First-principles calculations of the self-trapped exciton in crystalline NaCl

Vasili Perebeinos and Philip B. Allen

Department of Physics and Astronomy, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800

M. Weinert

Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000

(Received 30 June 2000)

The atomic and electronic structure of the lowest triplet state of the off-center (C_{2v} symmetry) self-trapped exciton in crystalline NaCl is calculated using the local-spin-density (LSDA) approximation. In addition, the Franck-Condon broadening of the luminescence peak and the $a_{1g} \rightarrow b_{3u}$ absorption peak are calculated and compared to experiment. LSDA accurately predicts transition energies if the initial and final states are both localized or delocalized, but 1 eV discrepancies with experiment occur if one state is localized and the other is delocalized.

Unlike a molecule, an extended system such as a solid can support both spatially localized and delocalized singleparticle states and excitations. Physical properties such as luminescence can differ dramatically depending on which type of state occurs. Deciding theoretically whether a localized or delocalized solution exists is a challenging problem.¹ Here we examine NaCl, a classic example² where electronic excitations self-localize by coupling to the lattice, creating local lattice distortions. Because the degree of localization will affect the Coulomb energies, approaches that incompletely cancel the self-interaction contribution to the exchange energy (e.g., the local-density approximation) sometimes fail to predict the actual localized solution.

The ground state of alkali halides with one electron removed is the V_K center:^{2,3} the resulting hole does not delocalize at the top of the valence band, but rather (symmetrically) attracts two Cl⁻ ions into a tightly bound molecule,^{4,5} effectively becoming a Cl₂⁻ molecular ion. The local symmetry of this atomic configuration is D_{2h} . An excess electron in bulk NaCl forms a large mobile (Fröhlich) polaron,⁶ but in the presence of a self-trapped hole forms a self-trapped exciton (STE). It has been suggested⁷ that the self-trapped exciton state breaks symmetry and sits off-center with C_{2v} symmetry.

Although there have been many theoretical studies^{7–12} of the self-trapped exciton and V_K center problems, no densityfunctional calculations have been reported. In this report we report local-spin-density approximation (LSDA) calculations of the STE and V_K center. The advantage of LSDA calculations is that they provide one of the simplest tools capable of providing a realistic model of this competition. In both cases, our LSDA calculations give undistorted, delocalized solutions with lower energy than the self-trapped solution, contrary to experiment. For the V_K center, no metastable local minimum trapped solution was found; however, for the (neutral) STE we find locally metastable solutions, with the oncenter STE 0.14 eV higher in energy than the off-center STE solution, which in turn is higher by 0.20 eV than a free electron-hole pair. Even with this discrepancy in the total energy, the atomic positions for the STE solution (see Table I) are reasonable and agree well with Hartree-Fock secondorder Møller-Plesset (MP2) perturbation theory.¹⁰ We focus on the properties of the local minimum solution for the offcenter STE, which provides a test of the ability of densityfunctional methods to treat the strong coupling of electronic and lattice degrees of freedom, and calculate the spectral properties of excited states.

To solve the LSDA equations we use a plane wave pseudopotential method^{13–15} with a spin-dependent exchangecorrelation potential¹⁶ and full structural relaxation in a supercell approach. For most calculations, we used a 32-atom supercell with translation vectors (2,0,0), (0,2,0), and (1,1,1), giving a nearest-neighbor distance between STE's of 9.4 Å, and used four special **k** points in the irreducible wedge for the Brillouin zone integrations. Tests varying the number of **k** points and supercell size suggest that these parameters are adequate.¹⁷ One of the nearest Cl atoms and two nearest Na atoms are most displaced while the rest of the atoms including nearest neighbors out of plane move by a much smaller amount. Some calculated structural parameters for the STE are given in Table I.

