

6. Literature Cited

- 1
2
3 Abaza, H., R. Bisset, and B. Sadler. 2004. Environmental Impact Assessment and
4 Strategic Environmental Assessment: Towards and Integrated Approach. United Nations
5 Environment Programme. Geneva, Switzerland. 163 p.
6 <http://www.unep.ch/etb/publications/EnvImpAss/textONUBr.pdf> (accessed November
7 11, 2008).
8
9 Abbasi, S.A. and N. Abbasi. 2000. The likely adverse environmental impacts of
10 renewable energy sources. *Applied Energy* 65:121-144.
11
12 Andrulowicz, E. D. Napierska, and Z. Otremba. 2003. The environmental effects of the
13 installation and functioning of the submarine *SwePol Link* HVDC transmission line: a
14 case study of the Polish Marine Area of the Baltic Sea. *Journal of Sea Research* 49
15 (2003): 337-345.
16
17 Antizar-Ladislao, B. 2008. Environmental levels, toxicity, and human exposure to
18 tributyltin (TBT)-contaminated marine environment. A review. *Environment*
19 *International* 34 (2008):292-308.
20
21 AquaEnergy, Ltd. 2006. Makah Bay Offshore Wave Energy Pilot Project: FERC Docket
22 No. DI02-3-002: Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment. 179 pp.
23
24 Arenas, P. and M. Hall. 1992. The association of sea turtles and other pelagic fauna with
25 floating objects in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. P. 7-10 IN: M. Salmon and J.
26 Wyneken (compilers). *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle*
27 *Biology and Conservation*. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical
28 Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-302.
29 <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/species/turtlesymposium1991.pdf> (accessed
30 November 6, 2008).
31
32 ASR, Ltd. 2007. Review of Wave Hub Technical Studies: Impacts on Inshore Surfing
33 Beaches. Version 3. Final Report to South West of England Regional Development
34 Agency, Sutton Harbor, Plymouth, United Kingdom.
35 [http://www.sas.org.uk/pr/2007/docs07/Review-of-Wave-Hub-Technical-Studies-Apr-](http://www.sas.org.uk/pr/2007/docs07/Review-of-Wave-Hub-Technical-Studies-Apr-071.pdf)
36 [071.pdf](http://www.sas.org.uk/pr/2007/docs07/Review-of-Wave-Hub-Technical-Studies-Apr-071.pdf) (accessed April 29, 2007).
37
38 Bahaj, A.S., A.F. Molland, J.R. Chaplin, and W.M.J. Batten. 2007. Power and thrust
39 measurement of marine current turbines under various hydrodynamic flow conditions in a
40 cavitation tunnel and a towing tank. *Renewable Energy* 32:407-426.
41
42 Balayev, L.A. and N.N. Fursa. 1980. The behavior of ecologically different fish in
43 electric fields I. Threshold of first reaction in fish. *Journal of Ichthyology* 20(4): 147-
44 152.
45

1 Basov, B.M. 1999. Behavior of sterlet *Acipenser ruthenus* and Russian sturgeon *A.*
2 *gueldenstaedtii* in low-frequency electric fields. *Journal of Ichthyology* 39(9):782-787.
3

4 Basov, B.M. 2007. On electric fields of power lines and on their perception by
5 freshwater fish. *Journal of Ichthyology* 47(8):656-661.
6

7 Bedard, R. 2005. Hydrokinetic energy “lay of the land.” Proceedings of the
8 Hydrokinetic and Wave Energy Technologies Technical and Environmental Issues
9 Workshop. October 26-28, 2005. Washington, DC.
10 http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/hydrokinetic_wave/index.shtml (accessed October 7, 2008).
11

12 Betke, K., M. Schultz-von Glahn, and R. Matuschek. 2004. Underwater noise emissions
13 from offshore wind turbines. Proceedings of the Joint Congress of CFA/DAGA '04.
14 Strasbourg, France. <http://www.itap.de/daga04owea.pdf> (accessed June 18, 2008).
15

16 BioSonics. 2008. BioSonics Pioneers Environmental Monitoring for the Tidal Power
17 Industry. http://www.biosonicsinc.com/resources/monitoring_tidal_power.html
18 (accessed October 7, 2008).
19

20 Blyth, R.E., M.J. Kaiser, G. Edwards-Jones, and P.J.B. Hart. 2004. Implications of a
21 zoned fishery management system for marine benthic communities. *Journal of Applied*
22 *Ecology* 41:951-961.
23

24 Blyth-Skyrme, R.E., M.J. Kaiser, J.G. Hiddink, G. Edwards-Jones, and P.J.B. Hart. 2006.
25 Conservation benefits of temperate marine protected areas: variation among fish species.
26 *Conservation Biology* 20(3):811-820.
27

28 Bochert R. and M.I. Zettler. 2006. Effect of electromagnetic fields on marine organisms.
29 Chapter 14 in: *Offshore Wind Energy*. J. Koller, J. Koppel, and W. Peters (eds.).
30 Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
31

32 Boehlert, G.W., G.R. McMurray, and C.E. Tortorici (eds.). 2008. Ecological Effects of
33 Wave Energy Development in the Pacific Northwest. U.S. Department of Commerce,
34 NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-92. 174 p.
35

36 Boles, L.C. and K.J. Lohmann. 2003. True navigation and magnetic maps in spiny
37 lobsters. *Nature* 421:60-63.
38

39 Buigues, G. I. Zamora, A.J. Mazon, V. Valverde, and F.J. Perez. 2006. Sea energy
40 conversion: problems and possibilities. The International Conference on Renewable
41 Energies and Power Quality (ICREPQ '06). 8 p. ([http://www.icrepq.com/icrepq06/242-](http://www.icrepq.com/icrepq06/242-buigues.pdf)
42 [buigues.pdf](http://www.icrepq.com/icrepq06/242-buigues.pdf) (accessed June 4, 2008).
43

44 Bryden, I.G., T. Grinstead, and G.T. Melville. 2004. Assessing the potential of a simple
45 tidal channel to deliver useful energy. *Applied Ocean Research* 26:198-204.
46

1 Cada, G. F. 1990. A review of studies related to the effects of propeller-type turbine
2 passage on fish early life stages. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*
3 10(4):418-426.
4

