)$ |
| Occupant Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,461,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (432,000) |  |  |  | \$ | $(1,893,000)$ |
| Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (18,800) |  | \$ $(36,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (515,000) | \$ | ( 569,800$)$ |
| Public Information and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (1,525,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(1,525,000)$ |
| Safe Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(215,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (215,000) |
| Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ $(90,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(90,000)$ |
| Roadway Safety Traffic Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ (316,000) |  |  |  | \$ | (316,000) |
| Sub-Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $(3,309,800)$ | - | (1,781,000) | - | - | - | - | . | (748,000) | - |  | $(1,456,000)$ |  | (7,294,800) |
| Balance | \$ - | \$ . | \$ . | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ - | \$ . | \$ . | \$ . | \$ - | \$ - | \$ . | s | - | \$ | - |




| FY2013 <br> Program | Dossripiton | Op |  | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Transportation } \\ \text { Safety } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {al bac }}$ | $\underset{\text { Incontive }}{\text { AL }}$ | Information System Improvements | fars | Moterecte | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rohibit Racial } \\ & \text { Profiling } \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Fuwa Flox funds }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Highaxate Fund }}^{\substack{\text { Sum }}}$ | Cone $20 n$ | most LEAF | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 Soc 15N] |  | (Soce 402) | (Socc 183) | (Sect 40) | (SCcc 408) |  | (Sace 2010) | (S500906) |  | ([FWNA) | (state) | (State) | (State) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{184800}$ |  | 5 (180,000] |  |  |  |  |  | (300.000.00] |  |  | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ ¢ 173.000 | $\underbrace{(180.000)}_{(1,087.000}$ | - |  |
|  |  | s | s | (144000) |  | ¢ (180,000) |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | (180,000) |  |  |  | 173 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Recorots | $13-04-41-04$ Problem Identification/Annual Report <br> $13-04-41-07$ CDOT-DOR Interface <br>  FARS <br>  TBD |  |  |  |  |  | 145.000 | ${ }^{5} 1778000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | s | s | (145,000) | s |  |  | - (77,800) | s | s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | s |  | s |  |  |  |
| Imparace Diving | $13-01-11-01$ DUI Enforcement Training <br> $13-01-11-02$ Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(50.000} 1(14000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(10.0 }}^{114.4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (20.0.000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (270.000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (941000 | $\xrightarrow{\text { R20.0.000 }}$ |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | (88,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { fitiouo }}$ |  |  |
| Voung orvers |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(1.465500 }}^{\text {(10000) }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  | (94100 | $\xrightarrow{2.568 .000}$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Occupant Protection }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (100,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { If5000 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {(150.0.000 }}$ |  |  |
|  | 13. |  |  | (150,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{(600,0000} \mid$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(1550.000 }}^{200000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $13-06-61-10$ Annual Seat Belt Surveys <br>  TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1216.000}{216000}$ |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{121600}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (1.4.1.000) | s |  | s | s | s | $s$ |  |  |  |  | [15000 |  |  |  |
| Motoryclo Satay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stis.000 |  |  |
| Public Intormaton and Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (115,000 |  |  |  |
|  | Oe. |  |  | Sto, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1250000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1250,0}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{200000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\frac{2000,00}{100,00}}$ |  |  |
|  | $1{ }^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saro communitios | 1 13.0.9.9-101 Tratic satey Calendar |  |  | ${ }^{(1,525.500)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  | s |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cole }}$ |  | s |  | s | s | s |  |  |  |  |  | 1200.0 |  |  |
| Bicyeleppedestastian satery |  | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{\text {900.000 }} 9$ |  | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 . | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| Roadway SafetyTraffic Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1150000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.05 .51 .03 Traftic Eraneoring Sommars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{108}$ |  |  |  | 105 |  |  |
|  | (e) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { cis.ta0 }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix B <br> program Task Descriptions 

## PROGRAM TASK DESCRIPTIONS

2008-2013 ROADWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY PROGRAM Federal Hazard Elimination Program / Hot Spot Program / Traficic Signals Program / Safety Resurfacing Program 2008 SAFETY EDUCATION \& ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS
Program Aaministration / Program Support I Pronibit Racial Protiling I Impared Drving Program / Occupant Protection 2008 TRAFFIC RECORDS PROGRAM
2008 ROADWAY ENGINEERING SAFETY PROGRAM 2008 PUBLIC INFORMATION PROGRAM 2008 CONE ZONE
2008-2013 ROCKFALL PROGRAM
2008-2013 Rail CROSSing PROTECTION PROGRAM PROJECTS
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## Appendix B <br> 2008-2013 Roadway Traffic Safety Programs



# Federal Hazard Elimination Program 

## Overview
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## Hazard Elimination Project: SH285D 224.9-224.98 @ CR72 near Bailey (FY08)

Description: Relocate intersection,modify access by consolidation Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 484,200 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 53,800 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 538,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH70A 221.3-224.5 W/O Bakerville (Site I) (FY08)
Description: Median Barrier Rail
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 810,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 90,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 900,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH50A 313.15-313.8 Fortino-Wills (FY 08)
Description: Widening, Geometry, Signal improvements (Phase 1)
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 2,446,744
State Funds: \$ 271,860
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 2,718,604

Hazard Elimination Project: SH50A 35.33-35.43 at Road 28-1/2 (Mesa Co) (FY08)
Description: Install New Signal w/Dilemma Zone Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 613,553 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 68,172 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 681,725 |

## Hazard Elimination Project: SH70A 171-173.5 I-70 (Dowd Canyon) FY08

Description: Wildlife fence, drainage, ramp, signing, striping, lighting
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 540,000 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 60,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 600,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH082A 4.31 at Buffalo Valley (FY08)
Description: New Signal, Dilemma Zone, Crosswalks
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | 225,000 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 25,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{-}$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 250,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH082A 34.48 at Smith Rd (FY08)
Description: ITS Detection and Median Improvements Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 360,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 40,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 400,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH61A 32.5-35 (near Sterling) (FY 08)
Description: Flatten side slopes, signs and guardrail Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 350,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 343.7-343.75 @ Swallow Rd (FY 08)
Description: Extend SB LTL 200 Feet
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 135,000
State Funds: \$ 15,000
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 150,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH402A 0.99-1.01 @ CO Rd 11H (FY 08)
Description: Construct left turn lanes on mainline
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 209,867
State Funds: \$ 140,133
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 350,000
Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 344.65-344.68 @ Rutgers (FY 08)
Description: Extend SB RT lane, signal improvements, Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 45,000 |
| Local Funds: | 5 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH160A 88.29-88.34 @ SH550 in Durango (FY 08)
Description: Increase should width, turning radii, advanced warning Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 270,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 30,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 300,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH160A 93.11-93.18 at CR 222/223 (FY 08)
Description: Relocate intersection
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 603,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 67,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 670,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH36B 49.71-50.71 @ 104th Avenue (FY 08)
Description: Install ramp meter
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 117,000 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 13,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 130,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH76A 16.13-22.41 (FY 08)
Description: Install median cable guard rail Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 874,989 |
| Local Funds: | 525,011 |
| Total: | - |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH25A 222.37-223.37 @ SH 128 (FY 08)
Description: Install ramp meter
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 117,000 |
| Local Funds: | 13,000 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH7D 64.06-64.18 @ County Line Rd (FY 08)
Description: Install new traffic signals and geometric improvements Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$ 00,000$ |
| Local Funds: | 60,000 |
| Total: | - |
|  | 600,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH285D 258.49-258.69 @ Brady Court (FY 08)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire traffic signals
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 360,000 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 40,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 400,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH121A 1.17-2.17 @ Chatfield Ave. (FY 08)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire traffic signals
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 360,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 40,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 400,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH285D 257.69-258.06 @ Lowell/Knox Ct. (FY 08)
Description: Queue Detection System
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 225,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 25,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 250,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH76A 11.34-11.78 @ 96th Ave Interchange (FY08)
Description: Roundabouts at Ramp intersections (Design)
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 180,000 |
| Local Funds: | 20,000 |
| Total: $\$$ | - |

Hazard Elimination Project: 23 Road @ G Road (FY08)
Description: Roundabout to replace stop controlled intersection
Requestor: Grand Junction
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 828,000
State Funds: \$ 92,000
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 920,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 347.7-347.77 @ Hickory \& Conifer (FY08)
Description: Widening for an additional left turn lane
Requestor: Fort Collins
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 346,500
State Funds: \$ 38,500
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 385,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH34A 110.18-110.29 @ 35th Ave (FY08)
Description: Reconfiguring WB left and right turn lanes
Requestor: Greeley
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ | 375,134 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 41,681 |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{-}$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 416,815 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 335.73-335.77 @ 37th Street (FY08)
Description: Signal upgrades and minor geometric improvements Requestor: Loveland
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 328,500
State Funds: \$ 36,500
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 365,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 331.65-331.7 @ 19th Street (FY08)
Description: Install new traffic signal
Requestor: Loveland
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 333,000
State Funds: \$ 37,000
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 370,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH26B 13.19-13.21 @ Zuni (FY08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 187,313
State Funds: \$ 20,812
Local Funds: \$ Total: \$ 208,125

Hazard Elimination Project: SH285D 263.61-263.68 @ Holly (FY08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 184,500 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 20,500 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 205,000 |

## Hazard Elimination Project: 13th Ave @ Josephine (FY08)

Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 187,500
State Funds: \$ 20,833
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 208,333
Hazard Elimination Project: SH3OA 0.91-0.92 @ Roslyn Street (FY 08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 184,500
State Funds: \$ 20,500
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 205,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH30A 2.65-2.67 @ Galena Street (FY 08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 184,500
State Funds: \$ 20,500
Local Funds: \$ Total: \$ 205,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH6H 291.29-291.31 @ 48th (FY 08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 189,000 |
| Local Funds: | 21,000 |
| Total: | \$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH26B 13.44-13.46 @ Tejon (FY 08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 187,313
State Funds: \$ 20,812
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 208,125
Hazard Elimination Project: SH95A 7.04-7.05 @ 26th Ave (FY 08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Denver
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 186,000
State Funds: \$ 20,667
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 206,667
Hazard Elimination Project: SH391A 6.76-6.77 Kipling @ 20th (FY 08)

Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Lakewood
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 315,000
State Funds: \$ 35,000
Local Funds: \$ Total: \$ 350,000

Hazard Elimination Project: SH40C 293.39-293.42 Colfax @ Newland (FY 08)
Description: Signal upgrades
Requestor: Lakewood
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 135,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 15,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 150,000 |

## Hazard Elimination Project: SH40C 289-290.5 Colfax @ Youngfield (FY 08)

Description: Signal upgrades and roadway alignment
Requestor: Lakewood
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 720,000

State Funds: \$ 80,000
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 800,000
Hazard Elimination Project: TBD (FY 09)
Description: TBD
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{1}, 265,943$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 140,660 |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{-}$ | - |
| Total: | $\mathbf{1}, 406,603$ |  |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH24A 294.7-296.6 (FY 09)
Description: Install guardrail at selected sections of roadway Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 675,000 |
| Local Funds: | 75,000 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH115A 3.8-6.8 (FY 09)
Description: Install guardrail at selected sections and shoulder widening Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 686,314 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 76,257 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 762,571 |

```
Hazard Elimination Project: SH50A 313.15-313.8 Fortino to Wills (FY 09)
    Description: Widening, geometry, signal improvements (Phase 2)
    Requestor: Region 2
    Evaluation Measure:
    Cost Summary:
                    Federal Funds: $ 1,066,317
    State Funds: $ 118,480
    Local Funds: $
                Total: $ 1,184,797
```

Hazard Elimination Project: SH70B 0.4-1.3 Industrial Development (FY 09)
Description: Realignment, signalization and median channelization
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 276,538
State Funds: \$ 30,726
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 307,264
Hazard Elimination Project: SH82A 7-11 (FY 09)

Description: - New deer fence, repair existing fence,install passages Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 810,000 |
| Local Funds: | 90,000 |
| Total: | - |
|  | 900,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 342.31-343.31 @ Boardwalk (FY 09)
Description: Extend SB to EB LT lane, and Prot-only phasing Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 90,000 |
| Local Funds: | 10,000 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH287C 342.23-342.28 @ Kensington (FY 09)
Description: Extend LT lanes, add pole mounted signals, LT phasing Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 108,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH392B 11.53-11.55 @ CO Rd 31 (FY 09)
Description: Construct left turn lanes on mainline
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 292,500 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 32,500 |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{-}$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 325,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH119B 54.36-54.44 @ Hover Rd (FY 09)
Description: Extend NB LTL's 250 feet and SB RT accel lane Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 67,500 |
| Local Funds: | 7,500 |
| Total: | - |
|  | 75,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: TBD (FY09)
Description: TBD Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{1}, 554,037$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 172,670 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,726,707$ |

