FOREWORD

INTRODUCTION

2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE CAS N[•]: 2867-47-2

SIDS Initial Assessment Report for SIAM 14 (Paris, 26-28 March 2002)

Chemical Name: 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate

CAS No: 2867-47-2

Sponsor Country: Japan

National SIDS Contact Point in Sponsor Country:

Mr. Koji Tomita Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Economic Affairs Bureau, Second International Organizations Division.

 Industry:
 Mr. Kazuhiro Sugamura

 Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.
 E-mail: ksugamura@mgc.co.jp

History: This substance was sponsored by Japan under the ICCA Initiative and was submitted for first discussion at SIAM 14.

Peer Review Process:

The industry consortium collected new data and prepared the updated IUCLID, and draft versions of the SIAR and SIAP. Japanese government peer-reviewed the documents, audited selected studies.

- **Testing:** No testing (X) Testing ()
- **Comments:** The industry contact point is Mr. Kazuhiro Sugamura, Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. acting on behalf of MADAME (2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) Consortium (Consortium members: Atofina, Ciba Specialty Chemicals Inc., Degussa / Roehm GmbH & Co., Mitsubishi Rayon Co., Ltd., Mitsui Chemicals, Inc., Sanyo Chemical Industries, Ltd., SNF S.A.).

Deadline for circulation: 1 Feb. 2002

Date of circulation: 1 Feb. 2002

SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT PROFILE

CAS No.	2867-47-2		
Chemical Name	2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate		
Structural Formula	$CH_{2} = C-C-C-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-N-CH_{3}$ O CH_{3}		

RECOMMENDATIONS

The chemical is currently of low priority for further work

SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS OF THE SIAR

Human Health

2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is supposedly metabolized to methacrylic acid and N,N-dimethylaminoethanol. Then the methacrylic acid may form an acetyl-CoA derivative, which then enters the normal lipid metabolism. The oral LD_{50} in rats is greater than 2000 mg/kg. This chemical is considered to be severely irritating or corrosive to skin and eye. This chemical does not have a sensitizing potential.

The OECD combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test [OECD TG 422] was conducted in rats at doses of 0, 40, 200 and 1000 mg/kg/day administered by gavage. For both sexes, a clear systemic toxicity was demonstrated only at 1000 mg/kg/day. Late onset of twitching, chronic convulsion and the suppression of body weight gain were observed. Three females out of 12 died. Histopathological examination revealed degeneration of nerve fibers in the brain and spinal cord, and hyperplasia of the mucosa, edema and inflammatory cell infiltration in the forestomach in both sexes. Increases in organ weights without histopathological changes were observed in the kidneys of both sexes, the livers of males, and the adrenals of females in this group. For the males in this group, BUN was slightly increased and anemic changes such as decreases in reticulocyte ratio were observed. In males from the 200 mg/kg/day group, only slight anemic changes such as those observed at 1,000 mg/kg/day were seen, but the severity was considered toxicologically insignificant. The NOAEL for the repeat dose toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day.

A repeated inhalation study for 3 weeks revealed a NOEL of 100 ppm. Nose and eye irritation was observed at 250 ppm (LOEL).

Two independent gene mutation tests in bacteria [OECD TG 471 & 472] resulted in negative results except for a positive result in *S. typhimurium* TA 1537 at 2500 ug without metabolic activation in one study. A HPRT study on Chinese hamster cultured cells [OECD TG 476] was negative. A chromosomal aberration test *in vitro* [TG 473] and a human lymphocyte test were positive with and without metabolic activation.

However two in vivo studies [micronucleus assay, OECD TG 474] by i.p. or gavage respectively, gave

negative results. Based on the weight of evidence, it is concluded that this chemical is not genotoxic *in vivo*.

In the above-described OECD combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test [OECD TG 422], there was no sign of reproductive toxicity up to 1000 mg/kg/day for males. Three females in the 1,000 mg/kg/day group, however, lost all of their pups in the lactation period. As to the developmental effect, the pups born from the females in the 1000 mg/kg/day group showed a lower body weight although no external abnormalities were observed. The NOAEL of the reproductive/developmental toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day for both parents and offspring.

Environment

Abiotically 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is hydrolyzed at pH7 and at pH 9 with a half-life of 4.54 days and 3.31 hours, respectively, whereas it is stable at pH 4. This chemical is readily biodegradable ([OECD TG 301E]; BOD: 95.3 % after 28 days), and has low bioaccumulation potential based on its log Kow of 1.13.

This chemical has been tested in a limited number of aquatic species including algae, daphnids and fish. The toxicity results (growth inhibition: [OECD TG 201]) for algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*) were 41.6 mg/L (72 hEC₅₀) and 18 mg/L (72 h-NOEC). The acute (immobility: [OECD TG 202]) and chronic (reproduction: [OECD TG 211]) toxicity results for daphnids are 33 mg/L (48h-EC₅₀), 16.6 mg/L (21d-LC₅₀), 7.86 mg/L (21d-EC₅₀), and 4.35 mg/L (21d-NOEC), respectively. The acute LC₅₀ (96 hr: [OECD TG 203]) and prolonged LC₅₀ (14 d: [OECD TG 204]) for fish (Medaka; *Oryzias latipes*) were 19.1 mg/L and 5.26 mg/L, respectively. Although 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate can be hydrolyzed in these test conditions to methacrylic acid and dimethylaminoethanol, these results are, however, consistent with the aquatic toxicity of the metabolites reported in the respective SIARs issued in the past.

Exposure

The production volume of 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate was estimated at approximately 8,000 t/year in Japan and 48,000 t/year world-wide in 2000. 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is produced in a fully-closed system. Most of 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is industrially converted to the quaternary ammonium salt and polymerized for flocculant use in water treatment. This chemical is also used as a component monomer of copolymers in the polymer industry, and the products are used for paper agents, coatings and others. The workplace exposures during those application processes are controlled. Fugacity modeling (Mackay level III) predicts that 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate released to water unlikely will migrate into other compartments. 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is readily biodegradable and not persistent in the water phase. When this chemical is released to air, 72 % stays in air and 28 % is transported into water and soil.

During production and use of this substance occupational exposure is possible by inhalation of vapor. Consumer exposure is controlled because it is limited to the non-dispersive use.

Migration of residual monomer from the polymer matrix is expected to be low. Nevertheless, the possibility of exposure cannot be excluded.

NATURE OF FURTHER WORK RECOMMENDED

The chemical is not a candidate for further work considering the low bioaccumulation potential, ready biodegradability and low aquatic toxicity.

CAS NO: 2867-47-2		SPECIES PROTOCOL		RESULTS
PHYSIC	CAL-CHEMICAL			
2.1	Melting Point		Unknown	- 30 °C
2.2	Boiling Point		Unknown	186 °C
2.3	Density		Unknown	$0.934 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ at } 20 ^{\circ}\text{C}$
2.4	Vapour Pressure		Calculated	1.10 hPa at 25 ℃
2.5	Partition Coefficient (Log Pow)		OECD TG 107	1.13 at 25 °C
2.6 A.	Water Solubility		Unknown	106.1 g/L at 25 °C
B.	рН			No data available
	рКа		OECD 112	8.44 at 25 °C
2.12	Oxidation: Reduction Potential			No data available
ENVIR(PATHW	ONMENTAL FATE AND			
3.1.1	Photodegradation		Calculated	$T_{1/2} = 4 \text{ hrs}$
3.1.2	Stability in Water		OECD TG 111	Stable at pH4 at 50 °C
5.1.2	Salonity in Water			T $_{1/2}$ = 4.54 days at pH7 at 25 °C
				$T_{1/2}$ = 3.31 hrs at pH9 at 25 °C
3.2	Monitoring Data			No study
3.3	Transport and Distribution		Calculated (Level III Fugacity Model)	$\begin{array}{cccc} (Release 100\% \ to \ air) & \\ Air & Water & Soil & Sediment \\ 72.1\% & 13.6\% & 14.2\% & 0.0\% \\ (Release 100\% \ to \ water) & \\ Air & Water & Soil & Sediment \\ 0.0\% & 99.7\% & 0.0\% & 0.2\% \\ (Release 100\% \ to \ soil) & \\ Air & Water & Soil & Sediment \\ 0.1\% & 5.7\% & 94.2\% & 0.0\% \end{array}$
3.5	Biodegradation		OECD 301E	Readily biodegradable BOD: 95.3% after 28days
3.7	Bioaccumulation			No data available
ЕСОТО	XICOLOGY			
4.1	Acute/Prolonged Toxicity	Oryzias latipes	OECD TG 203	$LC_{50}(96hr) = 19.1 \text{ mg/L}$
	to Fish		OECD TG 204	$LC_{50}(14d) = 5.26 \text{ mg/L}$ $LC_0(14d) = 1.36 \text{ mg/L}$
4.2	Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (Daphnia)	Daphnia magna	OECD TG 202	EC ₅₀ (48hr,Imm)=33 mg/L
4.3	Toxicity to Aquatic Plants e.g. Algae	Selenastrum capricornutum	OECD TG 201	$EC_{s0}(72hr,Bms) = 41.6 mg/L$ $NOEC(72hr,Bms) = 18 mg/L$
4.5.2	Chronic Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (<i>Daphnia</i>)	Daphnia magna	OECD TG 211	$EC_{50}(21d,Rep)=7.86 \text{ mg/L}$ NOEC(21d,Rep)=4.35 mg/L
4.6.1	Toxicity to Soil Dwelling Organisms			No data available
4.6.2	Toxicity to Terrestrial Plants			No data available
4.6.3	Toxicity to Other Non - Mammalian Terrestrial Species (Including Birds)			

FULL SIDS SUMMARY

TOXIC	OLOGY			
5.1.1	Acute Oral Toxicity	Rat	OECD TG 401	LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg/kg
5.1.2	Acute Inhalation	Mouse	Other	$LC_{50} (2 h) = 1.8 mg/L (280 ppm)$
	Toxicity	Rat	Other	LC_{50} (4 h) = 0.62 mg/L
5.1.3	Acute Dermal Toxicity	Rat	Other	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg}$
		Rabbit		LD ₅₀ > 3000 mg/kg
5.2.1	Skin Irritation	Rabbit	Other	irritating
5.2.2	Eye Irritation	Rabbit	Other	Corrosive
5.3	Skin Sensitisation	Guinea pig	OECD TG 406	Not sensitizing
5.4	Repeated Dose	Rat	OECD TG 422	NOAEL = 200 mg/kg/day (both sexes)
	Toxicity			
5.5	Genetic Toxicity in vitro			
Α.	Bacterial Test	S.typhimurium	OECD TG 471 &	Positive (for only TA 1537)
	(Gene mutation)	E. coli	472	
		S.typhimurium	OECD TG 471	Negative
B.	Non-Bacterial in vitro	CHL cell	OECD TG 473	Positive
	Test (Chromosomal			
	aberrations)			
	Non-Bacterial in vitro	Human	Other	Positive
	Test (Chromosomal	lympocytes		
	aberrations)			
	HPRT Assay	V79 Chinese	OECD TG 476	Negative
		Hamster cell		
5.6	Genetic Toxicity in	Mouse (p.o)	OECD TG 474	Negative
	vivo (Micronucleus	Mouse (i. p)	OECD TG 474	Negative
	Test)			
5.7	Carcinogenicity			No data available
5.8	Toxicity to	Rat	OECD TG 422	NOAEL Reproductive/Developmental=
	Reproduction			200 mg/kg/day.
5.9	Developmental			
	Toxicity/			No teratogenicity
	Teratogenicity			
5.11	Experience with			No data available
	Human Exposure			

SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (SIAR)

1. **IDENTITY**

IUPAC name: 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate

CAS Number: 2867-47-2

Molecular Formula: C₈H₁₅ NO₂

Structural Formula:

$$CH_{2} = C - C - C - CH_{2} - CH_{2} - N - CH_{3}$$

$$CH_{2} = C - C - CH_{2} - CH_{2} - N - CH_{3}$$

Synonyms:

MADAME
DAM
2-(Dimethylamino) ethanolmethacrylate
2-(N, N-Dimethylamino) ethylmethacrylate
2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate
2-Dimethylaminoethyl-2-methyl-propenoate
N, N-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate
beta- (N, N-Dimethylaminoethyl) methacrytlate
Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate
DMAEMA
Ethanol, 2-(dimethylamino) -, methacrylate
Methacrylic acid, 2-(dimethylamino) ethyl ester
2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl, 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl ester

Purity: > 99.0 %

Physical and chemical properties:

-30 °C
186 °C
$0.934 \text{ g/cm}^3 (20 ^{\circ}\text{C})$
1.10 hPa (25 °C)
1.13 (25 °C)
106.1 g/L (25 °C)

2. GENERAL INFORMATION ON EXPOSURE

The production volume of MADAME was estimated as approximately 8,000 t/year in Japan and 48,000 t/year world-wide in 2000. Most of MADAME is industrially converted to the quaternary ammonium salt and polymerized for flocculant use in water treatment. Also, this chemical is used as a component monomer of copolymers in polymer industry, and he products are used for paper agents, coatings and others. Thus this chemical is not contained in consumer products in Japan. From uses and properties of this substance, estimated exposures are considered for the following 3 scenarios.

- (1) Occupational exposure scenario
- (2) Consumer exposure scenario
- (3) Environmental exposure scenario

Migration of residual monomer from the polymer matrix is expected to be low. Nevertheless, the possibility of exposures cannot be excluded.

2.1 Environmental Fate

The Mackay level III fugacity model was employed to estimate the environmental distribution of MADAME in air, water, soil and sediment. This was considered the key study and the results are shown below.

	Release: 100% to air	Release: 100% to water	Release: 100% to soil
Air	72.1 %	0.0%	0.1 %
Water	13.6 %	99.7 %	5.7 %
Soil	14.2 %	0.0%	94.2 %
Sediment	0.0 %	0.2 %	0.0 %

Table 1. Estimated Distribution Under Three Emission Scenarios

The results show that if MADAME is released into water, 99.7% stays in water, and it is unlikely to migrate into other compartments. When MADAME is released to air, 72.1% stays in air and, 13.6% is transported to water and 14.2% is transported to soil. However the calculation may include some uncertainty because of the weak dissociating property of the chemical.

Abiotically this chemical is stable to hydrolysis in water at pH 4 at 50 °C, whereas it is hydrolyzed at pH 7 and pH 9 at 25 °C with a half-life of 4.54 days and 3.31 hours, respectively [CERI Japan, 1997]. MADAME is hydrolysed to methacrylic acid (MAA) and 2-dimethylaminoethanol (DMAE).

MADAME is readily biodegradable (OECD 301E: BOD = 95.3% after 28days) [Roehm 1988a]. Both MAA and DMAE, produced by hydrolysis of MADAME, are also readily biodegradable. (MAA; BOD = 89 - 94% after 14days [CERI Japan, 1993], DMAE; BOD = 60.5 % after 14 days [CERI Japan, 1976])

MADAME is considered to have a low bioaccumulative potential based on its log Pow (1.13 at 25 $^{\circ}$ C) [CERI Japan, 1997].

If this chemical is released to air, indirect photodegradation is predicted to occur. The half-life is estimated to be 4 hours in the atmosphere.

2.2 Human Exposure

2.2.1 Occupational Exposure

Occupational exposures at production sites may occur by the inhalation route and dermal route.

The atmospheric concentration was measured at one production site [Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (JISHA), 2000]. The monitored data are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Work	place monitoring	data for MADAME

Operation	Monitoring Data	Frequeny	Working	Maximum
			time	EHE
		time/day	hrs/time	mg/kg/day
Drum Filling work	$\leq 0.19 \text{ mg/m}^3$	1	0.50	1.70×10^{-3}
	(≤0.03 ppm)			
Maintenance work	$\leq 0.19 \text{ mg/m}^3$	1	0.050	1.70×10^{-4}
	(≤0.03 ppm)			
Sampling	$\leq 0.19 \text{ mg/m}^3$	1	0.025	8.48 x 10 ⁻⁵
	(≤0.03 ppm)			

Total 1.95 x 10^{-3} mg/kg/day

EHE: Estimated Human Exposure

[Monitoring method]

Air sample was suctioned at the breathing zone of the worker at a suction rate of 0.4 L/min. for 5 min. and adsorbed through a collection can and analyzed by GC.

As shown in Table 2, the monitored exposure concentrations were below 0.19 mg/m³ at the drum filling work, the maintenance work and the sampling. The highest daily intake (respiratory EHEinh) for a worker (body weight; 70 kg, respiratory volume; $1.25 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$) assigned to the drum filling work without protection is calculated as 1.70×10^{-3} mg/kg/day. The duration of dermal exposure is assumed to be 0.50 hrs/day. EHEder for the worker who implement all daily operation through hands is calculated as 7.50×10^{-2} mg/kg/day, assuming that the work is classified as non-dispersive, direct handling, and contact level is incidental. Some production sites may have batchwise operations and release patterns may differ from the above description.

2.2.1.1 Occupational exposure limit of MADAME

There is no available official recommendation.

2.2.2 Consumer Exposure

MADAME is not considered to be contained in consumer products in Japan, because most of MADAME is industrially converted to the quaternary ammonium salt and polymerized to form flocculant to be used in water treatment. This chemical is also used as a component monomer of copolymers in polymer industry, and the products are used for paper agents, coatings and others. Migration of residual monomer from the polymer matrix is expected to be low. Nevertheless, the possibility of exposure cannot be excluded

2.2.3 Environmental Exposure

The production volume of MADAME was estimated as 8,000 t /year in Japan, and 48,000 t /year world-wide in 2000.

According to the monitoring data of Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co., Inc., 38.5 kg/year of MADAME with 8.76×10^8 L of effluent is released yearly into seawater. Predicted local environmental concentration (PEC_{local}) is estimated as 4.39×10^5 mg/L, employing the following calculation model. In this case, the dilution factor of 1000 is adopted since most of MADAME released to the environment is discharged into sea.

Amount of release $(3.85 \times 10^{7} \text{ mg/y})$

Volume of effluent after treatment (8.76 x 10^8 L/y) x Dilution factor (1000)

3. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARDS

3.1 Effects on Human Health

3.1.1 Toxicokinetics and metabolism

The available data were limited. Two available studies were reviewed and described below.

Small quantities of methacrylates may readily be metabolized by saponification into the alcohol and methacrylic acid. The latter may form an acetyl-CoA derivative, which then enters the normal lipid metabolism [Clayton/Patty, 1993-1994]. The substance was rapidly hydrolysed to methacrylic acid (MAA) and N,N-dimethylaminoethanol (DMAE) when incubated with simulated saliva or simulated intestinal fluid *in vitro*. 90 % degradation was observed in simulated saliva after 4 hrs at 37 °C, 86 % degradation after incubation with simulated intestinal fluid for 4 hrs at 37 °C. Degradation was below 8% after incubation with simulated gastric fluid for 4 hours at 37 °C [Atochem, 1994]. However, no *in vivo* study is available.

3.1.2 Acute toxicity

There were various studies on the acute toxicity by different administration routes. Eleven reports on the acute toxicity via oral, dermal, inhalation or other routes to rats, mice or rabbits were reviewed and summarized in the table shown below. As for oral toxicity, the study by MHW [MHW Japan, 1998] was considered to be the most reliable and identified as the key study because it was well conducted according to OECD TG 401 in compliance with GLP. The details of this study were as follows.

SD rats (5/sex/dose) were administered doses of 0 (vehicle), 500, 1000, 2000 mg/kg/day by gavage. Although raised patches and papillomatous hyperplasia in the forestomach were observed, no death occurred in the 2000 mg/kg/day dose. The oral acute toxicity LD_{50} is considered to be greater than 2000 mg/kg bw. As for dermal toxicity, the study on rats by Atochem [Atochem, 1992a] was conducted in accordance with OECD TG 402 in compliance with GLP and identified as the key study. At 2000 mg/kg dose, no mortality was observed although the symptom of hypokinesia, sedation dyspnea and skin irritation were observed. The dermal acute lethal dose is considered to be greater than 2000 mg/kg bw. Regarding toxicity by inhalation, although inhalation is a key route of exposure for this substance, only two values were reported [Izmerov, 1982] and these were not reliable because no detailed data were available. As to the acute toxicity by intraperioneal administration (i.p.), various values were reported in three tests on rats or mice. The severest value was 25 mg/kg bw for mice [NTIS, 1986].

Route	Animals	Values	Туре	References	Reliability
Oral	Rat	>2,000 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	MHW Japan, 1998	Reliable
Oral	Rat	= 1,751 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	Izmerov, 1982	Not reliable
Oral	Rat	= 2,659 mg/kg bw	LD50	Roehm, 1978	Reliable
Oral	Rat	= 1,550 mg/kg bw	LD50	Kirk-Othmer, 1984	Not reliable
Dermal	Rat	>2,000 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	Atochem, 1992a	Reliable
Dermal	Rabbit	> 3,000 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	Kirk-Othmer, 1984	Not reliable
Inhalation/	Rat	= 0.62 mg/L	LC ₅₀	Izmerov, 1982	Not reliable
4hrs Inhalation/ 2hrs	Mouse	= 1.8 mg/L (280 ppm)	LC50	Izmerov, 1982	Not reliable
i.p.	Rat	= 97 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	Kirk-Othmer, 1984	Not reliable
i.p.	Rat	= 310 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	Paulet, G., 1975	Not reliable
i.p.	Mouse	= 25 mg/kg bw	LD ₅₀	NTIS, 1986	Not reliable

Table 3. Acute toxicity of MADAME in experimental animals.

Human data

There is no available information.

Conclusions:

(**Oral toxicity**) At the highest dose of 2000 mg/kg, no mortality occurred The acute oral LD_{50} of this chemical is considered greater than 2000 mg/kg bw. The acute toxicity of this substance can thereby be considered to be low.

(**Dermal toxicity**) Although hypokinesia, sedation, dyspnea and skin irritation were observed, no mortality occurred at 2000 mg/kg in rats. The acute dermal toxicity for rats is considered to be greater than 2000 mg/kg bw.

3.1.3 Repeat dose toxicity

Four studies of varied validity have been located. Two of them were oral administration studies and the other two were dermal and inhalation toxicity studies. One of the oral studies by MHW was identified as the key study because it was conducted according to OECD TG 422 in compliance with GLP [MHW Japan, 1998]. The other oral study was not reliable due to lack of data and was omitted from this assessment. The dermal study [Manabe, 1990] seems not reliable because no detailed data were available. The inhalation study [Gage, 1970] seems to be reliable and was identified as a key study.

(**Oral Gavage**) According to the OECD test guidelines for combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening [OECD TG 422], SD (Crj: CD) rats was administrated with gavage doses of 0 (vehicle; corn oil), 40, 200, and 1000 mg/kg/day. The dosing period for males was 43 days, and for females were 41 to 52 days, from 14 days before mating to the day 3 of lactation. The results are summarized below.

Table 4. The result of the repeated oral dose test

1000	Males : No death occurred.					
	Males : No death occurred.					
	The following adverse effects were observed.					
	*Late onset of twitching, chronic convulsion and the suppression					
	of body weight gain.					
	*By histopathological examination:					
	Degeneration of nerve fibers in the brain and spinal cord, and					
	hyperplasia of the mucosa, edema and inflammatory cell					
	infiltration in the forestomach. Increase in the weight of the					
	kidneys and livers without histopathological changes.					
	*By blood examination:					
	Slight increase in BUN and slight anemic changes such as					
	decreases in erythrocyte counts, hemoglobin concentration and					
	hematocrit value, associated with a significant increase in					
	reticulocyte ratio.					
	Females: 3 animals out of 12 died.					
	The following adverse effects were observed in the surviving					
	animals.					
	* Late onset of twitching, chronic convulsion, suppression of body					
	weight gain and decrease of food consumption during the lactation period.					
	*By histopathological examination:					
	Degeneration of nerve fibers in the brain and spinal cord, and					
	hyperplasia of the mucosa in the gastric tract, edema and					
	inflammatory cell infiltration in the forestomach and atrophy of					
	the thymus. Increase in the weight of the kidneys and the					
	adrenals without histopathological changes.					
200	Males : No adverse effects were observed except for slight anemic					
	changes such as decreases in hemoglobin concentration,					
	hematocrit value and increase in reticulocyte ratio.					
	Females: No effects were observed.					
40	No effects for both sexes were observed.					

Although slight anemic changes were observed in males of the 200 mg/kg/day group, the severity was considered toxicologically insignificant [MHW Japan, 1998]. The NOAEL for the repeat dose toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day for both sexes.

(Inhalation) Short-term vapor inhalation toxicity was studied in rats with a constant flow pump for 3 wks, 5 d/w, 6 h/d. At 250 ppm (1606 mg/m³), nose and eye irritation, labored breathing were observed. The body weight gain was slow. There were no changes in the hematological parameters. No pathological (macroscopical and microscopical) effect on organs was observed. At 100 ppm (643 mg/m³), no toxic effects were observed [Gage, 1970]. The NOAEL for repeated inhalation toxicity is considered to be 100 ppm (643 mg/m³).

Human data

There is no available information.