5 Cada, G.F., C.C. Coutant, and R.R. Whitney. 1997. Development of Biological Criteria
6 for the Design of Advanced Hydropower Turbines. DOE/ID-10578. U.S. Department of
7 Energy, Idaho Operations Office, Idaho Falls, ID. 85 p.
8

9 Cada, G.F., J. Smith, and J. Busey. 2005. Use of pressure sensitive film to quantify
10 sources of injury to fish. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25(2):57-66.
11

12 Cada, G.F., J.M. Loar, L. Garrison, R.K. Fisher, and D. Neitzel. 2006. Efforts to reduce
13 mortality to hydroelectric turbine-passed fish: Locating and quantifying damaging shear
14 stresses. *Environmental Management* 37(6):898-906.
15

16 Cada, G.F., J. Ahlgrimm, M. Bahleda, T. Bigford, S. Damiani Stavrakas, D. Hall, R.
17 Moursund, and M. Sale. 2007. Potential impacts of hydrokinetic and wave energy
18 conversion technologies on aquatic environments. *Fisheries* 32(4):174-181.
19

20 Caltrans. 2001. Fisheries Impact Assessment. Pile Installation Demonstration Project.
21 San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Seismic Safety Project. PIDP EA 012081.
22 59 p.
23 [http://www.biomitigation.org/reports/files/PIDP_Fisheries_Impact_Assessment_0_1240.](http://www.biomitigation.org/reports/files/PIDP_Fisheries_Impact_Assessment_0_1240.pdf)
24 [pdf](http://www.biomitigation.org/reports/files/PIDP_Fisheries_Impact_Assessment_0_1240.pdf) (accessed November 5, 2008).
25

26 Carr, M.H. and M.A. Hixon. 1997. Artificial reefs: The importance of comparisons with
27 natural reefs. *Fisheries* 22(4):28-33.
28

29 Carstensen, J., O.D. Henriksen, and J. Teilmann. 2006. Impacts of offshore wind farm
30 construction on harbor porpoises: acoustic monitoring of echolocation activity using
31 porpoise detectors (T-PODSs). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 321:295-308.
32

33 Castro, J.J., J.A. Santiago, and A.T. Santana-Ortega. 2002. A general theory on fish
34 aggregation to floating objects: An alternative to the meeting point hypothesis. *Reviews*
35 *in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 11:255-277.
36

37 Caswell, H., M. Fujiwara, and S. Brault. 1999. Declining survival probability threatens
38 the North American right whale. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*.
39 96:3308-3313.
40

41 CMACS (Centre for Marine and Coastal Studies). 2003. A Baseline Assessment of
42 Electromagnetic Fields Generated by Offshore Windfarm Cables. COWRIE Report
43 EMF-01-2002 66. Liverpool, UK. <http://www.offshorewind.co.uk/> (accessed August 20,
44 2008).
45

1 CEQ (Council on Environmental Quality). 2003. Modernizing NEPA Implementation.
2 The NEPA Task Force Report to the Council on Environmental Quality. September
3 2003. <http://ceq.eh.doe.gov/ntf/> (accessed April 9, 2008).
4
5 CEQ (Council on Environmental Quality). 2007. Aligning National Environmental
6 Policy Act Processes with Environment Management Systems. A Guide for NEPA and
7 EMS Practitioners. April 2007. <http://ceq.eh.doe.gov/ntf/> (accessed April 9, 2008).
8
9 Collin, S.P. and D. Whitehead. 2004. The functional roles of passive electroreception in
10 non-electric fishes. *Animal Biology* 54(1):1-25.
11
12 Coutant, C.C. and G.F. Cada. 2005. What's the future of instream hydro? *Hydro Review*
13 XXIV(6):42-49.
14
15 Craig, C., S. Wyllie-Escheverria, E. Carrington, and D. Shafer. 2008. Short-term
16 sediment burial effects on the seagrass *Phyllospadix scouleri*. EMRPP Technical Notes
17 Collection (ERDC TN-EMRRP-EI-03). Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research
18 and Development Center. 10 p.
19
20 Davis, N., G.R. VanBlaricom, and P.K. Dayton. 1982. Man-made structures on marine
21 sediments: effects on adjacent benthic communities. *Marine Biology* 70:295-303.
22
23 Davison, A. and T. Mallows. 2005. Strangford Lough Marine Current Turbine
24 Environmental Statement (Non-Technical Summary). Project No. 9P5161. Royal
25 Haskoning Ltd., Edinburgh, UK.
26
27 de Jong, D.J., Z. de Jong, and J.P.M. Mulder. 1994. Changes in area, geomorphology,
28 and sediment nature of salt marshes in the Oosterschelde estuary (SW Netherlands) due
29 to tidal changes. *Hydrobiologia* 282/283:303-316.
30
31 Dempster, T. 2005. Temporal variability of pelagic fish assemblages around fish
32 aggregation devices: biological and physical influences. *Journal of Fish Biology*
33 66:1237-1260.
34
35 Dempster, T. and M. Taquet. 2004. Fish aggregation device (FAD) research: gaps in
36 current knowledge and future directions for ecological studies. *Reviews in Fish Biology*
37 and Fisheries 14:21-42.
38
39 Deng, D.L., T.J. Carlson, G.R. Ploskey, and M.C. Richmond. 2005. Evaluation of Blade-
40 Strike Models for Estimating the Biological Performance of Large Kaplan Turbines.
41 PNNL-15370. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA.
42
43 DOI (U.S. Department of the Interior). 2007. Adaptive Management. Order No. 3270.
44 March 9, 2007.
45

