NODE=S012

K^0_S

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$

K^0_S MEAN LIFE

NODE=S012205

NODE=S012205

For earlier measurements, beginning with BOLDT 58B, see our 1986 edition, Physics Letters **170B** 130 (1986).

OUR FIT is described in the note on "CP violation in K_L decays" in the K^0_L Particle Listings. The result labeled "OUR FIT Assuming CPT" ["OUR FIT Not assuming CPT"] includes all measurements except those with the comment "Not assuming CPT" ["Assuming CPT"]. Measurements with neither comment do not assume CPT and enter both fits.

NODE=S012T

VALUE (10^{-10} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.8953 ±0.0005 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1. Assuming CPT				
0.8958 ±0.0005 OUR FIT		Not assuming CPT				
0.8965 ±0.0007		[1,2] ALAVI-HARATI	03	KTEV	Assuming CPT	TYPE=CPT
0.8958 ±0.0013		[2,3] ALAVI-HARATI	03	KTEV	Not assuming CPT	OCCUR=2;TYPE=noCPT
0.89598±0.00048±0.00051	16M	LAI	02C	NA48		
0.8971 ±0.0021		BERTANZA	97	NA31		
0.8941 ±0.0014 ±0.0009		SCHWINGEN...	95	E773	Assuming CPT	TYPE=CPT
0.8929 ±0.0016		GIBBONS	93	E731	Assuming CPT	TYPE=CPT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.8920 ±0.0044	214k	GROSSMAN	87	SPEC		
0.905 ±0.007		[4] ARONSON	82B	SPEC		
0.881 ±0.009	26k	ARONSON	76	SPEC		
0.8926 ±0.0032 ±0.0002		[5] CARITHERS	75	SPEC		
0.8937 ±0.0048	6M	GEWENIGER	74B	ASPK		
0.8958 ±0.0045	50k	[6] SKJEGGEST...	72	HBC		
0.856 ±0.008	19994	[7] DONALD	68B	HBC		
0.872 ±0.009	20000	[6,7] HILL	68	DBC		

[1] This ALAVI-HARATI 03 fit has Δm and τ_S free but constrains ϕ_{+-} to the Superweak value, i.e. assumes CPT. This τ_S value is correlated with their $\Delta m = m_{K^0_L} - m_{K^0_S}$ measurement in the K^0_L listings. The correlation coefficient $\rho(\tau_S,\Delta m) = -0.396$. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=TG

[2] The two ALAVI-HARATI 03 values use the same data. The first enters the "assuming CPT" fit and the second enters the "not assuming CPT" fit. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=TH

[3] This ALAVI-HARATI 03 fit has Δm, ϕ_{+-}, and τ_{K_S} free. See ϕ_{+-} in the "K_L CP violation" section for correlation information. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=S3

[4] ARONSON 82 find that K^0_S mean life may depend on the kaon energy. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=A2

[5] CARITHERS 75 measures the Δm dependence of the total decay rate (inverse mean life) to be $\Gamma(K^0_S) = \left[(1.122 \pm 0.004) + 0.16(\Delta m - 0.5348)/\Delta m\right] 10^{10}$/s, or, in terms of mean life, CARITHERS 75 measures $\tau_S = (0.8913 \pm 0.0032) - 0.238\,[\Delta m - 0.5348]$ (10^{-10} s). We have adjusted the measurement to use our best values of ($\Delta m = 0.5292 \pm 0.0009$) ($10^{10}$ $\hbar$ s^{-1}). Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=C2

[6] HILL 68 has been changed by the authors from the published value (0.865 ± 0.009) because of a correction in the shift due to η_{+-}. SKJEGGESTAD 72 and HILL 68 give detailed discussions of systematics encountered in this type of experiment. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=H1

[7] Pre-1971 experiments are excluded from the average because of disagreement with later more precise experiments. NODE=S012T;LINKAGE=N

K^0_S DECAY MODES

NODE=S012210;NODE=S012

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level	
	Hadronic modes				NODE=S012;CLUMP=A
Γ_1	$\pi^0\pi^0$		(30.69 ± 0.05) %		DESIG=2
Γ_2	$\pi^+\pi^-$		(69.20 ± 0.05) %		DESIG=1
Γ_3	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$		$(3.5\ ^{+1.1}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-7}$		DESIG=8
	Modes with photons or $\ell\overline{\ell}$ pairs				NODE=S012;CLUMP=B
Γ_4	$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	[a,b]	$(1.79\pm0.05) \times 10^{-3}$		DESIG=5
Γ_5	$\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$		$(4.69\pm0.30) \times 10^{-5}$		DESIG=13
Γ_6	$\pi^0\gamma\gamma$	[b]	$(4.9\ \pm1.8) \times 10^{-8}$		DESIG=14
Γ_7	$\gamma\gamma$		$(2.71\pm0.06) \times 10^{-6}$		DESIG=6

Semileptonic modes

NODE=S012;CLUMP=C

Γ_8	$\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e$		[c]	$(\ 7.04 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=11
Γ_9	$\pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu_\mu$		[c,d]	$(\ 4.69 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$		DESIG=12