The calculated atomic displacements from the ideal NaCl structure for the off-center STE are shown in Fig. 1. The b_{3u} hole state in the triplet STE is localized on the Cl₂⁻ molecule [Fig. 1(a)], with nearly equal weight on the two Cl ions. The last spin-up electron (a_{1g}) is mostly localized on the (1/2, 1/2, 0) vacant halogen site [Fig. 1(b)], as in the case of the *F* center. The formation of the Cl₂⁻ "molecule" in the STE is mainly due to the shift of a single Cl. This asymmetric shift can be rationalized by noting that the Madelung energy (with canonical charges of ± 1 for Na and Cl) of the D_{2h} configuration is about 0.17 eV higher than the C_{2v} one, i.e., the ionic Madelung terms that favor the rocksalt structure in the first place favor keeping one of the Cl ions on a

TABLE I. The equilibrium Cl-Cl distance $r_{\text{Cl-Cl}}$, displacements of the nearest Na ions Δ_{Na} in Å, for the STE. Present results are compared to the Hartree-Fock (MP2) theory (Ref. 10).

Configuration	$r_{\rm Cl-Cl}({\rm MP2})$	$\Delta_{\rm Na}({\rm MP2})$
STE D_{2h}	2.73 (2.654)	0.35 (0.383)
STE C_{2v}	2.59 (2.525)	0.51 (0.488)

PRB 62

12 589



FIG. 1. The dotted contours show the total valence charge density in the STE. The solid contours show $|\Psi|^2$ for the trapped (a) hole and (b) electron. The open (filled) squares represent displaced (ideal) positions of the Na atoms; filled circles represent undisplaced Cl atoms. The lowest contours are 0.04 for the total charge, 0.01 (0.002) for the hole (electron) state, with increments of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.002 e (a.u.)⁻³, respectively.

lattice site; in addition, the extra electron in the STE (compared to the V_K center) can lower its energy by this distortion.

To obtain vibrational properties of the off-center STE, we made finite displacements from the equilibrium geometry. The Cl_2^- stretching mode $\omega_{\text{str}}=242 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is smaller than the experimental Raman frequency 361 cm⁻¹.¹⁸ From the force matrix associated with the Cl_2^- stretching mode, we found that only the two neighboring Na ions at $(\frac{1}{2},1,0)$ and $(1,\frac{1}{2},0)$ couple significantly. Unlike H center calculations,¹⁹ coupling to the Na atoms yields only a small shift in the frequency of the Cl_2^- mode to $\omega_{\text{str}}=234 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Since the LSDA places the STE too high in energy, it is not too surprising that the curvature of the STE local minimum is underestimated,

Figure 2 shows the computed density of states (DOS) of the perfect NaCl and the inverse participation ratio [IPR $=(a_0^3/8)\int |\Psi_i(\vec{r})|^4 d^3r$ for states of majority and minority spin for the off-center STE. (The IPR is a measure of the localization of a state.) The localized hole b_{3u} and electron a_{1g} states lie in a gap of about 6 eV between the conduction and valence bands of the perfect crystal, where as usual the LSDA underestimates the gap. Rather than using the singleparticle eigenvalues, we obtain estimates of the excitation energies as the difference between total energies of different electronic configurations. To calculate the energy to create a free-electron-hole pair (the gap energy), we occupy spin up states with one extra electron, while spin down states have one empty state. The energy difference between the two solutions (6.44 eV) for the same atomic positions should correspond to the free electron-hole pair [experimental value 7.96 eV (Ref. 20)].

In the distorted STE solution, the energy of the electronhole pair recombination was found by comparing energies of the STE solution with the energy of NaCl having the same atomic displacements as that of the STE. This energy of 4.25 eV is roughly the same as the energy difference between a_{1g} and b_{3u} states given by the DOS, and compares to a value of 3.35 eV obtained from a luminescence experiment²¹ at 11 K. The distorted NaCl, with a lattice distortion energy of 2.5 eV relative to the ideal NaCl positions, is in a highly excited vibrational state. Thus, significant Franck-Condon effects in the spectral properties of the STE are expected. To qualitatively describe the luminescence, a ground-state potential curve was calculated for the configuration coordinate α . It was assumed that all atoms move back to the perfect crystal positions proportionally to their distortions in the STE solution. The result is shown in Fig. 3, along with a quadratic fit $k_a \alpha^2/2$ with one adjustable parameter k_a . The effective onedimensional Schrödinger equation for $\Psi(\alpha)$ describes a harmonic oscillator with frequency $\omega_a = \sqrt{k_a/I} = 109 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The moment of inertia $I = \Sigma M_n \delta \vec{R}_n^2$ was chosen so that $I \dot{\alpha}^2/2$ equals the kinetic energy of the atoms with mass M_n , when they move from the initial displacements $\delta \vec{R}_n$.