1 DOI (U.S. Department of the Interior). 2008. Adaptive Management Implementation
2 Policy. 522 DM 1. February 1, 2008.
3 http://elips.doi.gov/app_DM/act_getfiles.cfm?relnum=3786 (accessed 10/22/08).
4
5 DON (U.S. Department of the Navy). 2003. Environmental Assessment – Proposed
6 Wave Energy Technology Project. Marine Corps Base Hawaii, Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii.
7 Office of Naval Research.
8
9 Efroymsen, R.A., J.P. Nicolette, and G.W. Suter II. 2004. A framework for net
10 environmental benefit analysis for remediation or restoration of contaminated sites.
11 Environmental Management 34(3):315-331.
12
13 Elsam Engineering and Energi E2. 2005. The Danish Offshore Wind Farm
14 Demonstration Project: Horns Rev and Nysted Offshore Wind Farms. Environmental
15 Impact Assessment and Monitoring. Review Report 2004. 135 p.
16 <http://www.hornsrev.dk/Miljoeforhold/miljoerapporter/review%20rapport%202004%20v>
17 [ersion0.pdf](http://www.hornsrev.dk/Miljoeforhold/miljoerapporter/review%20rapport%202004%20v) (accessed August 19, 2008).
18
19 EMEC (European Marine Energy Centre). 2005. Environmental Impact Assessment
20 (EIA) Guidance for Developers at the European Marine Energy Centre. Revision 0,
21 March 2005. http://www.emec.org.uk/emec_documents.asp (accessed October 6, 2008).
22
23 Enger, P.S., L. Kristensen, and O. Sand. 1976. The perception of weak electric d.c.
24 currents by the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). Comparative Biochemistry and
25 Physiology 54A:101-103.
26
27 EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1998. Guidelines for Ecological Risk
28 Assessment. EPA/630/R-95/002F. April 1998. Washington, DC.
29 <http://www.epa.gov/portfolio/learn/ecorisk/html/resource/guidelines.pdf> (accessed
30 October 28, 2008).
31
32 FAA (Federal Aviation Administration). 2004. Environmental Management Systems and
33 NEPA Adaptive Management. May 2004.
34 <http://www.faa.gov/regulations%5Fpolicies/policy%5Fguidance/envir%5Fpolicy/>
35 (accessed April 9, 2008).
36
37 Faber Maunsell and Metoc. 2007. Scottish Marine SEA: Environmental Report Section
38 C. Chapter C9: Marine Mammals. Scottish Executive. 42 p. + figures.
39
40 Fast, A.W., F.M. D'Itri, D.K. Barclay, S.A. Katase, and C. Madenjian. 1990. Heavy
41 metal content of coho *Onchorhynchus kisutch* and Chinook salmon *O. tshawytscha*
42 reared in deep upwelled ocean waters in Hawaii. Journal of the World Aquaculture
43 Society 21(4):271-276.
44
45 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 2006. Makah Bay Offshore Energy
46 Pilot Project. FERC Docket No. DI02-3-002. Preliminary Draft Environmental

1 Assessment. FERC Office of Energy Projects, Washington, DC. 179 p.
2 http://www.finavera.com/files/Makah%20Bay%20PDEA_0.pdf (accessed October 3,
3 2008).
4
5 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 2008. Licensing Hydrokinetic Pilot
6 Projects. April 14, 2008. [http://www.ferc.gov/industries/hydropower/indus-
7 act/hydrokinetics.asp](http://www.ferc.gov/industries/hydropower/indus-act/hydrokinetics.asp) (accessed November 13, 2008).
8
9 Fernandez, A., J.F. Edwards, F. Rodriguez, A. Espinosa de los Monteros, P. Harraez, P.
10 Castro, J.R. Jaber, V. Martin, and M. Arbelo. 2005. "Gas and fat embolic syndrome"
11 involving a mass stranding of beaked whales (Family Ziphiidae) exposed to
12 anthropogenic sonar signals. *Veterinary Pathology* 42:446-457.
13
14 Forbes, V.E. and P. Calow. 2002. Applying weight-of-evidence on retrospective
15 ecological risk assessment when quantitative data are limited. *Human and Ecological*
16 *Risk Assessment* 8(7):1625-1639.
17
18 Fraenkel, P.L. 2006. Tidal current energy technologies. *Ibis* 148:145-151.
19
20 Fraenkel, P.L. 2007a. Marine current turbines: Pioneering the development of marine
21 kinetic energy converters. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part*
22 *A: Journal of Power and Energy*. 221(2):159-169.
23
24 Fraenkel, P.L. 2007b. Marine current turbines: Moving from experimental test rigs to a
25 commercial technology. 26th International Conference on Offshore Mechanics & Arctic
26 Engineering. ASME-OMAE07. 10 p.
27
28 FWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1993. Mitigation Policy. 501 FW 2. February 24,
29 1993. <http://www.fws.gov/policy/501fw2.html> (accessed 10/22/08).
30
31 FWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2005. Habitat Conservation Plans, Working
32 Together for Endangered Species. March 2005.
33 [http://www.fws.gov/endangered/pubs/HCPBrochure/HCPsWorkingTogether5-
34 2005web%20.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/endangered/pubs/HCPBrochure/HCPsWorkingTogether5-2005web%20.pdf) (accessed 10/21/08).
35
36 FWS/NOAA (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric
37 Administration). 2000a. Addendum to the HCP Handbook Questions and Answers. May
38 2000. http://www.fws.gov/endangered/pdfs/HCP/Final_Addendum_QandA.pdf (accessed
39 10/21/08).
40
41 FWS/NOAA (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric
42 Administration). 2000b. Availability of a Final Addendum to the Handbook for Habitat
43 Conservation Planning and Incidental Take Permitting Process; Notice. June 1, 2000. 65
44 FR 35241-35257. http://www.fws.gov/endangered/pdfs/HCP/final_notice.pdf
45 (accessed 10/21/08).
46