Conclusions:

(**Oral**) At 1000 mg/kg/day, three of twelve females died. For both sexes, the adverse effects shown in the above table were observed. At 200 mg/kg/day, aalthough slight anemic changes such as decreases in hemoglobin concentration, hematocrit value and increase in reticulocyte ratio were observed in males, the severity was considered toxicologically insignificant. The NOAEL for repeat dose oral toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day for both sexes.

(Inhalation) At 100 ppm (643 mg/m^3), no toxic effects were observed. The NOAEL in the 3wks repeated dose inhalation toxicity study is considered to be 100 ppm (643 mg/m^3).

3.1.4 Genetic Toxicity

Genetic Toxicity

Seven reports were reviewed and summarized in the table shown below. These were two bacterial *in vitro* test reports, three non-bacterial *in vitro* test reports and two genetoxic *in vivo* test reports.

Type of test	Test system	Dose	Result	Reference
Bacterial i	<i>n vitro</i> test			
Reverse mutation TG 471 & 472	/	Up to 5000 ug/plate	With MA *: Negative for all strains at all doses.	MHW Japan, 1998
	E. coli WP2 uvr A	Up to 5000 ug/plate	Without MA: Positive only at 2500 and 3000	-
		Toxicity was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more.	ug/plate for TA1537. Negative for all other strains at all doses.	
	<i>S. typhimurium</i> (strains TA1535, TA1537, TA1538, TA98 and TA100)	Up to 5000 ug/plate	Negative (+ & - MA)	Atochem, 1991a
Non Bacter	rial <i>in vitro</i> test			
Chromosomal aberration test	CHL/IU cells	Up to 1.6 mg/mL	Positive (+ & - MA)	MHW Japan, 1998
TG 473	Human lympocytes	Up to 1.57 mg/mL	Positive (+ & - MA)	Atochem, 1991b
HPRT assay TG 476	V79 Chinese hamster cells	Up to 2.0 mg/mL with S9 Mix.	Negative (+ & - MA)	Atochem, 1992b
Genetic <i>in v</i>	<i>ivo</i> test			
Micronucleus Test TG 474	Mice (i. p)	200 mg/kg bw Two administrations, 24 hrs interval.	Negative	Atochem, 1993
	Mice (p. o)	Up to 1000 mg/kg bw	Negative	Rohem, 1989

Table 5. Summary of genetoxicity studies

* MA: Metabolic activation

Bacterial tests

Two studies were reviewed. These two studies were conducted according to OECD TG 471 & TG 472 in compliance with GLP and were identified as the key studies [MHW Japan, 1998] [Atochem, 1991a].

1) MHW, Japan (1998): Screening Mutagenicity Testing of Chemicals

The test was conducted two times for all cells with and without S9 and the results were positive without S9 at 2500 ug/plate and higher for TA 1537 and TA 98. Then the confirmation test was conducted for *S. typhimurium* TA 1537 and TA 98 without S9. Toxic effects were observed at 3500 ug/plate and higher concentrations to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix.

The result of the confirmation test was positive only for TA 1537 at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. The number of the induced revertant colonies per mg was calculated as 3.6.

2) Atochem (1991a):

Atochem reported that MADAME was negative in any of *S. typhimurium* TA 1535, TA 1537, TA 1538, TA 98 and TA 100 at doses of 10, 100, 1000, 2500, and 5000 ug/plate with and without S9.

Non-bacterial in vitro tests

Three studies were reviewed. Two studies were chromosomal aberration tests by OECD TG 473 on cultured Chinese hamster lung cells [MHW Japan, 1998] and on human lymphocytes [Atochem, 1991b].

Another study was conducted according to OECD TG 476: HPRT/V 79 [Atochem, 1992b]. These three tests were conducted in compliance with GLP and were identified as key studies. The three studies are summarized below.

1) MHW, Japan (1998): Chromosomal aberrations assay on cultured Chinese hamster lung cells

After 24 hrs and 48 hrs continuous treatment without S9, structural chromosomal aberrations (including gap) were induced at 625 ug/mL with 88.5% and 76.5% respectively. The number of cells with aberrations excluding gaps were 86.5% and 74.0% respectively. Cytotoxicity was observed at 625 ug/mL and 313 ug/mL. By the 6 hrs short-term treatment without S9, concentration-dependent structural chromosomal aberrations (including gap) were induced at 200 ug/mL, 400 ug/mL and 600 ug/mL with 6.5 %, 49.5 % and 87.5 % respectively. The number of cells with aberrations excluding gaps were 6.5%, 46.0% and 86.0% respectively. By the 6 hrs short-term treatment with S9, concentration-dependent structural chromosomal aberrations (including gaps) were induced at 800 ug/mL, 1400 ug/mL and 1600 ug/mL with 13.5 %, 99.5 % and 100 % respectively.

The number of cells with aberrations excluding gaps were 13.0%, 99.5% and 100.0% respectively.

Polyploidy was not induced under any of these conditions. At more than 800 ug/mL of the 6 hrs short-term treatment without S9 mix and at more than 1600 ug/mL with S9 mix, cytotoxicity was observed. As a result, MADAME is considered to induce chromosomal aberrations with and without metabolic activation. However, the aberrations were mainly chromatid breaks and chromatid exchanges.

2) Atochem (1992b): Chromosomal aberrations assay on human lymphocytes

The dose levels were up to 1572 ug/mL (maximum solubility). The cells sampled at 20 hours after the start of the treatment were analysed for chromosomal aberrations. At the higher two concentrations, namely 1179 ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9, this chemical induced aberrations which were significantly different from those observed in the concurrent solvent controls. No exchange-type aberrations were observed, but only deletion-type aberrations were seen. The number of cells with aberrations excluding gaps (average of two tests) at 1179 ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9 were 11.0% and 7.5% respectively. No marked mitomic inhibition was evident in any of the doses analysed in this study. The mitomic index at 1179 ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9 was 2.3 % and 6.2 % respectively. It is concluded that MADAME may induce chromosomal aberrations in the human peripheral blood lymphocytes with and without metabolic activation.

3) Atochem (1992b): HPRT/V79 Chinese hamster cell test

The test was conducted at concentrations from 31.25 to 2000 ug/mL. With and without metabolic activation, MADAME showed some cytotoxic effects at concentrations higher than 250 ug/mL, but no increase in the mutation frequencies were observed at any concentrations tested. Under these experimental conditions, MADAME was not genotoxic.

Genetoxic in vivo tests

Two micronucleus assays were reviewed. These two studies were conducted according to OECD TG 474 in compliance with GLP and were identified as key studies [Atochem, 1993], [Roehm, 1989]. The summary of the studies is shown below.

1) Atochem (1993): on the clastogenic potential of MADAME in OF1 mice

The animals (5 males and 5 females per group) received two administrations separated by 24 hrs, of 200 mg/kg by the intraperitoneal route. Cyclophosphamide at the dose level of 25 mg/kg (two times i.p. injection) served as the positive control. The test animals were killed at 24 or 48 hrs after the 2nd administration and the bone marrow smears were examined for the presence of micronuclei in 2000 polychromatic erythrocytes per mouse and for the PCE/NCE ratio. The number of the micronucleated polychromatic cells in the dosed animals was not significantly different from that of the animals in the control groups.

MADAME did not induce cytogenetic damage to the bone marrow cells of mice in this test.

The summary of the test results is shown below.

Time of sacrifice: 24 hrs after the 2nd administration

Group	doses	MPE/PE	PE/NE ratio
	(mg/kg)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
vehicle		2.0 (0.8)	0.7 (0.2)
Test substance	200	1.9 (1.1)	0.6 (0.2)
CPA	25	18.2 (3.8)#	0.4# (0.1)

Time of sacrifice: 48 hrs after the 2nd administration

Group	doses	MPE/PE	PE/NE ratio
	(mg/kg)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
vehicle		1.9 (0.8)	0.9 (0.4)
Test substance	200	1.7 (1.0)	1.2 (0.6)

10 animals(5 males, 5 females) per group

#: P < 0.001

Vehicle: physiological solution

CPA : cyclophosphamide

PE : polychromatic erythrocytes

NE : normochromatic erythrocytes

MPE/PE: micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes/1000 Polychromatic erythrocytes.

(SD) : standard deviation.

2) Roehm (1989): on the clastogenic potential of MADAME in NMRI mice

The maximum tolerated dose of 1000 mg/kg bw, dissolved in water, was administered by oral gavage to 3 groups of 10 NMRI mice (5 males and 5 females). As negative control, distilled water was served. As positive control, cyclophosphamide in physiological serum (NaCl) was dosed at 40 mg/kg. The test mice were killed at 24, 48 or 72 hrs after the administration. The bone marrow smears were examined for the presence of micronuclei in 1000 polychromatic erythrocytes per mouse and for the PCE/NCE ratio. No significant increase of micronuclei was observed compared to the negative control group. No micronuclei induction due to MADAME was observed.

The summary of the test results is shown below. Sampling time: 24 hrs

Group	Dose mg/kg bw	PCEs with Micronuclei (%)	Micronuclei in 1000 PCE (Range)	PCE/NCE (mean)
Solvent Test article CPA	0 1000 40	0.06 0.03 0.75	0 - 2 0 - 2 1 - 13	1000 / 554 1000 / 653 1000 / 742
Sampling tin	ne: 48 hrs			
Group	Dose	PCEs with	Micronuclei in	PCE/NCE

Oloup	Dose	r CES with	Micronuclei III	FCE/INCE
	mg/kg bw	Micronuclei	1000 PCE	(mean)
		(%)	(Range)	
Solvent	0	0.04	0 - 2	1000 / 680
Test article	1000	0.04	0 - 1	1000 / 744

Solvent: distilled water

CPA : cyclophosphamide

PCE : polychromatic erythrocytes

NCE : normochromatic erythrocytes

Conclusions:

Two independent gene mutation tests in bacteria resulted in negative results except for one positive result in *S. typhimurium* TA1537 at 2500 ug/plate without metabolic activation in one study. A HPRT study with Chinese hamster cultured cells was negative. Chromosomal aberration tests *in vitro* and a human lymphocyte test were positive for clastogenicity with and without metabolic activation. Two *in vivo* micronucleus assays by i.p. or gavage respectively, however, are negative for clastogenicity. Based on the weight of evidence, it is concluded that this chemical is not genotoxic *in vivo*.

3.1.5 Carcinogenicity

No data are available.

3.1.6 Reproduction/developmental toxicity

There was only one study available. The combined repeat dose and reproductive toxicity study by the oral route [MHW Japan, 1998] was identified as the key study because it was conducted according to OECD TG 422 in compliance with GLP.

Reproductive and developmental study: SD (Crj: CD) rats received gavage doses of 0 (vehicle; Corn oil), 40, 200 and 1,000 mg/kg/day, for males for 14 days before mating and for females from 14 days before mating to day 3 of lactation. The animals were sacrificed on day 4 of lactation for females. There were no effects on the reproductive parameters such as the mating index, the fertility index, the number of corpora lutea or implantations, the implantation index, the delivery index, the gestation index and the gestation length or the parturition. Three females in the 1000 mg/kg/day group, however, lost all of their pups during the lactation period. Also it should be noted that females in the 1000 mg/kg/day group showed many adverse effects in the repeated oral dose test such as death of 3 animals out of 12, late onset of twitching, chronic convulsion, the

suppression of body weight gain, degeneration of nerve fibers in the brain and spinal cord, hyperplasia of the mucosa in the gastric tract, the edema and inflammatory cell infiltration in the forestomach, atrophy of the thymus, increase in the weight of the kidneys and the adrenals without histopathological changes. The pups from the females in the 1000 mg/kg showed lower body weights and were lower in the viability index due to maternal nursery activity. It is reported that by external inspection, no abnormalities were found. However, a lower body weight gain and a lower viability index were observed in the pups from the females of the 1000 mg/kg/day group. The NOAEL for the reproductive/developmental toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day.

Human Data

There is no available information on humans.

Conclusions:

The NOAEL of this chemical for the reproductive/developmental toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day.

3.1.7 Other human health related information

1) Irritation (skin and eye) and sensitizing potential

The summaries of these studies are shown in the table below.

Species	Method	Result	Reference
Irritation(skin)			
Rabbit	Occlusive patch Federal Register (USA)-29 FR13009, 1964	Corrosive. Primary irritation score: 8.0	Atochem, 1980
Rabbit	Draize test	Highly irritating Draise index: 5.9 of 8 (reevaluated according to OECD 404)	Roehm, 1977
Guinea pig	No data	Irritation occurs even when using silicon or 5% Zn cream.	Roehm, 1977
Irritation (eye)			
Rabbit	Federal Register (USA)-9 FR13009, 1964	Corrosive:	Atochem, 1980
Sensitization	•		÷
Guinea pig	OECD TG 406 Split adjuvant	Negative: No cutaneous reactions	Atochem, 1991c

Table 6: The summary of other human health related information

(Skin irritation) There are three reports available. Among them, the study by Atochem was identified as the key study because it was conducted according to the recommendations of the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register (USA)-29 FR13009, 1964 [Atochem, 1980]. MADAME was administrated to the intact

and abraded skin of New-Zealand albino rabbits at the dose level of 0.5 mL per animal under the occlusive patch for 24 hours.

The cutaneous reactions were observed just after the removal of the patch and after 72 hours. Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were observed after the test and these symptoms persisted to the inspection after 72 hours of the test. A primary irritation score of 8.0 was obtained. Under these test conditions, MADAME was considered to be corrosive to the skin.

(Eye irritation) The only study available was considered to be reliable because it was conducted in accordance with the recommendations of the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act [Atochem, 1980]. Severe cornea, iris and conjunctive lesions were displayed in all animals within 2 hours after the instillation of 0.1mL MADAME. MADAME was considered to be corrosive to eyes.

(Sensitization) There was only one study available. The sensitizing potential of MADAME was evaluated by a modified Magnusson and Kligman method according to the OECD guideline No. 406 with the principles of Good Laboratory Practice and was identified as a key study [Atochem, 1991c].

The general behaviour and the body weight gain of the animals were not influenced by the treatment. After the challenge test, a very slight erythema (score 1) was observed on the right flank of 16 out of 20 treated animals. As the cutaneous reactions were very slight and the reactions observed at the 24 hours scoring period were reversible at the 48 hours scoring period, the cutaneous reactions were attributed to orthoergique reaction. No cutaneous reaction likely to be caused by a sensitization potential of MADAME were observed.

2) Other toxic information

Other available toxic information are summarized in the table shown below.

Species	Method	Result (Symptoms)	Reference
Pharmacology			
Dogs (anesthetized)	Intravenous administration 0.0026 to 0.028 mL	Elicited a hypertensive effect. Produced a 28-67 % increase in blood pressure with small doses.	Mir, 1974
Rabbit (isolated and perfused)	10^{-3} , 10^{-4} , 10^{-5} (v/v) concentration. Examined the activity.	Reduction of the heart rate, the force of contraction and the coronary flow rate. Cardiac standstill at a concentration of 10^{-4} (v/v).	Mir, 1973
Guinea pig (isolated)	4×10^5 , 2×10^5 and 10^5 v/v concentration. Examined the ileum activity.	The ileum was stimulated by this chemical, the effect was not antaginised by atropine.	Mir, 1973
Others	-		-
Cytotoxicity	Cell growth inhibition in Balb/c 3T3 Fibroblasts	ID50 > 100 umol/L (endpoints observed: inhibition of DNA synthesis, protein synthesis, total ptotein content, irreversible inhibition of cell metabolism)	Hanks, 1975
Enzyme inhibition in vitro	Choline esterase inhibition	The substance did not inhibit cholinesterase activity of the isolated enzyme or in rat brain preparations <i>in</i> <i>vitro</i> .	Rowell, 1976

Table 7. The summary of other toxic information

In general, the mode of pharmacological action is cholinergic. However, the mode of action in rat brain and nervous cells found in the repeated dose study has not been elucidated.

3) Information on structurally related chemicals:

Methyl methacrylate (MMA) (CAS Nr. 80-62-6)

MADAME belongs to esters of methacrylic acid. However, MADAME is unique in the hydrophilic and alkaline nature and relatively low volatility (vapour pressure), that makes a substantial difference from other analogues in the toxicological properties. The most representative chemical among the analogues is MMA. According to the SIDS of MMA, inhaled MMA is metabolised by local tissue esterase. Inhalation is the most relevant route to evaluate the toxicity and the main effect is a degeneration of the olfactory region of the nose in rat or mouse studies. Other systemic toxicity effects are degenerative and necrotic lesions in liver, kidney, brain and atrophic changes in spleen and bone marrow, part of which may have been modulated by physiological changes in experimental animals. These effects were not seen in chronic studies up to 1000 ppm. Oral administration to rats resulted in a NOAEL of 200 mg/kg/day.

MMA has *in vitro* the potential of mutagenic effects, especially clastogenicity. However, this potential is limited to high doses with strong toxic effects. Furthermore, the negative *in vivo* micronucleus test and negative dominant lethal assay indicate that this potential is not expressed *in vivo*. There is no relevant concern regarding carcinogenicity of MMA in humans and animals. Epidemiology data on increased tumour rates in exposed cohorts are of limited reliability and cannot be related to MMA as the solely causal agent. MMA did not reveal an effect on male fertility when animals had been exposed to up to 9000 ppm.

From the available developmental toxicity investigations, including an inhalation study according to OECD guideline 414, no teratogenicity, embryotoxicity or fetotoxicity has been observed at exposure levels up to and including 2028 ppm (8425 mg/m^3).

Human data

No data are available.

3.2 Initial Assessment for Human Health

Human Health Hazards

This chemical is supposedly metabolized to methacrylic acid (MAA) and N,Ndimethylaminoethanol (DMAE). Then the MAA may form an acetyl-CoA derivative, which then enters the normal lipid metabolism. The oral LD_{50} in rats is greater than 2000 mg/kg. This chemical is considered to be severely irritating or corrosive to skin and eye. This chemical does not have a sensitizing potential.

The OECD combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test [OECD TG 422] was conducted in rats at doses of 0, 40, 200 and 1000 mg/kg/day administered by gavage. For both sexes, a clear systemic toxicity was demonstrated only at 1000 mg/kg/day. Late onset of twitching, chronic convulsion and the suppression of body weight gain were observed. Three females out of 12 died. Histopathological examination revealed degeneration of nerve fibers in the brain and spinal cord, and hyperplasia of the mucosa, edema and inflammatory cell infiltration in the forestomach in both sexes. Increases in organ weights without histopathological changes were observed in the kidneys of both sexes, the livers of males, and the adrenals of females in this group. For the males in this group, BUN was slightly increased and anemic changes such as decreases in erythrocyte counts, hemoglobin concentration and hematocrit value, associated with a significant increase in reticulocyte ratio were observed at 1000 mg/kg/day were seen, but the severity was considered toxicologically insignificant. The NOAEL for the repeat dose toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day.

A repeated inhalation study for 3 weeks revealed a NOEL of 100 ppm. Nose and eye irritation was observed at 250 ppm (LOEL).

Two independent gene mutation tests in bacteria [OECD TG 471 & 472] resulted in negative results except for a positive result in *S. typhimurium* TA 1537 at 2500 ug without metabolic activation system in one study. A HPRT study on Chinese hamster cultured cell [OECD TG 476] was negative. A chromosomal aberration test *in vitro* [TG 473] and a human lymphocyte test were positive with and without metabolic activation. However two *in vivo* studies [micronucleus assay, OECD TG 474] by i.p. or gavage respectively, gave negative results. Based on the weight of evidence, it is concluded that this chemical is not genotoxic *in vivo*.

In the above-described OECD combined repeat dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test [OECD TG 422], there w as no sign of reproductive toxicity up to 1000 mg/kg/day for males. Three females in 1,000 mg/kg/day, however, lost all of their pups in lactation period. As to the developmental effect, the pups born from the females in 1000 mg/kg/day group showed a lower body weight although no external abnormalities were observed. The NOAEL of the reproductive/developmental toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day for both parents and offspring.

4. EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Aquatic Effects

MADAME has been tested in a limited number of aquatic species. Results are summarised in Table 8. All the data shown here were derived from experiments conducted under GLP, and the chemical concentrations in the testing media were analyzed during the course of the experiments. The lowest chronic result was 4.35 mg/L (*Daphnia magna* 21d-NOEC, reproduction).

Table 8: Summary of effects of MADAME on aquatic organisms

Organism	Test duration	Result (mg/L)	Reference
algae			
Green alga (Selenastrum capricornutum)	72 h (op)	EC_{50} (bms) = 41.6 (nc) NOEC (bms) = 18 (nc)	MOE, Japan 1997
Invertebrates			
Water flea (Daphnia magna)	48 h (op,ss)	EC_{50} (imm) = 33 (mc)	MOE, Japan 1997
	21 d (op,ss)	$LC_{50} = 16.6 (mc)$ $EC_{50} (rep) = 7.86 (mc)$ NOEC (rep) = 4.35 (mc)	MOE, Japan 1997
Fish			
Medaka (Oryzias latipes)	96 h (op,ss) 14 d (ss)	$LC_{50} = 19.1 (mc)$ $LC_{50} = 5.26 (mc)$	MOE, Japan 1997

op: open system

f: flow through ss: semi-static

nc: nominal concentration

mc: calculated based on measured concentrations, because some data of measured concentrations were < 80 % of nominal concentrations.

bms: biomass imm: immobilization rep: reproduction

The results of the algal inhibition test with *Selenastrum capricornutum* are based on nominal concentrations of MADAME. Analytical measurements showed that concentrations of MADAME decreased during the test from 80.9-88.2% of nominal concentrations at the start of the test to 0.39-2.18 % of nominal concentrations at the end of the test. The pH in the test system was 9.03-9.25 at the start of the test and 7.9-9.13 at the end of the test. As the test substance hydrolyses rapidly (half-life 4.54 days at pH 7 and 3.31 hours at pH 9), it can be assumed that the observed effects are partially due to the hydrolysis products methacrylic acid and N,N-dimethylaminoethanol.

4.2 Toxicity to Terre strial Organisms

One study was found for terrestrial toxicity in birds on MADAME. The value of LD50 (18hr) was described as 98 mg/kg for *Agelais phoenicus* [Schafer 1983].

4.3 Other

A toxicity test in bacteria was reported on MADAME. The value of EC10 (18hr) in *Pseudomonas* putida was 42.7 mg/L [Roehm (1988b)].

4.4 Initial Assessment for the Environment

The results of a generic fugacity model (Mackay level III) show that if MADAME is released into water, 99.7 % stays in water and 0.2 % is transported to sediment. When MADAME is released to air, 72.1 % stays in air, 13.6 % is transported to water, and 14.2 % is transported to soil. This chemical is readily biodegraded [Roehm, 1988] and is considered to have a low bioaccumulative potential based on its log Pow (1.13 at 25 °C) [CERI, Japan: 1997].

Information on the aquatic toxicity of MADAME is limited. Results for algae, fish and/or aquatic invertebrates are summarized below.

The toxicity results (growth inhibition: [OECD TG 201]) for algae (*Selen astrum capricornutum*) were 41.6 mg/L (72 h-EC₅₀) and 18 mg/L (72 h-NOEC). The acute (immobility: [OECD TG 202]) and chronic (reproduction: [OECD TG 211]) toxicity results for daphnids are 33 mg/L (48h-EC₅₀), 16.6 mg/L (21d-LC₅₀), 7.86 mg/L (21d-EC₅₀), and 4.35 mg/L (21d-NOEC), respectively. The acute LC₅₀ (96 hr: [OECD TG 203]) and prolonged LC₅₀ (14 d: [OECD TG 204]) for fish (Medaka; *Oryzias latipes*) were 19.1 mg/L and 5.26 mg/L, respectively.

Due to the half-life of 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate in water at the test conditions the aquatic toxicity of the hydrolysis products have to be considered.

Toxicity data for aquatic organisms:

Methylacrylic acid CAS No.: 79-41-4 (EU Risk Assessment Report)

- Fish (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): 96 h LC50 = 85 mg/l
- Daphnia magna: 48 h EC50 >130 mg/l

21 d NOEC 53 mg/l (parent mortality, reproduction rate)

• Algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*): 72 h E_bC50 = 20 mg/l 72 h NOEC = 8.2 mg/l

2-Dimethylaminoethanol CAS No.: 108-01-0 (SIAR)

- Fish (Fathead minnow): 96 h LC50 = 81 mg/l
- *Daphnia magna*: 48 h EC50 = 98.77 mg/l
- Algae (Scenedesmus): 72 h EC50 = 35 mg/l

All toxicity data from both the mother substance and the hydrolysis products are in the same order of magnitude.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusions

Exposure

The production volume of MADAME was reported as approximately 8,000 t/year in Japan and 48,000 t/year world-wide in 2000. MADAME is produced in a fully-closed system. Most of MADAME is industrially converted to the quaternary ammonium salt and polymerized for flocculant use in the water treatment. Also, this chemical is used as a component monomer of copolymers in polymer industry, and the products are used for paper agents, coatings and others. The workplace exposures during those application processes are controlled.

Fugacity modeling (Mackay level III) predicts that MADAME released to water unlikely will migrate into other compartments. MADAME is readily biodegradable and not persistent in the water phase. When this chemical is released to air, 72 % stays in air and 28 % is transported into water and soil.

