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## Report Highlights:

Israeli avocado production in MY 2002 totaled 48,000 MT of which 27,000 MT (56 percent) were exported mainly to West-Europe. Area planted with Avocados in June 2003 totaled 4,396 ha, but area is expected to expand by 1,000 hectares over the next few years due to increasing demand for avocados in Europe. Avocado production in MY 2003 is estimated with 55,000 tons of which 60 percent will be exported.
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## Executive Summary

The avocado harvest in MY 2002 totaled 48,000 MT, 42 percent less than the previous year. The decreased yield was caused by of unfavorable climatic conditions: A week long heat wave occurred just after the end of blooming period during the first week of June 2002, and this decreased the avocado yields.
Out of the total production in MY 2002, 27,000 MT were exported, ( 41.3 percent lower than the previous year) mainly to the E.U. and 21,000 MT were consumed fresh ( 43.2 percent less than the previous year). The forecast for production in MY 2003 can be expected to reach 55,000 MT.

Total area planted in June 2003 was estimated at 4,396 hectares (ha), of which 28 percent are of the "Hass" variety and 72 percent are of the "Green skins". The fruit bearing area totaled 4,010 ha ( 91.2 percent). Increasing demand for avocados in Europe and Israel, has stimulated new planting, mainly of the "Hass" variety. The total planted area is expected to expand by 1,000 hectares within the next 5-8 years. All the avocado plantations are irrigated by various types of drip-sprinklers.

The growers' revenue in MY 2002 increased due to the higher prices for avocado, resulting from the lack of avocado in Europe and Israel. Improved quality of the Israeli avocado, and favorable exchange rates of the Euro against the Israeli Shekel, resulted in a very good season for the export market. A Household expenditure survey in Israel for the year 2001 showed that 3.5 percent of the household expenditure for fresh fruit was spent on avocados and the monthly average household expenditure on avocados totaled $\$ 1.11$ during the winter. Another household expenditure survey in 1998 showed that during the winter 28.2 percent of the households consumed fresh avocados.
Annual average per capita consumption of fresh avocados in MY 2002 totaled 3.25 kg . However, the local market has the ability to consume 5 kg per capita, according to Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics.

## Production

## Total Production

Production in MY 2002 totaled 48 thousand metric tons (tmt), 35 tmt less than MY 2001(-42.2 percent). The reduction in total production mainly came as a result of a heat wave during June 2002, and some decrease in planted area.
In recent years, the average export percentage of the whole registered crop in Israel varied between 50 percent and 70 percent, while the rest is sold in the local market. On average, 15 percent of the local fresh avocado is sold in Gaza and the West Bank.
Rejects and fruit for industry rarely exceed 1-2 percent of the crop.
The forecast for MY 2003 is for a total crop of 55 thousand tons (tmt) of which as 33,000 tons are expected to be exported.

Table 1: Avocado Production, (Thousands metric tons)

| M ${ }^{1}$ | Total Production | Percent Change Compare to Previous Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 57 |  |
| 1993 | 42 | -26.3 |
| 1994 | 49 | 16.6 |
| 1995 | 57 | 16.3 |
| 1996 | 70 | 22.8 |
| 1997 | 64 | -8.6 |
| 1998 | 46 | -28.1 |
| 1999 | 77 | -67.4 |
| 2000 | 63 | -18.2 |
| 2001 | 83 | 31.7 |
| 2002 | 48 | -42.2 |
| 2003* | 55 | 14.6 |

Source: CBI, Agricultural Statistics Quarterlies.
*Forecast: Based on information collected from the Ministry of Agriculture (MOA) and the Fruit Marketing Board of Israel.

Table 2: Avocado Disposition - by Destination, Thousands metric tons

| Period | Total Export |  | Total Local Consumption** |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MY | Quantity |  | Percent | Quantity |
| 1993 | 29 | 69.0 | 13 | Percent |
| 1994 | 35 | 71.4 | 14 | 31.0 |
| 1995 | 49 | 86.0 | 8 | 28.6 |
| 1996 | 44 | 62.9 | 26 | 34.0 |
| 1997 | 35 | 54.7 | 26 | 45.3 |
| 1998 | 25 | 54.3 | 21 | 45.7 |
| 1999 | 46 | 59.7 | 31 | 40.3 |
| 2000 | 39 | 61.9 | 24 | 38.1 |
| 2001 | 46 | 55.4 | 37 | 44.6 |
| 2002 | 27 | 56.2 | 21 | 43.8 |
| $2003^{*}$ | 33 | 60.0 | 22 | 40.0 |

Source: CBI, Agricultural Statistics Quarterlies.
** Including processed and destroyed avocado. Including deliveries to Gaza and the West Bank.