1 Gell, F.R. and C. M. Roberts. 2003. Benefits beyond boundaries: the fishery effects of
2 marine reserves. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 18(9):448-455.
3
4 Genzer, J. and K. Efimenko. 2006. Recent developments in superhydrophobic surfaces
5 and their relevance to marine fouling: a review. *Biofouling* 22(5):339-360.
6
7 Gill, A.B. 2005. Offshore renewable energy: ecological implications of generating
8 electricity in the coastal zone. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42:605-615.
9
10 Gill, A.B. and H. Taylor. 2002. The potential effects of electromagnetic fields generated
11 by cabling between offshore wind turbines upon elasmobranch fishes. Report to the
12 Countryside Council for Wales (CCW Contract Science Report No. 488). 60 p.
13
14 Gill, A.B., I. Gloyne-Phillips, K.J. Neal, and J.A. Kimber. 2005. The Potential Effects of
15 Electromagnetic Fields Generated by Sub-Sea Power Cables Associated with Offshore
16 Wind Farm Developments on Electrically and Magnetically Sensitive Marine Organisms
17 – A Review. COWRIE Report EM Field 2-06-2004. <http://www.offshorewind.co.uk/>
18 (accessed May 1, 2008).
19
20 Gordon, J., D. Thompson, D. Gillespie, M. Lonergan, S. Calderan, B. Jaffey, and V.
21 Todd. 2007. Assessment of the potential for acoustic deterrents to mitigate the impact on
22 marine mammals of underwater noise arising from the construction of offshore
23 windfarms. COWRIE DETER-01-2007. July 2007. 71 p.
24 <http://www.offshorewindfarms.co.uk/Pages/Publications/> (accessed August 7, 2008).
25
26 Gould, J.L. 1984. Magnetic field sensitivity in animals. *Annual Reviews in Physiology*
27 46:585-598.
28
29 Griffiths, J. 2005. European Marine Energy Centre. Pages 80-84 in *Proceedings of the*
30 *Hydrokinetic and Wave Energy Technologies Technical and Environmental Issues*
31 *Workshop*. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
32 http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/hydrokinetic_wave/index.shtml (accessed October 7, 2008).
33
34 Grossman, G.D., G.P. Jones, and W.J. Seaman, Jr. 1997. Do artificial reefs increase
35 regional fish production? A review of existing data. *Fisheries* 22(4):17-23.
36
37 Halpern, B.S., S.D. Gaines, and R.R. Warner. 2004. Confounding effects of the export of
38 production and the displacement of fishing effort from marine reserves. *Ecological*
39 *Applications* 14(4):1248-1256.
40
41 Hamilton, W.S. 1983. Preventing cavitation damage to hydraulic structures. Part one.
42 *Water Power and Dam Construction*. November, 1983. p. 48-53.
43
44 Harrison, J.T. 1987. The 40 MWe OTEC Plant at Kahe Point, Oahu, Hawaii: A Case
45 Study of Potential Biological Impacts. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS NOAA-
46 TM-NMFS-SWFC-68. Southwest Fisheries Center, Honolulu, HI. 105 p.

1
2 Hastings, M.C. and A.N. Popper. 2005. Effects of Sound on Fish. Report to California
3 Department of Transportation. January 28, 2005. 82 p.
4 http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/bio/files/Effects_of_Sound_on_Fish23Aug05.pdf
5 (accessed August 7, 2008).
6
7 Heydt, G.T. 1993. An assessment of ocean thermal energy conversion as an advanced
8 electric generation methodology. Proceedings of the Institute of Electrical and
9 Electronics Engineers 81(3):409-418.
10
11 Holdren, J.P., G. Morris, and I. Mintzer. 1980. Environmental aspects of renewable
12 energy sources. Annual Review of Energy 5:241-291.
13
14 IAIA (International Association for Impact Assessment). 2002. Strategic Environmental
15 Assessment Performance Criteria. Special Publication Series No. 1. 1 p.
16 <http://www.iaia.org/modx/assets/files/sp1.pdf> (accessed November 11, 2008).
17
18 Irwin, W.P. and K.J. Lohmann. 1996. Disruption of magnetic orientation in hatchling
19 loggerhead sea turtles by pulsed magnetic fields. Journal of Comparative Physiology A
20 191:475-480.
21
22 ISO (International Organization for Standardization). 2004. ISO 14001:2004.
23 Environmental Management Systems – Requirements with Guidance for Use.
24 http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_14000_essentials (accessed April 9, 2008).
25
26 Jennings, S., J.K. Pinnegar, N.V.C. Polunin, and K.J. Warr. Impacts of trawling
27 disturbance on the trophic structure of benthic invertebrate communities. Marine
28 Ecology Progress Series 213:127-142.
29
30 Johnsen, S. and K.J. Lohmann. 2005. The physics and neurobiology of
31 magnetoreception. Neuroscience 6:703-712.
32
33 Johnsen, S. and K.J. Lohmann. 2008. Magnetoreception in animals. Physics Today.
34 61(3):29-35.
35
36 Johnson, A., G. Salvador, J. Kenney, J. Robbins, S. Kraus, S. Landry, and P. Clapham.
37 2005. Fishing gear involved in entanglements of right and humpback whales. Marine
38 Mammal Science 21(4):635-645.
39
40 Kaiser, M.J., F.E. Spence, and P.J.B. Hart. 2000. Fishing-gear restrictions and
41 conservation of benthic habitat complexity. Conservation Biology 14(5):1512-1525.
42
43 Kaiser, M.J. 2005. Are marine protected areas a red herring or fisheries panacea.
44 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 62:1194-1199.
45