CP violating (*CP*) and $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current (*S1*) modes

NODE=S012;CLUMP=F

Γ_{10}	$3\pi^0$	*CP*		$< 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90%	DESIG=7
Γ_{11}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$	*S1*		$< 3.2 \times 10^{-7}$	90%	DESIG=3
Γ_{12}	$e^+ e^-$	*S1*		$< 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	90%	DESIG=4
Γ_{13}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	*S1*	[b]	$(\ 3.0\ ^{+1.5}_{-1.2}\ ) \times 10^{-9}$		DESIG=10
Γ_{14}	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	*S1*		$(\ 2.9\ ^{+1.5}_{-1.2}\ ) \times 10^{-9}$		DESIG=15

[a] Most of this radiative mode, the low-momentum γ part, is also included in the parent mode listed without γ's. LINKAGE=KX

[b] See the Particle Listings below for the energy limits used in this measurement. LINKAGE=KDS

[c] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated. LINKAGE=SG

[d] Not a measurement. Calculated as $0.666 \cdot B(\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e)$. LINKAGE=NM

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 5 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.1$ for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \right\rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\rm total}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	−100		
x_8	−6	3	
x_9	−6	3	100
	x_1	x_2	x_8

K^0_S DECAY RATES

NODE=S012212

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e)$ Γ_8

NODE=S012W1

NODE=S012W1

VALUE (10^6 s^{-1})	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
8.1 ± 1.6	75	[8] AKHMETSHIN	99	CMD2	Tagged K^0_S using $\phi \to K^0_L K^0_S$
7.50 ± 0.08		[9] PDG	98		
seen		BURGUN	72	HBC	$K^+ p \to K^0 p \pi^+$
9.3 ± 2.5		AUBERT	65	HLBC	ΔS=ΔQ, *CP* cons. not assumed

[8] AKHMETSHIN 99 is from a measured branching ratio $B(K^0_S \to \pi e \nu_e) = (7.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$ and $\tau_{K^0_S} = (0.8934 \pm 0.0008) \times 10^{-10}$ s. Not independent of measured branching ratio. NODE=S012W1;LINKAGE=AW

[9] PDG 98 from K^0_L measurements, assuming that ΔS=ΔQ in K^0 decay so that $\Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e) = \Gamma(K^0_L \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e)$. NODE=S012W1;LINKAGE=P8

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu_\mu)$ Γ_9

NODE=S012W2

NODE=S012W2

VALUE (10^6 s^{-1})	*DOCUMENT ID*	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
5.25 ± 0.07	[10] PDG	98

[10] PDG 98 from K^0_L measurements, assuming that ΔS=ΔQ in K^0 decay so that $\Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu_\mu) = \Gamma(K^0_L \to \pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu_\mu)$. NODE=S012W2;LINKAGE=P8

K^0_S BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=S012215

Hadronic modes

NODE=S012405

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\rm total}$ Γ_1/Γ

NODE=S012R2
NODE=S012R2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
0.3069±0.0005 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.335 ±0.014	1066	BROWN	63	HLBC
0.288 ±0.021	198	CHRETIEN	63	HLBC
0.30 ±0.035		BROWN	61	HLBC

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\rm total}$ Γ_2/Γ

NODE=S012R1
NODE=S012R1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.6920±0.0005 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.670 ±0.010	3447	DOYLE	69	HBC	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

NODE=S012R3
NODE=S012R3

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.255 ±0.005 OUR FIT					
2.2549±0.0054		[11] AMBROSINO	06C	KLOE	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.2555±0.0012±0.0054		[12] AMBROSINO	06C	KLOE	
2.236 ±0.003 ±0.015	766k	[12] ALOISIO	02B	KLOE	
2.11 ±0.09	1315	EVERHART	76	WIRE	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
2.169 ±0.094	16k	COWELL	74	OSPK	$\pi^- p \to \Lambda K^0$
2.16 ±0.08	4799	HILL	73	DBC	$K^+ d \to K^0 p p$
2.22 ±0.10	3068	[13] ALITTI	72	HBC	$K^+ p \to \pi^+ p K^0$
2.22 ±0.08	6380	MORSE	72B	DBC	$K^+ n \to K^0 p$
2.10 ±0.11	701	[14] NAGY	72	HLBC	$K^+ n \to K^0 p$
2.22 ±0.095	6150	[15] BALTAY	71	HBC	$K p \to K^0$ neutrals
2.282 ±0.043	7944	[16] MOFFETT	70	OSPK	$K^+ n \to K^0 p$
2.12 ±0.17	267	[14] BOZOKI	69	HLBC	
2.285 ±0.055	3016	[16] GOBBI	69	OSPK	$K^+ n \to K^0 p$
2.10 ±0.06	3700	MORFIN	69	HLBC	$K^+ n \to K^0 p$

OCCUR=2

[11] This result combines AMBROSINO 06C KLOE 2001-02 data with ALOISIO 02B KLOE 2000 data. $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ fully inclusive. NODE=S012R3;LINKAGE=AM