## Hazard Elimination Project: TBD (FY09)

Description: TBD
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{6 9 9 , 9 9 2}$ |
| Local Funds: | 77,777 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: TBD (FY09)
Description: TBD
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | $1,226,302$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 136,257 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,362,559$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH470A 19.6-19.6 @ Broadway (North) (FY 09)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire signals Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 360,000 |
| Local Funds: | 40,000 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH72A 0.23-0.33 @ 48th Ave (FY 09)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire signals
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$ 0,000$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

```
Hazard Elimination Project: SH95A 10.06-10.16 @ 56th Ave/Ralston Rd (FY 09)
    Description: Upgrade existing span wire signals
    Requestor: Region 6
    Evaluation Measure:
    Cost Summary:
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
Federal Funds: & \(\$\) \\
State Funds: & \(\mathbf{3 6 0 , 0 0 0}\) \\
Local Funds: & \(\mathbf{\$ 0 , 0 0 0}\) \\
Total: & \(\$\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Hazard Elimination Project: SH95A 9.54-10.11 between 52nd Ave \& 56th Ave (FY09)
Description: Construct median
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$ 0,000$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH470A 19.6-19.6 @ Broadway (South) (FY09)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire signals Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{3 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | 40,000 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH285D 260.25-260.35 @ Sherman Street (FY09)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire signals Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 360,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 40,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 400,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH128B 12.17-12.27 @ SH 287 (FY09)
Description: Upgrade existing span wire signals
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 360,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 40,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 400,000 |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH76A 11.34-11.78 @ 96th Ave Interchange (FY 09)
Description: Roundabouts at ramp intersections
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 1,800,000
State Funds: \$ 200,000
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 2,000,000
Hazard Elimination Project: SH70A 258.72-264.46 between SH40 \& SH58 (FY 09)
Description: Cable median barrier
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | $1,170,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 130,000 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,300,000$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: SH550B 128.01-128.24 from Church to Niagara (FY09)
Description: Raised Median
Requestor: Montrose
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 225,000 |
| Local Funds: | 25,000 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |Hazard Elimination Project: SH550B 127.74-128.74 @ Niagara (FY09)Description: Dual WB left turn lanes, single right turn lane,Requestor: Montrose

    Evaluation Measure:
    Cost Summary:
                    Federal Funds: \$ 270,000
                        State Funds: \$ 30,000
    Local Funds: \$
                    Total: \$ 300,000
    Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HES Projects TBD (FY10)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 502,415
State Funds: \$ 55,824
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 558,239
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HES Projects TBD (FY10)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 964,495 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$ 107,166$ |  |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,071,661$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HES Projects TBD (FY10)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 553,428 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 61,492 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 614,920 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HES Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 920,601
State Funds: \$ ..... 102,289
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 1,022,890
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HES Projects TBD (FY10)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 280,570 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 31,174 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 311,744 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HES Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 2,710,196
State Funds: \$ ..... 301,133Local Funds: $\$$
Total: \$ 3,011,329
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HOS Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 534,776 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 59,419 |
| Local Funds: | - |  |
| Total: | $\$$ | 594,195 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HOS Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 1,026,618
State Funds: \$ ..... 114,069Total: \$ 1,140,687
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HOS Projects TBD (FY10)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 589,074
State Funds: \$ ..... 65,453
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 654,527
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HOS Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation MeasureCost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 979,896 |
| Local Funds: | 108,877 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HOS Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 298,641 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 33,182 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 331,823 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HOS Projects TBD (FY10)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{2}, 884,759$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 320,529 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $3,205,288$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HES Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary
Federal Funds: \$ 534,386
State Funds: \$ 59,376
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 593,762
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HES Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | 1,025,869 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 113,985 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,139,854$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HES Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ | 588,644 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 65,405 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 654,049 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HES Projects TBD (FY11)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 979,181 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 108,798 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,087,979$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HES Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 298,423
State Funds: \$ 33,158
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 331,581
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HES Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | $2,882,654$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$ 320,295$ |  |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $3,202,949$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HOS Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 568,805 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 63,201 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 632,006 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HOS Projects TBD (FY11)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | $1,091,945$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 121,327 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,213,272$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 H0S Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary
Federal Funds: \$ 626,558

Local Funds:
Total: \$ 696,176
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HOS Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | 1,042,250 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 115,805 |
| Total: | - |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 H0S Projects TBD (FY11)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 317,644 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 35,294 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 352,938 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 H0S Projects TBD (FY11)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 3,068,324
State Funds: \$ ..... 340,925
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 3,409,249
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HES Projects TBD (FY12)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 563,356
State Funds: \$ ..... 62,595
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 625,951
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HES Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation MeasureCost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 1,081,484State Funds: \$ 120,165Local Funds: \$120,165Total: \$ 1,201,649
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HES Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 620,556 |
| Local Funds: | 68,951 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HES Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 1,032,266
State Funds: \$ ..... 114,696
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 1,146,962
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HES Projects TBD (FY12)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 314,601

Local Funds:
Total: \$ 349,557
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HES Projects TBD (FY12)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | 3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 337,631 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HOS Projects TBD (FY12)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 599,642 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 66,627 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 666,269 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HOS Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 1,151,142
State Funds: \$ ..... 127,905
Total: \$ 1,279,047
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HOS Projects TBD (FY12)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 660,526
State Funds: \$ ..... 73,392
Local Funds: $\$$Total: \$ 733,918
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HOS Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation MeasureCost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | 1,098,753 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 122,084 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,220,837$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HOS Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 334,865 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 37,207 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 372,072 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HOS Projects TBD (FY12)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 3,234,667
State Funds: \$ ..... 359,407Total: \$ 3,594,074
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HES Projects TBD (FY13)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 591,570

Local Funds:
Total: \$ 657,300
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HES Projects TBD (FY13)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | $1,135,646$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$ 126,183$ |  |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,261,829$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HES Projects TBD (FY13)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 651,635 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 72,404 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 724,039 |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HES Projects TBD (FY13)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 1,083,963
State Funds: \$ ..... 120,440
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 1,204,403
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HES Projects TBD (FY13)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure
Cost Summary
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 330,358
State Funds: \$ ..... 36,706
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 367,064
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HES Projects TBD (FY13)Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation MeasureCost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{3} 191,124$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 354,569 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $3,545,693$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 1 HOS Projects TBD (FY13)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 629,672
State Funds: \$ ..... 69,964Local Funds: \$-Total: \$ 699,636
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 2 HOS Projects TBD (FY13)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 1,208,793
State Funds: \$ ..... 134,310
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 1,343,103
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 3 HOS Projects TBD (FY13)
Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 693,607
State Funds: \$ ..... 77,067
Local Funds: \$Total: \$ 770,674
Hazard Elimination Project: Region 4 HOS Projects TBD (FY13)Description: TBDRequestor: TBD
Evaluation MeasureCost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | 1,153,780 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 128,198 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,281,978$ |

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 5 HOS Projects TBD (FY13)Description: TBDRequestor: TBDEvaluation Measure:Cost Summary
Federal Funds: \$ ..... 351,635390,706

Hazard Elimination Project: Region 6 HOS Projects TBD (FY13)
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | $3,396,664$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 377,407 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $3,774,071$ |

## Appendix B
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## Region 1 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2008

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

## Region 2 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2008

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 404,866 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 404,866 |

## Region 3 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2008

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 4 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2008

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 404,866 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 404,866 |

## Region 5 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2008

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

## Region 6 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2008

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 404,866 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 404,866 |

## Region 1 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2009

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 416,080 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 416,080 |

## Region 2 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2009

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 416,080 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 416,080 |

## Region 3 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2009

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
|  | 416,080 |

## Region 4 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2009

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 416,080 |
|  | 416,080 |

## Region 5 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2009

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 416,080 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 416,080 |

## Region 6 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2009

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 416,080 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 416,080 |

## Region 1 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2010

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 359,439 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 359,439 |

## Region 2 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2010

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

## Region 3 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2010

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 359,439 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 359,439 |

## Region 4 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2010

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 359,439 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 359,439 |

## Region 5 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2010

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 359,439 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 359,439 |

## Region 6 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2010

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 359,439 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 359,439 |

## Region 1 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2011

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 241,699 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 241,699 |

## Region 2 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2011

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{2 4 1 , 6 9 9}$ |
|  | 241,699 |

## Region 3 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2011

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

## Region 4 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2011

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 241,699 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 241,699 |

## Region 5 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2011

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 241,699 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 241,699 |

## Region 6 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2011

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 241,699 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 241,699 |

## Region 1 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2012

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | \$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$ 6 1 , 1 9 2}$ |
|  | 361,192 |

## Region 2 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2012

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 361,192 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 361,192 |

## Region 3 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2012

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 361,192 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 361,192 |

## Region 4 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2012

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 361,192 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 361,192 |

## Region 5 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2012

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 361,192 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 361,192 |

Region 6 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2012
Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 361,192 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 361,192 |

## Region 1 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2013

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
|  | 361,170 |

## Region 2 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2013

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 361,170 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 361,170 |

## Region 3 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2013

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
|  | 361,170 |

## Region 4 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2013

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 361,170 |

Region 5 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2013
Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | \$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
|  | 361,170 |

## Region 6 "Hot Spot" Projects FY 2013

Provide funding for Region priority locations that need immediate attention to alleviate a potential or existing accident problem.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
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## Region 1 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2008

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{2 7 5 , 1 5 2}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 2 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2008

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 275,152
Local Funds: \$ Total: \$ 275,152

## Region 3 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2008

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 275,152 |
|  | - |

Region 4 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2008
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 5 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2008

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

## Region 6 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2008

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 275,152 |
|  | - |

## Region 1 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2009

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{2 8 2 , 7 7 3}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 2 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2009

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 282,773 |

## Region 3 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2009

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | 282,773 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |

## Region 4 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2009

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 282,773
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 282,773

## Region 5 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2009

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 282,773 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Region 6 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2009
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

Region 1 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2010
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 244,297 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 2 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2010

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 244,297
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 244,297

## Region 3 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2010

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | 244,297 |
| Total: | \$ |

## Region 4 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2010

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 244,297 |

Region 5 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2010
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 244,297 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 6 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2010

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 244,297
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 244,297

## Region 1 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2011

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | \$ |
| Total: | \$ |

## Region 2 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2011

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 164,262 |

Region 3 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2011
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 164,262 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 4 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2011

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 164,262
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 164,262

## Region 5 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2011

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | \$ |
| Total: | \$ |

## Region 6 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2011

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
|  | 164,262 |
|  | - |

Region 1 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2012
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 2 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2012

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 254,470
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 254,470

## Region 3 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2012

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 254,470 |

## Region 4 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2012

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 254,470 |
|  | 254,470 |

Region 5 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2012
Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 6 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2012

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 254,470
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 254,470

## Region 1 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2013

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 245,456 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 2 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2013

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 245,456 |
|  | - |

## Region 3 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2013

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{2 4 5 , 4 5 6}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 4 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2013

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 245,456
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 245,456

## Region 5 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2013

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations. Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | \$ |
| Local Funds: | 245,456 |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 6 "Traffic Signals" Projects, FY 2013

Provide funding for regional traffic signals from a priority waiting list of warranted locations, or locations that need additional or replacement equipment to enhance safety and operations.

Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 245,456 |
|  | - |
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## Region 1 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2008

Provides safety im provements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 806,681 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 806,681 |

## Region 2 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2008

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,064,133$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,064,133$ |

## Region 3 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2008

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 4 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2008

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,487,497$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,487,497$ |

## Region 5 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2008

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 669,374 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 669,374 |

## Region 6 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2008

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 795,239 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 795,239 |

## Region 1 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2009

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 627,675 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 627,675 |

## Region 2 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2009

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 924,214 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 924,214 |

## Region 3 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2009

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 751,233 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 751,233 |

## Region 4 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2009

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,413,504$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,413,504$ |

## Region 5 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2009

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 598,021 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 598,021 |

## Region 6 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2009

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 627,675 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 627,675 |

## Region 1 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2010

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 448,658 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 448,658 |

## Region 2 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2010

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 638,091 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 638,091 |

## Region 3 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2010

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 465,275 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 465,275 |

## Region 4 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2010

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 980,401 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 980,401 |

## Region 5 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2010

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 395,484 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 395,484 |

## Region 6 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2010

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 395,484 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 395,484 |

