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## Introduction

Reconnection in nature is often not steady. Impulsive behavior is found in a wide variety of systems, e.g.

- sawtooth oscillations in tokamaks
- magnetotail substorms
- solar flares

Resistive MHD alone cannot explain those processes, we examine the effects of an extended Ohm's law including Hall term, electron inertia and electron pressure gradient effects.

## Overview

- Introduction
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- 2D extended MHD
- A non-staggered, conservative, $\nabla \cdot \vec{B}=0$ finite volume scheme for 3-D extended MHD in curvilinear coordinates
- Implicit time integration
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## Adaptive mesh refinement

## Example: 2D ideal MHD

| Efficiency of AMR |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Level | \# grids | \# grid points |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 134 | 34304 |
| 5 | 295 | 75520 |
| 6 | 554 | 141824 |
| 7 | 872 | 223232 |
| 8 | 1492 | 382208 |

Grid points in adaptive simulation:
857088

| Grid points in non-adaptive simulation: | 16777216 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Ratio | 0.05 |




## Load balancing



Domain $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$, subdivided into $8 \times 8$ grids with $8 \times 8$ grid points each.

## Load balancing



Hilbert-Peano space filling curve

Domain $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$, subdivided into $8 \times 8$ grids with $8 \times 8$ grid points each.

## Load balancing



Hilbert-Peano space filling curve, distributed to 4 processors

Domain $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$, subdivided into $8 \times 8$ grids with $8 \times 8$ grid points each.

## Load balancing



Distribution to 4 processors

Domain $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$, subdivided into $8 \times 8$ grids with $8 \times 8$ grid points each.

## Load balancing



Four levels of refinement

Domain $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$, subdivided into $8 \times 8$ grids with $8 \times 8$ grid points each.

## Load balancing



Four levels of refinement, corresponding Hilbert-Peano curve

Domain $2 \pi \times 2 \pi$, subdivided into $8 \times 8$ grids with $8 \times 8$ grid points each.

Discretizing Poisson's equation on an AMR hierarchy


Poisson's equation:

$$
\nabla^{2} \vec{x}=\vec{b}
$$

Remember $\nabla^{2} \vec{x}=\nabla \cdot \nabla \vec{x}$
$\Longrightarrow$ treat conservatively!

## Solve iteratively with multigrid / multilevel method

- scales like $O(N \log N) / O(N)$, where $N$ is the total number of grid points.

```
Solve()
{
    Calculate residual on level l_fine;
    MG(l_fine)
}
MG(1)
{
    if (l == 0)
        correction[l] = SolveCoarse(residual[l])
    else
        correction[l] = 0
        Smooth(correction[l])
        Restrict remaining residual to level l-1
        Calculate residual on unconvered grids on level l-1
        MG(1-1)
        correction[l] += Prolong(correction[l-1])
        Smooth(correction[l])
    solution[l] += correction[l]
}
```


## Multilevel Poisson solver



## Multilevel Poisson solver - zoom



## 2D extended MHD

Model equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} F+[\phi, F] & =\rho_{s}^{2}[U, \psi] & & \\
\partial_{t} U+[\phi, U] & =[J, \psi] & & \\
F & =\psi+d_{e}^{2} J & & \\
J & =-\nabla^{2} \psi & & \mathbf{B}=B_{0} \hat{\mathbf{z}}+\nabla \psi \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
U & =\nabla^{2} \phi & & \mathbf{v}=\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \nabla \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

with $[A, B]=\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \nabla A \times \nabla B$.

## Equilibrium

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{e q}=U_{e q}=0 \\
& \psi_{e q}=J_{e q}=\cos (x), \quad F_{e q}=\left(1+d_{e}^{2}\right) \cos (x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Resolving the current sheet


Nonlinear evolution of the tearing mode

flux $\psi$


## Analytical model:

Analytically, an island equation for the nonlinear evolution is derived:

$$
\frac{d^{2} \hat{w}}{d \hat{t}^{2}} \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(\hat{w}+c_{J} \hat{w}^{4}\right)
$$



The plot shows the Island half-width $\hat{w}$ as a function of time from numerical simulation (solid curve) and from the analytic equation with $c_{J}=0.1$ (dashed curve), for the case $\rho_{s}=0.2, d_{e}=0.1$, $k=0.5, \gamma_{L}=0.0024$.

