

that have not been submitted and reviewed by the Department.

Certification will not apply to buildings constructed by or for State or local government entities, which are subject to title II of the ADA. Nor does certification apply to accessibility requirements that are addressed by the Maryland law that are not addressed by the ADA Standards for Accessible Design.

Finally, certification does not apply to variances or waivers granted under the Maryland law. Therefore, if a builder receives a variance, waiver, modification, or other exemption from the requirements of the Maryland law for any element of construction or alterations, the certification determination will not constitute evidence of ADA compliance with respect to that element.

*Procedure:* The Department will review comments received from the public regarding the proposed determination and, during the 60-day comment period, will hold an informal hearing in Maryland to provide an opportunity for interested persons, including individuals with disabilities, to express their views with respect to the preliminary determination of equivalency of the Maryland law. Interested parties who wish to testify at the hearing should contact Linda Garrett at (202) 353-0423 TTY or by e-mail at [Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov](mailto:Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov).

The hearing site will be accessible to individuals with disabilities. Individuals who require sign language interpreters or other auxiliary aids should contact Linda Garrett at (202) 353-0423 TTY, or by e-mail at [Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov](mailto:Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov). A notice of at least four days before the hearing date would be greatly appreciated if individuals require sign language interpreters or other auxiliary aids.

Dated: August 8, 2003.

**J. Michael Wiggins,**

*Acting Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights.*

[FR Doc. 03-20795 Filed 8-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights; Certification of the Maryland Accessibility Code Under the Americans With Disabilities Act

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice will hold an informal hearing in

Washington, DC on the proposed certification that the Maryland Accessibility Code, under .05.02.02 of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), as adopted pursuant to Article 83B, section 6-102 of the Annotated Code of Maryland (together, the Maryland law), meets or exceeds the new construction and alterations requirements of title III of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA).

**DATES:** The hearing in Washington, DC is scheduled for Wednesday, October 22, 2003, at 2 p.m. Eastern Time.

**ADDRESSES:** The hearing will be held at: Disability Rights Section, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 4039, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John L. Wodatch, Chief, Disability Rights Section, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, 1425 NYA Building, Washington, DC 20530. Telephone number (800) 514-0301 (Voice) or (800) 514-0383 (TTY).

Copies of this notice are available in formats accessible to individuals with vision impairments and may be obtained by calling (800) 514-0301 (Voice) or (800) 514-0383 (TTY).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background:* Elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**, the Department of Justice (Department) is publishing a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing that it had preliminarily determined that the Maryland Accessibility Code, under .05.02.02 of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), as adopted pursuant to Article 83B, section 6-102 of the Annotated Code of Maryland (together, the Maryland law), meets or exceeds the new construction and alterations requirements of title III of the ADA. The Department noted that it intended to issue final certification of the Maryland law and requested written comments on the preliminary determination and the proposed final certification. The Department also noted that it intended to hold an informal hearing in Ellicott City, Maryland, during the 60-day comment period on the preliminary determination.

*Procedure:* In addition to the informal hearing in Ellicott City, Maryland, the Department will hold an informal hearing in Washington, DC, after the close of the 60-day comment period to provide an opportunity for interested persons, including individuals with disabilities, to express their views with respect to the issuance of a final determination of equivalency of the Maryland Law. Interested parties who wish to testify at the hearing should

contact Linda Garrett at (202) 353-0423 TTY, or by e-mail at [Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov](mailto:Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov).

The meeting site will be accessible to individuals with disabilities. Individuals who require sign language interpreters or other auxiliary aids should contact Linda Garrett at (202) 353-0423 TTY, or by e-mail at [Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov](mailto:Linda.Garrett@usdoj.gov). A notice of at least four days before the hearing date would be greatly appreciated if individuals require sign language interpreters or other auxiliary aids.

Dated: August 8, 2003.

**J. Michael Wiggins,**

*Acting Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights.*

[FR Doc. 03-20796 Filed 8-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Digital Subscriber Line Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on July 16, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), The Digital Subscriber Line Forum ("DSL") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ASTRI, Tsimshatsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong-China; Bridgewater Systems, Kanata, Ontario, Canada; Celite Systems, Austin, TX; Cidco Communications Corporation, Morgan Hill, CA; DrayTek Corp., Hsinchu, Taiwan; E & E Magnetic Products, Hong Kong, Hong Kong-China; eCommerce Innovation Centre, Cardiff, Wales, United Kingdom; Efficient Networks, Dallas, TX; Entrisphere, Santa Clara, CA; Gemtek Technology Co., Hokou, Hsinchu, Taiwan; Georgia Tech Broadband Institute, Atlanta, GA; Incognito Software, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; Infratel Communications, Huntingdon Valley, PA; ITI Limited, Bangalore, Karatka, India; Juniper Networks, Westford, MA; Lite-On Technology, Chung-Ho, Taipei, Hsien, Taiwan; Netgear, Santa Clara, CA; Pine-net, Broken Bow, OK; Point Topic,