From production, uses and properties of this substance, estimated exposures are considered in 3 scenarios;

(1) Occupational exposure scenario: inhalation of vapor without breathing protection in the factory; Vapor level was 0.19 mg/m^3 as measured at the drum filling workplace;

EHEinh = 0.0017 mg/kg/day and EHEder = 0.075 mg/kg/day, using estimation methods.

(2) Consumer exposure scenario: Exposure is controlled because of the non dispersive use.

Migration of residual monomer from the polymer matrix is expected to be low. Nevertheless, the possibility of exposures cannot be excluded.

(3) Environmental exposure scenario: emission to aquatic compartment from waste water; PEClocal water = 0.0000439 mg/L using estimation methods.

Hazards to the Environment

MADAME is readily biodegradable (OECD 301E; BOD: 95.3 % after 28 days), and has a low bioaccumulation potential based on its log Pow (1.13). Abiotically this chemical is stable at pH 4, whereas it is hydrolyzed at pH 7 and at pH 9 with half-lifes of 4.54 days and 3.31 hours, respectively.

This chemical has been tested in a limited number of aquatic species including algae, daphnid and fish. The toxicity results (growth inhibition: [OECD TG 201]) for algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*) were 41.6 mg/L (72 h-EC₅₀) and 18 mg/L (72 h-NOEC). The acute (immobility: [OECD TG 202]) and chronic (reproduction: [OECD TG 211]) toxicity results for daphnids are 33 mg/L (48h-EC₅₀), 16.6 mg/L (21d-LC₅₀), 7.86 mg/L (21d-EC₅₀), and 4.35 mg/L (21d-NOEC), respectively. The acute LC₅₀ (96 hr: [OECD TG 203]) and prolonged LC₅₀ (14 d: [OECD TG 204]) for fish (Medaka; *Oryzias latipes*) were 19.1 mg/L and 5.26 mg/L, respectively.

Human health

The acute toxic ity of this chemical is low because LD_{50} values are greater than 2000 mg/kg by the oral route.

This chemical is severely irritating or corrosive to skin and eye. Although only one study was available, this chemical had no sensitizing effect [OECD TG 406].

The NOAEL for the repeat dose toxicity by the combined repeat dose and reproduction / developmental toxicity screening test [OECD TG 422], is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day for both

sexes. A repeated inhalation study for 3 weeks revealed a NOEL of 100 ppm. Nose and eye irritation was observed at 250 ppm (LOEL).

In the above-described OECD combined repeat dose and reproduction / developmental toxicity screening test [MHW Japan, 1998/OECD TG 422], there was no sign of reproductive or developmental toxicity up to 1000 mg/kg/day for males. Three females in the 1000 mg/kg/day group, however, lost all of their pups in the lactation period. As to the developmental effect, the pups born from the females in the 1000 mg/kg/day group showed a lower body weight gain although no external abnormalities were observed. The NOAEL for reproductive/developmental toxicity is considered to be 200 mg/kg/day for both parents and offspring.

Two independent gene mutation tests in bacteria [OECD TG 471 & 472] resulted in negative results except for a positive result in *S. typhimurium* TA 1537 at 2500 ug without metabolic activation system in one study.

A HPRT study on Chinese hamster cultured cell [OECD TG 476] was negative. A chromosomal aberration test *in vitro* [MHW Japan, 1998/TG 473] and a human lymphocyte test were positive with and without metabolic activation. However two *in vivo* studies [micronucleus assay, OECD TG 474] by i.p. or gavage respectively, negative gave negative results. Based on the weight of evidence, it is concluded that this chemical is not genotoxic *in vivo*.

5.2 Recommendations

The chemical is currently of low priority for further work.

6. **REFERENCES**

Atochem (1980), Skin and Ocular Irritation test, Consultox Lab: CL80 65:2030

- Atochem (1991a), Ames test, CIT 7331 MMO
- Atochem (1991b), Clastogenicity test in cultured Human Lymphocytes, Hazleton 11/HLC
- Atochem (1991c), Skin Sensitization test, CIT 7305 TSG
- Atochem (1992a), Acute Dermal Toxicity test in Rats, CIT 8537 TAR
- Atochem (1992b), HPRT Gene Mutation Assay in CHO Cells, CIT 8515 MVA
- Atochem (1993), Micronucleus test in Mice, CIT 9776 MAS
- Atochem (1994), Hydrolysis studies on dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, SA 006/94
- CERI, Japan (1976), Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, unpublished data
- CERI, Japan (1993), Report No. 21114 Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, unpublished data
- CERI, Japan (1997) Report No. 81115K, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, unpublished data
- Clayton GD., Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology Vol. 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2F, Toxicology 4th ed. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 3008, 1993-1994
- Gage J.C., Brit. J. Industr. Med., 27, 1-18, 1970
- Hanks C.T. et al., Cytoxic effects of resin compounds on cultured mammalian Fibr oblasts, J. Dent. Res., 70, 1450-1455, 1975
- Izmerov, N.F. et al., Toxicometric Parameters of Industrial Toxic Chemical Under Single Exposure, Moscow, Centre of International Projects, GKNT, 1982
- JISHA (2000), Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association, unpublished data
- Kirk-Othmer (1978-1984) Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., 15, 367-369
- MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568
- MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data
- Manabe. A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990
- Mir G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974

Mir. G. N. et al., J. Pharmaceutical Sciences 1973, 62, 1258-1261

Mir. G. N., J. Pharmaceutical Sciences 1973, 62, 778-782

NTIS (Natl Tech Inf Serve) AD 277-689, 1986

Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975

- Roehm (1977), unpublished report, Skin Irritation test, No. 77-023
- Roehm (1978), unpublished report, Acute Oral Toxicity test in Rats, No. 78-061
- Roehm (1988a), unpublished report, No. 88-041
- Roehm (1988b), unpublished report, No. 88-048

Roehm (1989), unpublished report, Micronucleus test in Mice, No. 89-002

Rowell P.P. et al., Inhibition of cholin acetyltransferase by tertiary amino esters, Bioch.

Pharmacol. 25, 1093-1099, 1976

Schafer, E.W. et al (1983) The acute oral toxicity, repellency and hazard potential of 998 chemicals to one or more species of wild and domestic birds, Arch. Environm. Contam. Toxicol., 12, 355-382, unpublished data

SIDS Dossier

Existing Chemical CAS No. EINECS Name EINECS No. TSCA Name Molecular Formula		ID: 2867-47-2 2867-47-2 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate 220-688-8 2-Propenoic acid, 2 -methyl-, 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl ester C8H15NO2
Producer Related Part Company Creation date	:	MITSUBISHI . GAS CHEMICAL CO., INC. 11.10.2001
Substance Related Part Company Creation date	:	MITSUBISHI . GAS CHEMICAL CO., INC. 11.10.2001
Memo	:	MADAME SIAM 14
Printing date Revision date Date of last Update	: : :	10.01.2002 10.01.2002
Number of Pages	:	64
Chapter (profile) Reliability (profile) Flags (profile)	::	Chapter: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 Reliability: without reliability, 1, 2, 3, 4 Flags: without flag, confidential, non confidential, WGK (DE), TA-Luft (DE), Material Safety Dataset, Risk Assessment, Directive 67/548/EEC, SIDS

OECD SIDS	2-DI	<u>METHYLAMINOETHYLM</u>	ETHACRYLATE
1. GENERAL INFO	RMATION	ld Date	2867-47-2 10.01.2002
1.0.1 OECD and Co	npany Information		
Туре	: lead organisation		
Name	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemica	al Company, Inc.	
Partner			
Date Street	: Mitoubiobi Plda, 5-2 Mor	unauchi 2 chama. Chivada ku	
Town	: 100-8324 Tokyo	unouchi 2-chom e, Chiyoda-ku	
Country	: Japan		
Phone	: +81-3-3283-4821		
Telefax	: +81-6-6201-2857		
Telex	:		
Cedex	:		
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemica	al Company, Inc.	
09.01.2002			
Туре	: cooperating company		
Name	: Atofina		
Partner	:		
Date	:		
Street	: 4-8, cours Michelet, La De		
Town	: F-92091 Paris La Defence	e Cedex	

France

+33 1 49 00 71 97

+33 1 49 00 50 58

cooperating company

: KG, Kischenallee,

: +49 6151 184241

: +49 6151 183213

cooperating company

Mitsubishi Rayon Co., Ltd.

1-6-41 Konan, Minato-ku,

108-8506 Tokyo

+81 3 5495 3009

+81 3 5495 3246

Japan

: Germany

: D-64293 Darmstadt

Degussa, Roehm GmbH & Co.

Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.

Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.

1

:

1

1

÷

1

1

1

1

1

1

÷

1

1

1

1

1

2

1

:

Туре

Country

Phone

Telefax

Source

Туре

Name

Partner Date

Street Town

Country

Phone

Telefax

Telex

Cedex

Source

Туре

Name

Town

Country

Phone

Telex Cedex Source

Telefax

08.01.2002

Partner Date Street

08.01.2002

08.01.2002

Telex Cedex

cooperating company

UNEP Publications

Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.

1. GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	
	Id 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
Nama		
Name	: Mitsui Chemicals, Inc.	
Partner		
Date Street	: Kooumigooolii Chivadoliu	
	: Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku,	
Town	: 100-6070, Tokyo	
Country Phone	: Japan : +81 3 3592 4340	
Telefax	: +81 3 3592 4236	
Telex	. +01 5 5592 4250	
Cedex		
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.	
08.01.2002		
Туре	: cooperating company	
Name	: Sanyo Chemical Industries, Ltd.	
Partner	:	
Date	:	
Street	: 11-1 Ikkyo, Nomoto-cho, Higashiyama-ku	
Town	: 605-0995 Kyoto	
Country	: Japan	
Phone	: +81 75 541 6362	
Telefax	: +81 75 531 2139	
Telex	:	
Cedex	: Mitauhushi Cas Chamiasl Company Inc	
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.	
08.01.2002		
Туре	: cooperating company	
Name	: Ciba Specialty Chemicals Inc.	
Partner	:	
Date		
Street	: Klybeckstrasse 141	
Town	: CH-4002 Basel : Switzerland	
Country		
Phone Telefax	: +41 61 636 55 29 : +41 61 636 78 78	
Telex	. +41010307070	
Cedex		
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.	
08.01.2002		
1.0.2 Location of P	roduction Site	
1.0.3 Identity of Red		
1.1 General Subs	stance Information	
Substance type	: organic	
Physical status	: liquid	
Purity	: % w/w	
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
11.02.2000		
1.1.0 Details on ten	nnlate	

DECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLAT
. GENERAL INFO	DRMATION Id 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
.2 Synonyms	
MADAME Source 09.10.2001	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.
2-(Dimethylamino)et Source	hanolmethacrylate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
Source	no)ethyImethacryIate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
2-Dimethylaminoeth Source	yl methacrylate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
2-Dimethylaminoethy Source	yl-2-methyl-propenoate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
2-Dimethylaminoethy Source	yl-2-methylpropenoate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
2-Propenoic acid, 2 -r	methyl, dimethylaminoethyl ester
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
beta-(N,N-Dimethyla Source	minoethyl) methacrylate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
beta-Dimethylamino Source	ethyl methacrylate : Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
DAM Source 09.10.2001	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.
Dimethylaminoethyl	methacrylate
Source	: SNF S.A. Saint-Etienne Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
04.06.1998	
DMAEMA	
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)

UNEP Publications

OECD SIDS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE

ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002

31.05.1994

51.05.1554	
Ethanol, 2-(dimethylamino)	
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt
30.05.1994	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Dimethylaminoethyl-2-meth	no)ethanolmethacrylat; 2-(N,N-Dimethylamino)ethylmethacrylat; 2- nyl-2-propenoat; 2-Dimethylamino-2-methylpropenoat; rylat; Ethanol, 2-(dimethylamino)-, methacrylat : Atochem Paris la Defense
08.06.1994	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Methacrylic acid dimethyla	mingethylester
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
30.05.1994	
Methacrylic acid, 2-(dimeth	nylamino)ethyl ester
Source	: SNF S.A. Saint-Etienne
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
04.06.1998	
Dimethylamino)ethylmethad	ninoethylester; N,N-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylat; beta-(N,N- crylat; beta-Dimethylaminoethylmethacrylat
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
22.12.1993	
N,N-Dimethylaminoethyl n Source 30.05.1994	 nethacrylate Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
1.3 Impurities	
CAS-No	: 108-01-0
EINECS-No	: 203-542-8
EINECS-Name	2-dimethylaminoethanol
Contents	: <.5 % w/w
Remark	: raw material
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.
12.12.2001	
CAS-No	: 80-62-6
EINECS-No	: 201-297-1
EINECS-Name	: methyl methacrylate
Contents Remark	: <.5 % w/w : raw material
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.
12.12.2001	
1.4 Additives	
CAS-No	: 150-76-5
	UNEP Publications

33

OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATH
I. GENERAL INFORM	IATION Id 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
EINECS-No	: 205-769-8
EINECS-Name	: mequinol
Contents	: .033 % w/w
Remark	: stabilising agent
07.12.2001	
1.5 Quantity	
Remark	: 8,000 t/year in Japan and 48,000 t/year world-wide in 2000
Source	: Mitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc.
08.01.2002	
00.01.2002	
Production during the last 12 months	:
Import during the last	
12 months	10000 E0 000 toppoo in
Quantity	: 10000 - 50 000 tonnes in
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	
1.6.1 Labelling	
Labelling	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC
Symbols	: Xn
Nota	: D
Specific limits	: yes
R-Phrases	: (21/22) Harmful in contact with skin and if swallowed
	(36/38) Irritating to eyes and skin
	(43) May cause sensitization by skin contact
S-Phrases	: (2) Keep out of reach of children
	(26) In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and
	seek medical advice
	(28) After contact with skin, wash immediately with plenty of water
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
08.01.2002	
I.6.2 Classification	
Classification	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC
Class of danger	: corrosive
R-Phrases	: (21/22) Harmful in contact with skin and if swallowed
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	
Classification	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC
Class of danger	: irritating
R-Phrases	: (36/38) Irritating to eyes and skin
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	
Classification	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC
Class of danger	:
R-Phrases	: (43) May cause sensitization by skin contact
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	

UNEP Publications

	RMATION Id 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
.7 Use Pattern	
Туре	: type
Category	: Non dispersive use
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	
Туре	: type
Category	: Use in closed system
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	
Туре	: industrial
Category	: Chemical industry: used in synthesis
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000 Turpo	· industrial
Type	: industrial
Category	: Polymers industry
Source 11.02.2000	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Type Category	: use : Intermediates
Source	: EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
11.02.2000	
.8 Occupational E Type of limit Limit value Remark	Exposure Limit Values Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee)
Type of limit Limit value	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3)
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Dsure Occupational exposures at production sites may occur by the inhalation route and dermal route. The atmospheric concentration was measured at one production site [Japan industrial Safety and Health Association (JISHA), 2000]. The monitored
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Occupational exposures at production sites may occur by the inhalation route and dermal route. The atmospheric concentration was measured at one production site [Japan industrial Safety and Health Association (JISHA), 2000]. The monitored data are shown below. Operation Monitoring Data Frequency Working time Max. EHE Time/day hrs/timemg/kg/day Drum Filling ≤ 0.19 mg/m3 1 0.50 1.70 x 10-3
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Disure Occupational exposures at production sites may occur by the inhalation route and dermal route. The atmospheric concentration was measured at one production site [Japan industrial Safety and Health Association (JISHA), 2000]. The monitored data are shown below. Operation Monitoring Data Frequency Working time Max. EHE Time/day hrs/timemg/kg/day Drum Filling ≤ 0.19 mg/m3 1 0.50 1.70 x 10-3 (≤ 0.03 ppm) Drum Filling ≤ 0.19 mg/m3 1 0.05 1.70 x 10-4
Type of limit Limit value Remark Source 24.06.1998 Remark Source 08.06.1994	 Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL STEL) 1 ppm (6.43 mg/m3) Proposed by ATOFINA's Occupational Limit Setting Committee) ATOFINA Paris La Defense France d'ELF No data available on Occupational Exposure Limit Values Atochem Paris La Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Disure Occupational exposures at production sites may occur by the inhalation route and dermal route. The atmospheric concentration was measured at one production site [Japan industrial Safety and Health Association (JISHA), 2000]. The monitored data are shown below. Operation Monitoring Data Frequency Working time Max. EHE Time/day hrs/timemg/kg/day Drum Filling ≤ 0.19 mg/m3 1 0.50 1.70 x 10-3 (≤ 0.03 ppm)

UNEP Publications

	ORMATION	ld 2867-47-2		
		Date 10.01.2002		
	•	as suctioned at the breathing zone of the worker at the suction nin. for 5 min. and adsorbed through a collection can and C.		
	0.19 mg/m3 a sampling. The weight; 70 kg, work without p duration of de worker who in 7.50 x 10-2 mg	Table, the monitored exposure concentrations were below t the drum filling work, the maintenance work and the e highest daily intake (respiratory EHEinh) for a worker (body respiratory volume; $1.25 \text{ m}3/\text{hr}$) assigned to the drum filling protection is calculated as $1.70 \times 10-3 \text{ mg/kg/day}$. The rmal exposure is assumed to be 0.50 hrs/day. EHEder for the nplement all daily operation through hands is calculated as $1/20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg/kg/day}$, assuming that the work is classified as non-ect handling, and contact level is incidental.		
0	gloves and go to substantial	ecommended to wear protective gear such as a mask, rubber ggles to prevent exposure. Therefore EHEs are considered y lower than the calculated value.		
Source 08.01.2002	: Japan Industri	al Safety and Health Association (JISHA), 2000		
Remark	Purification by Heavy ends : i	ation based on methyl methacrylate. distillation.		
Source 08.06.1994	: Atochem Par EUROPEAN	is la Defense COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)		
Remark	normally hanc substance is r waste water a processing, de	: Emissions during production and processing are low as the product is normally handled in closed systems. In the normal production process the substance is not released into the waste water or the air. Release into the waste water and the industrial sewage system during cleaning operations, processing, destillation is low < 1 t/year. Emissions to the air during those processes is well below 1 t/year.		
Source	rocesses is v : Roehm Gmbł			
26.05.1997		COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (49)		
	ations/Precautionary Meas -	Sures		
1.10.2 Emergency N	leasures			
1.11 Packaging				
1.12 Possib. of Re	ndering Subst. Harmless			
1.13 Statements C 1.14.1 Water Pollution	Concerning Waste on			
Classified by Labelled by Class of danger Source	: KBwS (DE) : KBwS (DE) : 1 (weakly wate	er polluting) H Darmstadt		

1. GENERAL INFOR	MATION ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
12.11.2001	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
1.14.2 Major Accident H	Hazards	
Legislation	:	
Substance listed No. in directive	: no	
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
30.05.1994		
1.14.3 Air Pollution		
Classified by Labelled by	: other: Roehm GmbH : other: Roehm GmbH	
Number	: 3.1.7 (organic substances)	
Class of danger	: III	
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt	
09.01.2002	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
1.15 Additional Rema	rks	
Remark	: The product must be disposed of as special waste in accordance with regulations for special waste.	
Source	: Roehm GmbH Darmstadt	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
30.05.1994		(50)
1.16 Last Literature S	Search	
1.17 Reviews		
1.18 Listings e.g. Che	mical Inventories	

Value : =-60 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: no data Year : GLP : other TS: source; not available 09.01.2002 : Value : =-36 ° C Sublimation : Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : GLP : no data Test substance : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 : (' Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : : GLP : no data Test substance : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European	PHYSICO-CHEMIC	AL DATA dd 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
Sublimation:Method:other: no dataGLP:no dataSource:HSDB (Hazardous substance data bank)Flag::Other:HSDB (Hazardous substance data bank)93.01.2002:(1)Value:=-60 ° CSublimation:(1)Method:other: no dataYear:.GLP:noTest substance:other: no dataYear:.GLP:noTest substance:other TS: source; not available09.01.2002:(1)Value:=-36 ° CSublimation:.Method:otherYear:.GLP:no dataTest substance:.Year:.Other:.Year:.Other:.Year:.Other: not specified.Year:.Outlination:.Wethod:other: not specifiedYear:.Outle:.Value::Outle::.Outle::.Outle::.Outle::.Outle::.Value:.Outle::	1 Melting Point		
Method : other: no data Year : no data Test substance : no data Source : HSDB (Hazardous substance data bank) Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01_2002 () Value : =-60 °C Sublimation :		: =-30 °C	
Year : GLP : no data Source : HSDB (Hazardous substance data bank) Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 (Value : = -60 °C Sublimation : GLP : no data Year : GLP : no Test substance : other: no data Year : GLP : no Test substance : other TS: source; not available 09.01.2002 (Value : = -36 °C Sublimation : Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 (Value : = -50 °C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 (Value : = -50 °C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : A tochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : = -30 °C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : A tochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : = -30 °C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : A tochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : < =-10 °C Sublimation : Method : other: not data Test substance : Source : A tochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : < =-10 °C Sublimation : Method : other: no data			
GLP:no dataTest substance:no dataSource:no dataFlag::GLP::Value::Sublimation:GLP:noTest substance:Op 01.2002:Value::GLP:noTest substance:Op 01.2002:Value::GLP:noTest substance:::Op 01.2002:Value::::GLP::: <t< td=""><td></td><td>: other: no data</td><td></td></t<>		: other: no data	
Test substance : no data Source : HSDB (Hazardous substance data bank) Plag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 () Value : =-60 °C Sublimation : Wethod : other: no data Year : GLP : no Test substance : other TS: source; not available 09.01.2002 () Value : =-36 °C Sublimation : Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 () Value : =-50 °C Sublimation : Itest substance : GLP : no data Test substance : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemi			
Source : HSDE (Hazardous substance data bank) Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 ((Value : =-60 ° C Sublimation : ((Wethod : other: no data Year : () GLP : no Test substance : other TS: source; not available 09.01.2002 () Value : =-36 ° C Sublimation : () Year : () GLP : no data Test substance : () GLP : no data Test substance : () Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : () Wethod : other: not specified Year : : : GLP : : other: not specified Year : : : : GLP : :	-		
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint () Value : =-60 °C () Sublimation :			
09.01.2002()Value:=-60 ° CSublimation: $Method$: $0ther$: no dataYear: GLP : $00.01.2002$:Value: $=-36$ ° CSublimation:Method: $0ther$ Year:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP::GLP::GLO2001(1)Value:::<			
Value:=-60 ° CSublimation:Method:other: no dataYear:GLP:noTest substance:09.01.2002:Value:=-36 ° CSublimation:Method:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Beilstein 1998-199908.10.2001Value:=-50 ° CSublimation:Method:other: not specifiedYear:GLP::no dataTest substance:Sublimation:Method:::Year::Source::<			(25)
Sublimation:Method:other: no dataYear:GLP:noTest substance:09.01.2002:Value:=-36 °CSublimation:Method:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Beilstein 1998-199908.10.2001:Value:=-50 °CSublimation:(1)Value:=-50 °CSublimation::GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:::GLP:::<	00.01.2002		(20)
Sublimation:Method:other: no dataYear:GLP:noTest substance:09.01.2002:Value:=-36 °CSublimation:Method:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Beilstein 1998-199908.10.2001:Value:=-50 °CSublimation:(1)Value:=-50 °CSublimation::GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:::GLP:::<	Value	: =-60 °C	
Method : other: no data Year : GLP : no Test substance : other TS: source; not available 09.01.2002 (() Value : =-36 ° C Sublimation : () Method : other Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 () Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : . Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) . 09.01.2002 . . Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : . GLP : no data <		:	
GLP : no Test substance : other TS: source; not available 09.01.2002 () Value : = -36 ° C Sublimation : Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 () Value : = -50 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Wethod : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 : Value : = -30 ° C Sublimation : : : GLP : no data Test substance : : : Outer: not data<		: other: no data	
Test substance : other TS: source; not available (9.01.2002 () Value : =-36 °C Sublimation : Method : other Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 () Value : =-50 °C Sublimation : . Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) . 09.01.2002 . . Value : =-30 °C Sublimation : . Image: Substance : . GLP : . Image: Substance : . GLP : . <t< td=""><td>Year</td><td>:</td><td></td></t<>	Year	:	
09.01.2002()Value:=-36 ° CSublimation:Method:other:Year:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Beilstein 1998-199908.10.2001()Value:=-50 ° CSublimation:Method:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)09.01.2002:Value:=-30 ° CSublimation:Method:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)09.01.2002:Value:c=-10 °CSublimation:Wethod:other: no dataYear:'Value:::<<<-10 °C	GLP	: no	
Value $= -36 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ Method $=$ otherYear $=$ GLP $=$ no dataTest substance $=$ Remark $=$ Beilstein 1998-1999 $($ $(0, 10, 2001)$ $($ Value $= -50 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ Method $=$ $(10, 2001)$ $($ Value $= -50 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ GLP $=$ $(10, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -30 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(10, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -30 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(10, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -30 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(10, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -30 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(10, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -10 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(10, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -10 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(210, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -10 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(210, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -10 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(210, 2002)$ $($ Value $= -10 \ ^{\circ} C$ Sublimation $=$ $(210, 202)$ $($ Value $($ $(210, 202)$ $($ Value $($ $(210, 202)$: other TS: source; not available	
Sublimation:Method:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substanceRemark:Beilstein 1998-199908.10.2001(Value:=-50 °CSublimation:Method:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source::O9.01.2002Value::=-30 °CSublimation:GLP::::Source:::::GLP:::	09.01.2002		(37)
Sublimation:Method:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substanceRemark:Beilstein 1998-199908.10.2001(Value:=-50 °CSublimation:Method:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source::O9.01.2002Value::=-30 °CSublimation:GLP::::Source:::::GLP:::			
Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 () Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : () Year : GLP Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . GLP : no data Test substance : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) . 09.01.2002 . <td< td=""><td></td><td>$= -36 ^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$</td><td></td></td<>		$= -36 ^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$	
Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 (Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : (Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : . Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : . Source : . Source : . Source : . Og.01.2002 . . Value : <=-10 °C		: 	
GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 (Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : . Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) . 09.01.2002 . . Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : . Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) . 09.01.2002 . . Value : <=-10 °C		: other	
Test substance : Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 (Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (09.01.2002 : (Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : : Method : other: not specified Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 09.01.2002 : (Value : <=-10 ° C			
Remark : Beilstein 1998-1999 08.10.2001 () Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : . Method : other: not specified Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . GLP : no data Test substance : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) . 09.01.2002 . . Value : <=-10 °C		i no data	
08.10.2001 () Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) () 09.01.2002 () Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation :		Beiletein 1998-1999	
Value : =-50 ° C Sublimation : Method : Method : Year : GLP : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : Sublimation : Method : Method : GLP : No data : Method : Wethod : Ogene : GLP : No data : Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense : EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 : Value : Value : Sublimation : Method : Other: not data Year :		. Densient 1990-1999	(18)
Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense : EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) : 09.01.2002 (Value : <=-10 °C	00.10.2001		(10)
Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense : EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (Value : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (V9.01.2002 (Value : <=-10 °C	Value	: =-50 °C	
Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : sublimation : Method : Year : GLP : Source : Model : GLP : Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense : EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 : Value : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 : Value : : : Wethod : : : Method : : : : : : :	Sublimation	:	
Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : sublimation : Method : Year : GLP : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Year : Og.01.2002 : Wethod : Og.01.2002 :	Method	: other: not specified	
Test substance : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (0 Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : other: not specified Year : other: not specified GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense : EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (0 09.01.2002 (0 Value : Sublimation : Method : UROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 : Value : Sublimation : Method : Wethod : Year :	Year		
Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : = -30 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : <= -10 ° C	GLP	: no data	
09.01.2002 EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Value : = -30 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense : EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Value : Value : Sublimation : Method : UROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (Test substance	:	
09.01.2002 () Value : = -30 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 () Value : <= -10 ° C	Source		
Value : =-30 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : Sublimation : Method : Method : Year :	<u></u>	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Sublimation : Method : Year : GLP : Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : Sublimation : Method : Year :	09.01.2002		(16)
Sublimation : Method : Year : GLP : Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : Sublimation : Method : Year :	Value	· _ 20 °C	
Method : other: not specified Year :		. – -50 C	
Year : no data GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 . . Value : <= -10 °C			
GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 Value : Sublimation : Method : Year :			
Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (1) Value : <= -10 ° C Sublimation : Method : other: no data Year :		: no data	
Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 09.01.2002 (1) Value : <= -10 ° C			
09.01.2002 EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Value : Sublimation : Method : Year :		: Atochem Paris la Defense	
09.01.2002 (Value : <= -10 ° C			
Sublimation : Method : other: no data Year :	09.01.2002	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(24)
Sublimation : Method : other: no data Year :			
Method : other: no data Year :		: <= -10 °C	
Year :			
		: other: no data	
Test substance : other TS: source; not available		: 	