[12] Includes radiative decays $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$. NODE=S012R3;LINKAGE=AL

[13] The directly measured quantity is $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-/\text{all } K^0 = 0.345 \pm 0.005$. NODE=S012R3;LINKAGE=A

[14] NAGY 72 is a final result which includes BOZOKI 69. NODE=S012R3;LINKAGE=N

[15] The directly measured quantity is $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-/\text{all } \overline{K}^0 = 0.345 \pm 0.005$. NODE=S012R3;LINKAGE=B

[16] MOFFETT 70 is a final result which includes GOBBI 69. NODE=S012R3;LINKAGE=G

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\rm total}$ Γ_3/Γ

NODE=S012R8
NODE=S012R8

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$3.5^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$4.7^{+2.2+1.7}_{-1.7-1.5}$		[17] BATLEY	05	NA48	
$2.5^{+1.3+0.5}_{-1.0-0.6}$	500k	[18] ADLER	97B	CPLR	
$4.8^{+2.2}_{-1.6}\pm1.1$		[19] ZOU	96	E621	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.1^{+2.5+0.5}_{-1.9-0.6}$		[20] ADLER	96E	CPLR	Sup. by ADLER 97B
$3.9^{+5.4+0.9}_{-1.8-0.7}$		[21] THOMSON	94	E621	Sup. by ZOU 96

[17] BATLEY 05 is obtained by measuring the interference parameters in K_S, $K_L \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$: $\text{Re}(\lambda) = 0.038 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$ and $\text{Im}(\lambda) = -0.013 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.004$; the correlation coeff. between $\text{Re}(\lambda)$ and $\text{Im}(\lambda)$ is 0.66 (statistical only). NODE=S012R8;LINKAGE=BA

[18] ADLER 97B find the CP-conserving parameters $\text{Re}(\lambda) = (28 \pm 7 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$, $\text{Im}(\lambda) = (-10 \pm 8 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$. They estimate $B(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ from $\text{Re}(\lambda)$ and the K^0_L decay parameters. See also ANGELOPOULOS 98C. NODE=S012R8;LINKAGE=E

[19] ZOU 96 is from the the measured quantities $|\rho_{+-0}| = 0.039^{+0.009}_{-0.006} \pm 0.005$ and $\phi_\rho = (-9 \pm 18)^\circ$. NODE=S012R8;LINKAGE=C

[20] ADLER 96E is from the measured quantities Re(λ) = $0.036 \pm 0.010^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$ and Im(λ) consistent with zero. Note that the quantity λ is the same as ρ_{+-0} used in other footnotes. NODE=S012R8;LINKAGE=D

[21] THOMSON 94 calculates this branching ratio from their measurements $|\rho_{+-0}| = 0.035^{+0.019}_{-0.011} \pm 0.004$ and $\phi_\rho = (-59 \pm 48)^\circ$ where $|\rho_{+-0}| e^{i\phi_\rho} = A(K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, I=2)/A(K^0_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$. NODE=S012R8;LINKAGE=B

Modes with photons or $\ell\overline{\ell}$ pairs

NODE=S012410

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

NODE=S012R5
NODE=S012R5

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
2.59±0.08 OUR AVERAGE						
2.56±0.09	1286	RAMBERG	93	E731	p_γ >50 MeV/c	OCCUR=2
2.68±0.15		[22] TAUREG	76	SPEC	p_γ >50 MeV/c	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
7.10±0.22	3723	RAMBERG	93	E731	p_γ >20 MeV/c	
3.0 ±0.6	29	[23] BOBISUT	74	HLBC	p_γ >40 MeV/c	
2.8 ±0.6		[24] BURGUN	73	HBC	p_γ >50 MeV/c	

[22] TAUREG 76 find direct emission contribution <0.06, CL = 90%. NODE=S012R5;LINKAGE=T

[23] BOBISUT 74 not included in average because p_γ cut differs. Estimates direct emission contribution to be 0.5 or less, CL = 95%. NODE=S012R5;LINKAGE=C

[24] BURGUN 73 estimates that direct emission contribution is 0.3 ± 0.6. NODE=S012R5;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

NODE=S012R14
NODE=S012R14

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
4.69±0.30	676	[25] LAI	03C	NA48	1998+1999 data	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
4.71±0.23±0.22	620	[25,26] LAI	03C	NA48	1999 data	OCCUR=2
4.5 ±0.7 ±0.4	56	LAI	00B	NA48	1998 data	

[25] Uses normalization BR($K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$)*BR($\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) = $(1.505 \pm 0.047) \times 10^{-3}$ from our 2000 Edition. NODE=S012R14;LINKAGE=LA

[26] Second error is 0.16(syst)±0.15(norm) combined in quadrature. NODE=S012R14;LINKAGE=LB

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

NODE=S012R15
NODE=S012R15

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4.9±1.6±0.9		17	[27] LAI	04	NA48	$m^2_{\gamma\gamma}/m^2_K > 0.2$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<33	90		LAI	03B	NA48	$m^2_{\gamma\gamma}/m^2_K > 0.2$