1 Kalmijn, A.T. 1982. Electric and magnetic field detection in elasmobranch fishes.
2 Science 218(4575):916-918.
3
4 Kalmijn, A.T. 2000. Detection and processing of electromagnetic and near-field acoustic
5 signals in elasmobranch fishes. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of
6 London B. 355:1135-1141.
7
8 Kingsford, M.J. 1999. Fish attraction devices (FADs) and experimental designs.
9 Scientia Marina 63(3-4):181-190.
10
11 Kirke, B.K. 2006. Developments in Ducted Water Turbines. Cyberiad. Adelaide,
12 Australia. 12 p. <http://www.cyberiad.net/tide.htm> (accessed June 5, 2008).
13
14 Kogan, I., C.K. Paull, L.A. Kuhnz, E.J. Burton, S. Von Thun, H.G. Greene, and J.P.
15 Barry. 2006. ATOC/Pioneer Seamount cable after 8 years on the seafloor: Observations,
16 environmental impact. Continental Shelf Research 26 (2006):771-787.
17
18 Koschinski, S., B.M. Kulik, O.D. Henriksen, N. Tregenza, G. Ellis, C. Jansen, and G.
19 Kathe. 2003. Behavioural reactions of free-swimming porpoises and seals to the noise of
20 a simulated 2 MW windpower generator. Marine Ecology Progress Series 265:263-273.
21
22 Kraus, S.D., M.W. Brown, H. Caswell, C.W. Clark, M. Fujiwara, P.K. Hamilton, R.D.
23 Kenney, A. R. Knowlton, S. Landry, C.A. Mayo, W.A. McLellan, M.J. Moore, D.P.
24 Nowacek, D.A. Pabst, A.J. Read, and R.M. Rolland. 2005. North Atlantic right whales in
25 crisis. Science 309:561-562.
26
27 Lane, N. 2007. Issues Affecting Tidal, Wave, and In-Stream Generation Projects.
28 Congressional Research Service Report to Congress, Order code RL33883, Updated
29 September 20, 2007.
30
31 Langhamer, O. Undated. Man-made offshore installations: Are marine colonizers a
32 problem or an advantage? Introductory Research Essay, Uppsala University. 21 p.
33 [http://www.el.angstrom.uu.se/forskningsprojekt/Islandsberg_pek/Man-](http://www.el.angstrom.uu.se/forskningsprojekt/Islandsberg_pek/Man-made%20offshore%20installations.pdf)
34 [made%20offshore%20installations.pdf](http://www.el.angstrom.uu.se/forskningsprojekt/Islandsberg_pek/Man-made%20offshore%20installations.pdf) (accessed August 11, 2008).
35
36 Langlois, T.J., M.J. Anderson, and R.C. Babcock. 2005. Reef-associated predators
37 influence adjacent soft-sediment communities. Ecology 86(6):1508-1519.
38
39 Layman, S.R., M.J. Kealy, and J.P. Nicolette. 2000. Use of a net environmental benefits
40 analysis approach in the alternative hydropower licensing process. Proceedings of
41 HydroVision 2000, HCI Publications, Inc., Kansas City, MO.
42
43 Lewis, L.J., J. Davenport, and T.C. Kelly. A study of the impact of a pipeline
44 construction on estuarine benthic invertebrate communities. Part 2. Recolonization by
45 benthic invertebrates after 1 year and response of estuarine birds. Estuarine, Coastal and
46 Shelf Sciences 57 (2003):201-208.

1
2 Lohmann, K.J. and C.M.F. Lohmann 1996. Detection of magnetic field intensity by sea
3 turtles. *Nature* 380:59-61.
4
5 Longmuir, C. and T. Lively. 2001. Bubble curtain systems help protect the marine
6 environment. *Pile Driver Magazine*. Summer 2001. p. 11-16.
7
8 Love, M.S., J. Caselle, and L. Snook. 1999. Fish assemblages on mussel mounds
9 surrounding seven oil platforms in the Santa Barbara Channel and Santa Maria Basin.
10 *Bulletin of Marine Science* 65(2):497-513.
11
12 Lugo-Fernandez, A. H.H. Roberts, and W.J. Wiseman, Jr. 1998. Tide effects on wave
13 attenuation and wave set-up on a Caribbean coral reef. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf*
14 *Science* 47:385-393.
15
16 Madsen, P.T. 2005. Marine mammals and noise: Problems with root mean square sound
17 pressure levels for transients. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America* 117(6):3952-
18 3957.
19
20 Marra, L.J. 1989. Sharkbite on the SL submarine lightwave cable system: History,
21 causes, and resolution. *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering* 14(3):230-237.
22
23 McCauley, R.D., J. Fewtrell, A.J. Duncan, C. Jenner, M-N. Jenner, J.D. Penrose, R.I.T.
24 Prince, A. Adhitya, J. Murdoch, and K. McCabe. 2000a. Marine Seismic Surveys:
25 Analysis and Propagation of Air-Gun Signals; and Effects of Air-Gun Exposure on
26 Humpback Whales, Sea Turtles, Fishes and Squid. Report R99-15. Centre for Marine
27 Science and Technology, Curtin University of Technology, Western Australia.
28
29 McCauley, R.D., J. Fewtrell, A.J. Duncan, C. Jenner, M-N. Jenner, J.D. Penrose, R.I.T.
30 Prince, A. Adhitya, J. Murdoch, and K. McCabe. 2000b. Marine seismic surveys: a study
31 of environmental implications. *Australian Petroleum Production Exploration Association*
32 *Journal* 2000:692-708.
33
34 McDonald, B.G., A.M.H. deBruyn, B.G. Wernick, L. Paterson, N. Pallerin, and P.M.
35 Chapman. 2007. Design and application of a transparent and scalable weight-of-evidence
36 framework: an example from Wabamun Lake, Alberta, Canada. *Integrated*
37 *Environmental Assessment and Management* 3(4):476-483.
38
39 Meyer, C.G., K.N. Holland, and Y.P. Papastamatiou. 2004. Sharks can detect changes in
40 the geomagnetic field. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 2(2):129-130.
41
42 Michel, J., H. Dunagan, C. Boring, E. Healy, W. Evans, J. Dean, A. McGillis, and J.Hain.
43 2007. Worldwide Synthesis and Analysis of Existing Information Regarding
44 Environmental Effects of Alternative Energy Uses on the Outer Continental Shelf. OCS
45 Report MMS 2007-038. Minerals Management Service, U.S. Department of the Interior,