[^0]Table 3: Avocado Distribution, by Month (Calendar year), Tons

| Months/ CY | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | 2002 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Quantity | Percent | Quantity | Percent | Quantity | Percent |
| January | 8,865 | 16.78 | 10,234 | 14.18 | 11,779 | 15.66 |
| February | 7,702 | 14.58 | 13,131 | 18.19 | 10,290 | 13.68 |
| March | 9,469 | 17.92 | 12,469 | 17.27 | 14,753 | 19.62 |
| April | 2,044 | 3.87 | 5,586 | 7.74 | 6,539 | 8.69 |
| May | 1,349 | 2.55 | 2,910 | 4.03 | 2,400 | 3.19 |
| June | 1,161 | 2.20 | 1,166 | 1.61 | 1,462 | 1.94 |
| July | 2,138 | 4.05 | 869 | 1.20 | 502 | 0.66 |
| August | 305 | 0.58 | 266 | 0.37 | 1,047 | 1.39 |
| September | 697 | 1.32 | 529 | 0.73 | 771 | 1.02 |
| October | 3,821 | 7.23 | 3,105 | 4.30 | 8,925 | 11.87 |
| November | 5,637 | 10.67 | 10,087 | 13.97 | 4,923 | 6.54 |
| December | 9,647 | 18.25 | 11,827 | 16.40 | 11,802 | 15.70 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{5 2 , 8 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Sourcen |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Fruit Board of Israel, Annual Report, Different Years.

## Planted Area

The planted area in CY 2003 totaled 4,396 ha of which 4,010 ha (91.2 percent) were fruit bearing. The new plantations are mostly of "Hass" variety, in response to the increasing demand in Europe and Israel. Post estimates that in the next 5 years 1,000 ha (mostly Hass) will be planted, and planted area will exceed 5,400 hectares. The planting process is delayed due to lack of avocado seedlings for the preparation of new plants.

Table 4: Total Area, Hectares and Percent

| CY | Hectare | \% Change-CY1990 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1990 |  | 9,260 |
| 2000 | 6,200 | -33.0 |
| 2003 | 4,396 | -52.5 |

Source: Fruit Board of Israel, Annual Report, Different Years.
Seventy five percent of the total planted area is cultivated by the kibbutz sector (cooperative agricultural settlements) in which production units vary between 30-100 ha each. The rest is cultivated by small family farms with an average production units of 1-3 ha.

Table 5: Planted Area by Sectors, CY 2003, Hectares and Percent

| Type of Sector | Hectare | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cooperative Agriculture <br> Settlements | 3,297 | 75 |
| Others | 1,099 | 25 |
| Total Area | $\mathbf{4 , 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Central Bureau of Statistics- Israel, Different Years.
About seventy percent of the total avocado planted area is grown along the coastal plain, mostly in northern and central parts of the country. About twenty percent are planted in the interior valleys: Huleh (in the north), the Valley of Jezre'el and the valley entrance to Jerusalem. The remaining 10 percent are planted in the southern parts of the country.

Table 6: Planted Area by Region, CY 2003, Ha and Percent

| Region | Hectare | \% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal Plain (Northern and | 3,078 | 70 |  |
| Central Parts) |  | 879 | 20 |
| Interior Valleys | 439 | 10 |  |
| Southern Region |  |  |  |

Source: Central Bureau of Statistics- Israel, Different Years.

## Planted Area by Variety

In response to growing demand from the markets, the Hass share out of the total will expand in the future on the account of the traditional varieties of the Ettinger and Fuerte.

Table 7: Planted Area by Variety, J une 2003

| Variety | Planted Area- <br> Fruit Bearing | Planted Area- <br> Non Fruit <br> Bearing | Total Planted <br> Area | \% of Total <br> Planted Area |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ettinger | $1,098.7$ | 74.4 | $1,173.1$ | 27 |
| Hass | $1,114.0$ | 121.8 | $1,235.8$ | 28 |
| Fuerte | 537.0 | 2.5 | 539.5 | 12 |
| Pinkerton | 455.7 | 83.7 | 539.4 | 12 |
| Ardith | 267.7 | 39.7 | 307.4 | 7 |
| Reed | 320.6 | 15.2 | 335.8 | 8 |
| Nabel | 105.0 | 0.0 | 105.0 | 2 |
| Others | 111.1 | 48.9 | 160.0 | 4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 0 0 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Fruit Board, Census Year, June 2003.