## Region 1 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2011

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 640,670 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 640,670 |

## Region 2 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2011

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 943,622 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 943,622 |

## Region 3 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2011

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 695,300 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 695,300 |

## Region 4 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2011

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,509,795$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,509,795$ |

## Region 5 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2011

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 595,972 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 595,972 |

## Region 6 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2011

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 581,073 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 581,073 |

## Region 1 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2012

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 640,631 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 640,631 |

## Region 2 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2012

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 844,242 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 844,242 |

## Region 3 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2012

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 903,836 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 903,836 |

## Region 4 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2012

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,107,447$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,107,447$ |

## Region 5 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2012

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 402,257 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 402,257 |

## Region 6 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2012

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,067,718$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,067,718$ |

## Region 1 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2013

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 1
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 645,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 645,000 |

## Region 2 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2013

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 2
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 3 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2013

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 3
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## Region 4 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2013

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 4
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | $1,107,000$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | $1,107,000$ |

## Region 5 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2013

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 5
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 387,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 387,000 |

## Region 6 "Safety Resurfacing" Money, FY 2013

Provides safety improvements while resurfacing through the Safety Assessment process.
Requestor: Region 6
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{1}, 111,000$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
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Appendix B<br>SAFETY EDUCATION AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS

PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

Task Number<br>Program Name Contractor Program Manager<br>08-11-97-01<br>Planning and Administration Safety and Traffic Engineering Staff Sandoval

The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch as the designated state highway safety agency (Sections 21-1-126(3), 24-42-101 and 4-3-4-1, CRS) is responsible for the planning, coordinating and administering of the State's highway safety program authorized by the Federal Highway Safety Act 23 USC 402. Planning and Administration (P\&A) costs are those expenses that are related to the overall management of the State's highway safety programs. Costs include salaries and related personnel benefits for the Governors' Representatives for Highway Safety and for other technical, administrative, and clerical staff, for the States' Highway Safety Offices. P\&A costs also include other office costs, such as travel, equipment, supplies, rent and utility expenses.

| Funding Source | 402/State Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | PA - Planning and Administration |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$263,000
Operating Expenses \$44,000
Travel \$35,000

Capital Equipment \$18,000
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)

| Total | $\$ 360,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Federal Funds | $\$ 180,000$ |
| State Match | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 360,000$ |

Local Benefit
Capital Equipment Upgrades for personal computers, software peripherals, printers, and fax machines.
Performance None
Measures


# Appendix B <br> Safety Education and Enforcement Program Projects 

Task Number
Program Name Program Manager

08-12-98-01
Program Support - Impaired Driving G. Davis/Staff Safety

Branch staff will develop, plan, coordinate and provide technical assistance and support for the activities in Impaired Driving, Police Traffic Services, Motorcycle Safety and 410 Alcohol Incentive Grant.

External project audit costs as well as program specific staff training are also covered in this task. This task will also include necessary operating equipment. The staff will also research and determine the effect of speed related crashes and fatalities in Colorado. The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch personnel will be provided with computer upgrades, software, hardware, and peripherals. Attendance at State and national conferences and professional training for the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff are also included.

| Evaluation Measure | 2,772 hours |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Funding Source | 410 |
| Program Area | K8PA - Program Support |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 151,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 19,800$ |
| Travel | $\$ 7,200$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 180,000$ |

Federal Funds \$180,000
State Match
Total \$180,000

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | None |

Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Program Manager

08-12-98-02
Program Support - Occupant Protection Jahn-Elfton/Staff Safety

The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff will develop, plan, coordinate and provide technical assistance and support for the activities in Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety, Occupant Protection, and Safe Communities.

External project audit costs as well as program specific staff training are also covered in this task. This task will also include necessary operating equipment. The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch personnel will be provided with computer upgrades, software, hardware, and peripherals. Attendance at State and National conferences and professional training for the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff are also included.

| Evaluation Measure | 1,958 hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | PA - Program Support |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 180,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 90,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 8,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 288,000$ |

Federal Funds \$288,000
State Match
Total \$288,000

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | None |

Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Program Manager

08-12-98-03
Program Support - Roadway Safety
Wilkinson/Staff Safety
The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff will develop, plan, coordinate and provide technical assistance and support for the activities in the Roadway Safety Program.

External project audit costs as well as program specific staff training are also covered in this task. This task will also include necessary operating equipment. The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch personnel will be provided with computer upgrades, software, hardware, and peripherals. Attendance at State and National conferences and professional training for the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff are also included.

Evaluation Measure 700 hours
Funding Source FHWA Flex Funds
Program Area
FRS - Program Support

| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 47,300$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 9,100$ |
| Travel | $\$ 2,500$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 1,100$ |
| Total | $\$ 60,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total | $\$ 60,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | None |

Measures

## Task Number <br> Program Name Program Manager

08-12-98-04
Program Support - Traffic Records Marandi/Staff Safety

Staff time is required for data analysis and problem identification as well as providing technical assistance, planning, developing, implementing and evaluating projects. The Branch will conduct research as a function of these needs. Also included is support for professional training for the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff involved with project activities.

External project audit costs as well as program specific staff training are also covered in this task. This task will also include necessary operating equipment. The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch personnel will be provided with computer upgrades, software, hardware, and peripherals.

| Evaluation Measure | 2,000 hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| Program Area | FRS - Program Support |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 214,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 6,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 240,000$ |

Federal Funds \$240,000
State Match
Total \$240,000

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | None |

Measures

## Task Number <br> Program Name Program Manager

08-01-11-12
Program Support - LEAF
G. Davis

Branch staff will develop, plan, coordinate and provide technical assistance and support for the activities of the LEAF program.

External project audit costs as well as program specific staff training are also covered in this task. This task will also include necessary operating equipment. The staff will also research and determine the effect of speed related crashes and fatalities in Colorado. The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch personnel will be provided with computer upgrades, software, hardware, and peripherals. Attendance at State and national conferences and professional training for the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff are also included.

Evaluation Measure Reduce the percentage of alcohol-related fatal crashes from $36.5 \%$ in 1996 to $29.0 \%$ by the year 2010.

| Funding Source | State Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | Program Support - LEAF |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 61,856$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 17,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 81,856$ |
| Total |  |

Federal Funds
\$81,856
State Match
Total $\$ 81,856$

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment None
Performance None
Measures

## Task Number <br> Program Name Program Manager

08-07-71-01
Program Support - MOST
G. Davis

Branch staff will develop, plan, coordinate and provide technical assistance and support for the activities of the MOST program.

External project audit costs as well as program specific staff training are also covered in this task. This task will also include necessary operating equipment. The staff will also research and determine the effect of speed related crashes and fatalities in Colorado. The Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch personnel will be provided with computer upgrades, software, hardware, and peripherals. Attendance at State and national conferences and professional training for the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch staff are also included.

Evaluation Measure Train at least 9,500 students per year

| Funding Source | State Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | Program Support - Motorcycle Safety |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 70,789$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 17,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 90,789$ |
| Total |  |

Federal Funds \$90,789
State Match
Total $\$ 90,789$

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment None
Performance None
Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

Public awareness is a critical component to the success of traffic safety programs. The public relations senior support staff conducts strategic and tactical communications planning and manages activities designed to maximize the reach and effectiveness of traffic-related alcohol programs.

| Evaluation Measure | Reduce the p from 36.5\% in |
| :---: | :---: |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | PA - Program |
| Cost Summary |  |
| Personal Services | \$66,000 |
| Operating Expenses | \$2,500 |
| Travel | \$2,500 |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) |  |
| Total | \$71,000 |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 71,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 71,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | None |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-08-81-02
Media Program Support - Occupant Protection CDOT Public Relations Office Halpape

Public awareness is a critical component to the success of traffic safety programs. The public relations senior support staff conducts strategic and tactical communications planning and manages activities designed to maximize the reach and effectiveness of occupant protection programs.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase the s <br> by year 2010. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | PA - Program |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Cost Summary | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Personal Services | $\$ 2,500$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 2,500$ |
| Travel |  |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services  <br> Other (indirects) $\$ 75,000$ <br> Total  |  |

Federal Funds \$75,000
State Match
Total $\quad \$ 75,000$

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | None |
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| Task Number | 08-13-01-01 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Name | Prohibit Racial Profiling |
| Contractor | Colorado P.O.S.T. |
| Program Manager | Acevedo |

To encourage the State to enact and enforce laws that prohibit the use of racial profiling in traffic law enforcement and to maintain and allow public inspection of statistical information regarding the race and ethnicity of the driver and any passengers for each motor vehicle stop in the state.

| Evaluation Measure | Development of internet web-based Anti-Bias Training <br> for Law Enforcement. <br> Implementation P.O.S.T. ID card system to track <br> attendance at Anti-Bias Training |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of Colorado Law Enforcement Officers <br> receiving training |
| Funding Source | 1906 |
| Program Area | K10 - Prohibit Racial Profiling |


| Operating Expenses | $\$ 94,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 36,000$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 130,000$ |


| CDOT Funds |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Agency Funds | $\$ 130,000$ <br> $\$ 80,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 210,000$ |
|  | $\$ 130,000$ |

[^0]| Task Number | 08-13-01-02 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Name | Prohibit Racial Profiling |
| Contractor | Colorado State Patrol |
| Program Manager | Acevedo |

Colorado State Patrol will take the leadership in Colorado by establishing a model system that identifies and reduces racial profiling on federal-aid highways.

| Evaluation Measure | Hiring of a project manager to coordinate project. <br> Hiring of a contractor to develop and provide <br> programming to implement infrastructure tool. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Implementation data collection modules. <br> Reports on traffic stop contacts. |
| Funding Source | 1906 |
| Program Area | K10 - Prohibit Racial Profiling |


| Operating Expenses | $\$ 367,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 146,000$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 513,000$ |


| CDOT Funds | $\$ 513,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Agency Funds | $\$ 164,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 677,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 513,000$ |

Capital Equipment Software System
Performance
Measures
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Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-01-11-01
DUI Enforcement Training
Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Rocke

Support for law enforcement and education professional training programs that offer Drug and Alcohol Recognition, Standardized Field Sobriety Test (SFST) operator, SFST instructor training and in-service re-certification of SFST instructors statewide. A tuition fee for the courses offered will be put back into the program to cover a nominal portion of the program costs.

| Evaluation Measure | Increasing the knowledge and providing the tools <br> necessary to the law enforcement community and <br> education professional to improve the effectiveness of <br> the drug and alcohol awareness and SFST program by <br> offering operator and instructor programs statewide. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 410 <br> Program Area |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 8,500$ |
| Travel | $\$ 3,500$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 38,000$ |
| Other (Media) |  |
| Total | $\$ 50,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 50,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 50,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :---: |
| Performance | $1,2,14$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-01-11-02
Littleton Impaired Crash Reduction Littleton Police Department Rocke

In 2006 The City of Littleton experienced the highest rate of impaired driving crashes. The goal of this program is to deploy City of Littleton police officers to high crash locations to enforce for impaired driving, speed and occupant protection violations

| Evaluation Measure | Reduce impaired driving crashes by $2 \%$, from the current 9.8\% to 7.8\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Funding Source | 410 |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |
| Cost Summary |  |
| Personal Services | \$24,000 |
| Operating Expenses |  |
| Travel |  |
| Capital Equipment | \$0 |
| Contractual Services | \$0 |
| Other (Media) | \$0 |
| Total | \$24,000 |
| CDOT Funds | \$24,000 |
| Agency Funds | \$7,100 |
| Total | \$31,100 |
| Local Benefit | \$24,000 |
| Capital Equipment | None |
| Performance | 1,2,3, 4, 14 |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

CDOT will provide funds to the State for continuation of established DUI courts in the Southern Ute Tribal Court and 6th Judicial Districts, expansion of DUI Court models into the 22nd Judicial District and other districts throughout Colorado. Funds are used to improve the Justice Systems response to persistent drunk drivers by developing court, probation staffing and treatment allocation models to continue exisiting DUI Courts and to establish new DUI Courts in order to better respond to the challenges of repeat Impaired driving offenders.

Evaluation Measure Cost benefit analysis relative to sustainability of Court, Probation and Treatment models to be put in to place for functional Impaired driving courts in year two of project. Continuation of established courts, use of established models, number of new DUI courts

| Funding Source | 410 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 205,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 155,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |

Capital Equipment ..... \$0
Contractual Services ..... \$0
Other (Media) ..... \$0
Total $\$ 360,000$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 360,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| State Match | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 460,000$ |

Local Benefit $\quad \$ 360,000$

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,14$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

A Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor will provide prosecutors and law enforcement officers with training, technical assistance and resources to prosecute traffic related cases as well as serving as a liaison for CDOT safety initiatives.

| Evaluation Measure | Selection of Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor. <br> Needs assessment and recommendations. <br> Number and quality of courses to Colorado prosecutors <br> and law enforcement officers. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 410 |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 113,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 6,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Media) |  |
| Total | $\$ 144,000$ |

Federal Funds \$144,000
State Match \$0
Total \$144,000
Local Benefit \$144,000

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,3,4,5,14$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-01-11-05
"Courage to Live" Train the Trainer Program CO Department of Revenue, Hearings Section Gould
"Courage to Live" is a judicial outreach effort designed to address the growing problem of teenage drinking and driving. Continued support for schools where the program is currently running will be provided. The purpose of the Courage to Live Program is to provide an added dimension to prevention efforts seeking to reduce teenage involvement with alcohol. The intent is to create teams representing high risk areas of the state, assisting them in the development of strategies to be applied to their own middle school populations. Ideally, each team will ultimately consist of representative of the judiciary, law enforcement officials, school administrators, high school students, and a regional prevention specialist or coalition member.

| Evaluation Measure | Creation of cohesive teams who in turn will develop <br> viable action plans to implement Courage To Live <br> programs in their represented districts. Supplementation <br> and enhancement of current prevention efforts, and <br> participants provided with strategies and resources they <br> can utilize. The focus is on middle schools |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 410 |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 5,000$
Operating Expenses
Travel
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) $\quad \$ 0$

| Fed. Funds | $\$ 5,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 26,241$ |
| Total | $\$ 31,241$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | None |
| Performance | $1,2,14$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-01-11-06
Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) MADD
Gould

NHTSA data shows that 41\% of all traffic fatalities involve alcohol. The Colorado alcohol related fatality rate is $40 \%$. Alcohol related crashes directly and indirectly affect many users of Colorado highways. CDOT will provide funding to MADD for 2 Youth Coordinators to reduce underage consumption of alcohol and unsafe driving behavior through youth training, activism and outreach in Denver and El Paso County. The youth coordinators will promote CDOT and MADD program initiatives, schedule and coordinate 10 MADD Multimedia School Assembly presentations and conduct post-evaluation surveys.

| Evaluation Measure | Coordination by youth coordinators on CDOT and <br> MADD program initiatives. Reduce the rate of <br> involvement in alcohol related fatal crashes of underage <br> drinking drivers from 17.3\% in 2004 to $12.9 \%$ in 2008 |
| :--- | :--- |
| and maintain through 2010. |  |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 76,220$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 12,400$ |
| Travel | $\$ 4,630$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 6,750$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 100,000$ <br> Local Match |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 134,000$ |
|  | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,14$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-01-11-07
Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Rocke

Most Colorado law enforcement officers have little or no training in the detection of impairment from drugs other than alcohol. Data from the NHTSA estimates that as many as $18 \%$ of all fatal crashes involve persons that have consumed drugs or a combination of drugs and alcohol. Three separate eight hour in-service trainings for active DREs. One nine-day DRE training course for new DREs. DRE certification nights for field certification.

| Evaluation Measure | Numbers of current DREs receiving in-service training. <br> Increase in Driving Under the Influence of Drugs <br> arrests. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of new DREs certified. |
| Funding Source | 410 |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$0
Operating Expenses \$95,000
Travel
\$5,000
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0

| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 100,000$ <br> $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 100,000$ |

Capital Equipment None

Performance $\quad 1,2,14$
Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor

Program Manager

08-01-11-08
DRE Tech Transfer-National Impaired Driving Conference
International Association of Chiefs of Police/National Highway Traffic Administration Rocke

Funding will cover registration and travel. Officers selected to attend will be required to submit a summary of their findings. This includes how the training will be used at a local level. This training is brought back to Colorado by attendees and used in mandatory in-service training sessions in Colorado.

Evaluation Measure 15 Officers trained and summary of findings.

| Funding Source | 410 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$0
Operating Expenses \$20,000
Travel
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) \$0
Total $\$ 20,000$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 20,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 20,000$ |

Local Benefit $\$ 20,000$

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | ---: |
| Performance | $1,2,4$ |
| Measures |  |

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-01-11-09
Impaired Driving Overtime Enforcement Colorado State Patrol Gould

CSP will identify six target areas for increased DUI patrols. CSP will provide traffic crash prevention teams to enforce impaired driving laws at these locations. CSP will also provide overtime hours at multi-agency sobriety checkpoints and saturation patrols and provide personnel as requested by CDOT to participate in public information programs and media events.

| Evaluation | Alcohol-caused motor <br> and DUI citations incre <br> Measure |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $30,2009$. |
| Funding Source |  |
| Program Area | 410 |
| K8 - Impaired Driving |  |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 163,500$
Operating Expenses $\quad \$ 31,500$
Travel
\$5,000
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) $\$ 0$
Total \$200,000

| Federal Funds | $\$ 200,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 2,062,316$ |
| Total | $\$ 2,262,316$ |

Local Benefit $\$ 200,000$

Capital Equipment None
Performance 1,2,14
Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-01-11-10
Impaired Driving Technology Transfer Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Rocke

To fund the attendance of persons to state, regional, national meetings and conferences related to DUI enforcement and prevention. Funding will be used for the State Drug Recognition Expert Program Coordinator's membership dues in organizations related to the program. This task will also support training for judges and prosecutors in DUI and traffic related safety issues.
Evaluation Measure Professional development.

| Funding Source | 410 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$0

Operating Expenses \$20,000
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other \$0
Total
\$20,000

| Federal Funds | $\$ 20,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 20,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 20,000$ |

Capital Equipment None
Performance 1,4
Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

10 law enforcement agencies will target areas in the State identified as having a high rate of alcohol related fatalities and crashes. NHTSA research shows that in areas where DUI checkpoints are routinely practiced, the number of alcohol-related traffic fatalities and crashes are reduced. The targeted agencies will conduct DUI checkpoints, saturation patrols and participate in Statewide high visibility Impaired driving enforcement campaigns.

| Evaluation Measure | DUI checkpoints, saturation patrols, and a 5\% reduction <br> in alcohol-related traffic fatalities from Memorial Day to <br> Labor Day. Cooperation with LEAF agencies and the |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Colorado State Patrol. |
| Funding Source | 410 |
| Program Area | K8 - Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$270,000
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0