London, United Kingdom; Real Communications, Milpitas, CA; Redback Networks, San Jose, CA; Sparnex, Laguna Hills, CA; Stanford University, Stanford, CA; Telenor, Fornebu, Norway; Xignal Technologies, Unterhaching, Germany; and Xilinx, San Jose, CA, have been added as parties to this venture. The following companies have cancelled their memberships: 3Com, San Diego, CA; Acterna Eningen GmbH, Salem, VA; Admit Design Systems, Dalgety Bay, Fife, United Kingdom; Advanced TelCom Group, Santa Rosa, CA; Agilent Technologies, San Jose, CA; Allied Data Technologies, Spijkenisse, The Netherlands; Angeles Design Systems, Inc., Los Angeles, CA; Aspex Technology, Hygh Wycombe, United Kingdom; Atech Technology Co., Taipei, Taiwan; Avail Networks, Ann Arbor, MI; Best Data Products, Chatsworth, CA; BRECS Communications, San Jose, CA; Broadframe Corporation, Alta Loma, CA; C-DOT, Bangalore, Karataka, India; Calix Networks, Petaluma, CA; Carrier Access Corporation, Boulder, CO; Castlenet Technology, Wu-Ku Hsiang, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan; Celsian Technologies, Tustin, CA; Chaos Telecom, San Diego, CA; CoEv, Inc., Watertown, SD; Communications Standards Review, Palo Alto, CA; Conklin Corporation, Duluth, GA; Corecess, Seoul, Republic of Korea; Croatian Telecom, Zagreb, Croatia; Data Connection, Chester, United Kingdom; DSLB it Hantro Oy, Oulu, Finland; DTI, Newport, South Wales, United Kingdom; Eicon Networks, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Exigen Group, Saint John, New Brunswick, Canada; FutureSoft, San Jose, CA; Garnet Systems Co., Seoul, Republic of Korea; General Bandwidth, Austin, TX; Hewlett Packard, Piscataway, NJ; Hyundai Electronics, Seoul, Republic of Korea; Integrated Telecom Express, Inc., San Jose, CA; Inventel, Paris, France; Ishoni Networks, Santa Clara, CA; ITRI, Chutung, Hsinchu, Taiwan; Jetstream Communications, San Jose, CA; Kinpo Electronics, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan; KYE Systems, Taipei, Taiwan; Lake Communications, Dublin, Ireland; Marconi Communications, Genoa, Italy; Maxxio Technologies, Vienna, Austria; MDS Gateways, Dublin, Ireland; Metro-OptiX, Allen, TX; Mitel Networks, Kanata, Ontario, Canada; MMG-North America, Patterson, NJ; MTnet, Skopje, Macedonia, Greece; Netergy Networks, Marlow, United Kingdom; NHC Communications, Mount-Royal, Quebec, Canada; OKI Electric Industry, Naples, FL; Optimal Communications LTD,

Gerrards Cross, United Kingdom; Partner Voxel, Vojens, Denmark; Polycom Inc., Milpitas, CA; Prestolite Wire/Krone, Garland, TX; Pulsent Corporation, Milpitas, CA; QSC, Cologne, Germany; RIT Technologies, Tel Aviv, Israel; Santera Systems, Plano, TX; Sasken Communication Technologies, Bangalore, Karataka, India; SercoNet, Ra'anana, Israel; Silicom, Kfar-Sava, Israel; Telcordia Technologies, Red Bank, NJ; Telebyte, Greenlawn, NY; TeleChoice, Mansfield Center, CT; TeliaSonera AB, Farsta, Sweden; Telrad, Rosh Ha'ayin, Israel; Tenovis GmbH & Co. KG, Frankfurt, Germany; Turnstone Systems, Santa Clara, CA; Tut Systems, Pleasanton, CA; Uniden America, Fort Worth, TX; URMET Suds S.p.A., Torino, Italy; VideoTele.com, Lake Oswego, OR; Virtual Access, Foxboro, MA; Vitria Technology, Sunnyvale, CA; VoicePump, Schaumburg, IL; Voyan Technology, Santa Clara, CA; Wind River Systems, Alameda, CA; Wipro, Bangalore, Karataka, India; Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; and Zhong Technologies, Oakland, CA.

Panasonic Semiconductor is now Panasonic Communications, Tokyo, Japan; Pacific Century Cyberworks is now PCCW Limited, Hong Kong, Hong Kong-China; Professional Multimedia Networks is now Sphairon, Bautzen, Germany; and CSI Suttle/Apparatus is now Suttle, Eden Prairie, MN.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DSL intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 15, 1995, DSL filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 25, 1995 (60 FR 38058).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 16, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 20, 2002 (67 FR 78014).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-20825 Filed 8-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Multiservice Switching Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on July 28, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Multiservice Switching Forum ("MSF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Operax AB, Lulea, Sweden, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and MSF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 22, 1999, MSF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 26, 1999 (64 FR 28519).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 6, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 30, 2003 (68 FR 38722).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-20826 Filed 8-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on July 22, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), The National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its