## Production Conditions

Production conditions during MY 2002 were unfavorable. A week - long heat wave during the first week of June 2002 occurred just after the end of blooming decreased the avocado yields. Serious and regular threat to avocado crops in Israel is the adverse weather conditions, which occur occasionally in April-J une, the season of flowering and fruit-set. That season is characterized by hot spells with extreme temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ which are accompanied by low relative humidity. The MY 2003 yield was reduced by a couple of hot days during May 2003 which damaged the blossom of the avocado.

## Production Problems

Planting of new Avocado orchards was delayed due to the shortage of Avocado seedlings, which were needed for the production of new plants.

## I rrigation

All the avocado orchards in Israel are grown under drip irrigation. In the future all the avocado area will be irrigated by recycled water. Avocado's water consumption in the coastal plain is around $8,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ ha per season compared to the approximately, 11,000-12,000 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha per season in the interior valleys.

## Yields

Average yield in MY 2002 totaled 12-13 tons per hectare, 37.5 percent lower than the previous season (20 tons).
It has been found that considerable differences in yields exist between orchards with the same cultivars in the same region. The average national yield is $12-13$ tons per hectare, but there are higher yields of the "Ettinger" and "Nabel" varieties - 18 tons per hectare, and "Hass" with 20 tons per hectare. The above can be explained only by significant differences in the quality of orchard care and management. It also indicates on the unused production potential in the existing avocado area. High yield orchards can be found in all regions. However, the coastal plain north of Acre (the Western Galilee) is known for its higher-thanaverage yields, resulting probably from factors such as favorable climate, suitable soils and relatively law salinity of irrigation water. However, those higher regional yields have decreased in the last decade, partly due to the increasing salinity of the local irrigation water, a phenomenon, which might have induced a decrease in the average yields of "Hass" more than other varieties (see table 8). The solution for the increasing salinity lies in the replacement of old orchards, planted on "Mexican" under sticks with trees on the "Bengal" under sticks.

Table 8: Yields by Avocado Varieties in Various Periods, in the "Western Galilee" ( North of I srael) - t/ ha

| Cultivars | Average of 17 Seasons <br> $\mathbf{1 9 7 0 / \mathbf { 1 - 1 9 8 6 / 7 }}$ | Average of 10 Seasons <br> $\mathbf{1 9 8 9 / 9 0 - 1 9 9 8 / 9}$ | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ettinger | 14.0 | 12.0 | -14.3 |
| Fuerte | 9.0 | 11.0 | 22.2 |
| Hass | 15.0 | 10.0 | -33.3 |
| Nabel | 15.0 | 14.5 | -3.3 |

Source: "Miluot". A regional packinghouse.
Table 8 represents an area of about 1,500 ha of orchards belonging to collective settlements (Kibbutzim). The data does not represent national averages.

## Organic Avocados

Out of the total production, 1,500 (3.1\%) tons were grown organically, 400 tons ( +36.4 percent) more than in MY 2001 ( 1,100 ton). Seventy percent of total organic avocado production is exported. The income (per ton) of organic avocado is $15-20$ percent higher than that of the regular avocado. However, the production costs of the organic avocado are higher than those of the regular produce. The forecast for production in MY 2003 is 2,500 tons.

## Avocado Production Value Compared to Other Agriculture Sectors

The production value of avocado is increasing: in CY 2002, production value was 32.7 higher than the previous year. The avocado's share out of total production value of the agricultural sector has also increased significantly in the recent years. In 1996, the production value totaled $\$ 44.0$ (nominal terms) million and represented 1.63 percent of the total agricultural production value. In CY 2002 production value increased to $\$ 76.3$ million (nominal terms) and represented 2.2 percent of the total agricultural production value (see table 9).