| Other | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 270,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> Local Match | $\$ 270,000$ <br> $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 270,000$ |
|  | $\$ 270,000$ |

Capital Equipment None
Performance 1,2,14
Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-01-11-12
Law Enforcement Assistance Fund (LEAF) Local Law Enforcement Agencies
G. Davis/Rocke

To increase and improve the enforcement of DUI laws, and to coordinate the efforts of law enforcement agencies in administering a DUI enforcement program. These projects provide State match for Section 402 and 410 and federally funded activities.

| Evaluation Measure | 58 projects with local law enforcement to <br> provide 38,000 hours of DUI enforcement |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | State LEAF Funds <br> Impaired Driving |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 941,000$
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0

| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 941,000$ |


| State Match | $\$ 941,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Agency Funds | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 941,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 941,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,3,4,5,14$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-01-11-13
Law Enforcement Coordinator
Colorado State Patrol
G. Davis

CDOT will designate a spokesperson that will coordinate all statewide training and local activities for local law enforcement agencies in support of their efforts to enforce Colorado's Safety Programs and campaigns. This is a new initiative to better coordinate within the law enforcement community of program initiatives and innovations for their participation.
Operating expenses include the use of a vehicle and related expenses to accommodate the statewide travel necessary to develop, implement, and expand safety programs.

| Evaluation Measure | Number of media events, trainings functions, meetings <br> and presentations participating in. Percentage of <br> increase or decrease in agencies reporting to CDOT |
| :--- | :--- |
| during enforcement periods. |  |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 80,000$ |


| Fed. Funds | $\$ 80,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State funds | $\$ 47,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 127,000$ |

Local Benefit \$0

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,3,4,5,6,714,15$ |
| Measures |  |
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Task Number
Program Name Contractor
Program Manager

08-03-31-01
College and University Impaired Driving Prevention TBD through Request For Proposal (RFP) process Gould

Through the RFP process, CDOT will determine an agency to develop impaired driving prevention program at a college and/or university to address underage drinking and driving, impaired driving and traffic safety problems associated with the 18 to 25 year old population.

| Evaluation Measure | Pre and post tests of knowledge and attitudes from the <br> training. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source 410 <br> Program Area K8 - Impaired Driving/Young Drivers |  |

Cost Summary
Personal Services
Operating Expenses \$100,000
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) \$0
Total $\$ 100,000$

| Fed Funds <br> Local Match | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,14$ |
| Measures |  |
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Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-07-71-01
Motorcycle Operator Safety Training (MOST)
Certified Training Programs and Facilities (11)
G. Davis

During FY 2008, the Colorado Department of Transportation will continue a statewide motorcycle rider education program which was established by statute in 1990. Program funding was also created by statute that year and allows the program to receive a $\$ 2$ surcharge on motorcycle license endorsements and \$4 on motorcycle registrations. The Traffic and Safety Engineering Branch is responsible for the allocation of these funds to provide training in a manner as prescribed by statute, affordable and accessible to qualified applicants throughout the state of Colorado. The program trains approximately 9,500 students per year through 11 current contractors under the supervision of Motorcycle Safety Foundation qualified instructors at over 30 training sites. Since inception MOST has trained an estimated 65,000 students.

This is a state funded program augmented by federal 402 funds

| Evaluation Measure | Train at least 9,500 students per year <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| State Funds/402  <br> Program Area Motorcycle Safety |  |
|  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |
| Travel |  |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services <br> Other (Indirects) | $\$ 515,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 515,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 18,800$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 515,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 533,800$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance Measures | $6,32,41$ |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-07-71-02

## Operation Save A Life

 ABATE of Colorado AcevedoMotorcyclists experience a high number and rate of alcohol related crashes, injuries and fatalities both nationally and in Colorado. ABATE of Colorado, the state's largest motorcycling organization and a not-for-profit, proposes to initiate an education program in FY 2007 which addresses this issue. Members of their organization will contact schools, other organizations and members of the motorcycling community to provide discussions and educational materials with the aim of reducing drinking and riding.

Evaluation Measure The program will be measured by data (accidents, crashes, injuries) collected by CDOT. ABATE of Colorado will track the number of presentations performed and the number of events and rallies with the information being disbursed.

| Funding Source | 410 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | K8 - Motorcycle Safety |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 4,400$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 29,640$ |
| Travel | $\$ 1,960$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 36,000$ |
| Total |  |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 36,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 18,431$ |
| Total | $\$ 54,431$ |
|  | $\$ 36,000$ |
| Local Benefit |  |
|  | None |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Performance Measures | $6,32,41$ |

## Task Number <br> Program Name Contractor Program Manager

08-07-71-03
Motorcycle Rider Skill Enhancement T3RG International Ltd. Acevedo

The number of crashes and fatalities on Colorado highways for motorcycle riders is increasing despite the increase in numbers of students attending training through the MOST Programs.

The Basic Rider Course (BRC) provides a foundation of riding skills for the motorcycle enthusiast by teaching motorcycle riding on a closed course, but to become a competent rider, additional practice riding on the roadways is necessary. Currently, there is no approved curriculum for teaching riding on the roadways.

A skills enhancement course will be provided to students who want to supplement and expand their initial motorcycle training.

Evaluation Measure Increase skill and confidence levels of new riders, resulting in $20 \%$ fewer crashes in the first year of riding, with the following focus areas: introduce new riders to the awareness of their "right-sized" first motorcycle; model good riding habits to novice riders on the road; and provide first-hand experience driving mid-sized motorcycles as a stepping stone to handling larger motorcycles, resulting in better first-time purchase decisions.
Funding Source 2010
Program Area

| Cost Summary | Total |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 0$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 36,000$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 36,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 36,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |

Total \$0

Local Benefit \$0
Capital Equipment Motorcycles
Performance Measures 6,32,41
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Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-06-61-01
La Plata East Occupant Protection Southern Ute Community Action Program (SUCAP) Erez

Low passenger restraint usage rate contributes to the high number of injury and fatal crashes in La Plata County and other surrounding Counties. This program will provide educational outreach to local commercial employers and schools through activities that include child passenger safety check-up events, presentations and advocacy trainings. SUCAP will partner with local coalitions and law enforcement and make presentations to school boards and to the Southern Ute Tribal Council.

The program will target all drivers and occupants in eastern La Plata County including the Southern Ute tribal members and other Native Americans. The program will focus on private and commercial vehicle drivers, child safety seat/ booster seat users, and pick-up truck drivers to increase the use of appropriate passenger restraints

| Evaluation Measure | Process evaluation, pre/post presentation <br> questionnaire, pre/post observational surveys. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 27,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) |  |
| Total | $\$ 90,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 90,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 90,000$ |

Local Benefit \$90,000

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-02
Child Passenger Safety Education and Outreach Colorado State Patrol Chase

The Colorado State Patrol will decrease the number of deaths and injuries of children through a combined education and outreach program designed to increase the correct usage of occupant protection systems in vehicles. The program will support all seatbelt use with special emphasis on establishing and maintaining statewide Child Passenger Safety (CPS) fitting stations, education and training.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase child restraint usage by 3\% over 2006. <br> Increase the proper installation and use of child <br> passenger safety protection systems by 5\% over 2006. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Training programs conducted and the number of <br> persons attending each training program. |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | CR - Child Restraint, Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 65,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 65,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Total |  |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 150,000$ <br> State Match |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 248,750$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 150,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-03
Occupant Protection for Mesa County Mesa County Health Department Hancock

A multi-faceted approach program to increase restraint usage for those ages 0-19, using and educational and outreach campaign. High school based enhanced safety belt enforcement campaign, parental education encouraging restriction and control of new drivers in high-risk situations, and coalition building for broader goals such as policy and legislation. Maintain and promote the existing two CPS fitting stations, and conduct regular CPS educational classes to parents, etc. Advertise the CPS fitting stations via local paid media.

Evaluation Measure Increase seat belt usage for those 0-19 by 10\% of their existing levels by 2010.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 48,500$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 31,500$ |
| Travel | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> Local Match | $\$ 100,000$ <br> $\$ 22,441$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 122,441$ |
|  | $\$ 100,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-04
Denver Latino Occupant Protection Heinrich Marketing, Inc. Erez

Latinos constitute 31.7\% (2000 Census) of the Denver population. Only 66\% of Colorado residents of Latino origin regularly wear seatbelts. Vehicle crashes is the number one cause of death for Latino children. Death rate from motor vehicle crashes for Latino children between ages 5 and 12 is 72\% greater than for non-Latino white children.

This program will provide educational solutions and activities to reduce deaths/ injuries within the Latino communities, and decrease economic losses resulting from motor vehicle crashes. Some of these activities include collaborations and partnerships with community minigrant recipients, community outreach, media, and events to enhance child passenger safety and seat belt usage in the Denver Metro area.

> Evaluation Measure Observational survey data.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 81,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 60,500$ |
| Travel | $\$ 500$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 8,000$ |
| Other (Paid Media) | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Total |  |


| Federal Funds <br> Local Match | $\$ 150,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 150,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $4,5,8,9,10,12,13,16$ |

Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-05
African American Occupant Protection Cordy and Company, Inc. Erez

Black male teens are twice as likely to die in a motor vehicle crash as White male teens. Crashes are the leading cause of death for African Americans through the age of 14 and the second leading cause of death for African American males between the ages of 15-26.

This program will provide African-American driving safety outreach program through partnerships with local organizations in Denver and Colorado Springs with primary emphasis on increasing seat belt usage and other safe driving habits among teenage drivers and increase use and proper usage of child safety seats.

The program will address varying economic levels, through targeted mass media, adult influencers, schools and youth organizations. Media activities and budget will be determined, managed and administered through the CDOT Public Relations Office.
Evaluation Measure Observational survey data.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 64,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 55,000$ |
| Travel <br> Capital Equipment <br> Contractual Services <br> Other (indirects) | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 30,000$ |
|  | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Federal Funds | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Local Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 150,000$ |

Capital Equipment None
Performance
$3,4,5,8,10,13,16$
Measures

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-06-61-06
Eastern Plains Teen Motor Vehicle Safety Northeast Colorado Health Department Hancock

To create a teen traffic safety program at northeast Colorado high schools that includes teen social norming and parent education classes about the GDL law. The program is to address and increase the safety belt usage of teens in Logan, Morgan, Washington and Yuma counties. Conduct educational and social norming campaigns to increase safety belt usage and knowledge of the GDL laws within this rural region.

Evaluation Measure Increase teen safety belt usage rate to at least 70\%.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 42,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 50,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 50,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 12,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 62,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 50,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,8,10,11,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-07
Weld County Teen Safety Belt Education Weld County Sheriff's Office Hancock

Teenage drivers, especially beginning drivers and teenage passengers are in a high risk bracket for crashes and fatalities. This project will address teens' safety belt usage by involving parents to encourage their children to use safety belts. The project will also conduct activities in high schools to inform students of consequences of non-usage of safety belts and reward students that use safety belt.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase seat belt usage rate from 42\% to $85 \%$ by the <br> year 2010. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$0
Operating Expenses \$25,000
Travel
\$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) $\quad \$ 5,000$
Total $\$ 30,000$

Federal Funds \$30,000
Local Match $\quad \$ 20,100$
Total $\$ 50,100$

Local Benefit $\$ 30,000$

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $8,9,10,11,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-08
Occupant Protection Technology Transfer Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Chase

The purpose of this program is to provide training, community outreach and coalition building for the Traffic Safety Program. It will also provide support for persons outside of the Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch to attend the National Conference on Highway Safety Priorities Lifesavers 2008 Conference and the 2008 Kidz in Motion Conference.

Evaluation Measure Fifteen (15) people trained

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary
Personal Services
Operating Expenses \$20,000
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)
Total
\$20,000

| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 20,000$ <br> $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 20,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | All except 8 |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-09
Pueblo and San Luis Latino Occupant Protection Crossroads Turning Points, Inc. Erez

In Pueblo County, the 2006 Latino safety belt usage rate was 65\%.
The program will address occupant protection (CPS, seatbelt usage, pickup truck safety) within the Latino communities in and around Pueblo, the San Luis Valley and the Arkansas Valley through coalition building, coordination, and education. Venues include business, school districts, community based organizations, and governmental agencies.

| Evaluation Measure | Pre and post observational studies on seatbelt and child <br> safety restraint usage and pickup truck safety. <br> Creating a tracking database to evaluate program |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | outcome. Increase seatbelt and CPS use by 5\% of <br> baseline observational study. Lower passenger |
| transport in pickup truck beds by 5\%. |  |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 45,000$
Operating Expenses \$20,000
Travel \$3,000

Capital Equipment
Contractual Services

| Other (media) | $\$ 7,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 75,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 75,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |

Local Benefit
\$75,000

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,8,9,10,11,1213,15,16$ |

Measures

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-06-61-10
Denver Metro Teen Traffic Safety Challenge Mile-High RETAC
Hancock

The Teen Traffic Safety Challenge is based on a successful program organized by the Drive Smart Coalition in Colorado Springs, CO. The seven week campaign is a friendly challenge between schools to increase safety belt use and general knowledge about traffic safety and safe driving habits among teenagers.

Evaluation Measure Increase seatbelt use by 5\% at participating schools

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 25,800$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 32,200$ |
| Travel | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Total |  |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 61,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 121,000$ |

Local Benefit \$60,000

Capital Equipment
Performance
None
4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16

Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-11
Occupant Protection Enforcement/CSP Colorado State Patrol Hancock

The program is to address and increase the safety belt usage through the enforcement of Colorado's safety belt restraint laws. The Colorado State Patrol (CSP) provides major law enforcement effort supporting and providing for the enforcement of Colorado's Occupant Restraint Laws and the "Click It or Ticket" campaign. Off-duty troopers will be scheduled to aggressively enforce occupant restraint laws. A sergeant will be assigned to the Public Affairs Section coordinate outreach programs and project activities.

Evaluation Measure Increase the safety belt usage rate to 85\% by the year 2010.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$150,000
Operating Expenses
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)

| Total | $\$ 150,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 150,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-06-61-12
Occupant Protection Enforcement/Various Local Law Enforcement Agencies Hancock/CDOT LEC Coordinator

To encourage all Colorado local law enforcement agencies to aggressively enforce the occupant protection laws through a combination of enforcement, education and awareness. This project supports overtime enforcement of occupant protection laws at the local level in conjunction with the "Click It or Ticket" high visibility enforcement campaign.

Evaluation Measure Increase the safety belt usage rate to 85\% by the year 2010.

Funding Source
402
Program Area
OP - Occupant Protection

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$200,000
Operating Expenses
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)
Total \$200,000

| Federal Funds <br> Local Match | $\$ 200,000$ <br> $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 200,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-06-61-13
Larimer County Teen Motor Vehicle Safety Poudre Valley Health System Hancock

Poudre Valley Health System will execute and manage a multi-faceted approach to increase the use of safety belts and GDL law compliance among Larimer County teenagers. The program will create and manage programs within Larimer County high schools and with Larimer County traffic safety agencies.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase safety belt usage by Larimer County teens <br> from 60.6\% in 2006 to 75\% by 2010. <br> Increase compliance and understanding of the GDL |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | laws. |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | OP - Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 30,000$
Operating Expenses \$59,000
Travel \$1,000
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)
Total $\$ 90,000$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 90,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 43,200$ |
| Total | $\$ 133,200$ |

Local Benefit \$90,000
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Capital Equipment } & \text { None } \\ \text { Performance } & 4,5,8,9,10,12,13,16\end{array}$
Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-14
Western Rural Traffic Safety Western Regional EMS Council, Inc. Chase

Western Regional EMS Council is endorsing the multi-agency motor vehicle occupant protection coalition that was formed in February 2004, to address traffic safety issues in Delta, Montrose and Ouray Counties. The coalition will participate in enhanced enforcement events, market CPS fitting stations and the need for correct CPS use and distribute CPS seats to those in need. The program will focus on outreach and educational safety belt campaigns to: teens, pick up truck drivers, teen parents, and Latinos.

Evaluation Measure $\quad$| To increase restraint use and correct child passenger |
| :--- |
| safety seat use in Delta and Montrose County to $85 \%$ |
| by 2010. |

Funding Source 402
Program Area OP - Occupant Protection

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$30,000
Operating Expenses $\$ 20,000$

Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (Paid Media) \$0
Total $\$ 50,000$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 50,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 31,747$ |
| Total | $\$ 81,747$ |
|  | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Local Benefit |  |
|  |  |
| Capital Equipment | None |
| Performance | $4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

Colorado State University will continue to perform the annual observational survey of seat belt use in Colorado. The study will be conducted throughout Colorado on roadways using traffic observers. The observers will count the number of front seat occupants of noncommercial passenger vehicles (cars and light trucks) and record the numbers who are wearing seat belts. The annual survey of juvenile and teen age seat belt usage surveys is also included in this task.

Evaluation Measure Successful completion of both the adult, juvenile and teen age seat belt surveys.

| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$152,000
Operating Expenses $\quad \$ 4,000$
Travel \$60,000
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (indirects) \$0
Total \$216,000

| Federal Funds | $\$ 216,000$ <br> State Match |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 279,585$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | N/A |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-06-61-16
NHTSA Teen Seat Belt Pilot Project NHTSA with CDOT - Occupant Protection Unit Jahn-Elfton

The purpose of this project is to conduct a seat belt campaign emphasizing countermeasures known to increase seat belt use that are tailored to teens. The campaign will include: highvisibility enforcement, and messages and materials tailored towards teens and their families regarding enforcement of Colorado's seat belt laws.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase seat belt use among teen motor vehicle <br> occupants. <br> Increase the perception among teen motor vehicle <br> occupants that they are likely to get a ticket if they are <br> unbuckled. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 403 |
| Program Area | Occupant Protection |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$550,000
Operating Expenses \$50,000
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)
Total \$600,000

| CDOT Funds <br> Agency Funds | $\$ 600,000$ <br> $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 600,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 600,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
None
Measures
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Task Number
Program Name Contractor
Program Manager

08-09-91-01
Weld County Child Passenger and Driving Safety Catholic Charities Erez

Weld County continues to expand with a low income immigrant population that is unfamiliar with child passenger safety and impaired driving issues. Reduce traffic fatalities by educating and informing on the hazards and consequences of impaired driving.

| Evaluation Measure | To increase the child restraint usage rate to $92 \%$ by the <br> year 2010. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | SA - Safe Communities |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 22,000$

Operating Expenses \$20,000
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other (indirects)
Total $\$ 42,000$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 42,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 10,730$ |
| Total | $\$ 52,730$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 42,000$ |

Capital Equipment None
Performance $\quad 4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$
Measures

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-09-91-02
Denver Child Passenger and Driving Safety Catholic Charities

## Erez

Denver County continues to expand with a Latino population that is unfamiliar with child passenger safety and impaired driving issues. Conduct a bilingual CPS fitting station and events. Reduce traffic fatalities by educating and informing on the hazards and consequences of impaired driving.

Evaluation Measure To increase the car seat use to 92\% by the year 2010
Funding Source 402

Program Area SA - Safe Communities

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$50,000
Operating Expenses \$50,000
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other
Total $\$ 100,000$

| Federal Funds <br> Local Match | $\$ 100,000$ <br> $\$ 20,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 120,000$ |

Local Benefit \$100,000

Capital Equipment None
Performance 8,9,10,13,16
Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-09-91-03
Traffic Safety Calendar Calendar CDOT
Acevedo

The calendar will be for the 2009 calendar year and be developed in conjunction with the CDOT PR office. The CDOT Safety Belt Calendar will promote our safety belt programs and campaigns, and educate safety belt information and recourses. CDOT will print 5,000 copies of the calendar to be distributed to law enforcement, traffic safety professionals and the media.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase local community involvement to support all <br> traffic safety programs. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | SA - Safe Communities |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 3,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 12,000$ |
| Travel |  |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services |  |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Total |  |


| CDOT Funds | $\$ 15,000$ <br> Agency Funds |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 15,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>\section*{Contractor} Program Manager

08-09-91-04
Traffic Safety Coalition Development and Recognition Coordinate with NHTSA Chase

To encourage Colorado's Traffic Safety Coalitions to support CDOT's "Click It or Ticket" and the "Heath is On" campaigns, and other traffic safety programs. Provide the coalitions the support and resources, through training, mini-grants and materials, for them to better execute and support statewide occupant protection safety activities. Conduct an annual event to recognize and support law enforment and local traffic safety coalitions, for their support of our traffic safety programs.

Evaluation Measure Increase and develop local traffic safety coalitions to support the traffic safety programs.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | SA - Safe Communities |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$75,000