[27] Spectrum also measured and found consistent with the one generated by a constant matrix element. NODE=S012R15;LINKAGE=LA

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

NODE=S012R7
NODE=S012R7

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	
2.713±0.063±0.005		7.5k	[28] LAI	03	NA48	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
2.58 ±0.36 ±0.22		149	LAI	00	NA48	
2.2 ±1.1		16	[29] BARR	95B	NA31	
2.4 ±0.9		35	[30] BARR	95B	NA31	OCCUR=2
< 13	90		BALATS	89	SPEC	
2.4 ±1.2		19	BURKHARDT	87	NA31	
<133	90		BARMIN	86B	XEBC	

[28] LAI 03 reports [B($K^0_S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$)] / [B($K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$)] = $(8.84 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$. We multiply by our best value B($K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$) = $(30.69 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. NODE=S012R7;LINKAGE=LB

[29] BARR 95B result is calculated using B($K_L \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) = $(5.86 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$. NODE=S012R7;LINKAGE=A

[30] BARR 95B quotes this as the combined BARR 95B + BURKHARDT 87 result after rescaling BURKHARDT 87 to use same branching ratios and lifetimes as BARR 95B. NODE=S012R7;LINKAGE=B

Semileptonic modes

NODE=S012415

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_8/Γ

NODE=S012R13
NODE=S012R13

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.04 ±0.08 OUR FIT					
7.04 ±0.08 OUR AVERAGE					
7.046±0.18±0.16		[31] BATLEY	07D	NA48	$K^0(\overline{K}^0)(t) \to \pi e \nu$
7.05 ±0.09	13k	[32] AMBROSINO	06E	KLOE	Not fitted
6.91 ±0.34±0.15	624	[33] ALOISIO	02	KLOE	Tagged K^0_S using $\phi \to K^0_L K^0_S$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
7.2 ±1.4	75	AKHMETSHIN	99	CMD2	Tagged K^0_S using $\phi \to K^0_L K^0_S$

NOTFITTED

[31] Reconstructed from $K^0(\overline{K}^0)(t) \to \pi e \nu$ distributions using PDG values of B($K^0_L \to \pi e \nu$) $= 0.4053 \pm 0.0015$, $\tau_L = (5.114 \pm 0.021) \times 10^{-8}$ s and $\tau_S = (0.8958 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-10}$ s.

NODE=S012R13;LINKAGE=BA

[32] Obtained by imposing Σ_i B($K^0_S \to i$) = 1, where i runs over all the four branching ratios $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi e \nu$, and $\pi \mu \nu$. Input value of B($K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$) / B($K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0$) from AMBROSINO 06C is used. To derive $\Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^+ \mu \nu)$ / $\Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^+ e \nu)$, lepton universality is assumed, radiative corrections from ANDRE 04 are used, and phase space integrals are taken from KTeV, ALEXOPOULOS 04A. This branching fraction enters our fit via their $\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e)$ / $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$ branching ratio measurement.

NODE=S012R13;LINKAGE=AM

[33] Uses the PDG 00 value for B($K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$).

NODE=S012R13;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_9/Γ

NODE=S012R18
NODE=S012R18

The PDG 06 value below has not been measured but is computed to be 0.666 times the $K_S \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e$ branching fraction. It is included in the fit that constrains the four branching ratios $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi e \nu$, and $\pi \mu \nu$ to sum to 1. This treatment, used by AMBROSINO 06E, is preferable to our previous practice of constraining the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ modes to sum to 1. The 0.666 factor is obtained from AMBROSINO 06E and assumes lepton universality, radiative corrections from ANDRE 04, and phase space integrals from KTeV, ALEXOPOULOS 04A.

NODE=S012R18

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
4.69 ±0.06 OUR FIT			
4.691±0.001±0.056	[34] PDG	06	calculated from $\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e$

[34] The PDG 06 value is computed to be $B_{PDG06}(\pi \mu \nu) = 0.666\ B_{FIT}(\pi e \nu)$. The first error specifies the arbitrarily small error, 0.001×10^{-4}, on $B_{PDG06}(\pi \mu \nu)$ for fixed $B_{FIT}(\pi e \nu)$. The second error is that due to the uncertainty in $B_{FIT}(\pi e \nu)$.

NODE=S012R18;LINKAGE=PD

$\Gamma(\pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu_e)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_8/Γ_2

NODE=S012R17
NODE=S012R17

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
10.18±0.12 OUR FIT				
10.19±0.11±0.07	13k	AMBROSINO	06E	KLOE

CP violating (*CP*) and $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current (*S1*) modes

NODE=S012420

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{10}/Γ

NODE=S012R9

Violates *CP* conservation.