Table 9: Agriculture and Avocados Production Value, CY, \$ million-Nominal Terms,

| Agricultural Value | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total for Ag. Sector | 2694.4 | 2807.6 | 3036.8 | 3050.5 | 3077.0 | 3291.8 | 3442.6 |
| Of Which: Plantation <br> Industry | 622.9 | 579.7 | 621.6 | 655.6 | 653.3 | 666.0 | 717.3 |
| Of Which: Avocados | 44.0 | 41.3 | 36.6 | 45.6 | 50.0 | 57.5 | 76.3 |
| Avocado as <br> Percentage <br> of Total Plantation <br> Value | $7.06 \%$ | $7.12 \%$ | $5.89 \%$ | $6.95 \%$ | $7.65 \%$ | $8.63 \%$ | $10.63 \%$ |
| Avocado as <br> Percentage <br> of Total Agri. Value | $1.63 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ | $1.49 \%$ | $1.62 \%$ | $1.74 \%$ | $2.21 \%$ |

Source: CBI, Statistical Abstract of Israel, Different Years.
Table 10: Avocado Production Value, CY, \$ million-Real Terms (2000=100)

| CY | Avocado's Value | Percent Change Compare <br> to Previous Year |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 53.48 |  |
| 2001 | 60.89 | 13.86 |
| 2002 | 76.30 | 25.46 |

Source: Israel Farmer's Federation, 2002 Annual Report.

## R\&D

Main R\&D efforts are focused on improving the fertility of the orchards, the efficiency of irrigation and the use of recycled water for avocado production.
In the R\&D budget plan for 2004, the "Hass" budget will be increased, due to the demand for bigger fruits. The size of the fruit has a substantial contribution to the purchase decision by the consumer.
The forecast for R\&D budget in MY 2003 is estimated at 333.3 Thousand dollars.
Table 11: R\&D Avocado Budget, CY, \$ Thousands-Nominal Terms, and Percent

| Research <br> Budget | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Budget for <br> Fruit, excl. citrus | 488.3 | 618.4 | 760.3 | 974.6 | 1314.1 | 1487.3 | 1675.0 | 1770.3 |
| Of Which: <br> Avocados | 113.9 | 171.9 | 158.1 | 185.8 | 217.8 | 229.6 | 258.6 | 254.1 |
| Avocados as a <br> Percentage of <br> Total Fruit <br> Budget, excl. <br> citrus | $23.3 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |

Source: Fruit Board of Israel, Annual Report, Different Years.

## Production Policy

The Israeli government offers assistance with new investments in avocado, on the condition that the new plantations will only use recycled water. Near the Lebanese border the governmental grants for investments are 30 percent of the total approved investment, while in the interior parts of the country the grant stands on 20 percent of the total investment. In its new budget plan for CY 2004, the Ministry of Finance (MOF) included three policies concerning the agricultural sector, which if implemented could have a significant impact on the citrus industry:

1. To raise the price for water by 20 percent, in addition to the 40 percent increase during the last 3 years.
2. As part of its solution to the high rate of unemployment the Israeli government plans to impose a 20 percent "Employers Tax" on employers who hire foreign laborers. This tax was supposed to reach 40 percent within 3 years. The purpose of the "Employers Tax" is to push out foreign laborers and release jobs for Israelis. This measure if applied, could have a disastrous impact on the agricultural sector, which relies on Thai workers. In addition, series of small levies were added, in connection with the foreign workers.
3. In its desire to save expenditure by the farming sector and to increase the efficiency in the services provided to the growers, the GOI decided to unify the 4 existing production and marketing boards of Citrus, Flowers, Vegetables and Fruit boards into one board. According to GOI's plan the boards should become divisions in the unified board and be managed by 4 different "industry committees".

All three steps that were described above were opposed firmly by the Agriculture Administration, including the Ministry of Agriculture. Finally, it was decided that price for water will not be raised, the "Employers Tax" will be reduced to 10 percent and will remain at that level. Out of the three steps offered by the MOF, only the unification of the boards has been implemented as suggested by the Ministry of Finance. The additional expenditure to the agricultural sector has been reduced by 50 percent.

## Outlook for the medium term

The avocado's production potential can be expected to reach 70,000-80,000 MT per year. In the future, the total planted area is expected to exceed 5,400 hectares.

## Consumption

Consumption in MY 2002 totaled 48,000 tons, of which 27 thousand tons were exported and 21,000 tons were consumed fresh. In the past, as 1,000 tons of low quality avocados were delivered to the salad and the cosmetics industries. In recent years, when processors increased demand for quality but refused to pay higher prices, delivery to the processors stopped. Local consumption in MY 2002 was less than 3 kg per capita, while surveys indicate a potential per capita consumption of 5 Kg . Per capita consumption does not show a trend but is mainly a result of the total changing annual production rather than a matter of a trend (see table 12).