Operating
Expenses
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other
Total $\$ 75,000$

Federal Funds $\quad \$ 75,000$
Agency Funds
Total \$75,000
Local Benefit \$75,000

Capital Equipment None
Performance $\quad 4,5,8,9,10,11,12,13,16$
Measures
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Task Number Program Name

## Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-10-95-01
Pedestrian/Skills on Wheels/Tween/Buckle Up for Love Denver Osteopathic Foundation Chase

The Pedestrian and Buckle Up for Love safety programs will provide teachers, parents, and children with pedestrian and seat belt education. The dual programs will assist children in learning about pedestrian safety and the use of car seats and seatbelts through educational training and distribution of safety materials. The Skills on Wheels programs will increase knowledge and skills about bicycle safety among students in $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$, and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades at selected elementary schools, through a combination of student and parent education and bicycle skills course work. The Tween project goals will be to increase 8-12 year olds to sit safely buckled up in the back seat. All project activities will be conducted to students and their parents at elementary schools in the Denver Metro area.

$$
\text { Evaluation Measure Increase safety belt usage to 80\% by } 2010 .
$$

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | PS - Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Paid Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 90,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 90,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 28,250$ |
| Total | $\$ 118,250$ |

Local Benefit \$90,000

Capital Equipment None
Performance $\quad 3,4,5,8,13,32$
Measures

## Appendix B 2008 Traffic Records Program



Task Number<br>Program Name Contractor Program Manager

Enter into a contract for programming that would accomplish two main objectives. First, the programming would provide a visual interface that would allow system operators to manually correct data in Electronic Accident Reporting System (EARS) from imaged accident reports. This will provide the mechanism for making corrections to ensure EARS data exactly matches the reporting officer's report data.
Second, the programming would identify common data elements in the data sets of both EARS and Electronic Citation Reporting System (ECRS) and tie the two data sets for event based reporting. The reporting would be developed with stakeholder input to provide user friendly reporting that would allow stakeholders to identify key data elements from both data sets for their specific analytical needs and to produce relational reports from those elements.

| Evaluation Measure | Accident Reports are entered into the EARS system in <br> a timely manner and measure the average number of <br> days to enter reports into the system <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | ---: |
| 408 <br> Program Area |  |
| K9 - 408 Traffic Records |  |

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-04-41-02
Global Positioning System (GPS) Units for Local Law Enforcement Weld County

## Marandi

To purchase GPS units for Local Law Enforcement Agencies. These GPS units will allow Law Enforcement to document exactly where crashes occurred, on or off the roadway, anywhere in the State of Colorado. Crashes can then be identified using latitude and longitude.

Evaluation Measure Local Law Enforcement Agencies use of the GPS units to identify location of crashes on Colorado's roadways and measured in the \% of reports using GPS.
Funding Source
Program Area 408
K9 - 408 Traffic Records

Cost Summary

Personal Services
Operating Expenses
Travel
Capital Equipment
Contractual Services
Other
Total \$50,000

Federal Funds
\$ 50,000
Local Match \$7,545
Total \$ 57,545

Local Benefit
\$ 50,000

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-04-41-03
Transfer of City and County Accident Reports to DOR
City and County of Denver Marandi

Implement an electronic Records Management System (RMS) and input accident reports electronically. Input will be by Patrol Officers through Mobile Report Entry or by involved party via the web site.

Evaluation Measure Percentage of Crash Reports submitted electronically.

| Funding Source | 408 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | K9 -408 Traffic Records |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$0
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$10,000
Contractual Services \$70,000
Other (indirects) \$0
Total $\$ 80,000$
Federal Funds $\$ 80,000$
Local Match $\$ 152,220$

Total
\$232,220

Local Benefit
\$80,000

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

Server Hardware
Timeliness, Consistency, Completeness, Accessibility, and Integration

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-04-41-04

## Problem Identification/Annual Report University of Colorado Marandi

To continue the ongoing effort necessary to support program and project development and evaluation. Task activities will include new data analyses and identification of candidates for targeted highway safety programs, research in support of communities implementing targeted programs, and evaluation of these projects. A new component to this analysis will include citation analysis to enable a more accurate predictive crash model.

Evaluation Measure Ensure the contractor meets deadlines, planned goals and objectives, and stays within budget.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | TS - Traffic Records |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$145,000
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (indirects) \$0
Total \$145,000

| Federal Funds | $\$ 145,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 71,750$ |
| Total | $\$ 232,220$ |

Local Benefit \$80,000

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | All |
| Measures |  |

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-04-41-05
Electronic Citations Judicial Branch Marandi

Standardize Reports and Eliminate Paper Reports by Providing Police with Input capabilities on site.

Evaluation Measure Percentage of Citations submitted electronically.

| Funding Source | 408 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | K9 -408 Traffic Records |


|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cost Summary | $\$ 221,800$ |
| Personal Services | $\$ 3,200$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Travel |  |
| Capital Equipment |  |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 240,000$ |
| Other |  |
| Total |  |


| CDOT Funds | $\$ 240,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Agency Funds | $\$ 60,007$ |
| Total | $\$ 300,007$ |

Local Benefit \$ 0

Capital Equipment Mobile Citation
Computers
Performance
All
Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-04-41-06
EMS and Trauma Registry Data
Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

To develop and maintain comprehensive EMS and Trauma Registries at the CDPHE which can be used to successfully contribute health information to the CDOT Traffic Safety Integrated Data Base and to improve the infrastructure at CDPHE for maintenance and growth of the Registries.

Evaluation Measure Quarterly \& Annual Reports Percentage of report compliance with National Emergency Medical Services Information Systems (NEMSIS).
Funding Source 408
Program Area

## Cost Summary

Personal Services \$85,200
Operating
Expenses
\$1,000
Travel \$13,800
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual
Services \$0

| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |

Total \$100,000

| Federal Funds | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Agency Match | $\$ 27,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 127,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | All |
| Measures |  |

Task Number
Program Name

Contractor
Program Manager

08-04-41-07
Record System Enhancement (Traffic Record Update)

## To Be Determined

 MarandiTo create and update application software to automate and standardize CDOT's task of updating, managing, and maintaining the Accident Data from the Department of Revenue. This application will enable CDOT's Accident Data Management Unit and other users to select and download the converted data into a desired format. To affect this effort we will be working on obtaining assistance for programming the roadway program and a facilitator to begin developing the strategic plan for the Traffic Records Assessment resolution.

Evaluation Measure
Automated Traffic Records
Funding Source 402
Program Area TS - Traffic Records

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$45,000

Operating
Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual
Services \$0
Other (indirects) \$0
Total \$45,000
Federal Funds \$45,000

State Match
Total $\$ 45,000$

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | All |
| Measures |  |
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## Task Number Program Name Contractor

Program Manager

08-05-51-01
Traffic Safety Engineering Studies for Local Entities Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Staff and Private Consultants
Wilkinson

Traffic safety engineering studies will be offered to approximately six towns, with populations below 20,000 or three counties with populations below 40,000 . These towns and counties are selected based on crash history. These studies address the following areas: signing, pavement markings, parking, traffic flow, school zones, railroad crossings, construction work zones, accident history, and roadside obstacles. Every fourth year, one of the studies will be an evaluation of studies done in previous years. This will be used to measure program effectiveness

Evaluation Measure Traffic Safety study recommendations are implemented.

| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 14,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 99,000$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 115,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 115,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 115,000$ |

Local Benefit $\quad \$ 99,000$

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$3,4,5,6,15,16,35$

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-05-51-02
Signs for Small Communities
Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Staff Wilkinson

To complement the traffic safety engineering studies of task 08-05-51-01, some towns or counties will be eligible for assistance with regulatory sign, warning sign and sign post purchases.
Evaluation Measure Traffic Safety study recommendations are implemented.

| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 21,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 24,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 24,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 24,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 21,000$ |


| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,6,15,16,33,34$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

Education contributes to accident reductions and it is desired to continue efforts to provide traffic engineering training seminars to individuals with traffic engineering responsibilities within small communities and special groups. These seminars will provide instruction about the clear zone concept, signing, pavement markings, the manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), work zone traffic control and other related topics. A part of the seminar will promote other state and local safety programs as well as provide insight into liability issues.

Evaluation Measure

Funding Source
Program Area

Approximately 120 to 130 individuals trained from local entities.

FHWA Flex Funds
FRS - Roadway Safety

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 6,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 22,000$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 30,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 30,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 30,000$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 22,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$3,4,5,6,15,16,32,43$

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor Program Manager

To improve traffic control and safety in local roadway work zone areas, the Colorado Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) will be contracted to conduct up to five workshops on work zone traffic control and provide flagger certification for those who successfully complete the flagger test. These sessions will be offered in various locations throughout Colorado.

| Evaluation Measure | Approximately 100 to 150 individuals trained from local <br> entities. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services \$1,000
Operating Expenses ..... \$0
Travel ..... \$0
Capital Equipment ..... \$0
Contractual Services ..... \$10,000
Other (Media) ..... \$0

Total $\$ 11,000$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 11,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 11,000$ |

Local Benefit $\quad \$ 10,000$

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,6,15,16,30,32$ and 37 |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-05-51-05
Traffic and Highway Engineering Training Private Consultants Wilkinson

Because of rapid development in technologies and concepts in the traffic engineering discipline, it is desired to continue efforts to provide traffic and highway engineering training and certification for professionals and technicians within local governments, the CDOT, contracting and consulting communities. A minimum of nine traffic and highway engineering training courses will be offered at various locations throughout Colorado.

Evaluation Measure Approximately 300 to 450 individuals trained including CDOT and local entities.

| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\quad \$ 12,000$
Operating Expenses $\quad \$ 1,000$

Travel \$1,000
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$91,000

| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 105,000$ |


| Federal Funds | $\$ 105,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 105,000$ |

Local Benefit $\$ 10,000$

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,6,15,16,32$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

Incentive award program to reward a maintenance patrol that has initiated and completed noteworthy safety improvements to the roadside that will contribute to reducing the severity of run-off-the road crashes. Criteria for the selection will be based on roadway safety factors.

| Evaluation Measure | Contribution t <br> crashes along |
| :--- | ---: |
| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Fu |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadw |
|  |  |
| Cost Summary |  |
| Personal Services | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 1,500$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 3,500$ |

Federal Funds $\quad \$ 3,500$
State Match \$0
Total $\$ 3,500$
Local Benefit \$0

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,6,15,16$ |
| Measures |  |

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor Program Manager

08-05-51-07
Informational Brochures and Technical Reference Materials
Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Staff Wilkinson

Roadway safety related brochures will be produced, and technical reference materials will be acquired for and distributed to those who are officially responsible for traffic control devices and operations. Past brochures will also be updated and reprinted.

| Evaluation Measure | Distribution of public information brochures and <br> technical reference materials to over 100 small towns <br> and communities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\quad \$ 4,000$
Operating Expenses \$20,200
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) \$0
Total $\$ 24,200$

CDOT Funds $\quad \$ 24,200$
Agency Funds $\quad \$ 0$
Total $\$ 24,200$
$\underline{\text { Local Benefit } \quad \$ 12,100}$

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $3,4,5,6,15,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

08-05-51-08
Construction Work Zone Incentive Program
Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch Staff Wilkinson

Every year five projects from each of the six CDOT Regions undergo a Traffic Control Review. This review evaluates how well the project is implementing Work Zone Traffic Control. The projects are scored 0-4. 4 being the highest score and 0 are the lowest. Use the present scoring system reward the Region with the highest overall score.

Evaluation Measure Contribution to increasing safety in Work Zones.

| Funding Source | FHWA Flex Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | FRS - Roadway Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 2,000$
Operating Expenses \$1,500
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media) \$0
Total $\$ 3,500$

| Federal Funds | $\$ 3,500$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State Match | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 3,500$ |

Local Benefit \$0

Capital Equipment
Performance
None
3,4,5,6,15,16

Measures
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## Task Number Program Name <br> Contractor Program Manager

08-08-81-03

## High-Visibility DUI Enforcement Public Relations and Evaluation <br> Webb Public Relations and Corona Research Halpape

The Heat Is On! campaign is a high-visibility DUI enforcement campaign. The PRO has a key role in implementing the "high visibility" aspect of the campaign by increasing public awareness of enforcement and DUI laws through news releases, media events, educational materials, community outreach and the development of relationships with the media, community partners, and grantees.

This task covers public relations and evaluation costs associated with The Heat Is On!, DUI Checkpoint Colorado and the activities associated with the NHTSA National DUI Crackdown, including planning.

| Evaluation Measure | Reduce the percentage of alcohol-related fatal crashes <br> from $36.5 \%$ in 1996 to $29.0 \%$ by the year 2010. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source 402 <br> Program Area PM - Public Information |  |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 319,000$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 350,000$ |
|  |  |
|  | $\$ 350,000$ |
| Federal Funds |  |
| State Match | $\$ 350,000$ |
| Total |  |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,2,4,5,14,15,16,22,24$ |
| Measures |  |

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor <br> Program Manager

08-08-81-04
DUI High-Visibility Enforcement - Paid Media Explore Communications Halpape

The Heat Is On! campaign is a high-visibility DUI enforcement campaign. The paid media portion of this campaign has proven to be critical in informing the general public and specific target audiences. This task covers all costs for media buys, including planning and implementing by the media consultant.

| Evaluation Measure | Reduce the percentage of alcohol-related fatal crashes <br> from $36.5 \%$ in 1996 to $29.0 \%$ by the year 2010. |
| :--- | :--- |

Funding Source 402

Program Area PM - Public Information

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 5,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 290,000$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 300,000$ |

Federal Funds \$300,000
State Match
Total $\$ 300,000$

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$1,2,4,5,14,15,16,22,24$

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor Program Manager

08-08-81-05
DUI High-Visibility Enforcement - Latino and paid media
Webb PR and Explore
Halpape
The Hispanic community now comprises 20 percent of Colorado's population and is an important target audience for building awareness of DUI laws and enforcement. This task includes earned and paid media, planning and the development of culturally relevant educational materials, grassroots community outreach and expanding relationships with Latino media, grantees and partners

Evaluation Measure Reduce the percentage of alcohol-related fatal crashes from 36.5\% in 1996 to 29.0\% by the year 2010.

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | PM - Public Information |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 10,000$
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$90,000
Other (indirects) \$0

Total
\$100,000

| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
$1,2,4,5,14,15,16,22,24$

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-08-81-06
DUI Program Materials CDOT Public Relations Office Halpape

Alcohol-related traffic crashes account for more than 38 percent of traffic deaths in Colorado (2005). This task includes design and production of materials to explain and publicize laws and issues associated with alcohol- and drug-impaired driving for the public and OTS grantees.

Evaluation Measure

Funding Source
Program Area

Reduce the percentage of alcohol-related fatal crashes from $36.5 \%$ in 1996 to $29.0 \%$ by the year 2010.

402
PM - Public Information

| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 25,000$ |
|  |  |
| Federal Funds | $\$ 25,000$ |
| State Match | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Total |  |

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment
None
Performance
Measures
$1,2,4,5,14,15,16,22,24$

## Task Number <br> Program Name <br> Contractor Program Manager

This task supports the public relations and evaluation efforts associated with the national Click It or Ticket campaign that occurs each May/June, as well as ongoing seat belt education throughout the year. The PRO has a key role in implementing the "high visibility" aspect of the campaign by increasing public awareness of enforcement and seat belt laws through news releases, media events, educational materials, community outreach and the development of relationships with the media, community partners, and grantees.

Evaluation Measure Increase the statewide overall seat belt use rate to 85\% by year 2010 .

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | PM - Public Information |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 224,000$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 250,000$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Federal Funds | $\$ 250,000$ |
| State Match | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Total |  |

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

## None

8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-08-81-08
Click It or Ticket and Seat belts - Paid Media Explore Communications Halpape

Paid media is critical in executing the "high visibility" portion of the Click It or Ticket campaign, as well informing the public of the state's seat belt laws. This task covers all costs for media buys, including planning and implementing by the media consultant.

Evaluation Measure $\quad$| Increase the statewide overall seat belt use rate to 85\% |
| :--- |
| by year 2010. |

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | PM - Public Information |


| Operating Expenses | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 200,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 200,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 200,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $8,9,10,11,12,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor Program Manager

08-08-81-09
Click It or Ticket and Seat belts - Minority and Paid Media
Media Contractors TBD
Halpape

This task will specifically target Latino and African-American audiences to build awareness of seat belt enforcement and Colorado's seat belt laws. This task includes earned and paid media, planning, development of culturally relevant educational materials, grassroots community outreach, and expanding relationships with minority media, grantees and partners.

Evaluation Measure Increase the statewide overall seat belt use rate to 85\% by year 2010.
Funding Source

402
Program Area
PM - Public Information

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 10,000$

Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services $\quad \$ 90,000$
Other (indirects) \$0
Total $\$ 100,000$

Federal Funds \$100,000
State Match
Total $\$ 100,000$

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $8,9,10,11,12,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

Construction zone traffic crashes continue to increase in Colorado with 1,886 in 2004. In 2006, 12 people died. Most often it is the driver who is injured or killed in these crashes. However, CDOT and contractor employees have been injured and killed in work zone crashes. The Slow For The Cone Zone campaign reminds motorists to slow down in work zones and drive with caution. It also informs drivers of the laws and penalties for violating work zone speed limits and traffic controls.

Evaluation Measure 5\% reduction in work zone crashes

| Funding Source | State Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | Public Information |

Cost Summary

| Personal Services | $\$ 15,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 0$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 125,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 125,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 125,000$ |

Local Benefit

Capital Equipment
None
Performance
3, 4, 16, 37
Measures

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-08-81-11
Teen Driving and GDL law
SHiFT Agency
Halpape

Colorado's teen driving laws are complicated and require extensive public relations and media outreach. The GDL laws change almost yearly and public education is vital to help reduce teen fatalities. The campaign addresses other teen driving issues, including distracted driving, impaired driving and seat belt use.

Evaluation Measure $\quad$| Increase the statewide overall seat belt use rate to 85\% |
| :--- |
| by year 2010. |

| Funding Source | 402 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | PM - Public Information |


| Cost Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Personal Services | $\$ 0$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 9,000$ |
| Travel | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Capital Equipment | $\$ 0$ |
| Contractual Services | $\$ 90,000$ |
| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $1,8,14,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

07-08-81-12
Child Passenger Safety I Tween Seat belt Webb Public Relations Halpape

This task includes a public awareness campaign about child safety seats, boosters and seat belts. With the low seat belt use among children ages 5-15, a campaign specifically targeting "tweens" will be implemented to reduce fatalities.

| Evaluation Measure | Increase child safety seat use for children by 92\% by <br> year 2010. Increase safety belt use by children ages 5- <br> 15 to $80 \%$ by year 2010. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding Source | 402 |
| Program Area | PM - Public Information |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 10,000$
Operating Expenses \$10,000
Travel \$1,000
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services $\quad \$ 79,000$

| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 100,000$ |

Local Benefit

| Capital Equipment | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance | $4,8,12,13,16$ |
| Measures |  |

Task Number
Program Name
Contractor
Program Manager

08-08-81-13
Motorcycle Safety SHiFT Agency Halpape

With the increase in motorcycle fatalities, a public relations campaign will be implemented to increase motorcyclist and motorist awareness of the importance of training and proper gear, and dangers of impaired driving.

Evaluation Measure
Reduce the number of motorcycle crashes per 1,000 motorcycle registrations to 15.0 in the year 2010.

Funding Source
Program Area

402, 2010
PM - Public Information, K6

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 20,000$
Operating Expenses \$20,000
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$210,000

| Other (indirects) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total |  |


| Federal Funds <br> State Match | $\$ 250,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 250,000$ |

Local Benefit
Capital Equipment
None
Performance
6, 20, 24

Measures
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## COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

| Task Number | 08-02-21-03 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Name | Maintenance Cone Zone Enforcement |
| Contractor | Colorado State Patrol |
| Program Manager | Acevedo |

During FY 2008 the Colorado Department of Transportation will continue a statewide aggressive driving prevention and maintenance zone safety program called Maintenance Cone Zone.

Evaluation Measure

| Funding Source | State Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | Work Zone Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\$ 100,000$
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel \$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0
Other (Media)
Total $\$ 100,000$

| State Funds | $\$ 100,000$ <br> Agency Match |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 106,400$ |
|  |  |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 100,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance
Measures

None
1,2,3,4,5,6,14,15,16

Task Number<br>Program Name<br>Contractor<br>Program Manager

During FY 2008 the Colorado Department of Transportation will continue a statewide aggressive driving prevention and work zone safety program called Construction Cone Zone Enforcement.

Evaluation Measure
5\% reduction in work zone crashes.

| Funding Source | State Funds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Program Area | Work Zone Safety |

Cost Summary
Personal Services $\quad \$ 125,000$
Operating Expenses \$0
Travel
\$0
Capital Equipment \$0
Contractual Services \$0

| Other (Media) | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 125,000$ |


| State Funds <br> Agency Match | $\$ 125,000$ <br> $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | $\$ 125,000$ |
| Local Benefit | $\$ 125,000$ |

Capital Equipment
Performance Measures

None
$6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$

## Appendix B <br> 2008 RoCKFALL PROGRAM
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## COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