NODE=S012R9
NODE=S012R9

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
< 1.2	90	37.8M	AMBROSINO	05B	KLOE
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 7.4	90	4.9M	[35] LAI	05A	NA48
<140	90	7M	ACHASOV	99D	SND
<190	90	17300	[36] ANGELOPO...	98B	CPLR
<370	90		BARMIN	83	HLBC

[35] LAI 05A value is obtained from their bound on $|\eta_{000}|$ (not assuming *CPT*) and B($K^0_L \to 3\pi^0$) $= 0.211 \pm 0.003$, and PDG 04 values for K^0_L and K^0_S lifetimes. If *CPT* is assumed then B($K^0_S \to 3\pi^0)_{CPT} < 2.3 \times 10^{-7}$ at 90% CL

NODE=S012R9;LINKAGE=LA

[36] ANGELOPOULOS 98B is from Im(η_{000}) $= -0.05 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$, assuming Re($\eta_{000}$) = Re($\epsilon$) $= 1.635 \times 10^{-3}$ and using the value B($K^0_L \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$) $= 0.2112 \pm 0.0027$.

NODE=S012R9;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

NODE=S012R11

Test for $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

NODE=S012R11

NODE=S012R11

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
<0.032	90	GJESDAL	73	ASPK
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.7	90	HYAMS	69B	OSPK

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

NODE=S012R12

Test for $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

NODE=S012R12

NODE=S012R12

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 1.4	90		ANGELOPO...	97	CPLR	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 28	90	0	BLICK	94	CNTR	Hyperon facility
<100	90		BARMIN	86	XEBC	

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

NODE=S012R10

Test for $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

NODE=S012R10

NODE=S012R10

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{3.0^{+1.5}_{-1.2}\pm0.2}$		7	[37] BATLEY	03	NA48	$m_{ee} > 0.165$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 140	90		LAI	01	NA48	
< 1100	90	0	BARR	93B	NA31	
<45000	90		GIBBONS	88	E731	

[37] BATLEY 03 extrapolate also to the full kinematical region using a constant form factor and a vector matrix element. The resulting branching ratio is $(5.8^{+2.9}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-9}$.

NODE=S012R10;LINKAGE=BA

$\Gamma(\pi^0\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

NODE=S012R16

Test for $\Delta S = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by first-order weak interaction combined with electromagnetic interaction.

NODE=S012R16

NODE=S012R16

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{2.9^{+1.5}_{-1.2}\pm0.2}$	6	[38] BATLEY	04A	NA48	NA48/1 K^0_S beam

[38] Background estimate is $0.22^{+0.18}_{-0.11}$ events. Branching ratio assumes a vector matrix element and unit form factor.

NODE=S012R16;LINKAGE=BA

K^0_S FORM FACTORS

NODE=S012240

For discussion, see note on $K_{\ell 3}$ form factors in the $K^\pm$ section of the Particle Listings above. Because the semileptonic branching fraction is smaller in K^0_S than K^0_L by the ratio of the mean lives, the K^0_S semileptonic form factor has so far been measured only in the K_{e3} mode using the linear expansion $f_+(t) = f_+(0)\,(1 + \lambda_+ t\,/m^2_{\pi^+})$, which gives the vector form factor $f_+(t)$ relative to its value at $t = 0$.

NODE=S012240

λ_+ (LINEAR ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF f_+ IN K^0_{e3} DECAY)

NODE=S012L+E
NODE=S012L+E

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
3.39±0.41	15k	AMBROSINO	06E	KLOE

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

NODE=S012219

CP-VIOLATION PARAMETERS IN K^0_S DECAY

NODE=S012250

$A_S = [\,\Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^- e^+\nu_e) - \Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^+ e^-\overline{\nu}_e)\,]$ / SUM

NODE=S012AS

Such asymmetry violates *CP*. If *CPT* is assumed then $A_S = 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\epsilon)$.

NODE=S012AS
NODE=S012AS

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
1.5± 9.6±2.9	13k	AMBROSINO	06E	KLOE

PARAMETERS FOR $K^0_S \to 3\pi$ DECAY

NODE=S012220

$\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{+-0})^2 = \Gamma(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, *CP*-violating) / $\Gamma(K^0_L \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

NODE=S012ET+

CPT assumed valid (i.e. $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) \simeq 0$).

NODE=S012ET+
NODE=S012ET+

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.23	90	601	[39] BARMIN	85	HLBC
<0.12	90	384	METCALF	72	ASPK

[39] BARMIN 85 find $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = (0.05 \pm 0.17)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{+-0}) = (0.15 \pm 0.33)$. Includes events of BALDO-CEOLIN 75.

NODE=S012ET+;LINKAGE=B

$\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{+-0}) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{A}(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, *CP*-violating) / $\mathrm{A}(K^0_L \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0))$

NODE=S012E+
NODE=S012E+

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{-0.002 \pm 0.009^{+0.002}_{-0.001}}$	500k	[40] ADLER	97B	CPLR	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$-0.002 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.003$	137k	[41] ADLER	96D	CPLR	Sup. by ADLER 97B
$-0.015 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.025$	272k	[42] ZOU	94	SPEC	

[40] ADLER 97B also find $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = -0.002 \pm 0.007^{+0.004}_{-0.001}$. See also ANGELOPOULOS 98C.