## Scaling with $\rho_{s}$




Island width time evolution for different values of $\rho_{s}$ for $d_{e}=0.25$, $\epsilon=0.5$, time rescaled with linear growth rate (left), linear and nonlinear growth rates (right).

## Scaling with wavenumber $k$




Island width time evolution for different values of $\epsilon(=k)$ for $\rho_{s}=$ $0.75, d_{e}=0.25$, time rescaled with linear growth rate (left), linear and nonlinear growth rates (right).

## Four-Field Model

(Aydemir 1992)

## Model equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+[\phi, U]+\nabla_{\|} J=d_{i} \tau \nabla_{\perp} \cdot\left[p, \nabla_{\perp} \phi\right] \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}+\nabla_{\|}\left[\phi-(1+\tau) d_{i} p\right]=\eta J+d_{e}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial t}+\left[\phi-d_{i} \tau p, J\right]\right) \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}+[\phi, p]+\beta \nabla_{\|}\left(v+2 d_{i} J\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\tau) \nabla_{\|} p+\left[\phi-d_{i} \tau p, v\right]=0 \\
J=\nabla_{\perp}^{2}, U=\nabla_{\perp}^{2} \phi, \tau=T_{i} / T_{e} \\
\beta=\frac{n k T_{e}}{B_{T}^{2} / 2 \mu_{0}}, d_{i}=\frac{c / 2 \omega_{p i}}{a}, d_{e}=\frac{c / \omega_{p e}}{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Nonlinear evolution



We do indeed reproduce Aydemir's results and observe an explosive growth phase in the nonlinear phase, until the finite volume inside the $q=1$ surface of the island quenches furth growth.



## 3D Hall-MHD

A non-staggered, conservative, $\nabla \cdot \vec{B}=0$ finite volume scheme for $3-D$ extended $M H D$ in curvilinear coordinates (L. Chacon 2004)

## Model equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \cdot(\rho \vec{v}) \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \vec{v})}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \cdot\left[\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}-\vec{B} \vec{B}+\overleftrightarrow{I}\left(p+\frac{B^{2}}{2}\right)-\rho \nu \nabla \vec{v}\right] \\
\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \times \vec{E} ; \quad \vec{E}=-\vec{v} \times \vec{B}+\frac{d_{i}}{\rho}\left(\vec{J} \times \vec{B}-\nabla p_{e}\right)+\eta \vec{J} ; \quad \vec{J}=\nabla \times \vec{B} \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} & =-\vec{v} \cdot \nabla T-(\gamma-1) T \nabla \cdot \vec{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3D Hall-MHD

Given an arbitrary mapping $\vec{x}=\vec{x}(\vec{\xi})$, define contra- and convariant bases:

$$
\vec{i}=\nabla \xi_{i}, \quad \xrightarrow{i}=\frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial \xi_{i}}
$$

(and metric tensors $g^{i k}=\overrightarrow{J i} \cdot \vec{k}, g_{i k}=J \xrightarrow{J} \cdot \underset{\rightarrow}{k}$, Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}$, where $J$ is the Jacobian of the transformation) Normal vectors are irrotational $(\nabla \times \vec{i}=\overrightarrow{0})$, tangential vectors are solenoidal $(\nabla \cdot \xrightarrow{i}=0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t}(J \rho) & =-\partial_{i}\left(\rho v^{i}\right) \\
\partial_{t}\left(\rho v^{i}\right) & =-\partial_{n}\left(J^{-1} T^{n i}\right)+J^{-1} T^{n k} \Gamma_{n k}^{i} \\
\partial_{t} B^{i} & =-\epsilon_{i n k} \partial_{n} E_{k} \\
\partial_{t}(J T) & =-v^{i} \partial_{i} T-(\gamma-1) T \partial_{i} v^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial \xi_{i}, A^{i}, A_{i}$ contra-/covariant vector components and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{k i} & =\rho v^{k} v^{i}-B^{k} B^{i}+g^{k i}\left(J p+B_{n} B^{n} / 2\right)-\rho \nu[\nabla \vec{v}]^{k i} \\
E_{i} & =J^{-1} \epsilon_{i n k} v^{n} B^{k}-\eta j_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3D Hall-MHD - Spatial discretization