```
Rockfall Mitigation: Annual Rockfall Program, FY 2008
    Description: To Reduce Rockfall along State Highway Corridors
    Requestor: HQ Materials Lab
Evaluation Measure:
    Cost Summary:
                Federal Funds: $
    State Funds: $ 3,273,112
    Local Funds: $
                            Total: $ 3,273,112
```

Rockfall Mitigation: Annual Rockfall Program, FY 2009
Description: To Reduce Rockfall along State Highway Corridors
Requestor: HQ Materials Lab
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 3,439,164
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 3,439,164

## Rockfall Mitigation: Annual Rockfall Program, FY 2010

Description: To Reduce Rockfall along State Highway Corridors Requestor: HQ Materials Lab
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | \$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
| $\$ 3,034,717$ |  |

## Rockfall Mitigation: Annual Rockfall Program, FY 2011

Description: To Reduce Rockfall along State Highway Corridors Requestor: HQ Materials Lab
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | - |
| Local Funds: | $2,126,273$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{~}$ |
|  | $2,126,273$ |

Rockfall Mitigation: Annual Rockfall Program, FY 2012
Description: To Reduce Rockfall along State Highway Corridors Requestor: HQ Materials Lab
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 3,248,016
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 3,248,016
Rockfall Mitigation: Annual Rockfall Program, FY 2013
Description: To Reduce Rockfall along State Highway Corridors
Requestor: HQ Materials Lab
Evaluation Measure:
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$ 3,309,809
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 3,309,809

## Appendix B <br> 2008 Rail Crossing Protection Program
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```
FY 2008 RRX Project: Havana Street N/O Smith Road
    Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
    Requestor: City of Denver (UPRR)
    Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
    Cost Summary:
            Federal Funds: $ 95,000
        State Funds: $
    Local Funds: $
        Total: $
        95,000
```