NODE=S012E+;LINKAGE=C

[41] The ADLER 96D fit also yields $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = 0.006 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.001$ with a correlation $+0.66$ between real and imaginary parts. Their results correspond to $|\eta_{+-0}| < 0.037$ with 90% CL.

NODE=S012E+;LINKAGE=B

[42] ZOU 94 use theoretical constraint $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = \mathrm{Re}(\epsilon) = 0.0016$. Without this constraint they find $\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{+-0}) = 0.019 \pm 0.061$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{+-0}) = 0.019 \pm 0.027$.

NODE=S012E+;LINKAGE=A

$\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{000})^2 = \Gamma(K^0_S \to 3\pi^0) / \Gamma(K^0_L \to 3\pi^0)$

NODE=S012ET0

CPT assumed valid (i.e. $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{000}) \simeq 0$). This limit determines branching ratio $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\mathrm{total}}$ above.

NODE=S012ET0

NODE=S012ET0

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.1	90	632	[43] BARMIN	83	HLBC	
<0.28	90		[44] GJESDAL	74B	SPEC	Indirect meas.

[43] BARMIN 83 find $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{000}) = (-0.08 \pm 0.18)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{000}) = (-0.05 \pm 0.27)$. Assuming *CPT* invariance they obtain the limit quoted above.

NODE=S012ET0;LINKAGE=B

[44] GJESDAL 74B uses $K2\pi$, $K_{\mu3}$, and K_{e3} decay results, unitarity, and *CPT*. Calculates $|(\eta_{000})| = 0.26 \pm 0.20$. We convert to upper limit.

NODE=S012ET0;LINKAGE=G

$\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{000}) = \mathrm{Im}(A(K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/A(K^0_L \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0))$

NODE=S012E0

$K^0_S \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ violates *CP* conservation, in contrast to $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ which has a *CP*-conserving part.

NODE=S012E0

NODE=S012E0

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{(-0.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}}$ **OUR AVERAGE**					
$0.000 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.013$	4.9M	[45] LAI	05A	NA48	Assumes *CPT*
$-0.05 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$	17300	[46] ANGELOPO...	98B	CPLR	Assumes *CPT*

[45] LAI 05A assumes $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{000}) = \mathrm{Re}(\epsilon) = 1.66 \times 10^{-3}$. The equivalent limit is $|\eta_{000}|_{CPT}$ <0.025 at 90% CL Without assuming *CPT* invariance, they obtain $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{000}) = -0.002 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.015$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{000}) = -0.003 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.017$ with a statistical correlation coefficient of 0.77 and an overall correlation coefficient of 0.57 between imaginary and real part. The equivalent limit is $|\eta_{000}|$ <0.045 at 90% CL

NODE=S012E0;LINKAGE=LA

[46] ANGELOPOULOS 98B assumes $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{000}) = \mathrm{Re}(\epsilon) = 1.635 \times 10^{-3}$. Without assuming *CPT* invariance, they obtain $\mathrm{Re}(\eta_{000}) = 0.18 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.06$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\eta_{000}) = 0.15 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.03$.

NODE=S012E0;LINKAGE=A

$|\eta_{000}| = |A(K^0_S \to 3\pi^0)/A(K^0_L \to 3\pi^0)|$

NODE=S012AE0

A non-zero value violates CP invariance.

NODE=S012AE0
NODE=S012AE0

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
<0.018	90	37.8M	AMBROSINO	05B	KLOE
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.045	90	4.9M	LAI	05A	NA48

DECAY-PLANE ASYMMETRY IN $\pi^+\pi^- e^+ e^-$ DECAYS

NODE=S012230

This is the CP-violating asymmetry

NODE=S012230

$$A = \frac{N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi>0.0} - N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi<0.0}}{N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi>0.0} + N_{\sin\phi\cos\phi<0.0}}$$

where ϕ is the angle between the e^+e^- and $\pi^+\pi^-$ planes in the K^0_S rest frame.

CP asymmetry A in $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^- e^+ e^-$

NODE=S012DPA

NODE=S012DPA

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
−1.1±4.1	LAI	03C	NA48	1998+1999 data	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.5±4.0±1.6	LAI	03C	NA48	1999 data	OCCUR=2