PHYSICO-CHEMIC	AL DATA dd 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
2 Boiling Point		
Value	: = 186 ° C at 1013 hPa	
Decomposition Method	: 	
Year	: other: not specified	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: source; not available	
Source	: Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co., Inc.	
10.01.2002		(11)
Value	: == 182 -190 ° C at 1013 hPa	
Decomposition		
Method	: other: not specified	
Year		
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: Ataaham Daria la Dafares	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(45)
Value	: = 187 ° C at 1013 hPa	
Decomposition		
Method	: other: not specified	
Year GLP	: : no data	
Test substance	. 10 dala	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defens e	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(16)
Value	: = 183 ° C at 1013 hPa	
Decomposition	:	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year GLP	: : no data	
Test substance	. 10 0010	
Source		
	Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(40)
Value	: = 186.3 ° C at 1013 hPa	
Decomposition		
Method	: other: not specified	
Year		
GLP Toot out of one of	: no data	
Test substance	: 	
Source	: Ullmann (1978) Ullmann's Encyclopaedie der technischen Chemie, Band 16: 609-614	
10.01.2002		
Value Decomposition	: = 186.8 ° C at 1013 hPa	
Method	: ambiguous : other	
Year		
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
	: Pavlov et al (1972) J. Appl. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 45, 623-624	

2. PHYSICO-CHEMICAL DATA ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002		
2.3 Density		
Туре	: density	
Value	$= .934 \text{ g/cm3 at } 20^{\circ} \text{ C}$	
Method	: other: no data	
Year GLP		
GLP Test substance	: no data : other TS: source; not available	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.01.2002		(12)
Туре	: density	
Value	$= .932 \text{ g/cm3 at } 20^{\circ} \text{ C}$	
Method	: other: no data	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: other TS: source; not available	
09.01.2002		(37)
Туре	: density	
Value	: = .933 g/cm3 at 20° C	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year		
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Remark	: Vapour density: 6.54 kg/m3 at 20 degree C.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002	Lorton Entre Commissioner European orienticals buleau Ispla (VA)	(16)
Туре	: density	
Value	: = .93 g/cm3 at 25° C	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: Atashar Darish Dafa wa	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002		(26)
2.3.1 Granulometry		
2.4 Vapour Pressure		
Value	: = 1.1 hPa at 25° C	
Decomposition		
Method	other (calculated)	
Year GLP	: 1985 : no	
GLP Test substance	: other TS: source; not available	
Source	: SRC PhysProp Database	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
16.11.2001		(39)
Value		
Value	: ≤1.33 hPa at 25° C	
Decomposition Method	:	
40	UNEP Publications	

. PHYSICO-CHEMI	CAL DATA dd 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: other TS: source; not available	
09.01.2002		(12)
Value	: = 1 hPa at 20° C	
Decomposition		
Method	other (calculated): not specified	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION-European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002	EUROPEAN COMMISSION-European Chemicals Bureau Ispia (VA)	(16)
Value	: = 5 hPa at 50° C	
Decomposition	:	
Method	other (calculated): not specified	
Year	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
09.01.2002	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(16)
		(10)
Value	: = 13.3 hPa at 75° C	
Decomposition	:	
Method	other (calculated): not specified	
Year GLP	: 	
GLP Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
18.05.1994		(14)
5 Partition Coeffici	ent	
Log pow	: = 1.13 at 25° C	
Method	OECD Guideline 107 "Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), Flask-shaking	
	Method"	
Year	: 1997	
GLP	: ves	
Test substance	: other TS: source; Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd, Purity; 99.9 %	
Remark	: After partition equilibrium of the test substance was	
	established between n-octanol and water at three volume	
	ratios, the concentrations of the test substance of both	
	phase were determined with HPLC.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.01.2002		(12)
Log pow	: = .3 at ° C	
Method	other (calculated)	
Year	:	
	: no	
GLP	•	
GLP Test substance	•	
GLP	Calculated according to Leo and Hansch (Freitexttype method).	

2. PHYSICO-CHEMIC	CAL DATA dd 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
_		
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
00.04.0000	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	()
09.01.2002		(3
Log pow	: =.6 at °C	
Method	other (calculated)	
Year		
GLP		
Test substance	:	
Remark	: Calculated according to Rekker.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002		(4
2.6.1 Water Solubility		
Value	1061 all at 25 ° C	
Value Qualitative	: = 106.1 g/l at 25 ° C	
Pka	: at 25 ° C	
PH	. at 20 C	
Method	: other: no data	
Year	: 1996	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: other TS: source; no data	
Source	: SRC PhysPro Database	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
16.11.2001		(3
Value	: at °C	
Qualitative	. al C	
Pka	: 8.44 at 25 ° C	
PH	: at and °C	
08.10.2001		(1
		,
Value	: = 500 g/l at 20 ° C	
Qualitative		
Pka PH	: at 25 °C : = 8 at and °C	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year	. Other. not specified	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance		
Source	Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
18.05.1994		(1
Value	: ≥100 g/lat°C	``
Qualitative		
Pka	: at 25 ° C	
PH	: at and °C	
Method	:	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: other TS: source not available	
09.01.2002		(1
Remark	: Soluble in all proportions at 20 °C	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
09.01.2002	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(1

Date 16.2 Surface Te nsion7 Flash PointValue $: \geq 68.6 ° C$ Type:Clume:Value:Clume:Clume:Clume:Clume:Clume:Clume:Flag:Chical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.2002Value:Clume:Value:::Clume:::Open cupMethod:::GLP:: <th< th=""><th></th></th<>	
ZFlash PointValue: $\geq 68.6 ^{\circ}$ CType:dosed cupMethod:otherYear::GLP:no dataTest substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:Critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.2002::Value: $=57 ^{\circ}$ CType:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear::GLP:no dataTest substance:Source::Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002:Value:eff:open cupMethod:otherYape:open cupMethod:otherYear:::GLP::: <th>2867-47-2 0.01.2002</th>	2867-47-2 0.01.2002
ZFlash PointValue: $\geq 68.6 ^{\circ}$ CType:dosed cupMethod:otherYear::GLP:no dataTest substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:Critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.2002::Value: $=57 ^{\circ}$ CType:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear::GLP:no dataTest substance:Source::Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002:Value:eff:open cupMethod:otherYape:open cupMethod:otherYear:::GLP::: <th></th>	
Value $\leq >68.6 \degree C$ Type $closed cup$ Method $closed cup$ Method $closed cup$ Year $closed cup$ Year $closed cup$ GLP $closed cup$ Fissubstance $closed cup$ Flag $closed cup$ Value $closed cup$	
Type:closed cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:Critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.2002:Value:= 57° CType:other.Method:other. not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002:Value:etast substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method::otherYear:QLO2Value:= 73.9 ° CType:other: no dataMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:Op.01.2002Value:= 73.9 ° CType:::::::::::::: <td></td>	
Type:closed cupMethod:otherYear:odataTest substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.2002:Value: $=57°C$ Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002:Value:= 65°CType:open cupMethod:otherYar:EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002::Value:= 65°CType:otherYear::GLP:no dataTest substance:::Remark::Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY):Source::::Value:::':':Value:::::::::::::::::::::::::::: <td></td>	
Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : other TS: source; not available Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 : other Value : =57 ° C Type : other: not specified Year : other: not specified Year : no data Test substance : Source Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : =65 ° C Type : open cup Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau : 09.01.2002 : : Value	
Year:GLP:no dataTest substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:Critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.2002:.Value: $= 57 ^{\circ} C$ Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:.GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la DefenseEUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002:Value:= 65 ° CType:open cupMethod:otherYear::GLP:::otherYear::: <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
Test substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:Critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.20020Value: $=57 ^{\circ} C$ Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la DefenseEUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:= 65 ° CType:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:::GLP:no dataTest substance:::	
Test substance:other TS: source; not availableFlag:Critical study for SIDS endpoint09.01.20020Value: $=57 ^{\circ} C$ Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la DefenseEUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:= 65 ° CType:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:GLP:no dataTest substance:::GLP:no dataTest substance:::	
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 Value : = 57 ° C Type : other Method : other: not specified Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : = 65 ° C Type : open cup Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 0 09.01.2002 : Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other QP : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : GLP : other: no data Method : other:	
09.01.2002Value: $=57 ^{\circ} C$ Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la DefenseEUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value: $=65 ^{\circ} C$ Type:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la DefenseEUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002:Value: $=73.9 ^{\circ} C$ Type:other: no dataMethod:otherYear::GLP:no dataMethod:otherYear::GLP:no dataTest substance::: </td <td></td>	
Value: $=57 ^{\circ} C$ Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value: $=65 ^{\circ} C$ Type:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002:Value: $=73.9 ^{\circ} C$ Type:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataOg.01.2002::Value: $=73.9 ^{\circ} C$ Type:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataTest substance:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: <td>(3</td>	(3
Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:= $65 ° C$ Type:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Og.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Og.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Og.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Other: no data::::::::::::::::::::<	(0
Type:otherMethod:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:= $65 ° C$ Type:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Og.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Og.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Og.01.2002Value:= $73.9 ° C$ Type:Other: no dataMethod:Other: no data::::::::::::::::::::<	
Method:other: not specifiedYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I09.01.2002Value:Value::Type:open cup open cup MethodMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) SourceSource::Q.01.2002:Value:= 73.9 ° C TypeType:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod::Og.01.2002::Value:= 73.9 ° C TypeType:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod::Og.01.2002:Value:= 73.9 ° C TypeType::::Wethod::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: <td></td>	
Year:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value:= 65 ° CType:open cupMethod:otherYear::GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002:Value:= 73.9 ° CType:other: no dataMethod:otherYear::GLP::other: no data::: </td <td></td>	
GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la DefenseEUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value:= 65 ° CType:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:O9.01.2002Value:= 73.9 ° CType:other: no dataMethod:GLP::GLP::Gure::: <td></td>	
Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : Type : Open cup Method : GLP : rest substance : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : Value : ''ype : other: no data Method : Other Year GLP : other: no data Og.01.2002 Value : GLP : : : GLP : : : QLP : : : GLP : : : QLP :	
Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 Value : = 65 ° C Type : open cup Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 : Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 : atochem Paris la Defense Value : atochem Paris la Defense UROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002	
09.01.2002 EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau 1 Value : =65 ° C Type : open cup Method : other Year :	
09.01.2002 Value : = 65 ° C Type : open cup Method : other Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : . 09.01.2002 : . Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau : 09.01.2002 : : Value : =74 ° C <	sora(1/A)
Type:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value:Value:= 73.9 ° CType:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value:Type:Og.01.2002	(4
Type:open cupMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Remark:Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY)Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value:Value:= 73.9 ° CType:other: no dataMethod:other: no dataMethod:otherYear:GLP:no dataTest substance:Source:Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau09.01.2002Value:Type:Og.01.2002	
Method : other Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 : = 73.9 ° C Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau : 09.01.2002 : . Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
Year : GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 : = 73.9 ° C Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 : : Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
GLP : no data Test substance : Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 : = 73.9 ° C Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 : : Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
Test substance : Remark : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : Type : other: no data Method : Year : GLP : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : GLP : <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<>	
Remark : Method: DIN 51 584 (A. PENSKY) Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : no data Test substance : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 Value : = 74 ° C Yape : closed cup	
Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 : = 73.9 ° C Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : GLP : no data Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 Value Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
09.01.2002 EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau : 09.01.2002 : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
09.01.2002 Value : = 73.9 ° C Type : other: no data Method : other Year : : GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 1 09.01.2002 : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	spra (VA)
Type : other: no data Method : other Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense UROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau . 09.01.2002 : =74 ° C Type : closed cup	
Method : other Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 . . Value : =74 ° C Type : closed cup	
Method : other Year : . GLP : no data Test substance : . Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 . . Value : =74 ° C Type : closed cup	
GLP : no data Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : Type : closed cup	
Test substance : Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau 09.01.2002 Value : Type : closed cup	
Source : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I 09.01.2002 : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
09.01.2002 EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau I Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
09.01.2002 Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	
09.01.2002 Value : = 74 ° C Type : closed cup	spra (VA)
Type : closed cup	. (4
Type : closed cup	
Method : other	
Year :	
GLP : no data	
Test substance :	
Remark : Method: DIN 51758	
Source : Atochem Paris la Defense	
EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau	spra (VA)

Auto Flammability 2.8

2. PHYSICO-CHEMIC	AL DATA dd 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
Value Method Year GLP Test substance Source 09.01.2002 2.9 Flammability 2.10 Explosive Propertie	 = 255 ° C other: not specified no data Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 	(16)
Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Source 09.01.2002 2.11 Oxidizing Propertie 2.12 Additional Remark		(16)
Remark Source 09.01.2002	 Henry's constant : 3.144 10E -2 pa m3/mol Usually control the concentration of the additive and verify the clearness of the product 1 mg/m3 = 0.155 ppm 1 ppm = 6.431 mg/m3 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 	(16)

OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLA	
3. ENVIRONMENTA	AL FATE AND PATHWAYS Id 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
3.1.1 Photodegradation		
	•	
Туре	: air	
Light source	: Sun light	
Light spect.	: nm	
Rel. intensity	: based on Intensity of Sunlight	
Conc. of subst.	: at 25 ° C	
Indirect photolysis		
Sensitizer	: OH	
Conc. of sens.	: 500000 molecule/cm3	
Rate constant	: = 9.918E -11. cm3/(molecule*sec)	
Degradation	= 50 % after 4 hour(s)	
Deg. Product	:	
Method	: other (calculated)	
Year	:	
GLP	: no	
Test substance	:	
Result	: Photodegradation is estimated as ca.4 hrs, employing the following	
	calculation model.	
Courses	T1/2(photo air OH)=0.693/(9.918E -11 * 5.0E5)/3600	
Source	: SRC PhysProp Database	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(00)
12.12.2001		(32)
Type t1/2 pH4	: abiotic : stable at 50 ° C	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9	: stable at 50 ° C	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial -Final Test 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial -Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	: stable at 50 ° C : = 4.5 day at 25 ° C : = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C pH9; 30, 40 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C pH9; 30, 40 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH; pH7 and pH9 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C pH9; 30, 40 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH7 and pH9 d) Number of Replicates: 2 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product Method	<pre>stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial</pre>	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product Method Method	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C pH9; 30, 40 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH7 and pH9 d) Number of Replicates: 2 As a result of the preliminary test, 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is not decomposed at pH4 and 50°C in water after 5 days. 	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product Method	<pre>stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial</pre> -Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C pH9; 30, 40 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH7 and pH9 d) Number of Replicates: 2 : As a result of the preliminary test, 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is not decomposed at pH4 and 50°C in water after 5 days. : source: Wako Pure Chemical Industries, LTD.	
t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. Product Method Year GLP Test substance Deg. Product Method Method	 stable at 50 ° C = 4.5 day at 25 ° C = 3.3 hour(s) at 25 ° C OECD Guideline 111 "Hydrolysis as a Function of pH" 1993 no data other TS 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethylaminoethanol 79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid -Preliminary Test a) Water Temperature: 50 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH4 d) Number of Replicates: 2 e) Test Period: 5 days f) Exposure Vessel Type: Glass Vial Final Test a) Water Temperature: pH7; 50, 60 70 °C pH9; 30, 40 °C b) Nominal Concentration: ca. 100 mg/L c) pH: pH7 and pH9 d) Number of Replicates: 2 As a result of the preliminary test, 2-Dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate is not decomposed at pH4 and 50°C in water after 5 days. 	

	AL FATE AND PATHWAYS Id 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
09.01.2002	(12
Туре	: abiotic
t1/2 pH4	: at degree C
	: at degree C
t1/2 pH7	
t1/2 pH9	: at degree C
Remark	 The substance was reported to be unstabel in water at 20°C. At 80°C and an initial concentration of 0.48 mM complete hydrolysis to methacrylic acid and N,N -dimethylamino ethanol was observed. At pH 4 and temperatures between 20 and 70°C practically no hydrolysis was reported. Réf. : Kazantsev, O.A., Zilberman E.N., Salov V.N., Krasnov V.L.; Transformation of N,N-Dimethylaminoethylmethacrylate and acrylic acid in aqueous solutions ; Zh. Prikl. Khim. 60(9), 2142-2145 (1987).
Result	 Hydrolysis of dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, studied in 2.5% HCl at 25 and 40 degree C (96h) was found negligible. In 2.5% NaOH solution, at 25 degree C, 80% of the ester was hydrolysed within 25 minutes. Rate of alkaline hydrolysis of dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate in H2O and aq. EtOH decreased with increasing EtOH concentration (0-60%).The reaction was of the first order with respect to ester and the OH- ions.
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
18.05.1994	(20) (21) (22
Type Radiolabel Concentration Soil temp.	conter: hydrolysis content: co
Soil humidity Soil classif.	
	The substance is expected to be susceptible to hydrolysis in particular in
Soil classif. Year	 The substance is expected to be susceptible to hydrolysis in particular in alkaline soils. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Soil classif. Year Remark	alkaline soils. : Atochem Paris la Defense
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994	alkaline soils. : Atochem Paris la Defense
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data	alkaline soils. : Atochem Paris la Defense
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data 3.3.1 Transport betwe	alkaline soils. : Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data 3.3.1 Transport betwe 3.3.2 Distribution Media	 alkaline soils. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) een environmental compartments air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data 3.3.1 Transport betwe 3.3.2 Distribution Media Method	 alkaline soils. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) een environmental compartments air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water Calculation according Mackay, Level III
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data 3.3.1 Transport betwe 3.3.2 Distribution Media Method Year	 alkaline soils. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) seen environmental compartments air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water Calculation according Mackay, Level III 2001
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data 3.3.1 Transport betwe 3.3.2 Distribution Media Method	 alkaline soils. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) een environmental compartments air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water Calculation according Mackay, Level III
Soil classif. Year Remark Source 18.05.1994 3.2 Monitoring data 3.3.1 Transport betwe 3.3.2 Distribution Media Method Year	 alkaline soils. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) seen environmental compartments air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water Calculation according Mackay, Level III 2001

OECD SIDS2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE3. ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND PATHWAYSId 2867-47-2

Date 10.01.2002

Result	 in water: 110 in soil: 110 in sediment: 330 temp. [C]: 25 The potential environmental distribution of MADAME obtained from a generic fugacity model Mackay level III under three emission scenarios is shown in Table. The results show that if MADAME is released into water, it is unlikely to migrate into other compartments. When MADAME is released to air, it is likely to be transported both to water and soil.
	Compartment to air Relese 100% to water to soil Relese 100% Relese 100% Air 72.1% 0.0% 0.1% Water 13.6% 99.7% 5.7% Soil 14.2% 0.0% 94.2% Sediment 0.0% 0.2% 0.0%
Flag Source	Critical study for SIDS endpointMitsubushi Gas Chemical Company, Inc., unpublished data
12.12.2001	
Media Method Year Result	 air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water Calculation according Mackay, Level I 1993 Air: 1.09 % Water: 98.86 % Soil: 0.02 % Sediment: 0.02 % Suspended Aquatic mat.: 0 %
	Biota : 0 % Fugacity : 4.44 10E7 Pa Compound properties and parameters for calculation : molecular weight : 157.2 g/mol aqueous solubility : 510E5 g/m3 vapour pressure : 1 10E2 Pa Henry's constant : 3.144 10E2 Pa m3/mol log Pow : 0.45 Temperature : 20°C
Source	Fugacity : 4.44 10E7 Pa Compound properties and parameters for calculation : molecular weight : 157.2 g/mol aqueous solubility : 510E5 g/m3 vapour pressure : 1 10E2 Pa Henry's constant : 3.144 10E2 Pa m3/mol

3.4 Mode of degradation in actual use

3.5 Biodegradation

Type Inoculum Concentration	:	aerobic predominantly domestic sewage 20mg/l related to related to
Contact time Degradation Result Deg. Product	::	= 95.3 % after 28 day readily biodegradable

	AL FATE AND PATHWAYS dd 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
Mathad	CECD Quideline 201 E "Deedy biodegradebility Medified OFCD Correspond	
Method	: OECD Guideline 301 E "Ready biodegradability: Modified OECD Screening	
Veer	Test" : 1980	
Year GLP	: no data	
Test substance	. TIO Udia	
Remark	: Method: also EG-Richtlinie 84/449/EWG, Teil C.3 im EG-Amtsblatt L251,	
_	ISO 7824 (1984).	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
-	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Test condition	: Concentration: 20 mg/l related to BOD.	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	<i></i>
09.01.2002		(47
Dear Dreakset		
Deg. Product	: yes	
Method Year		
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	. 100.01.0. 202.542.9.2 dimethyle minesthered	
Deg. Product	: 108-01-0 203-542-8 2-dimethyla minoethanol	
Deeult	79-41-4 201-204-4 methacrylic acid	
Result	: MADAME becomes Methacrylic acid (MAA) and	
	2-Dimethylaminoethanol (DMAE) by hydrolysis. Their biodegradation data	
	are shown below.	
	Methacrylic acid	
	-Method: MITI (I) method (1974), corresponding to the OECD 301C (1981). -Test Substance:	
	a)Degree of Purity: >=99.0% -Concentration: =100mg/L related to Test substance	
	-Test Conditions:	
	a)Water Temperature: 24-26 °C	
	b)Inoculum: standardized activated sludge, 30 mg/L assuspended solid	
	c)Aeration: aerated by atmospheric air	
	d)Exposure Vessel Type: 300 mL culture bottle	
	e)Number of Replicate: 3	
	-Degradation: = 89-94% after 14 days (readily biodegradable)	
	-Year: 1993	
	-Reference: CERI, Japan, Report No. 21114, Chemicals Evaluation and	
	Research Institute, Japan, unpublished data.	
	2-Dimethylaminoethanol	
	-Method: MITI (I) method (1974), corresponding to the OECD 301C (1981).	
	-Concentration: =100mg/L related to Test substance	
	-Degradation: = 60.5% after 14 days (readily biodegradable)	
	-Year: 1976	
	-Reference: CERI, Japan, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute,	
	Japan, unpublished data.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
		(11
10.01.2002		