The same type of the potential energy curve was calculated for the STE. The quadratic fit works only in the close vicinity of the exciton *metastable* minimum. The resulting frequency is $\omega_b = 123 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The experimental²¹ temperature T = 11 K justifies a zero temperature approximation (the STE



FIG. 2. (a) Density of states (states/eV spin formula unit) of pure NaCl crystal, where the two spin orientations are equivalent. IPR of triplet STE for (b) spin-up and (c) spin-down electrons. The state labeled b_{3u} is empty and the state a_{1g} is occupied in the STE. The D_{2h} representations b_{3u} and a_{1g} became indistinguishable (both A_1) in the true C_{2v} symmetry of the off-center state.



FIG. 3. Potential curves for (a) pure NaCl, self-trapped exciton in the (b) ground state, and (c) electronically excited state $(a_{1g} \rightarrow b_{3u})$. The coordinate α measures the magnitude of the displacement, such that $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ correspond to the undisplaced NaCl crystal and the relaxed STE atomic configurations, respectively.

initial state is the vibrational ground state). Since the luminescence peak position corresponds to the vibrational level $n \approx 170$ of the electronic ground state, quasiclassical wave functions were used in the numerical integral evaluation. The sequence of vibrational sidebands should be replaced by a sequence of convolved densities of phonon states $D(\omega)$. We approximate this by a Gaussian, $D(\omega) \rightarrow \exp(-\omega^2/2\gamma^2)/\sqrt{2\pi\gamma}$ with the width $\gamma = 43 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ chosen such that the first three moments coincide with the experimental²² phonon DOS. This gives a luminescence width of 0.43 eV, while the experimental width is 0.63 eV.

The optical response $\sigma(\omega)$ of the long-lived triplet STE also has been measured.^{23,24} The diagonal part of the optical conductivity tensor is

$$\sigma_{\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{\pi e^2 N}{m^2 \omega \Omega} \sum_{l \neq l'} \sum_{\vec{k}} (f_{l\vec{k}} - f_{l'\vec{k}}) |\langle l\vec{k}| p_{\alpha} | l'\vec{k} \rangle|^2 \\ \times \delta(\hbar \omega - E_{l'\vec{k}} + E_{l\vec{k}}), \qquad (1)$$

where $f_{l\vec{k}}$ is the occupancy of the state $|l\vec{k}\rangle$. The spin state index is included in the band index *l*. Integration over the zone has been performed using 26 k points in the irreducible zone. In Fig. 4(a), absolute optical conductivity curves are shown for the three polarizations, $\vec{E} || (1, -1, 0)$, (0, 0, 1), and (1, 1, 0) (parallel to the Cl₂⁻ molecular axis). Figure 4(b) shows the average conductivity $\sigma(\omega) = \sum_i \sigma_i(\omega)/3$, which is compared with experiment,^{23,24} rescaled so that the total weights under the both curves are the same.

The first peak in Fig. 4(b) is centered at 0.95 eV; the splitting between the peaks for the three different polarizations is not resolved in the calculations. Most of the weight in these peaks comes from transitions of the last localized spin-up a_{1g} electron into empty conduction-band delocalized states [see Fig. 2(b)].



FIG. 4. Optical conductivity of self-trapped exciton in NaCl normalized per volume of a single exciton $a_0^3/2$. (a) $\sigma_1(\omega)$, $\vec{E} \perp$ to the molecular axis in the *x*-*y* plane; $\sigma_2(\omega)$, \vec{E} along the *z* direction; and $\sigma_3(\omega)$, \vec{E} along the Cl_2^- molecule. (b) average conductivity compared with experiment (Ref. 23).