K^0_S REFERENCES

NODE=S012

BATLEY	07D	PL B653 145	J.R. Batley *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=51876
AMBROSINO	06C	EPJ C48 767	F. Ambrosino *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51068
AMBROSINO	06E	PL B636 173	F. Ambrosino *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=51073
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	REFID=51004
AMBROSINO	05B	PL B619 61	F. Ambrosino *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=50682
BATLEY	05	PL B630 31	J.R. Batley *et al.*	(NA48 Collab.)	REFID=50953
LAI	05A	PL B610 165	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=50597
ALEXOPOU...	04A	PR D70 092007	T. Alexopoulos *et al.*	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)	REFID=50243
ANDRE	04	hep-ph/0406006	T. Andre	(EFI)	REFID=50524
BATLEY	04A	PL B599 197	J.R. Batley *et al.*	(NA48 Collab.)	REFID=50127
LAI	04	PL B578 276	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=49654
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman *et al.*		REFID=49653
ALAVI-HARATI	03	PR D67 012005	A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)	REFID=49244
Also		PR D70 079904 (errat.)	A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)	REFID=50236
BATLEY	03	PL B576 43	J.R. Batley *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=49534
LAI	03	PL B551 7	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=49148
LAI	03B	PL B556 105	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=49257
LAI	03C	EPJ C30 33	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=49502
ALOISIO	02	PL B535 37	A. Aloisio *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=48727
ALOISIO	02B	PL B538 21	A. Aloisio *et al.*	(KLOE Collab.)	REFID=48770
LAI	02C	PL B537 28	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=48765
LAI	01	PL B514 253	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=48191
LAI	00	PL B493 29	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=47830
LAI	00B	PL B496 137	A. Lai *et al.*	(CERN NA48 Collab.)	REFID=47921
PDG	00	EPJ C15 1	D.E. Groom *et al.*		REFID=47469
ACHASOV	99D	PL B459 674	M.N. Achasov *et al.*		REFID=47048
AKHMETSHIN	99	PL B456 90	R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)	REFID=47032
ANGELOPO...	98B	PL B425 391	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)	REFID=46084
ANGELOPO...	98C	EPJ C5 389	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)	REFID=46264
PDG	98	EPJ C3 1	C. Caso *et al.*		REFID=45838
ADLER	97B	PL B407 193	R. Adler *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)	REFID=45617
ANGELOPO...	97	PL B413 232	A. Angelopoulos *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)	REFID=45750
BERTANZA	97	ZPHY C73 629	L. Bertanza	(PISA, CERN, EDIN, MANZ, ORSAY+)	REFID=45296
ADLER	96D	PL B370 167	R. Adler *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)	REFID=44839
ADLER	96E	PL B374 313	R. Adler *et al.*	(CPLEAR Collab.)	REFID=44892
ZOU	96	PL B369 362	Y. Zou *et al.*	(RUTG, MINN, MICH)	REFID=44835
BARR	95B	PL B351 579	G.D. Barr *et al.*	(CERN, EDIN, MANZ, LALO+)	REFID=44282
SCHWINGEN...	95	PRL 74 4376	B. Schwingenheuer *et al.*	(EFI, CHIC+)	REFID=44259
BLICK	94	PL B334 234	A.M. Blick *et al.*	(SERP, JINR)	REFID=43957
THOMSON	94	PL B337 411	G.B. Thomson *et al.*	(RUTG, MINN, MICH)	REFID=44020
ZOU	94	PL B329 519	Y. Zou *et al.*	(RUTG, MINN, MICH)	REFID=43893
BARR	93B	PL B304 381	G.D. Barr *et al.*	(CERN, EDIN, MANZ, LALO+)	REFID=43338
GIBBONS	93	PRL 70 1199	L.K. Gibbons *et al.*	(FNAL E731 Collab.)	REFID=43209
Also		PR D55 6625	L.K. Gibbons *et al.*	(FNAL E731 Collab.)	REFID=45465
RAMBERG	93	PRL 70 2525	E. Ramberg *et al.*	(FNAL E731 Collab.)	REFID=43263
BALATS	89	SJNP 49 828	M.Y. Balats *et al.*	(ITEP)	REFID=40977
		Translated from YAF 49 1332.			
GIBBONS	88	PRL 61 2661	L.K. Gibbons *et al.*	(FNAL E731 Collab.)	REFID=40624
BURKHARDT	87	PL B199 139	H. Burkhardt *et al.*	(CERN, EDIN, MANZ+)	REFID=40237
GROSSMAN	87	PRL 59 18	N. Grossman *et al.*	(MINN, MICH, RUTG)	REFID=40230
BARMIN	86	SJNP 44 622	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP)	REFID=40228
		Translated from YAF 44 965.			
BARMIN	86B	NC 96A 159	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP, PADO)	REFID=40231
PDG	86B	PL 170B 130	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(CERN, CIT+)	REFID=41168
BARMIN	85	NC 85A 67	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP, PADO)	REFID=11119
Also		SJNP 41 759	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP)	REFID=11120
		Translated from YAF 41 1187.			
BARMIN	83	PL 128B 129	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP, PADO)	REFID=11117
Also		SJNP 39 269	V.V. Barmin *et al.*	(ITEP, PADO)	REFID=11118
		Translated from YAF 39 428.			