Table 12: Annual Average Local Consumption Per Capita, Fresh Avocado, Kg ( Not I nclude Gaza\&West Bank)

| MY | Consumption Per Capita |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1992 | 4.91 |
| 1993 | 2.07 |
| 1994 | 2.17 |
| 1995 | 1.21 |
| 1996 | 3.89 |
| 1997 | 3.79 |
| 1998 | 2.99 |
| 1999 | 4.30 |
| 2000 | 3.24 |
| 2001 | 4.88 |
| 2002 | 2.72 |
| $2003^{*}$ | 2.85 |
| Average of 12 MY 1992-2003 | $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ |
| Sourci Cenral Bureau |  |

Source: Central Bureau of Statistics- Israel, Different Years.

## Household Expenditure on Avocado

The avocado is a highly price sensitive product. According to the Household Expenditure Survey from 2001, the monthly average expenditure for avocado during the marketing season totaled $\$ 1.11$. During the period between 1986 and 2001, the monthly average household expenditure for avocado decreased by 24.5 percent (from $1.47 \$$ to $1.10 \$$ ). This is a result of a decline in real price for avocados and the decrease in per capita consumption. The avocado's share out of the average household expenditure for fresh fruit increased from almost 2.0 percent in 1986/87 to 3.5 percent, thirteen years later.

Chart 1: Annual Average Household expenditure ${ }^{2}$ on food, Fresh Fruit and Avocados, CY, Real Terms (October 2002=100)


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI.

[^1]Chart 2: Annual Average Household Expenditure in Israel, CY, Percent


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI.
Chart 3: Fresh Avocados Consumed by Households, Winter ${ }^{3}$, Percent


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI.
Chart 4: Avocado's Purchase Quantity, by Household Type, Per Month, Percent


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI.

[^2]
## Chart 5: Fresh Avocados, Household Purchase by Outlet Type, \% of Total Avocado's Expenditure



Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI
Chart 6: Annual Average Prices in Local Stores- Fresh Avocado, CY


Source: Price Statistic Monthly, Different Years, CBI.

## Trade

Avocado exports have decreased by 41.3 percent during last year: from 46 tmt in MY 2001 to 27 tmt in MY 2002. Forecast for Marketing Year 2003 is for a 22.2 percent increase or 33 tmt. Israel's share out of the total avocado imported to Europe in MY 2002 was 18.6 percent.

Higher price in local currency in Europe during MY 2002, as a result of lack of avocado in Europe, as well as higher quality of the fruit and favorable exchange rate of the Euro against the Israeli Shekel, resulted a good export season. The EU is still the main market for the Israeli avocado (97-98 percent of total export). Approximately 50 percent of the Israeli avocado is exported to France, but the market in Germany is shrinking. The average wholesale price for Israeli avocado in France, in MY 2002, was as 56 percent higher than in MY 2001 (Euro 2.0 VS Euro 1.27).

Chart 7: Total Exports of Fresh Avocado, by CY, Value (\$ Thousand)


Source: CBI, Foreign Trade Statistics, Different Years
Table 13: Exports of Fresh Avocado by Destination, CY, \$ Thousands and percent