FY 2008 RRX Project: Dartmouth E/O Tejon in Englewood
Description: Signal Upgrades Requestor: City of Englewood (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 100,000 |

## FY 2008 RRX Project: RTD Denver Light Rail Crossing Improvement

Description: Traffic signal improvements and signing upgrades Requestor: Regional Transportation District (RTD)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 302,500 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | 302,500 |

FY 2008 RRX Project: Quebec NB and SB at Smith Road
Description: Signal interconnect
Requestor: Region 6, CDOT (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 200,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 200,000 |

FY 2008 RRX Project: Powhaton Road N/O Smith Road in Adams Cty
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Adams County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: CR 28N (Manila Rd.) N/O US 36 in Adams Cty
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Adams County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: Denver Ave. N/O US 36 in Adams Cty
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Adams County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 220,000 |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: Harback Road N/O US 36
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Adams County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: CR 20.5 S/O US 50 near Rocky Ford
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Otero County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: Baker Ave. N/O (SH 96) in Boone
Description: Wayside horns
Requestor: Town of Boone (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 220,000 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 220,000 |

FY 2008 RRX Project: CR 110 (Pace Rd.) near Pueblo @ BNSF
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Pueblo County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: CR 110 (Pace Rd.) near Pueblo @ UPRR
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Pueblo County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: W Lake St. at BNSF in Fort Collins
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: City of Fort Collins (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: CR G. 8 N/E of Palisade
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Mesa County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 284,462 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 284,462 |

FY 2008 RRX Project: G Rd at US 6 W/O Palisade
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Mesa County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 5 2 1}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2008 RRX Project: CR 57 (Barnard Ave.) SIO US 40 near Grandby
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Grand County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 210,000 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 210,000 |

```
FY 2008 RRX Project Administration
    Description: Salary for Temporary Employee
    Requestor: CDOT Safety & Traffic Engineering Branch
    Evaluation Measure: N/A
    Cost Summary:
                Federal Funds: $ 60,000
    State Funds:
    Local Funds: $
                    Total: $ 60,000
FY 2008 RRX Project Roll forward to future structures TBD
    Description: Future projects
    Requestor: CDOT Safety & Traffic Engineering Branch
    Evaluation Measure: N/A
    Cost Summary:
\begin{tabular}{rlr} 
Federal Funds: & \(\mathbf{1}, 047,712\) \\
State Funds: & \(\$\) & 116,412 \\
Local Funds: & \(\$\) & - \\
Total: & \(\$\) & \(1,164,124\)
\end{tabular}
```


## FY 2008 RRX Project Roll forward to future projects

```
Description: Future projects
Requestor: CDOT Safety \& Traffic Engineering Branch
Evaluation Measure: N/A
Cost Summary:
\begin{tabular}{rc} 
Federal Funds: & \(\$\) \\
State Funds: & 906,632 \\
Local Funds: & \\
Total: & \(\mathbf{\$}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
FY 2009 RRX Project: Vision Lane near Pueblo
Description: Lights, gates, bells,sensors and adjust approaches
Requestor: Pueblo County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:
\begin{tabular}{rc} 
Federal Funds: & \(\$\) \\
State Funds: & \(\$ 350,000\) \\
Local Funds: & \(\mathbf{\$}\) \\
Total: & \(\$\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

FY 2009 RRX Project: CR 302 (Lime Rd.) near Pueblo
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Pueblo County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 220,000 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 220,000 |

## FY 2009 RRX Project: CR 56 E/O CR 19 near Fort Collins

Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Larimer County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:
Federal Funds: \$ 200,000
State Funds: \$
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 200,000

FY 2009 RRX Project: US 34 E/O CR 13 near Windsor
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: City of Windsor (GWRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$ 350,000$ |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2009 RRX Project Administration
Description: Salary for Temporary Employee
Requestor: CDOT Safety \& Traffic Engineering Branch
Evaluation Measure: N/A
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 60,000 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 60,000 |