The second peak centered at 3.58 eV is the $a_{1g} \rightarrow b_{3u}$ transition for the spin-down electron [see Fig. 2(c)]. The energy difference between the ground state and electronically excited exciton state with the same atomic configuration turns out to be the same as the eigenvalue difference of b_{3u} and a_{1g} states of the ground STE. The excited exciton will lower its energy by moving atoms back to the undistorted positions of perfect NaCl. To apply the Franck-Condon principle, we repeat the same type of calculations for the excited exciton as we did for NaCl and the ground state STE (Fig. 3). When two Cl atoms move away from each other, the $a_{1,q}$ empty state merges with the valence Cl 3p band, which makes it very difficult to choose which state to depopulate during the iterations. Instead we used the results for the ground-state STE to obtain the energy of the electronically excited state by adding eigenvalue difference $\lambda_{l1} - \lambda_{l2}$ between two states for which dipole matrix element $|\langle l1|p_3|l2\rangle|^2$ is the largest. Results are shown on Fig. 3 along with a quadratic fit ($\omega_c = 116 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), which works for the entire range of the parameter α . The δ function of Eq. (1) corresponding to the $a_{1e} \rightarrow b_{3u}$ transition was replaced by a sequence of convolved Gaussian peaks.

The transition energy (3.58 eV) between the two localized states $a_{1g} \rightarrow b_{3u}$ [see Fig. 2(c)] agrees well with the experimental peak at 3.8 eV. But when initial and final states have different degrees of localization, errors of 1 eV in the luminescence (LSDA, 4.25 eV; expt.,²¹ 3.35 eV) and in the optical excitation of the bound electron into the conduction band (LSDA, 0.95 eV; expt.,²⁴ 1.95, 2.13, and 2.00 eV for three different field polarizations) occur. A possible explanation for this error is that in LSDA the incomplete cancellation of the large repulsive selfinteraction energy $\int d\vec{r} d\vec{r'} n_i(\vec{r}) n_i(\vec{r'}) / |\vec{r} - \vec{r'}|$ of a localized state $n_i = |\Psi_i|^2$, and the corresponding exchange term may destabilize a localized solution in favor of a delocalized one. Corrections such as self-interaction corrections²⁵ (SIC) or LDA+U (U is the onsite Coulomb repulsion²⁶) may reduce the error; in SIC, shifts in the energy of a state *i* on the order²⁵ of $\int d^3 r n_i^{4/3}(\vec{r})$ are expected. If the degrees of localization of the two states are similar, however, then the LSDA transition energies are reasonable.

In summary, we have presented LSDA calculations for the STE in NaCl, including the coupling between the lattice and electronic states. The off-center STE is found to be more stable than the on-center STE, but both are metastable compared to free electron-hole pairs. Both luminescence and optical conductivity, including vibrational Franck-Condon effects, were also calculated. The hole state in the off-center STE is found to be rather evenly split between the two Cl atoms, but the electron state is localized to the vacant site left by the shifted Cl ion. The density-functional description of electronic transitions between localized states, such as the $a_{1g} \rightarrow b_{3u}$ absorption peak, agree well with experiment. For

- ¹F. Mauri and R. Car, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3166 (1995); X. Zhang and D. A. Drabold, *ibid.* **83**, 5042 (1999).
- ²K. S. Song and R. T. Williams, *Self-Trapped Excitons*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1996); R. T. Williams and K. S. Song, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **51**, 679 (1990).
- ³T. G. Castner and W. Känzig, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **3**, 178 (1957).
- ⁴M. N. Kabler, in *Point Defects in Solids I*, edited by J. H. Crawford, Jr. and L. M. Slifkin (Plenum, NY, 1972), p. 327ff.
- ⁵C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance* (Harper and Row, New York, 1963), Chap. 7.
- ⁶J. T. Devreese, in *Encyclopedia of Applied Physics* (VCH, New York, 1996), Vol. 14, p. 383ff.
- ⁷R. T. Williams, K. S. Song, W. L. Faust, and C. H. Leung, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 7232 (1986); K. S. Song, Rev. Solid State Sci. **5**, 477 (1991).
- ⁸A. N. Jette, T. L. Gilbert, and T. P. Das, Phys. Rev. **184**, 884 (1969).
- ⁹A. L. Shluger, N. Itoh, V. E. Puchin, and E. N. Heifets, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 1499 (1991); V. E. Puchin, A. L. Shluger, K. Tanimura, and N. Itoh, *ibid.* **47**, 6226 (1993).
- ¹⁰V. E. Puchin, A. L. Shluger, and N. Itoh, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 6254 (1995).
- ¹¹K. S. Song and R. C. Baetzold, Phys. Rev. B 46, 1960 (1992).
- ¹²S. E. Derenzo and M. J. Weber, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **422**, 111 (1999).
- ¹³N. Chetty, M. Weinert, T. S. Rahman, and J. W. Davenport, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 6313 (1995).
- ¹⁴The Na pseudopotentials include a nonlinear core correction. Plane wave cutoffs were $E_{pw}=22$ Ry for the wave functions and 20 Ry for the pseudopotential truncation [M. Alatalo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 7680 (1999)]; for $E_{pw}=30$ Ry, energy differences between the distorted and undistorted STE agreed within 0.01 eV.
- ¹⁵For the isolated Cl_2^- molecule, the Cl-Cl distance is 2.58 Å [Hartree-Fock value, (Ref. 8 2.65 Å; no experimental data) and the vibrational frequency is 243 cm⁻¹ (expt., 247 cm⁻¹). For