Finite volume discretization of terms in divergence form:

$$
\partial_{x}(\rho v)_{i}=\frac{(\rho v)_{i+1 / 2}-(\rho v)_{i-1 / 2}}{h}
$$

where the underlying quantities are given on a cell-centered grid.
Need to interpolate the flux to faces:

- $(\rho v)_{i+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(\rho v)_{i+1}+(\rho v)_{i}\right]$ (flux average)
- $(\rho v)_{i+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\rho_{i} v_{i+1}+\rho_{i+1} v_{i}\right]$ (ZIP)

Both schemes are 2nd order and conservative, but ZIP additionally satisfies a numerical chain rule property and is nonlinearly stable.

## Faraday's Law

Using the divergence as defined above and simple finite differences for the partial derivatives in the curl operator, this scheme preserves div B to round-off.

## 3D Hall-MHD - Tearing test

Cartesian grid


Sinusoidally distorted grid



## Implicit time integration

Whister waves, kinetic Alfven waves are dispersives waves, $\omega \sim k^{2}$

$$
\Longrightarrow \Delta t_{c f l} \sim(\Delta x)^{2}
$$

Solution: Implicit timestepping (Crank-Nicholson)

$$
\partial_{t} \vec{x}=R H S(\vec{x}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{\vec{x}^{n+1}-\vec{x}^{n}}{\Delta t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(R H S\left(\vec{x}^{n+1}\right)+\operatorname{RHS}\left(\vec{x}^{n}\right)\right)
$$

Need to solve nonlinear equation $F\left(\vec{x}^{n+1} ; \vec{x}^{n}, t\right)=0$.
Newton's method:

$$
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-F\left(x_{i}\right) / F^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

generalizes straight-forward to multi-dimensions, but need to solve linear problem to invert Jacobian.
Use Krylov accelerator for the linear problem, then we only need directional derivatives which can be approximated by

$$
F^{\prime}(\vec{x}) \vec{v} \approx \frac{F(\vec{x}+h \vec{v})-F(\vec{x})}{h}
$$

(matrix-free Newton-Krylov-Schwarz)
Implemented in PETSc library.

## Implicit time integration - Direct solvers

Unfortunately, matrices are ill-conditioned for large timesteps and preconditioning is a hard problem.

Solution: Use a direct solver (SuperLU). Only re-factorize if necessary. Works well for up to medium sized problems (e.g, matrix size $2 \cdot 10^{6}$ squared, number of nonzero elements $3 \cdot 10^{9}$ ).

Need to actually build the sparse matrix.

## Code generator

Example $\partial_{t} \rho=-\frac{1}{J} \partial_{i}\left(\rho u^{i}\right)$ :

```
v_rU = vector_zip(zBASE2(_RHO, _U));
t[RHO] = NEG(MUL(REC(_JAC(0,0,0)), vDIV(v_rU, 0,0,0)));
    FLD3(r,jx,jy,jz,RHO) =
(
    -((0.5*(RHO(x, jx+0, jy+0,jz+0)*
            (PO(x,jx+1,jy+0,jz+0) / RHO(x,jx+1,jy+0,jz+0)) +
            RHO(x,jx+1,jy+0,jz+0) *
            (PO(x,jx+0,jy+0,jz+0) / RHO(x,jx+0,jy+0,jz+0))) -
        0.5*(RHO(x,jx-1,jy+0,jz+0) *
            (PO(x,jx+0,jy+0,jz+0) / RHO(x,jx+0,jy+0,jz+0)) +
            RHO(x,jx+0,jy+0,jz+0) *
            (PO(x,jx-1,jy+0,jz+0) / RHO(x,jx-1,jy+0,jz+0)))) /
        (CRDOf(jx+1) - CRDOf(jx+0))
    +
```