|  | Value (\$ Thousands) |  |  |  | \% of Total export |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| France | 24768 | 17207 | 20452 | 20217 | 54.10 | 57.51 | 48.40 | 47.35 |
| Belgium | 958 | 1226 | 4393 | 2720 | 2.09 | 4.10 | 10.40 | 6.37 |
| Netherlands | 2811 | 1885 | 2574 | 4308 | 6.14 | 6.30 | 6.09 | 10.09 |
| Italy | 784 | 823 | 1064 | 1749 | 1.71 | 2.75 | 2.52 | 4.10 |
| Germany | 7164 | 3250 | 4666 | 3645 | 15.65 | 10.86 | 11.04 | 8.54 |
| Sweden | 1952 | 1292 | 1603 | 1596 | 4.26 | 4.32 | 3.79 | 3.74 |
| Denmark | 528 | 459 | 340 | 520 | 1.15 | 1.53 | 0.80 | 1.22 |
| Finland | 445 | 407 | 399 | 322 | 0.97 | 1.36 | 0.94 | 0.75 |
| U.K. | 3651 | 1729 | 4826 | 5644 | 7.97 | 5.78 | 11.42 | 13.22 |
| Other EU | 1176 | 583 | 730 | 796 | 2.57 | 1.95 | 1.73 | 1.86 |
| Total EU | $\mathbf{4 4 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 0 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 2 2}$ |
| Norway+ <br> Switzerland | 1414 | 995 | 852 | 747 | 3.09 | 3.33 | 2.02 | 1.75 |
| Total West <br> Europe | $\mathbf{4 5 6 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 8 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 9 7}$ |
| Poland | 0 | 2 | 134 | 202 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.47 |
| Other East <br> Europe | 70 | 35 | 18 | 232 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.54 |
| Total East <br> Europe | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ |
| Total Europe | $\mathbf{4 5 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 9}$ |
| U.S. | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 |
| Africa | 24 | 0 | 110 | 2 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 |
| Others | 30 | 28 | 83 | 3 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| Total Out of <br> Europe | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{4 5 7 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: CBI, Foreign Trade Statistics, Different Years.

Table 14: Exports of Israeli Fresh Avocado by Destination, CY, MT and percent

|  | MT |  |  |  | \% of Total export |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Destination | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| France | 25648 | 16623 | 22032 | 20217 | 55.81 | 57.27 | 49.44 | 46.58 |
| Belgium | 978 | 1180 | 4020 | 3279 | 2.13 | 4.07 | 9.02 | 7.56 |
| Netherlands | 2501 | 1701 | 2688 | 4047 | 5.44 | 5.86 | 6.03 | 9.32 |
| Italy | 692 | 810 | 1128 | 1317 | 1.51 | 2.79 | 2.53 | 3.03 |
| Germany | 6731 | 3239 | 5176 | 3673 | 14.65 | 11.16 | 11.61 | 8.46 |
| Sweden | 2272 | 1469 | 1948 | 1992 | 4.94 | 5.06 | 4.37 | 4.59 |
| Denmark | 456 | 479 | 370 | 589 | 0.99 | 1.65 | 0.83 | 1.36 |
| Finland | 530 | 475 | 524 | 388 | 1.15 | 1.64 | 1.18 | 0.89 |
| U.K. | 3793 | 1499 | 4697 | 6320 | 8.25 | 5.16 | 10.54 | 14.56 |
| Other EU | 919 | 513 | 736 | 712 | 2.00 | 1.77 | 1.65 | 1.64 |
| Total EU | $\mathbf{4 4 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 3 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0 0}$ |
| Norway+ <br> Switzerland | 1308 | 954 | 895 | 710 | 2.85 | 3.29 | 2.01 | 1.64 |
| Total West <br> Europe | $\mathbf{4 5 8 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 9 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 9 5}$ |
| Poland | 0 | 2 | 136 | 191 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.44 |
| Other East <br> Europe | 69 | 36 | 19 | 261 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.60 |
| Total East <br> Europe | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ |
| Total <br> Europe | $\mathbf{4 5 8 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 9}$ |
| U.S. | 8 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 |
| Africa | 19 | 0 | 99 | 2 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 |
| Others | 29 | 44 | 80 | 3 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.01 |
| Total Out of <br> Europe | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{4 5 9 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: CBI, Foreign Trade Statistics, Different Years.

Table 15: Price for I sraeli Fresh Avocado by Destination, CY, \$ per ton

| Destination | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 0.966 | 1.035 | 0.928 | 1.000 |
| Belgium | 0.980 | 1.039 | 1.093 | 0.830 |
| Netherlands | 1.124 | 1.108 | 0.958 | 1.064 |
| Italy | 1.133 | 1.016 | 0.943 | 1.328 |
| Germany | 1.064 | 1.003 | 0.901 | 0.992 |
| Sweden | 0.859 | 0.880 | 0.823 | 0.801 |
| Denmark | 1.158 | 0.958 | 0.919 | 0.883 |
| Finland | 0.840 | 0.857 | 0.761 | 0.830 |
| U.K. | 0.963 | 1.153 | 1.027 | 0.893 |
| Other EU | 1.280 | 1.136 | 0.992 | 1.118 |
| Total EU | 0.994 | 1.031 | 0.948 | 0.976 |
| Norway+ Switzerland | 1.081 | 1.043 | 0.952 | 1.052 |
| Total West Europe | 0.996 | 1.032 | 0.948 | 0.984 |
| Poland | - | 1.000 | 0.985 | 1.058 |
| Other East Europe | 1.014 | 0.972 | 0.947 | 0.889 |
| Total East Europe | 1.014 | 0.974 | 0.981 | 0.960 |
| Total Europe | 0.996 | 1.032 | 0.948 | 0.984 |
| U.S. | 0.875 | - | 0.667 | - |
| Africa | 1.263 | - | 1.111 | 1.000 |
| Others | 1.034 | 0.636 | 1.038 | 1.000 |
| Total Out of Europe | 1.089 | 0.636 | 1.041 | 1.000 |
| Total Average | 0.996 | 1.031 | 0.948 | 0.984 |