ARONSON	82	PRL 48 1078	S.H. Aronson *et al.*	(BNL, CHIC, STAN+)	REFID=11411
ARONSON	82B	PRL 48 1306	S.H. Aronson *et al.*	(BNL, CHIC, PURD)	REFID=11401
Also		PL 116B 73	E. Fischbach *et al.*	(PURD, BNL, CHIC)	REFID=11402
Also		PR D28 476	S.H. Aronson *et al.*	(BNL, CHIC, PURD)	REFID=11403
Also		PR D28 495	S.H. Aronson *et al.*	(BNL, CHIC, PURD)	REFID=11404
ARONSON	76	NC 32A 236	S.H. Aronson *et al.*	(WISC, EFI, UCSD+)	REFID=11110
EVERHART	76	PR D14 661	G.C. Everhart *et al.*	(PENN)	REFID=11111
TAUREG	76	PL 65B 92	H. Taureg *et al.*	(HEIDH, CERN, DORT)	REFID=11112
BALDO-...	75	NC 25A 688	M. Baldo-Ceolin *et al.*	(PADO, WISC)	REFID=11108
CARITHERS	75	PRL 34 1244	W.C.J. Carithers *et al.*	(COLU, NYU)	REFID=11109
BOBISUT	74	LNC 11 646	F. Bobisut *et al.*	(PADO)	REFID=11104
COWELL	74	PR D10 2083	P.L. Cowell *et al.*	(STON, COLU)	REFID=11105
GEWENIGER	74B	PL 48B 487	C. Geweniger *et al.*	(CERN, HEIDH)	REFID=11357
GJESDAL	74B	PL 52B 119	S. Gjesdal *et al.*	(CERN, HEIDH)	REFID=11358
BURGUN	73	PL 46B 481	G. Burgun *et al.*	(SACL, CERN)	REFID=11099
GJESDAL	73	PL 44B 217	S. Gjesdal *et al.*	(CERN, HEIDH)	REFID=11101
HILL	73	PR D8 1290	D.G. Hill *et al.*	(BNL, CMU)	REFID=11102
ALITTI	72	PL 39B 568	J. Alitti, E. Lesquoy, A. Muller	(SACL)	REFID=11088
BURGUN	72	NP B50 194	G. Burgun *et al.*	(SACL, CERN, OSLO)	REFID=11306
METCALF	72	PL 40B 703	M. Metcalf *et al.*	(CERN, IPN, WIEN)	REFID=11092
MORSE	72B	PRL 28 388	R. Morse *et al.*	(COLO, PRIN, UMD)	REFID=11093
NAGY	72	NP B47 94	E. Nagy, F. Telbisz, G. Vesztergombi	(BUDA)	REFID=11094
Also		PL 30B 498	G. Bozoki *et al.*	(BUDA)	REFID=11095
SKJEGGEST...	72	NP B48 343	O. Skjeggestad *et al.*	(OSLO, CERN, SACL)	REFID=11096
BALTAY	71	PRL 27 1678	C. Baltay *et al.*	(COLU)	REFID=11082
Also		Thesis Nevis 187	W.A. Cooper	(COLU)	REFID=11083
MOFFETT	70	BAPS 15 512	R. Moffett *et al.*	(ROCH)	REFID=11079
BOZOKI	69	PL 30B 498	G. Bozoki *et al.*	(BUDA)	REFID=11095
DOYLE	69	Thesis UCRL 18139	J.C. Doyle	(LRL)	REFID=11074
GOBBI	69	PRL 22 682	B. Gobbi *et al.*	(ROCH)	REFID=11075
HYAMS	69B	PL 29B 521	B.D. Hyams *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)	REFID=11076
MORFIN	69	PRL 23 660	J.G. Morfin, D. Sinclair	(MICH)	REFID=11077
DONALD	68B	PL 27B 58	R.A. Donald *et al.*	(LIVP, CERN, IPNP+)	REFID=11069
HILL	68	PR 171 1418	D.G. Hill *et al.*	(BNL, CMU)	REFID=11070
AUBERT	65	PL 17 59	B. Aubert *et al.*	(EPOL, ORSAY)	REFID=11151
BROWN	63	PR 130 769	J.L. Brown *et al.*	(LRL, MICH)	REFID=11057
CHRETIEN	63	PR 131 2208	M. Chretien *et al.*	(BRAN, BROW, HARV+)	REFID=11056
BROWN	61	NC 19 1155	J.L. Brown *et al.*	(MICH)	REFID=11053
BOLDT	58B	PRL 1 150	E. Boldt, D.O. Caldwell, Y. Pal	(MIT)	REFID=11048

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

LITTENBERG	93	ARNPS 43 729	L.S. Littenberg, G. Valencia	(BNL, FNAL)	REFID=43652
Rare and Radiative Kaon Decays					
BATTISTON	92	PRPL 214 293	R. Battiston *et al.*	(PGIA, CERN, TRSTT)	REFID=42063
Status and Perspectives of K Decay Physics					
TRILLING	65B	UCRL 16473	G.N. Trilling	(LRL)	REFID=10887
Updated from 1965 Argonne Conference, page 115.					
CRAWFORD	62	CERN Conf. 827	F.S. Crawford	(LRL)	REFID=11126
FITCH	61	NC 22 1160	V.L. Fitch, P.A. Piroue, R.B. Perkins	(PRIN+)	REFID=11124
GOOD	61	PR 124 1223	R.H. Good *et al.*	(LRL)	REFID=11125
BIRGE	60	Rochester Conf. 601	R.W. Birge *et al.*	(LRL, WISC)	REFID=11122
MULLER	60	PRL 4 418	F. Muller *et al.*	(LRL, BNL)	REFID=11123