```
FY 2009 RRX Project Roll forward for Future Structures
    Description: Future projects
    Requestor: CDOT Safety & Traffic Engineering Branch
Evaluation Measure: N/A
    Cost Summary:
                    Federal Funds: $ 873,458
                            State Funds: $ 97,051
    Local Funds: $
            Total: $ 970,509
```

FY 2010 RRX Project: Dahlia N/O Smith Road in Denver
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: City and County of Denver (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 195,000 |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2010 RRX Project: Monaco between 42nd Ave and Smith Road
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: City of Denver (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{4 1 , 5 0 5}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

## FY 2010 RRX Project: York Street S/O 43rd Ave

Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: City of Denver (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

Federal Funds: \$
State Funds: \$
41,505

Local Funds: \$ Total: \$ 41,505

FY 2010 RRX Project: Josephine St and 42nd Ave
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: City of Denver (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 41,105 |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2010 RRX Project: CR KK near Otis @ BNSF
Description: Lights, bells, gates and sensors
Requestor: Washington County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 220,000 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 220,000 |

FY 2010 RRX Project: CR 30 E/O US 85 near Platteville
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Town of Platteville (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{3 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2010 RRX Project: County Road U near Akron @ BNSF
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Washington County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 220,000 |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | 220,000 |

FY 2010 RRX Project: Roll forward for Future Structures
Description: Future Structures
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 840,496 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 93,389 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 933,885 |

FY 2011 RRX Project: 15.5 Road W/O Fruita in Mesa County
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Mesa County (UPRR)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2011 RRX Project: CR GG near Akron @ BNSF
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Washington County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 220,000 |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 220,000 |

FY 2011 RRX Project: CR MM near Otis @ BNSF
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Washington County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program Cost Summary:

Federal Funds: \$
231,000
State Funds: \$
-
Local Funds: \$
Total: \$ 231,000

FY 2011 RRX Project: CR TT near Otis @ BNSF
Description: Lights, gates, bells and sensors
Requestor: Washington County (BNSF)
Evaluation Measure: FHWA cost/ benefit - accident reduction program
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 231,000 |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: $\$$ | - |

FY 2011 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 231,000 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 231,000 |

## FY 2011 RRX Project: Roll forward for future structures

Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: $\$$ | 969,300 |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: $\$$ | 107,700 |
| Local Funds: $\$$ | - |
| Total: $\$$ | $1,077,000$ |

FY 2012 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 5 5 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | \$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2012 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 5 5 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2012 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 5 5 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2012 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | 242,550 |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 242,550 |

## FY 2012 RRX Project: TBD

Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 5 5 0}$ |
| Local Funds: | - |
| Total: | $\$$ |

FY 2012 RRX Project: Roll forward for future structures
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\mathbf{8}$ | 897,525 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | 99,725 |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 997,250 |

## FY 2013 RRX Project: TBD

Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 254,678 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 254,678 |

FY 2013 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 , 6 7 8}$ |
| Local Funds: | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Total: | $\$$ |
|  | 254,678 |

FY 2013 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 254,678 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 254,678 |

FY 2013 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 254,678 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 254,678 |

FY 2013 RRX Project: TBD
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | $\$$ | 254,678 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| State Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Local Funds: | $\$$ | - |
| Total: | $\$$ | 254,678 |

FY 2013 RRX Project: Roll forward for future structures
Description: TBD
Requestor: TBD
Evaluation Measure: TBD
Cost Summary:

| Federal Funds: | \$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| State Funds: | 842,950 |
| Local Funds: | 93,660 |
| Total: | $\$$ |
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## STATE CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES

Failure to comply with applicable Federal statutes, regulations and directives may subject State officials to civil or criminal penalties and/or place the State in a high risk grantee status in accordance with 49 CFR §18.12.

Each fiscal year the State will sign these Certifications and Assurances that the State complies with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, and directives in effect with respect to the periods for which it receives grant funding. Applicable provisions include, but not limited to, the following:
o 23 U.S.C. Chapter 4 - Highway Safety Act of 1966, as amended;
o 49 CFR Part 18 - Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments
o 49 CFR Part 19 - Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals and Other Nonprofit Organizations
o 23 CFR Chapter II - (§§1200, 1205, 1206, 1250, 1251, \& 1252) Regulations governing highway safety programs
o NHTSA Order 462-6C - Matching Rates for State and Community Highway Safety Programs

0
Highway Safety Grant Funding Policy for Field-Administered Grants

## Certifications and Assurances

The Governor is responsible for the administration of the State highway safety program through a State highway safety agency which has adequate powers and is suitably equipped and organized (as evidenced by appropriate oversight procedures governing such areas as procurement, financial administration, and the use, management, and disposition of equipment) to carry out the program (23 USC 402(b) (1) (A));

The political subdivisions of this State are authorized, as part of the State highway safety program, to carry out within their jurisdictions local highway safety programs which have been approved by the Governor and are in accordance with the uniform guidelines promulgated by the Secretary of Transportation (23 USC 402(b) (1) (B));

At least 40 per cent of all Federal funds apportioned to this State under 23 USC 402 for this fiscal year will be expended by or for the benefit of the political subdivision of the State in carrying out local highway safety programs (23 USC 402(b) (1) (C)), unless this requirement is waived in writing;

The State will implement activities in support of national highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle related fatalities that also reflect the primary data-related crash factors within the State as identified by the State highway safety planning process, including:

## o National law enforcement mobilizations,

o Sustained enforcement of statutes addressing impaired driving, occupant protection, and driving in excess of posted speed limits,
o An annual statewide safety belt use survey in accordance with criteria established by the Secretary for the measurement of State safety belt use rates to ensure that the measurements are accurate and representative,
o Development of statewide data systems to provide timely and effective data analysis to support allocation of highway safety resources.

The State shall actively encourage all relevant law enforcement agencies in the State to follow the guidelines established for vehicular pursuits issued by the International Association of Chiefs of Police that are currently in effect.

This State's highway safety program provides adequate and reasonable access for the safe and convenient movement of physically handicapped persons, including those in wheelchairs, across curbs constructed or replaced on or after July 1, 1976, at all pedestrian crosswalks (23 USC 402(b) (1) (D));

Cash drawdowns will be initiated only when actually needed for disbursement, cash disbursements and balances will be reported in a timely manner as required by NHTSA, and the same standards of timing and amount, including the reporting of cash disbursement and balances, will be imposed upon any secondary recipient organizations (49 CFR 18.20, 18.21, and 18.41). Failure to adhere to these provisions may result in the termination of drawdown privileges);

The State has submitted appropriate documentation for review to the single point of contact designated by the Governor to review Federal programs, as required by Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs);

Equipment acquired under this agreement for use in highway safety program areas shall be used and kept in operation for highway safety purposes by the State; or the State, by formal agreement with appropriate officials of a political subdivision or State agency, shall cause such equipment to be used and kept in operation for highway safety purposes (23 CFR 1200.21);

The State will comply with all applicable State procurement procedures and will maintain a financial management system that complies with the minimum requirements of 49 CFR 18.20;

The State highway safety agency will comply with all Federal statutes and implementing regulations relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin (and 49 CFR Part 21); (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps (and 49 CFR Part 27); (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970(P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse of alcoholism; (g) §§ 523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§ 290
dd-3 and 290 ee-3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.

## The Drug-free Workplace Act of 1988(49 CFR Part 29 Sub-part F):

The State will provide a drug-free workplace by:
k. Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
I. Establishing a drug-free awareness program to inform employees about:

1. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
2. The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
3. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs.
4. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug violations occurring in the workplace.
m . Making it a requirement that each employee engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a).
n. Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --
5. Abide by the terms of the statement.
6. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace no later than five days after such conviction.
o. Notifying the agency within ten days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d) (2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction.
p. Taking one of the following actions, within 30 days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d) (2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted -
7. Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination.
8. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency.
q. Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) above.

## BUY AMERICA ACT

The State will comply with the provisions of the Buy America Act (23 USC 101 Note) which contains the following requirements:

Only steel, iron and manufactured products produced in the United States may be purchased with Federal funds unless the Secretary of Transportation determines that such domestic purchases would be inconsistent with the public interest; that such materials are not reasonably available and of a satisfactory quality; or that inclusion of domestic materials will increase the cost of the overall project contract by more than 25 percent. Clear justification for the purchase of nondomestic items must be in the form of a waiver request submitted to and approved by the Secretary of Transportation.

## POLITICAL ACTIVITY (HATCH ACT).

The State will comply with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. §§ 1501-1508 and implementing regulations of 5 CFR Part 151, concerning "Political Activity of State or Local Offices, or Employees".

## CERTIFICATION REGARDING FEDERAL LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements
The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:
18. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
19. (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard FormLLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
20. The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-award at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grant, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $\$ 10,000$ and not more than $\$ 100,000$ for each such failure.

## RESTRICTION ON STATE LOBBYING

None of the funds under this program will be used for any activity specifically designed to urge or influence a State or local legislator to favor or oppose the adoption of any specific legislative proposal pending before any State or local legislative body. Such activities include both direct and indirect (e.g., "grassroots") lobbying activities, with one exception. This does not preclude a State official whose salary is supported with NHTSA funds from engaging in direct communications with State or local legislative officials, in accordance with customary State practice, even if such communications urge legislative officials to favor or oppose the adoption of a specific pending legislative proposal.

## CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT AND SUSPENSION

## Instructions for Primary Certification

21. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective primary participant is providing the certification set out below.
22. The inability of a person to provide the certification required below will not necessarily result in denial of participation in this covered transaction. The prospective participant shall submit an explanation of why it cannot provide the certification set out below. The certification or explanation will be considered in connection with the department or agency's determination whether to enter into this transaction. However, failure of the prospective primary participant to furnish a certification or an explanation shall disqualify such person from participation in this transaction.
23. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when the department or agency determined to enter into this transaction. If it is later determined that the prospective primary participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.
24. The prospective primary participant shall provide immediate written notice to the department or agency to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective primary participant learns its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
25. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meaning set out in the Definitions and coverage sections of 49 CFR Part 29. You may contact the department or agency to which this proposal is being submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
26. The prospective primary participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency entering into this transaction.
27. The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
28. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the list of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs.
29. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
30. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 6 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

## Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-Primary Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that its principals:
(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;
(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of record, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State, or local) terminated for cause or default.
(2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the Statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

## Instructions for Lower Tier Certification

31. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
32. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
33. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
34. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definition and Coverage sections of 49 CFR Part 29. You may contact the person to whom this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
35. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.
36. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that is it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transaction," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. (See below)
37. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is
erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs.
38. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
39. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

## Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transactions:

40. The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
41. Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The Governor's Representative for Highway Safety has reviewed the State's Fiscal Year 2008-2013 highway safety planning document and hereby declares that no significant environmental impact will result from implementing this Highway Safety Plan. If, under a future revision, this Plan will be modified in such a manner that a project would be instituted that could affect environmental quality to the extent that a review and statement would be necessary, this office is prepared to take the action necessary to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 ( 42 USC 4321 et seq.) and the implementing regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Parts 1500-1517).


Governor's Representative for Highway Safety
8/29/07
Date

## Appendix D <br> NHTSA FORM 217

## HigHWAY Safety Plan Cost Summary
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| Highway Safety Plan Cost Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 2 of 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State: Colorado |  | U.S. Department of Transportation National Highway Traffic Safety Highway Safety Plan Cost Summary$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0 0 8}-\text { HSP-1 } \\ & \text { For Approval } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Administrat |  | Page: 2Report Date: 09/04/2007 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program <br> Area | Project |  |  |  | Description | Prior Approved <br> Program Funds | State Funds | Previous Bal. | Incre/ (Decre) | Current <br> Balance | Share to Local |
| Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety |  |  | $\$ .00$ | \$28,250.00 | \$.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$90,000.00 |
| Police Traffic Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PT-2008-02-21 | MAINTENANCE CONe zone enforce | \$. 00 | \$100,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PT-2008-02-21 | CONSTRUCTIo CONE ZONE ENFORCEMENT | \$. 00 | \$125,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 |
| Police Tr | ffic Services T |  | \$.00 | \$225,000.00 | \$.00 | \$.00 | \$.00 | \$.00 |
| Traffic Records |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TR-2008-04-41 | PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION/ANNUAL REPORT | \$. 00 | \$71,750.00 | \$. 00 | \$145,000.00 | \$145,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | ffic Records T |  | \$.00 | \$71,750.00 | \$.00 | \$145,000.00 | \$145,000.00 | \$. 00 |
| Safe Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SA-2008-09-91 | WELD CO CHILD PASSENGER \& DRIVING SFTY | \$. 00 | \$10,730.00 | \$. 00 | \$42,000.00 | \$42,000.00 | \$42,000.00 |
|  | SA-2008-09-91-2 | DENVER CHILD PASSENGER \& DRIVING SFTY | \$. 00 | \$20,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 |
|  | SA-2008-09-91-03 | TRAFFIC SAFETY CALENDAR | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 |
|  | SA-2008-09-91-0 | TRAFFIC SFTY ENFORCEMENT \& COALItion | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 |
| Safe | ommunities T |  | \$.00 | \$30,730.00 | \$.00 | \$232,000.00 | \$232,000.00 | \$232,000.00 |
| Paid Advertising |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PM-2008-01-1 | LEAF SUPPORT | \$. 00 | \$81,856.00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-07-7 | MOST SUPPORT | \$. 00 | \$90,789.00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | MEDIA SALARIES \& EXPENSES - ALCOHOL SUPP | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$71,000.00 | \$71,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | 2 MEDIA SALARIES \& EXPENSES - OP SUPPORT | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | High-Visi enforce pr/evaluation | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$350,000.00 | \$350,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | high-visi dui enforce paid media | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$300,000.00 | \$300,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | high visi dui enforce - LAtino \& Paid me | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | dui program materials | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | PM-2008-08-8 | CLICK/TICKET/SEATBELTS - PR/EVALUATION | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$250,000.00 | \$250,000.00 | \$. 00 |



| Highway Safety Plan Cost Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 4 of 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Department of Transportation National Highway Traffic Safety Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State: Colorado |  | Highway Safety Plan Cost Summary$\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 0 8}-H S P-1 \\ \text { For Approval } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Page: 4 <br> Report Date: 09/04/2007 |  |  |
| Program Area | Project | Description | Prior Approved Program Funds | State Funds | $\begin{gathered} \text { Previous } \\ \text { Bal. } \end{gathered}$ | Incre/ (Decre) | Current Balance | Share to Local |
|  | K8-2008-01-11-08 | DRE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | K8-2008-01-11-09 | IMPAIRED DRIVING OVERTIME ENFORCEMENT | \$. 00 | \$2,062,316.00 | \$. 00 | \$200,000.00 | \$200,000.00 | \$200,000.00 |
|  | K8-2008-01-11-10 | ID TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | K8-2008-01-11-11 | DUI CHECKPOINT COLORADO | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$270,000.00 | \$270,000.00 | \$270,000.00 |
|  | K8-2008-01-11-12 | LEAF | \$.00 | \$941,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 |
|  | K8-2008-01-11-13 | LAW ENFORCEMENT COORDINATOR | \$. 00 | \$47,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$80,000.00 | \$80,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | K8-2008-02-71-01 | MOST | \$. 00 | \$515,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$18,800.00 | \$18,800.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | K8-2008-02-71-02 | OPERATION SAVE A LIFE | \$.00 | \$18,431.00 | \$. 00 | \$36,000.00 | \$36,000.00 | \$36,000.00 |
|  | K8-2008-03-31-01 | COLLEGE \& UNIV IMPAIRED DRIVING PREVENTI | \$.00 | \$.00 | \$. 00 | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 |
| 410 Alco | hol SAFETEA-LU To |  | \$.00 | \$3,751,088.00 | \$. 00 | \$1,527,800.00 | \$1,527,800.00 | \$1,239,000.00 |
| 410 Alcohol SAFETEA-LU Paid Media |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K8PM-2008-12-98-01 | IMPAIRED DRIVING SUPPORT | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$180,000.00 | \$180,000.00 | \$. 00 |
| $410 \text { Alcc }$ | hol SAFETEA-LU Pa Media To |  | \$.00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$180,000.00 | \$180,000.00 | \$. 00 |
| 410 Alcoh | OI SAFETEA-LU Tot |  | \$.00 | \$3,751,088.00 | \$.00 | \$1,707,800.00 | \$1,707,800.00 | \$1,239,000.00 |
| 2010 Motorcycle Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K6-2008-07-71-03 | MOTORCYCLE RIDER SKILL ENHANCEMENT | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$36,000.00 | \$36,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | K6-2008-08-81-13 | MOTORCYCLE SAFETY | \$. 00 | \$.00 | \$. 00 | \$250,000.00 | \$250,000.00 | \$. 00 |
|  | 10 Motorcycle Safe Incentive To |  | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$. 00 | \$286,000.00 | \$286,000.00 | \$.00 |
| 2010 M | torcycle Safety Total |  | \$. 00 | \$.00 | \$.00 | \$286,000.00 | \$286,000.00 | \$. 00 |
| 1906 Prohibit Racial Profiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K10-2008-13-01-01 | PROHIBIT RACIAL PROFILING | \$. 00 | \$80,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$130,000.00 | \$130,000.00 | \$130,000.00 |
|  | K10-2008-13-01-02 | PROHIBIT RACIAL PROFILING | \$.00 | \$164,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$513,000.00 | \$513,000.00 | \$513,000.00 |
| 1906 Prohibit Racial Profiling Total |  |  | \$.00 | \$244,000.00 | \$.00 | \$643,000.00 | \$643,000.00 | \$643,000.00 |
| NHTSA Total |  |  | \$. 00 | \$5,419,473.00 | \$.00 | \$7,272,800.00 | \$7,272,800.00 | \$3,699,000.00 |



FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:<br>Gabriela Vidal, Branch Manager<br>Colorado Department of Transportation<br>Safety and Traffic Engineering Branch<br>4201 E. Arkansas Ave,<br>Denver, Colorado 80222<br>Voice: (303) 757-9879, Voice<br>Fax: (303) 757 9219, Fax<br>Email: Gabriela.vidal@dot.state.co.us

## DISCLAIMER AND PRIVACY INFORMATION

This Publication includes documents intended for limited distribution and/or contains some personal information of private citizens. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the written permission of the State Traffic Records Advisory Council and the six state agencies of Colorado Department of Transportation, Colorado Department of Revenue, Colorado Department of Public Safety, Colorado Department of Human Services, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, and Colorado Judicial Branch.

SAFETY DOESN'T HAppen BY ACCIDENT


People Respect Integrity Customer Service Excellence
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