1 Washington, DC. <http://www.mms.gov/offshore/AlternativeEnergy/Studies.htm>
2 (accessed April 25, 2008).
3
4 Michel, J. and E. Burkhard. 2007. Workshop to Identify Alternative Energy
5 Environmental Information Needs – Workshop Summary. OCS Report MMS 2007-057.
6 Minerals Management Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.
7 <http://www.mms.gov/offshore/AlternativeEnergy/Studies.htm> (accessed April 25, 2008).
8
9 Millar, D.L., H.C.M. Smith, and D.E. Reeve. 2007. Modelling analysis of the sensitivity
10 of shoreline change to a wave farm. *Ocean Engineering* 34(2007):884-901.
11
12 MMS (Minerals Management Service). 2007. Programmatic Environmental Impact
13 Statement for Alternative Energy Development and Production and Alternate Uses of
14 Facilities on the Outer Continental Shelf. Final EIS. MMS 2007-046. October 2007.
15 <http://ocsenergy.anl.gov/> (accessed August 13, 2008).
16
17 Moore, S.E. and J.T. Clarke. 2002. Potential impact of offshore human activities on gray
18 whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*). *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 4(1):19-
19 25.
20
21 MPA (U.S. Marine Protected Areas). 2008. The Marine Protected Areas Inventory.
22 <http://www.mpa.gov/> (accessed November 13, 2008).
23
24 Myers, E.P., D.E. Hoss, D.S. Peters, W.M. Matsumoto, M.P. Seki, R.N. Uchida, J.D.
25 Ditmars, and R.A. Paddock. 1986. The potential impact of ocean thermal energy
26 conversion (OTEC) on fisheries. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 40. U.S. Department of
27 Commerce, Seattle, WA. 33 pp.
28
29 Nedwell, J.R., B. Edwards, A.W.H. Turnpenny, and J. Gordon. 2004. Fish and Marine
30 Mammals Audiograms: A Summary of Available Information. Subacoustech Report ref:
31 534R0214 to Chevron Texaco Ltd., TotalFinaElf Exploration UK Plc, DSTL, DTI and
32 Shell U.K. Exploration and Production Ltd. 281 p.
33 <http://www.subacoustech.com/information/publications.shtml> (accessed May 30, 2008).
34
35 Nehls, G., K. Betke, S. Eckelmann, and M. Ros. 2007. Assessment and costs of potential
36 engineering solutions for the mitigation of the impacts of underwater noise arising from
37 the construction of offshore windfarms. COWRIE ENG-01-2007. October 2007. 47 p.
38 <http://www.offshorewindfarms.co.uk/Pages/Publications/> (accessed August 7, 2008).
39
40 Nelson, P.A. 2003. Marine fish assemblages associated with fish aggregating devices:
41 Effects of fish removal, FAD size, fouling communities, and prior recruits. *Fishery*
42 *Bulletin* 101(4):835-850.
43
44 Nelson, P.A., D. Behrens, J. Castle, G. Crawford, R.N. Gaddam, S.C. Hackett, J. Largier,
45 D.P. Lohse, K.L. Mills, P.T. Raimondi, M. Robart, W.J. Sydeman, S.A. Thompson, and
46 S. Woo. 2008. Developing Wave Energy in Coastal California: Potential Socio-

1 Economic and Environmental Effects. California Energy Commission, PIER Energy-
2 Related Environmental Research Program & California Ocean Protection Council CEC-
3 500-2008-083. http://www.resources.ca.gov/copc/docs/ca_wec_effects.pdf (accessed
4 November 19, 2008).
5
6 Nendza, M. 2007. Hazard assessment of silicone oils (polydimethylsiloxanes, PDMS)
7 used in antifouling-/foul-release-products in the marine environment. *Marine Pollution*
8 *Bulletin* 54:1190-1196.
9
10 Newcombe, C.P. and J.O.T. Jensen. 1996. Channel suspended sediment and fisheries: A
11 synthesis for quantitative assessment of risk and impact. *North American Journal of*
12 *Fisheries Management* 16(4): 693-727.
13
14 Nicholls-Lee, R.F. and S.R. Turnock. 2007. The use of computational fluid dynamics in
15 the optimisation of marine current turbines. *Proceedings of NuTTS 2007: 10th Numerical*
16 *Towing Tank Symposium, September 23-25, 2007, Hamburg, Germany.* 6 p.
17
18 NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2003. Taking Marine Mammals Incidental
19 to Conducting Oil and Gas Exploration Activities in the Gulf of Mexico. March 3, 2003.
20 *Federal Register* 68(41):9991-9996.
21
22 NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2008. Environmental
23 Sensitivity Index Mapping. NOAA's National Ocean Service, Office of Response and
24 Restoration. http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/book_shelf/827_ERD_ESI.pdf
25 (accessed November 13, 2008).
26
27 Nowacek, D.P., L.H. Thorne, D.W. Johnston, and P.L. Tyack. 2007. Responses of
28 cetaceans to anthropogenic noise. *Mammal Review* 37(2):81-115.
29
30 NRC (National Research Council). 2000. *Marine Mammals and Low-Frequency Sound.*
31 *Progress Since 1994.* National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
32
33 NRC (National Research Council). 2003. *Ocean Noise and Marine Mammals.* National
34 *Academies Press, Washington, DC.*
35
36 NRC (National Research Council). 2004. *Adaptive Management for Water Resources*
37 *Planning.* The National Academies Press. Washington, DC.
38
39 NRC (National Research Council). 2005. *Marine Mammal Populations and Ocean*
40 *Noise: Determining when Noise Causes Biologically Significant Effects.* National
41 *Academy Press, Washington, DC.*
42
43 Ogden, J. 2005. Resource concerns associated with the off-shore environment. Pages
44 51-53 in *Proceedings of the Hydrokinetic and Wave Energy Technologies Technical and*
45 *Environmental Issues Workshop.* U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
46 http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/hydrokinetic_wave/index.shtml (accessed October 7, 2008).

1
2 Ohman, M.C., P. Sigray, and H. Westerberg. 2007. Offshore windmills and the effects of
3 electromagnetic fields on fish. *Ambio* 36(8):630-633.

4
5 PFMC (Pacific Fisheries Management Council). 2008. June 17, 2008 letter from D.O.
6 McIsaac, Executive Director of PFMC to Director Randall Luthi, Minerals Management
7 Service, regarding Docket ID MMS-2008-OMM-0020.

8
9 Parvin, S.J., J.R. Nedwell, and E. Harland. 2007. [Lethal and Physical Injury of Marine](#)
10 [Mammals, and Requirements for Passive Acoustic Monitoring](#). Subacoustech Report
11 Reference: 565R0212, February 2007 to DTI, 1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET.
12 <http://www.subacoustech.com/information/publications.shtml> (accessed May 30, 2008).

13
14 Pelc, R. and R.M. Fujita. 2002. Renewable energy from the ocean. *Marine Policy*
15 26:471-479.

16
17 PFMC (Pacific Fisheries Management Council). 2007. Marine Reserves.
18 <http://www.pcouncil.org/reserves/reservesback.html> (accessed November 13, 2008).

19
20 Ploskey, G.R. and T.J. Carlson. 2004. Comparison of Blade-Strike Modeling Results
21 with Empirical Data. PNNL-14603. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland,
22 WA.