BOD5, COD or BOD5/ COD ratio 3.6

3.7 Bioaccumulation

3.8 Additional remarks

OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE
5. ECOTOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
4.1 Acute/prolonged to	xicity to fish
Type Species	 semistatic Oryzias latipes (Fish, fresh water)
Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring	: 96 hour(s) : mg/l : yes
LC50 Method	: = 19.1 : OECD Guideline 203 "Fish, Acute Toxicity Test"
Year GLP	: 1997 : yes
Test substance	: other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063
Method	 -Test Organisms: a) Size (length and weight): 2.1 cm (2.0 - 2.3 cm) in length; 0.13 g (0.10 - 0.20 g) in weight b) Age: Not described c) Any pretreatment: Acclimated for several days before testing, any groups showing > 5 % mortality were not used for testing. Not fed for 24 hours before the test started. d) Supplier/Source: SANKYO LAB SERVICE CO., LTD. (JAPAN)
	-Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 3 L test solution in a 3 L Glass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: No stock solution was prepared for the tests. The test substance was directly dissolved in 3 L- dilution water.
	 g) Number of Replicates: 1 h) Fish per Replicates: 10 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance is not stable in water j) Water Temperature: 23.0 - 25.0 °C k) Light Condition: 16:8 hours, light-darkness cycle l) Feeding: No m) Water hardness: 30.3 mg/L
Method, cont.	 Analytical Procedure: The tested concentrations were measured at 0 hour and 24 hours (before exchange of test solution) by High Performance Liquid Chromatography method.
Result	-Statistical Method: a) Data Analysis: ProbitMethod for LC50 b) Method of Calculating Mean Measured Concentrations (i.e. arithmetic mean, geometric mean, etc.): Geometric Mean Measured Concentrations (as mg/L): 6.47, 10.8, 14.2, 23.0 and 35.0 after 24 h exposure (65 - 35 % of the nominal concentrations)
	Measured Concentration of MADAME during a 24-hour Exposure Test Condition (Fish, 96h)

5. ECOTOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE ld 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
	Nominal Measured Conc. (mg/L) Percent
	Conc. 0 Hour 24 Hour Geometric of
	mg/L new old Mean Nominal
	Control N.D. N.D. N.D. 10 9.20 4.55 6.47 65
	18 17.0 6.86 10.8 60
	32 24.1 8.37 14.2 44
	56 41.3 12.8 23.0 41
	100 88.7 13.8 35.0 35 new: freshly prepared test solutions
	old: test solutions after 24 hours exposure period
	- Water chemistry in test (pH and DO): pH 7.22- 7.61 (control), DO 5.31 - 8.70 mg/L
	-Effect Data(mortality):
	96hr LC50 =19.1mg/L (95% Confidence Interval:15.8-23.5mg/L)
	- Cumulative Mortality:
	Nominal Concentration Cumulative N umber of Dead mg/L 24hr 48hr 72hr 96hr
	Control 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0
	18 0 0 1 1
	32 0 0 1 2
	56 3 3 4 6 100 10 10 10
Result, cont.	: -Other Effect
	Symptom of Toxicity Observed in Orange killfish (Oryzias latipes)
	Nominal
	Concentration Symptom
	mg/L 24hr 48hr 72hr 96hr Control Normal Normal Normal
	10 Normal Normal Normal Normal
	18 Normal Normal Normal Normal 32 Convulsion Convulsion Rolling
	Rolling 56 Convulsion Convulsion Normal
	Rolling 100 All dead
	- Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations,
	because the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal
Reliability	concentrations : (1) valid without restriction
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
09.01.2002	(38
Type Species	: semistatic : <i>Oryzias latipes</i> (Fish, fresh water)
Exposure period	: 14 day

. ECOTOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLAT					
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002					
Analytical monitoring	: yes					
LC0	: = 1.36					
LC50	: = 5.26					
Method	: OECD Guideline 204 "Fish, Prolonged Toxicity Test: 14-day Study"					
Year	: 1997					
GLP	: yes					
Test substance	: other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063					
Method	-Test Organisms: a) Size (length and weight): 2.12 cm (2.0- 2.3 cm) in length; 0.122 g (0.101					
	- 0.152 g) in weight b) Age: Not described					
	c) Any pretreatment: Acclimated for several days before testing, any groups showing > 5 % mortality were not used for testing. Not fed for 24					
	hours before the test started. d) Supplier/Source: SANKYO LAB SERVISE CO., LTD. (JAPAN)					
	u) Suppliel/Source. SAINT OLAB SERVISE CO., LTD. (JAPAN)					
	-Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described					
	b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described					
	c) Exposure Vessel Type: 5 L test solution in a 5 L-Glass Beaker					
	d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 2.2, 4.6, 10, 22 and 46					
	e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used					
	f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: No stock solution was					
	prepared for the tests. The test substance was directly dissolved in 5 L-					
	dilution water.					
	g) Number of Replicates: 1 h) Fish per Replicates: 10					
	i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance					
	is not stable in water					
	j) Water Temperature: 23.0 - 25.0 ℃					
	k) Light Condition: 16:8 hours, light-darkness cycle					
	I) Feeding: No					
	m) Water hardness: 30.3 mg/L					
	- Analytical Procedure: The tested concentrations were measured at 0 hour,					
	7 days and 13 days (after exchanges of the test solution, and after 24					
	hours) by High Performance Liquid Chromatography method.					
	-Statistical Method: a) Data Analysis: Probit Method for LC50					
	b) Method of Calculating Mean Measured Concentrations (i.e. arithmetic					
	mean, geometric mean, etc.): Time-weighted Mean measured concentration					
	during 14 days					
Result	- Measured Concentrations (as mg/L): 1.36, 2.96, 5.86, 10.1					
	and 21.0 (Time-weighted Mean during 14 days, 62 - 46 % of					
	the nominal concentrations)					
	Measured Concentration of MADAME during a 24-hour Exposure Test Condition (Fish, 14d)					
	Nominal Measured Conc. (mg/L) Percent of Nominal					
	Conc. 0 day 1 day mg/L new old new old					
	Control N.D. N.D					
	2.2 1.87 1.05 85 48					
	4.6 4.57 2.15 99 47					
	10 10.0 4.16 100 42					
	22 18.1 6.19 82 28					
	46 46.4 8.64 101 19					

5. ECOTOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	Nominal Measured Conc. (mg/L) Percent of Nominal
	Conc. 7 day 8 day
	mg/L new old new old
	Control N.D. N.D
	2.2 1.81 0.97 82 44
	4.6 4.23 1.99 92 43
	10 9.36 3.07 94 31 22 18.7 4.28 85 19
	22 18.7 4.28 85 19 46 44.7 6.19 97 13
	Nominal Measured Conc. (mg/L) Percent of Nominal Conc. 13 day 14 day
	mg/L new old new old
	Control N.D. N.D
	2.2 1.73 0.98 79 45
	4.6 3.95 1.75 86 38
	10 9.16 2.68 92 27 22 19.3 3.73 88 17
	46
Result, cont.	: Nominal Time-weighted Mean
	Conc. during14 day mg/L mg/L
	Control
	2.2 1.36
	4.6 2.96
	10 5.86
	22 10.1 46 20.0
	46 20.0
	new: freshly prepared test solutions
	old: test solutions after 24 hours exposure period
	- Water chemistry in test (pH and DO): pH 7.27- 7.80
	(control), pH 7.58 - 8.80 (46 mg/L), DO 5.31 - 8.70 mg/L
	Effect Data/mortality)
	-Effect Data(mortality) 14 days LC50 = 5.26mg/L(95% Confidence Interval:13.87-7.03 mg/L)
	14 days LC0 = 2.96 mg/L
	- Cumulative Mortality:
	Nominal
	concentration Cumulative Number of Dead mg/L 1d 2d 3d 4d 5d 6d 7d 8d 9d 10d 11d 12d 13d 14d
	4.6 00000000000122
	10 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 5 5 5 5
	22 1 1 2 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 9
	46 7 8 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	-Other Effect
	Symptom of Toxicity Observed in Orange killfish (Oryzias latipes)

ECOTOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	Nominal
	Concentration Symptom
	mg/L 0d 7d 10d 14d
	Control Normal Normal Normal
	2.2 Normal Normal Normal
	4.6 Normal Normal Normal
	10 Normal Anorexia Anorexia Aorexia
	dull behavior
Result, cont.	22 Convulsion Anorexia Anorexia Anorexia
	(light) dull behavior dull behavior dull behavior 46 Convulsion Anorexia all dead
	Dead(6 fishes) dull behavior
	Mean Fish Weight and Length (14 days)
	Nominal
	Concentration
	mg/L weight(mg/L) length(mm)
	Control 126.9 21.3
	2.2 138.5 21.8
	4.6 118.6 21.1 10 139.0 22.8
	22 174 24.0
	46
	- Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations,
	because the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal
	concentrations
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
09.01.2002	(38
Type Species	: other: Osteichtyes (Common Name: Bony fish superclass)
Exposure period	: 72 hour(s)
Unit	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring	
LC50	: = 150
Method	
	. 1075
	19/5
Year GLP	: 1975 :
Year	: : : 1972
Year GLP	
Year GLP Test substance	
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001	: : :
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species	
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type	: : : <i>Carassius auratus</i> (Fish, fresh water) : 72 hour(s)
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period	: : : <i>Carassius auratus</i> (Fish, fresh water)
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring	: : : <i>Carassius auratus</i> (Fish, fresh water) : 72 hour(s) : mg/l
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period Unit	: : : <i>Carassius auratus</i> (Fish, fresh water) : 72 hour(s) : mg/l : no data
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring LC50 Method Year	: : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring LC50 Method	: : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring LC50 Method Year	: : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Year GLP Test substance 11.12.2001 Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring LC50 Method Year GLP	: : : : : : : : : : : : : :

5. ECOTOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
08.12.1993	(43)
Time		
Туре		
Species	: Leuciscus idus (Fish, fresh water)	
Exposure period	: 48 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/l	
Analytical monitoring	:	
LC0	: = 300	
LC50	: = 331-592	
LC100	= 600	
Method	: other	
Year	:	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark	: Method: DIN 38412, Teil 15.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
11 12 2001		EA.
11.12.2001	(51)
4.2 Acute toxicity to aq	uatic invertebrates	
Туре	: semistatic	
Species	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea)	
-		
Exposure period	: 48 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/l	
Analytical monitoring	: yes	
NOEC	: = 18.7	
EC50	: = 33	
Method	: OECD Guideline 202, part 1 "Daphnia sp., Acute Immobilisation Test"	
Year	: 1997	
GLP	: yes	
	: other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No.	
GLP Test substance	: other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063	
GLP	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 - Test Organisms: 	
GLP Test substance	: other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 - Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 - Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 - Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) Test Conditions: 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) Test Conditions: 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) Test Conditions: 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL- 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL- dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance is not stable in water 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance is not stable in water j) Water Temperature: 19.7 - 20.0 °C 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance is not stable in water j) Water Temperature: 19.7 - 20.0 °C k) Light Condition: 16:8 hours, light/darkness cycle 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance is not stable in water j) Water Temperature: 19.7 - 20.0 °C k) Light Condition: 16:8 hours, lightdarkness cycle l) Feeding: No 	
GLP Test substance	 other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No. WTL5063 -Test Organisms: a) Age: < 24 hours old b) Supplier/Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN) -Test Conditions: a) Dilution Water Source: Not described b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described c) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 18, 32, 56, 100, 180 and 320 e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: For 320 mg/L and 180 mg/L test concentrations, the test substance were dissolved in each 100 mL-dilution water. For other test concentrations, 1.0 % stock solution was prepared. g) Number of Replicates: 4 h) Individuals per Replicates: 5 i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance is not stable in water j) Water Temperature: 19.7 - 20.0 °C k) Light Condition: 16:8 hours, light/darkness cycle 	

. ECOTOXICITY		
Leoroment		2867-47-2 10.01.2002
	and 24 hours (before exchanges of the test solution) by High	
	Liquid Chromatography method.	
	- Statistical Method: a) Data Analysis: Probit Method for EC50	
	b) Method of Calculating Mean Measured Concentrations (i.e	e.arithmetic
Domork	mean, geometric mean, etc.): Geometric Mean	
Remark Result	 NOEC was determined based on immobility. - Measured Concentrations (as mg/L): 10.5, 18.7, 29.7, 43.7, (58 - 37 % of the nominal concentrations) 	70.4 and 119
	Measured Concentration of MADAME during a 24-hour Expose Condition (<i>Daphnia magna</i> , 48h)	sure Test
	Nominal Measured Conc. (mg/L) Percent	
	Conc. 0 Hour 24 Hour Geometric of	
	mg/L new old Mean Nominal Control N.D. N.D. N.D.	
	18 15.0 7.33 10.5 58	
	32 30.1 11.6 18.7 58	
	56 51.7 17.0 29.7 53	
	100 76.2 25.0 43.7 44	
	180 145 34.2 70.0 39 320 285 49.4 119 37	
	 Water chemistry in test (pH and DO): pH 7.52-7.67 (control 8.93 mg/L Effect Data(immobilization): 48hr EC50 = 33mg/L 48hr NOEC = 18.7mg/L Cumulative Number of Immobilized Parental Daphnia 	
	Nominal Concentration Cumulative Number of Immobilized Daphnia	
	magna	
	mg/L 24hr 48hr	
	Control 0 0	
	32 0 0 56 0 4	
	100 6 20	
	180 12 20	
	320 20 20	
	the values including dead Daphnia magna	
	 Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concent because the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the no 	
	concentrations.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag 09.01.2002	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(38)
Туре	:	
Species	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea)	
Exposure period	: 48 hour(s)	
Unit Applytical manifesting	: mg/l	
Analytical monitoring EC50	: no : = 53	

5. ECOTOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
Year	: 1989
GLP	: yes
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Remark	EC(1)50, 24 h = 73 mg/l
	··· •
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense
08.12.1993	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (15)
00.12.1000	
4.3 Toxicity to aquatic	plants e.g. algae
Species	: Selenastrum capricornutum (Algae)
Endpoint	: biomass
Exposure period	: 72 hour(s)
Unit	
	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring	: yes
NOEC	: = 18
EC50	: = 41.6
Method	: other: OECDGuideline 201
Year	: 1997
GLP	: yes
Test substance	other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No.
	WTL5063
Method	: - Test Organisms:
	a) Method of Cultivation: Subculturing in OECD medium until use
	b) Stain Number: Not described
	c) Supplier/Source: Not described
	- Test Conditions:
	a) Medium: OECD medium
	b) Exposure Vessel Type: 100 mL Medium in a 300 mL Conical Flask
	c) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100
	d) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used.
	e) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: The concentration of the test
	substance in the stock solution was 10,000 mg/L.
	f) Number of Replicates: 3
	g) Initial Cell Number: 10,000 cells/mL
	h) Water Temperature: 22.8 - 23.2 °C
	i) Light Condition: 4,000 - 5,000 lux, continues
	m) Water hardness: 30.3 mg/L
	m) water hardness. 30.5 mg/L
	- Analytical Procedure: The tested concentrations were measured at the
	start (0 hour) and the end (72 hours) of the tests by High Performance
	Liquid Chromatography method.
	- Statistical Method:
	a) Data Analysis: Not described
	b) Method of Calculating Mean Measured Concentrations (i.e. arithmetic
	mean, geometric mean, etc.): Not calculated
Remark	: NOEC was determined based on growth inhibition.
	The hydrolysis rate is extremely large at higher pH (half life: 4.54days at
	pH7 and 3.31 hours at pH9). MADAME is hydrolyzed to Methaclylic acid
	(MAA) and 2-Dimethylaminoethanol (DMAE). There is some possibility of
	effects of MAA or DMAE instead of MADAME on the aquatic organisms.
Result	: Measured Concentrations (as mg/L): 72 hours; N.D., 0.07, 0.30, 0.86 and
	2.18 (0.39 - 2.18 % of nominal concentrations)
	Measured Concentration during a 72-hour exposure to
	Measured Concentration during a 72-hour exposure to

					Ы	2867-47-2	
						2007-47-2	
					Duto	10.01.2002	
	Selenas	trum capr	ricornutum				
	Nomina	l Meas	ured Conc. (m	a/L)			
	Conc.	0 Hour			Percent of		
	mg/L		Nominal		Nominal		
	Control	N.D.		N.D.			
	10	8.82	88.2	N.D.			
	18	15.2	84.4	0.07	0.39		
	32 56	25.9	80.9	0.30	0.94		
	56 100	48.3 83.4	86.3 83.4	0.86 2.18	1.54 2.18		
	100	00.4	00.4	2.10	2.10		
					03-9.25 at the	start of the test,	
			he end of the te	est			
	-Effect D						
	area me		44.0 // (050			40 5 41	
				6 Confidence	e Interval: 37.3-	46.5mg/L)	
	rate met	= 18mg/L					
			-69.7ma/l (959	% Confidenc	e Interval: 57.1	-85 1mg/l)	
		= 56mg/L				00. mg/L)	
				% Confidenc	e Interval: 74.5	-94.8mg/L)	
		= 32mg/L				6,	
	- Mean C	Cell Conce	entration of Ead	ch Flask (as	cells/mL)		
	Nomina		l density of Sel				
	Concent		Cell Density (x		s/mL)		
	mg/L	0hr	24hr 48h				
	Control 10	1.0 1.0	3.6 18.7 3.5 15.2				
	10		4.0 16.3	83.9 80.3			
	32		2.8 12.8	53.7			
	56		2.3 6.6	30.2			
	100		1.0 0.36				
Daliahilita			Log phase duri	ng the test p	eriod		
Reliability		without re					
Flag 09.01.2002	. Chucal s	source of S	SIDS endpoint				(38
03.01.2002							(50
.4 Toxicity to microorga	nisms e.g. b	acteria					
Turno	· ocuatia						
Type Species	: aquatic	monae nu	<i>itida</i> (Bacteria)				
Exposure period	: 18 hour(adde (Dacteria)				
Unit	: mg/l	~)					
Analytical monitoring	: no data						

Type Species Exposure period Unit Analytical monitoring EC10 Method Year GLP Test substance		aquatic <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> (Bacteria) 18 hour(s) mg/l no data = 42.7 other no data	
Remark Source 10.01.2002	:	Method: Bringmann-Kuehn. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION-European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(48)
	<i>a</i> .		(10)

4.5.1 Chronic toxicity to fish

OECD SIDS 5. ECOTOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE
J. ECOTOXICIT I	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
4.5.2 Chronic toxicity to a	quatic invertebr ates
Species	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea)
Endpoint	: reproduction rate
Exposure period	: 21 day
Unit	: mg/l
Analytical monitoring	: yes
NOEC	: = 4.35
EC50	: = 7.86
LC50 Mathed	= 16.6
Method Year	: other: OECD Guideline 211 : 1997
GLP	
Test substance	: yes : other TS: Wako Pure Chemical Industries,Ltd., Purity 99.0 %, Lot No.
Test substance	WTL5063
Method	: - Test Organisms:
	a) Age: < 24 hours old
	b) Supplier / Source: National Institute for Environmental Studies (JAPAN)
	- Test Conditions:
	a) Dilution Water Source: Not described
	b) Dilution Water Chemistry: Not described
	c) Exposure Vessel Type: 80 mL test solution in a 100 mLGlass Beaker
	d) Nominal Concentrations (as mg/L): 0, 0.632, 2.0, 6.32, 20 and 63.2
	e) Vehicle/Solvent and Concentrations: Not used
	f) Stock Solutions Preparations and Stability: 1.0% stack solution was
	prepared.
	g) Number of Replicates: 10
	h) Individuals per Replicates: 1
	i) Renewal Rate of Test Water: Every 24 hours because the test substance
	is not stable in water
	j) Water Temperature: 20.4 - 21.0 ℃ k) Light Condition: 16:8 hours, light-darkness cycle, not more than 1,200
	l) Feeding: 0.18 mg carbon/day/individual (Chlorella Vulgaris)
	m) Water hardness: 30.3 mg/L
	- Analytical Procedure: The tested concentrations were measured before
	and after renewal of the test water by High Performance Liquid
	Chromatography method. Total of 8 times
	were measured during the test period.
	- Statistical Method:
	a) Data Analysis: Probit Method for LC50, Logit Method for EC50
	b) Method of Calculating Mean Measured Concentrations (i.e. arithmetic
	mean, geometric mean, etc.): Time-weighted Mean measured concentration
_ .	during 21 days
Remark	: NOEC was determined based on the cumulative number of juveniles
Desult	produced per adult alive for 21 days.
Result	: Effect: reproduction
	- Measured Concentrations (as mg/L): 0.479, 1.44, 4.35, 11.2 and 33.8 (76 -
	54 % of the nominal concentrations)
	Measured Concentration of MADAME during a 21-day Exposure of Daphnia
	magna under Semi-Static Test Condition

<u>OECD SIDS</u> 5. ECOTOXICITY					AMINOETHYLM	2867-47-2
						10.01.2002
	Nominal	Measu	ured Conc	. (mg/L)	Percent of Nominal	
	Conc.	0 day	1 day			
	mg/L	new	old	new	old	
	Control	N.D.	N.D.			
	0.632	0.695	0.343	110	54	
	2.0	1.90	0.97	95	49	
	6.32	6.14	2.79	97	44	
	20	14.6	6.07	73	30	
	63.2	60.0	16.9	95	27	
	Nominal		ured Conc	. (mg/L)	Percent of Nominal	
	Conc.	7 day	8 day			
	mg/L	new	old	new	old	
	Control	N.D.	N.D.			
	0.632	0.641	0.350	101	55	
	2.0	1.83	1.02	92	51	
	6.32	5.96	2.92	94	46	
	20	16.1	7.07	81	35	
	63.2	58.3	17.2	92	27	
	Nominal	Moool	ured Conc	(ma/L)	Percent of Nominal	
	Conc.	14 day	15 day		Fercent of Norminal	
	mg/L	new	old	new	old	
	Control	N.D.	N.D.			
	0.632	0.583	0.383	92	61	
	2.0	1.98	1.14	99	57	
	6.32	6.08	3.16	96	50	
	20	18.6	7.31	93	37	
	63.2					
	Nominal		ured Conc		Percent of Nominal	
	Conc.	20 day	21 day	,		
	mg/L	new	old	new	old	
	Control 0.632	N.D.	N.D.		 57	
	0.632 2.0	0.582 1.94	0.359 1.09	92 97	57 55	
	6.32	6.15	3.04	97 97	48	
	20	18.3	7.15	92	36	
	63.2					
Result, cont.	Nominal	Time	weighted	Mean		
	Conc.		g14 day			
	mg/L		g/L			
	Control		·			
	0.632	0.4				
	2.0	1.4				
	6.32	4.3				
	20 63.2	11.2 33.8				
			red test so	lutions		
					freshly prepared	
): pH 7.63- 7.74 (contro	
				5.70 mg/l	L, Hardness 29.5 - 39.3	5
		ata(reprod			ortality)	

