Increasing Spectral Efficiency for Point-to Multipoint Broadband Service in the License Exempt Bands

Shimon Scherzer
CTO, Kiwi Networks
October 12, 2004





WBOC Proposal

- Amend Section 15.247 to permit higher power transmission for P-to-MP systems in the 902-928 MHz, 2.4 GHz, and 5.8 GHz bands
- Permit higher power transmission in all geographic areas, not just rural areas
- Measure power via new metric: "Maximum Average Interference Power" or "MAIP":

MAIP = Instantaneous Transmitter ("ITX")Power * TX duty cycle *
(Horizontal Antenna Beamwidth/360)



BENEFITS OF WBOC PROPOSAL

- Permits P-to-MP systems to achieve more capacity, improved range, and better in-building penetration
- Reduces spectral inefficiencies from pure omnidirectional operations
- Reduces interference by limiting transmissions to where they are most needed
- Eliminates "disconnect" between P-to-MP and PTP rules in Part 15



Why Use MAIP?

- Presently, Section 15.247 generally limits point-to-multipoint transmissions to 1W at 100% duty cycle
 - MAIP = $1W * 100\% * 360^{\circ}/360^{\circ} = 1W^{\ddagger}$
- WBOC Proposal:
 - Maintain MAIP at 1W, consistent with current limit
 - Allow higher Instantaneous TX power (ITX) so long as the product of ITX, duty cycle and horizontal beamwidth does not exceed the 1W limit
- Use cognitive technology to mitigate impact of interference on your own network

‡up to 4W with antennas of less than 6db gain



Rationale

- Average radio interference signal independent of the antenna pattern, and dictated by TX power at antenna port
- Proposal provides an incentive to use directional antennas and lower duty cycles
 - Increases signal strength for receivers in specific illuminated region
 - Avoids interference in non-illuminated regions
 - Allows efficient "air-sharing" on time division basis
 - Permits license-exempt broadband operators to improve range, building penetration and system capacity as *quid pro quo* for greater spectral efficiency
- While outage probability and interference variance may increase in limited situations, performance of total network under MAIP model still superior to that of existing networks under current rules.
- Interference further mitigated by cognitive radio techniques

WHY PERMIT MAIP IN NON-RURAL AREAS?



- RURAL VS. NON-RURAL HARD TO ENFORCE IN LICENSE-EXEMPT BANDS – DEVICES MAY OPERATE ANYWHERE
- LICENSE-EXEMPT BROADBAND NETWORKS ARE DESIGNED FOR WIDE-AREA COVERAGE – RURAL VS. NON-RURAL NOT OPERATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT
- COMMISSION'S SECTION 706 MANDATE TO PROMOTE BROADBAND APPLIES TO ALL AREAS, NOT JUST SOME OF THEM
- DEFINING "RURAL" BY LEVEL OF NOISE FLOOR CREATES INTERFERENCE ISSUES FOR EQUIPMENT DESIGNED TO OPERATE BELOW NOISE FLOOR
- OTHER PART 15 POWER LIMITATIONS DO NOT DRAW RURAL VS. NON-RURAL DISTINCTION