23
24 Popper, A.N. 2003. Effects of anthropogenic sound on fishes. *Fisheries* 28:24-31.

25
26 Prato, T. 2003. Adaptive management of large rivers with special reference to the
27 Missouri River. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA)*
28 39(4):935-946.

29
30 Resolve, Inc. 2005. Proceedings of the Hydrokinetic and Wave Energy Technologies
31 Technical and Environmental Issues Workshop. U.S. Department of Energy,
32 Washington, DC. http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/hydrokinetic_wave/index.shtml (accessed
33 October 7, 2008).

34
35 Roberts, H.H., P.A. Wilson, and A. Lugo-Fernandez. 1992. Biologic and geologic
36 responses to physical processes: examples from modern reef systems of the Caribbean-
37 Atlantic region. *Continental Shelf Research* 12(7/8):809-834.

38
39 Rodil, I.F. and M. Lastra. 2004. Environmental factors affecting benthic macrofauna
40 along a gradient of intermediate sandy beaches in northern Spain. *Estuarine, Coastal and*
41 *Shelf Science* 61:37-44.

42
43 Rodrigue, P.R. 1986. Cavitation pitting mitigation in hydraulic turbines. Volume 2:
44 cavitation review and assessment. EPRI AP-4719, Electric Power Research Institute,
45 Palo Alto, CA.

46

1 Rommel, S.A. Jr. and J.D. McCleave. 1972. Oceanic electric fields: perception by
2 American eels? *Science* 176:1233-1235.
3

4 Senecal, P., B. Goldsmith, S. Conover, B. Sadler, and K. Brown. 1999. Principles of
5 Environmental Impact Assessment Best Practice. 4 p.
6 http://www.iaia.org/modx/assets/files/Principles%20of%20IA_web.pdf (accessed
7 November 11, 2008).
8

9 Simmonds, M.P., S.J. Dolman, and L. Weilgart (eds.). 2003. Oceans of Noise. A Whale
10 and Dolphin Conservation Society Science Report. 164 p. www.wdcs.org (accessed
11 May 30, 2008).
12

13 Smith, H.C.M., D.L. Millar, and D.E. Reeve. 2007. Generalization of wave farm impact
14 assessment on inshore wave climate. Proceedings of the 7th European Wave and Tidal
15 Energy Conference, September 11-13, 2007, Porto, Portugal. 7 p.
16 [http://www.see.ed.ac.uk/comp/pcsoft/apps/shire/.n/Research-](http://www.see.ed.ac.uk/comp/pcsoft/apps/shire/.n/Research-Institutes/ies/Conf_proceedings/EWTEC_2007/papers/1060.pdf)
17 [Institutes/ies/Conf_proceedings/EWTEC_2007/papers/1060.pdf](http://www.see.ed.ac.uk/comp/pcsoft/apps/shire/.n/Research-Institutes/ies/Conf_proceedings/EWTEC_2007/papers/1060.pdf) (accessed October 6,
18 2008).
19

20 Smith, R. 2007. The Roosevelt Island Tidal Energy (RITE) Project Environmental
21 Assessment. Environmental Monitoring, Evaluation and Protection in New York:
22 Linking Science and Policy. Presentation at the New York State Energy Research and
23 Development Authority Conference, November 15-16, 2007.
24 http://www.nyserda.org/Programs/Environment/EMEP/conference_2007/Smith_Ron.pdf
25 (accessed October 7, 2008).
26

27 Southall, B.L., A.E. Bowles, W.T. Ellison, J.J. Finneran, R.L. Gentry, C.R. Greene, Jr.,
28 D. Kastak, D.R. Ketten, J.H. Miller, P.E. Nachtigall, W.J. Richardson, J.A. Thomas, and
29 P.L. Tyack. 2007. Marine mammal noise exposure criteria: initial scientific
30 recommendations. *Aquatic Mammals* 33(4):411-521.
31

32 Sundberg J. and O. Langhamer. 2005. Environmental questions related to point-absorbing
33 linear wave-generators: impact, effects and fouling. In: Proceeding of the 6th European
34 Wave and Tidal Energy Conference. 30th August–2nd September 2005. Glasgow,
35 Scotland.
36

37 Suter, G.W. II, S.B. Norton, and S.M. Cormier. 2002. A methodology for inferring the
38 causes of observed impairments in aquatic ecosystems. *Environmental Toxicology and*
39 *Chemistry* 21(6):1101-1111.
40

41 Swanson, T. 2005. Resource concerns associated with estuaries. Pages 45-49 in
42 Proceedings of the Hydrokinetic and Wave Energy Technologies Technical and
43 Environmental Issues Workshop. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
44 http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/hydrokinetic_wave/index.shtml (accessed October 7, 2008).
45

1 TCPA (Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts). 2008. Ocean Power. Chapter 20 in The
2 Energy Report. May, 2008. <http://www.window.state.tx.us/specialrpt/energy/> (accessed
3 June 4, 2008).
4
5 The Engineering Business Ltd. 2005. Stingray Tidal Stream Energy Device – Phase 3.
6 T/06/00230/00/REP URN 05/864. 110 p. + appendices.
7 <http://www.engb.com/downloads/Stingray%20Phase%203r.pdf> (accessed August 18,
8 2008).
9
10 Thomsen, F., K. Ludeman, R. Kafemann, and W. Piper. 2006. Effects of offshore wind
11 farm noise on marine mammals and fish. biola, Hamburg, Germany on behalf of
12 COWRIE, Ltd. www.offshorewind.uk (accessed May 12, 2008).
13
14 Tougaard, J., J. Carstensen, J. Teilmann, and N.I. Bech. 2005. Effects of the Nysted
15 Offshore Wind Farm on harbour porpoises. Annual status report for the T-POD
16 monitoring program. NERI Technical Report. 49 p.
17 http://uk.nystedhavmoellepark.dk/upload/pdf/marsvin_2004.pdf (accessed August 7,
18 2008).
19
20 Turnpenny, A.W.H., M.H. Davis, J.M. Fleming, and J.K. Davies. 1992. Experimental
21 studies relating to the passage of fish and shrimps through tidal power turbines. Marine
22 and Freshwater Biology Unit, National Power, Fawley, Southampton, Hampshire,
23 England.
24
25 USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 1995. Proceedings: 1995 Turbine Passage
26 Survival Workshop. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland, OR.
27 212 p. + appendices.
28
29 Viada, S.T., R.M. Hammer, R. Racca, D. Hannay, M.J. Thompson, B.J. Balcom, and
30 N.W. Phillips. 2008. Review of potential impacts to sea turtles from underwater
31 explosive removal of offshore structures. Environmental Impact Assessment Review
32 28(2008): 267-285.
33
34 Videler, J.J. and C.S. Wardle. 1991. Fish swimming stride by stride: speed limits and
35 endurance. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 1:23-40.
36
37 Wahlberg, M. and H. Westerberg. 2005. Hearing in fish and their reactions to sounds
38 from offshore wind farms. Marine Ecology Progress Series 288:295-309.
39
40 Walker, M.M., C.E. Diebel, C.V. Haugh, P.M. Pankhurst, J.C. Montgomery, and C.R.
41 Green. 1997. Structure and function of the vertebrate magnetic sense. Nature 390:371-
42 376.
43
44 Walker, M.M., T.P. Quinn, J.L. Kirschvink, and C. Groot. Production of single-domain
45 magnetite throughout life by sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*. Journal of
46 Experimental Biology 140:51-63.