Image: 10.01.2002 21days EC50 = 7.86mg/L 21days NOEC = 4.35mg/L - Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia Nominal Concentration Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia mg/L 0 do 0	OECD SIDS 5. ECOTOXICITY			<u> </u>		1 1 1/1 111			<u>METHACR</u>	
2 ddays ECS = 7.86mgL ddays NOEC = 4.35mgl. - Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia Nomina Concentration Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia Magning 1 d 7 d 1 d 2 d 0.0632 0 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0	5. ECOTOXICITY									
21days NOEC = 4.35mg/L - Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia Nominal Concentration Concentration				7 96ma/	1					
 Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia Nominal Concentration Cumulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia mg/L 1 <li1< li=""> <li1< li=""> 1</li1<></li1<>										
Nominal Concentration Currulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia mg/L 0 1d 7 7d 1 1d 7 7d 0 1d 0 7d 0 0 <td></td> <td>Liddyoi</td> <td>1020</td> <td>noomg</td> <td>, _</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Liddyoi	1020	noomg	, _					
Nominal Concentration Currulative Number of Dead Parental Daphnia mg/L 0 1d 7 7d 1 1d 7 7d 0 1d 0 7d 0 0 <td></td> <td>- Cumula</td> <td>ative Nu</td> <td>mber of</td> <td>Dead P</td> <td>arental D</td> <td>aphnia</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		- Cumula	ative Nu	mber of	Dead P	arental D	aphnia			
mg/L 1d 7d 1dd 21d Control 0 0 0 0 0 0.632 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 9 10 10 -Time (days) of the First Production of Young: Mean; Control (11.2), 0.632 mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L (2) mg/L (:) - cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per adult alive for 21 days ecsult, cont. Vessel No Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (0.632 0 63.2 0 63.2 1 63 61 44 56 16 D 63.2 63.2 63.2 63.2 63.2 63.2 63.2 64.6 1 D 59.2 66.74 61 66.74 61 66.74 63.2 49 11 D 8 77 70 6 65.5 57.2 62.1 7.9<		Nomina	l				-			
Control 0 0 0 0 0 0 63.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 63.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 63.2 0 9 10 10 10 - Time (days) of the First Production of Young: Mean; Control (11.2), 0.632 mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L (1) 0 regularity - Cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per adult alive for 21 days Result, cont. Vessel No.Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (12.3), 0.632 (0.479) (1.44) (4.35) (11.2) (33.8) 1 63 61 44 56 16 0 2 66 62 47 71 19 0 3 82 68 77 0 6 0 4 59 84 61 66 1 0 3 82 68 77 70 48 9 0 1 63 67.6 55 44 2 0 1 0 5 55 7.7 7.9 0 N N							ead Pare	ental Dap	ohnia	
0.632 0 <td></td>										
6.32 0 0 0 0 0 6.32 0 9 10 10 -Time (days) of the First Production of Young: Mean; Control (11.2), 0.632 mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L () -										
20 0 0 0 0 63.2 0 9 10 10 -Time (days) of the First Production of Young: Mean; Control (11.2), 0.632 mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L (1). regult (1.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L (1). • Cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per adult alive for 21 days Vessel No.Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (Measured Concentration, mg/L (0.632 2.0.632 2.0.632 2.0.632 (0.479) (1.44)(6.35) (11.2) (3.8) 1 63 61 44 56 16 0 2 66 62 47 71 19 0 3 82 68 77 70 6 0 4 59 84 61 66 1 0 5 83 85 48 64 9 0 6 74 61 70 48 9 0 7 65 68 63 49 11 0 8 71 60 54 80 4 0 9 50 76 55 57			0	0	0	0				
63.2 0 9 10 10 -Time (days) of the First Production of Young: Mean; Control (11.2), 0.632 mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (11.3), 3.0 mg/L (11.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L () -cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per adult alive for 21 days Vessel No.Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (Measured Concentration, mg/L (0.37)) 0.032 2.0 63.2 (0.479) (11.2) (33.8) 1 63 61 44 56 16 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 57 51 37 72 - D 10 65 51 53 72 - D 10 65 51 53 72 - D 10 10 10 10 10 5 51 53 72 - D 10 10 10 10 <										
 Time (days) of the First Production of Young: Mean; Control (11.2), 0.632 mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L (1). cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per adult alive for 21 days Vessel No.Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (Measured Concentration, mg/L (11.2) (0.632 2.0 6.32 (0.479) (14.4) (4.35) (11.2) (0.33) 1 63 61 44 65 1 0 2 66 62 47 7 71 19 0 2 66 62 47 7 71 19 0 3 82 68 77 70 6 0 4 59 84 61 66 1 0 5 83 85 48 66 3 0 6 74 61 70 48 9 0 7 65 68 63 49 11 0 8 71 60 54 80 4 0 9 50 76 55 44 2 0 10 65 51 53 72 - 0 Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 10.0 15.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * D: Were not calculated because the parental <i>Daphnia magna</i> was dead during a 21-days testing period. *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparison procedure -Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations, because some dat										
mg/L (11.3), 2.0 mg/L (12.1), 6.32 mg/L (11.7), 20 mg/L (11.8) and 63.2 mg/L () • Cumulative numbers of juveniles produced per adult alive for 21 days Result, cont. Vessel No.Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (Measured Concentration, mg/L) 0.632 2.0 6.32 2.0 6.32 (0.479) (1.44) (4.35) (11.2) (33.8) 1 63 61 45 16 D 2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - Signifucant atio * * * * * * 10 65 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. .</td> <td></td> <td></td>			-					. .		
Result, cont. Vessel No.Control, Nominal concentration, mg/L (Measured Concentration, mg/L) 0.632 20 63.2 (0.479) (1.44)(4.35) 20 63.2 (0.479) (1.44)(4.35) 1 63 61 44 56 16 D 2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 64 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 64 - Signifucant atio		mg/L (11								32
(Measured Concentration, mg/L) 0.632 2.0 6.3.2 (0.479) (1.44)(4.35) (11.2) (33.8) 1 63 61 44 56 2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna		- Cumula	ative nur	nbers of	juvenile	s produc	ed per a	dult alive	for 21 days	
0.632 2.0 6.32 20 63.2 (0.479) (11.44)(4.35) (11.2) (33.8) 1 63 61 44 56 16 D 2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 42 D D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - Signifucant ratio * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead	Result, cont.	Vesse	l No.Co	ntrol, N	ominal o	concentra	ation, mg	ı/L		
1 63 61 44 56 16 D 2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 4 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D Mean 67.8 67.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett mulitiple comparison procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some d				(M						
1 63 61 44 56 16 D 2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * P: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparison procedure - - -				(0)					53.2	
2 66 62 47 71 19 D 3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. * *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multityle comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic valu			1						П	
3 82 68 77 70 6 D 4 59 84 61 66 1 D 5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparison procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
5 83 85 48 65 3 D 6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - Signifucant ratio * * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * * *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
6 74 61 70 48 9 D 7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental <i>Daphnia magna</i> was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * * *11: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparioson procedure - - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
7 65 68 63 49 11 D 8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental <i>Daphnia magna</i> was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * * *11: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
8 71 60 54 80 4 D 9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 - Signifucant ratio * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental <i>Daphnia magna</i> was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * * *11: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparison procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
9 50 76 55 44 2 D 10 65 51 53 72 - D Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 - Signifucant ratio - - - - - D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * * *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett multiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
Mean 67.8 67.6 57.2 62.1 7.9 – S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 – Signifucant ratio * * * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. * * * *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett mulitiple comparioson procedure - - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.										
S.D. 10.1 11.0 10.5 12.1 6.4 – Signifucant ratio * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental <i>Daphnia magna</i> was dead during a 21-days testing period. *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett mulitiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations. Reliability : (1) valid without restriction Flag : Critical studyfor SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 (31)			10	65	51	53	72	-	D	
Signifucant ratio * * * D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett mulitiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.									-	
D: Were not calculated because the parental Daphnia magna was dead during a 21-days testing period. *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett mulitiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.					11.0	10.5		6.4 *	-	
during a 21-days testing period. *1: Indicate a significant difference by Dunnett mulitiple comparioson procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.		-								
procedure - Calculation of toxic values: Based on the measured concentrations, because some data of the measured concentrations were < 80 % of the nominal concentrations.						the parer	ital <i>Dapi</i>	nnia mag	gna was dead	
Reliability : (1) valid without restriction Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 : (38)			-	nificant	differenc	e by Dun	nett mul	itiple cor	nparioson	
Reliability : (1) valid without restriction Flag : Critical studyfor SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 (3) .1 Toxicity to soil dwelling organisms		because	some	lata of th	ne meas					e
Flag : Critical studyfor SIDS endpoint 09.01.2002 (3) .1 Toxicity to soil dwelling organisms	Reliability									
09.01.2002 (3)	Flag									
	09.01.2002	. Ontiour 3		5.50 0	.apoint					(38)
2 Toxicity to torrective planta	4.6.1 Toxicity to soil dwe	lling organism	5							
2 Toxicity to terrestrial plants	4.6.2 Toxicity to terrestria	al plants								
.3 Toxicity to other Non-Mamm. terrestrial species	4.6.3 Toxicity to other No	n Mamm torra	etrial	nocios						

5. ECOTOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
Species	: other: Agelais Phoenicus	
Endpoint	: mortality	
Exposure period	: 18 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/kg bw	
LD50	: = 98	
Method	: other	
Year	:	
GLP	:	
Test substance	:	
Remark	: Repellency value (R50)= 1.0	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Test condition	 Method: wild-trapped birds were preconditionned to captivity for 2 to 6 weeks and were usually dosed by gavage with solutions or suspensions of the test chemical in propylene glycol, according to methods described by DeCino et al. (1966), Schafer (1972) and Schafer et al. (1967). LD50 values were calculated by the method of Thompson (1948), Thompson and Weil (1952) and Weil (1952). Repellency tests were conducted by the methods of starr et al. (1964) and Schafer and Brunton (1971), and R50's were calculated either by the method of Litchfield and Wilconxin (1949) or Thompson and weil (1952). Bird species: <i>Agelaius phoeniceus</i> (Red-winged blackbird). 	
10.01.2002		(56)

- 4.8 Biotransformation and kinetics
- 4.9 Additional remarks

5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
	Date 10.01.2002	
5.1.1 Acute oral toxicity		
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	: Crj: CD(SD)	
Sex	: male/female	
Number of animals	: 10	
Vehicle	: other: Corn Oil	
Value	: > 2000 mg/kg bw	
Method	: OECD Guideline 401 "Acute Oral Toxicity"	
Year	: 1998	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: other TS: 99.9% purity, Sanyo-Kasei Co.	
Remark	: There were no deaths of animals in the 2000 mg/kg dosed group. At	
	necropsy, raised patches in the forestomach were observed in males of the	
	2000 mg/kg group. Histopathologically, papillomatous hyperplasia in the	
Decult	forestomach was apparent.	
Result	: A single oral toxicity test revealed an LD50 value of above 2000 mg/kg bw	
Toot andition	for this chemical in both sexes.	
Test condition	: Doses; 0 (vehicle), 500, 1000, 2000 mg/kg bw. Vehicle; Corn oil.	
	Administration; One administration	
	Number of animals: 5 males/5 females	
	Observation period; 14 days	
Source	: MHW, Japan: 1998	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
12.12.2001	• •	(33)
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	:	
Sex	:	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Value	: = 1751 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year	: 1982	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
10.01.2002	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(23)
		()
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain		
Sex		
Number of animals		
Vehicle Value	~ -2650 mg/kg by	
	: = 2659 mg/kg bw	
Method Year	: other: not specified : 1978	
rear GLP	: 1978 : no data	
GLP Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark	: Density: 0.933 g/cm3	
Source	: Roehm,	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002		(54)

5. TOXICITY		
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	:	
Sex	:	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Value	: = 1550 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	<i>(</i>)
09.01.2002		(27)
5.1.2 Acute inhalation to	xicity	
_		
Type Species	: LC50	
Species Strain	: rat	
Sex Number of animals		
Vehicle		
Exposure time	· · 4 hour(s)	
Value	= .62 mg/l	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1982	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
oculoo	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(23)
Туре	: LC50	
Species	: mouse	
Strain	:	
Sex	:	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Exposure time	: 2 hour(s)	
Value	= 1.8 mg/l	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1982	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
10.01.2002	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(23)
5.1.3 Acute dermal toxic	city	(23)
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley	
Sex	: male/female	
Number of animals	: 10	
Vehicle	: undilute d	

<u>DECD SIDS</u> . TOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLA	
. TOMETT	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
Value	: > 2000 mg/kg bw	
Method	: OECD Guideline 402 "Acute dermal Toxicity"	
Year	: 1992	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Result	: Within 72 hrs of application of the test substance, hypokinesia, sedation and dyspnea were observed. Local signs of marked irritations were noted during the study. The body weight gain of the animals was not influenced by the treatment. No deaths occured at the dose level of 2000 mg/kg. The macroscopic exa mination revealed no abnormalities in the animals sacrificed at the end of the study. Signs of cutaneous irritation had eversed.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Test condition	: The test substance was applied in its original form directly to the skin of test animals at a dose level of 2000 mg/kg. After 24 hrs under semi-occlusive dressing, no residual test substance was observed.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.01.2002		(2)
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rabbit	
Strain		
Sex		
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Value	: > 3000 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year		
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002		(27)
.1.4 Acute toxicity, oth	er routes	
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	:	
Sex		
Number of animals		
Vehicle	:	
Route of admin.	: i.p.	
Exposure time		
Value	: = 97 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other	

Value Method	: = 97 mg/kg bw : other	
Year	: 1973	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(28)
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: rat	
Strain	:	
Sex		
Number of animals	:	
64	UNEP Publications	

TOXICITY		
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
	Date 10.01.2002	
Vehicle	:	
Route of admin.	: i.p.	
Exposure time		
Value	: = 310 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year	. Outer. not specified	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(42
Туре	: LD50	
Species	: mouse	
Strain	:	
Sex	:	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle		
Route of admin.	: i.p.	
Exposure time	י איי י	
Value	~ 25 mg//g by	
	: = 25 mg/kg bw	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year		
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Test substance Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
		(41
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit 	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 	(41
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 13009, 1964. 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labeling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 13009, 1964. A 0.5 mL sample of the test material was applied to areas of intact and 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 13009, 1964. 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1-1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animals and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labeling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 13009, 1964. A 0.5 mL sample of the test material was applied to areas of intact and 	(4
Source 10.01.2002 2.1 Skin irritation Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals PDII Result EC classification Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) rabbit undiluted 24 hour(s) 4 8 corrosive corrosive (causes burns) other 1980 no data as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4 Severe erythema, oedema and necrosis were exhibited 24 hrs following application. Reactions persisted to 72 hrs. For all animats and for both of intact skin and abraded skin, maximum score of 4 was marked in the erythema and oedema rating, therefore a Primary Irritation Score of 8 was obtained. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 13009, 1964. A 0.5 mL sample of the test material was applied to areas of intact and abraded areas of skin. These areas were then occuluded with square 	(41

5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.01.2002		(8)
09.01.2002		(0)
Species	: rabbit	
Concentration	:	
Exposure	:	
Exposure time	:	
Number of animals	:	
PDII	:	
Result	: highly irritating	
EC classification	: irritating	
Method	: Draize Test	
Year	: 1977	
GLP	: no	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark	: Draize index 5.9 of 8 (reevaluated according to OECD 404)	
Source	: Roehm,	
00.04.0000	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	(50)
09.01.2002		(53)
Species	: guinea pig	
Concentration	· guinea pig	
Exposure		
Exposure time		
Number of animals		
PDII	:	
Result	· highly irritating	
EC classification	: irritating	
Method	: other: no data	
Year	: 1997	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Remark	: Irritation occurs even when using a silicon or 5%Zn cream.	
Source	: Roehm,	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
09.01.2002		(53)
5.2.2 Eye irritation		
Species	: rabbit	
Concentration	: undiluted	
Dose	: .1 ml	
Exposure Time	: 2 hour(s)	
Comment		
Number of animals	: 2	
Result	: corrosive	
EC classification	: irritating	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1980	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark	: Despite the rinsing treatment severe corneal, iris and conjunctival lesions	
	were displayed by both animals within 2 hrs of instillation. The test was	
	terminated at this point. It is reasonable to assume that similar levels of	
	injury would be produced if full scale testing were conducted, and that the	
	product would be classified as corrosive to the eye.	

5. TOXICITY		
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
	Animal No. Time Cornea Iris Conjunctivae (hrs) Redness Chemosis 5. 2 3 2 3 3 6. 2 4 2 3 4	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Test condition	 The method described in the Federal Hazardous Substances Labelling Act Regulations, Section 191.11, published in the Federal Register - 29 F.R. 13009, 1964. 0.1 mL of the test substance was instilled into one eye of each animal. The lids were gently held togather for one second and the eye was then rinsed with 20 mL lukewarm water at 4 seconds after instillation. The eyes were examined 2 hrs after instillation. 	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.01.2002		(8)
5.3 Sensitization		
Туре	: Guinea pig maximization test	
Species	: guinea pig	
Number of animals	: 30	
Vehicle	: injectable isotonic solution of 0.9% NaCl	
Result	: not sensitizing	
Classification	: not sensitizing	
Method	: OECD Guideline 406 "Skin Sensitization"	
Year	: 1991	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark	: The general behaviour and the body weight gain of the animals were not influenced by the treatment. After the challenge cutaneous application of the test substance, a very slight erythema (score 1) was observed on the right flank of 16 out of 20 treated animals. As the cutaneous reactions were very slight and the reactions observed at the 24 hrs scoring period were reversible at the 48 hrs scoring period, the cutaneous reactions were attributed to orthoergique reaction. No cutaneous reactions lilely to be caused by the sensitization potential of this test substance (MADAME) were observed.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Test condition	: Test animals were 30 Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs (15 males and 15 females) and were allocated to two groups. 10 animals (5 males and 5 females) were in the negative control group and 20 animals (10 males and 10 females) were in the treatment group by MADAME.	
	Test periods were as follows: [Induction period] 10 days during this period, the animals were treated with the vehicle(control group) or the test substance(treated group) as explained below. On day 1, 0.1 mL of the test substance was administered by intradermal route at a concentration of 1 % in an isotonic solition of 0.9 % NaCl.On day	
	8, 0.5 mL of the test substance at a concentration of 25 % was applied by cutaneous route.	
	[Period without treatment] 12 days [Challange test] 24 hrs A challenge suteneous application of 0.5 mL of the vehicle (left flank) and	
	A challenge cutaneous application of 0.5 mL of the vehide (left flank) and	

_

5. TOXICITY	
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
Reliability Flag 09.01.2002	 flank) were performed on all animals. The substances were held in place for 24 hours by means of an occlusive dressing. [Examination] The cutaneous reactions were evaluated at the challenge application site, 24 and 48 hrs after removal of the dressing. After the final scoring period, the animals were sacrificed and cutaneous samples were taken from the challenge application sites in all animals. Due to the absence of doubtful macroscopic cutaneous reactions, no histological examination was performed on the cutameous samples. (1) valid without restriction Critical study for SIDS endpoint
5.4 Repeated dose toxicity	
Species	: rat
Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance Result	 Intervention of the provided set of t

<u>OECD SIDS</u> 5. TOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE
5. TOXICIT I	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	*By the histopathological examination, the degeneration of nerve fibers in the brain and the spinal cord, and the hyperplasia of the mucosa in gastric
	tract, the edema and inflammatory cell infiltration in the forestomach, and the
	atrophy of thethymus were revealed.
	Also the increases in the weight of the kidney and the adrenals
	without histopathological changes were observed.
	At 200 mg/Kg/day and 40 mg/kg/day, no effects were observed.
Result, cont.	The results of the blood examination in male rats are summarized below.
	Hematological examination results in male rats
	Dose (mg/kg) 0 200 1000
	No. of animals 12 12 11 PPC (10000///) 881 7 + 42 6 850 8 + 26 7 821 6 + 24 1**
	RBC (10000/uL) 881.7 ± 43.6 859.8 ± 36.7 821.6 ± 34.1** Hematocrit % 46.73 ± 2.45 44.84 ± 1.26* 41.72 ± 1.97**
	Hemoglobin 15.91 ± 0.69 $15.28 \pm 0.40^{*}$ $14.24 \pm 0.74^{**}$
	g/dL
	Reticulocyte 17.81 ± 2.61 21.56 ± 3.57* 24.84 ± 3.75**
	Values are expressed as Mean \pm S.D. Significantly different from control: * P<0.05 ** P<0.01
	The major histopathological findings in rats are summarized below. Major histopathological findings in rat.
	[Male]
	Dose (mg/kg) 0 200 1000 # of animals
	12 12 11
	Findings in Stomach
	Dilatation,
	gasteric gland. + 0 0 0 Edema. + 0 0 7**
	Hyperplasia,
	squamous,
	forestomach
	diffuse. + 0 0 11** Inflammatory cell
	infiltration,
	forestomach. + 0 0 10**
	Ulcer, forestamoch i 0 0
	forestomach. + 0 0 0 Ulcer,
	glandular
	stomach + 0 0 0
	Findngs in
	Brain
	Degeneration,
	nerve fiber + 0 0 3 Spinal cord
	Degeneration,

. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
Result, cont.	[Female] Scheduled sacrifice Dead Dose (mg/kg) 0 200 1000 0 1000 Number of animals 11 12 9 1 a) 3 b) Findimgs in Stomach Dilatation	
	Dilatation, gasteric gland. + 0 0 0 0 0/2 Edema. + 0 0 2 0 1/2 Hyperplasia, squamous,	
	forestomach diffuse. + 0 0 9** 0 2/2 Inflammatory cell infiltration,	
	forestomach. + 0 0 5** 0 1/2 Ulcer,	
	forestomach. + 0 0 1 0 0/2 Ulcer, glandular	
	stomach + 0 0 0 0 1/2 Findngs in	
	Brain Degeneration, nerve fiber + 0 0 4 0 0 Spinal cord Degeneration,	
	nerve fiber + 0 0 6^{**} 0 0	
Source Test condition Reliability Flag 10.01.2002	 ** Significantly different from control: P< 0.01 a) One animal died of dystocia at 23 of gestation b) Dead animals were observed at 26 and 38 days after commencement of administration. MHW, Japan: 1998 Number of animals/group: Males, 12; females, 12 As the LD50 value of > 2000 mg/kg was known, a preliminarytest to decide the highest dose level at 30, 100, 300, and 1000 mg/kg/day for 14 days was conducted. At 1000 mg/kg/day, decrease of body weight in males and suppression of body weight increase in females were observed. Then the highest dose level for the test was set at 1000 mg/kg/day. (1) valid without restriction Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	
Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment	 rat male/female other: Alderly Park (SPF) inhalation 3 weeks 6 h/day; 5 d/week 	
Post obs. period Doses Control group NOAEL	 no 15 x 100 ppm or 15 x 250 ppm (Vapour concentration) no data specified = 100 ppm 	
LOAEL Method	 = 100 ppm = 250 ppm other: not specified 1970 	
Year GLP	. 13/0	

1

ECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYL	ATE
. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2	
	Date 10.01.2002	
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4	
Remark	: Strain: Aderly-Park (SPF) 4 males and 4 females in each group. Whole	
	body exposure. The substance is introduced by a constant-flow pump.	
Result	: At 250 ppm: Nose and eye irritation, heavy breathing, increase of body	
	weight is slow. No change of heamtological and clinical parameters. No	
	pathological (macroscopical and microscopical) effect on organs is	
	observed.	
	At 100 ppm: No toxic effect is observed.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
10.01.2002		(17
Species	: rabbit	
Sex	: no data	
Strain	: no data	
Route of admin.	: dermal	
Exposure period	: 7 days	
Frequency of treatment	: twice per day	
Post obs. period	: 7 days	
Doses	: 30 uL on sheared skin (25 % to 35 % in solution)	
Control group	: yes	
Method	: other: not specified	
Year	: 1990	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Result	: Important morphological change (coagulation, necrosis, oedema and little	
	cell infiltration of the derm).	
	Highly irritation due to 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl,	
	dimethylaminoethylester is not reversible 7 days after the end of treatment.	
	Systemic effects were not reported.	
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense	
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	
10.01.2002		(31

5.5 Genetic toxicity 'in vitro'

Туре	: Bacterial reverse mutation assay
System of testing	 Salmonella typhimurium TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537, Escherichia coli WP2uvr A
Conc entration	: [Confirmation test for the positive results of the trial tests] -S9 mix.; 1000,1500,2000, 2500, 3000, 3500, 4000, 4500, 5000
Cycotoxic conc.	: More than 3500 ug/plate (TA98, TA1537) without S9 mix in the confirmation tests
Metabolic activation	: with and without
Result	: positive
Method	: Guidelines for screening mutagenicity testing of chemicals, JAPAN
Year	: 1998
GLP	: yes
Test substance	other TS: 99.9% purity, Sanyo Kasei Co.
Result	The result was positive because the chemical induced mutations more than two times of the control and the concentration dependency was observed only in <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> TA1537 without S9 at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate.
	Details of the tests were summarized below. In the two tests, MADAME caused the revertant colony increase of 2 times

. TOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE d 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
	as much as that of the control to <i>S.typhimurium</i> TA 1537 at 2,500 ug/plate without S9. But the concentration dependency was not clear. Also the revertant colony increasing tendency was observed for <i>S.typhimurium</i> TA98 at 2500 ug/plate and 5000 ug/plate without S9. The key results are summarized below. [Results of reverse mutation of MADAME on bacteria]
	(without S9) Items Numbers of revertant colonies Test per plate substance [Mean ± S.D] concentration TA 98 TA 1537 (ug/plate)
Result, cont.	: 0 (1st) 17 18 24 7 7 6
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	1250 (1st) 19 17 24 7 6 8
	$ \begin{bmatrix} 20 \pm 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 7 \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ (2nd) \qquad 26 \ 15 \ 27 \qquad 7 \ 5 \ 11 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 23 \pm 7 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 8 \pm 3 \end{bmatrix} $
	2500 (1st) 30 44 30 11 15 18 [35 ± 8] [15 ± 4]
	(2nd) $38\ 38\ 42$ 18 14 13 [39 ± 2] [15 ± 3]
	5000 (1st) 19* 46* 37* 4* 3* 6* [34 ± 14] [4 ± 2]
	(2nd) $33^* 54^* 27^* 1^* 4^* 2^*$ [38 ± 14] [2 ± 2]
	Positive Contro I(1st) 382 384 402 a) 1014 794 1030 b) [389 \pm 11] [946 \pm 132] (2nd) 413 432 372 b) 946 982 964 b) [406 \pm 31] [964 \pm 18]
	*Toxic effect was observed. a) AF-2 0.1 ug/plate b) 9-AA: 9-Aminoacridine, 80 ug/plate
Result, cont.	(Activation method: +S9) Items Numbers of revertant Test colonies per plate. substance [Mean ± S.D] concentration TA 98 TA 1537 (ug/plate)
	0 (1st) 25 41 40 9 16 10 $[35 \pm 9]$ $[12 \pm 4]$
	$ [35 \pm 9] \qquad [12 \pm 4] (2nd) \qquad 30 \ 44 \ 36 \qquad 19 \ 21 \ 18 [37 \pm 7] \qquad [19 \pm 2] $