transitions between the localized and delocalized states, discrepancies of order 1 eV with experiment arise. Although the LSDA can capture many features of the STE states, when a localized solution competes with a delocalized solution, the incomplete cancellation of the self-interaction may destabilize the localized solution. Given the usefulness of the LSDA method for unraveling complex materials, it is important to test and develop approaches that can treat localized and delocalized states on the same footing.

We thank M. L. Cohen, G. W. Fernando, P. M. Johnson, S. G. Louie, and W. E. Pickett for discussions. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMR-9725037 and by DOE Grant No. DE-AC-02-98CH10886.

bulk NaCl, calculated lattice constant (5.43 Å; expt., 5.6 Å) and bulk modulus (31.9 GPa; expt., 26.6 GPa), are typical of local density calculations [e.g., S. Froyen and M. L. Cohen, J. Phys. C **19**, 2623 (1986))].

- ¹⁶D. M. Ceperley and B. J. Adler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 566 (1980).
- ¹⁷The energy differences between 1, 4, 13, and 26 **k**-point meshes are 0.094, 0.043, 0.029 eV (off-center STE), 0.326, 0.090, 0.023 eV (on-center STE) and 0.511, 0.130, 0.014 eV (free electronhole pair). In the text, energy differences between the different atomic configurations are given for 26 **k** points. For the 32-atom supercell, the off-center shift of the Cl_2^- molecule is 0.77 Å (MP2, 0.95 Å). For a 72-atom cell, with 14.1 Å STE separation and one special **k** point, the Cl-Cl distance (2.58 Å), nearestneighbor Na displacements (0.50 Å), and energy difference (0.12 eV) are essentially unchanged; the off-center shift (0.84 Å) is slightly larger since the energy cost of Cl_2^- displacements is small.
- ¹⁸K. Tanimura, T. Suzuki, and N. Itoh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 635 (1992); T. Suzuki, K. Tanimura, and N. Itoh, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 7233 (1994).
- ¹⁹A. Svane, E. A. Kotomin, and N. E. Christensen, Phys. Rev. B 53, 24 (1996).
- ²⁰R. S. Knox and K. J. Teegarden, in *Physics of Color Centers*, edited by W. B. Fowler (Academic, New York, 1968), p. 625ff.
- ²¹M. Ikezawa and T. Kojima, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 27, 1551 (1969).
- ²²G. Raunio and S. Rolandson, Phys. Rev. B 2, 2098 (1970).
- ²³R. T. Williams and M. N. Kabler, Phys. Rev. B 9, 1897 (1974).
- ²⁴K. Tanimura, in *Defect Processes Induced by Electronic Excitation in Insulators*, edited by N. Itoh (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989), p. 178.
- ²⁵J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5048 (1981); A. Svane and O. Gunnarsson, *ibid.* **37**, 9919 (1988); M. R. Pederson and C. C. Lin, J. Chem. Phys. **88**, 1807 (1988).
- ²⁶A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 52, R5468 (1995); A. B. Shick, A. I. Liechtenstein, W. E. Pickett, *ibid.* 60, 10 763 (1999).