1
2 Walters, C. 1986. Adaptive Management of Renewable Resources. Macmillan
3 Publishing Company, New York. 374 p.
4
5 Watermann, B.T., B. Daehne, S. Seivers, R. Dannenberg, J.C. Overbeke, J.W. Klijnstra,
6 and O. Heemken. 2005. Bioassays and selected chemical analysis of biocide-free
7 antifouling coatings. *Chemosphere* 60(2005):1530-1541.
8
9 Watten, W.M.J., A.S. Bahaj, A.F. Molland, and J.R. Chaplin. 2006. Hydrodynamics of
10 marine current turbines. *Renewable Energy* 31:249-256.
11
12 Wave Dragon Wales Ltd. 2007. Wave Dragon Pre-Commerical Wave Energy Device.
13 Volume 2, Environmental Statement. April, 2007. <http://www.wavedragon.co.uk/>
14 (accessed August 4, 2008).
15
16 Webster, D.C., B.J. Chisholm, and S.J. Stafslie. 2007. Mini-review: combinatorial
17 approaches for the design of novel coating systems. *Biofouling* 23(3/4):179-192.
18
19 Weilgart, L.S. 2007. The impacts of anthropogenic ocean noise on cetaceans and
20 implications for management. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 85:1091-1116.
21
22 Widdows, J. and M. Brinsley. 2002. Impact of biotic and abiotic processes on sediment
23 dynamics and the consequences to the structure and functioning of the intertidal zone.
24 *Journal of Sea Research* 48:143-156.
25
26 Wilber, D.H. and D.G. Clarke. 2001. Biological effects of suspended sediments: A
27 review of suspended sediment impacts on fish and shellfish with relation to dredging
28 activities in estuaries. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 21(4):855-875.
29
30 Wilber, D. H., W. Brostoff, D.G. Clarke, and G.L. Ray. 2005. Sedimentation: Potential
31 biological effects from dredging operations in estuarine and marine environments. DOER
32 Technical Notes Collection ERDC TN-DOER-E20. U.S. Army Engineer Research and
33 Development Center, Vicksburg, MS. [http://stinet.dtic.mil/cgi-](http://stinet.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA434926&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf)
34 [bin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA434926&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf](http://stinet.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA434926&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf)
35
36 Wilhelmsson, D., T. Malm, and M.C. Ohman. 2006. The influence of offshore
37 windpower on demersal fish. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 63:775-784.
38
39 Wilhelmsson, D. and T. Malm. In Press. Fouling assemblages on offshore wind power
40 plants and adjacent substrata. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* (2008).
41
42 Wilkens, L.A. and M.H. Hoffman. 2005. Behavior of animals with passive, low-
43 frequency electrosensory systems. Pages 229-263 In: *Electroreception*. T.H. Bullock,
44 C.D. Hopkins, A.N. Popper, and R.R. Fay (eds.). Springer Handbook of Auditory
45 Research Volume 21, Springer, New York.
46

1 Williams, H. 2005. Open center turbine. Pages 12-13 In: Proceedings of the
2 Hydrokinetic and Wave Energy Technologies Technical and Environmental Issues
3 Workshop. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
4 http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/hydrokinetic_wave/index.shtml (accessed October 7, 2008).
5
6 Williams, B.K., R.C. Szaro, and C.D. Shapiro. 2007. Adaptive Management: The U.S.
7 Department of the Interior Technical Guide. Adaptive Management Working Group,
8 U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.
9
10 Wilson, B., R.S. Batty, F. Daunt, and C. Carter. 2007. Collision Risks Between Marine
11 Renewable Energy Devices and Mammals, Fish and Diving Birds. Report to the Scottish
12 Executive. Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, Scotland. PA25 1QA. 110 p.
13
14 Wood, P.J. and P.D. Armitage. 1997. Biological effects of fine sediment in the lotic
15 environment. *Environmental Management* 21(2): 203-217.
16
17 Woytenek, W., X. Pei, and L.A. Wilkens. 2001. Paddlefish strike at artificial dipoles
18 simulating the weak electric fields of planktonic prey. *The Journal of Experimental*
19 *Biology* 204:1391-1399.
20
21 Wursig, B. C.R. Greene, Jr., and T.A. Jefferson. 2000. Development of an air bubble
22 curtain to reduce underwater noise of percussive piling. *Marine Environmental Research*
23 49:79-93.
24
25 Yebra, D.M., S. Kiil, and K. Dam-Johansen. 2004. Antifouling technology – past,
26 present and future steps towards efficient and environmentally friendly antifouling
27 coatings. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 50:75-104.
28
29 Yebra, D.M., S. Kiil, K. Dam-Johansen, and C.E. Weinell. 2006. Mathematical modeling
30 of tin-free chemically-active antifouling paint behavior. *AIChE Journal* 52(5):1926-
31 1940.
32