5. TOXICITY Bit 2867-47-2 Date 10012002 625 (1st) 39 35 33 13 15 13 (2nd) 39 27 37 14 24 20 (2d) 13 5 13 [19 15] 1250 (1st) 35 42 48 14 17 13 [42 - 7] [15 - 2] (2nd) 25 44 34 18 16 14 [34 ± 10] [16 ± 2] 2500 (1st) 37 32 41 18 20 15 [2nd) 34 46 40 16 16 19 [40 ± 6] [17 ± 2] 5000 (1st) 52 54 37 20 17 14 [2nd) 35 1375 390' 100 88 82' [37 ± 2] [2nd) 35 1375 390' 100 88 82' [37 ± 20] [90 ± 9] *+S9 mix. : 2-Aminoanthracene Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony increase was observed without S9. S0 300 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9. Result, cont. Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 whot S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was test find the offect was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was test find the offect was observed at 2	OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRY	<u>LATE</u>
$(2nd)$ (36 ± 3) (35 ± 5) (14 ± 1) (13 ± 5) $(2nd)$ $35 42 48$ (42 ± 7) 	5. TOXICITY		
$(2nd)$ (42 ± 7) (34 ± 10) (16 ± 2) $(2nd)$ $37 32 41$ $18 16 14$ (16 ± 2) $2500 (1st)$ $37 32 41$ $18 20 15$ (37 ± 5) $(2nd)$ $34 46 40$ $16 16 19$ (17 ± 2) $5000 (1st)$ $52 54 37$ (40 ± 6) $20 17 14$ (17 ± 2) $5000 (1st)$ $52 54 37$ $(2nd)$ $20 17 14$ (48 ± 9) (17 ± 3) $(2nd)$ $35 63 22 24 27 29$ (43 ± 14) (275 ± 23) (89 ± 12) (275 ± 23) $(2nd)$ $351 375 390^{\circ}$ (372 ± 20) $(2nd)$ $351 375 390^{\circ}$ (372 ± 20) $^{\circ}$ +S9 mix: 2-AminoanthraceneThen, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for S. typhimurium TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9.Result, cont.Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation.1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control.2) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two times as much as that of the control.3) The same revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two times as such as that of the contexing was calculated as $3.6/mg$. The key results of the confirmation test are shown below.(The row of the context colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two times is the confirmatio		$ [36 \pm 3] [14 \pm 1] (2nd) 39 27 37 14 24 20 $	
(2nd) 37 ± 5] $[18 \pm 3]$ (2nd) $34 46 40$ 16 16 19 $[40 \pm 6]$ $[17 \pm 2]$ 5000 (1st) $52 54 37$ 20 17 14 $[48 \pm 9]$ $[17 \pm 3]$ (2nd) $38 58 32$ 24 27 29 $[43 \pm 14]$ $[27 \pm 3]$ Positive Control (1st) 301 258 265 *85 80 103* $[275 \pm 23]$ $[89 \pm 12]$ (2nd) 351 375 390* 100 88 82* $[372 \pm 20]$ $[90 \pm 9]$ * *+S9 mix: : 2-Aminoanthracene Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9. Result, cont. Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by a ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. <		[42 ± 7] [15 ± 2] (2nd) 25 44 34 18 16 14	
(2nd) [43 ± 9] [17 ± 3] (2nd) [33 58 32 24 27 29 [43 ± 14] [27 ± 3] Positive Control (1st) 301 258 265 *85 80 103* [275 ± 23] [89 ± 12] (2nd) 351 375 390* 100 88 82* [372 ± 20] [90 ± 9] *+S9 mix: 2-Aminoanthracene Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for S. typhimurium TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9. Result, cont. Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 4) Then this chemical is considered to be positive in this reverse mutation test. The number of the induced revertant colonies/mg was calculated as 3.6/mg. The key results of the confirmation test are shown below. (Without S9) Items Numbers of revertant colonies/mg was calcula		[37 ± 5] [18 ± 3] (2nd) 34 46 40 16 19	
Control (1st) 301 258 265 *85 80 103* [275 ± 23] [89 ± 12] (2nd) 351 375 390* 100 88 82* [372 ± 20] [90 ± 9] *+S9 mix. : 2-Aminoanthracene Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9. Result, cont. Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 4) Then this chemical is considered to be positive in this reverse mutation test. The number of the induced revertant colones/mg was calculated as 3.6/mg. The key results of the confirmation tests are shown below. (without S9) Items Numbers of revertant coloneis		[48 ± 9] [17 ± 3] (2nd) 38 58 32 24 27 29	
[372 ± 20] [90 ± 9] * +S9 mix. : 2-Aminoanthracene Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9. Result, cont. Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed. As to TA 98, although the revertant colony increase was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 3) The revertant colony increase should be positive in the reverse mutation test. 4) The noncentration dependency. 4) The concentration dependency. 6) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two times of the control. 1) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 1) The results of the contig is considered to be positive in this reverse mutation test. The number of the induced revertantcolonies/mg was calculated as 3.6/mg. The key results of the confirmation tests are shown below. 10 The results of the confirmation test are shown below.		Control (1st) 301 258 265 *85 80 103*	
Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for S. typhimurium TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method without S9. Result, cont. Toxic effect was observed at 3500 ug/plate and more to TA 98 and TA 1537 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed. As to TA 98, although the revertant colony increase was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 4) The number of the test substance. (The concentration dependency) 3) The same revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 4) Then this chemical is considered to be positive in this reverse mutation test. 4) The number of the induced revertanctolonies/mg was calculated as 3.6/mg. The key results of the confirmation tests are shown below. The results of the confirmation test are shown below. (without S9) The mesults of revertant colonies			
 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed. As to TA 98, although the revertant colony increase was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should increase proportionally to the concentration of the test substance. (The concentration dependency) 3) The same revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 4) Then this chemical is considered to be positive in this reverse mutation test. The number of the induced revertant colonies/mg was calculated as 3.6/mg. The key results of the confirmation tests are shown below. (without S9) Items Numbers of revertant colonies 		Then, to confirm the concentration dependent increase of the revertant colony at between 2500 to 5000 ug/plate, the confirmation test was conducted for <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1537 and TA 98 by the direct method	
(without S9) Items Numbers of revertant colonies	Result, cont.	 without S9 mix. As to TA 1537, the revertant colony increase was observed by morethan two times of the control at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate. Also the concentration dependency was observed. As to TA 98, although the revertant colony increase was observed at 2500 and 3000 ug/plate, it was less than two times as much as that of the control. The results of TA 1537 satisfied the following 3 conditions to be positive in the reverse mutation. 1) The revertant colony increase should be more than two times of the control. 2) The revertant colony increase should increase proportionally to the concentration of the test substance. (The concentration dependency) 3) The same revertant colony increase should be observed repeatedly by more than two tests. 4) Then this chemical is considered to be positive in this reverse mutation test. The number of the induced revertant colonies/mg was calculated as 	
substance [Means ± S.D] concentration TA 98 TA 1537		(without S9) Items Numbers of revertant colonies Test per plate substance [Means ± S.D]	

<u>OECD SIDS</u> 5. TOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLAT
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	ug/plate
	0 26 23 25 5 4 5 [25±2] [5±1]
	1000 25 23 22 8 8 5 [23±2] [7±2]
	1500 22 23 33 9 11 7 [26 ± 6] [9 ± 2]
	2000 33 36 30 8 7 9 [33 ± 3] [8 ± 1]
	2500 52 42 39 12 11 16 [44 ± 7] [13 ± 3]
	3000 36 47 42 13 11 21 [42 ± 6] [15 ± 5]
	3500 28* 29* 46* 7* 9* 6* [34 ± 10] [7 ± 2]
Result, cont.	4000 17* 22* 16* 5* 4* 3* [18 ± 3] [4 ± 1]
	4500 15* 7* 10* 3* 3* 4* [11 ± 4] [3 ± 1]
	5000 10* 15* 17* 3* 8* 4* [14 ± 4] [5 ± 3]
	Positive control 343 393 358 a) 933 962 905 b) [365 ± 26] [933 ± 29]
Source Test condition	 * Toxic effect was observed. a) AF-2; 2-(2-Furyl)-3-(5-nitro-2-furyl)acrylamide, 0.1 ug/plate b) 9-AA; 9-Aminoacridine, 80 ug/plate MHW: Japan, 1998 Procedures: Pre-incubation method Solvent: Distilled water Positive control: -S9 mix.: For TA100, TA98, WP2 uvrA; 2-(2-Furyl)-3-(5-nitro-2-furyl) acrylamide For TA1535: Sodium azide
	For TA 1537: 9-Aminoacridine +S9 mix.: 2-Aminoanthracene (all strains) S9: Rat liver, induced with phenobarbital and 5,6-benzoflavone Plates/test : 3 Number of replicates: 2 By the preliminary test to decide the highest concentration, toxicity was observed at 5000 ug/ plate in the direct method without S9 mix for TA 98 and TA 1537. Then the highest concentration was set at 5000 ug/plate for
Test substance	all tests. 2 trial tests were done for all cells and a confirmation test was conducted for TA 98 and TA 1537 which showed positive results in the trial tests. : The compositions of the test substance manufactured by Sanyo Kasei Co.
i col oudolanice	Japan, were as follows: 99.9% MADAME, Impurities: Hydroquinone

5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
Reliability Flag	 monomethyl ether (as polymerization inhibitor) 2000 ppm, dimethyl amino ethanol less than 0.1%, methylmethacrylate less than 0.02%. (1) valid without restriction Critical study for SIDS endpoint
10.01.2002	(33)
Type System of testing Concentration	 Chromosomal aberration test Type of cell used: Chinese hamster lung (CHL/IU) cells [Continuous treatment] 20, 39, 78, 156, 313, and 625 ug/mL. [Short-term treatment] 20, 600, 600, 600, 4100, and 41000 un (m)
Cycotoxic conc.	 200, 400, 600, 800, 1400, and 1600 ug/mL. [Continuous treatment, 24 hrs] 625 ug/mL [Continuous treatment, 48 hrs] 313 ug/mL [6 hrs short-term treatment without S9 mix.] 800 ug/mL [6 hrs short-term treatment with S9 mix.] 1600 ug
Metabolic activation	: with and without
Result Method Year	 Positive Guidelines for screening mutagenicity testing of chemicals, JAPAN 1998
GLP Test substance Result	 Yes other TS: 99.9% 2-(dimethyl amino)ethyl methacrylate, Sanyo-Kasei Co. This chemical was positive in this test inducing chromosomal aberrations shown below. By the 24 hrs and 48 hrs continuous treatment without S9, structural chromosomal aberrations (including gap) were induced at 625 ug/mL with 88.5% and 76.5% respectively. The numbers of cells with aberration except gap were 86.5% and 74.0% respectively. The cytotoxicity were observed at 625 ug/mL and 313 ug/mL respectively. Polyploidy was not induced under these conditions. By 6 h short-term treatment without S9, concentration-depending structural chromosomal aberrations (including gap) were induced at 200 ug/mL, 400 mg/mL and 600 ug/mL with 6.5%, 49.5% and 87.5%. The numbers of cells with aberration except gap were 6.5%, 46.0% and 86.0% respectively. By 6 h short-term treatment with S9, concentration-depending structural chromosomal aberrations (including gap) were induced at 800 ug/mL, 400 mg/mL and 1600 ug/mL with 13.5%, 99.5% and 100.%. The numbers of cells with aberration except gap were 13.0%, 99.5% and 100.0% respectively. Polyploidy was not induced under these conditions. At more than 800mg/mL on 6 h short-term treatment without S9 mix and at more than 1600 ug/mL with S9 mix, cytotoxicity was observed and the metaphase figures were not observable. As the results, MADAME is considered to induce chromosomal aberrations. However, the aberrations observed were mainly chromatid break and chromatid exchange. The data of these tests were summarized in the tables shown below.
Result, cont.	: [Cell growth inhibition test results]
	Cell growth inhibition test of CHL cells continuously treated with 2-(dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate without S9 mix. Concentration Average cell growth rate (%) ug/mL 24-hour treatment 48-hour treatment 0 (Solvent) 100 100 78 66.0 62.5

OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE
5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	Date 10.01.2002
	156 61.5 50.0
	313 58.0 39.0
	625 26.5 20.5 1250 12.0 3.5
	1250 12.0 3.5 2500 10.0 3.0
	5000 8.0 2.5
	2 cell growth inhibition tests of CHL cells short-term treatment with 2-(dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate with and without S9 mix.
	Concentration Average cell growth rate (%)
	ug/mL without S9 with S9
	0 (Solvent) 100 100
	600 56.5 81.0
	800 41.5 70.5
	1000 28.0 70.5 1200 18.0 70.5
	1400 16.5 57.5
	1600 11.5 43.5
	1800 17.5 33.0
	To decide the doses of chromosomal aberrasion test, a preliminary cell
	growth inhibition test was conducted. The cell growth ratios were
	determined for each doses. The cell growth ratio of the solvent (control) was defined as 100% and the cell growth ratios of each doses were determined
	as the % to the control group. In the case of continuous treatment, over 50
	% growth inhibition was observed at 625 ug/mL and greater concentrations
	for 24 hours treatment. Therefore the cytotoxic concentration would be
	between 313 and 625 ug/mL. As for 48 hrs treatment, 50 % cell growth
	inhibition was observed at 156 ug/mL and more than 50 % growth inhibition was obesrved at 313 ug/mL or greater concentration for 48 hours treatment.
	In the case of short treatment, over 50% cell growth inhibition was observed
	at 800 ug/mL or greater concentrations without S9 and 1600 ug/mL or
	greater concentrations with S9. Then the cytotoxic concentration would be
	between 600 and 800 ug/mL without S9 and would be between 1400 and
	1600 ug/mL. with S9.
Result, cont.	
	[Chromosome analysis of Chinese hamster cells (CHL) continuously treated with MADAME without S9 mix.]
	Table 1-1. Chromosome analysis of Chinese hamster cells (CHL) continuously treated MADAME without S9 mix.
	Time of exposure: 24 hours. No. of cells analysed: 200 cells
	Solvent: Distilled water - g %: total no. of cells with aberration except gap (%)
	+ g %: total no. of cells with aberrations
	gap: gap ctd: chromatid break cte: chromatid exchange
	csb: chromosome break
	cse: chromosome exchange (dicentric and ring) oth: others
	tot: total MNNG: N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine
	Concentration of MADAME
	(ug/mL) No. of structural aberrations No. of cells with
	aberrations
	gap ctd cte csb cse ort tot -g (%) +g (%)

5. TOXICITY	
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	Solvent 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 (0.5) 1 (0.5) 20 3 0 0 0 0 3 0 (0) 3 (1.5)
	20 3 0 0 0 0 3 0(0) 3(1.5) 39 0 0 1 0 1 0 2 2(1.0) 2(1.0)
	78 0 1 1 0 0 0 2 1 (0.5) 1 (0.5)
	313 0 1 0 1 2 0 4 4 (2.0) 4 (2.0)
	625 22 119 131 42 0 0 314 173 (86.5) 177 (88.5)*
	(MNNG) 2.5 11 32 185 7 0 0 235 188 (94.0) 189 (94.5)*
	* Significantly different from solvent group data at P<0.01
	by Fisher's exact test.
	Table 1-2. Chromosome analysis of Chinese hamster cells (CHL) continuously treated with MADAME without S9 mix.
	Time of exposure: 48 hours
	No. of cells analysed: 200 cells Solvent: Distilled water
	- g %: total no. of cells with aberration except gap (%)
	+ g %: total no. of cells with aberrations
	gap: gap ctd: chromatid break cte: chromatid exchange
	csb: chromosome break
	cse: chromosome exchange (dicentric and ring) oth: others tot: total.
	MNNG: N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine
Result, cont.	Concen tration of
	MADAME (ug/mL) No. of structural aberrations No. of cells
	(ug/mL) No. of structural aberrations No. of cells with aberrations
	gap ctd cte csb cse ort tot -g (%) +g (%)
	solvent 1 0 1 0 0 0 2 1 (0.5) 2 (1.0)
	20 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 (0.5) 1 (0.5)
	39 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0(0) 1(0.5) 78 2 0 0 1 1 0 4 2(1.0) 4(2.0)
	78 2 0 1 1 0 4 2 (1.0) 4 (2.0) 156 0 0 0 0 0 0 (0) 0 (0)
	313 1 0 0 0 2 0 3 2 (1.0) 3 (1.5)
	625 21 61 123 46 0 0 251 148 (74.0) 153 (76.5)*
	(MNNG) 2.5 11 39 136 25 17 0 228 159 (79.5) 159 (79.5)*
	 * Significantly different from solvent group data at P<0.01 by Fisher's exact test.
	[Chromosome analysis of Chinese hamster cells (CHL) treated with MADAME with and without S9 mix.]
	Table 2-1 Chromosome analysis of Chinese hamster cells (CHL)
	short-term treatment with MADAME without S9 mix.
	Time of exposure: 6-(18) hours
	No. of cells analysed: 200 cells Note: At 800,1400 and 1600 ug/mL, no. of cells can't be counted and
	analyzed due to toxicity.
	Solvent: Distilled water
	BP: benzo[a]pyrene
	-g %: total no. of cells with aberration except gap (%)
	+ g %: total no. of cells with aberrations

5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
	csb: chromosome break cse: chromosome exchange (dicentric and ring) oth: others tot: total
	Concentration of MADAME (ug/mL) No. of structural aberrations No. of cells
Result, cont.	with aberrations gap ctd cte csb cse ort tot -g (%) +g (%) Solvent 0 0 1 0 1 1 (0.5) 200 1 1 6 0 14 13 (6.5) 13 (6.5)* 400 18 23 86 6 0 133 92 (46) 99 (49.5)* 600 28 115 141 21 0 0 305 172 (86.0) 175 (87.5)* 800 Toxicity 1400 Toxicity 1400 Toxicity 1400 Toxicity BP 0 1 1 2 0 0 4 3 (1.5) 4 (2.0) 10 1 1 2 0 0 4 3 (1.5) 4 (2.0)
	 * Significantly different from solvent group data at P<0.01 by Fisher's exact test. Table 2-2 Chromosome analysis of Chinese hamster cells (CHL) short-term treatment with MADAME with S9 mix.
	Time of exposure: 6-(18) hours No. of cells analysed: 200 cells, At 1600 ug/mL, 84 cells were analyzed. Solvent: Distilled water BP: benzo[a]pyrene - g %: total no. of cells with aberration except gap (%) + g %: total no. of cells with aberrations gap: gap ctd: chromatid break cte: chromatid exchange csb: chromosome break cse: chromosome exchange (dicentric and ring) oth: others tot : total
	Concentration of MADAME (ug/mL) No. of structural aberrations No. of cells with aberrations
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Source	 10 9 11 112 1 2 0 138 116 (58.0) 117 (58.5)* * Significantly different from solvent group data at P<0.01 by fisher's exact test. : MHW: Japan, 1998
Test condition	: Solvent: Distilled water
	Positive control: -S9 mix, N-Methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine +S9 mix, Benzo[a]pyrene Doses: -S9 mix. (24 and 48-hr continuous treatment) : 0, 20, 39, 78 156, 313, 625 ug/mL -S9 mix. (6-hr short-term treatment) : 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1400, 1600 ug/mL

_

5. TOXICITY	Id 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
	+S9 mix. (6-hr short-term treatment): 0, 200, 400, 600,800, 1400, 1600 ug/mL S9: Rat liver, induced with phenobarbital and 5,6-benzoflavone
	Plate/test: 2
Reliability Flag	By the preliminary cytostatic test to know the cytotoxicity doses, following cytotoxicity doses were revealed. [Continuous treatment, 24 hrs] 625 ug/mL [Continuous treatment, 48 hrs] 313 ug/mL [6 hrs short-term treatment without S9 mix.] 800 ug/mL [6 hrs short-term treatment with S9 mix.] 1600 ug/mL Based on these data, above shown doses were decided for these tests. (1) valid without restriction Critical study for SIDS endpoint
10.01.2002 Type	: Cytogenetic assay (33)
System of testing Concentration	 Bytogenetic assay Human lymphocytes 0, 66.39, 88.52, 118.0, 157.4, 209.8, 279.8, 373, 497.4, 663.2, 884.3, 1179, 1572 ug/MI
Cycotoxic conc. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	with and without positive. other 1991 Yes as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Remark	The cells sampled at 20 hours after the start of treatment, were analysed for the chromosomal aberrations. At the higher two concentrations, namely 1179 ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9, this chemical induced the aberrations which were significantly different from those observed in the concurrent solvent controls. No exchange-type aberrations were observed, but only the deletion-type aberrations were seen. The numbers of cells with aberration including gap (average of two tests) at 1179 ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9 were 19.5% and 12.5% respectively. The numbers of cells with aberration exccluding gap (average of two tests) at 1179 ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9 were 11.0% and 7.5% respectively. No marked mitomic inhibition was evident in any of the doses analysed in this study. The mitomic index at 1179ug/mL without S9 and 1572 ug/mL with S9 (average of two tests) were 2.3% and 6.2 % respectively. It is concluded that MADAME may induce the chromosomal aberrations in the human peripheral blood lymphocytes.
	ABERRATIONS OBSERVED [Without S9] Items Solvent 884.3 1179 50 ug/mL ug/mL ug/mL (MMS) A B A+B A B A <

<u>DECD SIDS</u> 5. TOXICITY	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE
	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	Summary of aberrations observed
	MITOTIC INDEX (%) TREATMENT
	(UG/ML) 20 HOURS
	-\$9 +\$9
	A B A B SOLVENT 5.6 6.0 6.3 6.8
	66.39 NM NM NM NM
	88.52 NM NM NM NM 118.0 NM NM NM NM
	157.4 NM NM NM NM
	209.8 NM NM NM NM
	279.8 NM NM NM NM 373.0 6.2 4.8 NM NM
	497.4 6.0 4.3 7.2 4.6
	663.2 4.8 4.9 6.0 5.2
	884.3 4.3 3.9 6.8 6.5 1179.0 2.3 2.2 6.4 5.3
	1572.0 0 0 6.2 6.2
	NM: NOT MADE
Remark, cont.	: Total
	incl gaps 3 4 7 12 11 23 23 16 39 15 13 28
	(%) (3.5) (11.5) (19.5) (14.0)
	exclgaps 0 1 1 9 5 14 12 10 22 12 11 23
	(%) (0.5) (7.0) (11.0) (11.5)
	[With S9]
	Items Solvent 1179 1572 25 ug/mL ug/mL ug/mL(CPA)
	A B A+B A B A+B A B A+B A B A+B
	Culture Cells
	scored: 100 100 200 100 100 200 100 100 200 25 25 50
	Gaps 2 3 5 4 3 7 7 3 10 5 3 8
	Chr. del. 0 1 2 0 2 2 4 1 1 2 Chr. exch 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0
	Ctd. del. 1 0 1 1 3 4 5 6 11 14 10 24
	Ctd exch 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 Other 0 </td
	Total
	incl gaps 3 4 7 7 7 14 14 11 25 21 15 36
	(%) (3.5) (7.0) (12.5) (18.0)
	exclgaps. 1 1 2 3 4 7 7 8 15 16 12 28 (%) (1.0) (3.5) (7.5) (14.0)
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense
Reliability	EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) : (1) valid without restriction
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
09.01.2002	(4)
Туре	: HGPRT assay
System of testing	: V79 Chinese Hamster Cells

TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
• • •	
Concentration	: with S9 mix : 62.5-125-250-500-1000-1500-2000 ug/m L;
	without S9 mix : 31.25 - 62.5 - 125 - 250 - 500 ug/mL
Cycotoxic conc.	: > 1000 ug/mL
Metabolic activation	: with and without
Result	: Negative
Method	: OECD Guideline 476 "Genetic Toxicology: In vitro Mammalian Cell Gene
	Mutation Tests"
Year	: 1992
GLP	: Yes
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Remark	: Although round and refringent cells were observed at 250 ug/mL, the
Komark	mutation frequency in the cells from duplicate cultures treated with
	MADAME was considered as similar to that of the negative and solvent
	8
	controls, with and without S9: i.e. no significant increase (3 fold increase
	over the controls) was observed. MADAMe did not show mutagenic activity
_	in this HPRT gene mutation aasay in V 79 Chinese hamster cells.
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Test condition	: Metabolic activation: S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor.
	By the preliminary cytotoxicity test, the cytotoxicity (decrease in the cloning
	efficiency and/or dead cells) was shown at the concentrations of equal or
	greater than 1000 ug/mL, both with or without S9 mix. At 250 ug/mL or
	higher, round and refringent cells were observed.
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction
-	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
Flag	
10.01.2002	(5)
Туре	: Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay
System of testing	: Strains TA1535, TA 1537, TA 1538, TA 98, TA 100
Concentration	: 100 - 500 - 1000 - 2500 and 5000 ug/plate
Cycotoxic conc.	
-	: slight toxicity at 5000 ug/plate for TA 100
Metabolic activation	: with and without
Result	
Method	: OECD Guideline 471 "Genetic Toxicology: Salmonella thyphimurium
	Reverse Mutation Assay"
Year	: 1991
GLP	: Yes
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Remark	: The test substance, MADAME, did not induce a significant increase in the
	revertant number with or without S9 mix in any of 5 strains.
	The negative and solvent control results were equivalent to those usually
	The negative and solvent control results were equivalent to those usually
	obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the
	obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which
	obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix
	obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study.
Source	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense
Source	obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study.
Source Test condition	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense
	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor
	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor -S9 mix.: Sodium azide(NaN3) for TA 1535 and TA 100
	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor -S9 mix.: Sodium azide(NaN3) for TA 1535 and TA 100 9-amino-acridine (9AA) for TA 1537
	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor -S9 mix.: Sodium azide(NaN3) for TA 1535 and TA 100 9-amino-acridine (9AA) for TA 1537 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) for TA 1538 and TA 98
Test condition	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor -S9 mix.: Sodium azide(NaN3) for TA 1535 and TA 100 9-amino-acridine (9AA) for TA 1537 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) for TA 1538 and TA 98 +S9 mix.: 2-anthramine (2AM) for all strains
Test condition Reliability	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor -S9 mix.: Sodium azide(NaN3) for TA 1535 and TA 100 9-amino-acridine (9AA) for TA 1537 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) for TA 1538 and TA 98 +S9 mix.: 2-anthramine (2AM) for all strains (1) valid without restriction
Test condition	 obtained in this Laboratory. The number of revertants induced by the positive control was higher than the spontaneous one, which demonstrateted the sensitivity of this test and the efficacy of the S9 mix throughout this study. Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) Metabolic activation : S9 mix microsomal rat liver portion and cofactor -S9 mix.: Sodium azide(NaN3) for TA 1535 and TA 100 9-amino-acridine (9AA) for TA 1537 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) for TA 1538 and TA 98 +S9 mix.: 2-anthramine (2AM) for all strains

5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2
	Date 10.01.2002
5.6 Genetic toxicity 'ir	i vivo'
Туре	: Micronucleus assay
Species	: mouse
Sex Strain	: male/female : NMRI
Route of admin.	
Exposure period	: gavage : one dose
Doses	: 1000 mg/kg (maximum tolerated dose)
Result	: negative
Method	: OECD Guideline 474 "Genetic Toxicology: Micronucleus Test"
Year	: 1989
GLP	: yes
Test substance Remark	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Remark	 In comparison with the corresponding negative controls there was no substantial enhancement in the frequency of the detected micronuclei at
	any preparation interval after application of the test article. The mean values
	of micronuclei observed after treatment with MADAME were in the same
	range compared to the negative control groups. In the positive control group
	a distinct increase of induced micronuclei frequency was observed. In
	conclusion, the test article did not induce micronuclei as determined by the
	micronucleus test in the bone marrow cells of the mouse.
	[Summary of the test results]
	Sampling time: 24 hrs
	Group Dose PCEs with Micronuclei in PCE/NCE
	mg/kg bw Micronuclei 1000 PCE (mean)
	(%) (Range)
	Solvent 0 0.06 0-2 1000/554
	Test article 1000 0.03 0 – 2 1000 / 653 CPA 40 0.75 1 – 13 1000 / 742
	01A +0 0.73 1=13 100077+2
	Sampling time: 48 hrs
	Group Dose PCEs with Micronuclei in PCE/NCE
	mg/kg bw Micronuclei 1000 PCE (mean)
	(%) (Range)
	Solvent 0 0.04 0-2 1000 / 680 Test article 1000 0.04 0-1 1000 / 744
Remark, cont.	: Sampling time: 72 hrs
	Group Dose PCEs with Micronuclei in PCE/NCE
	mg/kg bw Micronuclei 1000 PCE (mean)
	(%) (Range)
	Solvent 0 0.06 0-4 1000 / 594 Test article 1000 0.09 0-2 1000 / 506
	1631 allice 1000 0.09 0-2 1000/300
	CPA : cyclophosphamide
	PCE : polychromatic erythrocytes
	NCE : normochromatic erythrocytes
Source	: Roehm,
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
Test condition	: Group: 5 males and 5 females
	Negative control: distilled water
	Positive control: Cyclophosphamid in physiological serum (NaCl)

. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
Reliability Flag	 Dose: 40 mg/kg Bone marrow preparation: 24, 48 and 72 hrs after application. Analysis : 1000 PCE (Polychromatic Erythrocytes) per animal By a preliminary test, 1000 mg/kg b.w. was estimated to be the maximum tolerated dose. The animals expressed toxic reactions. After treatment with the test article the ratio between PCEs and NCEs was not affected as compared to the corresponding negative controls, thus indicating no cytoyoxic effects. (1) valid without restriction Critical study for SIDS endpoint
10.01.2002	(52)
Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Doses Result	 Micronucleus assay mouse male/female Swiss OF1/ICO:OF1 IFFA-CREDO i.p. 2 administrations separated by 24 hrs 200 mg/kg negative
Method	: OECD Guideline 474 "Genetic Toxicology: Micronucleus Test"
Year GLP	: 1993 : yes
Test substance	: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4
Result	 2 administrations by 24 hrs via intraperitoneal route. 200 mg/kg MADAME in 10 mL isotonic solution 0.9% NaCl was administratedd to mouse. Positive control: Cyclophosphamide Dose: 25 mg/kg (2 ip injectons) Bone marrow preparation 24 h and 48 h after the 2nd administration. Analysis : the presence of micronuclei in 2000 polychromatic erythrocytes per mouse and the ratio of PCE/NCE. In all groups treated with MADAME, the mean values of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes were similar to those of their respective vehicle groups at each sampling time, and no statiscally significant differences were observed. The PE/NE ratio did not differ from that of the respective vehicle control group. MADAME did not induce cytogenetic damage to the bone marrow cells of mice when treated twice separated by 24 hrs by intraperioneal route at 200 mg/kg in the micronucleus test.
	Time of sacrifice: 24 hrs after the 2 nd administration
	GroupdosesMPE/PEPE/NE ratio(mg/kg)Mean (SD)Mean (SD)vehicle2.0 (0.8)0.7 (0.2)Test substance2001.9 (1.1)0.6 (0.2)CPA2518.2 (3.8)#0.4# (0.1)
	Time of sacrifice: 48 hrs after the 2 nd administration
	Group doses MPE/PE PE/NE ratio (mg/kg) Mean (SD) Mean (SD) vehicle 1.9 (0.8) 0.9 (0.4) Test substance 200 1.7 (1.0) 1.2 (0.6)
	10 animals (5 males, 5 females) per group

5. TOXICITY	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	#: P < 0.001
	Vehicle: physiological solution CPA : cyclophosphamide PE : polychromatic erythrocytes NE : normochromatic erythrocytes MPE/PE: micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes/1000 Polychromatic erythrocytes. (SD) : standard deviation.
Source Reliability	 Atochem Paris la Defense, 1993 EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA) (1) valid without restriction
Flag 09.01.2002	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint (7)
5.7 Carcinogenity	
5.8 Toxicity to reproduc	tion
Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatment Premating exposure period. Male Female Duration of test Doses Control group NOAEL Parental Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 other rat male/female Crj: CD(SD) gavage Males, 14 days before mating Females, from 14 days before mating to day 3 of lactation Once daily 14 days 41-52 days 0(Vehicle), 40, 200, 1000 mg/kg/day yes, concurrent vehicle = 200 mg/kg bw OECD combined repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity screening test 1998 yes other TS: 99.9% purity, Sanyo-Kasei Co., Japan As the LD50 value of > 2000 mg/kg was known, a preliminarytest to decide the highest dose level at 30, 100, 300 and 1000 mg/kg/day for 14 days was conducted. At 1000 mg/kg/day, decrease of body weight in males and suppression of body weight increase in females were observed. Then the highest dose level for the test was set at 1000 mg/kg/day. NOAELs = 1000 mg/kg/day for males = 200 mg/kg/day for females
	= 200 mg/kg/day for offsprings The compound had no effects on reproductive parameteres such as the mating index, the fertility index, numbers of corpora lutea or implantations, the implantation index, the delivery index, the gestation index, gestation length or parturition. Three dams of the 1000mg/kg group, however, lost all their pups in the lactation period. As reported in 5.4 repeated dose toxicity, significant adverse effects were observed in animals of 1000 mg/kg/day group, especially in females. These adverse effects observed in females

5. TOXICITY					11 0067 47 0
					ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
	suppression of b lactation period w * By the histopati the brain and the gastric tract, the e forestomach, and Also the increase histopathologica On examination decrease in body There were no s the sex ratio or th	of 12 die tion, late ody we vere ob hologica e spinal edema a d the atr es in the al chang of neor / weight ignificat ne live b	e onset of ight gain iserved. al examin cord, and and inflan rophy of the e weight of ges were of nates, the t and a low nt differen irth index	and a de ation, the l the hype matory of nethymus of the kidr observed 1000 mg w viability nces in nu . No abno	g, chronic convulsion, crease in food consumption in degeneration of nerve fibers in erplasia of the mucosa in cell infiltration in the swere revealed. hey and the adrenals without whether a second the adrenals without without the adrenals without without the adrenals without mey and the adrenals without and the adrenals without without a second the adrenals without and the adrenals without a second the adrenals without a
		compound were found for external features, clinical signs or necropsy findings for the offspring. The key data are summarized in the table shown below.			
Result, cont.	: [Reproductive page	aramete	arel		
	Dose (40	200	1000
	(mg/kg)				
	Number of pairs examined	12	12	12	10
	Numbers of pairs with successful matir	12 20	12	11	10
	Mating 100.0 index (%)	100.0	91.7	100.0	
	Number of pregnant female	12	12	11	9
	Fertility 100.0 index (%)	100.0	100.0	90.0	
	Pairing 2.5 ±	3.1±	3.9±	2.8±	
	days 1.0 until mating	1.0	3.0	1.0	
	Number of estrous 0.0 stages without	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.0	0.0±
	mating* Mating index (%) = (No.	of pairs v	with succ	essful mating /No. of pairs
	Mating index (%) = (No. of pairs with successful mating /No. of pairs examined)x100 Fertility index (%) = (No. of pregnant animals / No. ofpairs with successful mating) x 100				
	mating) x 100 * Values are exp	ressed	as Mean	±S.D.	
	[developmental Dose	parame 0	eters] 40	200	1000
	(mg/kg) Number of females	12	12	11	8
	examined Live birth	00 02	± 100.00		93.18 ± 89.06 ±

5. TOXICITY Result, cont.	ndex* (%) 4.52 0.00 22.61 12 Numbers 14.3 \pm 15.5 \pm 13.1 \pm 11 of live 1.6 1.2 5.1 3.7 oups on day 0 Nummbers 14.0 \pm 15.5 \pm 14.0 \pm 7.8 of live 1.5 1.2 3.7 4.2 oups on day 4* Body weight of pups (g)		
Result, cont.	Numbers $14.3 \pm 15.5 \pm 13.1 \pm 11$ of live 1.6 1.2 5.1 3.7 oups on day 0 Nummbers $14.0 \pm 15.5 \pm 14.0 \pm 7.8$ of live 1.5 1.2 3.7 4.2 oups on day 4* Body weight of pups (g)	.0 ±	
Result, cont.	of live 1.6 1.2 5.1 3.7 pups on day 0 Nummbers 14.0 \pm 15.5 \pm 14.0 \pm 7.8 of live 1.5 1.2 3.7 4.2 pups on day 4* Body weight of pups (g)		
Result, cont.	Nummbers $14.0 \pm 15.5 \pm 14.0 \pm 7.8$ of live 1.5 1.2 3.7 4.2 oups on day 4* Body weight of pups (g)	8 ±	
Result, cont.			
Result, cont.			
	Male* $7.2 \pm 0.4 6.6 \pm 0.4 6.9 \pm 0.7$	6.4 ± 1.1**	
	Female* 6.8±0.6 6.3±0.5 6.5±0.7	$6.0 \pm 0.9^{**}$	
	On day 4 Male* 11.1 ± 1.1 10.4 ± 0.9 10.8 ± 2.0) 10.2 ± 2.5	
	Female* 10.7 ± 1.3 9.8 ± 1.0 10.4 ± 2.0	9.8 ± 1.8	
Source : Reliability :	 Yalue are expressed as Mean ± S.D. Significantly different from control ; p<0.05. MHW: Japan, 1998 valid without restriction 		
Flag : 10.01.2002	Critical study for SIDS endpoint		(33)
5.9 Developmental toxicity/to	atogenicity		

Type Remark	 Cytotoxicity Result : Cell growth inhibition in Balb/c 3T3 Fibroblasts. ID50 > 100 umol/l (endpoints observed : inhibition of DNA synthesis, protein synthesis, total protein content, irriversible inhibition of cell metabolism.
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
18.05.1994	(19)
Type Remark	 Metabolism Result : The subtance was rapidly hydrolysed to methacrylic acid and N,N,- dimethylaminoethanol when incubated with simulated saliva or simulated intestinal fluid in vitro. 90 % degradation was observed in simulated saliva after 4 hours at 37°C, 86 % degradation after incubation with simulated intestinal fluid for 4 hours at 37°C. Degradation was below 8 % after incubation with simulated gastric fluid for 4 hours at 37°C.
Source	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION- European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)
18.05.1994	(6)
Type Remark	 Metabolism Small quantities of mathacrylates may readily be metabolized by
36	UNEP Publications

		5. TOXICITY		
-	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002			
	saponification into the alcohol and methacrylic acid. The latter may form acetyl-CoA derivatives, which then enters the normal lipid metabolism.			
	: Clayton/Patty	Source		
(13		10.01.2002		
	: other	Туре		
	: 1) Anesthetized dogs following intraveneous administration	Remark		
	of 2.4, 4.7, 9.7, 18.9 mg/kg			
	* Increase the respiratory rate * Decrease the heart rate			
	* Hypertension blood, Effect up to 30-40 mn			
	2) Efforts on isolated robbit boart following partices with			
	 Effects on isolated rabbit heart following perfuse with solutions 1/1000, 1/10000, 1/100000 (v/v) 			
	* Decrease in the heart rate, force of			
	contraction and coronary flow	Source		
	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	Source		
(34)(35		18.05.1994		
	: other	Туре		
	: Effects on smooth muscles were stu died on guineapig isolated ileum.	Remark		
	3 concentrations: 1/25000; 1/50000; 1/100000.			
	At 1/100000, Increase of contractility. Atropin (0.1 mug/ml) dit not antagonise the effects.			
	: Atochem Paris la Defense	Source		
(0.1	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)			
(34		18.05.1994		
	: other	Туре		
	: Route of administration: i.v.	Remark		
	Species: Rat with sarcoms 45 or mammary carcinomas Result: Decrease of neoplastic, dystrophic and necrotic changes of			
	tumours.			
	No data on doses and duration of injections Atochem Paris la Defense	Source		
	EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)			
(29		18.05.1994		
	: other	Туре		
	: Species: rabbit	Remark		
	Route of Administration: gavage			
	Result: Decrease of electrical and cerebral activity and clonico-tonic convulsions. Chronic studies in rats and rabbits with 0.1 x LD16 did not			
IS	affect growth, blood parameters, electrolytic equilibrium, weight of organs			
	and renal and hepatic functions.	0		
	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	Source		
(36		18.05.1994		
	: other: Enzyme inhibition <i>in vitro</i>	Туре		
əd	: Result : The substance did not inhibit cholinesterase activity of the isolate	Remark		
	enzyme or in rat brain preparations <i>in vitro</i> .	Source		
	: Atochem Paris la Defense EUROPEAN COMMISSION - European Chemicals Bureau Ispra (VA)	Source		

5.11	Experience	with human	exposure
------	------------	------------	----------

. REFEREN	ICES dd 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002
(1)	Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc. 36 (1/2), 58-60, 1975
(2)	Atochem (1992), Acute Dermal Toxicity test in rats, CIT 8537 TAR
(3)	Atochem (1991), Ames test, CIT 7331 MMO
(4)	Atochem (1991), Clastogenicity test in cultured Human Lymphocytes, Hazleton 11/HLC
(5)	Atochem (1992), HPRT Gene Mutation Assay in CHO Cells , CIT 8515 MVA
(6)	Atochem (1994), Hydrolysis studies on dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, SA 006/94
(7)	Atochem (1993), Micronucleus test in Mice, CIT 9776 MAS
(8)	Atochem (1980), Skin and Ocular Irritation test, Consultox Lab: CL80 65:2030
(9)	Atochem (1991), Skin Sensitization Test, CIT 7305 TSG
(10)	Belistein
(11)	CERI, Japan (1993) Report No. 21114 Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, unpublished data
(12)	CERI, Japan (1998) Report No. 81115K, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, unpublished data
(13)	Clayton GD., Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology Vol. 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2F, Toxicology 4th ed. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 3008, 1993-1994
(14)	EG, Sicherheitdatenblatt der Roehm GmbH vom 26.01.1994.
(15)	ELF ATOCHEM, Centre d'application de Levallois, J.C. BOUTONNET, 1993. Méthacrylate de diméthylaminoéthyle: détermination de l'inhibition de la mobilité de <i>Daphnia magna</i> . Rapport d'essai N°7713/92/A.
(16)	Elf Atochem August (1992) MSDS
(17)	Gage J.C., Brit. J. Industr. Med., 27, 1 - 18, 1970
(18)	Gerasimova, Russ. (1975) J. Phys. Chem. (Engl. Transl.), 45,523-941
(19)	Hanks C.T. et al., Cytoxic effects of resin compounds on cultured mammalian Fibroblasts, J. Dent. Res., 70, 1450-1455, 1975
(20)	HRABAK, F. and HYNKOVA, V., 1980. The hydrolysis of methacrylates in solutions of hydrochloric acid and sodium bicarbonate. Angew. Macromol. Chem., 89, 33-40
(21)	HYNKOVA, V. and HRABAK, F., 1979. The alkaline hydrolysis of methacrylates. Angew. Makromol. Chem., 82, 187-196
(22)	IGNAT'EVA, F.K. et al, 1976.Hydrolysis of aminoalkyl esters of methacrylic acid in aqueous-alcihol solutions.Zh. Org. Khim., 12(4), 733-735 (Russ)
(23)	Izmerov, N.F. et al., Toxicometric Parameters of Industrial Toxic Chemical Under Single Exposure, Moscow, Centre of International Projects, GKNT, 1982
(24)	Kirk-Othmer (1978-1984) Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., 15, 367-369

6. REFERENCES Date 1001/2002 (25) Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 4th ed. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, 1991-Present, p. 16 (25) 481 (26) Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., 15, 607-609 (27) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (28) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons; New York (1978-1984) (29) Kvakina E.B. et al., Vop: Klin. Onkol. Neiroendokrinnykh Narushenii. Zlokach. Nevoobraz, 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Marabe, A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1980 (32) Meykan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MH/W, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 3763 and 174 (36) Miltsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS	OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE
 (25) Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology 4th ed. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, 1991-Present., p.16 (95) 481 (26) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., 15, 607-609 (27) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (28) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (29) Kvakina, E.B. et al., Vopr. Klin. Onkol. Neiroendokrinnykh Narushenii Zlokach. Novoobraz., 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Manabe, A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) Mithia L.V. et al., Fraviscological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs., J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mithia L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater, Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975, Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (44) ReKKER, R.F. (1977) The hyd	6. REFEREN	ia 2007-47-2
 Sons, 1991-Present., p.16 (95) 481 (26) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., 15, 607-609 (27) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (28) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (29) Kvakina E.B. et al., Vopr, Klin. Onkol. Neiroendokrinnykh Narushenii Zlokach. Novoobraz., 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Manabe. A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company. Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely. WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993). (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properies of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co, New York 		Date 10.01.2002
 (27) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (28) Kirk-Othmer, Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol. 15, 367-369, John wiley and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (29) Kvakina E.B. et al., Vopr. Klin. Onkol. Neiroendokrinnykh Narushenii Zlokach. Novoobraz., 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Manabe. A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MilR G.N. et al., J. pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) Milra L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company. Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Rehem, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60. (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1922) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(25)	
 and Sons, New York (1978-1984) (28) Kirk-Othmer; Encyclopaedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., Vol 15, 367-369; John wiley and Sons; New York (1978-1984) (29) Kvakina EB, et al., Vopr. Klin. Onkol. Neiroendokrinnykh Narushenii. Zlokach. Novoobraz., 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Manabe. A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1980 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Milina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Reehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1982) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(26)	Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Ed., 15, 607-609
 and Sons; New York (1978-1984) (29) Kvakina E.B. et al., Vopr. Klin. Onkol. Neiroendokrinnykh Narushenii Zlokach. Novoobraz., 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Manabe. A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) MIIR a. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mitna L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R. J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Reehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(27)	
 3, 113-117, 1974 (30) LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535 (31) Manabe, A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (37) Milts G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitisubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R. J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(28)	
 (31) Manabe. A. et al., Molphological changes of rabbit skin by application of Dentine Primer, Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R. J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(29)	
 Dent. Mater. J., 9(2), 147-152, 1990 (32) Meylan, WM et al. (1996) (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) MIR G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(30)	LAWRENCE, W.H. et al (1972) J. Dental. Research, 51(2), 526-535
 (33) MHW, Japan (1998) Ministry of Health and Welfare, Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R. J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(31)	
 Environmental Chemicals 6, 539-568 (34) Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973 (35) MIR G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(32)	Meylan, WM et al. (1996)
 MIR G.N. et al., Toxicological and Pharmacological actions of Methacrylate Monomers III: Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data Neely, WB & Blau, DE New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(33)	
 Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci., 63(3), 376-381, 1974 (36) Mitina L.V. et al., Farmakol. Tokskol. Nov. Prod. Khim. Sint. Mater; Resp. Konf., 3rd Ed., 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(34)	Mir G.N. et al., J. Pharmac. Sci., 62, 778-782 & 1258-1261, 1973
 179-180, 1975 (37) Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS (38) MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data (39) Neely, WB & Blau, DE (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(35)	Effects on Respiratory and Cardiovascular Functions Anesthetized Dogs, J. Pharm. Sci.,
 MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data Neely, WB & Blau, DE New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(36)	
 Neely, WB & Blau, DE New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(37)	Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc. (2000) MSDS
 (40) New speciality chemical brochures (1992) Servo's Chemical and Specialities Division (from Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(38)	MOE, Japan (1997), Ministry of the Environment, unpublished data
 Roehm, 1993) (41) NTIS AD277-689, 1986 (42) Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(39)	Neely, WB & Blau, DE
 Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975 PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(40)	
 (43) PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60. (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(41)	NTIS AD277-689, 1986
 (45) R.J. Lewis, (1992) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., Vol. II: DPG 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(42)	Paulet G. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Trav. Secur. Soc., 36(1-2), 58-60, 1975
 600; Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.; New York (46) REKKER, R.F. (1977) The hydrophobic fragmental constant, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., New York (47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041 	(43)	PAULET, G. and VIDAL, 1975. Arch. Mal. Prof. Med. Tav. Secur. Soc., 1-2, 58-60.
(47) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041	(45)	
	(46)	
(48) Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No.88-048	(47)	Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No. 88-041
	(48)	Roehm (1988), unpublished report, No.88-048

OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE	
6. REFEREN	CES ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
(49)	Roehm GmbH, cofidential information given to Beratergremium umweltrelevante Altstoffe (BUA), 1991	
(50)	Roehm GmbH, material safety data sheet according to EEC Directive 91/155/EEC, 26.01.1994	
(51)	Roehm GmbH: unpublished report No. 87-014	
(52)	Roehm (1989), unpublised report, Micronucleus tes t in Mice, No. 89-002	
(53)	Roehm (1997), unpublished report, Skin Irritation test, No. 77-023	
(54)	Roehm (1978), unpublished report, Acute Oral Toxicity test in Rats, No. 78-061	
(55)	Rowell P.P. et al., Inhibition of cholin acetyltransferase by tertiary amino esters, Bioch. Pharmacol. 25, 1093-1099, 1976	
(56)	Schafer, E.W. et al (1983) The acute oral toxicity, repellency and hazard potential of 998 chemicals to one or more species of wild and domestic birds. Arch. Environm. Contam. Toxicol., 12,355-382	
(57)	SDB (1990) Sicherheitdatenblatt der Roehm GmbH vom 29.08.	

OECD SIDS	2-DIMETHYLAMINOETHYLMETHACRYLATE	
7. SUMMARY & EVALUATION	ld 2867-47-2 Date 10.01.2002	
7.1 End point summary		
7.2 Hazard summary		
7.3 Risk assessment		