## Code generation

Code generator advantages:

- Calculates derivatives symbolically.
- Generates optimal code for a given coordinate transformation, non-uniform grid, set of parameters, number of dimensions, ...
- Algorithms easier to maintain / change.
- Can easily be adapted to generate code in a different programming language.

Disadvantages:

- Good simplification of symbolic expressions is difficult to implement.
- Generated code (with back-substituted boundary conditions) gets very long and complex.


## Implicit time integration



## 3D Hall-MHD

## Model equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \cdot(\rho \vec{v}) \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \vec{v})}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \cdot\left[\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}-\vec{B} \vec{B}+\overleftrightarrow{I}\left(p+\frac{B^{2}}{2}\right)-\rho \nu \nabla \vec{v}\right] \\
\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \times \vec{E} ; \quad \vec{E}=-\vec{v} \times \vec{B}+\frac{d_{i}}{\rho}\left(\vec{J} \times \vec{B}-\nabla p_{e}\right)+\eta \vec{J} ; \quad \vec{J}=\nabla \times \vec{B} \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} & =-\vec{v} \cdot \nabla T-(\gamma-1) T \nabla \cdot \vec{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equilibrium

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{z_{0}}(r) & =\frac{J_{0}}{\left[1+\left(r / r_{c h}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}} \\
B_{z_{0}} & =1 / \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

The $q=1$ surface is located at $r=0.2$ for our choice of $J_{z}, r_{c h}$.

## Verification: Linear resistive tearing mode



To the left, we show a plot of the poloidal magnetic field (colored) and streamlines of the inplane plasma flows. The three-dimensional plot visualizes the $\mathrm{m}=1$ cylindrical tearing mode after the island has grown to macroscopic size.

## Nonlinear resistive evolution





Put in Hall effects: $d_{i}=0.05, \eta=10^{-6}$, and vary aspect ratio $\varepsilon$ :

| aspect ratio $\varepsilon$ | nonlinear behavior | $\gamma_{r}$ | $\gamma_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| .3 | slightly accelerated | .00850 | -.00188 |
| .2 | accelerated | .00929 | -.00093 |
| .1 | strongly accelerated | .00835 | -.00015 |
| (four-field) | strongly accelerated | .0106 | 0 |



$J$ at $t=450$
$J$ at $t=500$

## Nonlinear Hall-MHD accelerated growth




## Nonlinear Hall-MHD stabilization


parallel current $J_{\|}$

$J_{\| \mid}$overlaid with flow

## Nonlinear Hall-MHD stabilization



## Resistive MHD: Compressible vs. Incompressible models



Compressible vs. incompressible evolution, linear phase:


Compressible vs. incompressible evolution, nonlinear phase:


## Resistive MHD: Flux pile-up



## Conclusion

- Numerically exploring near-singular processes needs very high spatial and temporal resolution close to the singular time $\Longrightarrow$ AMR methods are an ideal tool.
- Implicit methods are powerful to integrate systems where the time scale of interest is much slower than that of the fastest waves.
- In 2D MHD, we clearly observed exponential growth.
- In 2D extended MHD models (Porcelli, Aydemir) we reproduced an explosive growth phase in the nonlinear evolution.
- In 3D compressible Hall-MHD, the explosive growth found in reduced models has been reproduced for certain parameters, however we also observe nonlinear stabilization in other regimes. We also find accelerated growth in compressible MHD even without two-fluid effects, whereas incompressible MHD does not show this behavior.
- More work needs to be done to gain a better understanding of the underlying reconnection physics.