| Export Trade Matrix I srael Fresh Avocados |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | CY | Units: | Tons |
| Exports for: | 2001 |  | 2002 |
| U.S. |  | U.S. | 0 |
| Others |  | Others |  |
| France | 16,695 | France | 20,217 |
| Germany | 3,944 | Germany | 3,673 |
| Benelux | 7,907 | Belgium | 3,279 |
| U.K. | 5,470 | Netherlands | 4,047 |
| Switzerland | 553 | I taly | 1,317 |
| I taly | 1,475 | Sweden | 1,992 |
| Austria | 678 | Denmark | 589 |
| Spain | 100 | Finland | 388 |
| Scandinavia | 1,804 | U.K. | 6,320 |
|  |  | Poland | 191 |
| Total for Others | 38,626 | Total for Others | 42,013 |
| Others not Listed | 384 | Others not Listed | 1,388 |
| Grand Total | 39,010 | Grand Total | 43,401 |

## Marketing

The Israeli export activity during MY 2003 will likely be impacted by the following factors:

1) Import of avocados to Europe by all supplying countries, will return to its level two years ago, after a season (MY 2002) of shortage of avocado. Spain is expected to produce 65 tmt of fresh avocados, of which $40-45 \mathrm{tmt}$ ( 65 percent) will be exported, mainly to the EU. This represents a 100 percent growth over the previous year.
2) New advertising regulations in France (main buyer of Israeli avocados) prohibited prior notice on sales events, outside the markets and the stores (by newspapers, radio, TV). This will likely result in less sales turn-over. In MY 2002 the effectiveness of this regulation was low due to the shortage of fruit in the markets and the low necessity for sales events. MY 2003 will see harsh competition in the French markets from Spain, Kenya, South Africa, Mexico and Chile. In such situations effective sales events are crucial for a successful export season.

## I NFRASTRUCTURE

## Transportation

Two new fast ships will be operated by "Agrexco" for it's export needs during the export season of 2003. The new ships enables "Agrexco" a better control on the shipments and cut shipping time by $24-36$ hours.

| PSD TableIsraelFresh Avocados |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | Revised | 2002 | Estimate | 2003 | Forecast | UOM |
|  | USDA Official [Old] | Post Estimate [New] | USDA Official [Old] | Post Estimate [New] | USDA Official [OId] | Post Estimate [New] |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | MM/YYY |
| Area Planted | 5000 | 5000 | 5100 | 5100 | 0 | 4396 | (HECTARES) |
| Area Harvested | 4610 | 4610 | 4650 | 4650 | 0 | 4010 | (HECTARES) |
| Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Non-Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| TOTAL No. Of Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Production | 83000 | 83000 | 55000 | 48000 | 0 | 55000 | (1000 MT) |
| 1 mports | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 83000 | 83000 | 55000 | 48000 | 0 | 55000 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 46000 | 46000 | 32000 | 27000 | 0 | 33000 | (1000 MT) |
| Fresh Dom. Consumption | 35000 | 35000 | 20500 | 20000 | 0 | 20900 | (1000 MT) |
| Processing Consumption | 2000 | 2000 | 2500 | 1000 | 0 | 1100 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL DI STRI BUTI ON | 83000 | 83000 | 55000 | 48000 | 0 | 55000 | (1000 MT) |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ MY- September-August.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Exchange Rate, 1 USA Dollar $=4.45$ NIS.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Winter Periods- 1) $9 / 1 / 86-5 / 31 / 87$, 2) $9 / 1 / 92-5 / 31 / 93$, 3) $1 / 6 / 98-5 / 31 / 98$ and $9 / 1 / 98-$ 2/15/99.

